Agustín Esteva aesteva@uchicago.edu Due Date: 05-07-2025

Problem 1

Let $\omega = x_2^2 dx_1 + x_1 dx_2$ be a 1-form and let $\Phi(u) = (\cos u, \sin u)$ for $0 \le u \le 1$.

Compute

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega$$
.

SOLUTION: We have that

$$f_1 = x_2^2$$
, $f_2 = x_1$, $\Phi'(u) = (-\sin u \cos u)$

Thus, using a few trig trick like $\cos^2(x) = 1 + \cos(2x)$, we find that

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega = \int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(\Phi(u))\Phi'_{1}(u) + f_{2}(\Phi(u))\Phi'_{2}(u) du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \sin^{2}(u)(-\sin(u)) du + \int_{0}^{1} \cos(u) \cos(u) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\sin(2) + \cos(1) - \frac{1}{3}\cos^{3}(1) - \frac{1}{6}$$

Let $\omega = y\,dz \wedge dx$ be a 2-form in \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\Phi:[0,1]^2\to\mathbb{R}^3$ be the 2-surface defined by

$$\Phi(\phi, \theta) = (\sin(\pi\phi)\cos(2\pi\theta), \sin(\pi\phi)\sin(2\pi\theta), \cos(\pi\phi)).$$

Compute

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega$$
.

Solution: The Jacobian of $\Phi(\phi, \theta)$ is given by

$$J(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi \cos(\pi \phi) \cos(2\pi \theta) & -2\pi \sin(\pi \phi) \sin(2\pi \theta) \\ \pi \cos(\pi \phi) \sin(2\pi \theta) & 2\pi \sin(\pi \phi) \cos(2\pi \theta) \\ -\pi \sin(\pi \phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \sin(\pi\phi) \sin(2\pi\theta) dz \wedge dx J(\Phi(\phi, \theta)) d\phi \right) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \sin(\pi\phi) \sin(2\pi\theta) \det \begin{pmatrix} -\pi \sin(\pi\phi) & 0 \\ \pi \cos(\pi\phi) \cos(2\pi\theta) & -2\pi \sin(\pi\phi) \sin(2\pi\theta) \end{pmatrix} d\phi \right) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \sin(\pi\phi) \sin(2\pi\theta) 2\pi^{2} \sin^{2}(\pi\phi) \sin(2\pi\theta) d\phi \right) d\theta$$

$$= 2\pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \sin^{2}(2\pi\theta) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \sin^{3}(\pi\phi) d\phi \right) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{3}$$

Let ω be the 1-form given by

$$\omega = \frac{-y\,dx + x\,dy}{x^2 + y^2},$$

which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}.$

(a) Show that $d\omega = 0$.

SOLUTION: Define

$$r = x^2 + y^2 \implies dr = 2x \, dx + 2y \, dy$$

We compute the exterior derivative of ω using its linearity

$$\begin{split} d\omega &= d\left(\frac{-y\,dx + x\,dy}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \\ &= -d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}dx\right) + d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}dy\right) \\ &:= -d\left(\frac{y}{r}dx\right) + d\left(\frac{x}{r}dy\right) \\ &= -\frac{dy\,r - y\,dr}{r^2} \wedge dx + \frac{dx\,r - x\,dr}{r^2} \wedge dy \\ &= \frac{-(r)\,dy \wedge dx + (2xy\,dx \wedge dx + 2y^2\,dy \wedge dx) + (r)\,dx \wedge dy - (2x^2\,dx \wedge dy + 2xy\,dy \wedge dy)}{r^2} \\ &= \frac{(r)\,dx \wedge dy - 2y^2\,dx \wedge dy + (r)\,dx \wedge dy - 2x^2\,dx \wedge dy}{r^2} \\ &= \frac{2(r)\,dx \wedge dy - 2(r)\,dx \wedge dy}{r^2} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

(b) Let $\gamma_k : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the curve $\gamma_k(t) = (\cos(2k\pi t), \sin(2k\pi t))$. Compute

$$\int_{\gamma_k} \omega.$$

What is the "meaning" of this integral?

SOLUTION:

$$\gamma'_k(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -2k\pi \sin(2k\pi t) & 2k\pi \cos(2k\pi t) \end{pmatrix}$$

And so

$$\int_{\gamma_k} \omega = \int_0^1 \frac{2k\pi \sin^2(2k\pi t)}{\cos^2(2k\pi t) + \sin^2(2k\pi t)} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{2k\pi \cos^2(2k\pi t)}{\cos^2(2k\pi t) + \sin^2(2k\pi t)} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 2k\pi \, dt$$
$$= 2k\pi$$

 γ_k is a circular path about the origin that circles k times. ω measures the rotation, so the integral is the total angle the path winded about the origin.

