Reactive Designs

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock Samuel Canham

February 27, 2018

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2		
2	Rea	ctive Designs Healthiness Conditions	2		
	2.1	Preliminaries	3		
	2.2	Identities	3		
	2.3	RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces	4		
	2.4	R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3	6		
	2.5	RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination	9		
	2.6	Major healthiness conditions	9		
	2.7	UTP theories	12		
3	Rea	ctive Design Specifications	14		
	3.1	Reactive design forms	15		
	3.2	Auxiliary healthiness conditions	17		
	3.3	Composition laws	18		
	3.4	Refinement introduction laws	24		
	3.5	Distribution laws	25		
4	Reactive Design Triples 26				
	4.1	Diamond notation	26		
	4.2	Export laws	27		
	4.3	Pre-, peri-, and postconditions	28		
		4.3.1 Definitions	28		
		4.3.2 Unrestriction laws	28		
		4.3.3 Substitution laws	29		
		4.3.4 Healthiness laws	30		
		4.3.5 Calculation laws	33		
	4.4	Formation laws	35		
		4.4.1 Order laws	36		
	4.5	Composition laws	36		
	4.6	Refinement introduction laws	41		
	4.7	Closure laws	42		
	4.8	Distribution laws	43		
	4.9	Algebraic laws	44		
	4.10	Recursion laws	46		

5	Normal Reactive Designs			
6	Syntax for reactive design contracts Reactive design tactics			
7				
8		ctive design parallel-by-merge	61	
	8.1 8.2	Example basic merge	75 75	
9	Pro	ductive Reactive Designs	7 6	
	9.1	Healthiness condition	76	
	9.2	Reactive design calculations	78	
	9.3	Closure laws	79	
10	Gua	arded Recursion	81	
	10.1	Traces with a size measure	81	
	10.2	Guardedness	82	
	10.3	Tail recursive fixed-point calculations	86	
11	Rea	ctive Design Programs	87	
	11.1	State substitution	87	
	11.2	Assignment	88	
	11.3	Conditional	89	
	11.4	Guarded commands	89	
12	Gen	neralised Alternation	90	
	12.1	Choose	91	
	12.2	State Abstraction	91	
	12.3	Assumptions	92	
	12.4	While Loop	93	
	12.5	Iteration Construction	94	
		Substitution Laws	94	
		Algebraic Laws	95	
	12.8	Lifting designs to reactive designs	96	
13	Inst	antaneous Reactive Designs	98	
14 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs				

1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [2] of our theory of reactive designs. Reactive designs form an important semantic foundation for reactive modelling languages such as Circus [3]. For more details of this work, please see our recent paper [1].

2 Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{utp-rdes-healths} \\ \textbf{imports} \ \textit{UTP-Reactive.utp-reactive} \end{array}$

2.1 Preliminaries

```
named-theorems rdes and rdes-def and RD-elim
type-synonym ('s,'t) rdes = ('s,'t,unit) hrel-rsp
translations
 (type) ('s, 't) rdes <= (type) ('s, 't, unit) hrel-rsp
lemma R2\text{-}st\text{-}ex: R2 (\exists $st • P) = (\exists $st • R2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-st'-eq-st:
 R2s(\$st'=_u\$st)=(\$st'=_u\$st)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-st'-eq-st:
  R2c(\$st' =_u \$st) = (\$st' =_u \$st)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-des-lift-skip: R1(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2-des-lift-skip:
  R2(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma R1-R2c-ex-st: R1 (R2c (\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1)) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2c Q_1))
 by (rel-auto)
```

