Advanced Macroeconomics II

Handout 6 - The Endogenous Grid Method

Sergio Ocampo

Western University

October 20, 2020

Short recap

Prototypical DP problem:

$$V(z,k) = \max_{\{c,k'\}} u(c) + \beta E\left[V\left(z',k'\right)|z\right]$$
$$\text{s.t.} c + k' = f(z,k)$$
$$z' = h(z,\eta);\eta \text{ stochastic}$$

- We are looking for functions $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{g^c}, \mathbf{g^k}$: We cannot solve this.

We need to solve an approximate problem:

- Approximate continuous function: **Interpolation**
  - Requires "exact" solution of maximization problem: **Optimization**
  - Requires computing expectations: **Integration**

Why is VFI so costly?

$$V(z,k) = \max_{\{c,k'\}} u(c) + \beta E\left[V\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

Why is VFI so costly?

$$V(z,k) = \max_{\{c,k'\}} u(c) + \beta E\left[V\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

- Combination of maximization and expectations is lethal
- Optimizing requires *a lot* of function evaluations
  - Each function evaluation requires expectations, interpolations and often derivatives

Why is VFI so costly?

$$V\left(z,k\right)=\max_{\left\{c,k'\right\}}u\left(c\right)+\beta E\left[V\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

- Combination of maximization and expectations is lethal
- Optimizing requires *a lot* of function evaluations
  - Each function evaluation requires expectations, interpolations and often derivatives

Key idea:

- Can we bypass the maximization step?
- Focus on the Euler equation

Carroll (2006)

Maximization requires satisfying FOC:

$$u^{'}(c) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)|z\right] \qquad c + k^{'} = f(z,k)$$

Usual approach:

- Fix (z,k) and solve for $\left(k^{'}, c\right)$
- Consumption is immediately given $k^{'}$: $c = f(z,k) - k^{'}$
- Problem is to try a bunch of $k^{'}$ to solve

$$u^{'}\left(f(z,k) - k^{'}\right) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

Carroll (2006)

Maximization requires satisfying FOC:

$$u^{'}(c) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)|z\right] \qquad c + k^{'} = f(z,k)$$

Carroll's approach:

- Fix $\left(k^{'}, z\right)$ and solve for k! Hence the endogenous grid name
- Problem is to solve:

$$f(z,k) = \underbrace{(u')^{-1}\left(\beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)|z\right]\right) + k^{'}}_{\text{Known given } \left(k',z\right)}$$

- This is a nonlinear equation, but a simple one to solve

Key: Expectation and derivatives only taken once! No interpolations!

Standard algorithm

Algorithm 1: EGM: Standard Method

Function `EGM(`$V,\vec{k},\vec{z},parameters$`)`:

- **for** i=1:n_z **do**
  - **for** j=1:n_k **do**
    - F(x) $= f(\vec{z}_i, x) - \vec{k}_j - (u')^{-1}\left(\beta E\left[V_k\left(z', \vec{k}_j\right)|\vec{z}_i\right]\right)$
    - # Find [k_min,k_max], check corners, further bracket zero
    - k_endo[j] = Roots(F,k_min,k_max)
    - V_endo[j] $= u(f(\vec{z}_i, k\_endo[j]) - \vec{k}_j) + \beta E\left[V\left(z', \vec{k}_j\right)|\vec{z}_i\right]$
  - # Interpolate value function to exogenous grid
  - V_new(i,:) = Interpolation(k_endo,V_endo,$\vec{k}$)
- return V_new

Change of variable - Know your states

- A change of variable makes things easier
- Define Y as total income, or cash on hand: $Y = f(z, k)$

Change of variable - Know your states

- A change of variable makes things easier
- Define Y as total income, or cash on hand: $Y = f(z, k)$
- We can change the state in our problem

$$V(z, Y) = \max_{\{k'\}} u\left(Y - k'\right) + \beta E\left[V\left(z', Y'\right) | z\right]$$

$$\text{s.t.}\, Y' = f\left(z', k'\right)$$

$$z' = h(z, \eta)\,; \eta \text{ stochastic}$$

Change of variable - Know your states

- A change of variable makes things easier
- Define Y as total income, or cash on hand: $Y = f(z, k)$
- We can change the state in our problem

$$V(z, Y) = \max_{\{k'\}} u\left(Y - k'\right) + \beta E\left[V\left(z', Y'\right)|z\right]$$

$$\text{s.t.}\, Y' = f\left(z', k'\right)$$

$$z' = h(z, \eta)\,;\, \eta \text{ stochastic}$$

- Control variable k' (partially) determines future state
- We still need to hang onto z as a state, why?

