

Primitive and Geometric Progression Free Sets Without Large Gaps

Nathan McNew
Towson University

MASON III
James Madison University
February 23rd, 2019

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to 10^{100})

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to 10^{100}) 116 on average.

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to 10^{100}) 116 on average.

In theory:

Gaps between prime numbers

Problem (Cryptography)

Need a random 100 digit prime number.

Solution: Pick a random 100 digit integer, check if it is prime. If not, add 1 and repeat until you find one.

Worst case scenario: How far do we have to go to find one?

In practice: At most 7384 (largest known to 10^{100}) 116 on average.

In theory: At most 10^{53} . (Best we can prove, assuming RH).

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let p_n be the n th prime number.

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let p_n be the n th prime number. $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is the n th prime gap.

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let p_n be the n th prime number. $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is the n th prime gap.

Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let p_n be the n th prime number. $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is the n th prime gap.

Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

Theorem (Cramér)

Assuming the *Riemann Hypothesis*

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n$$

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Let p_n be the n th prime number. $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is the n th prime gap.

Theorem (Baker, Harman, Pintz)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$

Theorem (Cramér)

Assuming the *Riemann Hypothesis*

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n$$

Conjecture (Cramér)

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \log^2 p_n$$

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

$c = e^\gamma$ ([Rankin](#), 1968)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

$c = e^\gamma$ ([Rankin](#), 1968) $c = 2.3$ ([Maier](#), [Pomerance](#), 1989)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

$c = e^\gamma$ ([Rankin](#), 1968) $c = 2.3$ ([Maier](#), [Pomerance](#), 1989) $c = 2e^\gamma$ ([Pintz](#) 1999)

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

$c = e^\gamma$ ([Rankin](#), 1968) $c = 2.3$ ([Maier](#), [Pomerance](#), 1989) $c = 2e^\gamma$ ([Pintz](#) 1999)

[Erdős](#) \$10,000 prize: Show c can be arbitrarily large.

Gaps between prime numbers

How far apart can prime numbers be?

“On average” $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is $\log p_n$ (Prime number theorem)

Recent Developments!

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 70,000,000$ infinitely often ([Zhang](#) 2013)

$p_n - p_{n-1} \leq 246$ infinitely often ([Maynard](#), [Tao](#), Polymath 2015)

Large gaps:

$p_n - p_{n-1} > c \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{(\log \log \log p_n)^2}$ Infinitely often ([Rankin](#), 1931)

$c = e^\gamma$ ([Rankin](#), 1968) $c = 2.3$ ([Maier](#), [Pomerance](#), 1989) $c = 2e^\gamma$ ([Pintz](#) 1999)

[Erdős](#) \$10,000 prize: Show c can be arbitrarily large.

$p_n - p_{n-1} \gg \frac{\log p_n \log \log p_n \log \log \log p_n}{\log \log \log p_n}$ infinitely often
([Ford](#), [Green](#), [Konyagin](#), [Maynard](#), [Tao](#), 2016)

Gaps between prime numbers

Summary: The largest gap between primes up to x has size between

$$\frac{\log x \log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log \log \log x} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{0.525}$$

but we think the truth is $\log^2 x$.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Examples:

- Prime numbers $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Examples:

- Prime numbers $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$.
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Examples:

- Prime numbers $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$.
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Omega(n) = k\}$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Examples:

- Prime numbers $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$.
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Omega(n) = k\}$ for any $k \geq 1$. Here $\Omega(n)$ is the total number of prime factors of n , counted with multiplicity.

Primitive Sets

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is **primitive** if no element of the set divides another: if $m, n \in S$ are distinct then $m \nmid n$.

Examples:

- Prime numbers $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$.
- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Omega(n) = k\}$ for any $k \geq 1$. Here $\Omega(n)$ is the total number of prime factors of n , counted with multiplicity.
For example, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, \dots\}$.

Large primitive sets

Theorem (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} < \infty.$$

Large primitive sets

Theorem (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} < \infty.$$

Conjecture (Erdős)

If S is a primitive set then

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p \log p} = 1.63 \dots$$

Large primitive sets

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much “larger” than the primes.

Large primitive sets

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much “larger” than the primes.