2.2 Identities

We define two identities fro reactive designs, which correspond to the regular and state-sensitive versions of reactive designs, respectively. The former is the one used in the UTP book and related publications for CSP.

```
definition skip\text{-}rea :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rp \ (II_c) \ \text{where} skip\text{-}rea\text{-}def \ [urel\text{-}defs]: II_c = (II \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$tr')) definition skip\text{-}srea :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rsp \ (II_R) \ \text{where} skip\text{-}srea\text{-}def \ [urel\text{-}defs]: II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_c) \lhd \$wait \rhd II_c) lemma skip\text{-}rea\text{-}R1\text{-}lemma : II_c = R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) by (rel\text{-}auto) lemma skip\text{-}rea\text{-}form : II_c = (II \lhd \$ok \rhd R1(true)) by (rel\text{-}auto) lemma skip\text{-}srea\text{-}form : II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \lhd \$wait \rhd II) \lhd \$ok \rhd R1(true) by (rel\text{-}auto) lemma R1\text{-}skip\text{-}rea : R1(II_c) = II_c by (rel\text{-}auto)
```

```
lemma R2c-skip-rea: R2c\ II_c = II_c
 by (simp add: skip-rea-def R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-skip-r R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-tr'-ge-tr)
lemma R2-skip-rea: R2(II_c) = II_c
 by (metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-skip-rea R2c-skip-rea)
lemma R2c-skip-srea: R2c(II_R) = II_R
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma skip-srea-R1 [closure]: II_R is R1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-R2c [closure]: II_R is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-skip-srea)
lemma skip-srea-R2 [closure]: II_R is R2
 by (metis Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2c-skip-srea skip-srea-R1)
2.3
      RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces
definition RD1 :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp where
[upred-defs]: RD1(P) = (P \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$tr'))
RD1 is essentially H1 from the theory of designs, but viewed through the prism of reactive
processes.
lemma RD1-idem: RD1(RD1(P)) = RD1(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-Idempotent: Idempotent RD1
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD1-idem)
lemma RD1-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD1(P) \sqsubseteq RD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-Monotonic: Monotonic RD1
 using mono-def RD1-mono by blast
lemma RD1-Continuous: Continuous RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-true-RD1-closed [closure]: R1(true) is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P[false/$wait] is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait'-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P[false/$wait'] is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-seq: RD1(RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)) = RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)
lemma RD1-seq-closure [closure]: \llbracket P \text{ is RD1}; Q \text{ is RD1} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P ;; Q \text{ is RD1}
 by (metis Healthy-def' RD1-seq)
```

```
lemma RD1-R1-commute: RD1(R1(P)) = R1(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R2c-commute: RD1(R2c(P)) = R2c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-via-R1: R1(H1(P)) = RD1(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R1-cases: RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-rea-RD1-skip: II_c = RD1(II)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-RD1 [closure]: II_R is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-algebraic-intro:
 assumes
   P \text{ is } R1 \text{ } (R1(true_h) \text{ } ;; P) = R1(true_h) \text{ } (II_c \text{ } ;; P) = P
 shows P is RD1
proof -
 have P = (II_c ;; P)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 also have ... = (R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; P)
   by (simp add: skip-rea-R1-lemma)
 also have ... = (((\neg \$ok \land R1(true)) ;; P) \lor P)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl skip-rea-R1-lemma skip-rea-def utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left
utp-pred-laws.sup-commute)
 also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; (R1(true_h) ;; P)) \lor P)
   using dual-order.trans by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; R1(true_h)) \lor P)
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
 also have ... = (R1(\neg \$ok) \lor P)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have \dots = RD1(P)
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
theorem RD1-left-zero:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (R1(true) ;; P) = R1(true)
proof -
 have (R1(true) ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(true)
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
theorem RD1-left-unit:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (II_c ;; P) = P
```

```
proof -
 have (II_c :: R1(RD1(P))) = R1(RD1(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
lemma RD1-alt-def:
 assumes P is R1
 shows RD1(P) = (P \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
 have RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
theorem RD1-algebraic:
 assumes P is R1
 shows P is RD1 \longleftrightarrow (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) \land (II_c ;; P) = P
 using RD1-algebraic-intro RD1-left-unit RD1-left-zero assms by blast
2.4
       R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3
definition R3c :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ where
[upred-defs]: R3c(P) = (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition R3h :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
R3h\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs]: R3h(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c\text{-}idem: R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-Idempotent: Idempotent R3c
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3c-idem)
lemma R3c-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3c(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-Monotonic: Monotonic R3c
