# Primitive and Geometric Progression Free Sets Without Large Gaps

Nathan McNew Towson University

Combinatorial and Additive Number Theory
CUNY Graduate Center
May 23rd, 2017

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

# Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to  $10^{100}$ )

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to  $10^{100}$ ) 116 on average

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to  $10^{100}$ ) 116 on average In theory:

## Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

**Solution:** Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to  $10^{100}$ ) 116 on average In theory: At most  $10^{53}$ . (Best we can prove)

How far apart can prime numbers be?

How far apart can prime numbers be? Let  $p_n$  be the nth prime number.

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let  $p_n$  be the *n*th prime number.  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is the *n*th prime gap.

# Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let  $p_n$  be the *n*th prime number.  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is the *n*th prime gap.

## Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

### Theorem (Cramér)

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n$$

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let  $p_n$  be the *n*th prime number.  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is the *n*th prime gap.

## Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

### Theorem (Cramér)

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n$$

## Conjecture (Cramér)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le \log^2 p_n$$



How far apart can prime numbers be?

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

 $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$  infinitely often (Zhang 2013)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### Recent Developments!

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)  $c = e^{\gamma}$  (Rankin, 1968)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### Recent Developments!

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)  $c = e^{\gamma}$  (Rankin, 1968)  $c = 2.3$  (Maier, Pomerance, 1989)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)  $c = e^{\gamma}$  (Rankin, 1968)  $c = 2.3$  (Maier, Pomerance, 1989)  $c = 2e^{\gamma}$  (Pintz 1999)

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

```
p_n-p_{n-1}>crac{\log p_n\log\log p_n\log\log\log p_n}{(\log\log\log p_n)^2} Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931) c=e^{\gamma} (Rankin, 1968) c=2.3 (Maier, Pomerance, 1989) c=2e^{\gamma} (Pintz 1999) Erdős $10,000 prize: Show c can be arbitrarily large.
```

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

#### Large gaps:

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)  $c = e^{\gamma}$  (Rankin, 1968)  $c = 2.3$  (Maier, Pomerance, 1989)  $c = 2e^{\gamma}$  (Pintz 1999) Erdős \$10,000 prize: Show  $c$  can be arbitrarily large.

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \gg \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log \log p_n}{\log \log \log p_n}$$
 infinitely often (Ford, Green, Konyagin, Maynard, Tao, 2016)

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 990

### How far apart can prime numbers be?

"On average"  $p_n - p_{n-1}$  is  $\log p_n$  (Prime number theorem)

### **Recent Developments!**

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \le 70,000,000$$
 infinitely often (Zhang 2013)  $p_n - p_{n-1} \le 246$  infinitely often (Maynard, Tao, Polymath 2015)

#### Large gaps:

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$$
 Infinitely often (Rankin, 1931)  $c = e^{\gamma}$  (Rankin, 1968)  $c = 2.3$  (Maier, Pomerance, 1989)  $c = 2e^{\gamma}$  (Pintz 1999) Erdős \$10,000 prize: Show  $c$  can be arbitrarily large.

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \gg \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log \log p_n}{\log \log \log p_n}$$
 infinitely often (Ford, Green, Konyagin, Maynard, Tao, 2016)

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 990

Summary: The largest gap between primes up to x has size between

$$\frac{\log x \log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log \log \log x} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{0.525}$$

but we think the truth is  $\log^2 x$ .

### **Definition**

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

#### Definition

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

### **Examples:**

• Prime numbers  $P = \{2, 3, 5, ...\}.$ 

#### Definition

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

- Prime numbers  $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \ldots\}$ .
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots 2m-1\}.$

### Definition

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

- Prime numbers  $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \ldots\}$ .
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots 2m-1\}.$
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \nmid \Omega(n) = k\}$  for any  $k \ge 1$ .

### Definition

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

- Prime numbers  $P = \{2, 3, 5, ...\}.$
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots 2m-1\}.$
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \nmid \Omega(n) = k\}$  for any  $k \ge 1$ . Here  $\Omega(n)$  is the total number of prime factors of n, counted with multiplicity.

