



NeXus Code Camp 2014

- Issues Walkthrough
- Procedural Questions
- Design Issues
 - NeXus Interfaces
 - Lightweight tags versus application definitions
 - Name clash avoidance
 - Multi file NeXus files
 - Associating axes with data, nTh iteration
 - Optional content in application definition
 - Storing errors
 - Finding default data

- Class Issues
 - NXmx
 - NXstmx
 - NXfluo
 - NXformula
 - NXcansas

- Software Issues
 - Validation tool
 - nxpy
 - cmake for sphinx
 - NAPI release
- Miscellaneous
 - Things forgotten in my list
 - Manual cleanup
 - Do we review decisions made by Tech committee (variants, slits etc)

- Many issues at github
- I think many of them can be quickly resolved
- May be code camp material otherwise
 - Some work on the manual may be needed

- How do we assign priorities?
- How do we deal with companies?
- How do we remove NeXus ballast?
- How to organize proposals and discussions?
- Can be pushed into the NIAC meeting as they have to decide anyway
- NeXus as ISO standard?
- Funding

- Taming the NXdetector monster of ~60 badly defined fields
- Change to NXdetector implementing one or more Interfaces:
 - NXIbeamline_component, NXIarea_detector, NXIarea_tof_detector, ...
 - Organises the parameter space
 - allows us to be more specific
- A new version of the manual has been prepared with this for review
- In a first approximation, Tobias suggests to something similar for application definitions

- If a field name is not taken by NeXus anyone can use it
- May cause a problem when NeXus needs to define the name
- Suggested solutions:
 - prepend user_
 - assign a NXtype to the field rather than a name
 - NXcollection, the favorite on the Telco

- HDF group warns against very big files...
- DECTRIS needed to distribute a datafield across multiple files
- NeXus already has linking external groups and fields
- But for distributing data new rules would be needed
 - Find axes easily for the data in separate files
 - Keep the relationship between files somewhere

- Requirements:
 - Need axes for data reduction and visualization
 - Multiple sets of axes possible for same data
 - Multidimensional scan intent versus what really happened
 - A given axis can be dependent on another axis; point into a multidimensional array
- NeXus already has:
 - axes attribute
 - axis and primary attribute
 - A group attribute solution which did not make it into the manual
- Can only be solved by convention

-
- As of now we have error fields in some base classes
 - A standard scheme would be better
 - Learn from canSAS
 - Suggestion: uncertainties attribute which lists the arrays holding the errors
 - Suggestion: field name suffixed with `_esd`

- Now: search NXdata, attribute signal=1
- Proposed:
 - add default_NXentry at file level
 - add default_NXdata attribute at NXentry level
 - add default_data attribute to NXdata

- CIF-NeXus: NXmx
- NXfluo
- NXcansas
- NXstxm
- NXformula

- Describe relationships in data files
- Last state from Telcos, based on Bens suggestion:
- NXformula
 - $\text{formula} = A = B * c$
 - A = link to some data item
 - B = link to some data item
 - $c = 27.8$
- Use muParser syntax
- Documentation only, implementation is not our business

- NAPI release?
- New validation tool?
 - current: complicated, in bad shape
 - performance needed: code as C library?
- Build docs with sphinx through cmake

- cansas stuff
- Telco with DECTRIS
- The HDF people may join us
- Excursion?