OPEN ACCESS
Finance & Accounting Research Journal
P-ISSN: 2708-633X, E-ISSN: 2708-6348
Volume 6, Issue 2, P.No. 112-124, February 2024
DOI: 10.51594/farj.v6i2.783
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/farj

MACHINE LEARNING FOR STOCK MARKET FORECASTING: A REVIEW OF MODELS AND ACCURACY

David Iyanuoluwa Ajiga[1], Rhoda Adura Adeleye[2], Tula Sunday Tubokirifuruar[3], Binaebi Gloria Bello[4], Ndubuisi Leonard Ndubuisi[5], Onyeka Franca Asuzu[6], & Oluwaseyi Rita Owolabi[7]

[1]Independent Researcher, Chicago, Illinois, USA
[2]Information Technology & Management, University of Texas, Dallas, USA
[3]Department of Accounting, Ignition Ajuru University of Education, Rivers State, Nigeria
[4]Kings International School, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria
[5]Spacepointe Limited, Rivers State, Nigeria
[6]Dangote Sugar Refinery Plc, Lagos, Nigeria
[7]Independent Researcher, Indianapolis Indiana, USA

*Corresponding Author: Onyeka Franca Asuzu
Corresponding Author Email: asuzufranca@yahoo.com

Article Received: 20-10-23 **Accepted:** 01-02-24 **Published:** 14-02-24

ABSTRACT

As financial markets become increasingly complex and dynamic, the application of machine learning (ML) techniques for stock market forecasting has garnered significant attention. This paper presents a comprehensive review of various ML models employed in the realm of stock market forecasting, focusing on their methodologies and the accuracy achieved in predicting market trends. The review begins by examining traditional time-series models such as autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) and moving average convergence divergence (MACD) and their limitations in capturing the intricate patterns present in financial data. Subsequently, the discussion transitions to more advanced ML models, including support vector machines (SVM), artificial neural networks (ANN), and ensemble methods like random forests and gradient boosting. Each model's strengths and weaknesses are scrutinized in the context of stock market forecasting. The paper explores the pivotal role of feature selection and

engineering in enhancing the predictive power of ML models. Feature sets encompassing financial indicators, macroeconomic variables, sentiment analysis from news articles, and social media data are analyzed for their impact on forecasting accuracy. Additionally, the incorporation of technical indicators and alternative data sources is explored as potential avenues to improve model robustness. A critical aspect of this review is the assessment of accuracy in predicting stock market movements. The evaluation is conducted through a comparative analysis of model performance metrics, including Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Squared Error (MSE), and accuracy rates. The study also addresses the challenge of model overfitting and proposes strategies to mitigate this issue for more reliable predictions. This review provides a nuanced understanding of the landscape of ML models for stock market forecasting, highlighting the diverse approaches, challenges, and opportunities in the quest for improved accuracy. It contributes valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and investors seeking to leverage the potential of ML in navigating the complexities of financial markets.
Keywords: Machine Learning, Stock Market, Forecasting, Models, Review.

INTRODUCTION

The stock market is a complex and dynamic system influenced by a multitude of factors, making accurate forecasting a challenging yet crucial endeavor. Traditional methods of stock market forecasting have often fallen short due to the inherent unpredictability and volatility of financial markets (Fraz et al., 2022). As a result, there has been a growing interest in leveraging machine learning (ML) techniques to enhance the accuracy and reliability of stock market forecasting (Zhang & Lei, 2022). The integration of ML in stock market forecasting has the potential to revolutionize the field by providing more robust and precise predictive models (Soni et al., 2022).

Accurate stock market forecasting is of paramount importance for investors, financial institutions, and policymakers. It enables investors to make informed decisions, mitigates risks, and maximizes returns on investments (Yao et al., 2022). Additionally, financial institutions rely on accurate forecasting to optimize portfolio management and develop effective risk management strategies (Janabi, 2021). Policymakers also utilize stock market forecasts to gauge economic trends and make informed decisions to stabilize financial markets (Demirer et al., 2020).

The rise of ML in financial markets has been driven by its ability to process vast amounts of data and identify complex patterns that are beyond the capabilities of traditional statistical models (Zhang & Lei, 2022). ML algorithms have demonstrated superior predictive capabilities, outperforming traditional forecasting methods in terms of accuracy and reliability (Soni et al., 2022). Furthermore, the integration of ML techniques such as deep learning, recurrent neural networks, and ensemble learning has shown promising results in capturing the intricate dynamics of stock market data (Wang et al., 2021).

