

Thesis

Lia Hankla

Advisor: James Stone. Co-advisor: Frans Pretorius

March 18, 2017

Abstract

(what is accretion) When matter falls into black hole.. Accretion is efficient source of energy...would release XXX ergs of energy...so why don't we see this from black hole at center of galaxy? Such flows are called LLAGNs, ongoing theory. Typical calculations assume plasma is fluid model, But this type of accretion is hot, plasma is almost collisionless, so kinetic theory more appropriate. can we approximate the computationally-expensive kinetic theory with a fluid closure? We simulate using shearing box and find effective viscosity/resistivity....and find that [results]

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	AGN and Accretion Disk Observations	4
2	Accretion Flow Mechanics	5
2.1	Types of Accretion Flows	5
2.2	Properties of Hot Accretion Flows	6
2.3	Radiation Processes	6
2.4	Mechanics	6
2.4.1	Eddington Luminosity	6
2.4.2	Disk Scale Height	7
2.4.3	Rayleigh Stability Criterion	8
2.4.4	Keplerian vs. Shear Flow	11
3	Ideal MHD: Theory and Simulations	12
3.1	Properties of a Plasma	12
3.1.1	Debye Length and Shielding	13
3.2	Ideal MHD Equations	14
3.3	The MRI in Ideal MHD: Theory	17
3.3.1	MRI Spring Analogy	17
3.4	Simulating the MRI: the Shearing-Box Method	17
3.5	The MRI in Ideal MHD: Simulations	18
3.5.1	Athena 4.2: An MHD Code....	18
3.5.2	Parameters	18
3.5.3	Results	18
4	Non-ideal MHD: Theory and Simulations	19
4.1	Non-ideal MHD: Theory	19
4.2	Non-ideal MHD: Simulations	19

5	Kinetic Theory and Braginskii MHD	20
5.1	Kinetic Theory	20
5.2	Braginskii MHD: Theory	20
5.3	Kinetic Simulations of the MRI	20
5.4	Braginskii MHD Simulations of the MRI	20
A	Identities and Derivations?	21
A.0.1	Angular Momentum Conservation in Ideal MHD . . .	21
A.0.2	Alfvén's Theorem (Flux Freezing)	24
A.1	Vector Identities	24
A.2	Cylindrical Coordinates	24

Chapter 1

Introduction

Black holes are now a popular fixture in science fiction books and movies. From tiny primordial black holes (XXXXXX) to

but things rarely fall radially inward. Usually the matter has some angular momentum. Since angular momentum is conserved, a disk usually forms around the black hole as a repository for rotating matter. Processes within the disk then lead to turbulence and an effective friction that results in an outward transport of angular momentum and hence the falling in, or “accretion”, of matter. For reasons discussed in Chapter ??, the infalling matter (mostly hydrogen) heats up past the ionization threshold. We shall thus refer to the matter as a plasma; different types of plasma and the effect on accretion flows will be discussed in Chapter ??. For now, we motivate this paper by noting that gravitational binding energy is released as matter falls into a black hole, a process that is among the most efficient energy sources in the universe (several hundred times more efficient than fusion [?]). This energy presumably goes into radiation and thus

These processes and the debate surrounding them are discussed throughout the paper, particularly

accretion=falling in. But how does accretion work?

Yay introduction.

1.1 AGN and Accretion Disk Observations

How explain low luminosity from AGN (Sgr A*), x-ray binaries in quiescence
rotation measure stability in Sgr A (Sharma, Quataert, Stone 2008)

***move TYPES of accretion flows (currently in AFmech) here; Properties, etc.

Chapter 2

Accretion Flow Mechanics

Accretion disks occur in many different types, from those are protostars to those in binary star systems and those around black holes. Depending on their context, these accretion flows have different properties. While much of the physics of accretion disks involves magnetohydrodynamics (including the mechanism behind accretion itself) as will be discussed in subsequent chapters, the classification of accretion flows is possible even at a more basic level: for example, hot vs. cold accretion flows.

