Quiz 2, STATS 401 W18

In lab on 3/29 or 3/30

This document produces different random quizzes each time the source code generating it is run. The actual quiz will be a realization generated by this random process, or something similar.

Instructions. You have a time allowance of 50 minutes, though the quiz may take you much less time and you can leave lab once you are done. The quiz is closed book, and you are not allowed access to any notes. Any electronic devices in your possession must be turned off and remain in a bag on the floor.

Formulas

The following formulas will be provided. To use these formulas properly, you need to make appropriate definitions of the necessary quantities.

- $(1) \qquad \mathbf{b} = (\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbb{X})^{-1}\,\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{y}$
- (2) $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1}$
- (3) $\operatorname{Var}(A\mathbf{Y}) = A\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{Y})A^{\top}$
- (4) $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \operatorname{E}[(X \operatorname{E}[X])^2] = \operatorname{E}[X^2] (\operatorname{E}[X])^2$
- (5) $\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \operatorname{E}[(X \operatorname{E}[X])(Y \operatorname{E}[Y])] = \operatorname{E}[XY] \operatorname{E}[X]\operatorname{E}[Y]$
- (6) The binomial (n, p) distribution has mean np and variance np(1 p).

From ?pnorm:

```
pnorm(q, mean = 0, sd = 1)
qnorm(p, mean = 0, sd = 1)
q: vector of quantiles.
p: vector of probabilities.
```

Q1. Calculating means and variances, and making a normal approximation

Suppose that an analysis of a large dataset from another comparable university gave a coefficient of 0.03528 for the ACT variable when fitting a linear model using ACT score and high school rank. The admissions director is interested whether the difference could reasonably be chance variation due to having only a sample of 705 students, or whether the universities have differences beyond what can be explained by sample variation. Suppose that population value for this school is also 0.03528. Supposing the probability model in P1 and P2, and using a normal approximation, find an expression for the probability that the difference between the coefficient estimate for the data (0.03721) and the hypothetical true value (0.03528) is larger in magnitude than the observed value (0.03721-0.03528). Write your answer as a call to pnorm(). Your call to pnorm may involve specifying any necessary numerical calculations that you can't work out without access to a computer or calculator.

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables each of which take the value 0 with probability 0.5, 1 with probability 0.25 and -1 with probability 0.25. Find the mean and variance of X_1 . Use this to find the mean and variance of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Now suppose n = 100 and suppose that \bar{X} is well approximated by a normal distribution. Find a number c such that $P(-c < \bar{X} < c)$ is approximately 0.9. Write your answer as a call to qnorm(). Your call to qnorm may involve specifying any necessary numerical calculations that you can't work out without access to a computer or calculator.

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables each of which take value 0 with probability 1/3 and 1 with probability 2/3.

- (a) Use the definitions and basic properties of expectation and variance to find the expected value and variance of X_1 .
- (b) Use these results to find the mean and variance of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. (You might notice that this calculation is related to the binomial distribution. You can use that to check your work, if you like, but you are asked to find the solution directly.)
- (c) Now suppose n=50 and suppose that \bar{X} is well approximated by a normal distribution. Find $P(0.45 < \bar{X} < 0.55)$. Write your answer as a call to pnorm(). Your call to pnorm may involve specifying any necessary numerical calculations that you can't work out without access to a computer or calculator.

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables each of which take the value 0 with probability 0.25, and 4 with probability 0.75. Find the mean and variance of X_1 . Use this to find the mean and variance of $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Now suppose n = 200 and suppose that X is well approximated by a normal distribution. Find a number c such that P[-c < X < c] is approximately 0.9. Write your answer as a call to qnorm(). Your call to qnorm may involve specifying any necessary numerical calculations that you can't work out without access to a computer or calculator.

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables each of which has possible values 0, 1 and -1. The probability of taking 0 is 0.2 and the probability of 1 is 0.4. Find the mean and variance of $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Now suppose n = 100 and suppose that X is well approximated by a normal distribution. Find a number c such that P[X > c] is approximately 0.8. Write your answer as a call to qnorm(). Your call to qnorm may involve specifying any necessary numerical calculations that you can't work out without access to a computer or calculator.

