

# HOMEWORK 1

(I) Representations: variants du bayesian naïf

① - a priori distributions are not uniform.

-  $P(y) \sim \text{Bernoulli}$

$$h(x) \propto \argmax_y \int_{\theta} p(X|Y; \theta) p(\theta | \alpha) p(\alpha) p(y; \alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\int B_y^x (1-B_y)^{1-x} \prod_{h=0}^{\alpha_h = \gamma_{h_1}} \beta_h^{\alpha_h} (1-\beta_h)^{\gamma_{h_1} - \alpha_h} \beta_h^{\alpha_{h_0}} (1-\beta_h)^{\gamma_{h_1} - \alpha_{h_0}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+ \delta)}{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\gamma)} \mathcal{L}^y (1-\alpha)^{1-y} d\beta$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+ \delta)}{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\gamma)} \mathcal{L}^y (1-\alpha)^{1-y} \int B_y^{x+\gamma_{h_1}+\delta-1} (1-B_y)^{-x+\gamma_{h_1}+\delta} \prod_{h \neq y} \beta_h^{\alpha_{h_1}+\gamma_{h_1}-1} (1-\beta_h)^{\gamma_{h_1}-\alpha_{h_1}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x+\gamma_{h_1}+\delta) \Gamma(\gamma_{h_1}+\delta+1-x)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{h_1}+\gamma_{h_1}+\gamma_{h_0}+\delta+1)} \prod_{h=y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{h_1}+\delta) \Gamma(\alpha_{h_0}+\delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{h_1}+\alpha_{h_0}+\delta+\delta)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+ \delta)}{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\gamma)} \mathcal{L}^y (1-\alpha)^{1-y} \left[ \frac{(\alpha_{h_1}+\delta)^x \Gamma(\alpha_{h_1}+\delta) (\alpha_{h_0}+\delta)^{1-x} \Gamma(\alpha_{h_0}+\delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{h_1}+\gamma_{h_1}+\gamma_{h_0}+\delta+1)} \right]$$

①

## Explication de la démarche:

loi à priori conjuguée:

Si  $p(\sigma)$  est choisi de façon à ce que  $p(\sigma|\mathcal{C})p(\sigma)$  et  $p(\sigma)$  aient la même forme alors  $p(\sigma)$  loi à priori conjuguée

$$\arg\max_y p(x^*, y | \mathcal{C}) = \arg\max_y \int_{\sigma} p(x^*, y, \sigma | \mathcal{C}) d\sigma$$

$$= \arg\max_y \int_{\sigma} p(x, y | \sigma) p(\sigma | \mathcal{C}) d\sigma$$

$$= \arg\max_{y=y_1, \dots, y_n} \int_{\sigma} p(x | y, \sigma) p(y) p(\sigma, \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \arg\max_y \int_{\sigma} p(x | y, \sigma) p(\sigma | \mathcal{C}) d\sigma$$

~~$$p(\hat{\sigma}) = \arg\max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} p(\mathcal{C} | \sigma) \sigma(B | \mathcal{C})$$~~

~~$$\hat{\sigma} = \arg\max$$~~

②

① Estimateur ML:

$$p(x, \sigma) = \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!} \prod_{i=1}^{x_1} p_1^{x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{x_2} p_2^{x_2} \dots \prod_{i=1}^{x_n} p_n^{x_n} \text{ et } \sum_{m=1}^n p_m = 1$$

②



$$P(\sigma, \theta) = \prod_{d=1}^{n_d} l_{x_d}! \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \frac{p_{w, x_d}}{x_{wd}!} = \prod_{d=1}^{n_d} l_{x_d}! \left( \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \frac{p_{w, x_d}}{x_{wd}!} \right)$$

$$f(\theta) = \log P(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{d=1}^{n_d} \log(l_{x_d}!) + \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} \sum_{d=1}^{n_d} x_{wd} \log(p_{w, x_d}) - \log(x_{wd}!)$$

$$\hat{f}(\theta) = f(\theta) - \lambda \left( 1 - \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} p_w \right)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{f}}{dp_w} = \sum_d \left[ \frac{x_{wd}}{p_w} - \lambda \right] = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{f}}{d\lambda} = 1 - \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} p_w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\Rightarrow \sum_{d=1}^{n_d} x_{wd} = p_w \lambda \sum_{d=1}^{n_d} x_{wd} \\ (2) &\Rightarrow \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} p_w = 1 \end{aligned}$$

