it convenient to take Sabean as the base of our description. Accordingly, linguistic phenomena quoted below without any special mark belong to Sabean monumental texts. Otherwise they are marked as Min., Qat., Hadr. or minuscule.

Where sources of quotations are indicated, they are given according to the abbreviations in Beeston et al. 1982.

Phonology

Consonants

Since we deal here with dead languages without oral tradition, it is practically impossible to reconstruct the phonetic value of Sayhadic graphemes. Accordingly, we shall content ourselves with a conventional table of Sayhadic consonants based on their Semitic correspondences:

	ь		w m
f			
t	d	ţ	nlr
θ	δ	Ð	
θ s³ s²	Z	Ş	
s^2		ģ.	
			у
s¹ k			
k	g	ķ	
x	γ		
x ḥ h	C		
h)		

One of the most acute problems of Sayhadic phonology is that of non-emphatic unvoiced sibilants, transcribed here as s1, s2 and s3. Traditional Sabeology was deeply influenced by Arabic studies and believed that Sayhadic was especially close to Classical Arabic. Accordingly, these graphemes received the phonetic value observed in the corresponding Arabic cognates. Thus, s'Im 'peace' was transcribed as slm because of Arabic sala:m- and s2cb 'tribe, commune' as 5cb because of Arabic ša'b.. Since Arabic has no third unvoiced sibilant, s3 was conventionally transcribed s; most scholars thought it to have had a phonetic value close to s (our s^2), but even a lateralized articulation was sometimes proposed.

Nowadays, most scholars think that there is no special relationship between Sayhadic and North Arabian dialects. At the same time, the system described above obviously contradicts the data of those languages which do have three unvoiced sibilants, namely Hebrew and Modern South Arabian (MSA):

Sayhadic	Hebrew	MSA
s^1	š	š
s^2	Ś	ś
s ³	S	s

Examples: Sayh. dbs1 'honey' ~ Heb. dabaš, Jibba:li debš; Sayh. s2bc 'abundant, abundantly' ~ Heb., Mehri Sb^c 'to be satisfied'; Sayh, $3s^3r$ 'to be bound with an obligation' ~ Hebrew 'sr 'to tie', Jibba:li 'sr 'to hobble an animal'. Though some sporadic exceptions should not be neglected (see, e.g., Sayh. 's'y 'to do, make', which is obviously to be compared with Hebrew 'sh), in the great majority of cases these correspondences are valid, and this is the notation that we shall follow in our chapter.

Vowels

Since the South Arabian system of writing is purely consonantal, we cannot draw any direct information about Sayhadic vowels. The existence of an u (most likely long) may be proved by Sabean variant spellings of the pl. 3 pronominal suffix hmw/-hm (see p. 224). It is likely that beside the six vowels typical for many of Old Semitic languages (a, i, u, a:, i:, u:), there existed also e: and o: resulting from the contraction of -ay and -aw-. It may be evidenced by such pairs of variant spellings (belonging to the same period and often even to the same text) as byn/bn 'between' or $\theta wr/\theta r$ 'bull', in which the latter represent the historical spelling of the actual [*be:n (< *bayn-), θ o:r- (< * θ awr-)].

Peculiar Phonetic Phenomena

Sabean h corresponds to s' of other Sayhadic languages in the prefix of the causative verbal theme and in pronominal morphemes of the 3rd person (-hw versus -s'w etc.).

A comparatively frequent merger of θ and s is attested, e.g. ks 'summer season' beside (the etymologically correct) $ky\theta$; θlm 'statue' versus slm etc. (However, it may be explained partly by a mere confusion of letters for s and θ .) In minuscule documents etymological θ is reflected as d, e.g. dbyt 'young she-camel > sack made of its hide' (~ monumental θbyt), mfdr 'a measure of capacity' (~ monumental $mf\theta r$). It seems that minuscule script had no letter for θ at all.

s³ is often reflected as s¹ in late Sabean, e.g. ms¹nd 'inscription' instead of ms^3nd , s^1n 'up to, next to, by' instead of s^3n etc. This process is also attested for the Haramic dialect of middle Sabean (e.g. 'ks'wt 'clothes' vs. standard Sabean ks3wy 'clothing').

n is sporadically assimilated to the following consonant, e.g. $y\delta rn$ (beside $yn\delta rn$) – impf. from $n\delta r$ 'to atone'; mdh (beside mndh) 'tutelary deity', ' fs^{1} (beside $^{\prime}nfs^{\prime}$) – broken plural of nfs^{\prime} 'soul'.