 by (simp add: mono-def R3c-mono)
lemma R3c-Continuous: Continuous R3c
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-idem: R3h(R3h(P)) = R3h(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Idempotent: Idempotent R3h
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3h-idem)
lemma R3h-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Monotonic: Monotonic R3h
 by (simp add: mono-def R3h-mono)
```

```
lemma R3h-Continuous: Continuous R3h
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-inf: R3h(P \sqcap Q) = R3h(P) \sqcap R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-UINF:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow R3h(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \in A \cdot R3h(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cond: R3h(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3h(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3h(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-via-RD1-R3: RD1(R3(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-RD1-def: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow R3c(P) = RD1(R3(P))
 by (simp add: Healthy-if R3c-via-RD1-R3)
lemma RD1-R3c-commute: RD1(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-R3c-commute: R1(R3c(P)) = R3c(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c\text{-}R3c\text{-}commute: R2c(R3c(P)) = R3c(R2c(P))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma R1-R3h-commute: R1(R3h(P)) = R3h(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-R3h-commute: R2c(R3h(P)) = R3h(R2c(P))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma RD1-R3h-commute: RD1(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-cancels-R3: R3c(R3(P)) = R3c(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3-cancels-R3c: R3(R3c(P)) = R3(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cancels-R3c: R3h(R3c(P)) = R3h(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-semir-form:
 (R3c(P) ;; R3c(R1(Q))) = R3c(P ;; R3c(R1(Q)))
 by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans)
lemma R3h-semir-form:
 (R3h(P) ;; R3h(R1(Q))) = R3h(P ;; R3h(R1(Q)))
 by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans, blast+)
```

```
lemma R3c-seq-closure:
   assumes P is R3c Q is R3c Q is R1
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
   by (metis Healthy-def' R3c-semir-form assms)
lemma R3h-seq-closure [closure]:
   assumes P is R3h Q is R3h Q is R1
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3h
   by (metis Healthy-def' R3h-semir-form assms)
lemma R3c-R3-left-seq-closure:
   assumes P is R3 Q is R3c
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
proof -
   have (P :; Q) = ((P :; Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P :; Q))
       by (metis cond-var-split cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = (((II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) ;; Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
       by (metis\ Healthy-def'\ R3-def\ assms(1))
   also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait];; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
       by (subst-tac)
   also have ... = (((II \land \$wait') ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-pos-var-subst seqr-pre-var-out skip-var utp-pred-laws.inf-left-idem
wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait'] ;; Q[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    \textbf{by} \ (met is \textit{seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unrest-ouvar}
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
    also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait']]; (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q)[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
       by (metis Healthy-def' R3c-def assms(2))
   also have ... = ((H[[true/\$wait']]; H_c[[true/\$wait]]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
       by (subst-tac)
   also have ... = (((II \land \$wait') ;; II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unrest-ouvar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unre
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = ((II ;; II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
       by (simp add: cond-def seqr-pre-transfer utp-rel.unrest-ouvar)
    also have ... = (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
   also have ... = R3c(P;;Q)
       by (simp add: R3c-def)
   finally show ?thesis
       by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
lemma R3c-cases: R3c(P) = ((II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cases: R3h(P) = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-form: R3h(P) = II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-subst-wait: R3c(P) = R3c(P_f)
   by (simp add: R3c-def cond-var-subst-right)
```

```
lemma R3h-subst-wait: R3h(P) = R3h(P_f)
 by (simp add: R3h-cases cond-var-subst-right)
lemma skip-srea-R3h [closure]: II_R is R3h
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-wait-true:
 assumes P is R3h
 shows P_t = II_{R_t}
proof
 have P_t = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)_t
  by (metis Healthy-if R3h-form assms)
 also have ... = II_{R\ t}
  by (simp \ add: \ usubst)
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
2.5
      RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination
definition RD2 where
[upred-defs]: RD2(P) = H2(P)
RD2 is just H2 since the type system will automatically have J identifying the reactive variables
as required.
lemma RD2-idem: RD2(RD2(P)) = RD2(P)
 by (simp add: H2-idem RD2-def)
lemma RD2-Idempotent: Idempotent RD2
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD2-idem)
lemma RD2-mono: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD2(P) \subseteq RD2(Q)
 by (simp add: H2-def RD2-def segr-mono)
lemma RD2-Monotonic: Monotonic RD2
 using mono-def RD2-mono by blast
lemma RD2-Continuous: Continuous RD2
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-RD2-commute: RD1(RD2(P)) = RD2(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3c-commute: RD2(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3h-commute: RD2(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD2(P))
```

