

Models With Three or More Levels and Cross-Classified Models

2024-08-25

Table of contents

Introduction	2
Three Or More Levels	2
The Data	2
The Equation	2
Run The Models	3
Stata	3
Get The Data	3
Unconditional Model	3
Conditional Model	4
R	5
Get The Data	6
Change Some Variables To Categorical	6
Unconditional Model	6
Conditional Model	7
Julia	9
Get The Data	9
Change Some Variables To Categorical	9
Unconditional Model	9
Conditional Model	9
Interpretation	10
Cross-Classified Models	10
The Data	10
The Equation	10
Run The Models	10
Stata	10
Get The Data	10

	Unconditional Model	11
	Conditional Model	12
R		13
	Get The Data	13
	Change Some Variables To Categorical	13
	Unconditional Model	13
	Conditional Model	14
Julia		14
	Get The Data	14
	Change Some Variables To Categorical	15
	Unconditional Model	15
	Conditional Model	15
Interpretation		16

Introduction

A two level multilevel model imagines that *Level 1* units are nested in *Level 2* units. A three level multilevel model imagines that *Level 1* units are nested in *Level 2* units, which are in turn nested in *Level 3*.

A *cross classified* model imagines that the nesting is not hierarchical, but rather that there are two sets of clusters or nestings in which individuals may be nested.

Below, I describe the use of [Stata](#), [R](#), and [Julia](#) to estimate these models.

Three Or More Levels

The Data

I use the *longitudinal* data from *Multilevel Thinking* to which I have added an extra level of *United Nations Region* (Arel-Bundock, Enevoldsen, and Yetman 2018).

The Equation

$$\text{outcome}_{itj} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{parental warmth}_{itj} + \beta_2 \text{physical punishment}_{itj} + \beta_3 \text{time}_{itj} + \quad (1)$$

$$\beta_4 \text{identity}_{itj} + \beta_5 \text{intervention}_{itj} + \beta_6 \text{HDI}_{itj} +$$

$$w_{0k} + u_{0j} + v_{0i} + e_{itjk}$$

Here we imagine w_{0k} (region), u_{0j} (country) and v_{0i} (family) are hierarchically nested effects.

Run The Models

Stata

Get The Data

```
use "fourlevel.dta", clear
```

Unconditional Model

```
mixed outcome || UNregion: || country: || family:
```

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -29061.686

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -29061.679

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -29061.679

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

Group variable		No. of groups	Observations per group		
			Minimum	Average	Maximum

UNregion		5	600	1,800.0	3,600
country		30	300	300.0	300
family		3,000	3	3.0	3

Log likelihood = -29061.679

Wald chi2(0) = .

Prob > chi2 = .

outcome	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
-----+-----						
_cons	54.05906	.987367	54.75	0.000	52.12385	55.99426

Random-effects parameters		Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
-----+-----					
UNregion: Identity					
var(_cons)		4.172687	3.187885	.9334852	18.65194
-----+-----					
country: Identity					
var(_cons)		2.849348	.8710225	1.565093	5.187414
-----+-----					
family: Identity					
var(_cons)		11.72403	.57475	10.64997	12.90641
-----+-----					
var(Residual)		28.23424	.5154842	27.24177	29.26286

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(3) = 1843.44 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Conditional Model

```
mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment i.identity i.intervention HDI || UNregion: || cou
```

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -28503.082

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -28503.039

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -28503.039

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

Group variable		No. of groups	Observations per group		
			Minimum	Average	Maximum
UNregion		5	600	1,800.0	3,600
country		30	300	300.0	300
id		3,000	3	3.0	3

Log likelihood = -28503.039

Wald chi2(6) = 1209.42

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

outcome		Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
t		.9433791	.0658667	14.32	0.000	.8142827	1.072476
warmth		.9140704	.0379156	24.11	0.000	.8397571	.9883837
physical_punishment		-1.008615	.0497772	-20.26	0.000	-1.106176	-.9110531
1.identity		-.1332133	.1516437	-0.88	0.380	-.4304294	.1640028
1.intervention		.8589263	.1519619	5.65	0.000	.5610865	1.156766
HDI		.0148561	.0196605	0.76	0.450	-.0236777	.0533899
_cons		50.16426	1.675219	29.94	0.000	46.88089	53.44763