Q2. Prediction using a linear model

To investigate the consequences of metal poisoning, 25 beakers of minnow larvae were exposed to varying levels of copper and zinc. The data were

```
toxicity <- read.table("toxicity.txt")
head(toxicity)</pre>
```

```
Copper Zinc Protein
##
## 1
           0
                 0
                        201
## 2
              375
                        186
## 3
              750
                        173
## 4
             1125
                        110
## 5
             1500
                        115
           0
## 6
          38
                        202
```

```
lm_toxicity <- lm(Protein~Copper+Zinc,data=toxicity)
round(coef(summary(lm_toxicity),3))</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 196 9 23 0
## Copper 0 0 -2 0
## Zinc 0 0 -6 0
```

The sample linear model is $\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{X}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}$. Here, y_i is a measurement of total larva protein at the end of the experiment (in microgram, μg). $\mathbb{X} = [x_{ij}]$ is a 25×3 matrix where $x_{i1} = 1$, x_{i2} is copper concentration (in parts per million, ppm) in beaker i, and x_{i3} is zinc concentration (in parts per million, ppm) in beaker i.

Suppose we're interested in predicting the protein in a new observation at 100ppm copper and 1000ppm zinc.

- (a) Specify the values in a row matrix x^* so that $y^* = x^*b$ gives a least squares prediction of the new observation.
- (b) Explain how to use the data vector y, the design matrix X, and your row vector x^* to construct a prediction interval that will cover the new measurement in approximately 95% of replications. Your answer should include formulas to construct this interval.
- (c) Explain briefly some things you would look for to check whether your prediction interval is reasonable.

Consider the birth weight data set we have seen in lab. For this question, we will look at columns but (birth weight), lut (mother's weight), age (mother's age) and race (mother's race, 1 for white, 2 for black and 3 for other).

```
library(MASS)
data(birthwt)
head(birthwt,3)
```

```
##
      low age lwt race smoke ptl ht ui ftv
##
   85
            19 182
                       2
                              0
                                  0
                                      0
                                              0 2523
##
  86
         0
            33 155
                       3
                              0
                                  0
                                      0
                                         0
                                              3 2551
## 87
            20 105
                       1
                                      0
                                              1 2557
```

```
lm_bw <- lm(bwt ~ lwt + age +factor(race), data = birthwt)
summary(lm_bw)$coef</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 2461.147482 314.722327 7.8200600 3.968682e-13
## lwt 4.619545 1.787729 2.5840294 1.054066e-02
## age 1.298831 10.107701 0.1284991 8.978943e-01
## factor(race)2 -447.614691 161.369310 -2.7738527 6.110757e-03
## factor(race)3 -239.356515 115.188920 -2.0779474 3.910220e-02
```

Now suppose we are interested in predicting the birthweight of a baby who has a 30-year-old white mother with weight 130.

- (a) Specify a row matrix x^* so that $\hat{y}^* = x^*b$ gives the least square predictor.
- (b) Write a matrix expression for the variance of $\hat{Y}^* = \boldsymbol{x}^* \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the least squares fit on model-generated data, i.e., $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}$.

We analyze the following data on video game sales in North America. This dataset records sales (in millions of dollars) for 580 games within three genres (shooter, sports and action) from two publishers (Electronic Arts and Activision) with years of release from 2006 to 2010 inclusive, on ten different platforms.

```
vg <- read.table("vg_sales.txt") ; head(vg)</pre>
```

```
##
                           Name Platform Year
                                                Genre
                                                             Publisher Sales
## 1
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                    X360 2010 Shooter
                                                            Activision 9.70
## 2
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                     PS3 2010 Shooter
                                                            Activision
## 3 Call of Duty: World at War
                                    X360 2008 Shooter
                                                            Activision
                                                                        4.81
## 4 Call of Duty: World at War
                                     PS3 2008 Shooter
                                                            Activision
                                                                        2.73
                 FIFA Soccer 11
## 5
                                     PS3 2010
                                               Sports Electronic Arts
                                                                        0.61
## 6
                  Madden NFL 07
                                     PS2 2006
                                              Sports Electronic Arts
```

