Models With Three or More Levels and Cross-Classified Models

Introduction

A two level multilevel model imagines that *Level 1* units are nested in *Level 2* units. A three level multilevel model imagines that *Level 1* units are nested in *Level 2* units, which are in turn nested in *Level 3*.

A cross classified model imagines that the nesting is not hierarchical, but rather that there are two sets of clusters or nestings in which individuals may be nested.

Below, I describe the use of Stata, R, and Julia to estimate these models.

Three Or More Levels

The Data

I use the *longitudinal* data from *Multilevel Thinking* to which I have added an extra level of *United Nations Region* [@ArelBundock2018].

The Equation

 $outcome_{itj} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 parental warmth_{itj} + \beta_2 physical punishment_{itj} + \beta_3 time_{itj} + (1)$

$$\beta_4$$
 identity_{iti} + β_5 intervention_{iti} + β_6 HDI_{iti} +

$$w_{0k} + u_{0j} + v_{0i} + e_{itjk}$$

Here we imagine w_{0k} (region), u_{0j} (country) and v_{0i} (family) are hierarchically nested effects.

Cross-Classified Models

The Data

I use the *cross-sectional* data from *Multilevel Thinking* to which I have added an extra level of a hypothetical language.

The Equation

$$outcome_{itj} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 parental warmth_{itj} + \beta_2 physical punishment_{itj} + \beta_3 time_{itj} + (2)$$

$$\beta_4 \mathrm{identity}_{itj} + \beta_5 \mathrm{intervention}_{itj} + \beta_6 \mathrm{HDI}_{itj} +$$

$$u_{0j} + m_{0m} + e_{ijm}$$

Here u_{0j} (country) and m_{0m} (language) are not nested hierarchically, but are $cross\ classified$.

Run The Models

Stata

```
use "fourlevel.dta", clear
```

```
mixed outcome || UNregion: || country: || family:
```

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -29061.686 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -29061.679 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -29061.679

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

| Group variable | No. of groups | Obser Minimum | vations per Average | group Maximum |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| UNregion | 5 | 600 | 1,800.0 | 3,600 |
| country | 30 | 300 | 300.0 | 300 |
| family | 3,000 | 3 | 3.0 | 3 |

Wald chi2(0) =Log likelihood = -29061.679Prob > chi2 = ----outcome | Coefficient Std. err. z > |z| [95% conf. interval] _cons | 54.05906 .987367 54.75 0.000 52.12385 55.99426 ______ Random-effects parameters | Estimate Std. err. [95% conf. interval] ------UNregion: Identity var(_cons) | 4.172687 3.187885 .9334852 18.65194 country: Identity var(_cons) | 2.849348 .8710225 1.565093 5.187414 family: Identity var(_cons) | 11.72403 .57475 10.64997 12.90641 var(Residual) | 28.23424 .5154842 27.24177 29.26286 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000LR test vs. linear model: chi2(3) = 1843.44

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment i.identity i.intervention HDI || UNregion: || cour

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -28503.082
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -28503.039
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -28503.039

Computing standard errors ...

 ${\tt Mixed-effects}\ {\tt ML}\ {\tt regression}$

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

| Group variable | No. of groups | Obser Minimum | rvations per Average | group Maximum |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| UNregion country | 30 | 600 300 | 1,800.0 | 3,600 |
| id | 3,000 | 3 | 3.0 | 3 |

Log likelihood = -28503.039

Wald chi2(6) = 1209.42Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| outcome | | Coefficient | Std. e | err. | z | P> z | z | [95% conf. | interval] |
|---------------------|--|-------------|--------|------|--------|------|----|------------|-----------|
| t | | .9433791 | .06586 | 667 | 14.32 | 0.00 | 00 | .8142827 | 1.072476 |
| warmth | | .9140704 | .03791 | 156 | 24.11 | 0.00 | 00 | .8397571 | .9883837 |
| physical_punishment | | -1.008615 | .04977 | 772 | -20.26 | 0.00 | 00 | -1.106176 | 9110531 |
| 1.identity | | 1332133 | .15164 | 137 | -0.88 | 0.38 | 30 | 4304294 | .1640028 |
| 1.intervention | | .8589263 | .15196 | 319 | 5.65 | 0.00 | 00 | .5610865 | 1.156766 |
| HDI | | .0148561 | .01966 | 305 | 0.76 | 0.45 | 50 | 0236777 | .0533899 |
| _cons | | 50.16426 | 1.6752 | 219 | 29.94 | 0.00 | 00 | 46.88089 | 53.44763 |

| Random-effects parameters | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------|----------|
| UNregion: Identity | 4.722007 | 3.585939 | 1.065898 | 20.91884 |
| country: Identity | 2.863495 | .8656459 | 1.583342 | 5.178668 |
| id: Identity | 8.421131 | . 4711947 | 7.546445 | 9.397199 |
| | 26.02919 | | | |
| LR test vs. linear model: ch: | Prob > chi | 2 = 0.0000 | | |

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

R

```
library(haven)

df4 <- read_dta("fourlevel.dta")</pre>
```

df4\$identity <- factor(df4\$identity)</pre>

df4\$intervention <- factor(df4\$intervention)</pre>

\(\) Caution

lme4 does not directly provide p values in results, because of some disagreement over exactly how these p values should be calculated. Therefore, in this Appendix, I also call library lmerTest to provide p values for lme4 results.



