Verification and Validation Report: MECHTRON 4TB6

Team 25, Formulate Ahmed Nazir, nazira1 Stephen Oh, ohs9 Muhanad Sada, sadam Tioluwalayomi Babayeju, babayejt

March 6, 2023

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
Т	Test

Contents

List of Figures

1	Revision History	
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	
3	Functional Requirements Evaluation	
4	Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 4.1 Usability	
5	Unit Testing	1
6	Changes Due to Testing 6.1 Functional Requirements	1 1 1
7	Trace to Requirements	1
8	Trace to Modules	1
${f L}$	ist of Tables	

This document ...

- 3 Functional Requirements Evaluation
- 4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation
- 4.1 Usability
- 4.2 Performance
- 4.3 Security
- 5 Unit Testing
- 6 Changes Due to Testing
- 6.1 Functional Requirements
- 6.2 Nonfunctional Requirements
- 7 Trace to Requirements
- 8 Trace to Modules

References

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection. Please answer the following question:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)