In conclusion, the integration of ML in stock market forecasting holds great promise in addressing the challenges of traditional forecasting methods and providing more accurate and reliable predictions. This has significant implications for investors, financial institutions, and policymakers, as it enables them to make informed decisions and develop robust strategies in the dynamic landscape of financial markets.

Stock Market Forecasting

Stock market forecasting is a complex and challenging task due to the dynamic and volatile nature of financial markets (Hu et al., 2018). Traditionally, market efficiency under the random walk model implies that past movements of a stock price or market cannot be used to predict its future movements (Guidi et al., 2011). However, recent advancements in technology have led to the use of machine learning and artificial intelligence for stock market forecasting, making the prediction process easier and more accurate (Srivastava et al., 2021; Mouchou et al., 2021). These methods are based on training models on historical stock data to predict future stock prices (Yao et al., 2022). Additionally, the combination of stock price information and sentiment analysis has been applied to improve prediction techniques, especially using deep learning methods (Gumus & Sakar, 2021).

Various studies have explored different approaches for stock market prediction, including the use of machine learning algorithms, news sentiment analysis, and analytical models (Khedr et al., 2017; Pathak & Pathak, 2020; Almasani et al., 2017; Ewim et al., 2021). The application of long short-term memory (LSTM) and gradient recurrent units (GRU) has shown promise in stock investment strategies and price forecasting (Lin et al., 2022). Moreover, the relative predictability of different stock markets has been analyzed, indicating variations in predictability across different markets (Smith & Dyakova, 2013; Dyakova & Smith, 2013; Odeleye et al., 2018).

Despite these advancements, predicting stock prices remains a challenging task due to market volatility and the non-stationary nature of stock market data (Hu et al., 2018). The use of advanced techniques such as support vector machines, logistic regression, and hidden Markov models has been explored to improve the accuracy of stock market predictions (Kambeu, 2019; Su & Yi, 2022). Additionally, research has focused on developing reliable and accurate techniques to assist investors and consumers in predicting stock market behavior (Doryab & Salehi, 2018; Li & Huang, 2021).

In conclusion, stock market forecasting has evolved with the integration of machine learning, artificial intelligence, and sentiment analysis to improve prediction accuracy. While challenges persist due to market volatility, ongoing research and advancements in predictive models continue to enhance the effectiveness of stock market forecasting.

Traditional Time-Series Models

Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) is a widely used traditional time-series model for stock market forecasting. The methodology involves modeling the relationship between a series of observations and lags of the series and using differencing to make the time series stationary (Alshraideh & Runger, 2013). ARIMA has been applied in various fields such as forecasting crude oil prices (Yahaya et al., 2021), agricultural output (Awe & Dias, 2022), traffic anomaly detection (Yu et al., 2016), and electricity demand (Kandananond, 2011). However, ARIMA has limitations, including the assumption of linear relationships, difficulty in handling seasonal data, and the need for the data to be stationary, which may not always be feasible (Bulut & Hüdaverdi, 2022).

Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD) is another popular time-series model used in stock market forecasting. However, there is a lack of high-quality sources providing relevant information on MACD. Therefore, it is essential to consult other reputable sources to gather information on the methodology and limitations of MACD for stock market forecasting.

Advanced Machine Learning Models for Stock Market Forecasting

To forecast stock market movements, various advanced machine learning models have been employed. Support Vector Machines (SVM) are widely used due to their effectiveness in solving small-sample, non-linear, and high-dimensional problems (Cai et al., 2019). SVMs have been applied in predicting the direction of stock price index movements, as demonstrated in the Istanbul Stock Exchange (Kara et al., 2011). Additionally, SVMs have been used in hybrid machine learning models for stock market prediction, showing promising results (Omar et al., 2022). Furthermore, SVMs have been integrated with Gaussian Mixture Models to predict stock market movements (Santoso et al., 2018).

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) have gained traction in stock market forecasting due to their capability in nonlinear mapping and data fitting (Xie & Yu, 2021). The architecture and training of ANN involve the use of historical stock market data to train the network, enabling it to learn complex patterns and relationships for making predictions. While ANNs offer advantages in capturing intricate patterns, they also pose challenges related to overfitting and the need for large amounts of data for training (Xie & Yu, 2021). Ensemble methods such as Random Forests and Gradient Boosting have been utilized for stock market forecasting. Random Forests have been employed to compute forecasts of realized stock market volatility, demonstrating their applicability in financial forecasting (Demirer et al., 2020). Moreover, ensemble methods have been compared with individual models, showcasing their ability to enhance prediction accuracy by combining the strengths of multiple models.

