# How To Test It: A Short Dictionary of Modern Software Testing Heuristics

Alex Groce

November 18, 2018

## Preface

iv PREFACE

## Acknowledgements

This book takes its title, much of its structure, and some of its approach from George Polya's famous *How to Solve It* [Polya(2004)]; it takes its existence from a largely abandoned project, started by John Regehr and the author, to write a book on testing, begun in 2013. Thanks are also due to my wife, Josie Holmes, who pushed me to return to this effort.

# Part I Introduction

# Introduction: What is Testing?

Software testing is a special instance of a more general concept: the idea of testing a specific claim, a physical object, a scientific theory, or a more abstract and general idea. At heart, testing involves probing for weakness. We say that a soldier is tested by combat, a scientific theory is tested by an experiment [Popper(1959)], or (most commonly) a student is tested by, well, a test. Testing is inherently adversarial: a soldier is tested by combat precisely because it is a matter of "trial by fire" where there is a possibility of failure. A soldier may be unable to fire on command, unable to advance on a fortified position, or may even turn and run. A scientific theory may make a prediction that is falsified. A student may fail to make a passing grade. To start this book on a grim note, testing is an inherently skeptical, adversarial, harsh, and even (in a certain sense) cruel practice.

It is also, of course, essential. One way to look at testing is to consider the case of the soldier tested by combat, though shifted to the implements of war to better match the problem of software testing; as John Paul Jones wrote, "I wish to have no connection with any ship that does not sail fast; for I intend to go in harm's way." That is to say, the purpose of a vessel in a navy is to engage in dangerous behavior. Yet, even John Paul Jones would certainly prefer to discover if a ship does in fact sail fast in a non-harm's-way trial, and obtain a different ship, or alter the arrangement of sails at least, if it does not. The art and science of testing, in software or otherwise, is generally a response to the fact that reality will impose a most vicious kind of testing indeed on all of our creations; we would prefer to find out if the subjects of this unavoidable testing are up to the task in a less consequential way, and have a chance to fix any leaks before sailing into deep waters. Great Nature, or at least some hapless software user or malicious hacker, will put every important piece of code into "combat" — we need to train our "soldiers" to meet enemy fire with honor and skill.

Testing is, also, of course, a great deal of fun, if you have the right kind of

mindset. Creation is deeply engaging, but destruction also has its charms. Many people (not all of them unpleasant people in comment boxes on the Internet) enjoy posing a question that undermines an incorrect argument; arguably, at least since Socrates, the philosophical mind has concerned itself with finding "tests" that defeat weak ideas.

#### 1.1 Testing vs. Proof

In the world of mathematics, which has fundamental connections to software (since computer programs are mathematical entities, at heart), testing is intimately connected to the notion of proof. Consider a mathematical claim, such as Fermat's Last Theorem, which states that no three positive integers a, b, and c exist that satisfy the equation  $a^n + b^n = c^n$  for any integer n > 2. There are two obvious things to "do" with such a claim. One of these is to test it: to attempt to produce an a, b, c, and n that show the claim is false. The other, of course, is to prove that no such tuple (a, b, c, n) exists.

# Part II How to Test It

A Dialogue: How to Test It

#### Part III

# A Short Dictionary of Modern Software Testing Heuristics

# Abstraction

## Assertions

# Automation

**Black Box Testing** 

Bug Triage

Bugs

Causality

Crashes

Concurrency

Coverage

#### **Customized Testing Tools**

For very important testing targets it is sometimes worth the effort to build highly sophisticated customized test generators for a single kind of input. Csmith [Yang et al.(2011)Yang, Chen, Eide, and Regehr] is perhaps the best-known of these tools in the academic world: it generates well-defined random C programs in order to test C compilers.

Debugging

#### Determinism

# Differential Testing

Diversity

Falsification

Fault Injection

Fault Localization

Feedback

**Fuzzing** 

# Grammar-Based Testing

**Gray-Box Testing** 

Machine Learning

Metamorphic Testing

Model-Based Testing

**Model Checking** 

Monitoring

### Mutation

## Oracles

# Parameterized Unit Testing

### Performance

**Property-Driven Testing** 

Random Testing

Regression

# Reduction

Security

Specification

Static Analysis

Symbolic Execution

Unit Testing

## White Box Testing

First, this should really be called *glass box testing* because I at least, lack X-ray vision, and thus can't see through white boxes any better than black boxes.

#### **Bibliography**

[Polya(2004)] George Polya. How to solve it: A new aspect of mathematical method. Number 246. Princeton university press, 2004.

[Popper(1959)] Karl Popper. The Logic of Scientific Discovery. Hutchinson, 1959.

[Yang et al.(2011)Yang, Chen, Eide, and Regehr] Xuejun Yang, Yang Chen, Eric Eide, and John Regehr. Finding and understanding bugs in C compilers. In ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, pages 283–294, 2011.