

Problem 1

Let $R_t = (t, t + 2\pi) \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For each t , parameterize the Möbius band by $\gamma_t : R_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\gamma_t(\theta, r) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})) \cos \theta \\ (1 + r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})) \sin \theta \\ r \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let F be a vector field such that

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and show that the surface integral

$$\int \int_{R_t} F \cdot (D_\theta(\gamma(\theta, r)) \times D_r(\gamma(\theta, r))) d\theta dr$$

depends on t . Evaluate the surface integral for $t \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}, 2\pi\}$. Why are the values for $t = 0$ and $t = 2\pi$ related?

SOLUTION: This is equivalent to integrating over $\det(F, D_\theta(\gamma), D_r(\gamma))$, that is, we are integrating the flux form Φ_F over the curve R_t applied to the vectors as being the partials. Thus, we have that using the change of variable formula, if we let M denote the band and we let

$$D_1\gamma(\theta, r) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{2} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \cos(\theta) - r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \sin(\theta) - \sin(\theta) \\ \frac{r}{2} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \sin(\theta) + r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \cos(\theta) + \cos(\theta) \\ -\frac{r}{2} \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$D_r(\gamma(\theta, r)) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \sin(\theta) \\ \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\int_M \Phi_F = \int_{R_t} \Phi_F (P_{\gamma(\theta, r)}(D_1\gamma(\theta, r), D_r(\gamma(\theta, r)))) d\theta dr$$

$$= \int_t^{t+2\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \wedge dz - dx \wedge dz + dx \wedge dy \left(P \begin{pmatrix} (1 + r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})) \cos \theta \\ (1 + r \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})) \sin \theta \\ r \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix} (D_1\gamma(\theta, r), D_2\gamma(\theta, r)) \right)$$

$$= \int_t^{t+2\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{r}{2} (\sin(\theta) - \cos(\theta)) d\theta dr$$

We use Fubini's theorem to first evaluate the dr integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) dr + [\sin(\theta) - \cos(\theta)] \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{r}{2} dr \\ = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we need only evaluate the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \Phi_F &= \int_t^{t+2\pi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) d\theta \\ &= -\frac{4}{3} \left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right)^3 \end{aligned}$$

Which is obviously dependent on t . Here is the godawful calculation that simplifies the integral!

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta(\gamma(\theta, r)) \times D_r(\gamma(\theta, r)) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) - r \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) - \sin(\theta) \\ \frac{r}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) + r \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) + \cos(\theta) \\ -\frac{r}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) \\ \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) \\ \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{r}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) - r \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) - \sin(\theta) & \frac{r}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) + r \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) + \cos(\theta) & -\frac{r}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) & \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) & \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{r \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta)}{2} + r \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - \\ &\quad - \cos^2(\theta) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - \frac{r \cos(\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{2} - r \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) + \frac{r \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta)}{2} + r \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) + \\ &\quad + \frac{r \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta)}{2} - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta) - r \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta). \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{r}{2} \cos(\theta) + \frac{r}{2} \sin^2(\theta) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - r \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{r}{2} \sin(\theta) + \frac{r}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{r}{2} \cos(\theta) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin(\theta) + \frac{r}{2} \sin(\theta) \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{r}{2} (\sin(\theta) - \cos(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

■

t	Surface Integral Value
0	$-\frac{4}{3}$
$\frac{\pi}{2}$	$-\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3}$
π	$-\frac{4}{3}$
$\frac{3\pi}{2}$	0
2π	$\frac{4}{3}$

Table 1: Values of the surface integral for various t .

SOLUTION: $t = 0$ and $t = 2\pi$ are related because we have gone one full rotation across the Mobius strip and they change in sign is due to the non-orientability of the strip. ■

Problem 2

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\gamma(u, v) = \left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \right)$$

be a parametrization for a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

- (a) Show that $\Sigma \subset S^2$.