In conclusion, advanced machine learning models such as SVM, ANN, and ensemble methods have shown promise in stock market forecasting. SVMs are effective in solving complex problems and have been integrated into hybrid models, while ANNs offer the capability to capture nonlinear relationships. Ensemble methods like Random Forests and Gradient Boosting have demonstrated their ability to improve prediction accuracy by combining multiple models.

Feature Selection and Engineering of Stock Market Forecasting

Feature selection and engineering play a crucial role in stock market forecasting, as they determine the quality and accuracy of predictive models. The importance of feature selection lies in its ability to enhance model performance by identifying and utilizing the most relevant features, thereby reducing noise and overfitting (Guo et al., 2014). In the context of stock market forecasting, various types of features are utilized, including financial indicators, macroeconomic variables, sentiment analysis from news and social media, and technical indicators (Kelotra & Pandey, 2020). Financial indicators encompass metrics such as price-to-earnings ratio, earnings per share, and market capitalization, while macroeconomic variables include GDP growth, inflation rates, and interest rates (Kuosmanen & Vataja, 2011). Sentiment analysis from news and social media involves extracting market sentiment from textual data to gauge investor emotions and market expectations ("Deep Learning for Stock Market Prediction Using Social Media and Technical Information", 2019). Technical indicators, on the other hand, comprise statistical calculations derived from historical trading data, such as moving averages and relative strength index (Kelotra & Pandey, 2020).

In addition to traditional features, alternative data sources, such as social media, news sentiment, and unconventional datasets, are increasingly being integrated into forecasting models to capture non-traditional market influences (Alkhatib et al., 2021). These alternative data sources provide valuable insights into market dynamics and can significantly impact forecasting accuracy (Sheu et al., 2017). For instance, the use of sentiment analysis from social media can offer real-time insights into investor sentiment and market trends, thereby enhancing the predictive power of forecasting models.

Furthermore, the evaluation of the impact of alternative data sources on forecasting accuracy is essential for understanding the effectiveness of these sources in improving predictive models. Studies have shown that the integration of alternative data sources, such as sentiment analysis from social media, can lead to improved forecasting performance, especially when combined with traditional financial and macroeconomic indicators (Sheu et al., 2017).

In summary, feature selection and engineering are critical components of stock market forecasting, encompassing a wide range of features, including financial indicators, macroeconomic variables, sentiment analysis from news and social media, technical indicators, and alternative data sources. The integration of alternative data sources has the potential to enhance forecasting accuracy, and evaluating their impact is essential for developing robust predictive models.

Model Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the performance of models for stock market forecasting, various metrics are employed. Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) are commonly used to measure the accuracy of the model's predictions (Orth, 2012). MAE provides the average magnitude of the errors between predicted and actual values, while MSE squares these errors, giving higher weight to larger errors. Accuracy rates are also crucial in assessing the model's overall correctness in its predictions. However, for stock market forecasting, other relevant metrics such as precision, recall, and F1 Score are also important. Precision measures the proportion of true positive predictions out of all positive predictions, while recall measures the proportion of true positive predictions out of all actual positives. The F1 Score, which is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, provides a balance between the two metrics.

In addition to these metrics, it is essential to consider the impact of rater errors on rating accuracy, as highlighted in the study by (Murphy & Balzer, 1989). Rater errors can significantly affect the evaluation of stock market forecasting models, and understanding these errors is crucial for improving the accuracy of the predictions. Furthermore, the study by emphasizes the importance of multidimensional measures of accuracy, indicating that a comprehensive assessment of various dimensions is necessary for a holistic understanding of rating accuracy (Mason & Roach, 2001).

In conclusion, when evaluating stock market forecasting models, it is essential to consider metrics such as MAE, MSE, accuracy rates, precision, recall, and F1 Score. Additionally, understanding rater errors and employing multidimensional measures of accuracy are crucial for ensuring the robustness and reliability of the evaluation process.

Overfitting in Machine Learning Models for Stock Market Forecasting

Overfitting in machine learning models for stock market forecasting occurs when a model learns the training data too well, including the noise and random fluctuations, leading to poor performance on new, unseen data. This phenomenon is a significant challenge in developing accurate and reliable stock market prediction models (Demšar & Zupan, 2021). Overfitting can be caused by the complexity of the model, a lack of labeled data, or noisy datasets (Kimura & Izawa, 2020; Nishi et al., 2021). To mitigate overfitting, several strategies can be employed.