This chapter clarifies some of the jargon in the extensive literature and characterizes the different types of accretion flows in Section 2.1, focusing on hot accretion flows (the subject of the original research in Chapter ??) and their properties in Section 2.2. Some fundamental physics are presented in Sections ?? and ??: respectively radiation processes and mechanics. These sections provide background for more complex calculations such as those in Chapter ?? as well as a connection back to observations.

2.1 Types of Accretion Flows

Accretion flows are generally characterized by their temperature, their radiative efficiency, and/or their thickness. These types and the relationships among them will be clarified and discussed soon enough: we first note that accretion does not have to lead to the formation of a disk. Indeed, some of the earliest studies on accretion concerned matter falling in radially and uniformly from all directions onto a compact object: spherical accretion [?]. However, such accretion flows are unlikely to occur in nature because matter will almost always be rotating with respect to the compact object and hence

have angular momentum.

Another general type of accretion flows

2.2 Properties of Hot Accretion Flows

2.3 Radiation Processes

observations...

2.4 Mechanics

This section presents a series of calculations pertaining to important elements of accretion disks.

2.4.1 Eddington Luminosity

Radiation from a compact object generates a radiation pressure that begins to counter the gravitational force from the central object. When the luminosity is high enough, the object's gravity is no longer enough to keep it together. When the radiation pressure and gravity exactly cancel, the system is in equilibrium. We can derive the value of the luminosity at this equilibrium, the “Eddington luminosity” or “Eddington limit” as follows (following [?]`xxxformatxx`):

Assume the radiation has a flux F_{rad} and an opacity, or scattering cross-section times mass, of κ (for ionized hydrogen, $\kappa = \sigma_T/m_p$ where m_p is the mass of the proton and σ_T is the Thomson scattering cross-section of the electron). Then the force balance is given by:

$$\nabla\Phi = -\frac{\kappa}{c}F_{\text{rad}} \tag{2.1}$$

The luminosity is defined as the total energy output per time, so we can get it from the flux (amount of light per area per time) by integrating over a

surface, which we assume to be spherical:

$$L = \int_S F_{\text{rad}} \cdot dS = \frac{c}{\kappa} \int_S \nabla \Phi \quad (2.2)$$

$$= \frac{c}{\kappa} \int_V \nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{4\pi Gc}{\kappa} \int_V \rho dV \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \frac{4\pi GMc}{\kappa} \quad (2.4)$$

Note that the force balance equation does not include any forces other than pressure-gravity equilibrium and the radiation pressure; magnetic forces, for example, are excluded.

Black holes can exceed the Eddington luminosity because the energy contained in the infalling matter does not have to be radiated; it can simply be swallowed by the black hole and increase its mass. At high accretion rates, radiation could become trapped by the flow and advect into the black hole. This would lead to low observed luminosity.

2.4.2 Disk Scale Height

The scale height of a disk is a useful scale for defining distances. It comes from considering a stratified disk; that is, one that has a component of gravity in the vertical direction. As Figure ?? illustrates, the gravitational force in the vertical direction is proportional to the force along the purely horizontal (“ R ”) direction and the ratio of the distances:

$$\frac{F_{gR}}{F_{gz}} = \frac{R}{z} \quad (2.5)$$

Introducing the Keplerian rotation speed $\Omega^2 = GM/R^3$, we have

$$F_{gz} = F_{gR} \frac{z}{R} = \frac{GM}{R^2} \frac{z}{R} = \Omega^2 z$$

Now relate F_{gz} to the disk density by considering the force balance equation for equilibrium in the vertical direction:

$$-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dP}{dz} = F_{gz}$$

Assuming an isothermal equation of state $P = \rho c^2$, this equation can be integrated:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\rho}c^2\frac{d\rho}{dz} &= F_{gz} = \Omega^2 z \\ \rho(z) &= \rho_0 e^{-\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\frac{z^2}{2}} = \rho_0 e^{-\frac{z^2}{2H^2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $H^2 \equiv \frac{c^2}{\Omega^2}$. H can thus be interpreted as the distance a sound wave travels in a shear time (i.e., one rotation). Generally the thickness of a disk is taken to be $2H$. As will be discussed in Section ??, it is often convenient to choose units such that $H = 1$, resulting in numerical domains with dimensions of $(H, 4H, H)$.