Change of variable - Know your states

Note that $Y^{'}$ is a function of $k^{'}$ and $z^{'}$ so we can write

$$\mathbb{V}\left(z,k^{'}\right)=\beta E\left[V\left(Y^{'}\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right),z^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

$$\mathbb{V}_{k}\left(z,k^{'}\right)=\beta E\left[V_{Y}\left(Y^{'}\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right),z^{'}\right)\frac{\partial Y\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)}{\partial k}|z\right]$$

Change of variable - Know your states

Note that $Y^{'}$ is a function of $k^{'}$ and $z^{'}$ so we can write

$$\mathbb{V}\left(z, k^{'}\right) = \beta E\left[V\left(Y^{'}\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right), z^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

$$\mathbb{V}_k\left(z, k^{'}\right) = \beta E\left[V_Y\left(Y^{'}\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right), z^{'}\right)\frac{\partial Y\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)}{\partial k}|z\right]$$

Now the problem is:

$$V(z, Y) = \max_{\{k^{'}\}} u\left(Y - k^{'}\right) + \mathbb{V}\left(z, k^{'}\right)$$

$$\text{s.t.}\, Y^{'} = f\left(z^{'}, k^{'}\right)$$

$$z^{'} = h(z, \eta); \eta \text{ stochastic}$$

Modified EGM

Algorithm 2: EGM: Change of State Method

Function `EGM`(V,$\vec{k}$,$\vec{z}$,*parameters*):

  # Note: You already know Y() for any (), let $Y_{ij} = Y(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j)$

  for *i=1:n_z* **do**

    for *j=1:n_k* **do**

      $\mathbb{V}_j = \beta E\left[V\left(Y(z', \vec{k}_j), z'\right)|\vec{z}_i\right]$

    $\vec{c}$_endo $= (u')^{-1}.(\mathbb{V}_k)$ (Note: Evaluating whole vector)

    $\vec{Y}$_endo = c_endo + $\vec{k}$

    $\vec{V}$_endo = $u(\vec{c}\_endo) + \mathbb{V}$

    # Interpolate value function to exogenous grid

    V_new[i,:] = Interpolation($\vec{Y}$_endo,$\vec{V}$_endo,$\vec{Y}[i,:]$)

Change variable back to k. Note: $V_{ji} = V(Y(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j), z_i) = V(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j)$

Some comments

The method still has some flexibility

1. How to compute derivatives (you can get it from interpolation step)

Some comments

The method still has some flexibility

1. How to compute derivatives (you can get it from interpolation step)
2. How to compute expectations (no interpolation if z is discrete)

Some comments

The method still has some flexibility

1. How to compute derivatives (you can get it from interpolation step)
2. How to compute expectations (no interpolation if z is discrete)
3. How to judge convergence (standard practice is actually to pass $\mathbb{V}$ along and judge convergence with it)

Some comments

The method still has some flexibility

1. How to compute derivatives (you can get it from interpolation step)
2. How to compute expectations (no interpolation if z is discrete)
3. How to judge convergence (standard practice is actually to pass $\mathbb{V}$ along and judge convergence with it)
4. How to map to capital after convergence
   4.1 Interpolation of $V\left(Y_{endo}\right)$ to $V\left(Y_{exo}\right)$: Y_{exo} maps to k by construction
   4.2 Keep Y_{endo}. Solve for $\vec{k}(z)$ s.t. $Y_{endo}(z) = f\left(z, \vec{k}(z)\right)$

Labor Supply

Labor supply adds an equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(z,k) = \max_{\left\{c,k'\right\}} \; & u(c,\ell) + \beta E\left[V\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right] \\
\text{s.t.} \, c + k^{'} &= f(z,k,\ell) \\
z^{'} &= h(z,\eta) \,;\, \eta \text{ stochastic}
\end{aligned}
$$

Labor supply adds an equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(z,k) &= \max_{\{c,k'\}} u(c,\ell) + \beta E\left[V\left(z',k'\right)|z\right] \\
\text{s.t.}\, c + k' &= f(z,k,\ell) \\
z' &= h(z,\eta)\,;\eta \text{ stochastic}
\end{aligned}
$$

FOC:

$$
u_c(c,\ell) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z',k'\right)|z\right] \qquad -u_\ell(c,\ell) = f_\ell(z,k,\ell) \qquad c+k' = f(z,k,\ell)
$$

Attempting EGM - Problems

Change of variable:

$$Y(z,k) = f(z,k,\ell(z,k)) = zk^{\alpha}\ell(z,k)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k$$

- Cannot define exogenous grid for Y. Grid depends on policy function.