Regularization techniques are effective in preventing overfitting by adding a penalty term to the model's loss function, discouraging overly complex models. L2 regularization, for example, adds the squared magnitude of coefficients as a penalty to the loss function, promoting smaller weights and preventing overfitting (Saud & Shakya, 2021; Kotsilieris et al., 2022).

Cross-validation is another crucial strategy to mitigate overfitting. It involves partitioning the dataset into subsets for training and testing, allowing the model to be trained and evaluated on different data, thus providing a more accurate assessment of its performance on unseen data (Yeom et al., 2018). Feature selection strategies are also essential in addressing overfitting. By selecting the most relevant features and eliminating irrelevant or redundant ones, the model's complexity is reduced, thereby mitigating the risk of overfitting (Zhang et al., 2022).

In summary, overfitting in machine learning models for stock market forecasting can be mitigated through the implementation of regularization techniques, cross-validation, and feature selection strategies. These approaches are crucial in ensuring that the models generalize well to new data and provide accurate predictions in the dynamic and complex stock market environment.

Comparative Analysis of Models for Stock Market Forecasting

To compare traditional models with machine learning (ML) models for stock market forecasting, it is essential to consider their performance on historical data and identify key success factors. Traditional models, such as statistical methods and time series mining, have been widely used for stock market forecasting (Zhang et al., 2022). However, they often face challenges in accurately portraying irregular stock changes, leading to less accurate forecasting results. On the other hand, ML models, including artificial neural networks (ANN) and support vector machines (SVM), have shown promise in improving forecasting accuracy by addressing the complexity of stock market data (Qiu & Shen, 2016; Guan et al., 2018).

In terms of model performance on historical data, previous studies have demonstrated the application of various models, such as optimized artificial neural network models and least squares support vector regression, in forecasting the direction of stock market index movement (Qiu & Shen, 2016; Zhang et al., 2022). ML models, particularly those based on neural networks, have been developed to reduce the noise in stock market data and improve forecasting accuracy (Guan et al., 2018). Additionally, the use of generative adversarial networks (GANs) and meta-learning approaches has been proposed to address the complexity of stock market data and enhance forecasting models' performance (Dael et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023).

Key success factors in stock market forecasting models include the incorporation of multiple factors beyond historical stock index data, such as trading volumes, daily amplitudes, and performances of foreign stock markets (Guan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the fusion of wavelet decomposition and N-BEATS has been suggested to improve stock market forecasting, highlighting the importance of integrating diverse techniques for enhanced predictive capabilities (Singhal et al., 2022). Additionally, the volatility forecasting power of financial network analysis has been shown to be relevant in forecasting volatility in European and Asian stock markets, emphasizing the significance of considering network structures in forecasting models (Magner et al., 2020).

In conclusion, while traditional models have been widely used for stock market forecasting, ML models offer improved accuracy by addressing the complexity of stock market data. ML models, particularly those based on neural networks and advanced techniques like GANs and meta-learning, have shown potential in enhancing forecasting accuracy. Key success factors for stock market forecasting models include the incorporation of diverse factors beyond historical stock index data and the integration of advanced techniques to improve predictive capabilities.

Challenges and Opportunities of Machine Learning for Stock Market Forecasting

Stock market forecasting using machine learning (ML) faces several challenges. One of the critical challenges is the identification of critical features that affect the performance of ML models in achieving accurate stock price predictions (Htun et al., 2023). Additionally, the complexity and uncertainty of stock markets, which are essentially dynamic and nonlinear, pose significant challenges to the forecasting process (Mansor et al., 2019). Furthermore, the difficulty in recognizing biases in securities analysts' forecasts can also impact the accuracy of stock market predictions (Baird, 2019).

Despite the challenges, there are significant opportunities for future research and development in stock market forecasting with ML. The application of ML techniques and algorithms for stock price analysis and forecasting shows great promise (Shah et al., 2019). Researchers have been focusing on adopting ML algorithms to predict stock price trends, indicating a growing interest in this area (Lv et al., 2019). Moreover, the development of novel hybrid ML algorithms presents an opportunity to investigate stock market return forecasting performance (CEMILE & VEDAT, 2022). Additionally, the use of ensemble learning models and deep learning methods provides avenues for improving stock market forecasting accuracy (Liu et al., 2019).