2.4.3 Rayleigh Stability Criterion

In hydrodynamics, disks with a Keplerian velocity profile are stable against perturbations. To see this, simply consider perturbations about a circular orbit in an azimuthally symmetric potential $\Phi = \Phi(r, z)$. In cylindrical coordinates we have

$$\hat{r} = \cos \theta \hat{x} + \sin \theta \hat{y} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = -\sin \theta \hat{x} + \cos \theta \hat{y} \quad (2.7)$$

Upon taking the time derivatives, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{d}{dt}\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\dot{r}\hat{r} + r\frac{d\hat{r}}{dt} + \dot{z}\hat{z}\right) \\ &= \ddot{r}\hat{r} + 2\dot{r}\frac{d\hat{r}}{dt} + r\frac{d^2\hat{r}}{dt^2} + \ddot{z}\hat{z} \\ &= \ddot{r}\hat{r} + 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta}\hat{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta}\hat{\theta} - r\dot{\theta}^2\hat{r} + \ddot{z}\hat{z} \\ &= \left(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2\right)\hat{r} + \left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dt}r^2\dot{\theta}\right)\hat{\theta} + \ddot{z}\hat{z} \end{aligned}$$

From Newton's laws we have

$$\mathbf{F} = -m\nabla\Phi = m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} \quad (2.8)$$

leading to component-wise equilibrium equations:

$$-\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r} = \ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 \quad (2.9)$$

$$-\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta} = 0 = \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dt}(r^2\dot{\theta}) \quad (2.10)$$

$$-\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z} = \ddot{z} \quad (2.11)$$

Note that Eq. 2.10 gives conservation of specific momentum h_z : i.e., $h_z = r^2\dot{\theta}$ is a constant. For a circular orbit, $\ddot{r} = 0$ and we have $\frac{1}{r_0}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r} = \dot{\theta}^2 = \Omega_0^2$, where we define $\Omega_0^2 \equiv \frac{1}{r_0}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r}|_{r_0}$. Now perturbing this circular orbit, we have

$$r = r_0 + \delta r \quad (2.12)$$

$$\theta = \Omega_0 t + \delta\theta \quad (2.13)$$

$$z = 0 + \delta z \quad (2.14)$$

We obtain equations of motion by linearizing Eqns. 2.9-2.11 and using the perturbed variables:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r} &\approx -\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r}\Big|_{r_0} + \delta r \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r^2}\Big|_{r_0}\right) = -\left(\Omega_0^2 r_0 + \delta r \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r^2}\Big|_{r_0}\right) \\ &\approx \ddot{r} - r_0\Omega_0^2 - 2\Omega_0 r_0 \delta\dot{\theta} - \delta r \Omega_0^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_z &\approx r_0^2\Omega + r_0^2\delta\dot{\theta} + 2r_0\Omega_0\delta r \\ &= r_0^2\Omega_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z} &\approx -\left(\delta z \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z}\Big|_{r_0, z_0}\right) \\ &\approx \delta\ddot{z} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

