

MODULE 1a Teacher

Here, this module's algorithm will be called the parallel algorithm and module *Reachable's* algorithm will be called *Misra's* algorithm. The parallel algorithm computes the same thing as *Misra's* algorithm – namely, it terminates if and only if the set of nodes reachable from Root is finite, in which case the variable *marked* equals that reachable set when the algorithm terminates. The parallel algorithm does this by implementing *Misra's* algorithm under a refinement mapping such that

- EXTENDS
- Reachability; Integers; FiniteSets*

CONSTANT *Root; Procs*

$$Reachable \triangleq ReachableFrom(\{Root\})$$

The algorithm executed by each process is the same as *Misra's* algorithm, except that where the *Misra* algorithm adds to *marked* a node v in *vroot* and adds the nodes in $Succ[v]$ to *vroot* in a single step, the parallel algorithm first adds v to *marked* and then adds the nodes in $Succ[v]$ one at a time to *vroot* in separate steps.

Here is the algorithm’s *PlusCal* code. The initial values of the process-local variables u and $toVroot$ don’t matter. It’s always a good idea to make them “type correct”, and the initial value of $toVroot$ is an obvious choice that also makes the refinement mapping a little simpler.

```

      f with ( v ∈ vroot ) f u := v g ;
      b: if ( u ∈ marked )
        f marked := marked ∪ {u} ;
        toVroot := Succ[u] ;
        c: while ( toVroot ≠ {} )
          f with ( w ∈ toVroot ) f
            vroot := vroot ∪ {w} ;
            toVroot := toVroot \ {w} g
          g
        g
      else f vroot := vroot \ {u} g
    g
  g
g

```

Here is the TLA+ translation of the *PlusCal* code.

BEGIN TRANSLATION

VARIABLES *marked*; *vroot*; *pc*; *u*; *toVroot*

vars \triangleq $\langle \textit{marked}; \textit{vroot}; \textit{pc}; \textit{u}; \textit{toVroot} \rangle$

ProcSet \triangleq (*Procs*)

Init \triangleq Global variables
 $\wedge \textit{marked} = \{\}$
 $\wedge \textit{vroot} = \{\textit{Root}\}$
Process p
 $\wedge \textit{u} = [\textit{self} \in \textit{Procs} \mapsto \textit{Root}]$
 $\wedge \textit{toVroot} = [\textit{self} \in \textit{Procs} \mapsto \{\}]$
 $\wedge \textit{pc} = [\textit{self} \in \textit{ProcSet} \mapsto \text{"a"}]$

a(self) \triangleq $\wedge \textit{pc}[\textit{self}] = \text{"a"}$
 $\wedge \text{IF } \textit{vroot} \neq \{\}$
 THEN $\wedge \exists v \in \textit{vroot} :$
 $\textit{u}' = [\textit{u} \text{ EXCEPT } ![\textit{self}] = v]$
 $\wedge \textit{pc}' = [\textit{pc} \text{ EXCEPT } ![\textit{self}] = \text{"b"}]$
 ELSE $\wedge \textit{pc}' = [\textit{pc} \text{ EXCEPT } ![\textit{self}] = \text{"Done"}]$
 $\wedge \textit{u}' = \textit{u}$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \textit{marked}; \textit{vroot}; \textit{toVroot} \rangle$

b(self) \triangleq $\wedge \textit{pc}[\textit{self}] = \text{"b"}$
 $\wedge \text{IF } \textit{u}[\textit{self}] \in \textit{marked}$
 THEN $\wedge \textit{marked}' = (\textit{marked} \cup \{\textit{u}[\textit{self}]\})$
 $\wedge \textit{toVroot}' = [\textit{toVroot} \text{ EXCEPT } ![\textit{self}] = \text{Succ}[\textit{u}[\textit{self}]]]$
 $\wedge \textit{pc}' = [\textit{pc} \text{ EXCEPT } ![\textit{self}] = \text{"c"}]$
 $\wedge \textit{vroot}' = \textit{vroot}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ELSE } \wedge vroot' = vroot \setminus \{u[self]\} \\
& \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"a"}] \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle marked; toVroot \rangle \\
& \wedge u' = u \\
c(self) & \triangleq \wedge pc[self] = \text{"c"} \\
& \wedge \text{IF } toVroot[self] \neq \{\} \\
& \quad \text{THEN } \wedge \exists w \in toVroot[self] : \\
& \quad \quad \wedge vroot' = (vroot \cup \{w\}) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge toVroot' = [toVroot \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = toVroot[self] \setminus \{w\}] \\
& \quad \quad \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"c"}] \\
& \quad \text{ELSE } \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"a"}] \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vroot; toVroot \rangle \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle marked; u \rangle \\
p(self) & \triangleq a(self) \vee b(self) \vee c(self) \\
Next & \triangleq (\exists self \in Procs : p(self)) \\
& \quad \vee \text{Disjunct to prevent deadlock on termination} \\
& \quad ((\forall self \in ProcSet : pc[self] = \text{"Done"}) \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } vars) \\
Spec & \triangleq \wedge Init \wedge \square [Next]_{vars} \\
& \quad \wedge \forall self \in Procs : \text{WF}_{vars}(p(self)) \\
Termination & \triangleq \diamond (\forall self \in ProcSet : pc[self] = \text{"Done"})
\end{aligned}$$