2.6 Major healthiness conditions

by (rel-auto)

```
definition RH: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ (\mathbf{R})
where [upred-defs]: RH(P) = R1(R2c(R3c(P)))
definition RHS: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (\mathbf{R}_s)
where [upred-defs]: RHS(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(P)))
```

```
definition RD :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp
where [upred-defs]: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(RP(P)))
definition SRD :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: SRD(P) = RD1(RD2(RHS(P)))
lemma RH-comp: RH = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3c
 by (auto simp add: RH-def)
lemma RHS-comp: RHS = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3h
 by (auto simp add: RHS-def)
lemma RD-comp: RD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RP
 by (auto simp add: RD-def)
lemma SRD-comp: SRD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RHS
 by (auto simp add: SRD-def)
lemma RH-idem: \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(P)
  by (simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem R2c-R3c-commute R2c-idem R3c-idem
RH-def)
lemma RH-Idempotent: Idempotent R
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RH-idem)
lemma RH-Monotonic: Monotonic \mathbf R
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-Monotonic R2c-Monotonic R3c-mono RH-def mono-def)
lemma RH-Continuous: Continuous R
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3c-Continuous RH-comp)
lemma RHS-idem: \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P)
 by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-idem R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RHS-def)
lemma RHS-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RHS-idem)
lemma RHS-Monotonic: Monotonic R<sub>s</sub>
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RHS-def)
lemma RHS-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
  using mono-def RHS-Monotonic by blast
lemma RHS-Continuous [closure]: Continuous \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3h-Continuous RHS-comp)
lemma RHS-inf: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 using Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def RHS-Continuous by auto
lemma RHS-INF:
  A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-UINF R2c-USUP R1-USUP)
lemma RHS-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcup Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma RHS-SUP:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-cond: \mathbf{R}_s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(P) \triangleleft R2c \ b \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(Q))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-cond R2c-condr R1-cond)
lemma RD-alt-def: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)))
by (simp add: R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-RD2-commute
RH-def RD-def RP-def)
lemma RD1-RH-commute: <math>RD1(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RH-def)
lemma RD2-RH-commute: RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD2(P))
 by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def RH-def)
lemma RD-idem: RD(RD(P)) = RD(P)
by (simp add: RD-alt-def RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem RD1-idem
RH-idem)
lemma RD-Monotonic: Monotonic RD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RD-comp RP-Monotonic)
lemma RD-Continuous: Continuous RD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RD-comp RP-Continuous)
lemma R3-RD-RP: R3(RD(P)) = RP(RD1(RD2(P)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-cancels-R3c RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute
RD-alt-def RH-def RP-def)
lemma RD1-RHS-commute: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RHS-def)
lemma RD2-RHS-commute: RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD2(P))
 by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def)
lemma SRD-idem: SRD(SRD(P)) = SRD(P)
by (simp add: RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RHS-idem
SRD-def)
lemma SRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent SRD
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def SRD-idem)
lemma SRD-Monotonic: Monotonic SRD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RHS-Monotonic SRD-comp)
lemma SRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous SRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RHS-Continuous SRD-comp)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{SRD-healths} \colon$

by (rel-auto)

lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$

