

**Problem 1. Unimodal Array Maximum.** You are given an array  $A = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$  of  $n$  distinct numbers that is *unimodal*, that is, for some index  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the values in the array entries increase up to index  $p$  and then decrease from index  $p + 1$  till index  $n$ . The problem is to find the peak entry  $p$  by reading as few array entries as possible. Show how to find the peak index  $p$  in time  $O(\log n)$ .

*Note.* 1. Use a divide and conquer approach, taking cue from the fact that the solution to the recurrence equation  $T(n) = T(n/2) + \Theta(1)$  is  $T(n) = O(\log n)$ . Design an algorithm so that after a constant amount of work, you can discard one half of the current sub-array, as in binary search. 2. If you were to plot a unimodal array, with array indices  $j$  on the  $x$ -axis and array entries  $A[j]$  on the  $y$ -axis, the plotted points will rise until  $x$ -value  $p$  where it attains a maximum, and then falls from thereon.

**Problem 2. Counting Significant Inversions.** Given an array  $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  of  $n$  integers, we say that a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  is a *significant inversion* if  $a_i > 2a_j$ . Give an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm to compute the number of significant inversions in  $A$ .

**Problem 3. Median of union of two sorted arrays.** Given two arrays  $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  and  $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$  that are each individually sorted in increasing order. Assume that the numbers in  $A \cup B$  are all distinct. Find the median of  $A \cup B$  in time  $O(\log n)$ .

**Problem 4. Hadamard Matrices.** Hadamard matrices  $H_n$  are square  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrices and are defined as follows.

1.  $H_0$  is the  $1 \times 1$  matrix  $[1]$ .

2. For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $H_k$  is the  $2^k \times 2^k$  matrix  $H_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} H_{k-1} & H_{k-1} \\ H_{k-1} & -H_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$

Show that if  $v$  is a column vector of length  $n = 2^k$ , then the matrix-vector product  $H_k v$  can be calculated in  $O(n \log n)$  operations. Assume that the numbers in  $v$  are small enough so that basic arithmetic operations like addition and multiplication take unit time. (*Note.* An interesting property of the Hadamard matrices is that it is (real) orthonormal, that is,  $H_k^T H_k = I$ , analogous to the DFT matrix  $F_n$ .)

**Problem 5. Longest increasing contiguous subarray.** Given an array  $A[1, \dots, n]$ , a subarray  $A[p \dots q]$  is said to be an increasing contiguous subarray if  $A[p] < A[p + 1] < A[p + 2] < \dots < A[q]$  and is of length  $q - p + 1$ . The problem is to find the length of the *longest* increasing contiguous subarray. (*Note:* A divide and conquer approach similar to the maximum contiguous subarray sum problem can be designed to work in  $O(n \log n)$  time. )

(*Note 2:* For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $L[i]$  denote the length of the longest increasing contiguous subarray ending at  $i$ . Then, the following recurrence equation holds  $L[i + 1] = L[i] + 1$  if  $L[i + 1] > L[i]$ ; otherwise,  $L[i + 1] = 1$  (corresponding to the singleton subarray  $[i + 1, \dots, i + 1]$ ). This dynamic programming algorithm takes time  $\Theta(n)$ .)

**Problem 6. Divide and Conquer: Monge Arrays** [Problem 4-6 from CLRS.] An  $m \times n$  array  $A$  of real numbers is a *Monge array* if for all  $i, j, k$  and  $l$  such that  $1 \leq i < k \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j < l \leq n$ , we have,

$$A[i, j] + A[k, l] \leq A[i, l] + A[k, j] .$$

In other words, whenever we pick two rows and two columns of a Monge array and consider the four elements at the intersections of the rows and columns, the sum of the upper-left and lower-right elements is less than or equal to the sum of the lower-left and upper-right elements.

- a. Prove that an array is Monge if and only if for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , we have,

$$A[i, j] + A[i+1, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[i+1, j]$$

(Hint: For the “if” part, use induction separately on rows and columns.)

