

Assignment Based on ATT&CK-KG

Level 1 — Easy (query warm-ups)

1. List all labels in the graph and their counts.
Use: `kg_labels()`, `kg_node_counts_by_label()`
Deliverable: top 10 labels by node count.
2. List all relationship types and their counts.
Use: `kg_relationship_types()`, `kg_relationship_counts()`
Deliverable: top 10 rel types by frequency.
3. What stix_type buckets exist under :Attack, and how many nodes in each?
Use: `kg_attack_stix_distribution()`
Deliverable: a small table of stix_type → count.
4. Show 10 sample :Attack nodes (any types).
Use: `kg_sample_nodes("Attack", limit=10)`
Deliverable: mini table with name, stix_type, id (or keys).
5. Print 20 technique names alphabetically.
Use: `list_techniques(limit=20)`
Deliverable: 20 technique names.
6. Print 20 intrusion-set (group) names alphabetically.
Use: `list_groups(limit=20)`
7. Print 20 software names (with kind = tool/malware).
Use: `list_software(limit=20)`
8. Print all ATT&CK tactics (x-mitre-tactic) shortnames.
Use: `list_tactics()`
9. Print 20 mitigation names.
Use: `list_mitigations(limit=20)`
10. What key ATT&CK links exist in this dataset (uses/mitigates/subtechnique-of/in_tactic)?
Use: `kg_relytype_property_counts()`, `kg_check_link_presence()`

Level 2 — Multistep (analytics & joins)

- 1. Software overlap for a technique name** (e.g., “malicious file”).
Use: `diagnose_Q1(term="malicious file")` and/or `list_groups_using_attack_pattern(...)`,
`list_software_used_by_those_groups(...)`
Deliverable: software used by multiple groups that also use the matched technique(s);
show software, kind, group_count, groups (sample).
- 2. Top-3 techniques for a group by software implementations + mitigations** (e.g., “APT28”).
Use: fixed version of `Q2_top_3_tech_mitigations_for_group("APT28")` Deliverable:
technique, software_count, mitigations (list, can be empty).
- 3. Most-used sub-techniques under the “execution” tactic and who uses them.**
Hint: filter techniques in the execution tactic via `IN_TACTIC`, then count groups on
`ATTACK_REL {rel_type:'uses'}`.
Deliverable: sub-technique name, number of groups, top 5 groups.
- 4. Shared tactics between two very different software families** (e.g., “rar” and “PsExec”).
Use: `software_tactics("rar")`, `software_tactics("psexec")`, then
`Q4_shared_tactics_between_software("rar","psexec")`
Deliverable: intersecting tactics + example techniques from each side.
- 5. Unmitigated software risks.**
Goal: find software → techniques that (a) have no mitigates from any course-of-action
and (b) are used by at least one group.
Hint: `NOT ((:Attack {stix_type:'course-of-action'})-[:ATTACK_REL {rel_type:'mitigates'}]->(tech))` and existence of `(grp:intrusion-set)-[:ATTACK_REL {rel_type:'uses'}]->(tech)` and `(soft:tool|malware)-[:ATTACK_REL {rel_type:'uses'}]->(tech)`.
Deliverable: software, technique, example_group.
- 6. Technique → Tactic coverage for a given group** (e.g., APT29).
Goal: for techniques used by the group, list the unique tactics they belong to.
Deliverable: tactic, techniques_count, example_techniques.

Level 3 — Graph-algorithm flavored

1. **Centrality of techniques** (influence/pivot points).

Intent: PageRank on a bipartite-ish subgraph (groups ↔ techniques ↔ software) where edges are ATTACK_REL {rel_type:'uses'}.

GDS path: project a graph of intrusion-set, attack-pattern, tool, malware with uses edges; run PageRank; return top 20 attack-pattern.

Fallback: degree-like proxy — rank techniques by number of distinct (groups + software) attached.

Deliverable: top 20 techniques with score.

2. **Communities (“attack kits”) of groups+software+techniques.**

Intent: Louvain (if GDS available).

Fallback: your “connected components over uses” approach (GA3_communities_uses_fast(...)) that seeds from groups and expands uses up to N hops; dedupe components; summarize each community.

Deliverable: top 10 communities by size; show counts per type and sample members.

3. **Link prediction (what techniques might a group adopt next?).**

Use: the fixed GA4_link_prediction_for_group("APT1") where the degree subquery is corrected (no AS st on a pattern).

Approach: Adamic-Adar-like weight from group’s known software to candidate techniques not yet linked; optional “other groups” signal.

Deliverable: top 15 predicted techniques with scores.