SOLUTION: Let $p \in \Sigma$. Since Σ is parameterized by γ , we have that there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\gamma(u, v) = p = \left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \right).$$

It suffices to show that $\|p\| = 1$ or equivalently, $\|p\|^2 = 1$. To do this, consider that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p\|^2 &= \left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{4u^2 + 4v^2 + (-1+u^2+v^2)^2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{4u^2 + 4v^2 + 1 - 2(u^2+v^2) + (u^2+v^2)^2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{2u^2 + 2v^2 + 1u^4 + 2u^2v^2 + v^4}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that $p \in S^2$. ■

- (b) Show that α is a bijection from \mathbb{R}^2 to $S^2 \setminus (0, 0, 1)$. The parametrization γ is known as stereographic projection, and can be viewed geometrically as follows: take a line L in \mathbb{R}^3 that connects the north pole $(0, 0, 1)$ and a point $(u, v, 0)$. Then $\gamma(u, v)$ is the point of intersection of L and $S^2 \setminus (0, 0, 1)$.

SOLUTION: Suppose $\gamma(u, v) = \gamma(u', v')$, we wish to show that $(u, v) = (u', v')$.

$$\gamma(u, v) = \left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \right) = \left(\frac{2u'}{1+u'^2+v'^2}, \frac{2v'}{1+u'^2+v'^2}, \frac{-1+u'^2+v'^2}{1+u'^2+v'^2} \right)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2} &= \frac{2u'}{1+u'^2+v'^2} \\ \frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2} &= \frac{2v'}{1+u'^2+v'^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} = \frac{-1+u'^2+v'^2}{1+u'^2+v'^2}$$

If we call the denominators d and d' , respectively, then we get that

$$u = \frac{u'd}{d'}, \quad v = \frac{v'd}{d'}, \quad \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{d} = \frac{-1+u'^2+v'^2}{d'}.$$

Three equations, three unknowns. Oh boy. From the third equation:

$$\frac{-2+d}{d} = \frac{-2+d'}{d'} \implies d = d'.$$

The rest follows from here, as $u = u'$ and $v = v'$. Thus, we have a nice injection.

Let $s \in S^2 \setminus (0, 0, 1)$. We can express s as (x, y, z) . Thus, we get that

$$z = \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \implies z(1+u^2+v^2) = -1+u^2+v^2 \implies u^2+v^2 = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Thus, we get that

$$x = \frac{2u}{1 + \frac{1+z}{1-z}} \implies u = \frac{x + x\frac{1+z}{1-z}}{2}, \quad v = \frac{y + y\frac{1+z}{1-z}}{2}.$$

It is left up to the reader to double check that using these choices of u and v yields (x, y, z) , but note that the reader should use the fact that $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. ■

- (c) Using the parametrization γ , compute the surface area of S^2 .

SOLUTION: We compute using the flux form of Φ_F , where F is just the parametrization.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^2 \Phi_F &= \int_{S^2} xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy \\ &= \int_{\gamma[\mathbb{R}^2]} dy \wedge dz - dx \wedge dz + dx \wedge dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy (P_{\gamma(u,v)} D_1 \gamma(u,v), D_2 \gamma(u,v)) dudv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy \left(P_{\gamma(u,v)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2(1+v^2-u^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{2u}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{2(1+u^2-v^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{2v}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \end{bmatrix} \right) dudv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2u}{1+u^2+v^2} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{2(1+u^2-v^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{2u}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{2v}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \end{bmatrix} dudv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2v}{1+u^2+v^2} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2(1+v^2-u^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{2u}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{2v}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \end{bmatrix} dudv \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{-1+u^2+v^2}{1+u^2+v^2} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2(1+v^2-u^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\ \frac{-4uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{2(1+u^2-v^2)}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \end{bmatrix} dudv \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{4}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} dudv
\end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable

$$g(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos(\theta) \\ r \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

then we get that using the nifty change of variable formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{4}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} du \wedge dv &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{4}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} du \wedge dv \left(\left| P \begin{pmatrix} r \cos(\theta) \\ r \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -r \sin(\theta) \\ r \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \right| dr d\theta \right) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty \frac{4r}{(1+r^2)^2} dr \\
&= 4\pi
\end{aligned}$$

