

# Gradient-Free Optimal Postprocessing of MCMC Output

Artem Glebov

King's College London

2024

# Table of Contents

## 1 Background

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- Challenges of running MCMC
- Stein thinning
- Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy

## 2 Methodology

- Algorithm
- Evaluation

## 3 Results

- Bivariate Gaussian mixture
- Lotka-Volterra inverse problem

## 4 Conclusions

## 5 Further Research

## 6 Bibliography

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) are a popular class of algorithms for sampling from complex probability distributions.

Given a target distribution  $P$  defined on a state space  $\mathcal{X}$ , an MCMC algorithm proceeds by constructing a chain of random variables  $(X_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  which satisfy the Markov property:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} \in A | X_0, \dots, X_i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} \in A | X_i) \quad \text{for any measurable } A \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Viewed as a function, the right-hand side above is called the Markov transition kernel and is denoted

$$R(A|x) := \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} \in A | X_i = x). \quad (1)$$

The transition kernel  $R$  is selected so that it is easy to sample from and to ensure asymptotic convergence to the target distribution  $P$ :

$$P_i \xrightarrow{d} P \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

A sample of size  $n$  is a realisation  $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$  of the first  $n$  variables in the chain, which is constructed sequentially.

# Table of Contents

- 1 Background
  - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
  - Challenges of running MCMC
  - Stein thinning
  - Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy
- 2 Methodology
  - Algorithm
  - Evaluation
- 3 Results
  - Bivariate Gaussian mixture
  - Lotka-Volterra inverse problem
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Further Research
- 6 Bibliography

---

**Algorithm 1:** Gradient-free Stein thinning.

---

**Data:**

sample  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  from MCMC,  
target log-densities  $(\log p(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
auxiliary log-densities  $(\log q(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
auxiliary gradients  $(\nabla \log q(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
desired cardinality  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

**Result:** Indices  $\pi$  of a sequence  $(x_{\pi(j)})_{j=1}^m$  where  $\pi(j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**for**  $j = 1, \dots, m$  **do**

$$\pi(j) \in \arg \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \frac{k_{P,Q}(x_i, x_i)}{2} + \sum_{j'=1}^{j-1} k_{P,Q}(x_{\pi(j')}, x_i)$$

**end**

---

---

**Algorithm 2:** Optimised gradient-free Stein thinning.

---

**Data:**

sample  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  from MCMC,  
target log-densities  $(\log p(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
auxiliary log-densities  $(\log q(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
auxiliary gradients  $(\nabla \log q(x_i))_{i=1}^n$   
desired cardinality  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Result:** Indices  $\pi$  of a sequence  $(x_{\pi(j)})_{j=1}^m$  where  $\pi(j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Initialise an array  $A[i]$  of size  $n$

Set  $A[i] = k_{P,Q}(x_i, x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

Set  $\pi(1) = \arg \min_i A[i]$

**for**  $j = 2, \dots, m$  **do**

    Update  $A[i] = A[i] + 2k_{P,Q}(x_{\pi(j-1)}, x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

    Set  $\pi(j) = \arg \min_i A[i]$

**end**

---

# Table of Contents

- 1 Background
  - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
  - Challenges of running MCMC
  - Stein thinning
  - Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy
- 2 Methodology
  - Algorithm
  - Evaluation
- 3 Results
  - Bivariate Gaussian mixture
  - Lotka-Volterra inverse problem
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Further Research
- 6 Bibliography

# Table of Contents

- 1 Background
  - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
  - Challenges of running MCMC
  - Stein thinning
  - Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy
- 2 Methodology
  - Algorithm
  - Evaluation
- 3 Results
  - Bivariate Gaussian mixture
  - Lotka-Volterra inverse problem
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Further Research
- 6 Bibliography



The project makes three contributions:

- implementation of the gradient-free Stein thinning algorithm in the Python library `stein-thinning`,
- evaluation of the performance of the proposed algorithm,
- improvement of the computational efficiency of the existing Stein thinning algorithm from  $O(nm^2)$  to  $O(nm)$ , where  $n$  is the input sample size and  $m$  is the desired thinned sample size.

# Conclusions

- The gradient-free approach is feasible and performs similarly to the Stein thinning algorithm of Riabiz et al. (2022) for small thinned sample sizes,
- The performance of the algorithm depends crucially on the choice of the auxiliary distribution. For example, even in the highly favourable setting of i.i.d. samples from a Gaussian mixture, choosing the auxiliary distribution based on the Laplace approximation fails to produce a thinned sample.
- The simple multivariate Gaussian distribution using the sample mean and covariance offered a good starting point in our experiments, however bespoke treatment might be required for more complex problems.
- In deciding whether to use the new algorithm as opposed to the gradient-based approach, the effort involved in selecting a good auxiliary distribution must be weighed against the computational cost of obtaining gradients.

# Table of Contents

## 1 Background

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- Challenges of running MCMC
- Stein thinning
- Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy

## 2 Methodology

- Algorithm
- Evaluation

## 3 Results

- Bivariate Gaussian mixture
- Lotka-Volterra inverse problem

## 4 Conclusions

## 5 Further Research

## 6 Bibliography

# Further Research

- Evaluate the choices of KDE kernels other than Gaussian for constructing the auxiliary distribution.
- Parallelise the computation of KDE.
- Perform thinning in a lower-dimensional space.
- Investigate the behaviour of Stein thinning for large thinned sample sizes.
- Compare the performance of the approaches in terms of estimating the true parameters of the Lotka-Volterra model.
- Run an experiment with randomised starting points.

# Further Research (continued)

- Repeat the experiments with more advanced MCMC algorithms.
- Check how running a gradient-free MCMC sampling algorithm (such the random-walk Metropolis-Hastings) followed by Stein thinning of the sample compares to running a gradient-based sampling algorithm (e.g. HMC).
- Provide theoretical justification for gradient-free Stein thinning.
- Explore other gradient-free alternatives.

# Table of Contents

## 1 Background

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- Challenges of running MCMC
- Stein thinning
- Gradient-free kernel Stein discrepancy

## 2 Methodology

- Algorithm
- Evaluation

## 3 Results

- Bivariate Gaussian mixture
- Lotka-Volterra inverse problem

## 4 Conclusions

## 5 Further Research

## 6 Bibliography

M. Riabiz, W. Y. Chen, J. Cockayne, P. Swietach, S. A. Niederer, L. Mackey, and C. J. Oates. Optimal Thinning of MCMC Output. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 84(4):1059–1081, September 2022.