

- Lossy Source Code
- Rate vs. Distortion for Memoryless Sources
- Rate vs. Distortion for Sources with Memory
- Universal Lossy Source Coding
- Shannon Lower Bound

Lossy Source Code (cont.)

Definition 1. A fixed-rate n -block code is a pair (C_n, ϕ_n) where $C_n \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^n$ is the code-book and $\phi_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow C_n$. The rate of the block-code is given by $\frac{1}{n} \log |C_n|$. $Y^n = Y^n(X^n) = \phi_n(X^n)$ will denote the reconstruction sequence when the n -block code is applied to the source sequence X^n . The expected distortion is $E\rho(X^n, Y^n)$.

Definition 2. A variable-rate code for n -blocks is a triple (C_n, ϕ_n, l_n) with C_n and ϕ_n as in Definition 1. The code operates by mapping a source n -tuple X^n into C_n via ϕ_n , and then encoding the corresponding member of the code-book (denoted Y^n in Definition 1) using a uniquely decodable binary code. Letting $l_n(X^n)$ denote the associated length function, the rate of the code is defined by $E\frac{1}{n}l_n(X^n)$. The expected distortion is $E\rho(X^n, Y^n)$.

Assume \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are, respectively, the finite source and reconstruction alphabet.

Assume a given single-letter distortion measure $\rho : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which induces a block distortion:

$$\rho(x^n, y^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i, y_i).$$

Rate Distortion Function

The Rate Distortion function associated with the random variable X is

$$R(D) = \min_{E\rho(X, Y) \leq D} I(X; Y)$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{X_i\}$ be an i.i.d. source $X_i \sim X$.

[Direct:] For any $\epsilon > 0$ and n sufficiently large there exists an n -block code with rate $\leq R(D) + \epsilon$ and distortion $\leq D + \epsilon$.

[Converse:] Any code-book \mathcal{C}_n with rate $\leq R(D)$ has distortion $\geq D$.

Recalling Achievability Idea in Rate Distortion Theorem

- Let (X, Y) achieve the rate distortion function.
- Generate codewords in code-book independently with components i.i.d. $\sim Y$
- By Lemma 1, for typical source realizations x^n , probability that x^n be jointly typical with, say, the first codeword is $\approx 2^{-nI(X;Y)}$.
- So, if we generate $2^{n(I(X;Y)+\epsilon)}$ codewords at least one of them will be jointly typical with the source with high probability
- Distortion between this codeword and source will be $\approx E\rho(X, Y)$

Recall, e.g., [Berger71], [Gallager68], [CT91, Sec. 13.6].

For $x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ let $N(a|x^n) = |\{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i = a\}|$. The *strongly typical set* T_X^ϵ is the set of all sequences $x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ satisfying

1. $|\frac{1}{n}N(a|x^n) - P_X(a)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{X}|}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{X}$ with $P_X(a) > 0$ and
2. $N(a|x^n) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{X}$ with $P_X(a) = 0$.

$T_{X,Y}^\epsilon$ is defined analogously.

Lemma 1. Let $\{Y_i\}$ be i.i.d. $\sim Y$. For $x^n \in T_X^\epsilon$

$$2^{-n(I(X;Y)+\epsilon_1)} \leq \Pr((x^n, Y^n) \in T_{X,Y}^\epsilon) \leq 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-\epsilon_1)},$$

where $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remarkably Simple Converse

Fix a code-book with rate R and distortion $\leq D$.

$$\begin{aligned} R &\geq \frac{1}{n} H(Y^n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_i) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n R(E\rho(X_i, Y_i)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} R \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E\rho(X_i, Y_i) \right) \\ &\geq R(D). \square \end{aligned}$$

The Rate Distortion function associated with X^n is

$$R(X^n, D) = \min_{E\rho(X^n, Y^n) \leq D} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n).$$

The Rate Distortion function associated with the stationary source \mathbf{X} is

$$R(\mathbf{X}, D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(X^n, D) = \inf_n R(X^n, D).$$

The existence of the limit, as well as the second equality, will be established in the HW exercise. You will also show that

$$R(\mathbf{X}, D) = \inf \{ \bar{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) : E\rho(X_0, Y_0) \leq D \},$$

the infimum taken over jointly stationary processes consistent with the distribution of \mathbf{X} and $\bar{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n)$ denotes the mutual information rate.

Achievability Idea

Achievability of $R(X_1, D)$: Same as i.i.d. case.

Achievability of $R(X^n, D)$:

- Similar to achievability of $R(X_1, D)$ by considering super alphabet \mathcal{X}^n
- Only problem is: “super process” may not be ergodic.
- But can be separated into, at most, n “ergodic modes”.
- Letting $P_n(y^n|x^n)$ achieve $R(X^n, D)$ and i represent the mode

$$nR(X^n, D) = I(X^n; Y^n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(X^n; Y^n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n R(X^n, D_i|i),$$

(notation $I_i(X^n; Y^n)$ and $R(X^n, D_i|i)$ to be explained in class) where $D_i = E[\rho(X^n, Y^n)|i]$ so $D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$.

