# Fraud Detection System

#### 1. Introduction:

- > Globally, credit card fraud is a significant financial issue.
- > To reduce monetary losses and preserve consumer confidence, it is essential to identify fraudulent transactions.
- > The goal of this task is to use machine learning to create a reliable model for detecting credit card fraud.

## 2. Dataset Loading and Preprocessing:

- ➤ <u>Description of the Dataset</u>: A dataset comprising credit card transaction records with attributes such as transaction amount, merchant information, location, demographics, and a fraud indicator is used in the project.
- > Managing Missing Values: To deal with missing values, imputation techniques were applied.
- > <u>Outlier Detection and Treatment:</u> IQR was used to identify outliers, which were then either capped or eliminated.
- Feature Encoding: One-hot encoding was used to transform categorical features into numerical values.
- > <u>Feature Scaling:</u> Standardization was used to scale numerical features.

Data Splitting: Stratified sampling was used to separate the dataset into training and testing sets.

### 3. Model Development:

➤ <u>Model Selection:</u> Choosing a Model Because of its robustness, interpretability, ability to handle high-dimensional data, and suitability for fraud detection, a Random Forest Classifier was selected.

<u>Hyperparameter Tuning</u>: The best hyperparameters were found using grid search.

<u>Cross-Validation:</u> Model generalization was guaranteed by k-fold cross-validation.

Model Fitting: The complete training dataset was used to train the finished model.

#### 4. Model Evaluation And Performance:

- Metrics for Evaluation: The performance of the model was assessed using:
  - \* <u>Accuracy</u>: The general correctness of forecasts.
  - Precision: The percentage of fraudulent transactions that were accurately predicted.
  - \* <u>Recall:</u> The percentage of real fraudulent transactions that were correctly identified.

- ❖ <u>F1-Score</u>: It is the harmonic mean of recall and precision.
- \* <u>AUC-ROC Score</u>: The capacity of the model to differentiate between authentic and fraudulent transactions.

### 5. Results Analysis:

- > The testing dataset yielded good results for the Random Forest Classifier.
- These outcomes demonstrate how accurately the model classifies transactions. The model's predictions closely resemble the actual results due to its high accuracy.
- > The precision shows that the model is generally right when it predicts fraud, reducing false

positives and the inconvenience to real customers.

- Recall indicates that the model recognizes the real fraudulent transactions, enhancing the credit card system's security.
- ➤ The model's excellent performance in reducing false positives while identifying real fraud is confirmed by the F1-score, which strikes a balance between precision and recall.
- The model's outstanding capacity to differentiate between authentic and fraudulent transactions is indicated by the AUC-ROC score.
- > Overall, the outcomes show how well the Random Forest Classifier detects credit card fraud.

➤ It detects a large percentage of fraudulent activity, reduces false positives, and correctly classifies transactions.

#### 6. Conclusion:

- Using a Random Forest Classifier, this project effectively created a strong model for detecting credit card fraud.
- > The model minimized financial losses and improved security by identifying fraudulent transactions with high accuracy.
- The findings demonstrate its capacity to accurately classify transactions, reduce false positives, and identify a sizable percentage of fraudulent activity.