Theorem ([Ahlsweide](#), [Khatchatrian](#), [Sárközy](#), 1999)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist primitive sets with a counting function asymptotic to $\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$.

Large primitive sets

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much “larger” than the primes.

Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist primitive sets with a counting function asymptotic to $\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$.

Note: If S has counting function at least $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$, then $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \rightarrow \infty$.

Large primitive sets

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much “larger” than the primes.

Theorem (Ahlsvede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist primitive sets with a counting function asymptotic to $\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$.

Note: If S has counting function at least $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$, then $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \rightarrow \infty$.

The average gap size in a primitive set can be $(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}$, much smaller than the primes $(\log x)$.

Large primitive sets

Nevertheless, there exist primitive sets much “larger” than the primes.

Theorem (Ahlswede, Khatchatrian, Sárközy, 1999)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist primitive sets with a counting function asymptotic to $\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}}$.

Note: If S has counting function at least $\frac{x}{\log \log x}$, then $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \rightarrow \infty$.

The average gap size in a primitive set can be $(\log \log x)^{1+\epsilon}$, much smaller than the primes $(\log x)$.

It isn't possible to get good bounds for the largest gap in such a set.

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set of integers $s_1, s_2, s_3 \dots$ such that

$$s_n - s_{n-1} < 2\sqrt{s_n}.$$

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set of integers $s_1, s_2, s_3 \dots$ such that

$$s_n - s_{n-1} < 2\sqrt{s_n}.$$

Recall:

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525} \quad (\text{unconditionally})$$

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n \quad (\text{RH})$$

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots, ar^{k-1}$.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots, ar^{k-1}$.

Question (Bergelson)

Do there exist syndetic sets (bounded gaps) that do not contain k -term geometric progressions?

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots, ar^{k-1}$.

Question (Bergelson)

Do there exist syndetic sets (bounded gaps) that do not contain k -term geometric progressions?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions (with density $\approx .6079$)

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots ar^{k-1}$.

Question (Bergelson)

Do there exist syndetic sets (bounded gaps) that do not contain k -term geometric progressions?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions (with density $\approx .6079$) but have unbounded gaps.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots, ar^{k-1}$.

Question (Bergelson)

Do there exist syndetic sets (bounded gaps) that do not contain k -term geometric progressions?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions (with density $\approx .6079$) but have unbounded gaps.

Theorem (Filaseta, Trifonov, 1992)

The squarefree integers s_1, s_2, \dots satisfy $s_n - s_{n-1} \ll s_n^{1/5} \log s_n$.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

A k -term geometric progression is a sequence $a, ar, ar^2, \dots ar^{k-1}$.

Question (Bergelson)

Do there exist syndetic sets (bounded gaps) that do not contain k -term geometric progressions?

The squarefree integers avoid 3-term-geometric progressions (with density $\approx .6079$) but have unbounded gaps.

Theorem (Filaseta, Trifonov, 1992)

The squarefree integers s_1, s_2, \dots satisfy $s_n - s_{n-1} \ll s_n^{1/5} \log s_n$.

There are even larger geometric progression free sets, but can't say much about their gaps.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set t_1, t_2, \dots avoiding 6-term geometric progressions with gaps satisfying

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set t_1, t_2, \dots avoiding 6-term geometric progressions with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp \left(\left(\frac{5}{6} \log 2 + \epsilon \right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n} \right).$$

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set t_1, t_2, \dots avoiding 6-term geometric progressions with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp \left(\left(\frac{5}{6} \log 2 + \epsilon \right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n} \right).$$

*Furthermore, there exists a set u_1, u_2, \dots avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio where*

$$u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}.$$

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set $q_1, q_2, q_3 \dots$ where $q_n - q_{n-1} < 2\sqrt{q_n}$.

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set $q_1, q_2, q_3 \dots$ where $q_n - q_{n-1} < 2\sqrt{q_n}$.

Theorem (M.)

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a primitive set a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots , where

Primitive sets without large gaps

Question

Do there exist primitive sets which (provably) have no large gaps?

Theorem (He, 2015)

There exists a primitive set $q_1, q_2, q_3 \dots$ where $q_n - q_{n-1} < 2\sqrt{q_n}$.