R prefers to use scientific notation when possible. I find that the use of scientific notation can be confusing in reading results. I turn off scientific notation by setting a penalty for its use: options(scipen = 999).

```
library(lme4)
library(lmerTest)
```

Attaching package: 'lmerTest'

The following object is masked from 'package:lme4':

lmer

The following object is masked from 'package:stats':

step

```
options(scipen = 999)
fit4A <- lmer(outcome ~ (1 | UNregion/country/id),</pre>
             data = df4)
summary(fit4A)
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: outcome ~ (1 | UNregion/country/id)
   Data: df4
REML criterion at convergence: 58121.4
Scaled residuals:
            1Q Median
    Min
                           3Q
                                   Max
-3.7850 -0.6064 -0.0047 0.6020 3.4399
Random effects:
                                  Variance Std.Dev.
 Groups
                      Name
 id:(country:UNregion) (Intercept) 11.724 3.424
 country:UNregion
                       (Intercept) 2.842 1.686
 UNregion
                       (Intercept) 5.478 2.340
 Residual
                                  28.234 5.314
Number of obs: 9000, groups:
id:(country:UNregion), 3000; country:UNregion, 30; UNregion, 5
Fixed effects:
                                  df t value Pr(>|t|)
           Estimate Std. Error
(Intercept) 54.061
                        1.112 3.777 48.6 0.00000201 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
fit4B <- lmer(outcome ~ t + warmth + physical_punishment +</pre>
                identity + intervention + HDI +
                (1 | UNregion/country/id),
              data = df4)
summary(fit4B)
```

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [

lmerModLmerTest] Formula: outcome ~ t + warmth + physical punishment + identity + intervention + HDI + (1 | UNregion/country/id) Data: df4 REML criterion at convergence: 57026.4 Scaled residuals: 1Q Median Min 30 Max -3.6846 -0.6096 -0.0038 0.6138 3.6850 Random effects: Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. id:(country:UNregion) (Intercept) 8.438 2.905 country: UNregion (Intercept) 2.979 1.726 UNregion (Intercept) 6.178 2.486 Residual 26.036 5.103 Number of obs: 9000, groups: id:(country:UNregion), 3000; country:UNregion, 30; UNregion, 5 Fixed effects: Estimate Std. Error df t value (Intercept) 50.11857 1.78086 15.79112 28.143 t. 0.91406 0.03793 4745.28492 24.096 warmth physical_punishment -1.00876 0.04980 6483.46337 -20.257 identity1 -0.13324 0.15173 2969.00938 -0.878 intervention1 0.85872 0.15205 2971.85430 5.648 HDI 0.01560 0.02006 24.39852 0.778 Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 0.0000000000000641 *** < 0.000000000000000000002 *** t < 0.000000000000000000002 *** warmth physical punishment < 0.000000000000000 *** identity1 0.380 intervention1 0.00000001780521096 *** HDT 0.444 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

(Intr) t warmth physc_ idntt1 intrv1

```
t -0.073

warmth -0.071 -0.002

physcl_pnsh -0.073 -0.007 -0.012

identity1 -0.040 0.000 -0.013 -0.003

interventn1 -0.045 0.000 0.039 0.019 -0.018

HDI -0.738 0.000 -0.005 0.005 -0.001 0.001
```

Julia

```
using Tables, MixedModels, StatFiles, DataFrames, CategoricalArrays, DataFramesMeta

df4 = DataFrame(load("fourlevel.dta"))

@transform!(df4.:country = categorical(:country))
```

```
Otransform!(df4, :country = categorical(:country))
Otransform!(df4, :UNregion = categorical(:UNregion))
Otransform!(df4, :identity = categorical(:identity))
Otransform!(df4, :intervention = categorical(:intervention))
```

Interpretation

Cross-Classified Models

The Data

The Equation

Run The Models

Stata

```
use "./simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta", clear
file ./simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta not found
r(601);
r(601);
mixed outcome || _all: R.country || _all: R.language
file ./simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta not found
r(601);
variable language not found
r(111);
r(111);
mixed outcome warmth physical_punishment i.identity i.intervention HDI || _all: R.country ||
file ./simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta not found
r(601);
variable language not found
r(111);
r(111);
```

```
library(haven)

dfCC <- read_dta("./simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta")</pre>
```

Error: './simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/crossclassified.dta' does not exist in curren

```
dfCC$identity <- factor(dfCC$identity)</pre>
```

Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'dfCC' not found

```
dfCC$intervention <- factor(dfCC$intervention)</pre>
```

Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'dfCC' not found

Error: bad 'data': object 'dfCC' not found

```
summary(fitCC_A)
```

Error in h(simpleError(msg, call)): error in evaluating the argument 'object' in selecting a

Error: bad 'data': object 'dfCC' not found

summary(fitCC_B)

Error in h(simpleError(msg, call)): error in evaluating the argument 'object' in selecting a

Julia

Interpretation