Sayhadic θ is often reflected as s^3 in Hadramitic texts (especially from Wadi Hadramawt itself), e.g. s^2ls^3 'three' (~ Sab. $s^2l\theta$).

Some cases of Hadramitic θ corresponding to Sayhadic s^3 are also attested (e.g. $m\theta nd$ 'inscription' vs. Sayh. ms^3nd or $k\theta$ ' 'to command' versus Oat, ks^{33}).

Metathesis is a comparatively frequent phenomenon in Sayhadic, e.g. variant forms 'wld/'lwd and 'wyn/'ywn, both broken plurals from wld 'child' and wyn 'vineyard' respectively. See further klmt/kmlt 'kind of insects', Min. s²m²l/s²²ml 'northwards' etc.

An interesting phenomenon is the so-called "parasitic" h, i.e. non-etymological glide h appearing in a number of morphemes (mainly affixes and particles). In Minean it is typical of the affixes of external plural (masculine and feminine), e.g. ymhn 'days' (pl. m. abs. < ywm (ym) 'day'), 'hrhn < hr 'noble', hrhn + hr (pl. f. determined < hrho hr 'woman'), 'hrhn + hr 'reparations' (pl. f. const. < hrho hr etc.; it is often found at the end of nouns in singular and broken plural in hr status hr constructus (see p. 227). Though it remains uncertain under what conditions this hr appears, it is thought that it was caused by a peculiar character of the stress (possibly even pitch). As for the examples attested outside of Minean, see hr 'to enter' (cf Semitic hr 'to come, to enter'); hr (beside hr 'who, which' (relative), which may be compared with Arabic dialectal hr: hr

Morphology

Pronouns

Personal Pronouns (Independent)

Independent personal pronouns of the 1st person are only doubtfully attested in the texts (sg. 1 3 n in bnhw 3 n, bnhw 3 n '1 am his son, I am his son' [Gl 1782]; br 3 k- 3 h 'it was myself who built it' [J2353,3]; pl. 1 (?) in 3 trw 3 n ms 3 ndn ' 3 n' brh 'we, Abraha, wrote this inscription' [C541,3-4]).

Forms of the 2nd person are extremely rare in monumental inscriptions (perhaps ?t in Rhmnn rhmk mr³ ?t 'O Rahma:n! You are merciful; you are the lord!' [Ry508,11]), but they are frequent in minuscule documents. Forms attested in published texts are as follows: sg. m. ²nt (variant ²t): w-²t s²xln l-Fr′n nkt-hw 'and you, take care about Fr′n, his she-camel' [A-40-4,3-5]; pl. m. ²ntmw; w-²ntmw f-l ts't'ddnn l-hmw 'and you (pl.), you should verify the calculations for them' [YM11732,3].

Forms of the 3rd person are identical with the nominative forms of remote deictics: $w-t^2wlw\ b-wfym\ hw^2\ w-kl\ s^2w^2-hmw$ and they returned in safety, he (himself) and all their companions' [J631,13-14]; $w-hmw\ f-n\theta rw\ mw^2d\ grn$ as for them, they remembered the promise of the Najranites' [J577,10].

A number of 2nd person pronominal enclitics are attested in minuscule documents: sg. -k, pl. -kmw (see below; -k also in monumental Qatabanian [J 367; 2439,1] 'bd-k 'your /sg./ servant', '\delta f. 'your /sg./ authority').

The suffix -n in a number of theophoric names may be regarded as the object enclitic of 1st person singular, e.g. $Hm-n-ns^{i}r$, which probably means 'Protectme-Nasr' (imv. sg.) or pf. sg. 3m. from hmy 'to protect' +-n, see p. 235).

Attached to nouns, enclitic pronouns denote possession 'xt-hn' 'their (f.) sisters', minuscule bt-k 'your (sg.) house' etc. Attached to verbal forms, enclitic pronouns denote the direct object (mt'-hmw 'he has saved them', Min. l-ys'sfd-s' t0ff 'let the judgment bind him' [R4728,1]), but they are also widely used for the indirect object: \delta bh-hw \delta bhm' 'he offered him [i.e. the god] a sacrifice' [e.g. C461,2], xmr-

Table 12.1 Attested forms of pronominal enclitics

	Singular	Dual	Piural
Sab. m.	-hw, -h	-hmy	-hmw, -hm
f.	-hhw		-hn
Min. m. f.	-h, -hw -s ¹ , -s ¹ w -s ¹	-s¹mn	-s¹m
Qat. m.	-s ¹ , -s ¹ ww	-s¹my	-s¹n
f.	-s ¹ , -s ¹ yw		-s¹m
ladr. m.	-s ¹ , -s ¹ ww	-s¹mn, -s¹myn, -s¹my	-s¹m
f.	-θ (-s ³), -θyw (-s ³ yw)	-s¹my	

hmw onmm 'he [a deity] granted them rain' [J563,11-12].

