

Horseshoe Estimator for Constrained Normal Means

We consider the model $\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ where $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{K} = \{\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$. First we consider a straightforward generalization of the global-local prior to the constrained case when the prior on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is supported on \mathcal{K} . Extension of the two-component mixture prior to the convex cone restriction is more nuanced and is discussed second.

2.1 Horseshoe Extension

Specifically, let

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \tau &\sim TN(\mathbf{0}, \tau^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \mathcal{K}) \\ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &\sim \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\lambda}(\lambda_i) \\ \tau &\sim p_{\tau}(\tau)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_n^2\}$ and $TN(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathcal{K})$ denotes normal with the distribution of a multivariate normal with mean $\boldsymbol{\psi}$, variance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and truncated to the cone \mathcal{K} .

It is interesting is to investigate the effect of the conic geometry on the Bayes estimates. Of course, the truncated normal prior will be conjugate, yielding a truncated normal posterior. If the model is $\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$, and the prior density for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is $TN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\mathbf{Q} = (\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^{-1})^{-1}$, then the posterior is $\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y} \sim TN(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{K})$. Thus, the posterior mean could be directly computed for that of a truncated normal, albeit truncated to a general convex polyhedral cone. We derive the expression for the posterior mean using a slightly different argument which is instructive in the sense it provides explicit expressions for the marginal of \mathbf{y} using hidden truncation argument.

Before we give our main result, we define some useful notation. Let $\Phi^{(r)}(\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{W}) = P(\mathbf{Z} \leq \mathbf{z})$ for $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{W})$ where Φ is the standard normal cdf. Also, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$, let $\phi^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i)$ where ϕ is the standard normal pdf.

The following result provides some insight to how the half-plane restrictions, \mathbf{A} appears in the expression for the posterior mean.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ where it is known a priori that $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{K}$, a polyhedral convex cone defined by $\mathcal{K} = \{\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$ for some matrix \mathbf{A} of dimension $k \times n$. Let the prior on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V})_{\mathcal{K}}$. Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{D}'$, say, where \mathbf{D} be a diagonal matrix with entries equal to the square root of the diagonal entries of \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{Q} = (\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^{-1})^{-1}$. Also for $i = 1, \dots, k$, let \mathbf{R}_{-i} be \mathbf{R} without the i th column and the i th row and let \mathbf{r}_{-i} denote the i th column of \mathbf{R} without the i th diagonal element. Let $\mathbf{B}_i = [\mathbf{I} : -\mathbf{r}_{-i}\mathbf{r}_i^{-1}]$ where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix of dimension $(k-1)$ and let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k)' = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$. Assuming $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and \mathbf{V} are fixed and given, we have*

$$E(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{Q}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{v}]$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_k)'$ and $v_i = \phi(-u_i)\Phi^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{B}_i\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_{-i} - \mathbf{r}_i^{-1}\mathbf{r}_{-i}\mathbf{r}_{-i}^T)/\Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$.

Proof. The joint model for \mathbf{y} and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{V} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Hence that of \mathbf{y} and $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}' \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}' \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Then following Arnold (2009), the marginal density formula for \mathbf{y} under the hidden truncation $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \mathbf{0}$, is

$$p_y(\mathbf{y}) = |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}|^{-1/2} \phi^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}) \frac{\Phi^{(k)}(-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12})}{\Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22})}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}' \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by the multiparameter version of Tweedie's formula (Robbins, 1956), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log p_y(\mathbf{y})$$

The gradient of $\log p_y(\mathbf{y})$ has two parts, The first part is $\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \phi^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y})$. By the chain rule of vector differentiation, we get

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \phi^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}) = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{y} = -(\boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{V})^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{V})^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{F}), \\ &= \mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{F}), \end{aligned}$$

We further note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{F}) &= \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{F}) \\ &= \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{D}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \log \Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{D}^{-1} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})}{\Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})} \end{aligned}$$

To compute the gradient we use the standard formula for partial derivatives of the multivariate cdf of a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_i(x_i) F_{-i|i}(x_{-i})$ where f_i is the marginal density of X_i , $F_{-i|i}$ is the conditional cdf of the rest of the components of \mathbf{X} given X_i and \mathbf{x}_{-i} is the vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ without the i th component. Using the conditional distribution of multivariate normal and the fact that $\mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{-i} - \mathbf{r}_{-i} r_i^{-1} u_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) &= \phi(u_i) \Phi^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{u}_{-i}; \mathbf{r}_{-i} r_i^{-1} u_i, \mathbf{R}_{-i} - r_i^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{-i} \mathbf{r}_{-i}^T) \\ &= \phi(u_i) \Phi^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_{-i} - r_i^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{-i} \mathbf{r}_{-i}^T) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \phi(u_i) \frac{\Phi^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{B}_i\mathbf{u}; 0, \mathbf{R}_{-i} - r_i^{-1}\mathbf{r}_{-i}\mathbf{r}_{-i}^T)}{\Phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}; 0, \mathbf{R})}.$$

□

The expression for the posterior mean has two parts. The first part $\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ is the usual Bayes estimator normal-normal conjugacy which is the unbiased estimator \mathbf{y} plus a Bayes correction. However, under the conic constraint the second term acts as a correction for the restriction to the convex cone.

Let the entries of the covariance matrices be functions of some lower dimensional parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d\}$. For example, for the usual Horseshoe prior formulation, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\sigma^2, \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_n^2, \tau^2\}$. Even though the expression for the posterior mean in Theorem 1 is derived with fixed $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and \mathbf{V} , it is instructive to write the posterior mean as $\mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$. If priors are specified on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, then the posterior mean for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ can be obtained as

$$\mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})),$$

where the first expectation on the right hand side is taken over the marginal posterior of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

The marginal distribution of \mathbf{y} given the truncated normal prior is $p_y(\mathbf{y})$ and it belongs to the *closed (fundamental) skew normal* family; see Gonzalez-Farias *et al.* (2004) (?), Arellano-Valle and Genton, (2005) (?). The marginal distribution can be used for estimation of hyper-parameter to obtain the marginal posterior of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. For example, one could use the fundamental skew normal likelihood directly.