

# Algebraic Topology Notes

Alex Goodlad

November 16, 2020

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Singular Homology Review</b>	<b>2</b>
	The Eilenberg-Steenrod Axioms . . . . .	2
	CW Complexes and Simplicial Complexes . . . . .	2
	Chain Complexes, Tensor, and Hom . . . . .	3
	Chain Complexes Associated to Spaces: Singular Theory . . . . .	5
	Chain Complexes Associated to Spaces: Simplicial and Cellular Theory . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Homological Algebra</b>	<b>6</b>
	Derived Functors, Tor, and Ext . . . . .	6
	Projective and Injective Modules . . . . .	8
	The Fundamantal Lemma of Homological Algebra . . . . .	9
	Existence of Derived Functors . . . . .	11
	Universal Coefficients Theorems . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Topological Products</b>	<b>12</b>
	Tensor Product and Hom of Chain Complexes . . . . .	12
	The Kunneth Theorem . . . . .	12
	The Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem . . . . .	12
	The Alexander-Whitney and Shuffle Maps . . . . .	12
	Products and Pairings . . . . .	12
	Acyclic Models and the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Fibre Bundles</b>	<b>13</b>
	Group Actions . . . . .	13
	Fiber Bundles . . . . .	13
	Examples of Fiber Bundles . . . . .	13
	Principal Bundles . . . . .	13
	Maps of Fiber Bundles . . . . .	13
	Reduction of Structure Group . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Homology with Local Coefficients</b>	<b>14</b>
	Homology with Twisted Coefficients . . . . .	14
	Examples and Basic Properties of Homology with Twisted Coefficients . . . . .	14
	Homology with Local Coefficients . . . . .	14
	Functoriality of Homology with Local Coefficients . . . . .	14

# 1 Singular Homology Review

## § The Eilenberg-Steenrod Axioms

## § CW Complexes and Simplicial Complexes

**Definition 1.1.** A relative CW complex is a map  $A \rightarrow X$  together with a filtration  $X^{-1} \subset X^0 \subset X^1 \subset \cdots \subset X$  (called the *skeletal filtration*) such that

- $X^{-1} = A$ .
- Each  $X^n$  is formed from  $X^{n-1}$  by attaching  $n$ -cells.
- $X$  has the topology of the union: A set closed in  $X$  if and only if the restriction to each  $X^n$  is closed.

A CW complex is a relative CW complex with  $X^{-1} = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a CW complex and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ .  $A$  is a *subcomplex* of  $X$  means that it is a union of closed cells in  $X$  with the property that if an  $n$ -cell of  $A$  intersects the relative interior of a lower dimensional closed cell of  $X$ , then it contains the entire closed lower dimensional cell. Equivalently,  $A$  is a CW complex with its set of cells a subset of the cells of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be CW complexes. A cellular map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map that preserves the skeletal filtration:  $f(X^n) \subset Y^n$ .

**Definition 1.4.** An *abstract simplicial complex* consists of a set  $V$  and a set  $S$  of non-empty finite subsets of  $V$ , containing all singleton subsets and satisfying the condition that if  $\sigma \in S$  then all non-empty subsets of  $\sigma$  are in  $S$ .

- The elements of  $V$  are called vertices.
- The elements of  $S$  are called simplices.
- $\sigma \in S$  is an  $n$ -simplex means that it is an  $n + 1$  element subset of  $V$ .
- An ordered abstract simplicial complex is an abstract simplicial complex  $(V, S)$  together with a total ordering of  $V$ .
- A map of abstract simplicial complexes  $(V, S) \rightarrow (V', S')$  is a map of sets  $V \rightarrow V'$  such that  $S$  loads in  $S'$  under the induced map of power sets.

**Example 1.5.** The standard  $n$ -Simplex is defined as follows:

$\Delta[n] : V_{\Delta[n]} = \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $S_{\Delta[n]} = \text{all finite subsets}$ .  
Face maps  $\partial_i : \Delta[n-1] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$

$$0, \dots, n-1 \mapsto 0, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, n$$

$\Delta[n] \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , standard basis  $e_0, \dots, e_n$ , Barycentric coordinate  $t_0 e_0 + \dots + t_n e_n$ ,  $t_0, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ ,  $t_0 + \dots + t_n = 1$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $X = (V_X, S_X)$  be an abstract simplicial complex. Let  $\mathbb{R}(V_X)$  denote the real vector space with basis  $V_X$ . For  $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$  an  $n$ -simplex of  $X$ , let  $|\sigma|$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}(\sigma) \subset \mathbb{R}(V_X)$  (with its topology) of elements of the form  $t_0 v_0 + \dots + t_n v_n$  with  $t_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , and  $t_0 + \dots + t_n = 1$ . We call  $|\sigma|$  the geometric  $n$ -simplex of  $X$ .