Attempting EGM - Problems

Change of variable:

$$Y(z,k) = f(z,k,\ell(z,k)) = zk^{\alpha}\ell(z,k)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k$$

- Cannot define exogenous grid for Y. Grid depends on policy function.

Euler equation:

$$u_c(c,\ell(z,k)) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

- In general, cannot invert this equation for c.
  - Special case for additively separable preferences: $u_c(c,\ell) = u_c(c)$

Attempting EGM - Problems

Change of variable:

$$Y(z,k) = f(z,k,\ell(z,k)) = zk^{\alpha}\ell(z,k)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k$$

- Cannot define exogenous grid for Y. Grid depends on policy function.

Euler equation:

$$u_c(c,\ell(z,k)) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right]$$

- In general, cannot invert this equation for c.
    - Special case for additively separable preferences: $u_c(c,\ell) = u_c(c)$

If only we knew $\ell(z,k)$ we could almost use EGM!

Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde (2007)

Idea: Mix EGM and VFI in the spirit of Howard's policy function iteration

Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde (2007)

Idea: Mix EGM and VFI in the spirit of Howard's policy function iteration

1. Fix a policy function for labor $\ell_0(z,k)$ (a good guess is $\ell_0(z,k) = \ell_{ss}$)

Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde (2007)

Idea: Mix EGM and VFI in the spirit of Howard's policy function iteration

1. Fix a policy function for labor $\ell_0(z, k)$ (a good guess is $\ell_0(z, k) = \ell_{ss}$)
2. Conduct N steps of EGM given $\ell_0(z, k)$ (say $N = 10$)
   - EGM has to be modified to include labor.
   - We need to

Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde (2007)

Idea: Mix EGM and VFI in the spirit of Howard's policy function iteration

1. Fix a policy function for labor $\ell_0(z,k)$ (a good guess is $\ell_0(z,k) = \ell_{ss}$)
2. Conduct N steps of EGM given $\ell_0(z,k)$ (say $N = 10$)
   - EGM has to be modified to include labor.
   - We need to
3. Conduct M steps of VFI (say $M = 1$) on the exogenous capital grid.

Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde (2007)

Idea: Mix EGM and VFI in the spirit of Howard's policy function iteration

1. Fix a policy function for labor $\ell_0(z,k)$ (a good guess is $\ell_0(z,k) = \ell_{ss}$)
2. Conduct N steps of EGM given $\ell_0(z,k)$ (say $N = 10$)
   - EGM has to be modified to include labor.
   - We need to
3. Conduct M steps of VFI (say $M = 1$) on the exogenous capital grid.
4. Replace $\ell(z,k)$ with the output of step 3 and conduct step 2.

Algorithm 3: EGM: Fixed labor supply

Function EGM(V,$\vec{k}, \vec{z}, \ell(z,k), k^{'}(z,k)$,*parameters*):

for i=1:n_z **do**

for j=1:n_k **do**

1. Solve for $\tilde{k}_{ij}$ s.t. $k^{'}(\tilde{k}_j) = \vec{k}_j$
2. Evaluate for labor from old policy: $\tilde{\ell}_{ij} = \ell(\vec{z}_i, \tilde{k}_{ij})$
3. Evaluate expectd value: $\mathbb{V} = \beta E \left[V \left(z', \vec{k}_j) \right) | \vec{z}_i \right]$
4. Recover consumption (analytical solution): $u_c \left(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij} \right) = \mathbb{V}_k$
5. Find endogenous capital $\hat{k}_{ij}$ s.t.: $\tilde{c}_{ij} + \vec{k}_j = f \left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij} \right)$
6. Update value at endogenous grid: $V(\vec{z}_i, \hat{k}_{ij}) = u \left(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij} \right) + \mathbb{V}$

7. Interpolate to exogenous grid: V_new[i,:] = Interp($\hat{k}, V, \vec{k}$)

Some comments

1. In step 1 you can get the inverse from an interpolation routine
   - One option is to use a root finder
   - If you are using your own routine (say Cubic Splines) you can code your own inverse function

Some comments

1. In step 1 you can get the inverse from an interpolation routine
   - One option is to use a root finder
   - If you are using your own routine (say Cubic Splines) you can code your own inverse function
2. In step 5 we can be more ambitious and also update labor.