■

- (d) Compute the surface area of S^2 again, now using the parametrization $\beta : [0, 2\pi) \times [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\beta(\theta, \phi) = (\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi).$$

SOLUTION: Using the same thing:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^2} xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy &= \int_{\beta} xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy (P_{\beta(\theta, \phi)}, D_1\beta, D_2\beta) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy \left(P_{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ -\sin \phi \end{bmatrix} \right) d\theta d\phi \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos \theta \sin \phi \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \sin \phi & \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ 0 & -\sin \phi \end{bmatrix} - \sin \theta \sin \phi \det \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ 0 & -\sin \phi \end{bmatrix} + \\
&\quad + \cos \phi \det \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi & \sin \theta \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} d\theta d\phi \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi (-\cos^2 \theta \sin^3 \phi - \sin^2 \theta \sin^3 \phi - \sin \phi \cos^2 \phi) d\theta d\phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^3 \phi + \sin \phi \cos^2 \phi d\theta d\phi \\
&= - \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \phi d\theta d\phi \\
&= 4\pi
\end{aligned}$$



Problem 3

(a) Compute the surface integral

$$\iint_{S_r} F \cdot n \, dA,$$

where F is the vector field

$$F(x, y, z) = \frac{\vec{r}}{|r|^3} = \left(\frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \frac{z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right).$$

Here S_r is the sphere of radius r centered at the origin.

SOLUTION: We have that

$$\int \int_{S_r} F \cdot n dA = \int \int_{S_r} \Phi_F,$$

where Φ_F is the flux form of F . Using Stoke's Theorem/Divergence Theorem, we have that since S_r is the boundary of the open ball $B_r(0)$, then

$$\int \int_{S_r} \Phi_F = \int_{B_r(0)} d\Phi_F = \int_{B_r(0)} M_{\nabla \cdot F} = \int_{B_r(0)} \nabla \cdot F dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

We can parameterize $B_r(0)$ by

$$\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi] \times (0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\gamma(\theta, \phi, r) = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ r \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ r \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, we have that

$$D_1\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} -r \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ r \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ r \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ -r \sin \phi \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_3\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot F &= \frac{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3x^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^3} + \frac{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3y^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^3} + \frac{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3z^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^3} \\ &= 0, \quad (x, y, z) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since the divergence is not well defined over all the sphere, we cannot resort to using the Divergence Theorem. Thus, we must resort to applying computing the surface integral directly (the second equality I put for how the differential form would have

worked, but it is much too tedious to compute) using a change of variable with the parameterization of the sphere given in the previous problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iint_{S_r} \Phi_F &= \iint_{\beta} F_1 dy \wedge dz - F_2 dx \wedge dz + F_3 dx \wedge dy \left(P_{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ -\sin \phi \end{bmatrix} \right) d\theta d\phi \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \cdot \hat{r} \det \begin{bmatrix} -r \sin \theta \sin \phi & r \cos \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ r \cos \theta \sin \phi & r \sin \theta \cos \phi & \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ 0 & -r \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} d\theta d\phi \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \\
 &= 4\pi
 \end{aligned}$$

■

- (b) Compute $\operatorname{div} F$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$.

SOLUTION: As shown above, $\nabla \cdot F = 0$.

■

- (c) Let Ω be some arbitrary bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^3 that contains the origin and has a smooth boundary. Compute

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot n \, dA.$$

SOLUTION: Since Ω is open, there exists some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(0) \subset \Omega$. Thus, we compute the surface integral by splitting Ω into $B_r(0)$ and $\Omega \setminus B_r(0)$:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot n \, dA = \int_{S_r} F \cdot n \, dA + \int_{\partial(\Omega \setminus B_r(0))} F \cdot n \, dA.$$

The first term we have computed in part A, and the second we use the fact that we can now in fact use the divergence theorem with $\nabla \cdot F = 0$. Thus, we are simply left with

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot n \, dA = 4\pi.$$

■

REFLECTIONS: This motivates us saying that $\operatorname{div} F = 4\pi\delta$ (where δ is the “Dirac delta”).

