An End-to-End Entity Linking Approach for Tweets

Ikuya Yamada[1 2 3]
ikuya@ousia.jp

Hideaki Takeda[3]
takeda@nii.ac.jp

Yoshiyasu Takefuji[2]
takefuji@sfc.keio.ac.jp

[1]Studio Ousia Inc., 4489-105-221 Endo, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan
[2]Keio University, 5322 Endo, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan
[3]National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda, Tokyo, Japan

ABSTRACT

We present a novel approach for detecting, classifying, and linking entities from Twitter posts (tweets). The task is challenging because of the *noisy*, *short*, and *informal* nature of tweets. Consequently, the proposed approach introduces several methods that robustly facilitate successful realization of the task with enhanced performance in several measures.

Keywords

Entity linking; Wikification; Twitter; DBpedia; Wikipedia

1. INTRODUCTION

Microblogging services, such as *Twitter*, are rapidly becoming virtually ubiquitous. This is attributable to the fact that they are extremely valuable mechanisms that enable us to obtain live and raw information in real time. In this paper, we describe our approach to the #Microposts 2015 NEEL challenge [6], a competition for extracting and typing entity mentions appearing in tweets, and linking those mentions to the corresponding URIs of the DBpedia 2014 dataset[1], with non-existent mentions also being recognized as *NIL* mentions.

The main difficulty inherent in this task stems from the *noisy*, *short*, and *informal* nature of tweets. The performance of previous approaches suffered because they tended to focus on well-written, long texts such as news articles. Our system explicitly focuses on tweets and addresses the problem using a variety of methods working together.

Our proposed system addresses the task in an *end-to-end* manner. Unlike most of the previous approaches, the system does not use an external named entity recognition system (NER) to generate candidates of the entity mentions because the current NER typically performs badly for tweets [5]. Our system first generates the candidates by using *approximate candidate generation* that can detect *misspelled* and *abbreviated* mentions and *acronyms*. Then it uses *supervised machine-learning* to remove irrelevant candidates and resolve them into the corresponding DBpedia URIs.

---

[1]http://wiki.dbpedia.org/Downloads2014

Consequently, we constructed three supervised machine-learning models to detect NIL entity mentions and predict the types (e.g., *PERSON* and *LOCATION*) of the detected mentions.

2. THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

Our proposed system addresses the task using a procedure comprising the following five steps: 1) preprocessing, 2) mention candidate generation, 3) mention detection and disambiguation, 4) NIL mention detection, and 5) type prediction.

2.1 Preprocessing

We tokenize a tweet and assign part-of-speech tags to the resulting tokens using ARK Twitter Part-of-Speech Tagger [2] with our enhanced hashtag tokenization method. We also extract the timestamp of the tweet from the Tweet ID.

2.2 Mention Candidate Generation

In this step, the candidates of the entity mentions are generated from the tweet using the methods described below.

Mention-Entity Dictionary.

The system uses a *mention-entity* dictionary that maps mention surface (e.g., *apple*) to the possible referent entities (e.g., Apple_Inc., Apple (food)). The possible mention surfaces of an entity are extracted from the corresponding Wikipedia page title, the page titles of the Wikipedia pages that redirect to the page of the entity, and anchor texts in Wikipedia articles that point to the page of the entity. We constructed this dictionary using the January 2015 dump of Wikipedia.

Candidate Generation Methods.

The system generates candidates using the mention-entity dictionary; it first takes all the n-grams ($n < 10$) from the tweet and performs queries to the dictionary using the text surface of each of these n-grams. The following four methods are used to retrieve candidates:

- *Exact search* retrieves mention candidates that have text surfaces exactly equal to the query text.
- *Fuzzy match* searches the mention candidates that have text surfaces within a certain distance of the query text measured by edit distance.
- *Approximate token search* obtains mention candidates whose text surfaces have a significant ratio of words in common with the query text.
- *Acronym search* retrieves mention candidates with possible acronyms[2] that include the query text.

---

[2]We generate acronyms by tokenizing the mention surface and simply taking the first characters of the resulting tokens.

The system first generates possible mention candidates using the above methods, sorts these candidates according to the number of occurrences in which the mention appear as a link to the referent entity, and selects the top k candidates ($k = 100$ for exact search and $k = 30$ for other methods). Additionally, we experimentally set the maximum allowed edit distance of *fuzzy match* to *two* and the minimum ratio of *approximate token search* to *66%* because these settings achieve the best scores in our experiments.

2.3 Mention Detection and Disambiguation

In this step, we first assign a score to mention candidates using a supervised machine-learning model. In this case, we used *random forest* as the machine-learning algorithm.

Features.