- b. Let  $f(i)$  be the index of the column containing the leftmost minimum element of row  $i$ . Prove that for any  $m \times n$  Monge array,  $f(1) \leq f(2) \leq \dots \leq f(m)$ .
- c. The following describes a divide-and-conquer algorithm that computes the leftmost minimum element in each row of an  $m \times n$  Monge array  $A$ :

Construct a submatrix  $A'$  of  $A$  consisting of the even-numbered rows of  $A$ . Recursively determine the leftmost minimum for each row of  $A'$ . Then compute the leftmost minimum in the odd numbered rows of  $A$ .

Explain how to compute the leftmost minimum in the odd-numbered rows of  $A$  (given that the leftmost minimum of the even-numbered rows is known) in  $O(m+n)$  time.

- d. Write the recurrence describing the running time of the algorithm described in part (d). Show that its solution is  $O(m+n \log m)$ .

**Problem 7. Closest pair of points in 2-dimensions.** Given  $n$  points in the plane, the problem is to find the pair that is the closest in terms of Euclidean distance. Design an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm for this problem.

*Note.* You may assume that each point is a pair  $(x, y)$  and has an id (between  $1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Let  $P$  be an array of points. Assume also that the Euclidean distance between two points can be calculated in constant time. Note that an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm is trivial, since one checks the distance between all  $\binom{n}{2}$  pairs of points and returns the pair that has the minimum distance. The  $O(n \log n)$  time algorithm is a divide and conquer algorithm with a careful geometric analysis for the combine phase. A solution may be found in the text CLRS Section 33.4.

The overall approach is the following. Let  $P_x$  be a copy of  $P$  sorted on the  $x$ -coordinate and  $P_y$  be a copy of  $P$  sorted on the  $y$  coordinate. The two copies are mutually synced in the following sense (or an equivalent implementation of it). For every point  $p$  in  $P_x$ , there is a field of  $p$  that points to the copy of  $p$  in  $P_y$  and vice-versa. Partition  $P$  by the  $x$  coordinate into two equal halves  $Q$  (the left half) and  $R$  (the right half).  $Q$  contains the first  $n/2$  (actually,  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ ) points (by  $x$ -coordinate) of  $P_x$  and  $R$  contains the remaining  $n/2$  ( $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ) points. Now in  $O(n)$  time, using the mutual syncing of  $P_x$  and  $P_y$ , in  $O(n)$  time, we can create four lists  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$ , which are points in  $Q$  sorted by

$x$  and  $y$  coordinates respectively and mutually synced, and lists  $R_x$  and  $R_y$ , which are points in  $R$  sorted by  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates respectively and mutually synced. Let  $L$  be a vertical line passing through the  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  th point, that is the point with the highest  $x$ -coordinate in  $Q$ .

Now recursively determine the closest pair of points in  $Q$  (using the lists  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$ ) and  $R$  respectively. Let  $q_0^*, q_1^*$  be returned as the closest pair in  $Q$  and  $r_0^*, r_1^*$  be returned as the closest pair in  $R$ . Let  $\delta$  be the minimum of  $d(q_0^*, q_1^*)$  and  $d(r_0^*, r_1^*)$ . This value of  $\delta$  is the closest pair distance unless there is a closer pair  $(q, r)$ ,  $q \in Q$  and  $r \in R$ , where,  $d(q, r) < \delta$ . We only need to look for “cross-pairs”  $(q, r)$ .

Show that it suffices to look at only point pairs  $(q, r)$  that lie in a  $2\delta$ -band, where,  $q \in Q$  is at a distance of at most  $\delta$  from  $L$  (to its left) and  $r \in R$  is within at most a distance of  $\delta$  from  $L$  (to its right).

We can now delete from  $Q$  all points that are at a distance greater than  $\delta$  to the left of the line  $L$  and similarly, drop all points of  $R$  that are at a distance greater than  $\delta$  to the right of  $L$ . This can be done in time  $O(n)$  and leaves the remaining points in  $Q$  and  $R$  sorted by  $y$  coordinate. Let  $S = Q \cup R$ , after the deletions have been done. Merge  $S$  into a single sorted list by  $y$ -coordinate in  $O(n)$  time.

Now (the meticulous part), show that if  $s, s' \in S$  satisfying  $d(s, s') < \delta$ , then,  $s$  and  $s'$  are within 15 positions of each other in the sorted list  $S_y$ . (Here, 15 is used to refer to an absolute constant, CLRS argues it down to 7). Hence, the merging can be done in time  $O(n)$ .