PySpark for Time Series Analysis

David Palaitis
Two Sigma Investments



About Me





Important Legal Information

The information presented here is offered for recruiting purposes only and should not be used for any other purpose (including, without limitation, the making of investment decisions). Examples provided herein are for illustrative purposes only and are not necessarily based on actual data. Nothing herein constitutes an offer to sell or the solicitation of any offer to buy any security or other interest. We consider this information to be confidential and not for redistribution or dissemination. Some of the images, logos or other material used herein may be protected by copyright and/or trademark. If so, such copyrights and/or trademarks are most likely owned by the entity that created the material and are used purely for identification and comment as fair use under international copyright and/or trademark laws. Use of such image, copyright or trademark does not imply any association with such organization (or endorsement of such organization) by Two Sigma, nor vice versa.




TWO SIGMA




Time Series

An ordered sequence of values of a variable






Time Series Analysis






Time Series Analysis






Time Series Analysis





Time Series at Two Sigma











Let's start from the beginning ...



Resilient Distributed Datasets: A Fault-Tolerant Abstraction for In-Memory Cluster Computing

Matei Zaharia, Mosharaf Chowdhury, Tathagata Das, Ankur Dave, Justin Ma, Murphy McCauley, Michael J. Franklin, Scott Shenker, Ion Stoica University of California, Berkeley

Abstract

We present Resilient Distributed Datasets (RDDs), a distributed memory abstraction that lets programmers perform in-memory computations on large clusters in a fault-tolerant manner. RDDs are motivated by two types of applications that current computing frameworks handle inefficiently: iterative algorithms and interactive data mining tools. In both cases, keeping data in memory can improve performance by an order of magnitude. To achieve fault tolerance efficiently, RDDs provide a restricted form of shared memory, based on coarsegrained transformations rather than fine-grained updates to shared state. However, we show that RDDs are expressive enough to capture a wide class of computations, including recent specialized programming models for iterative jobs, such as Pregel, and new applications that these models do not capture. We have implemented RDDs in a system called Spark, which we evaluate through a variety of user applications and benchmarks.

1 Introduction

Cluster computing frameworks like MapReduce [10] and Dryad [19] have been widely adopted for large-scale data analytics. These systems let users write parallel computations using a set of high-level operators, without having to worry about work distribution and fault tolerance.

Although current frameworks provide numerous abstractions for accessing a cluster's computational resources, they lack abstractions for leveraging distributed memory. This makes them inefficient for an important class of emerging applications: those that reuse intermediate results across multiple computations. Data reuse is common in many iterative machine learning and graph algorithms, including PageRank, K-means clustering, and logistic regression. Another compelling use case is interactive data mining, where a user runs multiple adhoc queries on the same subset of the data. Unfortunately, in most current frameworks, the only way to reuse data between computations (e.g., between two MapReduce jobs) is to write it to an external stable storage system, e.g., a distributed file system. This incurs substantial overheads due to data replication, disk I/O, and serialization, which can dominate application execution times.

Recognizing this problem, researchers have developed specialized frameworks for some applications that require data reuse. For example, Pregel [22] is a system for iterative graph computations that keeps intermediate data in memory, while Hal.cop [7] offers an iterative MapReduce interface. However, these frameworks only support specific computation patterns (e.g., looping a series of MapReduce steps), and perform data sharing implicitly for these patterns. They do not provide abstractions for more general reuse, e.g., to let a user load several datasets into memory and run ad-hoc queries across them.

In this paper, we propose a new abstraction called resilient distributed datasets (RDDs) that enables efficient data reuse in a broad range of applications. RDDs are fault-tolerant, parallel data structures that let users explicitly persist intermediate results in memory, control their partitioning to optimize data placement, and manipulate them using a rich set of operators.

The main challenge in designing RDDs is defining a programming interface that can provide fault tolerance efficiently. Existing abstractions for in-memory storage on clusters, such as distributed shared memory [24], key-value stores [25], databases, and Piccolo [27], offer an interface based on fine-grained updates to mutable state (e.g., cells in a table). With this interface, the only ways to provide fault tolerance are to replicate the data across machines or to log updates across machines. Both approaches are expensive for data-intensive workloads, as they require copying large amounts of data over the cluster network, whose bandwidth is far lower than that of RAM, and they incur substantial storage overhead.

In contrast to these systems, RDDs provide an interface based on coarse-grained transformations (e.g., map, filter and join) that apply the same operation to many data items. This allows them to efficiently provide fault tolerance by logging the transformations used to build a dataset (its lineage) rather than the actual data. If a partition of an RDD is lost, the RDD has enough information about how it was derived from other RDDs to recompute



¹Checkpointing the data in some RDDs may be useful when a lineage chain grows large, however, and we discuss how to do it in §5.4.

RDD



RDD[T]



 $flatMap(f:T \rightarrow Seq[U]):RDD[T] \rightarrow RDD[U]$



 $flatMap(f:T \rightarrow Seq[U]):RDD[T] \rightarrow RDD[U]$



 $flatMap(f:T \rightarrow Seq[U]):RDD[T] \rightarrow RDD[U]$



 $reduce(f:(T,T) \rightarrow T):RDD[T] \rightarrow T$




 $reduce(f:(T,T) \rightarrow T):RDD[T] \rightarrow T$



 $reduce(f:(T,T) \rightarrow T):RDD[T] \rightarrow T$



Examples!





What's Missing?



You can't even do "Word Count"



PairRDD:RDD[(K,V)]



PairRDD:RDD[(K,V)]

*K has an equivalence relation



PairRDD: RDD[(K, V)]

*K has an equivalence relation.



 $groupByKey():RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,Seq[V])]$



 $groupByKey():RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,Seq[V])]$



 $reduceByKey(f:(V,V) \rightarrow V):RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,V)]$



 $reduceByKey(f:(V,V) \rightarrow V):RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,V)]$



 $reduceByKey(f:(V,V) \rightarrow V):RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,V)]$




"Word Count"!



What's missing? Time.




Windowed Aggregations





Temporal Joins





$TimeSeriesRDD:RDD[(K^*,V)]$



$TimeSeriesRDD:RDD[(K^*,V)]$

 st K has a natural ordering and is defined in a metric space.



$TimeSeriesRDD:RDD[(K^*,V)]$

*K has a natural ordering and is defined in a metric space.



groupByWindow(w): $RDD[(K, V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K, SEQ(V))]$



groupByWindow(w): $RDD[(K,V)] \rightarrow RDD[(K,Seq[V])]$

w is a window specification e.g. 500ms, 5s, 3 business days



 $reduceByWindow(f: (V, V) \Rightarrow V, w): RDD[(K, W)] \Rightarrow RDD[(K, V)]$



reduceByWindow(f: $(V, V) \Rightarrow V, w$): RDD[(K, V)] \Rightarrow RDD[(K, V)]










Getting Started ...





```
In [ ]: from ts.flint import FlintContext
from ts.flint import TimeSeriesDataFrame
from ts.flint import summarizers, windows
```






```
In [40]: leftTsDf.show()
        rightTsDf.show()
        +----+
        |time|quote|
           0 |
               0.0
               1.0
               2.0
           2
            3 |
               3.0
               4.0
        +----+
        |time|trade|
               0.0
           0 |
           2 2.0
           3 3.0
```









Looking ahead.



Thank You.

Find me after the talk to see Flint in action.