Theorem (M.)

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a primitive set a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots , where

$$a_n - a_{n-1} \leq \exp \left(\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon) \log a_n \log \log a_n} \right).$$

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6} \log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n}\right).$$

*and a set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$.*

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6} \log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n}\right).$$

*and a set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$.*

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (He, 2015)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 6-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$t_n - t_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5}{6} \log 2 + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log t_n}{\log \log t_n}\right).$$

and a set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with **integer** ratio with $u_n - u_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} u_n^{\epsilon}$.

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction:

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction: A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction: A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction: A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$.

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction: A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$. V contains the integers divisible only by primes p_i appearing to the corresponding power X_i .

Geometric Progression Free Sets

Theorem (M.)

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ avoiding 3-term geometric progressions (rational ratio) with gaps satisfying

$$v_n - v_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log v_n}\right).$$

Construction: A probabilistic analogue of the squarefree numbers. For each prime p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Let $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$. V contains the integers divisible only by primes p_i appearing to the corresponding power X_i .

Note V avoids 3-term progressions: If (a, ar, ar^2) is a progression, a prime in r appears to different powers in at least two of these terms.

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Proof:

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Proof: The interval has many integers without prime factors greater than \sqrt{y} .

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Proof: The interval has many integers without prime factors greater than \sqrt{y} . Such integers have at most $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$ prime factors.

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Proof: The interval has many integers without prime factors greater than \sqrt{y} . Such integers have at most $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$ prime factors. Construct a graph on these numbers, connect vertices with a prime factor in common.

Lemmas

Theorem (Linear Sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec)

There exists a positive constant c such that every interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains at least $\frac{y}{\log^2 y}$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} .

Lemma

Any interval $[x - cy, x]$ contains a subset of integers which are pairwise coprime, of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ for some positive constant c' .

Proof: The interval has many integers without prime factors greater than \sqrt{y} . Such integers have at most $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$ prime factors. Construct a graph on these numbers, connect vertices with a prime factor in common.

Turan's graph theorem says the graph has a large independent vertex set.

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch:

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets)

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets) Show our (probabilistically constructed) set almost surely has no large gaps.

Recall $P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$.

Let $y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x}\right)$,

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets) Show our (probabilistically constructed) set almost surely has no large gaps.

Recall $P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} \mid n\}$.

Let $y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x}\right)$, $I_x = [x - cy, x]$.

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets) Show our (probabilistically constructed) set almost surely has no large gaps.

Recall $P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$.

Let $y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x}\right)$, $I_x = [x - cy, x]$.

We bound the probability that I_x *does not* contain an element of V .

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets) Show our (probabilistically constructed) set almost surely has no large gaps.

Recall $P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$.

Let $y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x}\right)$, $I_x = [x - cy, x]$.

We bound the probability that I_x *does not* contain an element of V .

By lemma I_x has a subset S of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$ prime factors.

The probabilistic method

Proof sketch: (Gaps in geometric progression free sets) Show our (probabilistically constructed) set almost surely has no large gaps.

Recall $P(X_i = m) = \frac{1}{2^m}$, $V = \{n \geq 2 : p_i | n \rightarrow p_i^{X_i} || n\}$.

Let $y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x}\right)$, $I_x = [x - cy, x]$.

We bound the probability that I_x *does not* contain an element of V .

By lemma I_x has a subset S of size at least $\frac{c'\sqrt{y}}{\log y}$ which is pairwise coprime and the integers in S have at most $\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}$ prime factors.

Suppose $n \in S$, then the probability $P(n \in V)$ that $n \in V$ is

$$\prod_{p_i^{\alpha} || n} P(X_i = \alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\Omega(n)} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}} = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y}\right)\right).$$

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

Thus the probability that no element of S is included in V is

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V))$$

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

Thus the probability that no element of S is included in V is

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ \leq \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)$$

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

Thus the probability that no element of S is included in V is

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ & \leq \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \leq \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \end{aligned}$$

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

Thus the probability that no element of S is included in V is

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ & \leq \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \leq \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \\ & \leq \exp \left(- \frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y} \times \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The probabilistic method

Because the elements of S are pairwise coprime, their probabilities of being included in V are independent.