Let  $|X|$  be the subset of  $\mathbb{R}(V_X)$  consisting of the union of the geometric  $n$ -simplices, with the union topology: A subset of  $|X|$  is closed if and only if its restriction to each geometric  $n$ -simplex is closed.

## § Chain Complexes, Tensor, and Hom

### Chain Complexes:

$\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (homological grading)

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} A_n \xrightarrow{d} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \quad d \circ d = 0$$

(cohomological grading)

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d} B^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} B^n \xrightarrow{B^n} B^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \quad d \circ d = 0$$

Switch: Set  $B^n = A_{-n}$  or  $A_n = B^{-n}$ .

### Homology of Chain Complex:

$$H_n(A_*) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d: A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1})}{\text{Img}(d: A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n)} \text{ or } H^n(B^*) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d: B^n \rightarrow B^{n+1})}{\text{Img}(d: B^{n-1} \rightarrow B^n)}$$

If  $B^* = A_{-*}$ , then  $H^*(B^*) = H_{-*}(A_*)$

### Map of Chain Complexes

A map of a chain complexes  $A_* \rightarrow A'_*$  consists of maps of abelian groups  $A_n \rightarrow A'_n$  for all  $n$  that commute with  $d$ .

### Tensor Product:

Context:  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $M, N$  are  $R$ -modules

Construction:

$$M \otimes_R N = \frac{F(M \times N)}{R(M, N)},$$

where  $F(M \times N)$  is the free  $R$ -module on the set  $M \times N$  and  $R(M, N)$  is the submodule of  $F(M \times N)$  generated by

- $(a_1 + a_2, b) - (a_1, b) - (a_2, b)$  for all  $a_1, a_2 \in M, b \in N$
- $(a, b_1 + b_2) - (a, b_1) - (a, b_2)$  for all  $a \in M, b_1, b_2 \in N$
- $r(a, b) - (ra, b)$  for all  $a \in M, b \in N, r \in R$
- $r(a, b) - (a, rb)$  for all  $a \in M, b \in N, r \in R$

Canonical map of sets  $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ . Image of  $(a, b)$  in  $M \otimes_R N$  denoted  $a \otimes b$ .

## Bilinear Map

Let  $P$  be an  $R$ -module. A bilinear map  $\phi: M \times N \rightarrow P$  is a map of sets such that

- $\phi(a_1 + a_2, b) = \phi(a_1, b) + \phi(a_2, b)$  for all  $a_1, a_2 \in M, b \in N$
- $\phi(a, b_1 + b_2) = \phi(a, b_1) + \phi(a, b_2)$  for all  $a \in M, b_1, b_2 \in N$
- $\phi(ra, b) = r\phi(a, b) = \phi(a, rb)$  for all  $a \in M, b \in N, r \in R$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** Let  $\phi: M \times N \rightarrow P$  be a bilinear map. Then there is a unique  $R$ -module map  $\tilde{\phi}: M \otimes_R N \rightarrow P$  such that  $\phi$  is the composite  $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow P$ .

## Some Basic Properties:

- $M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$
- $R \otimes_R M \cong M$
- $(\bigoplus M_\alpha) \otimes_R N \cong \bigoplus (M_\alpha \otimes_R N)$
- $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R P \cong M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R P)$
- $\otimes_R$  is a functor  $R\text{-Mod} \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$
- For fixed  $N$ , the functor  $(-) \otimes N$  is right exact.

An additive functor  $F(A) \oplus F(B) \cong F(A \oplus B)$  preserve split exact sequences.

## The Functor Hom:

Context:  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $M, N$  are  $R$ -modules  
 $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  has the canonical structure of an  $R$ -module:

- $(f + g)(m) := f(m) + g(m)$
- $(rf)(m) = rf(m) = f(rm)$

## Properties of Hom

- $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$
- $\text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus M_\alpha, N) \cong \prod \text{Hom}_R(M_\alpha, N)$
- $\text{Hom}_R(M, \prod N_\alpha) \cong \prod \text{Hom}_R(M, N_\alpha)$
- $\text{Hom}_R$  is a functor  $R\text{-Mod}^{op} \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ .

