

Black Friday

Domain Background

Black Friday is the Friday after the thanksgiving. This day is kind of the day where the shopping season starts. In that day all stores come out with very good sales in order to attract most customers and to also clean their warehouses as if the products stay longer they may result in profit loss. The trend lately tends to make those Friday sales not just after thanks giving but many times per year. That is why most companies try to learn their customers behaviour in order to make the best sales that satisfy customer needs and make company gain maximum profit. A problem that is similar to this one is provided on kaggle

<https://www.kaggle.com/c/ga-customer-revenue-prediction> , where the person is challenged to analyse Google merchandise also called store and predict revenue per customer as they will use the results to make actionable operational changes and make a better use of marketing budgets.

Problem Statement

The problem at hand is called Black Friday, the problem revolves around a company called ABC Private the company want to understand and analyse the behaviour of its customers in order to have the best sales plan and promotional strategies possible. They decided that the best way for that is to make a model that predicts the amounts that the customers will buy from the shop products and how much from each category. By having a good time series model their sales plans and promotional strategies will have the highest efficiency possible. Many big companies use such systems to make their sales plans and promotional strategies such as amazon or google where it posted recently a competition on kaggle requesting the testers to make a model that predicts customers revenue. According to Google this also helps in determining the marketing budgets.

Evaluation Metrics

The performance will be evaluated on the basis of my prediction of the purchase amount by doing K-Fold cross validation. The metrics I will be using to evaluate the accuracy of my model will be RMSE (“Root Mean Squared Error”) . The reason why I will use RMSE is because RMSE is a suitable general-purpose error metric. Compared to the Mean Absolute Error, RMSE punishes large errors. Another benefit of using RMSE over something like MSE is that the error is in the same unit as the prediction. So i can quickly determine how far off my prediction is.

Data Exploration

To Begin with the analysis I used 200,000 rows out of total dataset to save time and I saw that having any more rows than that will not mount to much when training the model. I started with describe function in pandas in order to know the minimum, maximum, mean and the quantiles also to know which attributes are numerical and which are categorical. Then I used describe function with categorical values to know how many unique values each category has and what is the frequency of the most repeated value in categorical attribute, also to know if the data frame has very high bias or not because that would affect my accuracy and make it unrealistic. Then checked the sum of null value in the data frame to see if there are any missing values i need to deal with, the only null values were in Product_Category_2 and Product_Category_3 which made sense since not all products fall within one category.

Exploratory Visualization

I made a distribution plot on purchase to see how are the values distributed and compare it to normal distribution, The values were so weird and inconsistent it looked like a bunch of spikes, for example the 2 bars that had the highest frequency had between them a bar with very much lower frequency. I used probability plot afterwards to have clearer view of purchase data and see if it needed any

transformation or not and if it does what type of transformation is required. After that I made a correlation graph in order to grasp how much each attribute is correlated with each other and more importantly how is it correlated with Purchase in the data frame. I started making bivariate analysis to see how are the attributes related with each other. I started with seeing how much are the males and females purchase amount within certain age range, turns out females always buy more than males no matter what is the age and the age both males and females buy the most is in between 26-35 also how much males buy between age 18-25 and 36-45 are very close which is a bit of a surprise that also applies to females, between age 0-17 purchase amount is low and the gap of purchase between males and females is not big like the other age gaps which makes sense since they are still young. After age 45 the amount of purchase start dropping each year passes by. Then after that I compared the amount of purchase with the City_Category and age to determine whether a certain city is favourable within certain age gap or basically a city of them has more population than others or shop is bigger than the others. In the graph city B had the most total purchase in all years except between 0-17 and 55+ which indicates that City_Category B was probably in a large city. I did a count plot to see how the amount of customers that visit each City_Category and their ages, to my surprise City_Category C had more customers than City_Category B in all age gaps which means that City_Category B is not in a more populated city but the city that the shop in is wealthier than the other City_Categories so people tend to buy more on this city. I made a comparison to see if single or married people bought more in each city category, turns out that single people buy more than married people regardless of city which indicates that single people have more money to spend because they don't have to spend money on children tuition or the extra bills that comes along side living beside some one else at home. Tried to compare amount of purchase in relation to amount of time client stayed at city and the city category, turns out that in all cities the customers that stayed exactly one year at the city are the customers that buy things the most, year 0, 2, 3, 4, 5+ were relatively the same in all the cities, which was quite expected as one year duration is the duration where the customer starts settling down in the city and starts to have stable income and stable residence and starts thinking about getting new products to fill his house with. I tried to see how which product the customers prefer in relation with their age so i counted the amount of products in relation with their age, as guessed the produced data frame was super big to graph so i printed the data frame instead, turns out product 1,5 and 8 are bought in big numbers regardless of the age. Last thing I did was to compare the mean of purchases against the values in Age, Gender, City_Category and C_Years to my surprise the means in all of them were almost the same with maximum 20\$ standard deviation.

