

Geodesic Paths and Distances

Report on A Survey of Algorithms for Geodesic Paths and Distances

Matthieu Boyer*
École Normale Supérieure
Paris, France
matthieu.boyer@ens.fr

Antoine Groudiev*
École Normale Supérieure
Paris, France
antoine.groudiev@ens.fr

Figure 1

Abstract

In this report, we look at different methods to compute shortest-paths on meshed 2-manifolds embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , based on [CLPQ20]. We will most notably compare different types of methods, either coming from the resolution of PDEs on the manifold and unfolding the embedding to \mathbb{R}^2 . We will also provide benchmarks on the different methods, implemented in Rust.

Keywords

Geodesic, Paths, Distances

Introduction

1 Mathematical reminders

A 2-manifold (without boundary) is a topological space in which all points have neighbourhoods homeomorphic to disks (without boundary) in \mathbb{R}^2 . This means that zooming enough on every point looks like the plane.

In our context, we will be given a 2-manifold already meshed¹, that is, a finite set $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ of vertices (of cardinal $n_{\mathbb{V}}$) and a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \llbracket 1, n_{\mathcal{F}} \rrbracket^3$ of faces, given by the indices of the associated vertices. The edges E of the manifold are given by any subsets of size two of $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Because we can't have continuous functions, functions on the manifold will be represented as functions on \mathbb{V} , on E or on \mathcal{F} . As such, we will take any function and interpolate it linearly on each face, giving us a piecewise-linear function.

A path on a piecewise-linear manifold can then be understood as interpolating on pieces of the manifold, or directly computing the curves on the mesh. The quality of the approximation by the mesh of the 2-manifold will never be taken into account in the quality results.

2 PDE-based methods

In this section we will study methods inspired by physical equations. Indeed, many physical phenomena propagate along the surfaces over time, and dissipate over space, thus allowing us to retrieve geodesics from solutions to the equations.

2.1 General Theory

Consider the parabolic heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_t = \Delta u_t.$$

*Both authors contributed equally.

¹[CLPQ20] gives a few methods to create such a meshing.

Here u_t is the temperature profile at time t and Δ is the laplacian operator (or divergence of the gradient operator). However, on a piecewise-linear manifold, because functions on vertices are interpolated linearly to become functions on the manifold, the gradient is piecewise-constant and can be computed explicitly from the values at each vertex. Consider a face $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with vertices $p_i, p_j, p_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $e_1 = p_j - p_i$ and $e_2 = p_k - p_i$. The face normal is

$$n_f = \frac{e_1 \times e_2}{|e_1 \times e_2|}$$

where \times is the cross product in \mathbb{R}^3 and thus the gradient, being perpendicular to level curves is

$$\nabla u|_f = \frac{1}{2A_f} \sum_{l \in f} u_l (n_f \times e_l)$$

where $A_f = \frac{1}{2} |e_1 \times e_2|$ is the area of face f and e_l is the **opposite** to vertex l . Note that we can represent the gradient as a matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\mathbb{V}} \times 3n_{\mathcal{F}}}$, although this representation is really inefficient for practical computation. The definition of the divergence then comes from the Gauss-Ostrogradski theorem by integration by parts

$$(\nabla \cdot X)_i = \frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{f \ni i} \sum_{e \in f} \frac{1}{2} \cot(\alpha_e^f) \langle X_f, e \rangle$$

where A_i is the Voronoi area associated with vertex i and α_e^f is the angle at the vertex opposite to edge e in f .

Finally, we can define the Laplace-Beltrami operator (the piecewise-linear version of the continuous laplacian) as $\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or simply

$$(\Delta u)_i = \frac{1}{2A_i} \sum_{e=(i,j)} (\cot \alpha_{i,j} + \cot \beta_{i,j}) (u_i - u_j)$$

where $\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j}$ are the two angles opposite edge (i, j) . One could then see the Laplace-Beltrami operator as a matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\mathbb{V}} \times n_{\mathbb{V}}}$.

After the spatial discretization of the laplace operator we just described, operating a time discretization in a single backward Euler step for some fixed time t will give us approximate solutions to the equation. If we want to find the distance maps from some set $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}$, we simply solve the linear equation associated to the continuous equation we need to solve.

2.2 Implementations

In our implementation, we compared multiple methods allowing to trace geodesics. Not all of those will actually compute the true geodesic distance, but something that can be drawn to it.

Heat Method. This method is based on the heat equation $\nabla u_t = \frac{d}{dt} u_0$. We discretize it as:

$$(\text{id} - t\Delta)u_t = u_0$$

Retrieving the true geodesic distance can be done by first normalizing the gradient $X = -\nabla u_t / |\nabla u_t|$ of the solution of the above equation that points along geodesics, then solving the Poisson equation $\Delta\phi = \text{div} X$ to retrieve the true distance function. This fact comes from the Varadhan formula $\phi(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{-4t \log k_{t,x}(y)}$. [CWW17] suggest that the proper value of t to use for computations here is

around h^2 with h the mean spacing between adjacent nodes, as $h\Delta$ is invariant with respect to scale.

Poisson Equations. The equations in this paragraph all allow to draw geodesics, although they do not give

3 Improved Chan-Han

References

- [CLPQ20] Keenan Crane, Marco Livesu, Enrico Puppo, and Yipeng Qin. A survey of algorithms for geodesic paths and distances, 2020.
- [CWW17] Keenan Crane, Clarisse Weischedel, and Max Wardetzky. The heat method for distance computation. *Commun. ACM*, 60(11):90–99, October 2017.