On reject  $p_w = \frac{\sum_d x_{wd}}{\sum_d l_{x_d}}$

## 2. MAP

partition

$$P(\theta | x) = P(x | \theta) P(\theta) \propto P(x | \theta) \ell(\theta)$$

prior

$$\theta = (p_1, \dots, p_K) \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^K p_h = 1, \quad \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$P(\theta | \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\prod_{i=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_i)} p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_K^{\alpha_K} \quad \log(P(\theta | \alpha)) = \log \Gamma(\alpha_0) - \sum_{i=1}^K \log \Gamma(\alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \log p_i \quad (3)$$

$$A = (P(B/\alpha) \prod_{w=1}^{m_w} \prod_{d=1}^{m_d} P(x_{wd} | \theta))$$

$$P(\hat{\theta}) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} P(\mathcal{C} | \theta) \alpha(\theta | \alpha)$$

$$= \max_{\theta \in \Theta} A$$

$$\hat{\theta} \propto \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_d \sum_{m_d} \log P(x_{wd} | \theta) + \log P(B/\alpha)$$

3. log predictive

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_d \log(f_{x_d, \lambda}) + \left[ \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} x_{wd} \log \theta_w - \log(x_{wd}!) \right] - \log M(\alpha) - \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} M(\alpha_w) + \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} (d_w - 1) \log \theta_w + \lambda \left( 1 - \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} \theta_w \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_w} = \sum_d \left( \frac{x_{dw}}{\theta_w} - 1 \right) + \frac{d_w - 1}{\theta_w} = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} \theta_w \right) \lambda = \left( \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} d_w \right) - \sum_{w=1}^{m_w} x_{wd}$$

(4)



$$\frac{\partial \hat{\ell}(\beta)}{\partial \sigma} = \sum_j \left( 1 - \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} \beta w \right) = 0$$

$$= \sigma_j \left( 1 - \sum_{w=1}^{n_w} \beta w \right) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\lambda} = \sigma_0 - \sigma_w + \sum_j \beta x_{jd} \\ \text{Lagrange multiplier} \\ \beta_w = \frac{\sigma_w - 1 + \sum_j x_{jd}}{\sigma_0 - \sigma_w + \sum_j \beta x_{jd}} \end{cases}$$

## II Manipulation des MG

$$p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = p(x_4) p(x_3) p(x_1/x_4) p(x_2/x_4, x_3)$$

$$x_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp x_2 \mid x_4 \Leftrightarrow p(x_1, x_2/x_4) = p(x_1/x_4) p(x_2/x_4)$$

$$p(x_1/x_2, x_4) = p(x_1/x_4)$$

$$p(x_2/x_1, x_4) = p(x_2/x_4)$$

sachant que  $\sum_{x_3} p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = p(x_1, x_2, x_4) = p(x_4) \cdot p(x_1/x_4) p(x_2/x_4)$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_4)}{p(x_4)} = p(x_1, x_2/x_4) = p(x_1/x_4) p(x_2/x_4)$$

$$p(x_1, x_2, x_4) = p(x_1/x_4) p(x_2, x_4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_4)}{p(x_1, x_4)} = p(x_2/x_1, x_4) = p(x_2/x_4)$$

(5)

$$P(X_1, X_2, X_u) = P(X_2/X_u) P(X_1/X_u)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P(X_1, X_2, X_u)}{P(X_1, X_u)} = P(X_2/X_1, X_u) = P(X_2/X_u)$$

d'où  $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2 \mid X_u$

calculer  $P(E=v \mid D=n)$

$$P(E \mid D) = \frac{P(E, D)}{P(D)} = \frac{P(D \mid E=v, c) P(E=v, c)}{P(D=n)}$$

$$= \frac{0,99 \times P(E=v, c=n) + 0,92 \times P(E=v, c=0)}{P(D=n)}$$

$$= \frac{(0,99 \times 0,05 \times 0,905) + (0,92 \times 0,95 \times 0,495)}{0,13}$$

$$= 0,62$$