A Polyhedral Cone Counterexample

KCB 9/20/2003 Revised 12/12/2003 v. 2017.04.25::15.06

Abstract

This is an example of a pointed generating convex cone in \mathbb{R}^4 with 5 extreme rays, but whose dual cone has 6 extreme rays (and vice-versa).

Recall that a ray in a vector space is the set of nonnegative scalar multiples of a single nonzero point. A **cone** is a nonempty subset C of a vector space that is closed under multiplication by nonnegative scalars. A cone is **trivial** it contains only 0. A nontrivial cone is the union of the rays generated by its nonzero points. A cone C is **generating** if C-C is the entire vector space, or equivalently if it spans the space. A convex cone is a cone that is a convex set. A set in a vector space is a convex cone if and only if it is closed under nonnegative linear combinations. A convex cone is **pointed** if it includes no lines. A ray A is an **extreme ray** of the cone C if it is a subset of C and if points on A cannot be written as a linear combination of linearly independent points in C, that is, if $x \in A$, x = y + z, $y, z \in C$ together imply that y and z are dependent. A finite cone is the convex cone generated by finitely many nonzero points. A finite cone has finitely many extreme rays, and a pointed finite cone is the convex hull of its extreme rays. Finally, the dual cone C^* of a cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

$$C^* = \{ p \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{m}} : p \cdot y \leqslant 0 \text{ for all } y \in C \}.$$

For a finite cone C (actually any closed convex cone), $C^{**} = C$. We shall use the following characterization of extreme rays of C^* :

Weyl's Facet Lemma Let C be a finite cone in \mathbf{R}^{m} generated by a_1, \ldots, a_n . Then a nonzero point $p \in C^* \subset \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$ is on an extreme ray of C^* if and only if $\{a_i : p \cdot a_i = 0\}$ has rank m - 1.

See, e.g., D. Gale [8], Theorem 2.16, p. 65] for a proof of this result. (Warning: He omits the requirement that p be nonzero from the statement, but not the proof.) Or see Theorems 11–12 in H. Weyl [13], which are stated in terms of facets of cones. Note that a consequence of this is that the dual cone of a finite cone is also a finite cone.

Example

Consider the finite convex cone C in \mathbb{R}^4 generated by the set $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_5\}$ where

$$a_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n \\ n^2 \\ n^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let A be the 4×5 matrix A with columns in A:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 & 25 \\ 1 & 8 & 27 & 64 & 125 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the cone C is just

$$C = \{Ax : x \ge 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that every subset of $\{a_1, \ldots, a_5\}$ of size four is linearly independent. Thus the cone C spans \mathbb{R}^4 , or in other words, it is generating. It is also easy to see that C is pointed (that is, it contains no lines, only half-lines), as it is a subset of the nonnegative cone.

I claim that the dual cone C^*

$$C^* = \{ p \in \boldsymbol{R}^4 : p \cdot y \leqslant 0 \text{ for all } y \in C \} = \{ p \in \boldsymbol{R}^4 : p'A \leqq 0 \}$$

is generated by the 6 points p_1, \ldots, p_6 that make up the 6 columns of the 4×6 matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_5 & p_6 \\ -60 & -30 & -10 & 6 & 12 & 20 \\ 47 & 31 & 17 & -11 & -19 & -29 \\ -12 & -10 & -8 & 6 & 8 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, $C^* = \{Pz : z \geq 0\}$. Moreover, I claim that the cone C has five extreme rays (generated by a_1, \ldots, a_5), and C^* has six extreme rays (generated by p_1, \ldots, p_6).

Proof

The cone C^* is the set of solutions p to the system of inequalities

$$p \cdot a_1 \leqslant 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$p \cdot a_5 \leqslant 0$$

We shall use Weyl's Lemma to find the extreme rays of C^* . In our example m=4 and n=5. We shall use the "brute force" approach and look at all subsets of $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_5\}$ of rank 3. Since any four vectors belonging to \mathcal{A} are linearly independent, a subset of \mathcal{A} has rank 3 if and only if it has three elements. Fortunately there are only $\binom{5}{3} = 10$ of these subsets, so it is feasible to enumerate them by hand. Each subset B of size three determines a one-dimensional subspace in \mathbb{R}^4 (a line) consisting of vectors orthogonal to each element of B (the **orthogonal complement** of B). It is straightforward to solve for this subspace, and I have done so. Points p_i taken from each of these ten lines are used for the columns of the 4×10 matrix

$$\hat{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_5 & p_6 & p_7 & p_8 & p_9 & p_{10} \\ -60 & -30 & -10 & 6 & 12 & 20 & -40 & -24 & -15 & -8 \\ 47 & 31 & 17 & -11 & -19 & -29 & 38 & 26 & 23 & 14 \\ -12 & -10 & -8 & 6 & 8 & 10 & -11 & -9 & -9 & -7 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Note that you have seen p_1, \ldots, p_6 before.) Now construct the 5×10 matrix whose elements are the inner products $p_i \cdot a_i$:

$$A'\hat{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_5 & p_6 & p_7 & p_8 & p_9 & p_{10} \\ -24 & -8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -12 & -6 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ a_4 & 0 & -2 & -6 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ a_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -24 & -8 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

For the first six columns, all the entries are nonpositive, so p_1, \ldots, p_6 each belong to C^* . However for columns 7 through 10, there are entries of both signs. This means that for $i = 7, \ldots, 10$, no nonzero multiple of p_j belongs to C^* .