From Eq. 2.16, we find that $r_0^2\delta\dot{\theta} = -2r_0\Omega_0\delta r$, and thus $\delta\dot{\theta} = -\frac{2}{r_0}\Omega_0\delta r = -\frac{2}{r_0^3}h_z\delta r$. Using this in the radial equation 2.15 yields

$$\ddot{r} = 2\Omega_0 r_0 \left(-\frac{2}{r_0^3}h_z\delta r\right) + \delta r \left(\Omega_0^2 - \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r^2}\Big|_{r_0}\right) \quad (2.18)$$

$$= -\left(3\Omega_0^2 + \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r^2}\Big|_{r_0}\right)\delta r \quad (2.19)$$

$$= -\kappa_0^2\delta r \quad (2.20)$$

where $\kappa_0^2 \equiv 3\Omega_0^2 + \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r^2}|_{r_0}$ is the epicyclic frequency. The physical significance of this becomes clear upon writing the θ -equation of motion as a coupled

harmonic oscillator with the radial equation: a particle will simply execute small circular orbits about its zeroth-order circular path as it travels. This will become an important mechanism distinguishing disk flow from shear flow, as energy can go into epicycles instead of turbulence. Section ?? illustrates this point in the context of accretion.

The epicyclic frequency appears often in astrophysical situations, although under many different guises. For example, remember that $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}$. Then we have

$$\frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2}$$

such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} = r \frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = r \frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} + \Omega^2$$

With this the epicyclic frequency becomes

$$\kappa^2 = 3\Omega^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} \quad (2.21)$$

$$= 4\Omega^2 \left(1 + \frac{A}{\Omega} \right) \quad (2.22)$$

where $A \equiv \frac{r}{4\Omega^2} \frac{d\Omega^2}{dr}$ is the Oort A-value, also expressed $A = -\frac{1}{2} d\Omega/d \ln r$. This can be further re-written:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 &= 4\Omega^2 \left(1 + \frac{r}{4\Omega^2} \frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(4\Omega^2 r^3 + r^4 \frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{d}{dr} (\Omega^2 r^4) \end{aligned}$$

which is how it is written in, for example, [?]. For Keplerian rotation profiles, $A = -\frac{3}{4}\Omega$, and $\kappa^2 = \Omega^2$.

The stability of hydrodynamical disks can be done in multiple ways. Here, an intuitive argument is first presented showing that angular momentum must decrease outward for stability. This condition is put on firmer theoretical ground in the second method, which also introduces tools that will be used later in performing linear analysis of the magnetohydrodynamic equations (see Section ??).

First, we look at a physically intuitive argument.

Equations for disk...continuity, momentum balance (will add B fields later) $Du/Dt = d^2u/dt^2 + du/dx \, dx/dt$

2.4.4 Keplerian vs. Shear Flow

Chapter 3

Ideal MHD: Theory and Simulations

Understanding magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a topic worthy of a semester-long graduate class at least. This chapter briefly presents some basic plasma physics and physically motivates the driving equations of magnetohydrodynamics, which are fluid equations. The more rigorous derivation involves taking moments of the distribution function that will be introduced in Chapter ??.

The ultimate goal of this thesis, however, is to study a particular phenomenon (the Magneto-Rotational Instability–MRI) in a particular regime (anisotropic viscosity) of non-ideal MHD. As such, for the sake of compactness we only summarize a portion of the necessary theory. This chapter explores ideal MHD (Section ??) and introduces the physics of the MRI in this most basic setting and how they manifest in simulations (Sections ?? and ??, respectively). Its results are extended to include viscosity and resistivity in Chapter ??, and later in Chapter ??, where the validity of the MHD approximations are evaluated via a comparison to the kinetic regime (also outlined in Chapter ??). First, however, some context in general plasma physics is in order.

3.1 Properties of a Plasma

Plasma physics applies to a wide range of subject areas, from magnetic-confinement fusion pursuits like the tokamak ITER [?] and the stellarator Wendelstein 7-X [?] to a variety of astrophysical situations, including the

sun’s corona and protoplanetary disks. The uniting theme across these different disciplines is the plasma: so what exactly is a plasma?