Pronominal enclitics are extensively employed, with prepositions: b-'m-hmw with them,' Min. b-'br-s'mn 'regarding them both'; minuscule l-k 'for you', 'brn-kmw 'to you (pl.)'.

Relative Particles

Table 12.2 Attested forms of relative particles

	Singular	Dual	Plural
Sab. m.	δ-	δу-	'Iw, 'ly, 'lht, 'l
f.	δt, t-	δty	¹lt ,
Min. m.	δ-	δý-	'hl-, hl-, δl-
f.	δt	δίγη	,
Qat. m.	δ(m)-, δw-	δŵ-, δn	δtw, 'wlw, 'l (?)
f.	δt(m)-	3965	δtw
Hadr. 'm.	δ-`΄		
f.	δt		

These particles are used to introduce relative clauses (see p. 239) and in periphrastic genitive constructions: $bkrtn \delta t \delta hbn$ 'the bronze young she-camel' [C579,4–5]; ' $m\theta ln$ 'ly δhbn 'the bronze images' [J558,2]; $fnwt-hw \delta t ts^1 qyn-hw$ 'his canal, which irrigates it [the palm grove]' [C657,3]. They may agree in gender and number with the antecedent, but δ - often becomes generalized: ' $bdtm \delta$ -kwnw byn xms'nhn 'irregulars who were between the two armies' [J633,7–8], $slmtn \dots \delta$ - $s^2fit mr'hmw$ 'the statuette ... which she promised to their lord' [J706,3–4].

Of interest are some nouns with δ - which are used without an antecedent like δ - δr 'distant relatives', $\delta - gb$ 'n 'document of property transfer', $\delta - mnt$ 'person or thing under protection' (cf. Ge'ez za-manfas 'spiritual', za-lams leprous' etc).

Indefinite Pronouns

mn (δ ...) 'somebody', someone', mhn 'something', hnn (hnm) 'whatever, whenever, wherever': w-mn-mw ys^2tr-hw 'whoever destroys it ...' [R4091,1-3] (with enclitic -mw, see p. 237).

- $10 \quad {^c}s^2r/{^c}s^2rt.$
- 11 'hd 's²r.
- 12 $\theta ny ^c s^2 r$ etc.

Note that $^{c}s^{2}r$ in these forms is invariable and does not depend on the gender of the enumerate (e.g. rb^c-s^2r and rb^ct-s^2r).

Twenty is 's²ry, the rest of the decades are formed by adding -y to the respective form in the first decade ($\theta l\theta y$ '30', $xms^{\prime}y$ '50') etc. In Minean forms endings in -hy are attested, thus 'rb'hy '40'; cf. also Hadr. ts'hy '90'. The order of elements in compound numerals (each preceded by w-) ascends from units to thousands (see examples below).

Forms with -t occur with masculine nouns, forms with zero ending with feminine ones (exceptions are 1, 2, 11 and 12). The noun counted, always in the plural (except for 1 and 2), appears after the numeral. As a rule, numerals up to 100 are in the construct state, the noun in the absolute with mimation: $\theta l\theta t$ 'wrxm 'three months', $\theta l\theta$ 'brkm 'three rainy seasons' < brk (f.), Qat. td ' s^2r 'nxlm 'eleven palm groves'; the noun without -m: Min. xms1 'mh 'five cubits' (sg. 'mt), Hadr. Omnwt'fhd'eight cheetahs'. If the noun is definite (rarely found) the numeral also has a -n: $\theta l\theta t - n^3 slm - n$ 'the three statues'. '100' is $m^2 t$ (pl. m^3 , $m^3 n$, $m^3 t$, $m^3 n h n$; Min. m't, m'h, Hadr. m'h, Qat. m't). '1,000' is 'lf (pl. ''lf). In most cases hundreds and thousands are in the absolute state with mimation, so that the numeral should not be regarded as the possessed, but rather as an apposition: xms1 m2 tm w-010t ''lfm's'dm'3,500 men' [1665,29-30]. Note an opposite case (the hundred in the construct state) in xms¹ w-'s²ry wm't 'frs¹m '125 horsemen' [J665,30-31].

