EMBEDDED SYSTEMS ADVANCED COURSE UDACITY – SPRINTS – EGFWD

IMPLEMENTING EDF SCHEDUELER ON FREERTOS

BY AHMED SAMEH SAAD GOMAA

ahmedsamehsaad99@gmail.com

I. CALCULATING CPU LOAD

Hyper-period is 100 ms

id	Name	Task type	Abort on miss	Act. Date (ms)	Period (ms)	List of Act. dates (ms)	Deadline (ms)	WCET (ms)
1	Button_1	Periodic •	□ No	0	50	-	50	0.016
2	Button_2	Periodic •	□ No	0	50	-	50	0.016
3	Period_Tx	Periodic •	□No	0	100	-	100	0.016
4	UART_Rx	Periodic •	□No	0	20	-	20	0.016
5	Load1	Periodic •	□No	0	10	-	10	5
6	Load2	Periodic •	□No	0	100	-	100	12

$$\frac{(10*5) + (2*5) + (1*3) + (10*0.016)}{100} * 100 = 63.16\%$$

II. CHECKING SCHEDULABILITY USING URM

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_i}{P_i} \le n(2^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)$$

$$\frac{0.016}{50} + \frac{0.016}{50} + \frac{0.016}{100} + \frac{0.016}{20} + \frac{5}{10} + \frac{12}{100} = 0.6216$$

$$6\left(2^{\frac{1}{6}} - 1\right) = 0.734$$

The design satisfies the condition and tasks are schedulable

III. CHECKING SCHEDULABILITY USING TIME DEMAND ANALYSIS

Arranging tasks by the least periodicity:

1. Load 1

$$W(10) = 5 \text{ ms} < 10 \text{ms}$$

2. UART Rx

$$W(20) = 0.016 + \frac{20}{10} * 5 = 10.016 ms < 20 ms$$

3. Button 1

$$W(50) = 0.016 + \frac{50}{20} * 0.016 + \frac{50}{10} * 5 = 25.056 ms < 50 ms$$

4. Button 2

W(50) =
$$0.016 + \frac{50}{50} * 0.016 + \frac{50}{20} * 0.016 + \frac{50}{10} * 5 = 25.072 ms < 50 ms$$

5. Periodic Tx

$$W(100) = 0.016 + \frac{100}{50} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{50} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{20} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{10} * 5 = 50.128 \, ms < 100 \, ms$$

6. Load 2

$$W(100) = 12 + \frac{100}{100} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{50} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{50} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{20} * 0.016 + \frac{100}{10} * 5 = 62.128 \, ms < 100 \, ms$$

We can notice that for all tasks – w(t) is always less than the period of the task. Therefore, all tasks are schedulable.

IV. USING SIMSO OFFLINE SIMULATOR



V. KEIL CPU LOAD CALCULATIONS



Logic analyzer screenshot of a hyperperiod:

