News 316Q6 - Session 1		1/26/20
Into 3 Course Background		and the second s
- "modular" structure of proba		and the second s
4 dry & play pieces	,	article and other constitutions and all the constitutions and an article constitutions.
Frame unde for themein		
- this course is just a stor	ting point - intro	duce the main components
-TODO YW ocosions:		
1. reading book ? pape 2. exprises ? "breig w		re to use "Perusal" wewapp
3 complete quiz by noe		(diagnostic)
	francisco de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya	
Modeling - build a model for p(y/x)		and the second second
- build a model for p(y/x)	o of the grant and the state of	
4 "statistical encodin	y model"	and a land of the same of the
- latent variable model	(lower dimensional 1	ate-t representation)
- w/ unknown volidible	2 believed to exis	t : ρ(4/2)ρ(2)
- can extend to time	p(ye zt)p(zt)	2/-1) "latent dynamics"
Model desiderates		
fitability/tractobility		richness/flexibility
"linear Gawssian" GLMs"	" neuveu state" space models	multi-comportment" 10K
		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	god of this	not generally feasible

Bayesian recap

- 1. prob 3 prob. models
- 2. inference of prob. models
- 3. model comparison
- 1. Probability 13 Probabilistic Models
 - stimulus x : response y
- wont a parametri distribution to describe p(y | x)

Poisson Poisson
$$(y | \lambda(x))$$
 prometers $\lambda(x)$

Gaussin
$$N(y|\mu(\lambda), \Gamma^2(\lambda))$$
 $\mu(x), \sigma^2(x)$

- prob dist: a fix that maps from x to a probability
 - p(X=x) = p(x) is the prob. that v.v. X is x
- for a PDF, $\rho(x) \in [0, \infty]$ > * impertant distinction

 PMF, $\rho(x) \in [0, 1]$
- transforming b/w two r.v.

$$\begin{cases} f(x) \\ dy \end{cases}$$

$$\rho(x) dx = \rho(y) dy$$

$$\rho(y) = \rho(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|$$

$$\rho(y) = \rho(x) \left| \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \right|$$

3

Probabilities marginal across y

$$x = p(x) = p(x|y=0) + p(x|y=1)$$

$$0 \frac{1}{0.1} = \frac{1}{0.2}$$
e.g. $p(x) = p(x|y=0) + p(x|y=1)$

$$\frac{1}{0.3} = \frac{1}{0.4} = \frac{1}$$

independence : ex:
$$p(y|x) = p(y)$$
 then y is indep. of x x i y are independent if: $p(x,y) = p(x)p(y) \leftarrow \text{"product rule"}$

-Bayes mult : p(y|x)p(x)p(y)

normalize to $\Sigma \rightarrow 1$; morginal likelihood

basic consequence of standard rules of prob.

- Independent ! Identically distributed data (i.i.d data)

- one duta point doesn't affect another
- data some from the same generative dist
- 2. Inference w/ popoasilistic models
- max. likelihood estimate (MLE)
 - find parameters that make the observed data most likely
 - maximizes the likelihood
 - pros:
 - · consistent i converge · efficient to compute

· noisey for little data

no estimate of uncertainty

- Bayesian inference

most likely model povams: p(parame, data)

$$\rho(\theta|y) = \frac{\rho(y|\theta)\rho(\theta)}{\rho(y)} \propto \rho(y|\theta)\rho(\theta)$$

 $p(\theta)$: prior belief of pros. of parans θ $p(y|\theta)$: likelihood of data y given parans θ $p(\theta|y)$: posterior pros. of parans θ given the data observed y

- maximum a-posteriori inference (MAP)

- similar to MLE except maximizing p(Oly) & p(O) p(y10)
 - · same as MLE w/ p(A) as

- fos;

· prior helps regularize · can include prior info

not accurate, anymous

gawathu $(y|x,\theta)$ $(y|x,\theta)$

methods of inference: Pull Bayesian, MAP, MLE

3. Model Comparison

- Bayesian decision theory

posterior prob \rightarrow decision $L(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$: ross function; cost of choosing $\hat{\theta}$ if θ is the real value

$$\hat{\Theta}_{L} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\hat{\Theta}} \int L(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) p(\Theta | x, y) d\Theta$$

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{\hat{\Phi}} E[L(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) | x, y]$$