For fixed  $N$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$  is left exact

For fixed  $M$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  is left exact

### **The Tensor-Hom Adjunction**

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, P))$$

Let  $F(-) = (-) \otimes_R N$ ,  $G = \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, -)$ ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(F(M), P) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, G(P))$$

### **Tensor and Hom on Chain Complexes**

Let  $C_*$  be a chain complex of  $R$ -modules

Construction:

$C_* \otimes_R M$  is the chain complex with abelian groups (or  $R$ -modules)

$$(C_* \otimes_R M)_n = C_n \otimes_R M$$

and differential  $d(c \otimes m) := d(c) \otimes m$

$\mathrm{Hom}_R(C_*, M)$  is the chain complex with abelian groups (or  $R$ -modules)

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(C_*, M)^n = \mathrm{Hom}(C_n, M)$$

and differential  $df = -(-1)^n f \circ d$  in dimension  $n$  (to dimension  $n + 1$ )

We can check and see these differentials have our desired properties.

## **§ Chain Complexes Associated to Spaces: Singular Theory**

## **§ Chain Complexes Associated to Spaces: Simplicial and Cellular Theory**

# 2 Homological Algebra

## § Derived Functors, Tor, and Ext

**Definition 2.1.**

1. A functor  $F$  between module categories is a *right exact functor*. Then for every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

we get an exact sequence

$$F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

2. A contravariant functor  $G$  between module categories. We say that  $F$  is a *left exact functor* if for every SES  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(A).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $F$  be a right exact functor from a module category to a module category.  $F$  is a *left derived functor* if there exist functors  $L^n F, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and natural transformations  $L^n F(C) \rightarrow L^{n-1} F(A)$  associated to a SES satisfying

- $L^0 F = F$  (or at least naturally isomorphic)

- For  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  SES, the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow L^n F(A) \rightarrow L^n F(B) \rightarrow L^n F(C) \rightarrow L^{n-1} F(A) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L^1 F(C) \rightarrow L^0 F(A) \rightarrow L^0 F(B) \rightarrow L^0 F(C) \rightarrow 0$$

is long exact.

- If  $M$  is free then  $L^n F(M) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

Moreover, the system of functors and natural transformation satisfying the four conditions is unique up to unique compatible isomorphism.

Moreover, the system is natural in maps (= natural transformations) of right exact functors  $F$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Define  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(-, M) := L^n((-) \otimes_R M)$  or  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, -) := L^n(M \otimes_R (-))$

Bifunctor:  $R\text{-Mod} \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$

*Note:*

For  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N) = 0$ , for  $n > 1$  and we write  $\text{Tor}(M, N)$  for  $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$

More generally, if  $R$  is a PID, then  $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $n > 1$  and if  $R$  is a field, then  $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

Let's compute  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/a, -)$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow {}_aR \xrightarrow{\subseteq} R \xrightarrow{\cdot a} R \xrightarrow{R} R/a \rightarrow 0$$

Notation  ${}_aM = \{m \in M | am = 0\}$ . Assume  ${}_aR = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^R(R, M) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{Tor}_1^R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/a, M) \rightarrow R/a \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0 \\ R/a \otimes_R M \cong M/a, \quad \text{Tor}_1^R(R/a, M) \cong {}_aM, \quad \text{Tor}_n^R(R/a, M) = 0 \text{ for } n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F$  be a contravariant left exact functor from a module category to a module category. For a SES  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$$

Can we continue it to the right?

**Proposition 2.4.** We say that  $F$  is a *contravariant right derived functor* if there exist functors  $R^n F, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and natural transformations  $R^{n-1}F(A) \rightarrow R^n F(C)$  associated to a SES satisfying

- $R^0 F = F$  (or naturally isomorphic)

- For  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  SES, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^0 F(C) \rightarrow R^0 F(B) \rightarrow R^0 F(A) \rightarrow R^1 F(C) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R^{n-1} F(A) \rightarrow R^n F(C) \rightarrow R^n F(B) \rightarrow R^n F(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is long exact

- If  $M$  is free then  $R^n F(M) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

Moreover, the system of functors and natural transformations satisfying the above properties is unique up to unique isomorphism. Moreover, the system is natural in maps (= natural transformations) of contravariant left exact functors  $F$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Define  $\text{Ext}_R^n(-, N) := R^n(\text{Hom}_R(-, N))$  Bifunctor:  $R\text{-Mod}^{op} \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ .

