Proposal to Measure the Cross Section CC Inclusive Pi0 Interaction Channel...etc

Ariana Hackenburg
Yale University
ariana.hackenburg@yale.edu

October 22, 2014

Abstract

Llamas be where it's at.

Contents

1	Overview	3
	1.1 History	
2	Theory	3
	Theory 2.1 Major Results Thus Far	9
	2.2 Experimental Anomalies	
3	Liquid Argon Time Projection Chambers (LArTPCs) 3.1 MicroBooNE	4
4	Current Efforts and Proposal	5
A	Aaaarrrppendix number 1	5

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Overview

Definitive proof for neutrino oscillation has been delivered over and again in the last 15 years. Alongside this proof however, have come several notoriously anomalous results. Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector (LSND), an oscillation experiment from the 90s, observed an excess of low energy electron anti-neutrino events for L/E 1m/MeV (Fig 1), explained at the time with a simple 2-neutrino oscillation model (Fig 2). These unexpected results led directly to Mini Booster Neutrino Experiment (MiniBooNE). After 10 years of running, MiniBooNEs data revealed an excess of low energy neutrino events in both neutrino and anti-neutrino mode at energies below and incorporating LSNDs data(Fig 3). These results did several important things: first, MiniBooNEs data supported LSND in anti-neutrino mode, while at the same time refuted the previous 2-neutrino oscillation explanation. MiniBooNE also observed different amounts of excess in neutrino and anti-neutrino mode, leading to more questions about nuclear interactions and cross sections; lastly, it called into question the nature of the observed excess. MiniBooNE is a Cherenkov detector (Fig 4). In a Cherenkov detector, an electron and single photon are indistinguishable; how can we determine if MiniBooNEs excess was due to neutrinos or to photon background?

1.1 History

Any sort of historical review of neutrino physics at some point comes to Ray Davis-might as well start it at the beginning. In the 1960s*****, Ray Davis led the experimental push to build a ***(what kind) detector a mile underground in Homestake Mines. The goal of this group of scientists was to resolve the solar neutrino anomaly.

This is where you should do some brief research about the state of neutrino physics and outline:

- 1) Ray Davis
- 2) SNO/Kamland/Super K
- 3) Other current neutrino experiments?

2 Theory

Go into some detail about the neutrino oscillations—maybe do a derivation in the appendix. Make sure you quote all relevant resources.

2.1 Major Results Thus Far

Include in this section thus far collection information on:

- 1) neutrino oscillation frequency Δm^2
- 2) oscillation amplitude $sin^2 2\theta$

2.2 Experimental Anomalies

Include mention of:

- 1) Gallex/Sage
- 2) Reactor experiments
- 3) Conclude with oscillation anomalies–LSND, MiniBooNE

Summarize what these things collectively can imply—transition from this into a technology we can use which will help answer some of these questions

3 Liquid Argon Time Projection Chambers (LArT-PCs)

There are a number of properties that make Liquid Argon (LAr) an appealing detector medium for particle physicists: it is cheap, easy to cool, and transparent to its own scintillation light. When a charged particle travels through a TPC in LAr, it leaves a trail of ionization electrons that are drifted by an applied field to wire planes. Readout from the wires make the Y and Z coordinates of an interaction accessible, while scintillation signals seen by an array of PMTs make the drift direction accessible. Thus a LArTPC with 3 (or even 2) planes give us the capability to reconstruct 3 dimensional configurations of events in the detector. It is important to note that LAr scintillates outside the visible spectra at 128nm. In order to see events in LAr, our Photo Multiplier Tubes (PMTs) must be coated in a layer of wavelength shifting material, currently Tetraphenyl Butadiene (TPB).

Liquid Argon Time Projection Chambers (LArTPCs) are ideal detectors for neutrino oscillation experiments with long baselines.

3.1 MicroBooNE

MicroBooNEthe latest in a series of Booster Beam experiments located at Fermilabis a Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber (LArTPC) that will investigate the low energy neutrino excess seen by its predecessor, MiniBooNE. Cherenkov detectors, such as MiniBooNE, are limited by their inability to distinguish between single electrons and photons, a task LArT-PCs are well suited for. With the high precision reconstruction capabilities of a LArTPC, MicroBooNE will be able to determine with high statistical certainty whether electrons or photons caused the anomalous MiniBooNE low energy excess. Of further interest to MicroBooNE are neutrino-nucleon cross-sections. Cross sections have accounted for much of the uncertainty in recent results from a variety of neutrino experiments, including **** and *****(reference) and sensitive measurements by MicroBooNE will lead to improved nuclear models and rate predictions. Beyond MicroBooNE, LArTPCs will continue to play a notable role in oscillation physics. LAr1-ND will act as a baseline for improving systematic uncertainties in MicroBooNE and investigating the nature of the MiniBooNE excess, while also acting a small-scale phase experiment for future, bigger LArTPCs such as LAr1 and LBNE. This poster will explore the LArTPC as used in MicroBooNE and future experiments.

when taken in concert with experiments such as KamLand, SuperK and SNO

These results did several important things: first, MiniBooNEs data supported LSND in anti-neutrino mode, while at the same time refuted the previous 2-neutrino oscillation explanation;

4 Current Efforts and Proposal

MicroBooNE, a surface dweller, is subject to constant bombardment of cosmic radiation from the upper atmosphere. Gammas from these upper atmosphere cascades can Compton scatter or pair produce in the detector, resulting in either an electron shower from the former, or electron/positron showers from the latter. These backgrounds have the potential to mimic MicroBooNE's primary signal, a single electron shower (with vertex activity). To filter these background events from data, we can look at various parameters that may distinguish them from our desired signal. For instance, most gamma radiation comes from above the detector; it is thus reasonable to expect many of the Compton scattered (and pair produced) showers to have a downward trajectory. In addition, the interaction length in Liquid Argon (14cm) limits the distance we can expect a Compton gamma to travel before interacting (DOCDB 3693). We explore these and other parameters in further detail in this study.

In the first, MCC5 generated BNB files are used with (Kazu's) PDG filter to select single electron events. Information such as energy, vertex activity, vertex coordinates and distances along electron trajectory to detector edge (both forward and backward) are stored for 121 electron events / 20,000 total BNB events. This is intended to act as a baseline for the second study.

In the second, the cosmogenic sample described above is examined. In such a case, there are 2 subsets of interactions to sift through which might mimic our primary signal: Compton scatters and pair production. A fiducial volume cut guarantees the vertex is inside the detector volume and dE/dx information from the first 2.4cm of a shower can help distinguish gamma from electron activity; we are then able to distinguish between these two interactions in a true sample. Our first mode of action beyond this is thus to introduce a tag which stores properties of each interaction mode separately (I'm pretty sure I'm going into unnecessary detail here?). Using the selected sample of Compton scatters from Cosmic data, we then investigate properties of the interactions. In particular, we (will) use geometric algorithms to calculate the distance back along the trajectory from vertex to detector edge. Combing this information with interaction length, energy and angle, we are able to successfully (hopefully—not done yet) reduce background Compton scatters while minimally reducing events in the true single electron sample.

A Aaaarrrppendix number 1