Consider the probability model $Y_{ijk} = \alpha + \beta_j + \gamma_k + \epsilon_{ijk}$ where j = 1, 2, 3 specifies the genre (shooter, sports and action, respectively), k = 1, 2 gives the publisher (Electronic Arts and Activision, respectively), and i ranges over all the games in each (j, k) category. In order to code these factors, we set $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$. As usual, ϵ_{ijk} gives an independent $N[0, \sigma]$ error for game (i, j, k). Parameters in this probability model are estimated by least squares as follows:

```
lm_vg1 <- lm(Sales ~ Publisher + Genre, data = vg)
summary(lm_vg1)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Sales ~ Publisher + Genre, data = vg)
##
## Residuals:
##
                1Q Median
      Min
                                3Q
                                       Max
##
  -0.8444 -0.2662 -0.1352 0.0858 8.8556
##
## Coefficients:
##
                             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                             0.271127
                                        0.061346
                                                   4.420 1.18e-05 ***
## PublisherElectronic Arts -0.004955
                                        0.071076
                                                  -0.070
                                                             0.944
## GenreShooter
                             0.573315
                                        0.095061
                                                   6.031 2.91e-09 ***
## GenreSports
                                                   1.522
                             0.118062
                                        0.077585
                                                             0.129
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.7818 on 576 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.06154,
                                    Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 12.59 on 3 and 576 DF, p-value: 5.546e-08
```

Note that the output of summary(lm_vg1) tells you that R is using $\beta = (\alpha, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_2)$ as the parameter vector.

- (a) Write the first six lines of the design matrix X in the matrix version of the linear model $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$. Hint: the output from head(vg) tells you what the values of j and k are for each of the first six observations.
- (b) Suppose we're interested in the predicting the North American Sales of a shooting game released by Activision. Specify a row matrix x^* such that $y^* = x^*b$ gives the least square predictor of this quantity.

Recall the nels88 dataset from lab. These data are a subset of the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 which examined schoolchildren's performance on a math test score in 8th grade. ses is the socioeconomic status of parents and paredu is the parents highest level of education achieved (less than high school, high school, college, BA, MA, PhD). The data were as follows:

```
library(faraway)
data(nels88)
head(nels88)
```

```
##
                     ses paredu math
        sex race
## 1 Female White -0.13
                                   48
                             hs
       Male White -0.39
                                   48
## 3
       Male White -0.80
                             hs
                                   53
       Male White -0.72
                                   42
                             hs
## 5 Female White -0.74
                                   43
                             hs
## 6 Female White -0.58
                                   57
```

We fit a regression model to the data. The rounded co-efficients for the model are provided below:

```
fit <- lm(math ~ ses + paredu, data = nels88)
round(summary(fit)$coef)</pre>
```

##	Estimate	Std.	Error	t	value	Pr(> t)
## (Intercept)	59		2		33	0
## ses	3		1		2	0
## pareducollege	-8		2		-4	0
## pareduhs	-12		3		-5	0
## paredulesshs	-13		3		-4	0
## pareduma	-1		2		0	1
## pareduphd	-2		3		-1	0

- (a) Describe a suitable probability model, in matrix form, to give a sample version of the linear model that has been fit above.
- (b) Find the predicted math score for a student whose family has an ses value of -0.5 and whose parents' highest education level is high school (hs).
- (c) How is the residual standard error calculated for this model? (Give a formula).

