

An Analysis of Various Time-Series Forecasting Techniques Applied to Cryptocurrency Trading

Alex Guerra

November 8, 2018

Background

The problem of correctly predicting markets algorithmic-ally has long been a goal of traders globally. As a result, there have been a plethora of methodologies and practices developed to undertake this task. The aim of this paper is to continue to explore some of these ideas and improve upon them. The trading will be done entirely against the cryptocurrency market, a unique but relatively unexplored area of trading.

Gradient Descent Algorithm for Approximating Prices

The goal of this model is to predict the next data point in a stable periodic market given previous prices. It is primitive, yet if a market is truly periodic, this model should produce better than random results.

If we have (for $\theta = (\theta_0, \dots, \theta_3)$ and a scalar $x \in \mathbb{R}$ representing one timestep), our hypothesis function looks like:

$$h_{\theta(x)} = \theta_0 \sin(\theta_1 x + \theta_2) + \theta_3 + \theta_4 x$$

and our cost function look like (where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the number of data points we evaluate this on)

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h_{\theta} \left(x^{(i)} \right) - y^{(i)} \right)^2,$$

then gradient descent dictates that to update our θ_j , we have (with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ as the learning rate)

$$\theta_{j+1} := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

What remains to do is to calculate the partials for each θ_j . Note that this is not a trivial task as our hypothesis is very much non-linear. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta)(x_j) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) \sin \left(\theta_1 x^{(i)} + \theta_2 \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta)(x_j) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) \theta_0 x^{(i)} \cos \left(\theta_1 x^{(i)} + \theta_2 \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} J(\theta)(x_j) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) \theta_0 \cos \left(\theta_1 x^{(i)} + \theta_2 \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_3} J(\theta)(x_j) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_4} J(\theta)(x_j) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(h(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a method that will, for a sufficiently small learning rate, locally minimize the cost function for a given hypothesis (note that a global minimum is far from guaranteed due to the non-convexness of the hypothesis function). If we have succeeded, we will have successfully fit a sine function to the data, from which we can move on to the next step. We will use this model to predict the next x , and due to the periodic nature of the true data, in the long run we will correctly predict the price more than we incorrectly do.

One important assumption of the previous paragraph was the convexity of the function we are trying to minimize. Unfortunately, in our model, this assumption is not met. There are computationally expensive ways of being relatively certain that a global minimum has been reached; however, in a market setting where these models need to be trained in a couple seconds, this will not suffice. Thus, to account for that, we must find a heuristic way of starting in the right “convex pool” so that gradient descent can work as intended. What we do is use heuristics to take good guesses for θ_0 , θ_2 , θ_3 , and θ_4 , and then run multiple threads in parallel with different starting values of θ_1 to converge in different convex pools, from which we select the one with the lowest error to maximize the probability that we have found a global minimum. This can be scaled well and can converge very quickly with proper implementation.

Ridge Detection

In general, the markets are stable, and aforementioned techniques can trade for a profit, however, there are times when the price of a certain cryptocurrency hits a ridge, defined to be a sharp increase or decrease in price. In these scenarios, it is often not possible to assume that we can fit our data to a curve, for example, in the case of regression. We thus introduce a second trader acting in parallel to the Gradient descent algorithm, looking for ridges and taking control of trading when one is happening. The manner in which we detect ridges has started in a naive manner. We simply look at the mean and standard deviation of the last n points, and then look to see if the z -score of the current price (z_p) is outside of some threshold centered around 0. If it is above this threshold, then we know that an upwards ridge is happening, and we trade to buy as much of the cryptocurrency as possible. The opposite happens for when the z -score is below this threshold. Mathematically we trade when

$$|z_p - \bar{p}| > c\sigma_p, \text{ where } \bar{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n \text{ and } \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (p_n - \bar{p})^2}{N - 1}}.$$

The question then becomes a matter of tuning c to the sensitivity with which we want to detect ridges. After some quick empirical testing, I found that setting this to around 2 produced close to optimal results. The

number of data points N we look back also becomes a relatively important matter. Again, empirically it was determined that keeping this number relatively low (below 30 for 60 second updates) worked well. This makes sense as ridges are very local events not determined by any global patterns, so only very recent, local data should be used to determine whether or not a ridge is present.

A neural network approach (Largely undeveloped, still reading)

Brainstorming thoughts: Recurrent neural networks might be useful for this task via the Long short-term memory algorithm (LSTM). This has seen success in some time-series forecasting related problems, but seems to not be very prevalent in the trading world as of yet. One potential advantage of these is that they can easily learn from multiple scales of time series data (seconds, minutes, hours, days, weeks, etc.) and determine long term and short term patterns for the data, from which we can trade appropriately. If implemented correctly, this could be a much more powerful method than above as it will have a more fundamental understanding of how the market operates and be able to predict trends that more naive models might not be able to. One potential con of this approach is that it would work exponentially better as we increase the variety of data it gets. The API we get data from does give us a fair variety of data at our disposal, but this may not be enough data for our neural network to learn something useful from. Another cool thing about LSTM networks is that they can be made to constantly adapt themselves for the changing environment, something potentially very useful in the unpredictable realm of the cryptocurrency markets.

Papers regarding using LSTM with time-series data:

1. Forecasting Economics and Financial Time Series: ARIMA vs. LSTM. This paper seems to compare LSTM to more standard techniques, and seems to be tied very closely to the problem I am trying to solve. The paper claims that LSTM's brought on a significant improvement in model performance, making it a very interesting read.
2. A Deep Learning Approach for Forecasting Air Pollution in South Korea Using LSTM. This paper, while not at all related to financial forecasting, is still relevant due to the novel techniques used when applying LSTM to time-series data. In addition to the standard LSTM cells used, they employ an encoder-decoder model, which are used in machine comprehension problems to allow the computer to better understand the data as a whole.

I quickly glanced at a few other papers, but these seem to be the most relevant and I will thus focus on these for the time being.