

1. Is there clear organization to the survey? If so, what is it?

Notes are not organized into formal paragraphs but rather just bullet points. You could be sure to separate the papers by approach when formalizing the review because there were a few approaches that were repeated for different studies (word2vec, BERT, CNNs)

2. Do you notice any obvious gaps in the work described?

I don't notice any obvious gaps in the work. I liked that the age of some of the papers were addressed in regards to this being a very modern topic and papers more than a few years old quickly become dated.

3. Connected to Proposed study?

There is a good variety of approaches and studies to the proposed problem and shows that there will be much to draw from when comparing your study to existing papers that have already addressed this topic. There were a few studies where it seemed like the topic that you chose was part of a larger study that was conducted so I would make sure that for those studies you address that and focus on the parts that more closely relate to your proposed problem.

4. Other comments

Because of the way that this was structured, it read more like a note page for your research and less like a review of other papers. I think that when it is turned into formal paragraphs it will be good but it is a bit hard to picture that from the bullet points. You could also be sure to get into details on which studies had the most success and which models they used to note if there were certain approaches that were more successful than others