REACH: Enabling Single-Handed Operation on Large Screen Mobile Devices

Varun Perumal Dept. of Computer Science University of Toronto varun@cs.toronto.edu

Ahmadul Hassan Dept. of Computer Science University of Toronto ahmadul.hassan@gmail.comzahid@cs.toronto.edu

Zahid Abul-Basher Mech. & Industrial Eng. University of Toronto

ABSTRACT

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.5.2 [Information interfaces and presentation]: User Interfaces—graphical user interfaces

General Terms

Design, Experimentation, Human Factors

Keywords

Data analytics

INTRODUCTION

There is an unprecedented rise in popularity of large screen mobile phones with screen sizes greater than 5 inches. The benefits of larger screens and a larger battery life being the primary drivers of user adoption, however, these larger devices are difficult if not impossible to use with one hand and pose usability issues for demographics with smaller hands (especially women). The existing solutions to this include on screen functions that the user can activate to bring the screen content closer to the user's thumb. These methods however, introduce extra steps in the user's interaction with the device and can be cumbersome. We propose "Project

By placing force sensors all around the rim of the phone, we can sense how the user is holding the phone and when they are straining their thumb to reach a corner. Using this information we can shift the UI closer to the operating finger. The force sensors can also be used to interact with the phone in other scenarios, for example swiping on the sides of the phone could scroll pages, or increase/decrees volume etc. With this project we intend to build the hardware, formulate UI design changes, and do basic user testing to validate our ideas.

RELATED WORK 2.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

CSC2525 '14 UofT. CA

Copyright 20XX ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$15.00.

Many researchers have suggested that the devices should be intelligent enough to detect user's situation for better support as in [9] and [13]. For instance, ability based design aims to find the best match between the ability of the users and the interfaces [19]. There are also researches to recognize the activity of users on devices (also known as activity recognition). Choudhuri et al. [2] built a wearable device with sensors to detect the activity of the users. In [16], Laerhoven used an accelerometer in a phone to recognize different motions of walking, climbing stairs, etc. Schmidt et al. [13] also used accelerometer but to detect both the user movement and the place of the device itself whether it is in the hand or on a table or in a suitcase. GripSense [4] used gyroscope and vibration motor to classify the user's touches based on the pressure on the screen. There is also many studies in the context of detecting hand postures. Harrison et al. [6] and Kim et al. [12] used touch sensors to detect the pattern of user's grips on mobiles. Furthermore, Taylor and Bove [15] used accelerometers to improve the detection of the changes in the grip dynamically.

Many researchers also studied hand posture on devices to make them more intelligent and interactive to the situations caused by posture. For instance, Wobbrock et al. [20] studied different hand postures and measured the finger performance with mobile devices. Holz et al. [8] have evaluated systematic error in selecting the target with finger touch. Researchers [7, 17, 11] also found that mobile interfaces are designed for double-handed operation although users may prefer to use one single hand. Karlson et al. [10] studied those interfaces and evaluated the performance of thumb mobility on those interfaces. Azenkot and Zhai [1] showed that different hand postures lead to different touch patterns, thus, effect the performance of typing on mobile devices. AppLens and LaunchTiles [11] designed interfaces based on different thumb gestures for one handed interac-

Fitzmaurice et al. [3] introduced the idea of "graspable user interfaces" where you can control the interface by interacting with a physical object. SqueezeBlock [5] is an implementation of this idea in which it provides haptic feedback according to the level of "squashiness" on a physical object. Wimmer et al. [18] deployed optical fibers into a surface of device to detect grasping pressure. Harrison et al. [6] used FSRs for squeezing pressure detection. Strachan and Murray-Smith [14], used muscle tremor as a form of input to detect pressure on devices by leveraging accelerometer logs.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Azenkot and S. Zhai. Touch behavior with different

- postures on soft smartphone keyboards. In *Proceedings* of the 14th International Conference on Human-computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services, MobileHCI '12, pages 251–260, 2012.
- [2] T. Choudhury, S. Consolvo, B. Harrison, J. Hightower, A. LaMarca, L. LeGrand, A. Rahimi, A. Rea, G. Bordello, B. Hemingway, et al. The mobile sensing platform: An embedded activity recognition system. *Pervasive Computing*, *IEEE*, 7(2):32–41, 2008.
- [3] G. W. Fitzmaurice, H. Ishii, and W. A. S. Buxton. Bricks: Laying the foundations for graspable user interfaces. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference* on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '95, pages 442–449, 1995.
- [4] M. Goel, J. Wobbrock, and S. Patel. Gripsense: using built-in sensors to detect hand posture and pressure on commodity mobile phones. In *Proceedings of the 25th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, pages 545–554. ACM, 2012.
- [5] S. Gupta, T. Campbell, J. R. Hightower, and S. N. Patel. Squeezeblock: Using virtual springs in mobile devices for eyes-free interaction. In *Proceedings of the 23Nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, UIST '10, pages 101–104, 2010.
- [6] B. L. Harrison, K. P. Fishkin, A. Gujar, C. Mochon, and R. Want. Squeeze me, hold me, tilt me! an exploration of manipulative user interfaces. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems, pages 17–24, 1998.
- [7] K. Hinckley and H. Song. Sensor synaesthesia: Touch in motion, and motion in touch. In *Proceedings of the* SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '11, pages 801–810, 2011.
- [8] C. Holz and P. Baudisch. Understanding touch. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '11, pages 2501–2510, 2011.
- [9] P. Johnson. Usability and mobility; interactions on the move. In First Workshop on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices, 1998.
- [10] A. K. Karlson and B. B. Bederson. Understanding single-handed mobile device interaction. Technical report, 2006.
- [11] A. K. Karlson, B. B. Bederson, and J. SanGiovanni. Applens and launchtile: Two designs for one-handed thumb use on small devices. In *Proceedings of the* SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '05, pages 201–210, 2005.
- [12] K.-E. Kim, W. Chang, S.-J. Cho, J. Shim, H. Lee, J. Park, Y. Lee, and S. Kim. Hand grip pattern recognition for mobile user interfaces. In *Proceedings* of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 21, page 1789, 2006.
- [13] A. Schmidt, K. A. Aidoo, A. Takaluoma, U. Tuomela, K. V. Laerhoven, and W. V. d. Velde. Advanced interaction in context. In Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Handheld and Ubiquitous Computing, HUC '99, pages 89–101, 1999.
- [14] S. Strachan and R. Murray-Smith. Muscle tremor as an input mechanism. 2004.
- [15] B. T. Taylor and V. M. Bove Jr. Graspables:

- grasp-recognition as a user interface. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 917–926. ACM, 2009.
- [16] K. Van Laerhoven and O. Cakmakci. What shall we teach our pants? In Wearable Computers, The Fourth International Symposium on, pages 77–83. IEEE, 2000.
- [17] L. Weberg, T. Brange, and A. W. Hansson. A piece of butter on the pda display. In CHI '01 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI EA '01, pages 435–436, 2001.
- [18] R. Wimmer. Flyeye: Grasp-sensitive surfaces using optical fiber. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, TEI '10, pages 245–248, 2010.
- [19] J. O. Wobbrock, S. K. Kane, K. Z. Gajos, S. Harada, and J. Froehlich. Ability-based design: Concept, principles and examples. ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), 3(3):9, 2011.
- [20] J. O. Wobbrock, B. A. Myers, and H. H. Aung. The performance of hand postures in front- and back-of-device interaction for mobile computing. *Int.* J. Hum.-Comput. Stud., 66(12):857–875, 2008.