#### Definition

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if  $m, n \in S$  are distinct then  $m \nmid n$ .

- Prime numbers  $P = \{2, 3, 5, ...\}.$
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots 2m-1\}$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Omega(n) = k\}$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . Here  $\Omega(n)$  is the total number of prime factors of n, counted with multiplicity. For example,  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, \ldots\}$ .

## Theorem (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}}\frac{1}{n\log n}<\infty.$$

### Theorem (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}}\frac{1}{n\log n}<\infty.$$

## Conjecture (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} < \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p \log p} = 1.63 \dots$$

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

# Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a primitive set whose counting function is asymptotic to  $\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$ .

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

## Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a primitive set whose counting function is asymptotic to  $\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$ .

(**Note:** This is (almost) best possible:

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

## Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a primitive set whose counting function is asymptotic to  $\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$ .

(**Note:** This is (almost) best possible: if the counting function of S is at least  $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$ , then  $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$  diverges.)

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

## Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a primitive set whose counting function is asymptotic to  $\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$ .

(**Note:** This is (almost) best possible: if the counting function of S is at least  $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$ , then  $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$  diverges.)

The average size of the gaps in such a set is  $(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}$ , much smaller than the primes  $(\log x)$ .

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much "larger" than the primes.

## Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For every  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a primitive set whose counting function is asymptotic to  $\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$ .

(**Note:** This is (almost) best possible: if the counting function of S is at least  $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$ , then  $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$  diverges.)

The average size of the gaps in such a set is  $(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}$ , much smaller than the primes  $(\log x)$ .

Due to the nature of the construction of these sets, it isn't possible to get good upper bounds for the largest gap in such a set.

4 D > 4 A > 4 B > 4 B > 9 Q P

### Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

### Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

## Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set of integers  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \dots$  such that

$$s_n-s_{n-1}<2\sqrt{s_n}.$$

### Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

## Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set of integers  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \dots$  such that

$$s_n-s_{n-1}<2\sqrt{s_n}.$$

### Theorem (M., 2017)

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a primitive set  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$ , such that

### Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

## Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set of integers  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \dots$  such that

$$s_n-s_{n-1}<2\sqrt{s_n}.$$

## Theorem (M., 2017)

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a primitive set  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$ , such that

$$a_n - a_{n-1} \le \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log a_n\log\log a_n}\right).$$

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

10

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

#### **Proof:**

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

**Proof:** By theorem, the interval has a large subset without prime factors greater than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

**Proof:** By theorem, the interval has a large subset without prime factors greater than  $\sqrt{y}$ . Each such integer can have at most  $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$  prime factors.

### Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

**Proof:** By theorem, the interval has a large subset without prime factors greater than  $\sqrt{y}$ . Each such integer can have at most  $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$  prime factors. Construct a graph on these numbers, connecting those vertices with a prime factor in common.

## Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval [x-cy,x] contains at least  $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$  integers free of prime factors smaller than  $\sqrt{y}$ .

#### Lemma

Any interval [x-cy,x] contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  for some positive constant c'.

**Proof:** By theorem, the interval has a large subset without prime factors greater than  $\sqrt{y}$ . Each such integer can have at most  $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$  prime factors. Construct a graph on these numbers, connecting those vertices with a prime factor in common. Turan's graph theorem implies this graph has a large independent vertex set.

**Proof:** (Main theorem)

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each prime number  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{m^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

with  $C_{\epsilon}=rac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon/4)}$  chosen to normalize the distribution.

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each prime number  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{m^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

with  $C_{\epsilon}=rac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon/4)}$  chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{n \geq 2 \mid p_i | n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i\}$$

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each prime number  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{m^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

with  $C_\epsilon = rac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon/4)}$  chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{ n \geq 2 \mid p_i | n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i \}$$

consist of precisely those integers, n, where the total number of prime factors of n agrees with the variable  $X_i$  for all its prime divisors,  $p_i$ .