A plasma is the so-called “fourth state of matter”, coming after the gas phase in the increasing kinetic energy hierarchy solid-liquid-gas: that is, the kinetic energy of a plasma particle is much greater than its potential energy. A plasma is made up of neutrals and the result of the neutrals’ ionization: that is, ions and electrons. The basic physics of single-particle motion in electric and magnetic fields (for example, ∇B drift and $E \times B$ drift) apply to every single particle. Given the enormous quantity of particles (as defined by the plasma parameter Λ in Section 3.1.1, upwards of XXXXXXXXXXXX particles per cubed meter), working analytically or simulating such a situation for each individual particle is near impossible. Indeed, this is why the kinetic theory is so complicated and requires particle-in-cell simulations (Chapter ??, Section ??). The task of the Section ?? is to make this feasible via fluid equations.

3.1.1 Debye Length and Shielding

Since the ions and electrons in a plasma have opposite charge, they tend to attract, leading to the phenomenon of Debye shielding. Electrons of negative charge tend to cluster around a positive test charge and effectively shield the potential such that it is no longer Coulombic ($V \propto 1/r^2$) but rather exponentially decays ($V \propto e^{-r/\lambda_D}/r$). The distance over which the potential is screened is the total Debye length, which is related to the electron and ion Debye lengths λ_e and λ_i by:

$$\lambda_D^{-2} = \lambda_e^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-2} \quad (3.1)$$

where each species Debye length λ_j is given by

$$\lambda_j = \sqrt{\frac{T_j}{4\pi n_0 e^2}} \quad (3.2)$$

where T_j is the species equilibrium temperature in units of energy (Boltzmann’s constant $k_b = 1$), n_0 is the density of each species far away from the test charge, and e is the charge on an electron. The exponential decay comes from the Boltzmann distribution since the system is at equilibrium. Detailed derivations can be found in any standard plasma physics introduction [?, ?].

Going back to our definition of a plasma, requiring that the potential energy of a particle is much less than its kinetic energy leads to the fact that the plasma parameter $\Lambda_s \equiv n_0 \lambda_s^3$ of each species is

$$\Lambda_s \gg 1 \quad (3.3)$$

This means that, in a plasma, there are many particles in a cube of the Debye length (alternative definitions define the plasma parameter as the number of particles within a sphere of radius the Debye length).

Other important quantities include the mean free path λ_{mfp} of a plasma particle, that is, how far it travels on average before it collides with another particle. This is related to the thermal velocity v_T and collision frequency ν by $\lambda_{mfp} = v_T/\nu$.

We can also define the gyrofrequency of a species Ω_s by looking at the equation of motion of a particle in a magnetic field, resulting in $\Omega_s = q_s B/m_s c$. Note that this is half of the Larmor frequency (XXXXXXXXXXXX). From the gyrofrequency we define the mean gyroradius ρ_s as the radius of the circle of a particle traveling at the thermal velocity: $\rho_s \equiv v_{Ts}/\Omega_s$. This quantity in particular will prove important in later chapters (i.e. Chapter ??), where ordering such that the gyroradius is much less than the mean free path will lead to anisotropic viscosity along the magnetic field lines.

With the basic quantities of λ_{mfp} and ρ_s in mind, we can now turn to the assumptions behind ideal MHD.

3.2 Ideal MHD Equations

Ideal MHD treats the different species of electrons and ions as fluids. In the broader MHD context, each species is at a different temperature, has a different gyroradius, and so on. However, single-fluid MHD, where each species has the same temperature, is still often a useful framework. In these cases the density adds linearly and other quantities are redefined as such. XXXXxx rho and mfp

Magnetohydrodynamics is a fluid formalism; this means that the individual particles' positions and velocities can be averaged out and the important quantities are bulk variables, like the mean flow of the fluid, density, and pressure. Treating each individual particle with a velocity distribution is

characteristic of kinetic theory and the distribution function, as discussed in Chapter ?? . We are considering a continuous source rather than discrete particles that would manifest as delta functions.