Random-effects parameters			Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
UNregion: Identity						
	var(_cons)		4.722007	3.585939	1.065898	20.91884
country: Identity						
	var(_cons)		2.863495	.8656459	1.583342	5.178668
id: Identity						
	var(_cons)		8.421131	.4711947	7.546445	9.397199
	var(Residual)		26.02919	.4752587	25.11417	26.97755

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(3) = 1844.00

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

R

Get The Data

```
library(haven)

df4 <- read_dta("fourlevel.dta")
```

Change Some Variables To Categorical

```
df4$identity <- factor(df4$identity)

df4$intervention <- factor(df4$intervention)
```

Unconditional Model

Caution

`lme4` does not directly provide p values in results, because of some disagreement over exactly how these p values should be calculated. Therefore, in this Appendix, I also call library `lmerTest` to provide p values for `lme4` results.

Tip

R prefers to use scientific notation when possible. I find that the use of scientific notation can be confusing in reading results. I turn off scientific notation by setting a penalty for its use: `options(scipen = 999)`.

```
library(lme4)

library(lmerTest)
```

Attaching package: 'lmerTest'

The following object is masked from 'package:lme4':

`lmer`

The following object is masked from 'package:stats':

`step`

```
options(scipen = 999)

fit4A <- lmer(outcome ~ (1 | UNregion/country/id),
              data = df4)

summary(fit4A)
```

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [lmerModLmerTest]

Formula: outcome ~ (1 | UNregion/country/id)
Data: df4

REML criterion at convergence: 58121.4

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-3.7850	-0.6064	-0.0047	0.6020	3.4399

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
id:(country:UNregion)	(Intercept)	11.724	3.424
country:UNregion	(Intercept)	2.842	1.686
UNregion	(Intercept)	5.478	2.340
Residual		28.234	5.314

Number of obs: 9000, groups:

id:(country:UNregion), 3000; country:UNregion, 30; UNregion, 5

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	54.061	1.112	3.777	48.6	0.00000201 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Conditional Model

```
fit4B <- lmer(outcome ~ t + warmth + physical_punishment +
              identity + intervention + HDI +
              (1 | UNregion/country/id),
              data = df4)

summary(fit4B)
```

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [lmerModLmerTest]

Formula:

outcome ~ t + warmth + physical_punishment + identity + intervention +
HDI + (1 | UNregion/country/id)

Data: df4

REML criterion at convergence: 57026.4

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-3.6846	-0.6096	-0.0038	0.6138	3.6850

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
id:(country:UNregion)	(Intercept)	8.438	2.905
country:UNregion	(Intercept)	2.979	1.726
UNregion	(Intercept)	6.178	2.486
Residual		26.036	5.103

Number of obs: 9000, groups:

id:(country:UNregion), 3000; country:UNregion, 30; UNregion, 5

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value
(Intercept)	50.11857	1.78086	15.79112	28.143
t	0.94338	0.06588	5998.37756	14.321
warmth	0.91406	0.03793	4745.28492	24.096
physical_punishment	-1.00876	0.04980	6483.46337	-20.257
identity1	-0.13324	0.15173	2969.00938	-0.878
intervention1	0.85872	0.15205	2971.85430	5.648
HDI	0.01560	0.02006	24.39852	0.778

Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept)	0.00000000000000641	***
t	< 0.0000000000000002	***
warmth	< 0.0000000000000002	***
physical_punishment	< 0.0000000000000002	***
identity1	0.380	
intervention1	0.00000001780521096	***
HDI	0.444	

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

	(Intr)	t	warmth	physc_	idntt1	intrv1
t		-0.073				
warmth		-0.071	-0.002			
physcl_pnsh		-0.073	-0.007	-0.012		
identity1		-0.040	0.000	-0.013	-0.003	
interventn1		-0.045	0.000	0.039	0.019	-0.018
HDI		-0.738	0.000	-0.005	0.005	-0.001 0.001

