gb v ls

February 5, 2024

```
[]: # Import libraries
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     import sklearn.model_selection as sms
     import sklearn.linear_model as slm
     import sklearn.preprocessing as skp
     import sklearn.metrics as sme
     import sklearn.feature_selection as skf
     import sklearn.ensemble as ske
     import sklearn.utils as sku
     import sklearn.decomposition as skd
     import sklearn.neural_network as skn
     from sklearnex import patch sklearn, config context
     from sklearn.cluster import DBSCAN
     import numpy as np
     import scipy.stats as stats
     from IPython.display import HTML
     import util
     from scipy.spatial import cKDTree
     import loss landscapes
     import loss_landscapes.metrics
     import copy
     from torchviz import make_dot
     from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor
     patch_sklearn()
```

Intel(R) Extension for Scikit-learn* enabled (https://github.com/intel/scikitlearn-intelex)

For samples $i \in N$ and features $j \in p$ with targets y_i , the optimal features β_{ij} can be determined by minimizing a loss function l(y, f(X)). The matrix X is formed by standardizing the feature vectors such that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{ij} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{ij}^2 = 1$. Then, solving for the optimal weights (least absolute shrinkage operator, LASSO) amounts to minimizing the negative likelihood of observing (y_i, X_i) , or

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(y_i, f(X_i)) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j|$$

Gradient boosting models the prediction as a weighted sum of base learners \$h_i(x_i) such that

 $f(X) = \beta_0 + h_1(x_1) + ... + h_p(x_p)$. The optimal learner combination is

$$\hat{f}^{m}(X) = \hat{f}^{m-1}(X) + \nu \cdot \hat{h}_{j^{*}}^{m}(x_{j^{*}}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad j^{*} = \operatorname{argmin}_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\left(-\frac{\partial l(y_{i}, f(X_{i}))}{\partial f} \right) \Big|_{f=f^{m-1}(X_{i})} - \hat{h}_{j}^{m}(x_{ij}) \right)^{2}$$

Below, feature vectors x and labels y are loaded and $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ is generated

```
[]: # Get case IDs
    case_list = open('/home/ali/RadDBS-QSM/data/docs/cases_90','r')
    lines = case_list.read()
    lists = np.loadtxt(case_list.name,comments="#",__

→delimiter=",",unpack=False,dtype=str)
    case id = \Pi
    for lines in lists:
        case id.append(lines[-9:-7])
    # Load scores
    file_dir = '/home/ali/RadDBS-QSM/data/docs/QSM anonymus- 6.22.2023-1528.csv'
    motor_df = util.filter_scores(file_dir,'pre-dbs updrs','stim','CORNELL ID')
    # Find cases with all required scores
    subs,pre_imp,post_imp,pre_updrs_off = util.get_full_cases(motor_df,
                                                             'CORNELL ID',
                                                             'OFF (pre-dbs updrs)',
                                                             'ON (pre-dbs updrs)',
                                                             'OFF meds ON stim
     →6mo¹)
    # Load extracted features
    npy_dir = '/home/ali/RadDBS-QSM/data/npy/'
    phi_dir = '/home/ali/RadDBS-QSM/data/phi/phi/'
    roi_path = '/data/Ali/atlas/mcgill_pd atlas/PD25-subcortical-labels.csv'
    n_rois = 6
    all_rois = False
    Phi_all, X_all, R_all, K_all, ID_all = util.load_featstruct(phi_dir,npy_dir+'X/
     ids = np.asarray(ID_all).astype(int)
    # Find overlap between scored subjects and feature extraction cases
    c_cases = np.intersect1d(np.asarray(case_id).astype(int),np.asarray(subs).
     →astype(int))
    # Complete case indices with respect to feature matrix
    c_cases_idx = np.in1d(ids,c_cases)
    X_all_c, K, R, subsc, pre_imp, pre_updrs_off, per_change = util.
     -re_index(X_all,K_all,R_all,c_cases_idx,subs,ids,all_rois,pre_imp,pre_updrs_off,post_imp)
```

Allocated arrays
Created feature matrix
Created ROI matrix
Created feature label matrix

['Left red nucleus' 'Left substantia nigra' 'Left subthalamic nucleus' 'Right Substantia nigra' 'Right red nucleus' 'Right subthalamic nucleus']

Then, the low-dimension condition

Of the positive cone condition is imposed to ensure gradient boosting and LASSO estimators converge

```
[]: p = X_all_c.shape[0]-2
```

Let S be a diagonal $p \times p$ matrix with elements $s_{ij} = s_{ii} \in \{-1, 1\}$ and X remains the feature matrix for the dataset, $N \times p$. The the positive cone condition is met if

$$\left(S'X'XS\right)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{p\times 1}$$

For all subsets of X and possible combinations of S. A more tractable form is the diagonal dominance condition

$$|M_{jj}| \ge \sum_{i \ne j} |M_{ij}|$$

Here, M is the inverse covariance matrix of X, $M = (X'X)^{-1}$

```
[]: # Training parameters
     scoring = 'r2'
     results_bls = np.zeros_like(per_change)
     results_ls = np.zeros_like(per_change)
     # Train
     for j in np.arange(len(subsc)):
         test_id = subsc[j]
         test_index = subsc == test_id
         train_index = subsc != test_id
         X_train = X_all_c[train_index,:,:]
         X_test = X_all_c[test_index,:,:]
         y_train = per_change[train_index]
         y_test = per_change[test_index]
         # Cross validation
         cvn = 6
         X0_ss0,scaler_ss,X_test_ss0 = util.model_scale(skp.StandardScaler(),
      →X_train,train_index,X_test,test_index,pre_updrs_off,False)
         with np.errstate(divide='ignore', invalid='ignore'):
           # Feature selection
           sel = skf.SelectKBest(skf.r_regression,k=p)
           X0_ss = sel.fit_transform(X0_ss0,y_train)
           X_test_ss = sel.transform(X_test_ss0)
           y_n = cKDTree(X0_ss).query(X_test_ss, k=1)[1]
         # LASSO
         lasso = slm.Lasso(max_iter=1e6,alpha=1e-1)
         est_ls = lasso.fit(X0_ss,y_train)
```

```
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.6
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.63
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.65
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.59
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.57
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.61
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.65
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.61
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.56
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.65
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.59
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.63
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.61
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.58
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.59
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
Lasso predicts 0.61 and gradient boost predicts 0.59
Lasso predicts 0.6 and gradient boost predicts 0.6
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
```

```
Lasso predicts 0.65 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.66
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.63
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.5 and gradient boost predicts 0.6
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.63
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.67
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.55
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.62
Lasso predicts 0.64 and gradient boost predicts 0.68
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.65
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.64
Lasso predicts 0.63 and gradient boost predicts 0.56
Lasso predicts 0.62 and gradient boost predicts 0.61
```

The mean squared error between the LASSO estimator $f(X, \hat{\beta})$ and the gradient boosting regressor $\hat{f}^m(X)$ is $\mathcal{O} \sim 10^{-3}$

```
[]: np.mean((results_ls-results_bls)**2)
```

[]: 0.0010680490300377554