Further inspection shows that

```
 \begin{cases} a_i: p_1 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_3, a_4, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_2 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_2, a_3, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_3 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_2, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_4 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \\ \{a_i: p_5 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_3, a_4\} \\ \{a_i: p_6 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_4, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_7 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_2, a_4, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_8 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_2, a_3, a_4\} \\ \{a_i: p_9 \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_3, a_5\} \\ \{a_i: p_{10} \cdot a_i = 0 \} &= \{a_1, a_2, a_4\} \end{cases}
```

This accounts for all subsets of $\{a_1, \ldots, a_5\}$ of rank 3. So by Weyl's Facet Lemma, it shows that C^* is generated by p_1, \ldots, p_6 , which lie on distinct extreme rays of C^* .

As an aside, you should verify that

confirming that a_1, \ldots, a_5 are on distinct extreme rays of $C^{**} = C$.

Notes on the example

The points a_1, \ldots, a_5 are multiples of five distinct nonzero points on the moment curve in \mathbb{R}^4 . The **moment curve** is the set of points of the form (t, t^2, t^3, t^4) , for $t \geq 0$. G. M. Ziegler [15], Example 0.6, pp. 10–13] describes a polytope based on the moment curve that suggested this example. I used T. Christof and A. Loebel's computer program PORTA [3], [4] to compute the dual cone and the facets of C. The program uses the **Fourier–Motzkin Elimination Algorithm** (see, e.g., G. M. Ziegler [15], § 1.2, pp. 32–39]) with extensions due to N. V. Chernikova [1], [2] to efficiently find the six extreme rays of C^* . That left me with only four subsets of rank 3 to find the orthogonal complement by hand. After finding two by hand, I used Mathematica 5.0 to compute p_7, \ldots, p_{10} and all the inner products $p_j \cdot a_i$, and its MatrixRank function to double check the ranks. Feel free to check any of these computations by hand.

References

- [1] N. V. Chernikova. 1964. Algorithm for finding a general formula for the non-negative solution of a system of linear equations. *U.S.S.R.* Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 4:151–158.
- [2] . 1965. Algorithm for finding a general formula for the non-negative solution of a system of linear inequalities. U.S.S.R. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 5:228–233.
- [3] T. Christof. 1991. Ein Verfahren zur Transformation zwischen Polyederdarstellungen [A method of switching between polyhedron descriptions]. Master's thesis, Universität Augsburg.
- [4] T. Christof and A. Loebel. 1997–2002. PORTA—a polyhedron representation transformation algorithm. Version 1.4.0; source code available from the University of Heidelberg.

 http://www.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/groups/comopt/software/PORTA/
- [5] G. B. Dantzig and B. C. Eaves. 1973. Fourier-Motzkin elimination and its dual. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 14(3):288-297.
 DOI: 10.1016/0097-3165(73)90004-6
- [6] G. Darboux, ed. 1890. Oeuvres de Jean-Baptiste Joseph Fourier, volume 2. Paris: Gauthier-Villars.
- [7] J.-B. J. Fourier. 1826. Solution d'une question particulière du calcul des inégalités [Solution of a particular problem in the calculus of inequalities]. Nouveau Bulletin des Sciences par la Société Philomathique de Paris pages 99–101. Reprinted in [6, pp. 317–319].
- [8] D. Gale. 1960. Theory of linear economic models. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber. 1951. Theory of convex polyhedral cones. In T. C. Koopmans, ed., Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation, number 13 in Cowles Commission for Research in Economics Monographs, chapter 18, pages 298–316. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

http://cowles.econ.yale.edu/P/cm/m13/m13-18.pdf

[10] A. J. Goldman and A. W. Tucker. 1956. Polyhedral convex cones. In H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, eds., *Linear Inequalities and Related Systems*, number 38 in Annals of Mathematics Studies, pages 19–40. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- [11] T. S. Motzkin. 1934. Beiträge zur Theorie der linearen Ungleichungen. PhD thesis, Universität Basel. It appears from citations I have seen that this was published in Jerusalem in 1936. Motzkin [12] gives 1934 as the date.
- [12] . 1951. Two consequences of the transposition theorem on linear inequalities. *Econometrica* 19(2):184–185.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/1905733

- [13] H. Weyl. 1935. Elementare Theorie der konvexen Polyeder. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 7:290–306. Translated in [14].
- [14] ———. 1950. The elementary theory of convex polyhedra. In H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, eds., Contributions to the Theory of Games, I, number 24 in Annals of Mathematics Studies, chapter 1, pages 3–18.

 Princeton: Princeton University Press. Translation by H. W. Kuhn of [13].
- [15] G. M. Ziegler. 1995. Lectures on polytopes. Number 152 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer-Verlag.