Julia

Get The Data

```
using Tables, MixedModels, StatFiles, DataFrames, CategoricalArrays, DataFramesMeta

df4 = DataFrame(load("fourlevel.dta"))
```

Change Some Variables To Categorical

```
@transform!(df4, :country = categorical(:country))

@transform!(df4, :UNregion = categorical(:UNregion))

@transform!(df4, :identity = categorical(:identity))

@transform!(df4, :intervention = categorical(:intervention))
```

Unconditional Model

```
m4A = fit(MixedModel, @formula(outcome ~ t + warmth +
                                physical_punishment +
                                identity + intervention +
                                HDI +
                                (1 | UNregion) +
                                (1 | country) +
                                (1 | id)), df4)
```

Conditional Model

```
m4B = fit(MixedModel, @formula(outcome ~ t + warmth +
                                physical_punishment +
                                identity + intervention +
                                HDI +
                                (1 | UNregion) +
                                (1 | country) +
                                (1 | id)), df4)
```

Interpretation

Cross-Classified Models

The Data

I use the *cross-sectional* data from *Multilevel Thinking* to which I have added an extra level of a hypothetical language.

The Equation

$$\text{outcome}_{itj} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{parental warmth}_{itj} + \beta_2 \text{physical punishment}_{itj} + \beta_3 \text{time}_{itj} + \quad (2)$$

$$\beta_4 \text{identity}_{itj} + \beta_5 \text{intervention}_{itj} + \beta_6 \text{HDI}_{itj} +$$

$$u_{0j} + m_{0m} + e_{ijm}$$

Here u_{0j} (country) and m_{0m} (language) are not nested hierarchically, but are *cross classified*.

Run The Models

Stata

Get The Data

```
use "crossclassified.dta", clear
```

Unconditional Model

```
mixed outcome || _all: R.country || _all: R.language
```

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -9835.8123

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -9835.8111

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -9835.8111

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Group variable: _all

Number of obs = 3,000

Number of groups = 1

Obs per group:

min = 3,000

avg = 3,000.0

max = 3,000

Wald chi2(0) = .

Prob > chi2 = .

Log likelihood = -9835.8111

outcome	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
_cons	52.43187	.3590214	146.04	0.000	51.7282	53.13554

Random-effects parameters		Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
_all: Identity					
	var(R.country)	3.177791	.9244633	1.796798	5.620198
_all: Identity					
	var(R.language)	.9566314	.3284087	.4881235	1.87482
	var(Residual)	39.62877	1.045619	37.63148	41.73206

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(2) = 180.84

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Conditional Model

```
mixed outcome warmth physical_punishment i.identity i.intervention HDI || _all: R.country ||
```

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -9663.2195

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -9663.2194

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Group variable: _all

Number of obs = 3,000

Number of groups = 1

Obs per group:

min = 3,000

avg = 3,000.0

max = 3,000

Wald chi2(5) = 367.04

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -9663.2194

outcome	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
warmth	.8331461	.0579811	14.37	0.000	.7195052	.946787
physical_punishment	-.9979749	.080268	-12.43	0.000	-1.155297	-.8406525
1.identity	-.2922428	.2191421	-1.33	0.182	-.7217534	.1372678
1.intervention	.6097458	.2195139	2.78	0.005	.1795064	1.039985
HDI	-.0015879	.0204157	-0.08	0.938	-.0416021	.0384262
_cons	51.92255	1.411069	36.80	0.000	49.15691	54.6882

Random-effects parameters	Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
_all: Identity				
var(R.country)	3.361218	.9603072	1.920024	5.884192
_all: Identity				
var(R.language)	1.121946	.3269535	.6337502	1.986214
var(Residual)	35.11959	.9263999	33.35002	36.98306