Algorithms and Techniques

First I imported Scipy in order to use stats library from that library I used boxcox and skew it to make data follow uniform distribution. I imported KFold and cross_val_score from sklearn.cross_validation to do K-Fold cross validation on the benchmark and my model, also to use it to draw the learning curve and get the mean score from the k tries. From sklearn.naive_bayes i imported GaussianNB in order to use this algorithm along with from LinearRegression that I imported from sklearn.linear_model as a benchmark model. From sklearn.ensemble I imported RandomForestRegressor and from xgboost I imported XGBRegressor, I imported them to use as my main model. From sklearn.model_selection I imported GridSearchCV to use it to search for the best parameters that helps in having the best score. From sklearn.model_selection i imported learning_curve to use to show the how well model is learning and show the accuracy in simple way.

Benchmark model

The benchmark model I used was Naive-Bayes and linear regression. The reason I used them is because they are simple and computationally very cheap compared to other algorithms. This Naive-Bayes score is usually low if compared with other model but the score is relatively reasonable and can be accepted as benchmark. Linear regression fits is also good as a benchmark. I got the score of both of them by doing K-Fold cross validation on both of them. The scoring unit I used is RMSE. The score I got for Naive-Bayes was 49.81 while the score for linear regression was 44.95.

Data Preprocessing

First thing I started with is that I imported only 200,000 out of 500,000 rows as I deemed saw that they are enough to model a very good model and any more rows than that will not have much impact on my model, also to save time since I don't use GPU. I dropped userid since I don't need it and it doesn't has any use in my model and also dropped Product_id since I using product id to classify would cause a lot of problems and would be hard to get any sort of information out of it, for example there are tons of products that fall under food category but one with their unique id also new product id's may come during testing since the amount of product id's is too big to count. I started looking at the distribution of data and saw that it somehow follows normal distribution but it didn't fit the distribution nicely so I imported a class called boxcox this call gives me a value lambda based on the distribution I want the model to fit in, Then I applied that transformation value on Purchase attribute which made it

much more uniform and consistent. After that I changed the values of Stay_In_Current_City_Years to become 0 if it is [0, 2, 3, 4+] and 1 if it is one year because the analysis showed that there is a spike in the amount of purchases someone buys if he has stayed exactly one year at the city he is in. I decided to get dummies of the Gender as it has only two categorical values in it and as the analysis has shown females buy more than males no matter the age, I used dummies instead of LabelEncoder so that the males can also have some sort of value. I also decided to use dummies with City_Category since analysis showed that some City_Category may be favourable within certain age more than other City_Categories. I decided to drop occupation since I saw that it has very low correlation with purchase, there are many occupations to even count and when testing a new occupation might come up then the model will now know how to handle it and lower the accuracy, also the occupation is not a good indicator on how much money a person owns as a person can make double the money a person does with same occupation. I dropped the Product_Category_3 attribute due to the fact that almost 80% of the rows in this attribute are NAN values, I will replace the NAN values that are not 0 since the NAN value might be used to indicate something so I want to give them some weight. I think Product_Category_1 and Product_Category_2 are enough to identify the class the product. I used fit transform on Age attribute so I can use it in my model, I didn't use get dummies as Age has 7 unique values and when training my model having so much attributes might cause my model to fall in the curse of dimensionality, this will make my model take much more time to train and might cause my accuracy to fall. In the end I checked the minimum value in Product_Category_2, it turned out to be 2 which was perfect for me, I substituted the NAN values in Product_Category_2 with one instead of 0 as I wanted to give NAN values some sort of weight as they can be used to indicate that a product is specialized only in a certain category which will help in classifying later on.

