Neuilly July 6 1962

Dear Hironaka,

I had a little thought over our conversation last tuesday, it occurred to me that the type of argument I used yields in fact the following stronger result:

Theorem Let f:X Y be a proper morphism of analytic spaces over \underline{C} , let y Y, Y_n=Spec an $(\underline{O}_y/\underline{m}_y^{n+1})$, X_n=Xx_yY_n,

$$Pic(X_y) = R^{1}f(Q_{X}^{\circ})_{y} = \lim_{U \ni y} Pic(f^{-1}(U)),$$

$$Pic(X_y) = \lim_{U \ni y} Pic(X_n)$$

and consider the canonical homomorphisms

Then the following are true:

- (1) The inverse system $(Pic(X_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the condition of Mittag-Leffler (even with Artin-Riesz type of uniformity).
- (ii) Im $v_n = \text{Im } v_n u = (\text{in virtue of (i)})$ set of universal images of $\text{Pic}(X_n)$ in the inverse system $(\text{Pic}(X_m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iii) In order for u to be an isomorphism, it is nec and suff that $R^1f_*(\underline{O}_X)$ has a support not containing y or having y as an isolated point, i.e. $R^1f_*(\underline{O}_X)_y$ is a module of finite length.

In fact (i) can be made more precise:

(i bis) In the inverse system $(\frac{\text{Pic}_{X_n}}{c})_n$ of analytic groups, the system of the "Néron-Sévéri groups" is constant for n large, whereas for n > n and n large, the Kernel and Cokernel of

$$\frac{\operatorname{Pic}_{X_m}/\underline{c}}{\to} \frac{\operatorname{Pic}_{X_n}/\underline{c}}{\to}$$

are just vector groups.

Parts (i) and (ii) yield the

Coroladry 1 The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) There exists an open U y such that X U is projective over U
- (ii) For every n, X, is a projective analytic space.

For instance, if dim X < 1, then (ii) and hence (i) holds.

The proof of the theorem only uses Grauerts analogues of the algebraic theorems of finiteners and comparison for direct images (of his blue paper) and the usual exact sequence $0 \ge 0 \circ 0$, together with some standard use of Mittag-Leffler story and five lemma. It is valid in fact for any $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\underline{0}^{\circ})$, not only i=1 (which seems the only one however to have geometric significance).

In the case of a formal scheme proper over a complete noeth. local ring with residue field of caracteristic 0, the analogon of the previous theorem (reducing to statements (i), (i bis)) ittive hold true, and I wrote a purely algebraic proof of this, relying only on the fact that the Kernel and Cokernel of Pick Pick are without n+1 torsion, and Néron's finiteness theorem; in particular, the analogon of corollary 1 holds true in this case. These results break down of course in car. > 0.

However, using the (as yet unwritten!) Gaga of Serre-Grauert-Remmert-Grothendieck (cf Grauert-Remmerts paper, complemented by the method of an old talk of mine in Cartan's Seminar, to recover the case of proper morphisms of shhemes from the projective one, via Chow's lemma ...), the analytic theorem above yiels an interesting intrinsic property of analytic algebras over <u>C</u>, with respect to algebraic geometry

over such am local ring:

Theorem Let A be an analytic algebra over C (we can suppose A to be the ring of convergent power series; in n variables), A its completion, I and I the spectra, X a proper scheme over Y, X= Xx,I. Then:

- (i) The inverse system $(Pic(X_n))$ satisfies MLAR (as stated above, this depends only on the car.O assumption for the residue field).
- (ii) $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ have same image in $\operatorname{Ric}(X_n)$, namely the group of "universal images". (NB recall $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Pic}(X_n)$).
- (iii) In order for $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ to be an isomorphism, it is necessary and sufficient that supp $\operatorname{R}^1f_*(\underline{O_X^*}) \subset (y)$, i.e. $\operatorname{H}^1(X,\underline{O_X})$ of finite length over A. (NB It amounts also to the same to imps ask that the inverse system of the subgroups $\operatorname{Pic}^1(X_n)$ of universal images is constant for large n).

We get for example:

Corollary 1 The following conditions are equivalent: (i) X/Y projective (ii) \hat{X}/\hat{X} projective (iii) For every n, \hat{X}_n/\hat{Y}_n projective.

This applies for instance if dim $X_0 \le 1$.

Now, applying your theorem of <u>resolution of singularities</u>, and Mumford's method of relating the local Picard group of A to the global Picard group of a regular scheme dominating A birationnally, one gets from the last statement im (iii) of last theorem:

Corollary 2. Let A be as above, assume thexelessed painting and Y = \hat{Y} = \hat{Y} - (\hat{y}) regular, and let \hat{Y} = \hat{Y} - (\hat{y}) . Then $Pic(\hat{Y})$ \rightarrow $Pic(\hat{Y})$ is an isomorphism.

This explains "à priori" (when A is normal) why Mumford was

to introduce fatelessixforexidinate on the group of divisor-classes of A a structure of an analytic group (which in fact is algebraic...), which from the algebraic point of view should be possible rather for the group of divisor classes of the completion A; of course Mumford uses directly the same kind of argument I used.

I do not know if in the last statement, the hypothesis that I' is regular ("y isolated singularity") is essential; we could dispense with it (ifxxysm and replace it simply by "A reduced" if you can prove by your theory of resolution the following: if f:X-Y is proper "birational", X regular, then $R^1f_*(\underline{O}_Y) = 0$. I understand you proved this if Y also is regular (which is easily checked by your theory), but I wonder if this is really needed. I would not be surprised either if in this statement, I' can be replaced by any open subset of Y (replacing of course Y' by the inverse image of the latter). Moreover, I would expect the analoguous statements to hold for I, , more generally for all "topological" invariants as Weil homology, homotopy groups etc , that can be defined for schemes. This should be related to the fact that all these invariants vanish for the geometric fibers of the morphism Spec(A) Spec(A). This is easy to check at least for To (and is true in fact for any henselian ring which is a "good" ring); however I do not know if this is true also for U, .

Besides, I would not be surprised if most of the previous results (namely parts (ii) and (iii) of the Recond theorem, and the two corolla ries, as well as the pravious conjectures of the previous section) did hold true for any "good" ring which is henselian, at least for the

"henselian closures" of the local rings arising from algebras of finite type over a fiels, or over the integers, - although I do not have any result along these lines (except stemming from my remark on (a). Exercisery This can be stated of course directly in terms of aconjectures for the latter local rings without explicit reference to a henselian closure, for instance corollary 2 would yield the conjectural statement: Let A be a local rain ring of an algebra of finite type over a field, Aits completion, Y and Y the spectra, Y' and Y' the complements of the closed points, then any invertible sheaf on I' can be defined by an inversitible sheaf on some I,', where Y, is local and Y, Y is étale with trivial residue field extension (i.e. inducing an isomorphism for the completions in A A7). I wonder what information is given by Mumfords example in his blue paper, p.16 , which I believe yields a case where the invertible sheaf considered does not come from an invertible sheaf on Y'? I six was not able to understand his construction.

Anyhow, one should be able to determine whether or not the analytic algebras over C have any significant intrinsic property which is not shared by all "good" henselian rings with residu field of car. O

(I recall that by good I mean - "quotient of a regular local ring B such that the fibers of Spec B -> Spec(B) are universally regular).

Please give my regards to Waka, and also Mireille's; she just got the parcel from Waka, and was extremely pleased, in fact, she slipped into her new bed-shirt on the spot, and is delighted by it in every respect.

Sincerely yours