We now proceed to motivate the equations of ideal MHD by a combination of conservation arguments and Maxwell's equations. We consider for now a single species. First note that the standard continuity equation, saying that the fluid elements cannot be created or destroyed, leads to the first equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V}) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

The change in the density ρ at any given point is due to the fluid flowing into or out of that region, with velocity \vec{V} .

We achieve a similar momentum conservation equation by considering a force balance: $\rho \dot{V}(\vec{x}, t) = F(\vec{x}, t)$, where ρ is the mass density. V is the velocity of a fluid element at position \vec{x} at time t ; F is the net force per unit volume acting on the fluid element at position \vec{x} at time t .

It is important to note that \dot{V} is not simply the partial derivative of the velocity with respect to time; the fluid velocity is not only changing in time but also spatially. The chain rule then gives

$$\dot{V}(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + \frac{dx_i}{dt} \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial x_i} \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + (\vec{V} \cdot \nabla) \vec{V} \quad (3.6)$$

This total derivative is often represented as

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \quad (3.7)$$

where \vec{V} is the background flow of the fluid.

The forces acting on the system will be the Lorentz force law $\vec{J} \times \vec{B}/c$ and the pressure gradient force $-\nabla P$, leading to a force per unit volume of:

$$F(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{c} \vec{J}(\vec{x}, t) \times B(\vec{x}, t) - \nabla P(\vec{x}, t) \quad (3.8)$$

Altogether then, we have our equation of momentum conservation:

$$\rho \frac{D\vec{V}}{Dt} = \frac{1}{c} \vec{J} \times \vec{B} - \nabla P \quad (3.9)$$

Here, \vec{J} comes from Maxwell's equation:

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad (3.10)$$

However, we can neglect the displacement current since we are here concerned with non-relativistic MHD and the displacement current's electric field will be much smaller than the magnetic field.

The current can thus be written as

$$\vec{J} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \nabla \times \vec{B} \quad (3.11)$$

Using Eq. ??, we can re-write the first term on the right hand side of Eq. 3.9 as

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \left((\nabla \times \vec{B}) \times \vec{B} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left((\vec{B} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B} + (\nabla \vec{B}) \cdot \vec{B} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla B^2 + (\vec{B} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B} \right) \quad (3.13)$$

where $B^2 = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B}$. These two terms can be interpreted as magnetic pressure and magnetic tension: magnetic pressure, which pushes field lines apart, is $\frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla B^2$ and the magnetic tension, which tries to uncurl magnetic field lines, is $(\frac{\vec{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}$. It is common to see the momentum equation in the form

$$\rho \frac{D\vec{V}}{Dt} = -\nabla \left(P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) = \left(\frac{\vec{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B} \quad (3.14)$$

We can put the continuity equation 3.4 in a similar (conservative) form:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V}) \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \rho - \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \rho \quad (3.16)$$

$$= \frac{D\rho}{Dt} - \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \rho \quad (3.17)$$

$$= \frac{D\rho}{Dt} - \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V}) + \rho \nabla \cdot \vec{V} \quad (3.18)$$

whereby we have

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

For the last ideal MHD equation, we consider Ohm's law:

$$0 = \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad (3.20)$$

XXXXxwhy Using Faraday's law, we have

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \vec{E} \quad (3.21)$$

$$= \nabla \left(\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right) \quad (3.22)$$

energy/entropy equation?

3.3 The MRI in Ideal MHD: Theory

As discussed in Chapter ??, the source of turbulence in accretion disks remained a puzzle until linear analysis by Balbus and Hawley in 1991 [?]. This section outlines the derivation of the MRI: its dispersion relation, maximally growing mode, and other important features. The method of choice in this paper to simulate the MRI will be discussed in the next section (Section 3.4), with the actual simulation results and their interpretation coming in the section after that (Section 3.5).

