

## **Checklist of EJM guidelines for the description of statistical methods**

- Provide a clear description of the design of your study or experiment; it is often informative to state the null hypothesis.
- Describe and justify the statistical approaches and the selection of statistical tests.
- Justify use of parametric vs. non-parametric tests
- If you report null (or negative) statistical results and attribute significance to such results, describe the methods used to determine adequate statistical power.
- Describe and justify data transformation procedures (e.g. arcsin transformation of percentage data).
- Define all within-subject and between-subject factors.
- Define planned comparisons.
- For multiple comparisons and multiple correlations, define measures taken to reduce Type I errors (e.g. Bonferroni- adjusted alpha levels).
- For repeated measures ANOVAs, define measures taken to control for violation of the sphericity assumption; describe how you report results of corrected degrees of freedom statistics.
- Determine the alpha level used as a significance criterion for your tests.
- State the name and version of the statistical software that was used (also company, city, state, country).

## Checklist of EJM guidelines for the reporting of statistical data

- Important descriptive statistics, such as mean and standard deviation (SD), or standard error of the mean (SEM), need to be either represented graphically or numerically in the text.
- All statements concerning significance must be qualified numerically.
- Always report the test statistic, the degrees of freedom, the test value, and the *P*-value that the result occurred at chance under the null hypothesis.
- For tests involving 1 degree of freedom (e.g. Student's *t*-test), state whether a directional or non-directional test was conducted.
- Round test statistics and individual *P*-value equalities to two significant figures, if applicable.
- To avoid ambiguities, all statistical variables should be italicized (*F*, *t*, *P*).
- In accordance with Greenwald et al. (1996) we recommend reporting individual *P*-values as equalities rather than as inequalities in relation to an alpha criterion (e.g. *P* = 0.003 as opposed to *P* < 0.01). However, inequalities may be useful for groups of data (e.g. in Tables or graphics).
- Ensure that all *P*-values defined in Figure legends and Table footnotes are linked (e.g. by symbols such as asterisks) to the corresponding data.
- The format of the description of the statistical results should follow these examples:

$$F_{1,32} = 22.32, P = 0.08$$

$$t_{27} = 7.85, P = 0.17$$

$$\chi^2 = 20.32 (n = 62), P = 0.35$$

$$r_{28} = 0.73; P = 0.04$$

- We recommend the use of parentheses to maintain the readability of statements [e.g. 'Blockade of AMPA receptors attenuated the firing rate of ventral pallidal neurons (main effect of concentration of DNQX:  $F_{1,32} = 28.32, P = 0.03$ ). However, the attenuation of firing rate was greater in animals lacking M1 muscarinic receptors when compared to wild type mice (interaction between effects of DNQX and genotype:  $F = \dots$ ; main effect of genotype:  $F = \dots$ )'].]
- Corrected degrees of freedom statistics (for omnibus repeated measures ANOVAs and if corrections are required because of violation of the sphericity assumption; see above): in order to preserve the transparency of the statistical design, we recommend reporting the uncorrected degrees of freedom together with the corrected test value; authors may also report the correction factor  $\epsilon$  to indicate the degree of sphericity.