END TRANSLATION

The formula *Inv* defined below is the inductive invariant needed to prove that the parallel algorithm implements the safety part of *Misra's* algorithm. In addition to type correctness, it asserts two simple relations between the values of the local variables *u* and *toVroot* and the control state of the process:

- *toVroot* = {} except when control is at *c*
- *u* is in *vroot* \cup *marked* when control is at *b*. This is invariant because *u* is an element of *vroot* when control arrives at *b*, and an element is removed from *vroot* only after it is added to *marked*.

The fact that such a simple invariant is needed to prove that each step of the parallel algorithm implements a step allowed by *Misra's* algorithm means that the easiest way to show that the parallel algorithm is correct, given the correctness of *Misra's* algorithm, is to show that it implements *Misra's* algorithm.

$$\begin{aligned}
Inv & \triangleq \wedge marked \in \text{SUBSET } Nodes \\
& \wedge vroot \in \text{SUBSET } Nodes \\
& \wedge u \in [Procs \rightarrow Nodes] \\
& \wedge toVroot \in [Procs \rightarrow \text{SUBSET } Nodes] \\
& \wedge pc \in [Procs \rightarrow \{\text{"a"}; \text{"b"}; \text{"c"}; \text{"Done"}\}] \\
& \wedge \forall q \in Procs : \wedge (pc[q] \in \{\text{"a"}; \text{"b"}; \text{"Done"}\}) \Rightarrow (toVroot[q] = \{\}) \\
& \quad \wedge (pc[q] = \text{"b"}) \Rightarrow (u[q] \in vroot \cup marked)
\end{aligned}$$

To define a refinement mapping from states of the parallel algorithm to states of *Misra's* algorithm, we must define the expressions in this module that represent the values of the *Misra* algorithm's variables. The value of the variable *marked* of *Misra's* algorithm is represented by the value of variable *marked* of the parallel algorithm. The values of the variables *vroot* and *pc* of *Misra's* algorithm are represented by the expressions *vrootBar* and *pcBar* defined below.

For the parallel algorithm to implement *Misra's* algorithm under this refinement mapping, the same *b* step that adds node *u* to *marked* must also add *Succ[u]* to *vrootBar*. The *c* steps that move individual elements of *Succ[u]* from *toVroot* must leave *vrootBar* unchanged. (Those steps implement stuttering steps of *Misra's* algorithm.) This leads us to the following definition of *vrootBar*.

$$vrootBar \triangleq vroot \cup \text{UNION } \{toVroot[i] : i \in Procs\}$$

Since the variable *pc* of *Misra's* algorithm always equals "\a" or "\Done", and we want it to equal "\Done" if and only if the parallel algorithm has terminated, the definition of *pcBar* is obvious.

$$pcBar \triangleq \text{IF } \forall q \in Procs : pc[q] = \text{"Done"} \text{ THEN } \text{"Done"} \text{ ELSE } \text{"a"}$$

$$R \triangleq \text{INSTANCE } Reachable \text{ WITH } vroot \leftarrow vrootBar; pc \leftarrow pcBar$$

For every definition *Op* in module *Reachable*, this statement defines the operator *R!Op* in the current module to have as its definition the expression obtained from the definition of *Op* in module *Reachable* by substituting *vrootBar* for *vroot* and *pcBar* for *pc*.

The following theorem is the TLA+ assertion of the statement that the parallel algorithm implements *Misra's* algorithm under the refinement mapping defined above. It can be checked by *TLC* for models large enough to make us reasonably confident that it is correct. (To check it, use models with behavior specification spec that check the temporal property *R!Spec*.)

THEOREM $Spec \Rightarrow R!Spec$

By the definition of formula *Spec* of module *Reachable*, this theorem follows from the following two theorems, the first showing that the parallel algorithm implements the safety part of *Misra's* algorithm (which implies partial correctness) and the second showing that it implements the fairness part of that algorithm (which implies termination). Module *ParReachProofs* contains a *TLAPS* checked proof of the first theorem.

THEOREM $Spec \Rightarrow R!Init \wedge \Box[R!Next]_R!vars$

THEOREM $Spec \Rightarrow WF_R!vars(R!Next)$

\ * Modification History
 \ * Last modified Sun Apr 14 16:53:23 PDT 2019 by lamport
 \ * Created Mon Apr 08 10:48:52 PDT 2019 by lamport