Q3. Comparing means using a linear model

Consider the following linear model for the mouse diet data that we have studied repeatedly

```
mice <- read.table("femaleMiceWeights.csv",sep=",",header=TRUE)</pre>
head(mice)
##
     Diet Bodyweight
## 1 chow
                21.51
                28.14
## 2 chow
## 3 chow
                24.04
## 4 chow
                23.45
## 5 chow
                23.68
                19.79
## 6 chow
lm_mice <- lm(Bodyweight~Diet,data=mice)</pre>
model.matrix(lm_mice)
```

```
(Intercept) Diethf
##
## 1
                          0
                  1
## 2
                  1
                          0
## 3
                          0
                  1
## 4
                  1
                          0
## 5
                  1
                          0
## 6
                  1
                          0
## 7
                          0
                  1
## 8
                          0
                  1
                          0
## 9
                  1
## 10
                  1
                          0
                          0
## 11
                  1
## 12
                          0
                  1
## 13
                          1
                  1
## 14
                  1
                          1
## 15
                  1
                          1
## 16
                  1
                          1
## 17
                          1
## 18
                  1
                          1
## 19
                  1
                          1
## 20
                  1
                          1
## 21
## 22
                  1
                          1
## 23
                          1
## 24
                  1
                          1
## attr(,"assign")
## [1] 0 1
## attr(,"contrasts")
## attr(,"contrasts")$Diet
## [1] "contr.treatment"
```

- (a) Write down the sample linear model fitted in lm_mice using the subscript format.
- (b) Explain how to obtain estimates of the means of both treatment groups, and the difference between these means, from the coefficients of this sample linear model.

Let's consider the crabs data set we studied in lab. Recall that species (sp) is a factor with two levels, Blue (B) and Orange (O). We want to study the difference of frontal lobe size (FL) of two species.

```
library(MASS)
data(crabs)
head(crabs)
```

```
##
                     FL
                         RW
                              CL
                                    CW
     sp sex index
##
     В
          М
                 1
                    8.1 6.7 16.1 19.0 7.0
##
  2
      В
          М
                 2
                   8.8 7.7 18.1 20.8 7.4
## 3
                   9.2 7.8 19.0 22.4 7.7
     В
          Μ
                 3
      В
                 4
                   9.6 7.9 20.1 23.1 8.2
          М
## 5
      В
          М
                 5
                   9.8 8.0 20.3 23.0 8.2
## 6
      В
          М
                 6 10.8 9.0 23.0 26.5 9.8
```

Consider the probability model $Y_i = \mu_1 x_{Bi} + \mu_2 x_{Oi} + \epsilon_i$ for i = 1, ..., 200. Y_i is the frontal lobe size of crab i. x_{Bi} is 1 if crab i is of species Blue and 0 otherwise. Similarly, x_{Oi} is 1 if crab i is of species Orange and 0 otherwise. ϵ_i are i.i.d with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . This model can be fitted to the **crabs** dataset in R using the lm() function. The resulting summary is provided below.

```
lm_crab <- lm(FL~sp-1, data=crabs)
summary(lm_crab)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = FL ~ sp - 1, data = crabs)
##
## Residuals:
##
     Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                  Max
                         2.169
  -8.010 -2.410 0.390
##
                                7.244
##
## Coefficients:
##
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
         14.056
                     0.315
                             44.62
                                     <2e-16 ***
## spB
                     0.315
                             54.31
                                     <2e-16 ***
## sp0
         17.110
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 3.15 on 198 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9615, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9611
## F-statistic: 2470 on 2 and 198 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (a) Interpret the meaning of μ_1 and μ_2 in the above probability model?
- (b) Build a 95% confidence interval for μ_1 using normal approximation
- (c) Recall in homework we know that the full estimated covariance matrix of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2)$ can be found by

```
V <- summary(lm_crab)$cov.unscaled * summary(lm_crab)$s^2</pre>
```

```
## spB sp0
## spB 0.09923719 0.00000000
## sp0 0.00000000 0.09923719
```

Use V and information provided in summary(lm_crab) to write down an expression that constructs a 95% confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

We analyze the following data on video game sales in North America. This dataset records sales (in millions of dollars) for 580 games within three genres (shooter, sports and action) from two publishers (Electronic Arts and Activision) with years of release from 2006 to 2010 inclusive, on ten different platforms. We consider the following analysis