The k-form $\omega_k = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k$ is called the volume form in \mathbb{R}^k .

(a) Define a 2-surface $\Phi:[0,1]^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ so that

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_k$$

explains this terminology.

SOLUTION: Consider $\Phi: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 \cos(2\pi x_2) \quad x_1 \sin(2\pi x_2))$$

to be the unit disk 2-surface. Then

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_k = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi x_2) & -2\pi x_1 \sin(2\pi x_2) \\ \sin(2\pi x_2) & 2\pi x_1 \cos(2\pi x_2) \end{pmatrix} dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 2\pi x_1 \cos^2(2\pi x_2) + 2\pi x_1 \sin^2(2\pi x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 2\pi x_1 dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \pi$$

Thus, the volume of the unit disk is π . Yay!

(b) Define a 3-surface $\Phi:[0,1]^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$ so that

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_k$$

explains this terminology.

Solution: Consider $\Phi:[0,1]^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$ be the 2-surface unit ball defined by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_3 \sin(\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2), \ x_3 \sin(\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2), \ x_3 \cos(\pi x_1))$$

Then

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_{k} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} \wedge dx_{3} \begin{pmatrix} \pi x_{3} \cos \pi x_{1} \cos 2\pi x_{2} & -2\pi x_{3} \sin \pi x_{1} \sin 2\pi x_{2} & \sin \pi x_{1} \cos 2\pi x_{2} \\ \pi x_{3} \cos \pi x_{1} \sin 2\pi x_{2} & 2\pi x_{3} \sin \pi x_{1} \cos 2\pi x_{2} & \sin \pi x_{1} \sin 2\pi x_{2} \\ -\pi x_{3} \sin \pi x_{1} & 0 & \cos \pi x_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]^{3}} (-\pi x_{3} \sin(\pi x_{1})) \begin{vmatrix} -2\pi x_{3} \sin(\pi x_{1}) \sin(2\pi x_{2}) & \sin(\pi x_{1}) \cos(2\pi x_{2}) \\ 2\pi x_{3} \sin(\pi x_{1}) \cos(2\pi x_{2}) & \sin(\pi x_{1}) \sin(2\pi x_{2}) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$-\cos(\pi x_{1}) \begin{vmatrix} \pi x_{3} \cos(\pi x_{1}) \cos(2\pi x_{2}) & -2\pi x_{3} \sin(\pi x_{1}) \sin(2\pi x_{2}) \\ \pi x_{3} \cos(\pi x_{1}) \sin(2\pi x_{2}) & 2\pi x_{3} \sin(\pi x_{1}) \cos(2\pi x_{2}) \end{vmatrix} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3}$$

$$= 2\pi^2 \int_{[0,1]^3} x_3^2 \sin \pi x_1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$$
$$= \frac{2}{3}\pi^2 \frac{2}{\pi}$$
$$= \frac{4}{3}\pi$$

Which is the volume of a sphere. Yay!

In parts (a) and (b) choose non-trivial surfaces (i.e., not just the identity function). Please compute both integrals.

In this exercise, you will see that differential forms are closely related to vector fields. Let F(x,y,z)=(P(x,y,z),Q(x,y,z),R(x,y,z)) be a C^1 vector field in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e., $P,Q,R:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}$ are C^1 functions. We can define differential forms from a vector field as follows:

•
$$\omega_F^1 = P(x, y, z) dx + Q(x, y, z) dy + R(x, y, z) dz$$

•
$$\omega_F^2 = P(x, y, z) dy \wedge dz + Q(x, y, z) dz \wedge dx + R(x, y, z) dx \wedge dy$$

Recall the definitions:

•
$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)$$

•
$$(\nabla \times F)(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right)$$

•
$$(\nabla \cdot F)(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$$

(a) Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 function and $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a C^2 vector field. Show that:

(i)
$$df = \omega_{\nabla f}^1$$

SOLUTION: This one is a straight up definition by writing $P = D_1 f$, $Q = D_2 f$ and $R = D_3 f$, then

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}dz$$
$$= \omega_{\nabla f}^{1}$$

(ii)
$$d\omega_F^1 = \omega_{\nabla \times F}^2$$

SOLUTION

$$\begin{split} d\omega_F^1 &= d\left(P(x,y,z)\,dx + Q(x,y,z)\,dy + R(x,y,z)\,dz\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}dz\right) \wedge dx + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}dz\right) \wedge dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial R}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}dz\right) \wedge dz \\ &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}dz \wedge dx + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}dz \wedge dy + \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial R}{\partial y}dy \wedge dz \\ &= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}dz \wedge dx + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}dy \wedge dz - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}dz \wedge dx + \frac{\partial R}{\partial y}dy \wedge dz \end{split}$$

$$=(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z})dy\wedge dz+(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial R}{\partial x})dz\wedge dx+(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial P}{\partial y})dx\wedge dy\\=\omega_{\nabla\times F}^2$$