```
assumes P is SRD
   shows P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
   apply (metis Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms)
    apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def
SRD-def assms)
  apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-com
RHS-def SRD-def assms)
   apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-idem SRD-def assms)
   apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem SRD-def assms)
done
lemma SRD-intro:
   assumes P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
   shows P is SRD
   by (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def SRD-def assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5))
lemma SRD-ok-false [usubst]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P[false/\$ok] = R1(true)
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H1-H2-eq-design Healthy-def R1-ok-false RD1-R1-commute RD1-via-R1
RD2-def SRD-def SRD-healths(1) design-ok-false)
lemma SRD-ok-true-wait-true [usubst]:
   assumes P is SRD
   shows P[true, true/\$ok, \$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
proof -
   have P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \ true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
      by (metis Healthy-def R3h-cases SRD-healths(3) assms)
    moreover have ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \ true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot II)
II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
      by (simp add: usubst)
   ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp)
qed
lemma SRD-left-zero-1: P is SRD \Longrightarrow R1(true) :; P = R1(true)
   by (simp add: RD1-left-zero SRD-healths(1) SRD-healths(4))
lemma SRD-left-zero-2:
   assumes P is SRD
   shows (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait];; P = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
   have (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait];; R3h(P) = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
      by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths(3) assms)
qed
2.7
             UTP theories
We create two theory objects: one for reactive designs and one for stateful reactive designs.
typedecl RDES
typedecl SRDES
abbreviation RDES \equiv UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, '\alpha) rp)
abbreviation SRDES \equiv UTHY(SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ rsp)
```

```
overloading
  rdes-hcond = utp-hcond :: (RDES, ('t::trace,'\alpha) rp) uthy \Rightarrow (('t,'\alpha) rp \times ('t,'\alpha) rp) health
  srdes-hcond = utp-hcond :: (SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp) uthy \Rightarrow (('s,'t,'\alpha) rsp \times ('s,'t,'\alpha) rsp)
health
begin
 definition rdes-hcond :: (RDES, ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ rp) uthy \Rightarrow (('t, '\alpha) \ rp \times ('t, '\alpha) \ rp) health where
  [upred-defs]: rdes-hcond\ T=RD
 definition srdes-heard :: (SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ rsp) \ uthy \Rightarrow (('s,'t,'\alpha) \ rsp \times ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ rsp) \ health
where
 [upred-defs]: srdes-hcond T = SRD
end
interpretation rdes-theory: utp-theory UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ rp)
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: rdes-hcond-def RD-idem)
interpretation rdes-theory-continuous: utp-theory-continuous UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ rp)
 rewrites \bigwedge P. P \in carrier (uthy-order RDES) \longleftrightarrow P is RD
 and carrier (uthy-order RDES) \rightarrow carrier (uthy-order RDES) \equiv [RD]_H \rightarrow [RD]_H
 and le (uthy\text{-}order\ RDES) = op \sqsubseteq
 and eq (uthy\text{-}order\ RDES) = op =
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: rdes-hcond-def RD-Continuous)
interpretation rdes-rea-galois:
  galois-connection (RDES \leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \rightarrow REA)
proof (simp add: mk-conn-def, rule galois-connectionI', simp-all add: utp-partial-order rdes-hcond-def
rea-hcond-def)
 show R3 \in [\![RD]\!]_H \rightarrow [\![RP]\!]_H
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' Pi-I R3-RD-RP RP-idem mem-Collect-eq)
 show RD1 \circ RD2 \in \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H \to \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H
   by (simp add: Pi-iff Healthy-def, metis RD-def RD-idem)
 show isotone (utp-order RD) (utp-order RP) R3
   by (simp add: R3-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
 show isotone (utp-order RP) (utp-order RD) (RD1 \circ RD2)
   by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
 fix P :: ('a, 'b) \ hrel-rp
 assume P is RD
 thus P \sqsubseteq RD1 \ (RD2 \ (R3 \ P))
   by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-iff)
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ P :: ('a, 'b) \ hrel-rp
 assume a: P is RP
 thus R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) <math>\sqsubseteq P
 proof -
   have R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) = RP (RD1 (RD2(P)))
     by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def a)
   moreover have RD1(RD2(P)) \sqsubseteq P
     by (rel-auto)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-if RP-mono a)
 qed
qed
interpretation rdes-rea-retract:
  retract (RDES \leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \rightarrow REA)
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: mk-conn-def utp-partial-order rdes-hcond-def rea-hcond-def)
```