Problem 4

For a C^2 function $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the Laplacian as

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div}(\nabla f).$$

Let Ω be any open set inside U with a piecewise smooth boundary. We write $\partial\Omega$ to denote the boundary of Ω and n is the unit normal vector pointing outwards. We write dA to denote the differential of area on $\partial\Omega$ and $\partial_n u$ is the directional derivative in the direction n . Prove the following two identities.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + u \Delta u \, dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u \partial_n u \, dA, \\ \int_{\Omega} u \Delta v - v \Delta u \, dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u \partial_n v - v \partial_n u \, dA. \end{aligned}$$

SOLUTION: We assume $u : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and same for v . We have by the Divergence theorem that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot F) d^3x = \int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot n dA.$$

Recall that

$$\partial_n u = \nabla u \cdot n$$

Thus, if we let $F = u \nabla u$, then

$$F \cdot n = u \nabla u \cdot n = u \partial_n u.$$

On the left hand side, we claim that

$$\nabla \cdot F = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla u) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla u + u \nabla \cdot \nabla u = |\nabla u|^2 + u \Delta u.$$

The second equality is all we need to show, and so it suffices to show that

$$\nabla \cdot (uA) = \nabla u \cdot A + u(\nabla \cdot A).$$

We only need to prove it for our case, so we let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \implies uA = \begin{bmatrix} uv_1 \\ uv_2 \end{bmatrix} \implies \nabla \cdot (uA) = D_1 uv_1 + D_2 uv_2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} v_1 + \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} u \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} v_2 + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} u \right)$$

Thus, we have that

$$\nabla \cdot (uA) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} v_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} v_2 \right) + u \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} \right)$$

On the RHS, we have that

$$\nabla u \cdot A = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 u \\ D_2 u \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} v_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} v_2 \right)$$

$$\nabla \cdot A = D_1 v_1 + D_2 v_2 = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y}$$

For the latter identity, we again make use of the divergence theorem, letting

$$F = u\nabla v - v\nabla u \implies F \cdot n = (u\nabla v - v\nabla u) \cdot n = u\partial_n v - v\partial_n u.$$

Thus, we have that by Gauss that

$$\int_{d\Omega} F \cdot n dA = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot F) d^3x,$$

so it suffices to show that

$$\nabla \cdot (u\nabla v - v\nabla u) = u\nabla^2 v - v\nabla^2 u.$$

We have that

$$\nabla \cdot (u\nabla v - v\nabla u) = \nabla \cdot u\nabla v - \nabla \cdot v\nabla u.$$

By the logic in the previous problem, we have that

$$\nabla \cdot u\nabla v = \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + u(\nabla \cdot \nabla v) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + u\nabla^2 v, \quad \nabla \cdot v\nabla u = \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + v(\nabla \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + v\nabla^2 u$$

Thus, we have that the difference between the two quantities above gives

$$u\nabla^2 v - v\nabla^2 u,$$

as desired. ■

Problem 5

Let $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a continuously differentiable function. Write f as

$$f(x, y) = u(x, y)e_1 + v(x, y)e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u(x, y) \\ v(x, y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that for all $p \in U$ the derivative of f at p (which we write Df_p) is a scalar matrix (a multiple of the identity). In other words, we have

$$Df_p = \lambda(p)I = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial x} = \lambda(p) & \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial v(x, y)}{\partial x} = 0 & \frac{\partial v(x, y)}{\partial y} = \lambda(p) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some continuous strictly- positive function on U . Let γ be a simple closed curve in U which bounds a region entirely contained in U . Prove that

$$\int_{\gamma} u \, dx + u \, dy = \int_{\gamma} -v \, dx + v \, dy.$$

SOLUTION: We use Green's theorem, which states that if W_f is the one-form of f , then if S is the surface bounded by γ :