Ethical considerations and potential biases are important aspects to address in stock market forecasting with ML. The relation of stock price to consensus forecast and the difficulty in recognizing biases in securities analysts' forecasts highlight the ethical implications in this domain (Baird, 2019). Addressing these ethical considerations and potential biases is crucial to ensure the reliability and fairness of stock market predictions.

Future Outlook and Emerging Trends for Stock Market Forecasting

The future outlook and emerging trends for stock market forecasting are crucial for investors seeking to maximize profits from the stock market. Various research studies have been conducted to develop advanced techniques and models for forecasting stock market trends. These studies encompass a wide range of methodologies, including text mining of regulatory disclosures (Feuerriegel & Gordon, 2018), deep reinforcement learning-based decision support systems (Ansari et al., 2022), and the integration of sentiment analysis from social media comments. Additionally, the use of multi-source data and tolerance-based multi-agent deep reinforcement learning has been explored for stock market forecasting (Bhuvaneshwari, 2020). Furthermore, the application of neuro-fuzzy systems for stock trend forecasting during turbulent market periods has been investigated (Atsalakis et al., 2015).

Moreover, the role of technical analysis indicators and the use of correlation analysis to significant market events have been highlighted as advantageous tools for forecasting future market trends (Ghamdi, 2019). The integration of machine learning techniques, such as support vector classification and regression, has been explored for predicting the movement direction of stock prices (Li & Liu, 2014). Additionally, the application of fuzzy logic models for forecasting short-term stock market momentum has been proposed (Koirala & Aabhas, 2021).

Furthermore, the use of sentiment analysis and machine learning for predicting stock market price patterns has been suggested as a comprehensive strategy (Jishtu et al., 2022).

These studies collectively indicate a growing interest in leveraging advanced technologies and methodologies, such as deep learning, machine learning, and sentiment analysis, to enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of stock market forecasting. The integration of diverse data sources, including regulatory disclosures, social media sentiment, and multi-source data, reflects a trend towards comprehensive and multi-faceted approaches to stock market forecasting. Additionally, the emphasis on developing models capable of forecasting stock market trends during turbulent market periods underscores the importance of robust and adaptable forecasting techniques.

In conclusion, the future outlook for stock market forecasting is characterized by the emergence of advanced technologies, multi-faceted approaches, and a focus on adaptability to turbulent market conditions. These trends reflect a concerted effort to enhance the accuracy and reliability of stock market forecasting, ultimately providing investors with valuable insights for informed decision-making.

RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review on "Machine Learning for Stock Market Forecasting" has unveiled crucial insights into the landscape of predictive modeling within financial markets. The study scrutinized traditional time-series models such as ARIMA and MACD, highlighting their limitations in capturing the intricacies of dynamic market patterns. Advanced machine learning models, including SVMs, ANNs, and ensemble methods, were explored for their potential in enhancing forecasting accuracy.

Feature selection and engineering emerged as pivotal components, showcasing the significance of incorporating diverse data sources, from financial indicators to sentiment analysis from news and social media. Model evaluation metrics, such as MAE, MSE, and accuracy rates, were employed to rigorously assess the performance of these models. The challenge of overfitting was addressed, emphasizing the importance of employing appropriate strategies to ensure the robustness of predictive models.

For researchers, this review underscores the need for continued exploration into innovative ML models and data sources. The findings accentuate the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, encouraging researchers to integrate financial expertise with machine learning methodologies for more accurate and reliable forecasting. Practitioners in the financial industry stand to gain valuable insights into the strengths and limitations of different models, enabling them to make informed decisions regarding the adoption and customization of ML algorithms. The review emphasizes the potential benefits of incorporating a diverse set of features and alternative data sources, opening new avenues for refining forecasting strategies. Investors are advised to approach machine learning predictions with a nuanced perspective, understanding the strengths and limitations of the models presented. The review serves as a guide for investors to critically evaluate the forecasting methodologies employed by financial institutions and make informed decisions based on the reliability and transparency of the adopted models.

In light of the findings, there is a clear call to action for researchers to delve deeper into the challenges identified, such as overfitting and the need for more diverse and relevant features. Continued exploration of novel data sources, including advancements in natural language processing for sentiment analysis, could significantly contribute to model refinement.

Furthermore, practitioners are encouraged to actively engage in collaborative efforts with the research community to implement and adapt machine learning models in real-world financial scenarios. Transparency and interpretability should remain at the forefront of model development, fostering trust among practitioners and end-users.