```
vg <- read.table("vg_sales.txt") ; head(vg)</pre>
##
                           Name Platform Year
                                                 Genre
                                                              Publisher Sales
## 1
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                     X360 2010 Shooter
                                                             Activision 9.70
## 2
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                      PS3 2010 Shooter
                                                             Activision
                                                                         5.99
## 3 Call of Duty: World at War
                                     X360 2008 Shooter
                                                             Activision
                                                                         4.81
## 4 Call of Duty: World at War
                                      PS3 2008 Shooter
                                                             Activision
                 FIFA Soccer 11
                                      PS3 2010
                                                Sports Electronic Arts
## 6
                  Madden NFL 07
                                      PS2 2006 Sports Electronic Arts
                                                                         3.63
lm_vg2 <- lm(Sales ~ Publisher-1, data = vg)</pre>
summary(lm_vg2)
##
## lm(formula = Sales ~ Publisher - 1, data = vg)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                10 Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
  -0.4412 -0.3212 -0.2136
                            0.0464
                                     9.2588
##
##
## Coefficients:
                             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## PublisherActivision
                              0.44124
                                         0.05095
                                                   8.661
                                                            <2e-16 ***
## PublisherElectronic Arts 0.41361
                                         0.04434
                                                   9.327
                                                            <2e-16 ***
##
                  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 0.8055 on 578 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2189, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2162
## F-statistic:
                   81 on 2 and 578 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The fitted probability model is $Y_{ij} = \pi_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ where j = 1, 2 specifies the publisher (Electronic Arts and Activision, respectively), and i ranges over all the games for each publisher. As usual, ϵ_{ij} gives an independent $N[0, \sigma]$ error for game (i, j). Parameters in this probability model are estimated by least squares as follows:

(a) What do the coefficients in the summary above measure?

(b) Explain how to build a 95% confidence interval for Activision sales using a normal approximation. You can use the property that P[Z < 1.96] = 0.975 when Z has a N[0, 1] distribution.

We analyze the following data on video game sales in North America. This dataset records sales (in millions of dollars) for 580 games within three genres (shooter, sports and action) from two publishers (Electronic Arts and Activision) with years of release from 2006 to 2010 inclusive, on ten different platforms. We consider the following analysis

```
vg <- read.table("vg_sales.txt") ; head(vg)</pre>
##
                            Name Platform Year
                                                               Publisher Sales
                                                  Genre
## 1
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                     X360 2010 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          9.70
## 2
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                       PS3 2010 Shooter
                                                                          5.99
                                                              Activision
## 3 Call of Duty: World at War
                                     X360 2008 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          4.81
## 4 Call of Duty: World at War
                                       PS3 2008 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          2.73
## 5
                 FIFA Soccer 11
                                       PS3 2010
                                                 Sports Electronic Arts
                                                                          0.61
## 6
                  Madden NFL 07
                                       PS2 2006
                                                 Sports Electronic Arts
lm_vg2 <- lm(Sales ~ Publisher-1, data = vg)</pre>
summary(lm_vg2)
##
## Call:
##
  lm(formula = Sales ~ Publisher - 1, data = vg)
##
```

```
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
##
  -0.4412 -0.3212 -0.2136
                            0.0464
                                     9.2588
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
                             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## PublisherActivision
                             0.44124
                                         0.05095
                                                   8.661
                                                           <2e-16 ***
## PublisherElectronic Arts
                             0.41361
                                         0.04434
                                                   9.327
                                                           <2e-16 ***
## ---
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 0.8055 on 578 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2189, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2162
## F-statistic:
                   81 on 2 and 578 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The fitted probability model is $Y_{ij} = \pi_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ where j = 1, 2 specifies the publisher (Electronic Arts and Activision, respectively), and i ranges over all the games for each publisher. As usual, ϵ_{ij} gives an independent $N[0, \sigma]$ error for game (i, j). Parameters in this probability model are estimated by least squares as follows:

- (a) What do the coefficients in the summary above measure?
- (b) Build a 95% confidence interval for Activision sales using a normal approximation. You can use the property that P[Z < 1.96] = 0.975 when Z has a N[0, 1] distribution.

Recall the crabs dataset used in lab. Here, BD refers to the body depth of the crabs, and sp denotes the colour of the crabs, which is one of blue or orange.