$$\int_{\gamma} W_f = \int_S dW_f \tag{1}$$

Let

$$W_{f_1} = udx + udy, \quad W_{f_2} = -vdx + vdy.$$

Thus. we claim that that:

$$dW_{f_1} = (D_1u - D_2u)dx \wedge dy = (\lambda(p) - 0)dx \wedge dy; \tag{2}$$

$$dW_{f_2} = (D_1v + D_2v)dx \wedge dy = (0 + \lambda(p))dx \wedge dy. \tag{3}$$

This first equality can be shown as follows. Suppose $F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $W_F = F_1dx + F_2$. Then by properties of the exterior derivative and the wedge product:

$$\begin{aligned} dW_F &= d(F_1dx + F_2dy) \\ &= (D_1F_1dx + D_2F_1dy) \wedge dx + (D_1F_2dx + D_2F_2dy) \wedge dy \\ &= (D_1F_2 - D_2F_1)dx \wedge dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (1), (2), and (3), we get:

$$\int_{\gamma} udx + udy = \int_{\gamma} W_{f_1} = \int_S dW_{f_1} = \int_S \lambda(p)dx \wedge dy = \int_S dW_{f_2} = \int_{\gamma} W_{f_2} = \int_{\gamma} -vdx + vdy.$$

■

Problem 6

Find an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a smooth vector field $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the set

$$\left\{ \int_C F \cdot \tau \, ds : C \text{ is a closed loop contained in } \Omega \right\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R} .

SOLUTION: We have by work shown in class that if $F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $F \cdot \tau \, ds = W_F = F_1 dx + F_2 dy$. Thus, Green states that if C is the curve around some region $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$\int_C F_1 dx + F_2 dy = \int_C W_F = \int_S dW_F = \int_S (D_2 F_1 - D_1 F_2) dx \wedge dy.$$

Thus, it suffices to find some F and some Ω such that if $S_\alpha \subset \Omega$, then

$$\left\{ \int_{S_\alpha} (D_2 F_1 - D_1 F_2) dx \wedge dy \right\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R} . We let

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} -y \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then we have that if S_r is square of side length r , then

$$\int_{S_r} (D_2 F_1 - D_1 F_2) dx \wedge dy = \int_0^r \int_0^r (-1 - 1) dx \wedge dy = -2 \int_0^r \int_0^r dx = -2r^2.$$

Since we are ranging over all of \mathbb{R} and we are able to change the orientation of S_r and thus change the sign of the integral. ■

REFLECTIONS: If F were not smooth for the sake of me not reading the problem, then We let

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2y^2} \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\Omega = B_{10000}(0)$. Then we have that if S_r is square of side length r , then

$$\int_{S_r} (D_2 F_1 - D_1 F_2) dx \wedge dy = \int_0^r \int_0^r \left(\frac{-3}{y^3} - 1 \right) dx \wedge dy = \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - r \right) \int_0^r dx = \frac{1}{r} - r^2.$$

We claim that $\left\{ \frac{1}{r} - r^2 \right\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . This is because r can range over $(-2, 2)$ since then we would have $S_r \subset B_{10000}(0)$. From this, it is obvious that if $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, then there exists some $r \in (-2, 2)$ such that $\frac{1}{r} - r^2 \in (a, b)$.

Problem 7

This exercise is asking you to verify the uniqueness of solutions of an ODE without assuming that F is Lipchitz, but assuming something else in exchange. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a vector field so that for every closed curve C in \mathbb{R}^2 , we have

$$\int_C F^\perp \cdot \tau \, ds = 0.$$

Assume further that $F(x, y) \neq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Here v^\perp denotes the ninety degree rotation of the vector v . Thus, $(x, y)^\perp := (-y, x)$.

- (a) If F is C^1 , prove that $\operatorname{div} F = 0$.

