# Technical Report: Final Project Sales Forecasting

Ayman Mushtaq Ahmad, Amisha Tiwari, Ronhit Neema Khoury College of Computer Science ahmad.ay@northeastern.edu

November 24, 2024

## Contents

| 1 | Introduction   | 3                |
|---|--|------------------|
| 2 | Methodology2.1 Data Loading and Exploration2.2 Feature Engineering2.3 Model Implementation2.4 Evaluation | 3<br>3<br>3<br>3 |
| 3 | Results  | 3                |
| 4 | Discussion   | 4                |
| 5 | Conclusion   | 4                |
| 6 | References   | 4                |
| A | Appendix A: Code   | 4                |
| В | Appendix B: Additional Figures   | 4                |

## 1 Introduction

The Sales Forecasting Project aims to accurately predict sales using machine learning techniques. This report documents the steps taken, including data preprocessing, feature engineering, model implementation, and evaluation.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Data Loading and Exploration

The dataset was loaded using Pandas and explored to understand its structure:

- Null and duplicate values were identified and handled.
- Summary statistics of numerical features were generated.
- Categorical features were identified for encoding.

## 2.2 Feature Engineering

- Categorical variables were encoded using LabelEncoder.
- Features were standardized using StandardScaler for models requiring normalization.

## 2.3 Model Implementation

The following machine learning models were implemented:

- Linear Regression: A simple baseline model for comparison.
- Lasso and Ridge Regression: Regularized linear models to handle multi-collinearity.
- Decision Tree Regressor: Captures non-linear relationships.
- Random Forest Regressor: An ensemble method for improved accuracy.
- Extra Trees Regressor: Another ensemble model focusing on feature importance.

#### 2.4 Evaluation

Models were evaluated using the R<sup>2</sup> score, which measures the proportion of variance explained by the model.

### 3 Results

• Linear Regression:  $R^2 = 0.72$ 

• Lasso Regression:  $R^2 = 0.68$ 

• Ridge Regression:  $R^2 = 0.71$ 

• Decision Tree:  $R^2 = 0.85$ 

• Random Forest:  $R^2 = 0.89$ 

• Extra Trees:  $R^2 = 0.88$ 

Random Forest achieved the highest accuracy, demonstrating its effectiveness in handling complex data relationships.

## 4 Discussion

The results highlight the benefits of ensemble methods for sales forecasting. While linear models provide a baseline, tree-based models excel in capturing non-linear patterns. Feature standardization and encoding were crucial for model performance.

## 5 Conclusion

This project successfully implemented multiple models for sales forecasting. We are working on working with the best model and proceed with the remaining aspects of our Project Scope.

## 6 References

A Appendix A: Code

## B Appendix B: Additional Figures