**Reviewer Report**

[**http://www.piston-jt.uho.ac.id**](http://www.piston-jt.uho.ac.id)

We highly appreciate your time and effort for agreeing to be one of our reviewers. To ensure a high standard of papers for our journal, we would be grateful if you would complete the table and rate the paper on the issues described below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Paper ID |  |
| Paper Title |  |
| Type of paper | * Original Paper * Review article * Technical Report/Short Paper |

**Paper evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No | Please rate the following: (5 excellent, 1 poor) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | N/A |
| 1 | Relevance to the journal scope |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Abstract sufficiently represents objective, method and result of the manuscript |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | The paper Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Standard of Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Appropriateness of the research/study method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Discussion and conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Reference list, adequate and correctly cited based on journal GFA’s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Free of any ethical issues and plagiarism (max. 25% exclude authors’ identity and references)  *Note: editorial team will check plagiarism separately).*  You may leave this item |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Recommendation

* Accepted
* Publish In Minor, Required Changes
* Review Again After Major Changes
* Reject (Paper is not Sufficient of Quality or Novelty to be published in **PISTON-JT**)
* Reject (A Major Rewrite is required; encourage resubmission)
* Reject (Paper is seriously flawed; out of scope, do not encourage resubmission.)
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# Comments to the Author(s)

(Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper) Reviewer may also use document processing tool like comment tool to provide comments to the reviewed manuscript