We started out using features similar to those proposed in previous works [1, 3], and subsequently introduced several novel features to enhance performance. The features introduced include 1) *contextual information using word embeddings* to measure the contextual similarity between a tweet and an entity, 2) *temporal popularity knowledge of an entity* extracted from Wikipedia page view data, and 3) *string similarity measures* to measure the similarity between the title of the entity and the mention (e.g., edit distance).

Overlap Resolution.

Finally, the overlapped entity mentions are resolved. We start with the beginning of the tweet and iterate over the candidate entity mentions. Then, we detect the mention if the corresponding span of the mention has not already been detected and the score assigned to the mention is above the threshold. If multiple mentions are found, the mention with the highest score is selected.

2.4 NIL Mention Detection

We formulate the task of detecting NIL mentions from a tweet as a supervised classification task to assign a binary label to each of all possible n-grams ($n < 10$). *Random forest* is again used as our machine-learning algorithm.

Features.

We extract several features from the output of the Stanford NER[3] using two types of models: 1) a standard three-class model, and 2) a model that does not use capitalization as a feature. We also use the ratio of capitalized words as an indicator of the reliability of the capitalization in the tweet. Additionally, various other features are used, such as part-of-speech tags of the surrounding words and the length of the n-grams.

2.5 Type Prediction

We cast the task of detecting types of mentions as a multi-class supervised classification task. In the previous steps, we extracted two types of mentions: entity mentions and NIL mentions. Thus, we are able to build two separate classifiers to predict the entity types for each type of mention. We developed two machine-learning models using *logistic regression* and *random forest* and created the final model by building an ensemble model on top of these models in order to boost the performance.

Features for Entity Mentions.

The primary features used to detect types of entity mentions are the corresponding entity classes retrieved from *DBpedia* and *Freebase* (e.g., FictionalCharacter, SportsTeam).

---

[3] http://nlp.stanford.edu/software/CRF-NER.shtml

Name	Precision	Recall	F1
strong_link_match	0.786	0.656	0.715
strong_typed_mention_match	0.656	0.630	0.642
mention_ceaf	0.857	0.823	0.840

Table 1: Summary of experimental results

We also use our 300 dimensional entity-embeddings constructed from Wikipedia and the predicted entity types of the Stanford NER.

Features for NIL Mentions.

In order to detect the types of NIL mentions, we use features extracted from word embeddings. Here, the GloVe Twitter 2B model [4] is used as the word embeddings. We also use the predicted types of the Stanford NER and the part-of-speech tags.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In our experiments, we used the #Microposts 2015 dataset [6] split into a training set and a test set. These sets contained *3,498* and *500* tweets respectively.

Table 1 shows a summary of our experimental results. We evaluated our system using the following three measures: *strong_link_match* to evaluate the performance of linking entities, *strong_typed_mention_match* to measure the performance of mention detection and entity typing, and *mention_ceaf* for calculating the performance of clustering detected mentions into entity mentions or NIL mentions.[4] We successfully achieved accurate performance in all of the measures.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we described our approach for detecting, classifying, and linking entity mentions in tweets. We introduced a novel machine-learning approach specifically targeted at tweets and successfully achieved enhanced performance on the #Microposts2015 dataset.

References

[1] P. Ferragina and U. Scaiella. TAGME: On-the-fly Annotation of Short Text Fragments (by Wikipedia Entities). In *CIKM '10*, pages 1625–1628, 2010.

[2] K. Gimpel, N. Schneider, B. O'Connor, D. Das, D. Mills, J. Eisenstein, M. Heilman, D. Yogatama, J. Flanigan, and N. A. Smith. Part-of-Speech Tagging for Twitter: Annotation, Features, and Experiments. In *ACL '11*, pages 42–47, 2011.

[3] E. Meij, W. Weerkamp, and M. de Rijke. Adding Semantics to Microblog Posts. In *WSDM '12*, pages 563–572, 2012.

[4] J. Pennington, R. Socher, and C. D. Manning. GloVe: Global Vectors for Word Representation. In *EMNLP '14*, pages 1532–1543, 2014.

[5] A. Ritter, S. Clark, and O. Etzioni. Named Entity Recognition in Tweets: An Experimental Study. In *EMNLP '11*, pages 1524–1534, 2011.

[6] G. Rizzo, A. E. Cano Basave, B. Pereira, and A. Varga. Making Sense of Microposts (#Microposts2015) Named Entity rEcognition and Linking (NEEL) Challenge. In M. Rowe, M. Stankovic, and A.-S. Dadzie, editors, *5th Workshop on Making Sense of Microposts (#Microposts2015)*, pages 44–53, 2015.

---

[4] For further details of these measures, please refer to https://github.com/wikilinks/neleval/wiki/Evaluation