#### Econ 512 HW 2

Yinshi Gao yzg115

1.

Demand for product A, B and outside option:  $D_A = D_B = 0.4223, D_0 = 0.1554.$ 

# Program

```
% Define demand function

demand = @(v,p) exp([v-p;0])/sum(exp([v-p;0]));

% Compute demand
v = [2;2];
p = [1;1];
d = demand(v,p);

D_A = d(1) % Demand for product A
D_B = d(2) % Demand for product B
D_0 = d(3) % Demand for outside option
```

2. The starting value used for the Boyden's method is  $p_A = p_B = 1$ . After running the code, we know that the iteration number is smaller than maxit, so in this case, the convergence critia is the norm of the function falls below tol.

The equilibrium price is  $p_A = p_B = 1.5989$ .

### Program

```
v = [2;2];
% Initial guess of p = [p_A;p_B]
p_ini = [1;1];
% Call Broyden
addpath /Users/YinshiG/Desktop/'Econ 512'/Lectures/CEtools

tic % calculate the execution time for comparison in Problem_3
[x,fval,flag,it,fjacinv] = broyden(@(p) bertrand_foc(p,v),p_ini);
T_boyden = toc;
% Nash pricing equilibrium
p_nash = x
```

3.

During the running of the program, I calculated the execution time of the methods, and it turned out Guass-Seidel method (with inner secant method) was quicker than Boyden's method. This may due to the inverse matrix calculation in Boyden's method.

### **Program**

```
v = [2;2];
p_ini = [1;1];
tic
p_nash2 = gauseid(p_ini, v);
T_gauseid = toc;

% Compare the execution time between Boyden's method and Gauss-Seidel (with Secant % method in inner iteration)
T_boyden > T_gauseid
```

4. The method converges. The execution time it used was shorter than Boyden's method, and longer than Gauss-Seidel method.

### Program

```
v = [2;2];
% Still use Gauss-Seidel method for outer iteration
p_ini = [1;1];
tic
p_nash3 = update(p_ini, v);
T_update = toc;
```

## 5. Program

## Plot

