#### **Definitions**

The optional plan consists in a plan with the right length (6, 9 and 12) that

take the less time to compute.

The timeout annotation on Time columns means that the search takes more than 600

seconds to compute, so I kill the search and move to the next one.

The best approach consists in a unique search strategy for all problems, even if

this search strategy is not the optimal for one Problem.

#### **Optimal Plans**

#### **Problem 1**

Solving Air Cargo Problem 1 using greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search with h 1...

Expansions	Goal	New	Plan	Time
	Tests	Nodes	length	(sec.)
7	9	28	6	0.0046

- Load(C1, P1, SFO)
- Load(C2, P2, JFK)

- Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
- Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
- Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
- Unload(C2, P2, SFO)

#### **Problem 2**

Solving Air Cargo Problem 2 using astar\_search with h\_ignore\_preconditions...

Expansions	Goal	New	Plan	Time
	Tests	Nodes	length	(sec.)
1450	1452	13303	9	5.7446

- Load(C1, P1, SFO)
- Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
- Load(C2, P2, JFK)
- Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
- Load(C3, P3, ATL)
- Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)
- Unload(C3, P3, SFO)
- Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
- Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

#### **Problem 3**

Solving Air Cargo Problem 2 using h\_ignore\_preconditions...

Expansions	Goal	New	Plan	Time
	Tests	Nodes	length	(sec.)
5040	5042	44944	12	20.32

- Load(C2, P2, JFK)
- Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)
- Load(C4, P2, ORD)
- Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)
- Unload(C4, P2, SFO)
- Load(C1, P1, SFO)
- Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)
- Load(C3, P1, ATL)
- Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)
- Unload(C3, P1, JFK)
- Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
- Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

# Non-heuristic search result metrics

#### breadth\_first\_search

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	43	56	180	6	0.0252
Problem 2	-	-	-	-	timeout

Problem	14663	18098	129631	12	118.1575	
3						

#### breadth\_first\_tree\_search

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	1458	1459	5960	6	0.7996
Problem 2	-	-	-	-	timeout
Problem 3	-	-	-	-	timeout

### depth\_first\_graph\_search

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	12	13	48	12	0.0073
Problem 2	582	583	5211	575	3.30
Problem 3	627	628	5176	596	4.16

# depth\_limited\_search

Goal New Plan Time			Goal	New	Plan	Time
--------------------	--	--	------	-----	------	------

Problem	Expansions	Tests	Nodes	Length	(sec.)
Problem 1	12	13	48	12	0.0073
Problem 2	222719	2053741	2054119	50	926.8643
Problem 3	-	-	-	-	timeout

# Heuristic search greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search with h\_1

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	7	9	28	6	0.0042
Problem 2	990	992	8910	15	2.4041
Problem 3	5614	5616	49429	22	19.9140

# astar\_search with h\_1

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	55	57	224	6	0.0321

Problem 2	4852	4854	44030	9	20.8326
Problem 3	18235	18237	159716	12	62.5677

#### astar\_search with h\_pg\_levelsum

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	11	13	50	6	1.3315
Problem 2	86	88	841	9	250.6339
Problem 3	-	-	-	-	timeout

# astar\_search with h\_ignore\_preconditions

Problem	Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan Length	Time (sec.)
Problem 1	41	43	170	6	0.0228
Problem 2	1450	1452	13303	9	5.7446
Problem 3	5040	5042	44944	12	20.3272

#### **Best approach**

The breadth\_\* and depth\_\* search strategies results in too long plans with many

timeouts this is due the fact that on each expansion we increase the frontier of

the problem (in depth or in breadth) so our search space increase much more than the needed.

The h\_pg\_levelsum expands into few nodes but take more time to compute, giving a

timeout on Problem 3. This is due to the fact that the  $h_pg_levelsum$  expands the

use of planning graph to search the planning space.

The technique that worked with more or less good time results and expansions

for the three problems is the  $h\_ignore\_preconditions$ , so this is the best choice.