In conclusion, this review serves as a foundation for future endeavors in the realm of machine learning for stock market forecasting. By addressing the identified challenges and capitalizing on the opportunities, researchers, practitioners, and investors can collectively contribute to the evolution and enhancement of predictive models, ultimately navigating the complexities of financial markets with greater precision and confidence.

References

Alkhatib, K., Almahmood, M., Elayan, O., & Abualigah, L. (2021). Regional analytics and forecasting for most affected stock markets: the case of GCC stock markets during covid-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Systems Assurance Engineering and Management*, *13*(3), 1298-1308. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-021-01445-9

Almasani, S., Finaev, V., Qaid, W., & Tychinsky, A. (2017). The decision-making model for the stock market under uncertainty. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, *7*(5), 2782. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v7i5.pp2782-2790

Alshraideh, H., & Runger, G. (2013). Process monitoring using hidden markov models. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, *30*(8), 1379-1387. https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.1560

Ansari, Y., Yasmin, S., Naz, S., Zaffar, H., Ali, Z., Moon, J., … & Rho, S. (2022). A deep reinforcement learning-based decision support system for automated stock market trading. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 127469-127501. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3226629

Atsalakis, G., Protopapadakis, E., & Valavanis, K. (2015). Stock trend forecasting in turbulent market periods using neuro-fuzzy systems. *Operational Research*, *16*(2), 245-269. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-015-0197-6

Awe, O., & Dias, R. (2022). Comparative analysis of Arima and artificial neural network techniques for forecasting non-stationary agricultural output time series. *Agris on-Line Papers in Economics and Informatics*, *14*(4), 3-9. https://doi.org/10.7160/aol.2022.140401

Baird, P. (2019). Do investors recognize biases in securities analysts' forecasts?. *Review of Financial Economics*, *38*(4), 623-634. https://doi.org/10.1002/rfe.1094

Bhuvaneshwari, C. (2020). Stock market forecasting from multi-source data using tolerance based multi-agent deep reinforcement learning. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, *9*(3), 3492-3499. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.c6293.029320

Bulut, C., & Hüdaverdi, B. (2022). Hybrid approaches in financial time series forecasting: a stock market application. *Ekoist Journal of Econometrics and Statistics*, *0*(37), 53-68. https://doi.org/10.26650/ekoist.2022.37.1108411

Cai, X., Niu, Y., Geng, S., Zhang, J., Li, J., & Chen, J. (2019). An under-sampled software defect prediction method based on hybrid multi-objective cuckoo search. *Concurrency and Computation Practice and Experience*, *32*(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.5478

Cemile, O., & Vedat, S. (2022). Forecasting bist100 and Nasdaq indices with single and hybrid machine learning algorithms. *Economic Computation and Economic Cybernetics Studies and Research*, *56*(3/2022), 235-250. https://doi.org/10.24818/18423264/56.3.22.15

Demirer, R., Gupta, R., & Pierdzioch, C. (2020). Forecasting realized stock-market volatility: do industry returns have predictive value?. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3744537

Demšar, J., & Zupan, B. (2021). Hands-on training about overfitting. *PLOS Computational Biology*, *17*(3), e1008671. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008671

Doryab, B., & Salehi, M. (2018). Modeling and forecasting abnormal stock returns using the nonlinear gray Bernoulli model. *Journal of Economics Finance and Administrative Science*, *23*(44), 95-112. https://doi.org/10.1108/jefas-06-2017-0075

Dyakova, A., & Smith, G. (2013). Bulgarian stock market relative predictability: Bse-Sofia Stocks and South East European markets. *Applied Financial Economics*, *23*(15), 1257-1271. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603107.2013.802089

Ewim, D.R.E., Okwu, M.O., Onyiriuka, E.J., Abiodun, A.S., Abolarin, S.M., & Kaood, A. (2021). A quick review of the applications of artificial neural networks (ANN) in the modelling of thermal systems.

Feuerriegel, S., & Gordon, J. (2018). Long-term stock index forecasting based on text mining of regulatory disclosures. *Decision Support Systems*, *112*, 88-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2018.06.008

Fraz, T., Fatima, S., & Uddin, M. (2022). Modeling and forecasting stock market volatility of CPEC founding countries: using nonlinear time series and machine learning models. *Journal of Independent Studies and Research Management Social Science and Economics*, *20*(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.31384/jisrmsse/2022.20.1.1

Ghamdi, M. (2019). The role of technical analysis indicators over equity market (nomu) with R programing language. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *10*(6). https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2019.0100660

Guidi, F., Gupta, R., & Maheshwari, S. (2011). Weak-form market efficiency and calendar anomalies for eastern Europe equity markets. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance*, *10*(3), 337-389. https://doi.org/10.1177/097265271101000304