LR test vs. linear model: $\chi^2(2) = 227.02$ Prob > $\chi^2 = 0.0000$

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

R

Get The Data

```
library(haven)

dfCC <- read_dta("crossclassified.dta")
```

Change Some Variables To Categorical

```
dfCC$identity <- factor(dfCC$identity)

dfCC$intervention <- factor(dfCC$intervention)
```

Unconditional Model

```
library(lme4)

library(lmerTest)

options(scipen = 999)

fitCC_A <- lmer(outcome ~
                (1 | country) +
                (1 | language),
                data = dfCC)

summary(fitCC_A)
```

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: outcome ~ (1 | country) + (1 | language)
Data: dfCC

REML criterion at convergence: 19671.8

Scaled residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-3.3899	-0.6602	-0.0104	0.6798	3.6924

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
language	(Intercept)	0.9604	0.980
country	(Intercept)	3.2919	1.814
Residual		39.6276	6.295

Number of obs: 3000, groups: language, 100; country, 30

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	52.4319	0.3643	33.4284	143.9	<0.0000000000000002 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Conditional Model

```
fitCC_B <- lmer(outcome ~ t + warmth + physical_punishment +  
                identity + intervention + HDI +  
                (1 | country) +  
                (1 | language),  
                data = dfCC)
```

Error in model.frame.default(data = dfCC, drop.unused.levels = TRUE, formula = outcome ~ : in

```
summary(fitCC_B)
```

Error in h(simpleError(msg, call)): error in evaluating the argument 'object' in selecting a

Julia

Get The Data

```
using Tables, MixedModels, StatFiles, DataFrames, CategoricalArrays, DataFramesMeta  
dfCC = DataFrame(load("crossclassified.dta"))
```

Change Some Variables To Categorical

```
@transform!(dfCC, :country = categorical(:country))

@transform!(dfCC, :language = categorical(:language))

@transform!(dfCC, :identity = categorical(:identity))

@transform!(dfCC, :intervention = categorical(:intervention))
```

Unconditional Model

```
mCCA = fit(MixedModel, @formula(outcome ~
                                (1 | country) +
                                (1 | language)), dfCC)
```

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood

outcome ~ 1 + (1 | country) + (1 | language)

	logLik	-2 logLik	AIC	AICc	BIC
	-9835.8111	19671.6222	19679.6222	19679.6356	19703.6477

Variance components:

	Column	Variance	Std.Dev.
language	(Intercept)	0.956631	0.978075
country	(Intercept)	3.177768	1.782629
Residual		39.628773	6.295139

Number of obs: 3000; levels of grouping factors: 100, 30

Fixed-effects parameters:

	Coef.	Std. Error	z	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	52.4319	0.35902	146.04	<1e-99

Conditional Model

```
mCCA = fit(MixedModel, @formula(outcome ~ warmth +
                                physical_punishment +
                                identity + intervention +
```

```
HDI +
(1 | country) +
(1 | language)), dfCC)
```

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood

```
outcome ~ 1 + warmth + physical_punishment + identity + intervention + HDI + (1 | country) +
logLik    -2 logLik      AIC      AICc      BIC
-9663.2194 19326.4388 19344.4388 19344.4990 19398.4962
```

Variance components:

	Column	Variance	Std.Dev.
language (Intercept)		1.12193	1.05921
country (Intercept)		3.36119	1.83335
Residual		35.11960	5.92618

Number of obs: 3000; levels of grouping factors: 100, 30

Fixed-effects parameters:

	Coef.	Std. Error	z	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	51.9226	1.41106	36.80	<1e-99
warmth	0.833146	0.0579811	14.37	<1e-46
physical_punishment	-0.997975	0.080268	-12.43	<1e-34
identity	-0.292243	0.219142	-1.33	0.1823
intervention	0.609746	0.219514	2.78	0.0055
HDI	-0.00158794	0.0204156	-0.08	0.9380

Interpretation

Arel-Bundock, Vincent, Nils Enevoldsen, and CJ Yetman. 2018. "Countrycode: An r Package to Convert Country Names and Country Codes." *Journal of Open Source Software* 3 (28): 848. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00848>.