

Logic Coverage

Active Clause Coverage

CS 3250

Software Testing

[Ammann and Offutt, “Introduction to Software Testing,” Ch. 8]

Active Clause Coverage (ACC)

- From the testing perspective, we would test each clause under circumstances where the clause determines the predicate

For each p in P and each major clause c_i in C_p , choose minor clauses c_j , $j \neq i$, so that c_i determines p . TR has two requirements for each c_i : c_i evaluates to true and c_i evaluates to false.

- Steps:
 - Analyze determination, i.e., making the clause active
 - Derive test requirements that evaluates the major clause to true and false
- This is a form of “**Modified Condition Decision Coverage**” (MCDC), which is required by the US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for safety critical avionics software

ACC Example

$$p = a \vee b$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_a &= p_{a=\text{true}} \oplus p_{a=\text{false}} \\ &= (\text{true} \vee b) \oplus (\text{false} \vee b) \\ &= \text{true} \oplus b \\ &= \neg b \end{aligned}$$

For clause a, a determines p if and only if b is false

Thus, we have two test requirements $\{(a=\text{true}, b = \text{false}), (a=\text{false}, b = \text{false})\}$

$$\begin{aligned} p_b &= p_{b=\text{true}} \oplus p_{b=\text{false}} \\ &= (a \vee \text{true}) \oplus (a \vee \text{false}) \\ &= \text{true} \oplus a \\ &= \neg a \end{aligned}$$

For clause b, b determines p if and only if a is false

Thus, we have two test requirements $\{(a=\text{false}, b = \text{true}), (a=\text{false}, b = \text{false})\}$

$$\text{TR} = \{(a=\text{true}, b = \text{false}), (a=\text{false}, b = \text{false}), (a=\text{false}, b = \text{true}), \text{~~(a=false, b=false)~~}\}$$

- Overlap is common
- $n \leq \text{number test requirements} \leq 2n$, where n = number clauses

Ambiguity in ACC

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

$$p_a = p_{a=\text{true}} \oplus p_{a=\text{false}}$$

$$= (\text{true} \wedge (b \vee c)) \oplus (\text{false} \wedge (b \vee c))$$

$$= (b \vee c) \oplus \text{false}$$

$$= b \vee c$$

$a = T, a = F, \{ TT, TF, FT \}$ -- is this allowed?

- Do the minor clauses have to have the **same values** when the major clause is true and false?

This leads to 3 separate criteria:

1. Minor clauses **do not** need to be the same (**GACC**)
2. Minor clauses **must be** the same (**RACC**)
3. Minor clauses **force the predicate** to become both true and false (**CACC**)

General Active Clause Coverage (GACC)


- *For each major clause c , choose minor clauses such that c determines the predicate*
- *Clause c has to evaluate to true and false*
- *Minor clauses do not need to be the same*

- Allow minor clauses to have different values
- Possible to satisfy GACC without satisfying predicate coverage
- We really want to cause predicates to be both true and false

GACC Example

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

Major clause: **a**



row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T	T	T		
2	T	T		T	T	T	
3	T		T	T	T		T
4	T					T	T
5		T	T		T		
6		T			T		
7			T		T		
8							

$$p_a = T$$
$$a = T$$

Rows:
1, 2, 3

$$p_a = T$$
$$a = F$$

Rows:
5, 6, 7

Set of possible test requirements:

$\{(1,5), (1,6), (1,7), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), (3,5), (3,6), (3,7)\}$

GACC Example

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

Major clause: **b**

row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T	T	T		
2	T	T		T	T	T	
3	T		T	T	T		T
4	T					T	T
5		T	T		T		
6		T			T		
7			T		T		
8							

$$p_b = T$$
$$b = T$$

Rows:
2

$$p_b = T$$
$$b = F$$

Rows:
4

Set of possible test requirements:

$\{(2,4)\}$

GACC Example

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

Major clause: **c**

row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T	T	T		
2	T	T		T	T	T	
3	T		T	T	T		T
4	T					T	T
5		T	T		T		
6		T			T		
7			T		T		
8							

$$p_c = T$$
$$c = T$$

Rows:
3

$$p_c = T$$
$$c = F$$

Rows:
4

Set of possible test requirements:

$\{(3,4)\}$

GACC Example

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

Set of possible test requirements:

Major clause a: $\{(1,5), (1,6), (1,7), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), (3,5), (3,6), (3,7)\}$

Major clause b: $\{(2,4)\}$

Major clause c: $\{(3,4)\}$

Give **GACC-adequate test** set (= **minimal test set that satisfies GACC**)

Based on the possible test requirements, select a **smallest combination pairs** (one for each clause)