*Note:*



For  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(M, N) = 0$  for  $n > 1$  and we write  $\text{Ext}(M, N)$  for  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(M, N)$

More generally, if  $R$  is a PID, then  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$  for  $n > 1$  and if  $R$  is a field, then  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

Let's compute  $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/a, -)$  in case when  ${}_aR = 0$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\times a} R \rightarrow R/a \rightarrow 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/a, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \\ \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/a, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R, M) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \text{Hom}_R(R/a, M) \cong {}_aM, \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(R/a, M) \cong M/a, \quad \text{Ext}_R^n(R/a, M) = 0 \text{ for } n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

## § Projective and Injective Modules

We left off with the questions: What about the derived functors of  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ ?

Let  $F$  be a contravariant left-exact functor.  $F$  takes SES  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  to exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C)$$

Natural continuation to the right? Not necessarily exact on free modules:  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ .  
 $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p, -)$  applied to  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow 0.$$

What plays the roles of free modules?

SES  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , if  $C$  is free, then sequence splits; more generally, given  $P$  free and  $f: P \rightarrow C$ , then  $f$  lifts a map  $P \rightarrow B$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A module  $F$  is *projective* if given any epimorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  and any map  $\beta: P \rightarrow B$ , there exists a map  $\gamma: P \rightarrow A$  such that  $\beta = \alpha \circ \gamma$

“The problem has a solution.”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \downarrow \beta & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} B & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

*Observations:*

- A direct summand of a projective module is projective, i.e.  $P \oplus Q$  projective  $\implies P$  and  $Q$  projective.

- A module  $P$  is projective if and only if it is the direct summand of a free module, i.e., if and only if there exists  $Q$  such that  $P \oplus Q$  is free.
- A projective module is finitely generated if and only if it is the direct summand of a free module.
- A module  $P$  is projective if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$  is exact.

**Definition 2.6.** A module  $Q$  is *injective* if given any monomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  and any map  $f: A \rightarrow Q$ , there exists a map  $g: B \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f = g \circ \alpha$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \\ & & \downarrow f & \nearrow g & \\ & & Q & & \end{array}$$

Equivalently: A module  $Q$  is injective if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is exact.

*Examples:*

- In  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is injective
- In  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules,  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , any injective, any “divisible” abelian group is injective (if and only if)
- In  $R$ -modules,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  are injective
- A product of injective  $R$ -modules is injective

**Theorem 2.7.** A  $R$ -module  $M$  is injective if and only if for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , any map of  $R$ -modules  $I \rightarrow M$  extends to a map of  $R$ -modules  $R \rightarrow M$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A *projective resolution* of  $M$  consists of a chain complex  $P$ , and a map  $\epsilon: P_0 \rightarrow M$  such that

- $P_n$  is projective for all  $n$  and  $P_n = 0$  for  $n < 0$
- $H_n(P_*) = 0$  for  $n > 0$
- The map  $\epsilon$  induces an isomorphism  $H_0(P_*) \rightarrow M$

**Definition 2.9.** An *injective resolution* of  $M$  consists of a chain complex  $Q^*$  and a map  $\eta: M \rightarrow Q^0$  such that

- $Q^n$  is injective for all  $n$  and  $Q^n = 0$  for  $n < 0$  (cohomological degree)
- $H^n(Q_*) = 0$  for  $n > 0$
- The map  $\eta$  induces a morphism  $M \cong H^0(Q^*)$ .

## § The Fundamental Lemma of Homological Algebra

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $P_*$  be a complex of projectives that is zero below (homological) degree zero, let  $C_*$  be an almost acyclic complex, and let  $\phi: H_0(P_*) \rightarrow H_0(C_*)$  be a homomorphism. Then there exists a map of complex  $\Phi: P_* \rightarrow C_*$  that induces  $\phi$  on  $H_0$ ; moreover,  $\phi$  is unique up to chain homotopy.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $Q^*$  be a complex of injectives that is zero below (cohomological) degree zero, let  $C^*$  be an almost acyclic complex, and let  $\phi: H^0(C^*) \rightarrow H^0(Q^*)$  be a homomorphism. Then there exists a map of complexes  $\phi: C^* \rightarrow Q^*$  that induces  $\phi$  on  $H^0$ ; moreover,  $\phi$  is unique up to chain homotopy.

