1 We prove that the given identities are true using induction on "n" as follows: BASIS STEP: We have that, for n=1,  $\overline{\overline{U}S_i} = \overline{\overline{U}S_i} = \overline{S_1} = \overline{\overline{S_i}} = \overline{\overline{S_i}}$  i=1 i=1 i=1 $\int_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = \int_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = \int_{i=1}^{\infty$ 

Hence, the identities are true for n=10 Next, we show that the identities chold for n=2.

$$\frac{\mathbb{T}S_{i}}{\mathbb{T}S_{i}} = \frac{\mathbb{T}S_{i}}{\mathbb{T}S_{i}} = \frac{\mathbb{T}S_{i}}{\mathbb{T$$

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that, for some n>2. We show that the identities hold for n+1. USi = USi USnt1 = USi N Snt1 (:'BASIS) = MSi NSn+1 (: Inductive Hypothesis) The sile of the si Henre, Proved.

Scanned with CamScanner

2. We shave that,
$$S_1 \cup S_2 - (S_1 \cap \overline{S_2}) = (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap (S_1 \cap \overline{S_2})$$

$$( : S - T = S \cap \overline{T} )$$

$$= (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap (S_1 \cup S_2) \quad ( : Demogram's laws)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap \overline{S_1}) \cup ((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap S_2) \quad ( : Dishibutive law)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap \overline{S_1}) \cup (S_2 \cup (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap S_2) = S_2)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap \overline{S_1}) \cup (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cap \overline{S_1}) \cup (\overline{S_1} \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= ((S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law)$$

$$= (S_1 \cap S_2) \cup S_2 \quad ( : Oishibutive law$$

Hence, Proved.

3. The given grammar generates strings of the form  $(aab)^{\dagger}$ , i.e. strings that have zero or more copies of the substring "oab" coneatenated  $S \to \lambda$ 

 $S \rightarrow \lambda$  $S \rightarrow aaA \rightarrow cabS \rightarrow aab$ 

S -> aaA -> aabS-> aabaaA -> aabaabS-> aabaab

Hence the tanguage generaled by the given grammar is

L={we {a,b}\*\*} w has zero or more copies of "aab"}

concatenated

4. The given grammar generates an empty language  $L^2 p$ .

This is because none of the rules in the grammar that a non-terminal on the right hand side. Here, none of the derivations ever complete into a

sequence of turninals.

Thus, no sking is generated by the grammas.

5(a) The following grammer generales skings with attest two as:

S-> AaAaA A-> aA|bA| A

The grammar generates skings with two a's fixed & then any sking following the first a, in the beginning, & in the end of the sking.

(b)  $S \rightarrow AXAXAXA$   $A \rightarrow bAJ\lambda$  $X \rightarrow a|b|\lambda$ 

The string consists of only 3 a's at max, as 'a' is only generated using the non-turninal X, and there are only 3 X's in the string derived from the string derived from the struct symbol S.