# **Project 2: Grading Rubric**

#### No Credit

- Non submitted assignments
- Assignments late by more than 24 hours (with or without tokens)
- Non compiling assignments
- Non-independent work
- "Hard coded" solutions
- Code that would win an obfuscated code competition with the rest of CS310 students

#### How will my assignment be graded?

- Grading will be divided into three portions:
  - o Manual/Automatic Testing (85%): To assess the correctness of programs.
  - O Style Checking (2%): Use the style checker to check code and comments styles.
  - o Manual Inspection (13%): A checklist of features your programs should exhibit. These comprise things that cannot be easily checked via unit tests such as good variable name selection, proper decomposition of a problem into multiple functions or cooperating objects, overall design elegance, and proper asymptotic complexity. These features will be checked by graders and assigned credit based on level of compliance. See the remainder of this document for more information.
- You CANNOT get points (even style/manual-inspection points) for code that doesn't compile or for submitting just the files given to you. You CAN get manual inspection points for code that (a) compiles and (b) is an "honest attempt" at the assignment, but does not pass any unit tests.
- "Off the top" points refer to items that will lose you points rather than earn you points.
- Extra credit for early submissions:
  - o 1% extra credit rewarded for every 24 hours your submission made before the due time;
  - o Up to 5% extra credit will be rewarded;
  - O Your latest submission before the due time will be used for grading and extra credit checking. You CANNOT choose which one counts.

### Manual/Automated Testing Rubric

For this assignment a portion of the automated testing will be based on JUnit tests and a manual run of your program. The JUnit tests used for grading will NOT be provided for you (you need to test your own programs!), but the tests will be based on what has been specified in the project description and the comments in the code templates. A breakdown of the point allocations is given below:

28 pts	BasicList	6 pts	SortedList
10 pts	FifoCache	13 pts	LruCache
13 pts	LfuCache	15 pts	BasicMap

"Off the Top" Points
Note: "Off the top" points are items that will *lose* you points rather than earn you points.

Up to -all points of the relevant class	Non-permitted importing of Java library or non-permitted use of fixed-sized arrays	
Up to -all points of the relevant class	Non-permitted editing of the provided code	
Up to -all points of the relevant class	Non-permitted data member or methods definition	
Always -5pts	Compiler Warnings OR using @SuppressWarnings in non-permitted places	

## Manual Code Inspection Rubric

Inspection Point	Points	High (all points)	Med (1/2 points)	Low (no points)
Coding Conventions and JavaDocs (in addition to style checking)	5pts	The entire code base is well documented with meaningful comments in JavaDoc format. Occasional in-method comments used for clarity.  [AND]  Code has good, meaningful variable, method, and class names.	The code base has some comments, but is lacking comments on some classes/methods/ fields or the comments given are mostly "translating" the code.  [AND/OR]  Names are mostly meaningful, but a few are unclear or ambiguous (to a human reader)	The only documentation is what was in the template and/or documentation is missing from the code (e.g. taken out).  [AND/OR]  Names often have single letter identifiers and/or incorrect/meaningless identifiers.  (Note: i/j/k acceptable for indexes.)
Big-O Requirements	8pts	The Big-O requirements for listed methods are met and/or exceeded. Code is very efficient.	The Big-O requirements are sometimes met and sometimes not. Code could be more efficient.	The Big-O requirements are never (or almost never) met. Code is extremely inefficient.