(iii) $d\omega_F^2 = (\nabla \cdot F) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$

SOLUTION:

$$dw_F^2 = d\left(P(x,y,z)\,dy \wedge dz + Q(x,y,z)\,dz \wedge dx + R(x,y,z)\,dx \wedge dy\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}dz\right) \wedge dy \wedge dz + d(Q\,dz \wedge dx) + d(R\,dx \wedge dy)$$

$$= \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + d(Q\,dz \wedge dx) + d(R\,dx \wedge dy)$$

$$= \cdots$$

$$= \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}dz \wedge dx \wedge dy$$

$$= \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

$$= (\nabla \cdot F)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

Where the second to last inequality holds because the wedges were interchanged an even number of times.

(b) Use $d^2\omega = 0$ to prove that $\nabla \times (\nabla f) = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F) = 0$.

Solution: Consider that since f is a zero form, then

$$df = D_1 f dx + D_2 f dy + D_3 f dz$$

is a one form. Note that we have by (a, i) that

$$df = \omega_{\nabla f}^1$$
.

By a theorem in class, we have that the two form

$$ddf = 0$$
.

and by (a, ii) we have that

$$ddf = d\omega_{\nabla f}^1 = \omega_{\nabla \times \nabla f}^2 = 0.$$

Note that this happens if and only if $\nabla \times \nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the one form defined by F, which is

$$\omega_F^1 = F_1 dx + F_2 dy + F_3 dz.$$

We have by (a) that

$$d\omega_F^1 = \omega_{\nabla \times F}^2,$$

and thus by the same logic as before we have that by (a, iii):

$$dd\omega_F^1 = 0 \implies dd\omega_F^1 = d\omega_{\nabla \times F}^2 = (\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F)) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0.$$

Thus, we have that $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F) = 0$.

Let H be the parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^2 whose vertices are (1,1),(3,2),(4,5),(2,4). Find the affine map T which sends

$$T((0,0)) = (1,1) \tag{1}$$

$$T((1,0)) = (3,2) \tag{2}$$

$$T((0,1)) = (2,4) \tag{3}$$

Show that $\mathcal{J}(T) = 5$. Use T to convert the integral

$$\alpha = \int_{H} e^{x-y} \, dx \, dy$$

into an integral over I^2 and thus compute α

SOLUTION: In order to find the affine map $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, we need to find a linear map $L: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$T((x,y)) = L((x,y)) + (v_1, v_2).$$

(1) tells us that $v_1 = 1$ and $v_2 = 1$. Without loss of generality, we take

$$L(x,y) = A(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$

Giving us two equations, each stemming from (2) and (3) respectively:

$$T((1,0)) = L((1,0)) + (1,1) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+1 \\ c+1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so c = 1 and a = 2. From (3)

$$T((0,1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 4 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b+1 \\ d+1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so b = 1 and d = 3. Thusm

$$T((x,y)) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x+y+1 \\ x+3y+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To calculate the Jacobian, we need to take a few partials:

$$\mathcal{J}(T) = \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1 A_1 & D_2 A_1 \\ D_2 A_1 & D_2 A_2 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 6 - 1 = 5,$$

as required.

Since $T((1,1)) = \binom{4}{5}$, we have that $T(I^2) = H$. Thus, we use the change of variables formula which states that since e^{x-y} is integrable and T is a C^1 diffeomorphism since it is linear, then

$$\alpha = \int_{H} e^{x-y} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{I^{2}} e^{2x+y+1-x-3y-1} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{x-2y} |\mathcal{J}(T)| dx dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} e^{x} dx \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2y} dy$$

$$= 5(e-1)(-\frac{1}{2e^{2}} + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$= \frac{5}{2}(e-1)(1-e^{-2})$$

Let I^k be the set of all $\mathbf{u}=(u_1,\ldots,u_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$ with $0\leq u_i\leq 1$ for all i. Let Q^k be the set of all $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$ with $x_i\geq 0$ and $\sum x_i\leq 1$. Define $\mathbf{x}=T(\mathbf{u})$ by

$$x_1 = u_1$$

$$x_2 = (1 - u_1)u_2$$

$$\cdots$$

$$x_k = (1 - u_1)\cdots(1 - u_{k-1})u_k$$

Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - u_i).$$

Show that T maps I^k onto Q^k and that T is 1-1 in the interior of I^k . Show that its inverse S is defined in the interior of Q^k by $u_1 = x_1$ and

$$u_i = \frac{x_i}{1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1}} \tag{4}$$

Show that

$$\mathcal{J}_T(u) = (1 - u_1)^{k-1} (1 - u_2)^{k-2} \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})$$

and that

$$\mathcal{J}_S(x) = [(1 - x_1)(1 - x_1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{k-1})]^{-1}$$