```
library(MASS)
data(crabs)
head(crabs)
     sp sex index
                     FL RW
                               CL
                                    CW BD
## 1
                    8.1 6.7 16.1 19.0 7.0
      В
          М
                 1
                   8.8 7.7 18.1 20.8 7.4
## 3
     В
                   9.2 7.8 19.0 22.4 7.7
          Μ
                 3
      В
                    9.6 7.9 20.1 23.1 8.2
## 4
          M
## 5
     В
          M
                 5 9.8 8.0 20.3 23.0 8.2
                 6 10.8 9.0 23.0 26.5 9.8
## 6
      В
crabs$mu1 <- (crabs$sp == "B")*1
crabs$mu2 <- (crabs$sp == "0")*1
crabs$mu3 <- 1
crabs$mu4 <- 1-crabs$mu1
crabs$mu_diff <- crabs$mu2</pre>
fit1 \leftarrow lm(BD \sim mu1+mu2-1, data = crabs)
fit2 <- lm(BD \sim mu3 + mu\_diff - 1, data = crabs)
fit3 <- lm(BD ~ mu2, data = crabs)
fit4 \leftarrow lm(BD \sim 1-mu1, data = crabs)
fit5 <- lm(BD \sim mu4, data = crabs)
fit6 <- lm(BD~ mu1+mu2, data = crabs)
```

(a) Would any of the models (fit1 to fit6) give the same coefficients? If yes, list them.

Now consider the probability model $Y_i = \mu_1 x_{Bi} + \mu_2 x_{Oi} + \epsilon_i$, where i = 1, ..., 200. Y_i models the body weight of observation i. x_{Bi} is 1 if sp=B for observation i and 0 otherwise. Similarly, x_{Oi} is 1 if sp=0 for observation i and 0 otherwise. $\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_{200}$ are i.i.d with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . This model can be fitted to the crabs dataset in R using the lm() function. The resulting summary is provided below.

summary(fit1)

```
##
## lm(formula = BD ~ mu1 + mu2 - 1, data = crabs)
##
## Residuals:
                                3Q
      Min
               1Q Median
                                      Max
## -8.0780 -2.1830 0.0695 2.3170 7.4170
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
         12.583
                     0.311
                             40.46
                                     <2e-16 ***
## mu1
         15.478
                                     <2e-16 ***
## mu2
                     0.311
                             49.77
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 3.11 on 198 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9541, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9536
## F-statistic: 2057 on 2 and 198 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (b) Interpret μ_1 and μ_2 in the above model?
- (c) Recall from homework that the estimated covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2)$ can be found by

```
V <- summary(fit1)$cov.unscaled * summary(fit1)$s^2; V</pre>
```

```
## mu1 mu2
## mu1 0.09671882 0.00000000
## mu2 0.00000000 0.09671882
```

Construct a 95% confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ using normal approximation. Based on this, do we have sufficient evidence to conclude that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ at the 95% level?

Q4. Making and interpreting an F test

The following is an ANOVA analysis of the football field goal kicking data that we have seen repeatedly. Recall that Name is the name of the kicker, FGt is the field goal percentage for the kicker in that year, and FGtM1 is the percentage for that kicker in the previous year.

```
kickers <- read.table("FieldGoals2003to2006.csv",header=T,sep=",")
kickers[1:5,c("Name","Teamt","FGt","FGtM1")]
##
               Name Teamt FGt FGtM1
## 1 Adam Vinatieri
                       NE 73.5
                                90.0
## 2 Adam Vinatieri
                       NE 93.9
                                73.5
## 3 Adam Vinatieri
                       NE 80.0
                                93.9
## 4 Adam Vinatieri
                      IND 89.4
                                80.0
        David Akers
## 5
                      PHI 82.7 88.2
lm_kickers <- lm(FGt~FGtM1+Name,data=kickers)</pre>
anova(lm kickers)
```

- (a) Write out the null and alternative hypotheses corresponding to the hypothesis test constructed in the Name row of the ANOVA table.
- (b) Describe how this test is constructed, using formulas when appropriate. You may define a residual sum of squares in words, without giving an explicit formula.
- (c) Interpret the outcome of this test.

