

Assignment 4 - Canonical Correlation Analysis

GROUP 03

*Agustin Valencia - **aguva779***

*Bayu Brahmantio - **baybr878***

*Joris van Doorn - **jorva845***

*Marcos F Mourao - **marfr825***

13 December 2019

Canonical correlation analysis by utilizing suitable software

Look at the data described in Exercise 10.16 of Johnson, Wichern. You may find it in the file P10-16.DAT. The data for 46 patients are summarized in a covariance matrix, which will be analyzed in R. Read through the description of the different R packages and functions so you may choose the most suitable one for the analysis. Supplement with own code where necessary.

The given matrix is the following:

| V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | V5 |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| 1106.000 | 396.700 | 108.400 | 0.787 | 26.230 |
| 396.700 | 2382.000 | 1143.000 | -0.214 | -23.960 |
| 108.400 | 1143.000 | 2136.000 | 2.189 | -20.840 |
| 0.787 | -0.214 | 2.189 | 0.016 | 0.216 |
| 26.230 | -23.960 | -20.840 | 0.216 | 70.560 |

Thus, separating the variance-covariance matrix it is obtained that

$$\Sigma_{11} =$$

| V1 | V2 | V3 |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 1106.0 | 396.7 | 108.4 |
| 396.7 | 2382.0 | 1143.0 |
| 108.4 | 1143.0 | 2136.0 |

$$\Sigma_{22} =$$

| V4 | V5 |
|-------|--------|
| 0.016 | 0.216 |
| 0.216 | 70.560 |

$$\Sigma_{21} =$$

| | V1 | V2 | V3 |
|---|--------|---------|---------|
| 4 | 0.787 | -0.214 | 2.189 |
| 5 | 26.230 | -23.960 | -20.840 |

$$\Sigma_{12} =$$

| V4 | V5 |
|--------|--------|
| 0.787 | 26.23 |
| -0.214 | -23.96 |
| 2.189 | -20.84 |

It can be computed that

$$S_{11}^{-1/2} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1} S_{21} S_{11}^{-1/2} =$$

| | | |
|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 45000.99 | 25864.44 | 141869.5 |
| 25864.44 | 62898.07 | 182340.5 |
| 141869.53 | 182340.55 | 658795.9 |

Its eigen-decomposition is given by

Eigenvalues :

| x |
|-----------|
| 739837.84 |
| 26857.14 |
| 0.00 |

Eigenvectors:

| | | |
|------------|------------|------------|
| -0.2024159 | 0.7395280 | -0.6419705 |
| -0.2619092 | -0.6725418 | -0.6921640 |
| -0.9436267 | 0.0280330 | 0.3298224 |

Also,

$$S_{22}^{-1/2} S_{21} S_{11}^{-1} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1/2} =$$

| | |
|-----------|------------|
| 0.0009011 | 0.2611067 |
| 0.2611067 | 81.1397279 |

Its eigen-decomposition is given by

Eigenvalues :

| x |
|------------|
| 81.1405681 |
| 0.0000609 |

Eigenvectors:

| | |
|-----------|------------|
| 0.0032180 | -0.9999948 |
| 0.9999948 | 0.0032180 |

- a) Test at the 5% level if there is any association between the groups of variables.

```
alpha <- 0.05
#critical value
crit <- qchisq(p = (1-alpha), df = p*q)
#test statistic
```

- b) How many pairs of canonical variates are significant?
- c) Interpret the “significant” squared canonical correlations. Tip: Read section “Canonical Correlations as Generalizations of Other Correlation Coefficients”.
- d) Interpret the canonical variates by using the coefficients and suitable correlations.
- e) Are the “significant” canonical variates good summary measures of the respective data sets? Tip: Read section “Proportions of Explained Sample Variance”.
- f) Give your opinion on the success of this canonical correlation analysis.

Appendix A - Code

```
RNGversion('3.5.1')
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
library(expm)
library(knitr)
data <- read.table("./Data/P10-16.DAT")
#number of observations (patients)
n <- 46
#number of primary variables
p <- 3
#number of secondary variables
q <- 2
kable(data)
#separating the variance-covariance matrix
sigma11 <- as.matrix(data[1:3,1:3])
sigma22 <- as.matrix(data[4:5, 4:5])
sigma21 <- as.matrix(data[4:5, 1:3])
sigma12 <- as.matrix(data[1:3, 4:5])

e <- sqrtm(sigma11) %*% sigma12 %*% solve(sigma22) %*% sigma21 %*% sqrtm(sigma11)
f <- sqrtm(sigma22) %*% sigma21 %*% solve(sigma11) %*% sigma12 %*% sqrtm(sigma22)

kable(sigma11)
kable(sigma22)
kable(sigma21)
kable(sigma12)
kable(e)
eEigenDecom <- eigen(e)
eEigVect <- eEigenDecom$vectors
eEigVals <- eEigenDecom$values
kable(eEigVals)
kable(eEigVect)
kable(f)
fEigenDecom <- eigen(f)
fEigVect <- fEigenDecom$vectors
fEigVals <- fEigenDecom$values
kable(fEigVals)
kable(fEigVect)
alpha <- 0.05
#critical value
crit <- qchisq(p = (1-alpha), df = p*q)
#test statistic
```