   5'. Find endogenous capital $\hat{k}_{ij}$ s.t.: $\tilde{c}_{ij} + \vec{k}_j = f\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}, \ell\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}\right)\right)$

   - Doing this implies adding an interpolation step to the root finding
   - It also provides a better update of the value function

   6'. Update value at endogenous grid: $V(\vec{z}_i, \hat{k}_{ij}) = u\left(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \ell\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}\right)\right) + \mathbb{V}$

Some comments

1. In step 1 you can get the inverse from an interpolation routine
   - One option is to use a root finder
   - If you are using your own routine (say Cubic Splines) you can code your own inverse function
2. In step 5 we can be more ambitious and also update labor.

   5'. Find endogenous capital $\hat{k}_{ij}$ s.t.: $\tilde{c}_{ij} + \vec{k}_j = f\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}, \ell\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}\right)\right)$

   - Doing this implies adding an interpolation step to the root finding
   - It also provides a better update of the value function

   6'. Update value at endogenous grid: $V(\vec{z}_i, \hat{k}_{ij}) = u\left(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \ell\left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{ij}}\right)\right) + \mathbb{V}$
3. Step 4 can be simplified if utility is separable $u(c, \ell) = U(c) - H(\ell)$
   - In fact, all the algorithm gets easier
   - No need to carry around the policy function for labor

Algorithm 4: EGM: Endogenous labor supply with separable utility

Function `EGM`(V, $\vec{k}$, $\vec{z}$, *parameters*):

for i=1:n_z **do**

for j=1:n_k **do**

1. Evaluate expectd value: $\mathbb{V} = \beta E \left[V \left(z', \vec{k}_j \right) |\vec{z}_i \right]$
2. Recover consumption (analytical solution): $\tilde{c}_{ij} = (U')^{-1} (\mathbb{V}_k)$
3. Find $(\hat{k}_{ij}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij})$ that solve FOC:
   3a. Define $\hat{k}(\ell)$ analytically from FOC: $\frac{-H'(\ell)}{U'(\tilde{c}_{ij})} = f_\ell(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}(\ell), \ell)$
   3b. Solve for $\tilde{\ell}_{ij}$ numerically s.t.: $\tilde{c}_{ij} + \vec{k}_j = f \left(\vec{z}_i, \hat{\mathbf{k}}(\widetilde{\ell}_{\mathbf{ij}}), \tilde{\ell}_{ij} \right)$
   3c. Assign endogenous grid point $\hat{k}_{ij} = \hat{k}(\tilde{\ell}_{ij})$
4. Update value at endogenous grid: $V(\vec{z}_i, \hat{k}_{ij}) = u \left(\tilde{c}_{ij}, \tilde{\ell}_{ij} \right) + \mathbb{V}$

5. Interpolate to exogenous grid: V_new[i,:] = Interp($\hat{k}$, V, $\vec{k}$)

Envelope Condition Method

Maliar & Maliar (2013)

First order conditions:

$$u_c(c,\ell) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{-u_\ell(c,\ell)}{u_c(c,\ell)} = f_\ell(z,k,\ell) \tag{2}$$

$$c + k^{'} = f(z,k,\ell) \tag{3}$$

Envelope condition:

$$V_k(k,a) = u_c(c,\ell)\, f_k(z,k,\ell) \tag{4}$$

Combining (1) and (4) we get a recursive equation for value derivative:

$$V_k(k,a) = \beta f_k(z,k,\ell)\, E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right] \tag{5}$$

Maliar & Maliar (2013)

First order conditions:

$$u_c(c,\ell) = \beta E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{-u_\ell(c,\ell)}{u_c(c,\ell)} = f_\ell(z,k,\ell) \tag{2}$$

$$c + k^{'} = f(z,k,\ell) \tag{3}$$

Envelope condition:

$$V_k(k,a) = u_c(c,\ell)\, f_k(z,k,\ell) \tag{4}$$

Combining (1) and (4) we get a recursive equation for value derivative:

$$V_k(k,a) = \beta f_k(z,k,\ell)\, E\left[V_k\left(z^{'},k^{'}\right)|z\right] \tag{5}$$

Key: EGM works by solving (1), (2) and (3). ECM solves (4), (2) and (3). Equation (5) lets us update V_k directly without computing V

ECM - Algorithm - Inelastic labor supply

Algorithm 5: ECM: Inelastic labor supply

Function `ECM`($V_k, \vec{k}, \vec{z}$,*parameters*)**:**

for i=1:n_z **do**

  for j=1:n_k **do**

1. Get consumption analytically: $c_{ij} = (u')^{-1}\left(\frac{V_k(\vec{z}_i,\vec{k}_j)}{f_k\left(\vec{z}_i,\vec{k}_j\right)}\right)$

   Note: We are using present k, not future k.