3.3.1 MRI Spring Analogy

3.4 Simulating the MRI: the Shearing-Box Method

In simulating accretion disks, it is much more computationally expensive to describe the disk as a whole, including pressure gradients and the overall global structure. A smaller-scale, local simulation is also effective at demonstrating the physics involved. As noted in Chapter ??, the series of simulations in this chapter and the next zoom in to a typical region of the accretion disk and consider the MHD equations in that blown-up portion. We now explore exactly how such local simulations work and the relevant quantities.

The so-called “shearing-box” approximation has been in use since XXXXXX in studies examining the solar corona XXXXXX etc. It was also used by Balbus and Hawley in their seminal 1991 papers showing the existence of the MRI instability [?, ?, ?].

3.5 The MRI in Ideal MHD: Simulations

The simulations in this section were modelled after [?], hereafter called HB3. Although the techniques used in that paper were slightly different (periodic radial conditions, for example, instead of periodic plus shear), it is instructive to replicate the results with the code that will be used

3.5.1 Athena 4.2: An MHD Code....

The code in use for the simulations in this section and Chapter ?? and the MHD simulations of Chapter ?? is Athena4.2. XXXXXXXXXXXXX

3.5.2 Parameters

3.5.3 Results

Chapter 4

Non-ideal MHD: Theory and Simulations

4.1 Non-ideal MHD: Theory

4.2 Non-ideal MHD: Simulations

HGB!

Chapter 5

Kinetic Theory and Braginskii MHD

5.1 Kinetic Theory

5.2 Braginskii MHD: Theory

5.3 Kinetic Simulations of the MRI

5.4 Braginskii MHD Simulations of the MRI

yo

Appendix A

Identities and Derivations?

There are lots of appendices.

A.0.1 Angular Momentum Conservation in Ideal MHD

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} = -\nabla \left(P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) - \rho \nabla \Phi + \left(\frac{\vec{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Although it can be re-distributed, angular momentum is ultimately conserved in ideal MHD systems. Since conservation of angular momentum is a central idea to the rest of this paper, this section will show how to achieve it from Eq. A.2 (neglecting gravity). The basic idea is to multiply the ϕ -component by R and re-arrange terms into conservative form, as per [?]. Before re-arranging, the full equation is

$$R \left[\rho \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} = -\nabla \left(P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) - \rho \nabla \Phi + \left(\frac{\vec{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B} \right]_{\phi} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the notation of $[]_{\phi}$ indicates the ϕ -component of the expression in square brackets. It is best to continue term-by-term.

The density terms are rather straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[R\rho \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} \right]_{\phi} &= R\rho \frac{\partial v_{\phi}}{\partial t} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) - v_{\phi} R \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) + v_{\phi} R \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) + \nabla \cdot (R\rho v_{\phi} \vec{v}) - \rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla (v_{\phi} R) \quad (\text{A.5})
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line, the continuity equation A.1 was used and in the fourth, the identity A.14 was used. Now we look more closely at the last term on the right:

$$\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla (v_{\phi} R) = \rho \left(v_R v_{\phi} + R v_R \frac{\partial v_{\phi}}{\partial R} + v_{\phi} \frac{\partial v_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} + R v_z \frac{\partial v_{\phi}}{\partial z} \right) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

However, this is exactly the ϕ -component of $(R\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}$, as can be seen by Eq. A.16. Adding Eqns. A.5 and A.6, we have:

$$R\rho \frac{\partial v_{\phi}}{\partial t} + [R(\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}]_{\phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) + \nabla \cdot (R\rho v_{\phi} \vec{v}) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which is in conservative form.

