Machine Learning Assignment 1

Agustín Valencia 11/19/2019

Assignment 1. Spam classification with nearest neighbors

1. Importing the data:

```
data <- read.xlsx("data/spambase.xlsx")
n = dim(data)[1]
set.seed(12345)
id = sample(1:n, floor(n*0.5))
train = data[id,]
test = data[-id,]</pre>
```

2. Use logistic regression to classify the training and test data by the classification principle $\hat{Y}=1$ if p(Y=1|X)>0.5, otherwise $\hat{Y}=0$ and report the confusion matrices and the misclassification rates for train and test data. Analyze the obtained results.

```
# util function
get_performance <- function(targets, predictions) {</pre>
    t <- table(targets, predictions)</pre>
    print("Confusion Matrix")
    print(t)
    tn \leftarrow t[1,1]
    tp <- t[2,2]
    fp \leftarrow t[1,2]
    fn \leftarrow t[2,1]
    total <- dim(test)[1]</pre>
    tpr <- tp/total * 100
    tnr <- tn/total * 100
    fpr <- fp/total * 100</pre>
    fnr <- fn/total * 100</pre>
    cat("Classification performance:\n")
    cat("TPR = ", tpr, "%\n")
    cat("TNR = ", tnr, "%\n")
    cat("FPR = ", fpr, "%\n")
    cat("FNR = ", fnr, "%\n")
    cat("Misclassification Rate = ", (fp+fn)/total * 100, "%\n")
}
# fit the model
fit <- glm(Spam ~ . , data = train, family = "binomial")</pre>
# performance on training data
pred_train <- predict(fit, newdata = train)</pre>
pred_train_at_05 <- as.integer(pred_train > 0.5)
targets <- train$Spam
get_performance(targets, pred_train_at_05)
```

```
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
          predictions
##
## targets
            0
               1
         0 875 56
##
         1 156 283
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 20.65693 %
## TNR = 63.86861 %
## FPR = 4.087591 %
## FNR = 11.38686 %
## Misclassification Rate = 15.47445 %
# performance on test data
pred_test <- predict(fit, newdata = test)</pre>
pred_test_at_05 <- as.integer(pred_test > 0.5)
targets <- test$Spam</pre>
get_performance(targets, pred_test_at_05)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
##
         predictions
## targets 0
               1
##
         0 865 86
##
         1 162 257
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 18.75912 %
## TNR = 63.13869 %
## FPR = 6.277372 %
## FNR = 11.82482 %
## Misclassification Rate = 18.10219 %
3. Use logistic regression to classify the test data by the classification principle \hat{Y} = 1 if
p(Y=1|X)>0.8, otherwise \hat{Y}=0
# performance on train data
pred_train_at_08 <- as.integer(pred_train > 0.8)
get_performance(targets, pred_train_at_08)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
##
          predictions
## targets 0 1
##
         0 771 180
##
         1 343 76
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 5.547445 %
## TNR = 56.27737 %
## FPR = 13.13869 %
## FNR = 25.0365 %
## Misclassification Rate = 38.17518 %
# performance on test data
pred_test_at_08 <- as.integer(pred_test > 0.8)
get_performance(targets, pred_test_at_08)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
##
         predictions
## targets 0 1
```

```
## 0 892 59
## 1 229 190
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 13.86861 %
## TNR = 65.10949 %
## FPR = 4.306569 %
## FNR = 16.71533 %
## Misclassification Rate = 21.0219 %
```

4. Use standard kknn() with K=30 from package kknn, report the misclassification rates for the training and test data and compare the results with step 2.

```
# Train KNN K=30
knn_model <- train.kknn(Spam ~ . , data = train, ks = 30)
# performance on training data
knn_fit <- predict(knn_model, train)</pre>
results <- as.integer(knn_fit > 0.5)
target <- train$Spam</pre>
get_performance(target, results)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
          predictions
##
## targets 0 1
##
         0 779 152
         1 77 362
##
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 26.42336 %
## TNR = 56.86131 %
## FPR = 11.09489 %
## FNR = 5.620438 %
## Misclassification Rate = 16.71533 %
# performance on test data
knn_fit <- predict(knn_model, test)</pre>
results <- as.integer(knn_fit > 0.5)
target <- test$Spam</pre>
get_performance(target, results)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
##
         predictions
## targets 0 1
         0 702 249
##
##
         1 180 239
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 17.44526 %
## TNR = 51.24088 %
## FPR = 18.17518 %
## FNR = 13.13869 %
## Misclassification Rate = 31.31387 \%
```

5. Repeat step 4 for K=1 and compare results with step 4. What effects does the decrease of K lead to and why?

```
# Train KNN K=1
knn_model <- train.kknn(Spam ~ . , data = train, ks = 1)</pre>
# performance on training data
knn_fit <- predict(knn_model, train)</pre>
results <- as.integer(knn_fit > 0.5)
target <- train$Spam</pre>
get_performance(target, results)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
          predictions
##
## targets
            0
         0 931
                 0
##
         1
             0 439
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 32.0438 %
## TNR = 67.9562 %
## FPR = 0 %
## FNR = 0 %
## Misclassification Rate = 0 %
# performance on test data
knn_fit <- predict(knn_model, test)</pre>
results <- as.integer(knn_fit > 0.5)
target <- test$Spam</pre>
get_performance(target, results)
## [1] "Confusion Matrix"
          predictions
##
## targets
             0
##
         0 644 307
##
         1 185 234
## Classification performance:
## TPR = 17.08029 %
## TNR = 47.0073 \%
## FPR = 22.40876 %
## FNR = 13.50365 %
## Misclassification Rate = 35.91241 %
```

If we assign k=1 training misclassification is 0%, this means we are overfitting our model, thus the misclassification for the testing set may be bigger than other scenarios.