### Review of Chain Homotopies:

Given a chain complex  $C_*$ , define  $C_* \otimes I = C_* \otimes \Delta_*(\Delta[1])$  to be the chain complex

$$(C_* \otimes I)_n = C_n \oplus C_n \oplus C_{n-1} \quad \text{write } (x, y, z) \text{ as } x \otimes [0] + y \otimes [1] + z \otimes [I]$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \otimes [0]) &= (dx) \otimes [0], & d(y \otimes [1]) &= (dy) \otimes [1], \\ d(z \otimes [I]) &= (dx) \otimes [I] - (-1)^{|z|} z \otimes [0] + (-1)^{|z|} z \otimes [0] + (-1)^{|z|} z \otimes [1]. \end{aligned}$$

A map of chain complexes  $\Phi_*: C_* \otimes I \rightarrow D_*$  consists of maps of modules

$$\begin{aligned} f_n: C_n &\rightarrow D_n & f_n(x) &:= \phi_n(x \otimes [1]) \\ g_n: C_n &\rightarrow D_n & g_n(x) &:= \phi_n(x \otimes [0]) \\ h_n: C_n &\rightarrow D_{n+1} & h_n(z) &:= \phi_{n+1}(z \otimes [I]) \end{aligned}$$

such that  $f_*$  and  $g_*$  are chain maps and  $h_*$  satisfies

$$d(h_n(z)) = h_{n-1}(dz) + (-1)^n (f_n(z) - g_n(z)).$$

(if and only if) Let  $S_n := (-1)^n h_n$ . Then the last equation is equivalent to

$$d \circ S_n + S_{n-1} \circ d = f_n - g_n.$$

So a map  $C_* \otimes I \rightarrow D$  contains exactly the data of two chain maps  $f, g: C_* \rightarrow D_*$  and a chain homotopy between them.

$C_* \otimes I$  comes with maps  $C_* \oplus C_* \rightarrow C_* \otimes I$  and  $C_* \otimes I \rightarrow C_*$ .

### The Fundamental Lemma of Homological Algebra after Quillen

**Theorem 2.12.** given a commutative (solid arrow) diagram of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_* & \longrightarrow & X_* \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ B_* & \longrightarrow & Y_* \end{array}$$

such that

- $A_* \rightarrow B_*$  is a monomorphism with cokernel projective and bounded below, and
- $X_* \rightarrow Y_*$  an epimorphism and quasi-isomorphism; Then there exists a map  $B_* \rightarrow X_*$  making the diagram commute.

**Bounded below:**  $C_*$  is *bounded below* means there exists  $N$  such that  $C_n = 0$  for  $n < N$ .

### Application to the Original Fundamental Lemma

Let  $A_* = 0$ ,  $B_* = P_*$  projective in all degrees and zero in negative degrees.

Let  $X_* = C_*$  be almost acyclic. WLOG  $X_*$  is zero in negative degrees..

Let  $Y_* = H_0(C_*)$  concentrated in degree zero, with zero differentials.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_* \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \phi_* & \downarrow \\
 P_* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_0(P_*) \xrightarrow[\phi]{} H_0(C_*)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 P_* \oplus P_* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_* \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \phi_* & \downarrow \\
 P_* \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_0(P_*) \xrightarrow[\phi]{} H_0(C_*)
 \end{array}$$

NOTE: There is a “reverse arrow” way to write this by referring the arrows and replacing “projective” with “injective”.

## § Existence of Derived Functors

## § Universal Coefficients Theorems

# 3 Topological Products

§ Tensor Product and Hom of Chain Complexes

§ The Kunneth Theorem

§ The Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem

§ The Alexander-Whitney and Shuffle Maps

§ Products and Pairings

§ Acyclic Models and the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem

# 4 Fibre Bundles

§ Group Actions

§ Fiber Bundles

§ Examples of Fiber Bundles

§ Principal Bundles

§ Maps of Fiber Bundles

§ Reduction of Structure Group

# 5 Homology with Local Coefficients

§ Homology with Twisted Coefficients

§ Examples and Basic Properties of Homology with Twisted Coefficients

§ Homology with Local Coefficients

§ Functoriality of Homology with Local Coefficients