Workshop on Multilevel Modeling 2 (Cross Classified Models)

Andy Grogan-Kaylor

11 Sep 2023 10:31:49

Navigation

When this document is presented in slide show format, some slides may be long, and you may need to scroll down to see the full slide. In slide show format **b** makes text bigger, and **s** makes text smaller.

Introduction

A two level multilevel model imagines that Level 1 units are nested in Level 2 units. A three level multilevel model imagines that Level 1 units are nested in Level 2 units, which are in turn nested in Level 3.

A cross-classified model imagines that the nesting is not hierarchical, but rather that there are two sets of clusters or nestings in which individuals may be nested.

Setup

```
. use "../multilevel-thinking/simulate-and-analyze-multilevel-data/simulated_multilevel_long
> itudinal_data.dta", clear
```

Cross Classified Model

We can treat these random effects as being cross classified.

This might be useful if we had data where individuals lived in different countries at different times.

However, because id is in fact nested inside country, in this case, estimating the random effects as cross classified will be more time consuming, but will give us equivalent results to a three level model.

Standard (Less Computationally Efficient) Syntax

The below syntax will take a very long time to run with the full sample, and thus we have commented it out.

```
. * mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment || _all: R.country || _all: R.id . . . * est store crossed1
```

The documentation notes that we can use a *much* more computationally efficient version of the above command, which is what we do in these notes. The user can verify that both versions of the command will produce equivalent results.

In fact, at the end of handout we verify the similarity of both sets of syntax using a random sample.

Cross Classified With Computationally Efficient Syntax

. mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment || _all: R.country || id:

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -28554.574

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -28554.549 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -28554.549

Computing standard errors ...

Mixed-effects ML regression

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

Group variable	No. of	Obser	vations per	group
	groups	Minimum	Average	Maximum
_all	1 3,000	9,000	9,000.0	9,000

Wald chi2(3) = 1156.04Log likelihood = -28554.549Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

outcome	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
t	.9880161	.0658318	15.01	0.000	.8589881	1.117044
warmth	.9494521	.0383876	24.73	0.000	.8742138	1.02469
physical_punishment	9247961	.0501648	-18.44	0.000	-1.023117	8264749
_cons	51.4432	.4233657	121.51	0.000	50.61342	52.27299

Random-effects parameters	Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf.	interval]
_all: Identity var(R.country)	3.672826	.9942325	2.16063	6.243387
id: Identity var(_cons)	9.0953	. 4874893	8.188312	10.10275
var(Residual)	26.00112	.4747689	25.08704	26.9485

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(2) = 1348.94

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Three Level Model

. mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment || country: || id: // 3 level w/ random interc > epts only

Performing EM optimization ...

Performing gradient-based optimization:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -28554.574

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -28554.549
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -28554.549

Computing standard errors ...

 ${\tt Mixed-effects}\ {\tt ML}\ {\tt regression}$

Number of obs = 9,000

Grouping information

	No. of	Observ	ations per	group
Group variable	groups	Minimum	Average	Maximum
country	30 3,000	300 3	300.0	300

Wald chi2(3) = 1156.04

[.] est store crossed2 // store crossed effects result

I.og	likelihood	=	-28554	549

Prob	`	chil	=	Ω	.0000
PLOD	_	CHIZ	_	U.	. OOOO

outcome	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
t warmth physical_punishment	.9880161 .9494521 9247961	.0658318 .0383876 .0501648	15.01 24.73 -18.44	0.000 0.000 0.000	.8589881 .8742138 -1.023117	1.117044 1.02469 8264749
_cons	51.4432	.4233657	121.51	0.000	50.61342	52.27299

Random-effects parameters	Estimate	Std. err.	[95% conf.	interval]
country: Identity var(_cons)	3.672826	.9942325	2.16063	6.243387
id: Identity				
var(_cons)	9.0953	.4874893	8.188312	10.10275
var(Residual)	26.00112	.4747689	25.08704	26.9485

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(2) = 1348.94

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Nice Table of Results of Three Level and Cross Classified Model

- . est table threelevel crossed2, /// > b(%9.3f) star stats(N ll chi2) ///
- > varwidth(20) modelwidth(15)

Variable	threelevel	crossed2	
outcome			
t	0.988***	0.988***	
warmth	0.949***	0.949***	
physical_punishment	-0.925***	-0.925***	
_cons	51.443***	51.443***	
lns1_1_1			
_cons	0.650***	0.650***	
lns2_1_1			
_cons	1.104***	1.104***	
lnsig_e			
_cons	1.629***	1.629***	
Statistics			
N	9000	9000	
11	-2.86e+04	-2.86e+04	
chi2	1156.045	1156.045	

Legend: * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

Verification of Syntax Equivalence for Cross Classified Model

```
. keep if family <= 5 // random sample of families (8,550 observations deleted)
```

٠

[.] est store threelevel $\ensuremath{//}$ store random intercept model

[.] quietly mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment || _all: R.country || _all: R.id

[.] est store crossed1A // less efficient syntax

```
. quietly mixed outcome t warmth physical_punishment || _all: R.country || id:
.
. est store crossed2A // more efficient syntax
.
. est table crossed1A crossed2A, ///
> b(%9.3f) star stats(N ll chi2) ///
> varwidth(20) modelwidth(15)
```

Variable	crossed1A	crossed2A
outcome		
t	1.222***	1.222***
warmth	0.854***	0.854***
physical_punishment	-1.214***	-1.214***
_cons	51.616***	51.616***
lns1_1_1		
_cons	0.649*	0.649*
lns1_2_1		
_cons	1.132***	
lnsig_e		
_cons	1.716***	1.716***
lns2_1_1		
_cons		1.132***
Statistics		
N	450	450
11	-1469.923	-1469.923
chi2	58.301	58.301

Legend: * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

•

QUESTIONS???