Gumus, A., & Sakar, C. (2021). Stock market prediction by combining stock price information and sentiment analysis. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Pure Sciences*, *33*(1), 18-27. https://doi.org/10.7240/jeps.683952

Guo, Z., Wang, H., Liu, Q., & Yang, J. (2014). A feature fusion based forecasting model for financial time series. *Plos One*, *9*(6), e101113. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101113

Htun, H., Biehl, M., & Petkov, N. (2023). Survey of feature selection and extraction techniques for stock market prediction. *Financial Innovation*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-022-00441-7

Hu, Z., Liu, W., Bian, J., Liu, X., & Liu, T. (2018). Listening to chaotic whispers. https://doi.org/10.1145/3159652.3159690

Janabi, M. (2021). Optimization algorithms and investment portfolio analytics with machine learning techniques under time-varying liquidity constraints. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, *17*(3), 864-895. https://doi.org/10.1108/jm2-10-2020-0259

Jishtu, P., Prajapati, H., & Fiaidhi, J. (2022). Prediction of the stock market based on machine learning and sentiment analysis. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.21692852

Kambeu, E. (2019). Trading volume as a predictor of market movement. *International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies (2147-4486)*, *8*(2), 57-69. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijfbs.v8i2.177

Kandananond, K. (2011). Forecasting electricity demand in Thailand with an artificial neural network approach. *Energies*, *4*(8), 1246-1257. https://doi.org/10.3390/en4081246

Kara, Y., Boyacıoğlu, M., & Baykan, Ö. (2011). Predicting direction of stock price index movement using artificial neural networks and support vector machines: the sample of the istanbul stock exchange. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *38*(5), 5311-5319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.10.027

Kelotra, A., & Pandey, P. (2020). Stock market prediction using optimized deep-convlstm model. *Big Data*, *8*(1), 5-24. https://doi.org/10.1089/big.2018.0143

Khedr, A., Salama, S., & Yaseen, N. (2017). Predicting stock market behavior using data mining technique and news sentiment analysis. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications*, *9*(7), 22-30. https://doi.org/10.5815/ijisa.2017.07.03

Kimura, M., &Izawa, R. (2020). Density fixing: simple yet effective regularization method based on the class prior. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2007.03899

Koirala, J., & Aabhas, S. (2021). Forecasting stock market momentum in Nepal: application of fuzzy logic model. *International Research Journal of Management Science*, *6*(1), 17-28. https://doi.org/10.3126/irjms.v6i1.42335

Kotsilieris, T., Anagnostopoulos, I., & Livieris, I. (2022). Special issue: regularization techniques for machine learning and their applications. *Electronics*, *11*(4), 521. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11040521

Kuosmanen, P., & Vataja, J. (2011). The role of stock markets vs. the term spread in forecasting macrovariables in Finland. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, *51*(2), 124-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2011.01.005

Li, W., & Huang, S. (2021). Research on the prediction method of stock price based on rbf neural network optimization algorithm. *E3s Web of Conferences*, *235*, 03088. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202123503088

Li, X., & Liu, P. (2014). Prediction of the moving direction of google inc. stock price using support vector classification and regression. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, *6*(1), 323. https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v6i1.5485

Lin, Z., Tian, F., & Zhang, W. (2022). Evaluation and analysis of an LSTM and GRU based stock investment strategy. 1615-1626. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-052-7_179

Liu, J., Lin, C., & Chao, F. (2019). Gradient boost with convolution neural network for stock forecast. 155-165. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29933-0_13

Lv, D., Yuan, S., Li, M., & Xiang, Y. (2019). An empirical study of machine learning algorithms for stock daily trading strategy. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, *2019*, 1-30. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7816154

Mansor, R., Zaini, B., & Yusof, N. (2019). Prediction stock price movement using subsethood and weighted subsethood fuzzy time series models. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5121123

Mason, K., & Roach, D. (2001). Multidimensional measures of consumer rating accuracy. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, *9*(1), 14-23. https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2001.11501882

Mouchou, R., Laseinde, T., Jen, T.C., & Ukoba, K. (2021). Developments in the Application of Nano Materials for Photovoltaic Solar Cell Design, Based on Industry 4.0 Integration Scheme. In *Advances in Artificial Intelligence, Software and Systems Engineering: Proceedings of the AHFE 2021 Virtual Conferences on Human Factors in Software and Systems Engineering, Artificial Intelligence and Social Computing, and Energy, July 25-29, 2021, USA* (pp. 510-521). Springer International Publishing.

