MODULE *TransitiveClosure*

Mathematicians define a relation R to be a set of ordered pairs, and write $s\ R\ t$ to mean $\langle s, t\rangle \in R$. The transitive closure $TC(R)$ of the relation R is the smallest relation containg R such that, $s\ TC(R) t$ and $t\ TC(R) u$ imply $s\ TC(R) u$, for any s, t, and u. This module shows several ways of defining the operator TC.

It is sometimes more convenient to represent a relation as a Boolean-valued function of two arguments, where $s\ R\ t$ means $R[s, t]$. It is a straightforward exercise to translate everything in this module to that representation.

Mathematicians say that R is a relation on a set S iff R is a subset of $S \times S$. Let the *support* of a relation R be the set of all elements s such that $s\ R\ t$ or $t\ R\ s$ for some t. Then any relation is a relation on its support. Moreover, the support of R is the support of $TC(R)$. So, to define the transitive closure of R, there's no need to say what set R is a relation on.

Let's begin by importing some modules we'll need and defining the the support of a relation.

EXTENDS *Integers*, *Sequences*, *FiniteSets*, *TLC*

$$Support(R) \triangleq \{r[1] : r \in R\} \cup \{r[2] : r \in R\}$$

A relation R defines a directed graph on its support, where there is an edge from s to t iff $s\ R\ t$. We can define $TC(R)$ to be the relation such that $s\ R\ t$ holds iff there is a path from s to t in this graph. We represent a path by the sequence of nodes on the path, so the length of the path (the number of edges) is one greater than the length of the sequence. We then get the following definition of TC.

$$
\begin{array}{l}
TC(R) \triangleq \\
\quad \text{LET } S \triangleq Support(R) \\
\quad \text{IN } \{\langle s, t\rangle \in S \times S : \\
\qquad\quad \exists\, p \in Seq(S) : \begin{array}[t]{l} \land\ Len(p) > 1 \\ \land\ p[1] = s \\ \land\ p[Len(p)] = t \\ \land\ \forall\, i \in 1 \,..\, (Len(p) - 1) : \langle p[i], p[i+1]\rangle \in R\} \end{array}
\end{array}
$$

This definition can't be evaluated by TLC because $Seq(S)$ is an infinite set. However, it's not hard to see that if R is a finite set, then it suffices to consider paths whose length is at most $Cardinality(S)$. Modifying the definition of TC we get the following definition that defines $TC1(R)$ to be the transitive closure of R, if R is a finite set. The LET expression defines $BoundedSeq(S, n)$ to be the set of all sequences in $Seq(S)$ of length at most n.

$$
\begin{array}{l}
TC1(R) \triangleq \\
\quad \text{LET } BoundedSeq(S, n) \triangleq \text{UNION } \{[1 \,..\, i \to S] : i \in 0 \,..\, n\} \\
\qquad\quad\ S \triangleq Support(R) \\
\quad \text{IN } \{\langle s, t\rangle \in S \times S : \\
\qquad\qquad \exists\, p \in BoundedSeq(S, Cardinality(S) + 1) : \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \land\ Len(p) > 1 \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \land\ p[1] = s \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \land\ p[Len(p)] = t \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \land\ \forall\, i \in 1 \,..\, (Len(p) - 1) : \langle p[i], p[i+1]\rangle \in R\}
\end{array}
$$

This naive method used by

$$\textsc{assume}\ \forall N \in 0\,..\,3 : \forall R \in \textsc{subset}\ ((1\,..\,N) \times (1\,..\,N)) : \begin{array}{l} \land\ TC1(R) = TC2(R) \\ \land\ TC2(R) = TC3(R) \\ \land\ TC3(R) = TC4(R) \end{array}$$

Sometimes we want to represent a relation as a Boolean-valued operator, so we can write $s\ R\ t$ as $R(s, t)$. This representation is less convenient for manipulating relations, since an operator is not an ordinary value the way a function is. For example, since TLA+ does not permit us to define operator-valued operators, we cannot define a transitive closure operator TC so $TC(R)$ is the operator that represents the transitive closure. Moreover, an operator R by itself cannot represent a relation; we also have to know what set it is an operator on. (If R is a function, its domain tells us that.)

However, there may be situations in which you want to represent relations by operators. In that case, you can define an operator TC so that, if R is an operator representing a relation on S, and TCR is the operator representing it transitive closure, then

$$TCR(s, t) = TC(R, S, s, t)$$

for all s, t. Here is the definition. (This assumes that for an operator R on a set S, $R(s, t)$ equals FALSE for all s and t not in S.)

$$\begin{array}{l} TC5(R(\_, \_), S, s, t) \triangleq \\ \quad \textsc{let}\ CR[n \in Nat, v \in S] \triangleq \\ \qquad\qquad \textsc{if}\ n = 0\ \textsc{then}\ R(s, v) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \textsc{else}\ \ \lor CR[n-1, v] \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\ \ \lor \exists u \in S : CR[n-1, u] \land R(u, v) \\ \quad \textsc{in}\quad \land\ s \in S \\ \qquad\quad\ \ \land\ t \in S \\ \qquad\quad\ \ \land\ CR[Cardinality(S) - 1, t] \end{array}$$

Finally, the following assumption checks that our definition $TC5$ agrees with our definition $TC1$.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textsc{assume}\ \forall N \in 0\,..\,3 : \forall R \in \textsc{subset}\ ((1\,..\,N) \times (1\,..\,N)) : \\ \qquad\quad \textsc{let}\ RR(s, t) \triangleq \langle s, t \rangle \in R \\ \qquad\qquad\quad S \triangleq Support(R) \\ \qquad\quad \textsc{in}\quad \forall s, t \in S : \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad TC5(RR, S, s, t) \equiv (\langle s, t \rangle \in TC1(R)) \end{array}$$