Thus the probability that no element of S is included in V is

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ & \leq \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \leq \left(1 - \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \\ & \leq \exp \left(- \frac{c' \sqrt{y}}{\log y} \times \exp \left(- \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) \right) \right) \\ & = \exp \left(- \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \log y - \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) - \log \log y + O(1) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The probabilistic method

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ \leq \exp \left(- \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \log y - \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) + O(\log \log y) \right) \right).$$

The probabilistic method

$$\prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in V)) \\ \leq \exp \left(- \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \log y - \left(\frac{2 \log 2 \log x}{\log y} \right) + O(\log \log y) \right) \right).$$

Using $y = \exp \left(2 \sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x} \right)$ the inner exponent is $O_\epsilon(\sqrt{\log x})$.

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding V is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x - cy, x] \cap V = \emptyset)$$

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding V is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x - cy, x] \cap V = \emptyset) \leq \sum_{x>N} \exp(-\exp(\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$$

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding V is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x - cy, x] \cap V = \emptyset) \leq \sum_{x>N} \exp(-\exp(\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

The probabilistic method

The probability that $I_x \cap V = \emptyset$ is at most $\exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x}))$.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < \infty,$$

so there exists an N such that

$$\sum_{x=N}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

Starting the sequence at N and using linearity of expectation, the expected number of intervals of this form avoiding V is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x - cy, x] \cap V = \emptyset) \leq \sum_{x>N} \exp(-\exp(C_\epsilon \sqrt{\log x})) < 1.$$

Thus there exists a set, V satisfying the properties of the theorem.

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$.

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_\epsilon}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$$

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_\epsilon}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$$

with $C_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon)}$ chosen to normalize the distribution.

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_\epsilon}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$$

with $C_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon)}$ chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{n \geq 2 \mid p_i \mid n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i\}$$

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_\epsilon}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$$

with $C_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon)}$ chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{n \geq 2 \mid p_i \mid n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i\}$$

consist of precisely those integers, n , where the total number of prime factors of n agrees with the variable X_i for **all** its prime divisors, p_i .

Modifications for primitive sets

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i choose an integer valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = m) = \frac{C_\epsilon}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$$

with $C_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\epsilon)}$ chosen to normalize the distribution. Let

$$Q = \{n \geq 2 \mid p_i \mid n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i\}$$

consist of precisely those integers, n , where the total number of prime factors of n agrees with the variable X_i for **all** its prime divisors, p_i .

Note Q is primitive: If $a \in Q$, and $a \mid b$ with $b > a$, then $\Omega(a) < \Omega(b)$, but any prime dividing a also divides b , and so b cannot be in Q .

Summary

Summary

Asymptotically the best possible upper bound for the gaps between consecutive terms in a primitive set lies between

$$\log \log x \quad \text{and} \quad \exp \left(\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon) \log x \log \log x} \right).$$

Summary

Asymptotically the best possible upper bound for the gaps between consecutive terms in a primitive set lies between

$$\log \log x \quad \text{and} \quad \exp \left(\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon) \log x \log \log x} \right).$$

Asymptotically the best upper bound for gaps between consecutive terms in a 3-term geometric progression free set is between

Summary

Asymptotically the best possible upper bound for the gaps between consecutive terms in a primitive set lies between

$$\log \log x \quad \text{and} \quad \exp \left(\sqrt{(2 + \epsilon) \log x \log \log x} \right).$$

Asymptotically the best upper bound for gaps between consecutive terms in a 3-term geometric progression free set is between

$$2 \quad \text{and} \quad \exp \left(2\sqrt{(\log 2 + \epsilon) \log x} \right).$$

Pairwise coprime sets

Pairwise coprime sets

Note that while the set we constructed is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Pairwise coprime sets

Note that while the set we constructed is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Clearly the primes are pairwise coprime, and any pairwise coprime set is primitive.

Pairwise coprime sets

Note that while the set we constructed is primitive, it isn't a pairwise coprime set.

Clearly the primes are pairwise coprime, and any pairwise coprime set is primitive.

Can we find a pairwise coprime set with gaps that are provably smaller than what is known for the primes?

Thank you!