

TO BE SORTED
RANDOM

ALEC HEWITT

(Analysis) Thm 9.5.10

proof

recall: $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^n \frac{d^n f(a) h^n}{n!} + R_n$; $R_n \frac{1}{(n+1)!} d^{n+1} f(c) h^{n+1}$

$df(a) = 0, n = 1$

$\implies f(x) = f(a) + \frac{1}{2} h d^2 f(c) h$; $x = a + h$

$\exists m > 0$ s.t. if $\|d^2 f(c)\| < \frac{m}{2}$

$\implies d^2 f(c)$ pos def

2^{nd} order partial derivative is continuous at a and

$\|c - a\| \leq \| \implies \|d^2 f(a) - d^2 f(c)\| < \frac{m}{2} \|h\| \sim \text{small} \implies d^2 f(c)$

pos def $\implies f(a + h) = f(a) + \frac{1}{2} h \cdot d^2 f(c) h > f(a)$

$\implies f$ has local min at a

Thm 9.5.11

Corollary 9.5.12

Thm 9.5.15

Defn 9.6.1

Peano's Axioms

N1. There is an element $1 \in \mathbb{N}$

N2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a successor element $s(n) \in \mathbb{N}$

N3. 1 is not the successor of an element of \mathbb{N}

N4. If two elements of \mathbb{N} have the same successor, then they are equal.

N5. If a subset A of \mathbb{N} contains 1 and is closed under succession (meaning $s(n) \in A$ whenever $n \in A$), then $A = \mathbb{N}$.

Thm 1.2.1 Suppose $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of statements, one for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

These statements are all true provided

- (1) P_1 is true (base case);

- (2) whenever P_n is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{s(n)}$ is also true, then P_n is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof

Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n \in A \text{ and } (P_n \text{ is true})$

pt (1) $\implies 1 \in A$

pt (2) $\implies s(n) \in A \text{ when } n \in A$

by N5 $A = \mathbb{N} \implies P_n \text{ true } \forall n$

Thm 9.6.2

B inverse for $dF(a)$ at a (constant matrix)

$$\implies d(BF)(a) = dB \cdot F(a) + B \cdot dF(a) = B \cdot dF(a) = I$$

$$\implies G(x) = BF(x)w/dG(a) = I, \quad u \cdot dG(a) \cdot u = u \cdot Iu$$

$$= \|u\|^2 = 1 \implies \text{pos def}$$

$$\text{recall: Lemma 9.5.9} \implies \exists m > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|dG(x) - dG(a)\| > \frac{m}{2}$$

$$\implies dG(x) \text{ pos def.}$$

(Comp Anal.)

Thm 3.3.7

Assume no such sequence

$$\implies \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } |f(z) - W| > \epsilon \forall z \in U$$

$$= \{z \in \mathbb{C} | 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}. \text{ Since } f(z) \neq w \text{ in } U$$

$$g(z) \equiv \frac{1}{f(z)-w} \text{ analytic on } U \text{ w/ } |g(z)| < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

recall: 3.3.4 (1) a) f bounded in deleted neighborhood of $z_0 \implies z_0$ removable discontinuity.

$g \neq 0$ constantly since f is not constantly infinite

Thm 3.3.7

Proof

Suppose \nexists such sequence $\implies \exists \epsilon > 0, \delta > 0$

$$\text{s.t. } |f(z) - w| > \epsilon, \quad \forall z \in U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$$

$$\implies f(z) \neq w \implies g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)-w} \text{ analytic}$$

$\implies |g(z)| < \frac{1}{\epsilon} \implies z_0$ removable by Thm 3.3.4 (a) g not constantly zero since f is not constantly infinite (isolated singularity) by Corollary 3.2.8 g has a convergent power series and if g is 0 at z_0 then $g(z) = (z - z_0)^k \phi(z)$

$\implies f(z) = w + \frac{1}{g(z)}$ is analytic if $k = 0$ or pole of order $k \implies z_0$ is not essential

\implies contradiction

 Prop 4.1.1 If $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic and have zeros at z_0 of the same order, then $f(z) = g(z)/h(z)$ has a removable singularity at z_0 .

Proof Prop 3.3.4 $\implies g(z) = (z - z_0)^k \tilde{g}(z)$, $\tilde{g}(z_0) \neq 0$, $h(z) = (z - z_0)^k \tilde{h}(z)$, $\tilde{h}(z_0) \neq 0$. \tilde{g} and \tilde{h} analytic and nonzero at z_0 . $\implies f(z) = \tilde{g}(z)/\tilde{h}(z)$ analytic at z_0 .

 Prop 4.1.2 Let g and h be analytic at z_0 and assume $g(z_0) \neq 0$, $h(z_0) = 0$ and $h'(z_0) \neq 0$. then $f(z) = g(z)/h(z)$ has a simple pole at z_0 and $\text{Res}(f; z_0) = \frac{g(z_0)}{h'(z_0)}$

Prop 4.1.2

Proof $h(z_0) = 0; h'(z_0) \neq 0 \implies h'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{z - z_0}$

$= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{h(z)}{z - z_0} \neq 0$

recall Prop 3.3.4: $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)f(z) = \text{Res}(f; z_0)$ if $\lim \neq 0$

$\therefore \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0) \frac{g(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{g(z_0)}{h'(z_0)} = \text{Res}\left(\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}; z_0\right)$