SOLUTION: We use Green's Theorem. Let $F(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1(x, y) \\ F_2(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$, then we have that

$$F^\perp(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -F_2(x, y) \\ F_1(x, y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Then we have that that if S is the region bounded by C , then

$$\int_C F^\perp \cdot \tau \, ds = \int_C W_{F^\perp} = \int_C -F_2(x, y) dx + F_1(x, y) dy = \int_S (-D_2 F_2 - D_1 F_1) dx \wedge dy = 0.$$

Thus, because we have this for any (closed) curve C , then

$$D_1 F_1 + D_2 F_2 = 0,$$

but this expression is exactly $\nabla \cdot F$. ■

- (b) Without assuming that F is C^1 (or even Lipchitz), prove that the ODE

$$x'(t) = F(x(t))$$

has at most one solution on any time interval $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

I know two different proofs of this fact. When I was reviewing them, I realized that in both there is an elegant idea to prove that if we have two solutions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$, they must parametrize the same curve on \mathbb{R}^2 . However, we are then left with the nontrivial task of analyzing if they could potentially be two different parametrizations of the same curve. Let us keep it simple and focus on the first part only. That is, let us prove that any two solutions of the ODE give us the same curve on \mathbb{R}^2 . That would get you full score.

Assuming that there are two solutions of the ODE that trace different curves on \mathbb{R}^2 , these curves must split somewhere. If we look at their last point in common, we would have two solutions of the ODE going to different paths from there. It is easy to see that the two curves must be tangent at

any contact point. Can you find something that goes wrong in the last intersection point, leading to a contradiction? Alternatively, you may construct a clever curve on \mathbb{R}^2 using some theorem that we learned earlier in this class and then verify that any solution to the ODE must stay within this curve.

SOLUTION: Suppose that we dislike using x and so we have that

$$\gamma'(t) = F(\gamma(t)) \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Since

$$\int_C F^\perp \cdot \tau ds = 0,$$

then we have that there exists some scalar function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\nabla f(x, y) = F^\perp(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -F_2(x, y) \\ F_1(x, y) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

by the assumption that $F(x, y) \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, we use the fundamental theorem of line integrals (seen in class) that if $t_0, t_1 \in C$, where C is the curve defined by γ (not necessarily closed) then

$$\int_C F^\perp \cdot \tau ds = \int_C \nabla f \cdot \tau ds = f(\gamma(t_1)) - f(\gamma(t_0)).$$

However, we also have that by (5) and a u-substitution:

$$\int_C F^\perp \cdot \tau ds = \int_{\gamma[t_0, t_1]} F^\perp \cdot \tau ds = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F^\perp(\gamma) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F^\perp(\gamma) \cdot F(\gamma) dt = 0$$

since $F \cdot F^\perp = 0$ by orthogonality. Thus, we have that $f(\gamma(t))$ is constant for any $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Without loss of generality, we call $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Thus, we have that γ lies within the level set 0 of f . If we show that the level sets of f are curves, then we will know that if γ and σ are two solutions to (5), then they must lie on the same curve and indeed be the same path.

For the next step, we will assume with loss of generality that F is continuous, as I do not know how to solve the problem without this. Thus we have that f is C^1 since F^\perp is continuous and $\nabla f = F^\perp$. Thus, if we let $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $f(x_0, y_0) = f(z_0) = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (we do this without loss of generality), then by the Implicit Function Thm, there exists some open $V_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $V_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_0 \in V_1$ and $y_0 \in V_2$ and a map $g \in C^1(V_1, V_2)$ such that

$$f(t, g(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in V_1.$$

Thus, locally within $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ our level set is given by

$$L(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ g(t) \end{pmatrix} \implies L((-\delta, \delta)) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{is a curve,}$$

and so we know that any solution to (5) lies locally within L , implying uniqueness as before. ■

Problem 8

Find a differential form ω (of any degree and dimension) so that $\omega \wedge \omega \neq 0$.

SOLUTION: Consider the 0– differential form f , where $f = 1$ is a function. Note that $f \wedge f$ is still a zero form, since it does not take in any vectors, and thus we have that $f \wedge f = 1$ still. ■

Problem 9

In any dimension, a 1-form is associated to a vector field F . In particular, in 3D it takes the form

$$W_F = F_1 dx + F_2 dy + F_3 dz.$$

In 3D, 2-forms are also associated to a vector field F by the following identification

$$\Phi_F = F_1 dy \wedge dz + F_2 dz \wedge dx + F_3 dx \wedge dy.$$

We say that $G = \nabla \times F$ if $dW_F = \Phi_G$.