SOLUTION: We induct on k. Suppose k = 1, then clearly this relation ship is true. Now suppose the relation holds for k = n - 1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i + x_n$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - u_i) + x_n$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - u_i) + u_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - u_i)$$

$$= 1 - (1 - u_1)(1 - u_2)(1 - u_3) \cdots (1 - u_{n-1}) + u_n(1 - u_1)(1 - u_2)(1 - u_3) \cdots (1 - u_{n-1})$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - u_i)$$

To show that $T: I^k \to Q^k$ is surjective, let $\mathbf{x} \in Q^k$. Then $x_i \ge 0$ and $\sum x_i \le 1$. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i < 1$ for $m \le k$. Then we can use the formula provided up to the largest such m^* and set the rest of the u_i equal to 0. To see that this results in a point in the unit square, not that

 $u_1 = x_1$, and since $x_1 \le 1$, then $u_1 \in [0,1]$. For $m^* \ge i \ge 2$, we claim that $u_i = \frac{x_i}{1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1}}$. To see this, note that if $m^* > 2$, then

$$u_2 = \frac{x_2}{1 - x_1} \implies x_2 = u_2(1 - x_1) = u_2(1 - u_2).$$

Suppose $x_2 > 1 - x_1 \implies x_1 + x_2 > 1$, which is a contradiction, and so $u_2 \in [0, 1]$. We can easily induct on the rest of the $i \leq m^*$. For $i \geq m^* + 1$, we let $u_i = 0$. Note that then our definition of our map is satisfied since $\sum x_i = 1 - \prod (1 - u_i)$. Thus, T is surjective.

Suppose now $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x}')$, where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \text{int}(I^k)$ and thus $x_i, x_i' < 1$ for all i. We induct on $n \leq m^*$. By definition, $x_1 = u_1 = x_1'$. Suppose the following that for general n, $x_n = x_n'$, Then by construction and since we can divide by the following denominator since we are in the interior of I^k , then

$$x_{n+1} = u_{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - u_i) = u_{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - u_i') \implies u_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - u_i')} = u_{n+1}',$$

and thus $x_{n+1} = x'_{n+1}$.

We claim that the Jacobian is a triangular matrix. To see this, note that

$$D_i T_j(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} u_j \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (1 - u_k),$$

and so if i < j, then u_i is somewhere in the product, resulting in a nonzero partial. If i > j, then u_i has not appeared in the expression for u_j , resulting in a 0 derivative. If i = j, then we are differentiating linear equations with coefficients $\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (1-u_k)$. The determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of the diagonals, which is

$$J = 1(1 - u_1)(1 - u_1)(1 - u_2) \cdots (1 - u_1)(1 - u_2) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1}) = (1 - u_1)^{k-1} \cdots (1 - u_{k-1}).$$

Similar reasoning can be used to show that J_S is a lower triangular matrix with entries 1, $\frac{1}{1-x_1}$, $\frac{1}{1-x_1-x_2}$, and the result follows.

If ω and λ are k and m forms, prove that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = (-1)^{km} (\lambda \wedge \omega)$$

SOLUTION: We can write

$$\omega = \sum_{0 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k} a_{i_1,\dots,i_k} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} = \sum_I a_I dx_I$$

$$\lambda = \sum_{0 \le j_1 \le j_2 \le \dots \le j_m} b_{j_1,\dots,j_m} dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_k} = \sum_J b_J dx_J$$

Thus, we have that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{I,J} b_I c_J (dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots dx_{j_m}) = \sum_{[I,J]} (-1)^{\alpha} d_{[I,J]} (dx_{[I,J]}).$$

Thus, we claim it suffices to show it for $[I, J] = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+m})$. Thus, we have that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} (dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k+m}})$$

$$= \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} (-1)^k (dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{i_{k+2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k+m}})$$

$$= \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} (-1)^k (-1)^k (dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{i_{k+2}} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_{i_{k+3}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k+m}})$$

$$\cdots$$

$$= \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} ((-1)^k)^m (dx_{i_{k+1}} \wedge dx_{i_{k+2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k+m}} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k})$$

$$= (-1)^{km} \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} dx_{[J,I]}$$

$$= (-1)^{km} (\lambda \wedge \omega)$$

Now for a general ω and λ that are not in standard presentation, we have that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{I,J} b_I c_J (dx_I \wedge dx_J)$$

$$= \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} (-1)^{\alpha} (dx_{[I,J]})$$

$$= \sum_{[I,J]} d_{[I,J]} (-1)^{\alpha+km} dx_{[J,I]}$$

$$= (-1)^{km} \sum_{I,J} c_J b_I dx_J dx_I$$
$$= (-1)^{km} (\lambda \wedge \omega)$$