Consider the birth weight data set we have seen in lab. For this question, we will look at columns bwt (birth weight), lwt (mother's weight), age (mother's age) and race (mother's race, 1 for white, 2 for black and 3 for other).

```
library(MASS)
data(birthwt)
```

head(birthwt)

```
##
      low age lwt race smoke ptl ht ui ftv
## 85
            19 182
                                   0
                                      0
                                          1
        0
                       2
                              0
                                              0 2523
##
  86
         0
            33 155
                        3
                                      0
                                          0
                                              3 2551
            20 105
                                   0
                                      0
                                          0
                                              1 2557
## 87
        0
                        1
                              1
## 88
         0
            21 108
                        1
                              1
                                   0
                                      0
                                          1
                                              2 2594
## 89
         0
            18 107
                                   0
                                      0
                                          1
                                              0 2600
                        1
                              1
            21 124
                                      0
                                              0 2622
## 91
         0
                        3
```

We want to study the relationship between birthweight and race using an F test, while mother's weight and age are included as additional explanatory variables. Let the null hypothesis, H_0 , be the probability model where birth weight is modeled to depend linearly on mother's weight and age. Let H_a be the probability model where H_0 is extended to include race as a factor, as fitted in R by

```
lm_bw <- lm(bwt ~ lwt + age +factor(race), data = birthwt)</pre>
```

The results from summary(lm_bw) and anova(lm_bw) are as follows

summary(lm_bw)

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = bwt ~ lwt + age + factor(race), data = birthwt)
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                        41.74
                                        1902.20
##
  -2103.50 -429.68
                                486.10
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                 2461.147
                             314.722
                                        7.820 3.97e-13 ***
## lwt
                                               0.01054 *
                    4.620
                               1.788
                                        2.584
                    1.299
                              10.108
                                        0.128
                                               0.89789
## factor(race)2 -447.615
                             161.369
                                      -2.774
                                               0.00611 **
## factor(race)3 -239.357
                             115.189
                                      -2.078 0.03910 *
                     '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 704.9 on 184 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.08536,
                                    Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 4.293 on 4 and 184 DF, p-value: 0.00241
```

anova(lm_bw)

Publisher

Genre

2

Residuals 576 352.08 0.6113

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: bwt
##
                 Df
                      Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                                 Pr(>F)
## lwt
                   1
                      3448639 3448639
                                       6.9398 0.009148 **
## age
                   1
                       334183
                              334183
                                       0.6725 0.413247
                      4750632 2375316
                                       4.7799 0.009467 **
## factor(race)
## Residuals
                184 91436202
                               496936
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
```

- (a) Write out the null and alternative hypotheses of the F test by completely specifying the probability models.
- (b) Interpret the results in anova(lm_gpa). Specifically, read the sample test statistic from R output, give the distribution of the model-generated test statistic under H_0 , and explain how the resulting p-value is calculated and interpreted. Your answer should give relevant formulas, though you may define a residual sum of squares in words without explicitly saying how it is constructed.

We analyze the following data on video game sales in North America. This dataset records sales (in millions of dollars) for 580 games within three genres (shooter, sports and action) from two publishers (Electronic Arts and Activision) with years of release from 2006 to 2010 inclusive, on ten different platforms. We are interested in considering whether there is a significant pattern in the sales of different game genres, which leads us to carry out the following analysis:

```
vg <- read.table("vg_sales.txt") ; head(vg)</pre>
##
                            Name Platform Year
                                                  Genre
                                                               Publisher Sales
## 1
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                     X360 2010 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          9.70
## 2
        Call of Duty: Black Ops
                                      PS3 2010 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                         5.99
## 3 Call of Duty: World at War
                                     X360 2008 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          4.81
## 4 Call of Duty: World at War
                                      PS3 2008 Shooter
                                                              Activision
                                                                          2.73
                 FIFA Soccer 11
                                      PS3 2010
## 5
                                                 Sports Electronic Arts
                                                                          0.61
## 6
                  Madden NFL 07
                                      PS2 2006
                                                 Sports Electronic Arts
lm_vg3 <- lm(Sales ~ Publisher + Genre, data = vg)</pre>
anova(lm_vg3)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Sales
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                             Pr(>F)
```

