Interactions in Logistic Regression

New Version

Andy Grogan-Kaylor

2024-03-09

Table of contents

1	Background	1
2	Get The Data	3
3	Describe The Data	3
4	Estimate Logistic Regression	3
5	Margins	4
6	Plotting Margins	4
7	Rerun margins, Posting Results	5
8	margins with coeflegend	5
9	Testing Margins Against Each Other	6

1 Background

The purpose of this tutorial is to illustrate the idea that in *logistic regression*, the β parameter for an interaction term may not accurately characterize the underlying interactive relationships.

This idea may be easier to describe if we recall the formula for a logistic regression:

$$\ln\left(\frac{P(y)}{1-P(y)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 \times x_2$$

⚠ Warning

In the above formula, the sign, and statistical significance, of β_3 may not accurately characterize the underlying relationship.




Figure 1: Demonstration of Interactive Relationships

? Key Idea

In a linear model, a single parameter can capture the difference in slopes between the two groups. In a non-linear model, no single parameter can capture the difference in slopes between the two groups.

Some Calculus (Not Essential To The Discussion)

Imagine a linear model:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 \times x_2 + e_i$$

Here (following Ai and Norton (2003)):

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \beta_3$$

We use logit to describe:

$$\ln\left(\frac{P(y)}{1 - P(y)}\right)$$

In the logistic model, the quantity:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{logit}(y)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}$$

does not have such a straightforward solution, and–importantly for this discussion–is not simply equal to β_3 .

2 Get The Data

We start by obtaining *simulated data* from StataCorp.

```
clear all
graph close _all
use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r15/margex, clear
```

(Artificial data for margins)

3 Describe The Data

The variables are as follows:

describe

Contains data

Observations: 0
Variables: 0

Sorted by:

4 Estimate Logistic Regression

We then run a logistic regression model in which outcome is the dependent variable. age and group are the independent variables. We estimate an interaction of group and age.

We note that the regression coefficient for the interaction terms are not statistically significant.

```
logit outcome c.age##i.group
```

```
no variables defined
r(111);
end of do-file
r(111);
```

5 Margins

We use the margins command to estimate predicted probabilities at different values of group and age.

```
margins group, at(age = (20 30 40 50 60))

last estimates not found
r(301);
end of do-file
r(301);
```

6 Plotting Margins

margins provides a lot of results, which can be difficult to understand. Therefore, we use marginsplot to plot these margins results.

There certainly seems to be some kind of interaction of group and age.

```
marginsplot
graph export mymarginsplot.png, width(1000) replace

previous command was not margins
r(301);
end of do-file
r(301);
```




Figure 2: Margins Plot

7 Rerun margins, Posting Results

We again employ the margins command, this time using the post option so that the results of the margins command are *posted* as an estimation result. This will allow us to employ the test command to statistically test different margins against each other.

```
margins group, at(age = (20 30 40 50 60)) post

last estimates not found
r(301);
end of do-file
r(301);
```

8 margins with coeflegend

We follow up by using the margins command with the coeflegend option to see the way in which Stata has labeled the different margins.

```
margins, coeflegend
```

```
last estimates not found
r(301);
end of do-file
r(301);
```

9 Testing Margins Against Each Other

Lastly, we test the margins at age 20 across some of the groups, and again at ages 50 and 60 for some of the groups.

We note that the original regression parameter for the interaction term was not statistically significant. Indeed, the margins at age 20 are not statistically significantly different by group (1 vs. 2), though they are close to being significantly different. However, at ages 50 & 60, there is a statistically significant difference by group (1 vs. 2).

```
test _b[1bn._at#1bn.group] = _b[1bn._at#2.group] // groups 1 & 2 at age 20

test _b[4._at#1bn.group] = _b[4._at#2.group] // groups 1 & 2 at age 50

test _b[5._at#1bn.group] = _b[5._at#2.group] // groups 1 & 2 at age 60

last estimates not found
r(301);
end of do-file
r(301);
```

Ai, Chunrong, and Edward C. Norton. 2003. "Interaction Terms in Logit and Probit Models." Economics Letters. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-1765(03)00032-6.

Karaca-Mandic, Pinar, Edward C. Norton, and Bryan Dowd. 2012. "Interaction Terms in Nonlinear Models." *Health Services Research*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2011. 01314.x.