**Proof:** (Main theorem) Construct a primitive set probabilistically, show that it almost surely has no large gaps.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each prime number  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{m^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

with  $C_\epsilon = rac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon/4)}$  chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{ n \geq 2 \mid p_i | n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i \}$$

consist of precisely those integers, n, where the total number of prime factors of n agrees with the variable  $X_i$  for **all** its prime divisors,  $p_i$ .

Note Q is primitive: If  $a \in Q$ , and a|b with b > a, then  $\Omega(a) < \Omega(b)$ , but any prime dividing a also divides b, and so b cannot be in Q.

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q:

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q: By lemma  $I_x$  has a subset S of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most  $\frac{2\log x}{\log y}$  prime factors.

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q:

By lemma  $I_x$  has a subset S of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most  $\frac{2\log x}{\log y}$  prime factors. Suppose  $n \in S$ , then the probability that  $n \in Q$  is

$$P(n \in Q) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} P(X_i = \Omega(n))$$

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q:

By lemma  $I_x$  has a subset S of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most  $\frac{2\log x}{\log y}$  prime factors. Suppose  $n \in S$ , then the probability that  $n \in Q$  is

$$P(n \in Q) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} P(X_i = \Omega(n)) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\Omega(n)^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q:

By lemma  $I_x$  has a subset S of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$  which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most  $\frac{2\log x}{\log y}$  prime factors. Suppose  $n \in S$ , then the probability that  $n \in Q$  is

$$P(n \in Q) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} P(X_i = \Omega(n)) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\Omega(n)^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\left(\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}\right)^{1+\epsilon/4}}\right)^{\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}}$$

12

Let 
$$y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$$
.

We expect every interval  $I_x = [x - cy, x]$  to contain an element of Q:

By lemma  $I_x$  has a subset S of size at least  $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log x}$  which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most  $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$  prime factors.

Suppose  $n \in S$ , then the probability that  $n \in Q$  is

$$P(n \in Q) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} P(X_i = \Omega(n)) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\Omega(n)^{1+\epsilon/4}}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\left(\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}\right)^{1+\epsilon/4}}\right)^{\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}} = \exp\left(-\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right)\right)$$

as  $x \to \infty$ .

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

$$\prod_{n\in S} (1-P(n\in Q))$$

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\leq \prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - \exp\left( -(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)$$

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

$$\begin{split} & \prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - P(n \in Q) \right) \\ & \leq \prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - \exp\left( -\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \leq \left( 1 - \exp\left( -\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \end{split}$$

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - P(n \in Q) \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - \exp\left( -\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \exp\left( -\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \\ &\leq \exp\left( -\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y} \times \exp\left( -\left(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) \right) \right) \end{split}$$

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in Q are independent.

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\leq \prod_{n \in S} \left( 1 - \exp\left( -(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \left( 1 - \exp\left( -(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y}}$$

$$\leq \exp\left( -\frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y} \times \exp\left( -(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$= \exp\left( -\exp\left( \frac{1}{2} \log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right).$$

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right)\right)\right).$$

$$\begin{split} \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - P(n \in Q)\right) \\ & \leq \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right)\right)\right). \end{split}$$
 Using that  $y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$  The inner exponent is

$$\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log \left( \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right)$$

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right)\right)\right).$$

Using that  $y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$  The inner exponent is

$$\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon)\log x\log\log x} - \frac{(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon)\log\log x}}\log\left(\sqrt{\log x}\right)$$

4 ロ ト 4 昼 ト 4 夏 ト 9 Q (や)

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right)\right)\right).$$

Using that  $y = \exp\left(\sqrt{(2+\epsilon)\log x\log\log x}\right)$  The inner exponent is

$$\frac{1}{2}\log y - (2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon)\log x\log\log x} - \frac{(2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + o(1))\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon)\log\log x}}\log\left(\sqrt{\log x}\right) \\
= \frac{\epsilon}{4\sqrt{2 + \epsilon}}\sqrt{\log x\log\log x} = C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x\log\log x}$$

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x\log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

15

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x\log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x\log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding Q is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-cy,x] \cap Q = \emptyset)$$

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding Q is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-cy,x] \cap Q = \emptyset) \le \sum_{x>N} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon} \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)\right)$$

The probability that no integer in [x - cy, x] is included in Q is at most  $\exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon} \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding Q is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-cy,x] \cap Q = \emptyset) \le \sum_{x>N} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon} \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

The probability that no integer in [x-cy,x] is included in Q is at most exp  $\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon}\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)\right)$ .