0.6735

0.11 0.1086 0.1777

22.98 11.4899 18.7971 1.236e-08 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

- (a) Let π_j be a factor for publisher, where j=1,2 specifies Electronic Arts and Activision, respectively. Let γ_k be a factor for genre, where k=1,2,3 specifies shooter, sports and action respectively. Let y_{ijk} be the sales for the *i*th game having publisher j and genre k, and let Y_{ijk} be a probability model for y_{ijk} . Using this notation, complete the explicit specification of a the null and alternative hypothesis for an F-test that evaluates whether there is a statistically significant difference between sales of different genres.
- (b) Explain how the test statistic and p-value are constructed for this F-test, giving relevant formulas. You may define a residual sum of squares in words, without writing out an explicit formula for this.
- (c) Interpret the results of this test, as given in the above ANOVA table.

Recall the nels88 dataset from lab. These data are a subset of the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 which examined schoolchildren's performance on a math test score in 8th grade. ses is the socioeconomic status of parents and paredu is the parents highest level of education achieved (less than high school, high school, college, BA, MA, PhD). race determines the race of each student which is one of White, Black, Asian or Hispanic.

```
library(faraway)
data(nels88)
head(nels88)
##
        sex race
                      ses paredu math
## 1 Female White -0.13
                                    48
                              hs
       Male White -0.39
##
                              hs
                                    48
## 3
       Male White -0.80
                              hs
                                    53
       Male White -0.72
                                    42
                              hs
## 5 Female White -0.74
                                    43
                              hs
## 6 Female White -0.58
                              hs
                                    57
fit \leftarrow lm(math \sim ses + paredu + race, data = nels88)
summary(fit)
##
```

```
## lm(formula = math ~ ses + paredu + race, data = nels88)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                             Max
## -21.4072 -5.8638 -0.0508
                                 5.7936
                                         23.5985
##
  Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                  58.7446
                               1.8514
                                       31.730 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                   2.5560
                               1.3663
                                        1.871 0.062550 .
## ses
                  -7.4794
                                       -3.457 0.000642 ***
## pareducollege
                               2.1637
## pareduhs
                 -12.1449
                               2.6950
                                       -4.507 1.01e-05 ***
## paredulesshs
                 -13.1456
                               3.3711
                                       -3.899 0.000124 ***
## pareduma
                  -0.8131
                               2.2451
                                       -0.362 0.717532
## pareduphd
                  -1.9900
                               2.5241
                                       -0.788 0.431208
## raceAsian
                   1.6054
                               3.1137
                                        0.516 0.606583
```

```
## raceBlack -2.4562   1.6192 -1.517 0.130553
## raceHispanic   0.8097   1.9795   0.409 0.682859
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.448 on 250 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4445, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4245
## F-statistic: 22.23 on 9 and 250 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

anova(fit)

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
## Response: math
##
             Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## ses
              1 12391.4 12391.4 173.6329 < 2.2e-16 ***
                          328.5
                                  4.6029 0.000491 ***
## paredu
              5
                 1642.4
              3
                  241.3
                           80.4
                                  1.1272 0.338619
## race
## Residuals 250 17841.4
                           71.4
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Suppose we are interested in finding whether the race of the student is associated with their math score, after controlling for the other variables.

- (a) Write out the null and alternative hypothesis for carrying out the above analysis. (Describe all parameters clearly)
- (b) What test would you carry out? Clearly explains how the test is constructed, giving relevant formulas. You may define a residual sum of squares in words, without giving an explicit formula.
- (c) Report on the conclusions of your test based on the R output provided.

License: This material is provided under an MIT license