The syntax of ordinals, which in most cases look like the corresponding cardinals (i.e. s²l0/-t, xms'/-t etc.; 'first' - kdm - is an exception), is in all respects identical to that of adjectives. However, they may precede the noun which they qualify (especially if it is in the absolute state), cf. θnym/θlθm ywmm 'second/third day' versus xms in rb in the fourth district [C435,3-4], xrf $Wdd^2l \dots s^ld\theta n$ the sixth year of [the eponym] Wdd'l' [NNAG11,11-12].

Fractions and Distributives

'Half' is probably attested as fich in fichm w- $\theta l\theta$ blim δ -rdym 'three and a half' blicoins of full weight' [G11361,2]. Other fractions are used extensively. Their form is shf from the consonantal root of the respective cardinal, e.g. rbc 'one-quarter', $s^{\prime}b^{\prime}$ 'one-seventh' etc. (note Min. $s^{2}lw\theta$ in 'd $s^{2}lw\theta$ hgrn 'up to one-third of the city' (?) [R2774,2]). The plural of this pattern is 'shf; it seems that fractions may agree both as masculine and feminine: contrast 'ht's2r 'one-tenth' [R4995,1] and (Qat.) s2l0t 2xms1m 'three fifths' [J343A,3-4]. Of interest is Sab. mxms1t 'onefifth (pl.)'. An interesting way of expressing fractions consists in using 'sb' 'finger', e.g. 'sb'm bn 0mny 'sb' 'one finger from eight fingers', i.e. 'one-eighth' [C640,2].

Distributives are expressed by the repetition of the cardinals, e g. (Qat.) 's²r c_{S^2r} xbstm ms'm l-tt tt ywmm 'ten coins of full value for each day' [R3854,6-7]. Note $s^2l\theta t^{-3}\delta$ 'for the third time' [e.g. C366] (cf. Arabic $hi:na^{-3}i\delta in$ 'at that time').

Verb

Consonantal roots may be classified into "sound" and "weak," Sound verbs have three permanent radicals though a few have four (Qat. fdfd 'to expand, improve'). Weak verbs contain w or y as one of the radicals, namely lw/y, IIw/y and IIIw/y. Verbs In and verbs with the second and third radicals identical also exhibit some special features.

Of course, neither sahhafa nor sa:hafa themes can be distinguished in writing from the basic theme shf. The existence of at least one of them can easily be proved by many semantically contrasting pairs like yf 'to raise up, to set up' - yf 'go up, rise', xt' 'to commit a sin, an offense' - xt' 'to make amends for a sin'; kwn 'to be, to exist' ~ kwn 'to go to help'.

A theme shift is attested in Minean: 'xxr' to impose' (also 'xr); 'lly 'to raise, to lift', finnw 'to send, to credit goods', firr' 'to lift', s'kky 'to irrigate fields', zwwr 'to wall something', impf, ymhhr- 'to make a payment'. This theme is sometimes compared with the Arabic theme II (kattaba, reconstructed also for Sayhadic, see above); according to this suggestion, the graphic doubling of the second radical may represent its phonetic gemination (as in Arabic). We know, however, that a theme kata:taba (kätatäbä) is attested in Ethiopian (the so-called "frequentative"), but the scarcity of Minean examples prevents us from drawing definitive conclusions.

The basic meaning of the h-theme (s'- in non-Sabean Sayhadic) is the causative: h-s'tr 'to commit to writing' ~ s'tr 'to write'; h-wrd 'to bring troops into the field' - wrd 'to go down; to fall upon the enemy', Qat. s'-gzm 'to cause to decide' - gzm 'to decide'. If the simple theme denotes a state, the h-theme may have a resultative causative meaning: htlf 'to destroy' - tlf 'to be struck dead, to perish': Min. s'mlk 'to make/proclaim a king' ~ Oat, mlk 'to rule, to be a king'.

Two t-themes are attested, namely s-t-hf and t-shf. Their primary significance is reflexive and passive, presumably for *sahafa and *sahhafa respectively.

Reflexive: h-t-my 'to protect oneself' (-hmy 'to protect'); γ -t-s^tl 'to wash oneself'; t-sn' 'to fortify oneself' (- sn' 'to fortify'); s'-t-ky 'to quench one's thirst' (~ s'ky 'to irrigate').

Passive: s²-t-rh 'to be saved' (- s²rh 'to deliver, to save'), t-2tm/2-t-tm 'to be mustered (- 'tm 'to bring together'); s'-t-my 'to be named' (- s'my 'to name').

These themes often appear as medial: x-t-dm 'to get fields cultivated'; ts²ym 'to appoint somebody (direct object) for oneself' (~ s²ym 'to appoint'), t-s¹tr/s¹-t-tr 'to write an inscription (direct object) for oneself' (-s'tr 'to write'). Sometimes