

COM1008: Web and Internet Technology

Agne Knietaitė

FINAL Mark (100%)	80	100
lateness penalty	0	
Final mark before lateness penalty	80	100

Stage 1: Planning and design document (7%)

General ethos, accessibility, legal issues; Site map; Design mockup diagrams; Menu design. Discussion and reasoning.

A low mark suggests that you need to think more carefully about what is required in creating a structured website and how to use RWD; A mark in the middle of the range suggests that you have some understanding of how to structure a website and/or how to use RWD. A high mark suggests you are well on your way to understanding how to use RWD to create structured Websites

13 14

Stage 2: Website (36%)

Look and feel and RWD includes: Consistent design of pages; Good contrast between text and background. Header/banner, a main content section and a footer on every web page. Each page should identify the web site in some way, e.g. using name or a logo. Footer should give authorship and date info. A navigation area should be included in the web site - is there visual feedback for the user? Is there a clear separation between the main areas of the web site? Is the interaction obvious and not clunky? Are all the requested pages/info included? The contact page must send e-mail to the student's own address, i.e. not my address!! Good use of RWD to give a professional look and feel. Menu works well for mobile and desktop versions. Good use of images on the web site.

15 20

HTML includes: Head includes a title and a meta element for the author's name. Use of html5 header, nav, main, (and section or article or aside, where appropriate) and footer for areas of a page. Is there a matching end tag in all elements? alt in imgs? Appearance should be handled by the linked stylesheets; no inline styles. Comments for main structural elements. Clear layout. Structured to cope with RWD aspects.

24 26

CSS includes: Stylesheet rules for page areas (e.g. menu) and economic use of properties. Any font-family should include a generic family name as its last value. RWD use of % for widths; Is the use of @media incorporated well? Is there a clear ordering for the rules, or is it a haphazard listing? Comments for rules. Overall, must be mobile-first.

18 26

Stage 3: Development and testing document (7%)

Description of the development process? Is there a statement of tools used, with reasoning, e.g. debugging? Were templates used? Optimisation and fallback strategies? Description of the general testing process? Were multiple browsers tested – is there evidence of this? RWD testing? Have the html and css validators been used – is there evidence of this?

A low mark suggests that you need to think more carefully about what is required in development and testing. A mark in the middle of the range suggests that you have some understanding of the process and some consideration of attention to detail.

10 14

A high mark suggests you are well on your way to understanding how to document and test the web sites you develop.

Overall

Comments

Good planning document. There should be more discussion of the site map.; Good general look but author name should be in footnote on home page. Good quiz page.; Good HTML quality but you should use main in the index page. Each page should use main.; General CSS quality is good but you have some repeated rules. ; Good structure of development and testing document but you could add some discussion about the use of http vs https. You also could add some evidence for the optimisation and testing sections.

What is good about this work: Good responsive design.

What needs to be done to make it better: A hidden menu for mobile could be better.