



NIAC Meeting 2014

Review
&
Topics



Not the Tech Committee



Have Been Busy

- MX application definition together with COMCIFS
 - actual application definition
 - NXtransformations
 - variants
 - To be ratified
- NXpinhole and NXslit
- Lots of work on the manual (thanks Joachim, Pete)
- Moved to github
- New NeXus publication (Journal for Applied Crystallography)
 - ACCEPTED as of yesterday!
- Code Camp 2014

Because NXaperture is too complex

NXpinhole:group
depends_on
diameter

NXslit:group
depends_on
x_gap
y_gap

Add fields to position

- Git is the cool version control system of today
- Rely less on infrastructure at ISIS
- <https://github.com/nexusformat/definitions>
- <https://github.com/nexusformat/code>
- <https://github.com/nexusformat/communications>

- The NAPI configuration will be revised to drop most utilities and build for HDF-5 only by default
- New tool: nexpy based on h5py by Ray Osborn et al.
 - `pip install nexpy`
- The validation tool will be rebuilt.
 - In python, based on Ray Osborn's nexpy
 - In ANSI-C
- We prepared many pending things for ratification

- NXmx + NXtransformations
- Associating axes with data
- Annotating errors
- NXformula
- Changes to NXfluo
- sequence_index in NXprocess, NXnote
- OS Thumbnail storage in special NXnote
- Finding default data
- NXstxm
- Reserve name „features“ for an experimental way of detailing groups
- Allow optional content in application definitions

- Deprecate old positioning schemes
- Procedural questions
- Confirm new members
- Elect new officers
- Minor constitution change
 - change wording of "instrument and group class definitions" to contemporary terms (base classes and instrument definitions)

- Meeting with COMCIFS, august 2013
 - Data rates of modern detectors asks for a container format
 - Why not HDF5/NeXus?
 - Collaboration agreed upon
 - First MX, other application definitions may be later
- An application definition for MX was developed, mainly at Diamond
- CIF \longleftrightarrow NeXus works, DA too
- CBFlib support
- Thanks to: Jonathan Sloan, Graeme Winter, Tobias Richter, Herbert Bernstein
- Paper to be submitted soon

- Two new concepts:
 - variants
 - NXtransformations
- Some additional fields
- Rest is well known NeXus

- The Problem: CIF had the capability to store variants of the same field.
 - Example: wavelength, refined_wavelength, guessed_wavelength
- Intense Discussion how to do this in NeXus
- Our Solution:
 - variants chain

distance

@variant=distance_from_reading

distance_from_reading

@variant=distance_as_guessed_by_cleaner

distance_as_guessed_by_cleaner

- NXtransformations stores
 - All axes needed to move a component into its place with the CIF coordinate style attributes
 - A depends_on field
- One stop group to locate all transformations necessary for a given component
- Rationale: the PX do not like to standardize on names; this solution is name independent
- This is a compromise to accomodate CIF
- But useful beyond NXmx

sample:NXsample

transform:NXtransformations

rotation_angle

@transformation_type=rotation

@vector=0,1,0

@offset=0,0,0,

chi

@transformation_type=rotation

@vector=0,0,1

@offset=0,0,0,

@depends_on=rotation_angle

phi

@transformation_type=rotation

@vector=0,1,0

@offset=0,0,0,

@depends_on=chi

depends_on

phi

[http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/contributed_definitions/
NXtransformations.html](http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/contributed_definitions/NXtransformations.html)

NXentry

title

definition

NXinstrument

NXattenuator

attenuator_transmission

NXdetector

depends_on

NXtransformations

NXcollection

data[np,i,j]

NXsample

name

NXbeam

incident_wavelength

NXtransformations

NXdata

[http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/
contributed_definitions/NXmx.html](http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/contributed_definitions/NXmx.html)

- Deprecate old coordinate system stuff
- Currently valid NeXus positioning schemes
 - CIF style
 - NXgeometry
 - polar coordinate system : polar_angle, azimuthal_angle

- As of now we have error fields in some base classes
 - A more general scheme is required
- Associating axes with data
 - axes attribute on data
 - axis attribute on axis data
 - Falls over in some use cases
- Proposal derived from canSAS discussions
- http://wiki.nexusformat.org/2014_axes_and_uncertainties

- Ratification of classes
 - NXfluo: <http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/applications/NXfluo.html>
 - NXarpes: http://download.nexusformat.org/doc/html/classes/contributed_definitions/NXarpes.html
 - NXstxm:
 - Review beam line stuff in contributed definitions

- Describe relationships in data files
- Last state from Telcos, based on Bens suggestion:
- NXformula
 - $\text{formula} = A = B * c$
 - A = link to some data item
 - B = link to some data item
 - $c = 27.8$
- Use muParser syntax
- Documentation only, implementation is not our business

- Optional fields in application definitions
 - Now: application definitions: required fields only
 - overwhelming community demand: optional fields
- Sequence_numbers
 - Processed data: the order of processing steps matters
 - NOW: only by naming scheme
 - Proposal: add sequence_index field to NXprocess and NXnote

- Now: search NXdata, attribute signal=1
- Proposed:
 - add default attribute pointing to the NXentry at file level
 - add default attribute at NXentry level pointing to the good NXdata
 - use signal attribute to NXdata(agreed)

- Requirement: store a thumbnail and provenance data in some defined field for the OS to display
- NXnote does what we need
- Proposal: a NXnote named thumbnail at NXroot level.

- Tobias

- Motivation
 - Taming the NXdetector monster of ~60 defined fields
 - Remove repetition of often used fields in base class, for example positioning fields
- Why not OO?
 - NeXus not really OO: no associated methods
 - Inheritance not easily encodable in NeXus files
 - Base class explosion: NXarea_detector, NXsingle_detector,
- Use composition: implement interfaces
- Change NXdetector and other base classes to implement one or more Interfaces:
 - NXIFbeamline_component, NXIFarea_detector, NXIFarea_tof_detector, ...
- Tech Committee: experimental section in manual to try this out

- NeXus Processes
 - How do we assign priorities?
 - How do we deal with companies?
 - How do we remove NeXus ballast?
 - How to organize proposals and discussions?
 - NeXus as ISO standard?
 - Funding

- Priorities:
 - Roberts's Rule
 - Chairman decides Agenda
 - Agenda can be challenged
- External companies
 - Invite as observers

- Possible sources:
 - Facility contributions
 - Detector vendors
 - Foundation
 - Research Data Alliance
 - IUCR
 - A sponsor

- Purpose: Develop the NeXus standard
 - Tutorial and dissemination activities
 - If we get a person:
 - Maintain NeXus
 - Develop tools
 - Go out and help facilities and DA SW writers with implementing NeXus
- NIAC Meetings

- Better oversight
 - Invite users
 - Invite DA SW writers
- Do better work: wherever we meet, develop an application definition with an interested scientist
- More frequent NIAC meetings by Telco
- Do we want to become an ISO standard?