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1. **Introduction**

The question answering (Q&A) webpages have became widely popular in recent years. These pages are the place where users can ask question and other users answer the question. There exist many different subtypes of Q&A webpages – it is possible to distinguish between pages on specific topic (for instance statistics or computer science) and the web pages on general topic (example of such a webpage is Yahoo! for instance). Some authors also divide Q&A web pages based on the fact who answer the question on *Digital reference services* Q&A pages, *Ask an expert services* Q&A pages and *Community* Q&A pages (1). In this paper we work with Community Q&A web page that is on statistics topic and it is called Stats.StackExchange ([www.stats.stackexchange.com)](http://www.stats.stackexchange.com)).

Stats.StackExchange is a Q&A online community webpage that will mainly talk about topics in Data Science. According to an article from Harvard Business Review, Data Science is considered to be the sexiest job of the 21st century (2). Being an expert in such a prospective domain as Data Science can boost the employability of the user. Within the webpage, a user can ask a question and other ones can answer it. User who asks the question can mark one of the answers as an accepted answer. Questions and answers are stored and can be accessed by anyone who browse the webpage. Therefore, being a user in Stats.StackExchange that can provide a large number of accepted answers can have positive impact as more people will recognize the expertise of such a user in the field of Data Science.

Since Stats.StackExchange is a technical Q&A webpage, many of the answers require deep knowledge of a topic. To distinguish users with high level of expertise, Stats.StackExchange implemented ranking system that enables the marking of users with deep domain knowledge. Rank of users is based on their activities on the webpage and how their posts being helpful to other members of community (other users can up-vote or down-vote post of the user).

In this paper, we firstly look at who are the most valuable users of the community by analyzing their interaction within the network. For the evaluation we use PageRank algorithm and degree measurement from social network analysis and we compare our analysis with the ranking system that is already implemented in the webpage. Secondly, in this paper, we have the main goal to understand what are the features that drive an answer to be more likely accepted. Result of this paper could help users in Stats.StackExchange to provide an answer that has higher probability to be accepted.

1. **Related Work**

Social networks and also question answering web page networks are subject of research of many scientists. Due to the fact that probably the two biggest Q&A pages are Yahoo! and StackOverflow, most of the papers are related to these two pages.

Common topic of most of the papers is how to identify experts and influential persons in the network. Movshovitz-Attias et al. analyzed build-in reputation system of StackOverflow – how can users up-vote and down-vote posts of different users and another detail of the reputation system. They also implemented PageRank algorithm to discover important users in network. During their analysis they discover that there exists a correlation between user’s activity in first few months since registering at StackOverflow and the expertise of user. Therefore, they build model that can predict whether user will be recognized as expert in network based on his initial activity (3). Jurczyk and Agichtein presented a study where they used link analysis to discover authorities in Yahoo! Q&A web page. They compare two methods, HITS and Degree analysis in identifying the authorities and they concluded that HITS is better method. They discovered authorities across different topics (4). Ray, Dey and Gaonkar in their paper compared StackOverflow web page with Enterprise Social Network Platforms in terms of behaviour of users and presented framework how to recognize experts in both networks (5).

Few studies we also focused on quality of answers at Q&A pages. Harper, Raban and Rafaeli performed an experiment where they compared quality of answers at free webpages such as StackOverflow and paid Q&A webpages. They conclude that free webpages where every community member can answer the question has better quality answers (1).

Overall, online Q&A pages offer wide range of possibilities of research. Adamic et al. for instance explored Yahoo! page and explore which topics are related based on interaction users. They clustered categories based on patterns of interaction of the users and they found out that some users are focused only on specific topic while others are active across many topics (6). Zhong et al. on the other hand look at dynamic of the social network and included also Q&A webpages.

An important study for this paper is study of Bosu, Corley, Heaton, Chatterji, Carver and Craft who explore how should users behave on StackOverflow in order to reach high reputation.

In this paper we first identify expert users in the Stats.StackExchage using two methods – PageRank and Degree analysis and compare our results with the Reputation implemented on Stats.StackExchange webpage. Comparing our results with the one on the webpage allows us to decide which of two methods works better. In the second part of this paper we examine what makes an answer good answer (good means accepted). Our analysis can help users to reach better reputation.

1. **Dataset description**

In this paper we use data from Q&A webpage Stats.StackExchange. Stats.StackExchange is a Q&A page on specific topic - on statistics, machine learning and data mining. In our analysis we used dataset of user interaction on this webpage from time period since 19.7.2010 until 6.3.2016 – that means that we used complete history data of Stats.StackExchange.

Stats.StackExchange data set contains 74,089 questions and 73,540 answers. Out of this 73,540 there is 23,441 accepted answers, that is answers that were marked by user who asked the question as the most helpful one. All together, the dataset contains 78,003 users, however only 28,134 (36%) of them are active on the webpage and wrote at least one post.

1. **Identifying experts**

We used data about interaction between users to perform link analysis and identify the experts in the network. We compare our results with reputation system implemented on the Stats.StackExchange network.

First link analysis method we use is PageRank. PageRank is an algorithm developed by Larry Page and Sergey Brin at Stanford University in 1996. Formally it can be defined as a long term probability that randomly selected post is written by a particular user. Page rank assign to each active member of community a number (probability) between 0 and 1. Sum of this probabilities has to be equal to 1 (9).

We calculate PageRank for the 28,134 users who actively participate on the webpage. Figure 1 part A shows how PageRank score we calculated correlate with the reputation of users on Stats.StackExchange. There is not a strong correlation between these two rankings, Pearson’s correlation coefficient between these two rankings is *number*.

We selected out-degree of user, that is how many questions has the user answer, as a second method how to determine who are the experts in community. We decided to calculate out-degree based on the paper from Movshovitz-Attias (3) who showed showed that out degree analysis works good in identifying expert users on StackOverflow Q&A page. We calculate two types of out-degrees for every user:

* out-degree based on how many answers user provided
* out-degree based on how many accepted answers user provided

Figure 1 part B shows
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