2. Get k': $k'_{ij} = f\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right) - c_{ij}$

3. Update V_k: $V_k^{new}\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right) = \beta f_k\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right) E\left[V_k\left(z', k'_{ij}\right)|\vec{z}_i\right]$

   Note: This step requires interpolation inside expectation

return V_k^{new};

Some comments

1. No optimization or root finding in any step!

Some comments

1. No optimization or root finding in any step!
2. Careful when updating derivatives directly
   - You lose the power of the contraction mapping theorem
   - Particularly you lose uniqueness ($V_k = 0$ is a fixed point)
   - Remember that your function is monotone, so $V_k > 0$!

Some comments

1. No optimization or root finding in any step!
2. Careful when updating derivatives directly
   - You lose the power of the contraction mapping theorem
   - Particularly you lose uniqueness ($V_k = 0$ is a fixed point)
   - Remember that your function is monotone, so $V_k > 0$!
3. Alternative is to update with V as we do always:

   0'. Get derivative of V at grid nodes $V_k\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right)$

   3'. Update value function: $V^{new}(z,k) = u(c_{ij}) + \beta E\left[V\left(z', k'_{ij}\right)|z\right]$

   - Note that you still need to do the interpolation inside the expectation

Some comments

1. No optimization or root finding in any step!
2. Careful when updating derivatives directly
   - You lose the power of the contraction mapping theorem
   - Particularly you lose uniqueness ($V_k = 0$ is a fixed point)
   - Remember that your function is monotone, so $V_k > 0$!
3. Alternative is to update with V as we do always:

   0'. Get derivative of V at grid nodes $V_k\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right)$

   3'. Update value function: $V^{new}(z,k) = u(c_{ij}) + \beta E\left[V\left(z', k'_{ij}\right)|z\right]$
      - Note that you still need to do the interpolation inside the expectation
4. Authors say they get better results with V_k
   - They reference another paper (Maliar & Maliar, 2012) that solves problems with 16 states using variants of the ECM

ECM - Labor supply

Algorithm 6: ECM: Endogenous labor supply, Separable utility

Function ECM($V_k, \vec{k}, \vec{z}$,*parameters*)**:**

  for i=1:n_z **do**

    for j=1:n_k **do**

1. Get labor ℓ_{ij} numerically: $V_k(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j) = \frac{H'(\ell_{ij})}{f_\ell(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j, \ell_{ij})} f_k\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j, \ell_{ij}\right)$

   Note: No interpolation or expectation

2. Get consumption analytically: $c_{ij} = (U')^{-1}\left(\frac{H'(\ell_{ij})}{f_\ell(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j, \ell_{ij})}\right)$
3. Get k': $k'_{ij} = f\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j, \ell_{ij}\right) - c_{ij}$
3. Update V_k: $V_k^{new}\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j\right) = \beta f_k\left(\vec{z}_i, \vec{k}_j, \ell_{ij}\right) E\left[V_k\left(z', k'_{ij}\right) |\vec{z}_i\right]$

  return V_k^{new};

Extensions

Non-Convex, Non-Smooth Problems - Fella (2014)

- Extend EGM to a problem with discrete state variable and continuous choices
- Discreteness is a problem because it generates kinks in the function
- Idea: EGM works away from the kinks!
- This is worth checking!



2 States+Borrowing Const. - Hintermaier & Koeniger (2010)

- Method for model with occasionally binding collateral constraints and non-separable utility in durable and non-durable consumption
- Good for applications with uninsurable income risk
- Idea: Solve the problem with a new state variable
  - x : Cash on hand or beginning of period wealth



Robustness

Initial conditions are tricky

- EGM and ECM put a lot of trust on our guess of V and V_k

Initial conditions are tricky

- EGM and ECM put a lot of trust on our guess of V and V_k
- That trust is often misplaced during initial iterations
  - Initial guess won't capture curvature of the solution

Initial conditions are tricky

- EGM and ECM put a lot of trust on our guess of V and V_k
- That trust is often misplaced during initial iterations
  - Initial guess won't capture curvature of the solution
- Always build a safety check
  - Your EGM or ECM might send you out of bounds
  - This often means negative savings
  - Under-estimate curvature at the bottom $\longrightarrow$ Over-estimate consumption

Initial conditions are tricky

- EGM and ECM put a lot of trust on our guess of V and V_k
- That trust is often misplaced during initial iterations
  - Initial guess won't capture curvature of the solution
- Always build a safety check
  - Your EGM or ECM might send you out of bounds
  - This often means negative savings
  - Under-estimate curvature at the bottom $\longrightarrow$ Over-estimate consumption
- If you are going out of bounds revert to traditional VFI