$(2, 5), (2, 4), (3, 4)$

This means, we need at least 4 tests (i.e., test inputs) -- rows 2, 3, 4, 5

GACC-adequate test set = $\{ 2, 3, 4, 5 \}$

GACC Does Not Subsume PC

$$p = (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (a \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg a \wedge c)$$

Major clause: **a**

row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T		T		T
2	T	T		T	T		T
3	T		T		T		T
4	T			T			T
5		T	T	T	T		T
6		T			T	T	T
7			T	T	T		
8				T		T	

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = T$$

Rows:
1, 2, 3

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = F$$

Rows:
5, 6, 7

Set of possible test requirements:

$\{(1,5), (1,6), (1,7), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), (3,5), (3,6), (3,7)\}$

Restricted Active Clause Coverage (RACC)


- *For each major clause c , choose minor clauses such that c determines the predicate*
- *Clause c has to evaluate to true and false*
- *Predicate p has to evaluate to true and false*
- *Minor clauses must be the same*

- This has been a common interpretation by aviation developers
- RACC often leads to infeasible test requirements
- Stricter version of CACC – requires the same minor clause
- There is no logical reason for such a restriction

RACC Example

$$p = (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (a \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg a \wedge c)$$

Major clause: **a**



row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T		T		T
2	T	T		T	T		T
3	T		T		T		T
4	T			T			T
5		T	T	T	T		T
6		T			T	T	T
7			T	T	T		
8				T		T	

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = T$$

Rows:
1, 2, 3

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = F$$

Rows:
5, 6, 7

$$P = T, F$$

Same
minor
clauses

Set of possible test requirements:

$$\{(1,5), (2,6), (3,7)\}$$

Then, derive tests for clauses b and c

Correlated Active Clause Coverage (CACC)


- *For each major clause c , choose minor clauses such that c determines the predicate*
- *Clause c has to evaluate to true and false*
- *Predicate p has to evaluate to true and false*
- *Minor clauses do not need to be the same*

- A more recent interpretation
- Implicitly allows minor clauses to have different values
- Explicitly satisfies (subsumes) predicate coverage
- Stricter version of GACC – adds PC requirement to GACC

CACC Example

$$p = (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (a \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg a \wedge c)$$

Major clause: **a**



row	a	b	c	p	p _a	p _b	p _c
1	T	T	T		T		T
2	T	T		T	T		T
3	T		T		T		T
4	T			T			T
5		T	T	T	T		T
6		T			T	T	T
7			T	T	T		
8				T		T	

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = T$$

Rows:
1, 2, 3

$$p_a = T$$

$$a = F$$

Rows:
5, 6, 7

$$P = T, F$$

Set of possible test requirements:

$\{(1,5), (1,7), (2,6), (3,5), (3,7)\}$

Then, derive tests for clauses b and c

CACC vs. RACC

- What is the difference between CACC and RACC?
 - RACC imposes more constraints on the truth values of minor clauses
 - RACC requires the the truth values for minor clauses are consistent between the two requirements
- In practice?
 - There are fewer possible truth table row combinations that satisfy RACC
 - RACC leads to more infeasible test requirements than CACC

RACC Leads to Infeasible Requirements

- If clauses are independent, there is no problem
- If clauses are dependent, some combination of clauses become infeasible

Suppose a program has

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \text{valve is closed} \text{ AND } (\text{system is in operational mode} \\ &\quad \text{OR } \text{system is in standby mode}) \\ &= a \wedge (b \vee c) \end{aligned}$$

Three clauses:

- a = the valve is closed
- b = the system mode is operational
- c = the system mode is standby

Assume the following constraints:

- A valve must be open in “operational” mode and closed in all other modes
- Mode cannot be in both “operational” and “standby” at the same time

Constraints: $\neg a \leftrightarrow b$
 $\neg(b \wedge c)$

CACC and RACC – Infeasible TRs

$$p = a \wedge (b \vee c)$$

Constraints: $\neg a \leftrightarrow b$
 $\neg(b \wedge c)$

row	a	b	c	p	Constraint violations
1	T	T	T	T	1,2
2	T	T	F	T	1
3	T	F	T	T	
4	T	F	F	F	
5	F	T	T	F	2
6	F	T	F	F	
7	F	F	T	F	1
8	F	F	F	F	1

CACC: out of $\{1,2,3\} \times \{5,6,7\}$, only (3,6) is feasible

RACC: out of (1,5), (2,6), (3,7), none are feasible

Summary

- A clause is **active** if the other clauses have values that allow the active clause to determine the value of the predicate
- **Active Clause Coverage (ACC)** requires each clause to be made active, and then true and then false
- ACC comes with three different possible interpretations
 - **General** Active Clause Coverage (GACC)
 - **Correlated** Active Clause Coverage (CACC)
 - **Restricted** Active Clause Coverage (RACC)