- (a) Compute an explicit formula for $\nabla \times F$ in terms of the components of F and their partial derivatives.

SOLUTION: Using the properties of the exterior derivative, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} dW_F &= (D_1 F_1 dx + D_2 F_1 dy + D_3 F_1 dz)dx + (D_1 F_2 dx + D_2 F_2 dy + D_3 F_2 dz)dy \\ &\quad + (D_1 F_3 dx + D_2 F_3 dy + D_3 F_3 dz)dz \\ &= -D_2 F_1 dx \wedge dy + D_3 F_1 dz \wedge dx + \\ &\quad + D_1 F_2 dx \wedge dy - D_3 F_2 dy \wedge dz \\ &\quad - D_1 F_3 dz \wedge dx + D_2 F_3 dy \wedge dz \\ &= (D_2 F_3 - D_3 F_2)dy \wedge dz + (D_3 F_1 - D_1 F_3)dz \wedge dx + (D_1 F_2 - D_2 F_1)dx \wedge dy \\ &= G_1 dy \wedge dz + G_2 dz \wedge dx + G_3 dx \wedge dy. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G = \nabla \times F = \begin{bmatrix} D_2 F_3 - D_3 F_2 \\ D_3 F_1 - D_1 F_3 \\ D_1 F_2 - D_2 F_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

■

- (b) For any C^1 scalar function $p : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, prove that $dp = W_{\nabla p}$.

SOLUTION: We have that

$$dp = D_1 p dx + D_2 p dy + D_3 p dz$$

Similarly, we have by definition that since

$$\nabla p = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 p \\ D_2 p \\ D_3 p \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$W_{\nabla p} = D_1 p dx + D_2 p dy + D_3 p dz.$$

■

- (c) For any C^1 vector field $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, prove that $d\Phi_F = (\nabla \cdot F)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$.

SOLUTION: We have that since $dx \wedge dx = 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_F &= F_1 dy \wedge dz + F_2 dz \wedge dx + F_3 dx \wedge dy \implies \\ d\Phi_F &= (D_1 F_1 dx + D_2 F_2 dy + D_3 F_3 dz) \wedge dy \wedge dz + dF_2 \wedge dz \wedge dx + dF_3 \wedge dx \wedge dy \\ &= D_1 F_1 dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + D_2 F_2 dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + D_3 F_3 dz \wedge dx \wedge dy \\ &= (D_1 F_1 + D_2 F_2 + D_3 F_3) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \\ &= (\nabla \cdot F) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz\end{aligned}$$

Where the second to last equality comes from the fact that we only needed even permutations to get the desired quantity. ■

- (d) For any C^2 scalar function $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, prove that $\nabla \times \nabla f = 0$.

SOLUTION: Consider that since f is a zero form, then

$$df = D_1 f dx + D_2 f dy + D_3 f dz$$

is a one form. Note that we have by (b) that

$$df = W_{\nabla f}.$$

By a theorem in class, we have that the two form

$$ddf = 0,$$

and by (a) we have that

$$ddf = dW_{\nabla f} = \Phi_{\nabla \times \nabla f} = 0.$$

Note that this happens if and only if $\nabla \times \nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. ■

- (e) For any C^2 vector field $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, prove that $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F) = 0$.

SOLUTION: Consider the one form defined by F , which is

$$W_F = F_1 dx + F_2 dy + F_3 dz.$$

We have by (a) that

$$dW_F = \Phi_{\nabla \times F},$$

and thus by the same logic as before we have that by (c):

$$ddW_F = 0 \implies ddW_F = d\Phi_{\nabla \times F} = (\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F)) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0.$$

Thus, we have that $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F) = 0$. ■

Find typos.

SOLUTION: What the fuck are u and v in Problem 4.