Theorem 2. Let \mathbf{X} be stationary ergodic.

[Direct:] For any $\epsilon > 0$ and n sufficiently large there exists a code-book for n -blocks with rate $\leq R(\mathbf{X}, D) + \epsilon$ and distortion $\leq D + \epsilon$.

[Converse:] Any code-book \mathcal{C}_n with rate $\leq R(\mathbf{X}, D)$ has distortion $\geq D$.

- Thus, for large L can find codes of block length nL attaining distortion essentially D_i and rate $R(X^n, D_i|i)$ on the i -th mode. Average distortion is then $D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ and average rate is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R(X^n, D_i|i) \leq R(X^n, D)$.

Details can be found in [Berger71], [Gallager68].

David L. Neuhoff, Paul C. Shields; Simplistic Universal Coding, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. IT-44, pp. 778 - 781, March 1998.

[Ziv72] J. Ziv. Coding of sources with unknown statistics - part II: Distortion relative to a fidelity criterion. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 18:389394, May 1972.

[YK96] E. H. Yang and J. Kieffer. Simple universal lossy data compression schemes derived from the Lempel-Ziv algorithm. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 42:239245, 1996.

We follow [YK96]

A Simple Fixed-Rate Universal Lossy Coding Scheme:

Let the code-book be given by

$$B_n = \{y^n \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n : l_{LZ}(y^n) \leq nR\}. \quad (1)$$

The encoding is done by giving the index of $y^n \in B_n$ that minimizes $\rho(x^n, y^n)$.

Note universality of code-book w.r.t. source distribution and also w.r.t. distortion measure !

Universal Lossy Source Coding (cont.)

A Simple Fixed-Distortion Universal Lossy Coding Scheme:

Code-book: List the elements of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}^n$ in nondecreasing order of LZ codeword length.

Encoding: Encode source n -block into the LZ codeword of the first word in code-book for which the resulting distortion is $\leq D$.

Universality Result

Theorem 3. [YK96] *For \mathbf{X} stationary and ergodic*

$$E\rho(X^n, B_n) \rightarrow D(\mathbf{X}, R) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our proof will use the following lemma:

Lemma 2. Let m be a positive integer and $\sigma : \hat{\mathcal{X}}^m \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ be a length function. Then there exists a sequence of positive real numbers $\{\delta_n\}$ tending to zero such that for all n and $y^n \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n$

$$l_{LZ}(y^n) \leq \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{i \equiv j \pmod{m}, 1 \leq i \leq n-m+1} \sigma(y_i^{i+m-1}) \right\} + n\delta_n.$$

Proof of Theorem 3: See white-board.

The Shannon Lower Bound (cont.)

Let $R(X, D)$ (for the difference distortion measure ρ) be achieved by (X, Y) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, D) &= I(X; Y) \\ &= H(X) - H(X|Y) \\ &= H(X) - H(X - Y|Y) \\ &\geq H(X) - H(X - Y) \\ &\geq H(X) - \phi(E\rho(X - Y)) \\ &\geq H(X) - \phi(D). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$R(X, D) \geq H(X) - \phi(D)$$

with equality (assuming ϕ strictly increasing at D) if and only if X can be represented as $X = Y \oplus N$ for some Y and $N \sim P_{\rho, D}$ independent of Y .

The Shannon lower bound we now derive will be key in our treatment of the compression-based approach to denoising.

Let \mathcal{A} be an alphabet where addition and subtraction of elements are well-defined.

Let ρ be a difference distortion measure $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y - x)$.

The maximum-entropy function (associated with ρ) is defined by

$$\phi(D) = \max\{H(X) : E\rho(X) \leq D\}.$$

The distribution attaining the maximum is of the form

$$P_{\rho, D}(x) = \frac{e^{-\beta\rho(x)}}{\sum_{x'} e^{-\beta\rho(x')}},$$

where β is tuned so that the constraint is met with equality (when possible).

The Shannon Lower Bound (cont.)

Similarly can show that for each n

$$R(X^n, D) \geq \frac{1}{n}H(X^n) - \phi(D)$$

with equality if and only if $\exists Y^n, N^n$ independent random n -tuples with N_i i.i.d. $\sim P_{\rho, D}$ such that $X_i = Y_i \oplus N_i$. This also leads to the following:

Theorem 4. For any stationary ergodic process

$$R(\mathbf{X}, D) \geq \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}) - \phi(D),$$

with equality whenever \mathbf{X} can be represented as $X_i = Y_i + N_i$ for some process \mathbf{Y} independent of \mathbf{N} , with N_i i.i.d. $\sim P_{\rho, D}$.

The Shannon Lower Bound (cont.)

Deceivingly many sources turn out to satisfy the Shannon lower bound with equality at least for small distortions:

- Various Hidden Markov processes
- Gaussian processes
- Certain Markov processes
- Certain Auto-regressive sources

Robert M. Gray; Information rates of autoregressive processes, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. IT-16, pp. 412 - 421, July 1970.

Robert M. Gray; Rate distortion functions for finite-state finite-alphabet Markov sources, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. IT-17, pp. 127 - 134, March 1971.