The pressure term is straightforward:

The magnetic terms require more insight. Here we introduce the poloidal magnetic field; that is, the components of the magnetic field in the R - and z -directions. Thus $B^2 = B_p^2 + B_{\phi}^2$ and $B_p^2 = B_R^2 + B_z^2$. Beginning with the magnetic pressure term,

$$\begin{aligned}
[R\nabla B^2]_{\phi} &= \hat{e}_{\phi} \cdot [R\nabla B^2] \\
&= \hat{e}_{\phi} \cdot [R\nabla B_p^2 + R\nabla B_{\phi}^2] \\
&= \hat{e}_{\phi} \cdot [R\nabla B_p^2] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (R B_{\phi}^2) \\
&= \hat{e}_{\phi} \cdot [\nabla (R B_p^2)] + 2 B_{\phi} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi}
\end{aligned}$$

where we can move R into the derivative because $\partial R / \partial \phi = 0$. Now, we add

zero via the term $\nabla \cdot \hat{e}_\phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} [R\nabla B^2]_\phi &= \hat{e}_\phi \cdot \left[RB_p^2 \nabla \cdot \hat{e}_\phi + \hat{e}_\phi \cdot \nabla (RB_p^2) + 2B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right] \\ &= \nabla \cdot (RB_p^2 \hat{e}_\phi) + 2B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where Eq. A.14 was used in the last line. We have thus achieved part of the conservative form, but with an extra $\partial B_\phi^2 / \partial \phi$ term. We now turn to the magnetic tension term in hopes that it will cancel this extra term. First, however, we note using $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ and the definition of \vec{B}_p that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \vec{B}_p &= \nabla \cdot (\vec{B} - B_\phi \hat{e}_\phi) \\ &= -\nabla \cdot (B_\phi \hat{e}_\phi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The magnetic tension term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left[R (\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vec{B}) \right]_\phi &= RB_R \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial R} + B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} + RB_z \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} + B_R B_\phi \\ &= \left[B_R \left(R \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial R} + B_\phi \right) + B_z R \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} \right] + B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \\ &= (B_R, 0, B_z) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial R} (RB_\phi), 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (RB_\phi) \right) + B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \\ &= \vec{B}_p \cdot \nabla (RB_\phi) + B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Using Eq. A.9, we add zero and draw out a total divergence:

$$\left[R (\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vec{B}) \right]_\phi = \vec{B}_p \cdot \nabla (RB_\phi) - RB_\phi \nabla \cdot \vec{B}_p + 2B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$= \nabla \cdot (RB_\phi \vec{B}_p) + 2B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where Eq. A.14 was used in the last line. Armed with each individual term, we combine them (Eqs. A.7, A.8, and A.12) to find the conservative form of

the angular momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[R\rho \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + R(\rho \vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + R \nabla P + R \nabla \frac{B^2}{8\pi} - R \left(\frac{\vec{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B} \right]_{\phi} = \\
& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) + \nabla \cdot (R\rho v_{\phi} \vec{v}) + PRESSURE \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\nabla \cdot (RB_p^2 \hat{e}_{\phi}) + 2B_{\phi} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\nabla \cdot (RB_{\phi} \vec{B}_p) - 2B_{\phi} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} \right] \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R\rho v_{\phi}) + \nabla \cdot R \left[\rho v_{\phi} \vec{v} + PRESSURE + \frac{B_p^2}{8\pi} \hat{e}_{\phi} - \left(\frac{B_{\phi} \vec{B}_p}{4\pi} \right) \right] \\
& \hspace{15em} (A.13)
\end{aligned}$$

A.0.2 Alfvén's Theorem (Flux Freezing)

In ideal MHD, the magnetic field lines thread a perfectly conducting fluid. They cannot diffuse without a finite resistivity; hence the field lines are “frozen” into the conducting medium. This can be seen mathematically

A.1 Vector Identities

This section is just for useful identities. A good reference is the NRL Plasma Formulary [?].

$$\nabla (f \vec{A}) = f \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \vec{A} \cdot \nabla f \quad (A.14)$$

$$\nabla \quad (A.15)$$

A.2 Cylindrical Coordinates

Components of

$$\left[\left(\vec{A} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B} \right]_{\phi} = \quad (A.16)$$