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C_{\epsilon}' \sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding Q is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-cy,x] \cap Q = \emptyset) \le \sum_{x>N} \exp\left(-\exp\left(C'_{\epsilon} \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)\right) < 1.$$

Thus there exists a set, Q satisfying the properties of the theorem.

Note that while the set constructed here is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Note that while the set constructed here is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Obviously the primes are pairwise coprime, any pairwise coprime set is primitive, can we find a pairwise coprime set without large gaps?

Note that while the set constructed here is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Obviously the primes are pairwise coprime, any pairwise coprime set is primitive, can we find a pairwise coprime set without large gaps?

#### Theorem (M.)

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a pairwise coprime set  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \ldots$ , such that

$$b_n-b_{n-1}\ll b_n^{\alpha+\epsilon}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2} = 0.43845\dots$ 

#### Question

Does there exist a set with bounded gaps (syndetic) that does not contain a geometric progression?

#### Question

Does there exist a set with bounded gaps (syndetic) that does not contain a geometric progression?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions  $(a, ar, ar^2)$ , but have unbounded gaps.

#### Question

Does there exist a set with bounded gaps (syndetic) that does not contain a geometric progression?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions  $(a, ar, ar^2)$ , but have unbounded gaps.

#### Theorem (Filaseta, Trifonov, 1992)

The squarefree integers  $s_1, s_2, \ldots$  satisfy

$$s_n - s_{n-1} \ll s_n^{1/5} \log s_n.$$

#### Question

Does there exist a set with bounded gaps (syndetic) that does not contain a geometric progression?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions  $(a, ar, ar^2)$ , but have unbounded gaps.

#### Theorem (Filaseta, Trifonov, 1992)

The squarefree integers  $s_1, s_2, \ldots$  satisfy

$$s_n-s_{n-1}\ll s_n^{1/5}\log s_n.$$

We can find larger sets that avoid progressions, but can't say much about the gaps of these sets.

#### Theorem (He, 2015)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

#### Theorem (He, 2015)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6}\log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log\log t_n}\right).$$

#### Theorem (He, 2015)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6}\log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log\log t_n}\right).$$

There exists a set  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with  $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$ .

#### Theorem (He, 2015)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6}\log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n}\right).$$

There exists a set  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with  $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$ .

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

#### Theorem (He, 2015)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6}\log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log\log t_n}\right).$$

There exists a set  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with  $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$ .

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

#### Construction:

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

**Construction:** A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers.

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1,v_2,\ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

**Construction:** A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with

$$P(X_i=m)=\frac{1}{2^m}.$$

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

**Construction:** A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with

$$P(X_i=m)=\frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let  $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \to p_i^{X_i} | | n \}.$ 

19

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

**Construction:** A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with

$$P(X_i=m)=\frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let  $V = \{n \ge 2 : p_i | n \to p_i^{X_i} | | n\}$ . V contains the integers divisible only by primes  $p_i$  appearing to the corresponding power  $X_i$ .

#### Theorem (M. 2017)

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon)\log v_n}\right).$$

**Construction:** A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime  $p_i$  choose an integer valued random variable  $X_i$  with

$$P(X_i=m)=\frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let  $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} | | n\}$ . V contains the integers divisible only by primes  $p_i$  appearing to the corresponding power  $X_i$ .

Note V avoids 3-term progressions: If  $(a, ar, ar^2)$  is a progression, a prime in r appears to different powers in at least two of these terms.

# Thank you!