

# Peer Review Worksheet

Make a private copy of this worksheet and give it a useful filename. Be sure to upload the worksheet in PDF format as an in-class activity and provide a copy to the group you are evaluating. Only evaluate work that has been completed (but note incomplete items in the findings as appropriate). Include the worksheet you receive in your own homework submission.

Homework Number:	2
Your Group Number and Members:	Andrew Glaze
Group Number and Members to Evaluate:	April Horton

Review Item (in decreasing order of grade weight)	Finding(s)	“Minor” or “Major”?
Does the code seem to work correctly with expected input and give sensible output?	Possible misinterpretation of problem 1?	Minor
If applicable, does the code seem to handle invalid input? Is there a usage message?	N/A	
Are the answers to questions readable and well organized? If not, make suggestions.	Very readable, good use of markdown cells	
Are all the plots appropriately labeled and readable? If not, make suggestions.	Plots look good	

Can the code documentation be improved (including comments and file headers)?	Documentation is solid, good comments throughout	
Can the code readability be improved? Give suggestions.	Looks good	
Does the code seem efficient in style and performance? If not, what is the concern?	Problem 1: Could use np.logspace() instead of linspace... Problem 2: could make LN functions one function with N argument then make list of L's	Minor
Does the submission contain a descriptive README file?	No	Minor
Were you able to compile/run the code according to the instructions in the README?	No readme, but code ran fine	
Does the submission appear to otherwise meet requirements and not contain unnecessary files? If not, explain.	Looks good, aside from the README and problem 1, it could be ready to turn in	

How many minor issues did you identify?	3
How many major issues did you identify?	0