Implementation

At first I specified a The first two algorithms I used were Naive-Bayes and Linear regression, I used them at first as they were my benchmark model. In Naive-Bayes the I used the default parameters which were "*priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09*" and with Linear Regression I used "*fit_intercept=True, normalize=False, copy_X=True, n_jobs=None*". I validated their score by using cross_val_score function where KFold parameters are "*(len(y), n_folds=10, shuffle=False, random_state=0)*" and the scoring function I used was 'neg_mean_squared_error' then I removed the negative from the score and used sqrt function on it to get the RMSE. I specified two algorithms to use as my main model and those are XGBRegressor and RandomForestRegressor. For XGBRegressor I used the parameters "*(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree',*

```
colsample_bylevel=1, colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.15,  
max_delta_step=0, max_depth=6, min_child_weight=1, missing=None,  
n_estimators=100, n_jobs=1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,  
  
reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None, silent=True,  
subsample=1)''.
```

While for RandomForestRegressor I used the parameters “(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_depth=8,max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None,min_impurity_decrease=0.0,min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=60, n_jobs=1, oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False)”.

I validated their score by using cross_val_score function using KFold and the scoring function I used was 'neg_mean_squared_error' then I removed the negative from the score and used sqrt function on it to get the RMSE.

Refinement

To do refinement I used the function GridSearchCV I used it in order to find the best parameters available in my model, For XGBoostRegressor the parameters I used GridSearchCV on were 'booster' with values ('gbtree','gblinear'), 'max_depth' with values (2,3,4,5,6,7,8) and 'learning_rate' with values (0.25,0.2,0.15,0.1,0.05). The best values chose for this algorithm were 'booster'='gbtree', 'max_depth'=6 and and 'learning_rate'=0.15. For RandomForestClassifier the parameters I used GridSearchCV on were 'n_estimators'with values (60,80,100,120,140) and 'max_depth' with values (6,7,8). The best values chose for this algorithm were 'n_estimators'=60 and max_depth=8. I kept changing the values in the parameters a lot between the 2 algorithms to make sure it choose the parameter closest to best solution as much as I can.

Model Evaluation and Validation

I used XGBRegressor the reason I used it is because It is a highly flexible and versatile tool that can work through most regression, classification and ranking problems as well as user built objective functions. As an open source software, it is easily accessible and it may be used through different platforms and interfaces.This

model and its parameters are chosen based on many tries by using GridSearchCV, The final score is considered to be fairly good as an RMSE score of 28.37 with the purchase attribute having the mean value of 169 and Standard Deviation of 47.

The reason I used RandomForestRegressor is It is one of the most accurate learning algorithms available. For many data sets, it produces a highly accurate classifier. It runs efficiently on large databases. It can handle thousands of input variables without variable deletion. It gives estimates of what variables are important in the classification. It generates an internal unbiased estimate of the generalization error as the forest building progresses. This model and its parameters are chosen based on many tries by using GridSearchCV, The final score is considered to be fairly good as an RMSE score of 28.50 with the Purchase attribute having the mean value of 169 and Standard Deviation of 47. This model can be trusted as the model has been trained by doing 10 KFold cross validation with shuffle = False on 200,000 rows so if there was a unique value in them it would appear on the model score.

Justification

The Score of both models are better than the benchmark models by at least at least 17 RMSE units that means that my model is better by 37% than my benchmark model . This score of my models have very good RMSE score considering the margin of error.

Free-Form Visualization

At after I chose the model with the better score I made a learning curve using the best parameters that GridSearchCV selected, then I plotted the curve to see how well model model is learning. After it I used feature importance to know the weight of each attribute in training my model and the order of importance came as follows (Age, Product_Category_1, Product_Category_2, F, C_Years, Marital_Status, A, B, C, M).

Reflection

Every aspect has been documented, what was interesting is that while I was trying different parameters for the models the order of importance of feature in feature selection change for example in XGBClassifier the importance of first 3 attributes were (Product_Category_1, Product_Category_2, Age) when I used parameters $\text{max_depth} = 5$ and $\text{learning_rate} = 0.2$, but the order changed as I changed the parameters, even though the change was small the effect of it on feature importance was big. Training the models is definitely the most hard part, the amount of time alone spent in training all the models took very long time, it is one of the main reasons I didn't use Support Vector Regression as I ran the model and it took more than 7+ hours of running time and the training the model didn't end so I had to terminate the run. The model I chose fit my expectations as the RMSE score is 28 while the Standard Deviation of the data is 47 which means my error margin within 60% of Standard Deviation.

Improvement

The model can be improved a lot If I used the whole 550,000 records in the dataset but I couldn't because I lack the computing power to handle this amount. Every algorithm I don't know how to implement I research it till I can solve it. Of course there is always a better solution than the one you currently have, there are all sorts of algorithms that I don't know about them completely so that's why I didn't chose them but they are better fit for the problem than the algorithm in chose, Also I believe the parameters of the algorithm can be tuned even further to have much better accuracy.