Murphy, K., & Balzer, W. (1989). Rater errors and rating accuracy. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 74*(4), 619-624. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.74.4.619

Nishi, K., Ding, Y., Rich, A., & Höllerer, T. (2021). Improving label noise robustness with data augmentation and semi-supervised learning (student abstract). *Proceedings of the Aaai Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, *35*(18), 15855-15856. https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i18.17924

Odeleye, D.A., & Adeigbe, Y.K. eds. (2018). *Girl-child Education and Women Empowerment for Sustainable Development: A Book of Readings: in Honour of Dr Mrs Oyebola Ayeni*. College Press & Publishers, Lead City University.

Omar, A., Huang, S., Salameh, A., Khurram, H., & Fareed, M. (2022). Stock market forecasting using the random forest and deep neural network models before and during the covid-19 period. *Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.917047

Orth, W. (2012). The predictive accuracy of credit ratings: measurement and statistical inference. *International Journal of Forecasting*, *28*(1), 288-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2011.07.004

Pathak, A., & Pathak, S. (2020). Study of machine learning algorithms for stock market prediction. *International Journal of Engineering Research*, *V9*(06). https://doi.org/10.17577/ijertv9is060064

Santoso, M., Sutjiadi, R., & Lim, R. (2018). Indonesian stock prediction using support vector machine (svm). *Matec Web of Conferences*, *164*, 01031. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201816401031

Saud, A., & Shakya, S. (2021). Analysis of l2 regularization hyper parameter for stock price prediction. *Journal of Institute of Science and Technology*, *26*(1), 83-88. https://doi.org/10.3126/jist.v26i1.37830

Shah, D., Isah, H., & Zulkernine, F. (2019). Stock market analysis: a review and taxonomy of prediction techniques. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, *7*(2), 26. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs7020026

Sheu, S., Lin, C., Lu, S., Tsai, H., & Yanchun, C. (2017). Forecasting the volatility of a combined multi-country stock index using GWMA algorithms. *Expert Systems*, *35*(3). https://doi.org/10.1111/exsy.12248

Smith, G., & Dyakova, A. (2013). African stock markets: efficiency and relative predictability. *South African Journal of Economics*, *82*(2), 258-275. https://doi.org/10.1111/saje.12009

Soni, P., Tewari, Y., & Krishnan, D. (2022). Machine learning approaches in stock price prediction: a systematic review. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, *2161*(1), 012065. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2161/1/012065v

Srivastava, A., Srivastava, A., Singh, S., Sugandha, S., & Gupta, S. (2021). Design of machine-learning classifier for stock market prediction. *SN Computer Science*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00970-5

Su, Z., & Yi, B. (2022). Research on hmm-based efficient stock price prediction. *Mobile Information Systems*, *2022*, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8124149

Wang, Q., Kang, K., Zhihan, Z., & Cao, D. (2021). Application of LSTM and conv1d network in stock forecasting model. *Artificial Intelligence Advances*, *3*(1), 36-43. https://doi.org/10.30564/aia.v3i1.2790

Xie, L., & Yu, S. (2021). Unsupervised feature extraction with convolutional autoencoder with application to daily stock market prediction. *Concurrency and Computation Practice and Experience*, *33*(16). https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.6282

Yahaya, A., Etuk, E., & Amos, E. (2021). Comparative performance of arima and garch model in forecasting crude oil price data. *Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 251-275. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajpas/2021/v15i430378

Yao, W., Zhang, Y., Chang, S., Li, J., Zhao, Q., & Ge, F. (2022). Stock price analysis and forecasting based on machine learning. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2662176

Yeom, S., Giacomelli, I., Fredrikson, M., & Jha, S. (2018). Privacy risk in machine learning: analyzing the connection to overfitting. https://doi.org/10.1109/csf.2018.00027

Yu, Q., Jibin, L., & Jiang, L. (2016). An improved arima-based traffic anomaly detection algorithm for wireless sensor networks. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, *12*(1), 9653230. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9653230

Zhang, F., Petersen, M., Johnson, L., Hall, J., & O'Bryant, S. (2022). Combination of serum and plasma biomarkers could improve prediction performance for Alzheimer's disease. *Genes*, *13*(10), 1738. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13101738

Zhang, J., & Lei, Y. (2022). Deep reinforcement learning for stock prediction. *Scientific Programming*, *2022*, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5812546