```
(metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-refl)
interpretation srdes-theory: utp-theory UTHY(SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp)
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: srdes-hoond-def SRD-idem)
interpretation srdes-theory-continuous: utp-theory-continuous UTHY(SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp)
  rewrites \bigwedge P. P \in carrier (uthy-order SRDES) \longleftrightarrow P is SRD
  and P is \mathcal{H}_{SRDES} \longleftrightarrow P is SRD
  and carrier (uthy-order SRDES) \rightarrow carrier (uthy-order SRDES) \equiv [SRD]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
  and [\mathcal{H}_{SRDES}]_H \to [\mathcal{H}_{SRDES}]_H \equiv [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 and le (uthy\text{-}order\ SRDES) = op \sqsubseteq
  {\bf and}\ \it eq\ \it (uthy\mbox{-}\it order\ \it SRDES) = \it op =
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: srdes-hcond-def SRD-Continuous)
declare srdes-theory-continuous.top-healthy [simp del]
declare srdes-theory-continuous.bottom-healthy [simp del]
abbreviation Chaos :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
Chaos \equiv \bot_{SRDES}
abbreviation Miracle :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
\mathit{Miracle} \equiv \top_{\mathit{SRDES}}
{\bf thm}\ srdes-theory-continuous.weak.bottom-lower
thm srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-higher
thm srdes-theory-continuous.meet-bottom
{f thm}\ srdes\mbox{-}theory\mbox{-}continuous.meet\mbox{-}top
abbreviation srd-lfp (\mu_R) where \mu_R F \equiv \mu_{SRDES} F
abbreviation srd-gfp (\nu_R) where \nu_R F \equiv \nu_{SRDES} F
syntax
  -srd-mu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (<math>\mu_R - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
  -srd-nu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (<math>\nu_R - \cdot \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
translations
 \mu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)
 \nu_R \ X \cdot P == \mu_R \ (\lambda \ X. \ P)
The reactive design weakest fixed-point can be defined in terms of relational calculus one.
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{srd-mu-equiv} \colon
 assumes Monotonic F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
  shows (\mu_R \ X \cdot F(X)) = (\mu \ X \cdot F(SRD(X)))
```

3 Reactive Design Specifications

by (metis assms srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.utp-lfp-def)

theory utp-rdes-designs imports utp-rdes-healths begin

end

3.1 Reactive design forms

```
lemma srdes-skip-def: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma Chaos-def: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
proof -
 have Chaos = SRD(true)
   by (metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-bottom)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(true))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (metis H1-design H2-true design-false-pre)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Miracle-def: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
proof
 have Miracle = SRD(false)
   by (metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(false))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design p-imp-p subst-impl subst-not utp-pred-laws.compl-bot-eq
utp-pred-laws.compl-top-eq)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD1-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RH-commute RD2-def)
lemma RD1-st-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-st-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RHS-commute RD2-def)
lemma wait-false-design:
 (P \vdash Q)_f = ((P_f) \vdash (Q_f))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD-RH-design-form:
  RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have RD(P) = RD1(RD2(R1(R2c(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: RD-alt-def RH-def)
 also have ... = RD1(H2(R1(R2s(R3c(P)))))
```

```
by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RD2-def)
 also have ... = RD1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute)
 also have ... = R1(H1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-idem RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(H1(H2(R2s(R3c(R1(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(H2(R3c(R1(P))))))
   by (simp add: R2s-H1-commute R2s-H2-commute)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R1(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis R1-R2-commute R1-idem R2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R3c(R1(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-R3c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = RH(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))
   by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2-R1-form RH-def)
 also have ... = RH(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem RD1-via-R1 RH-def)
 also have ... = RH((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3c-subst-wait RH-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is RD
 shows \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P
 by (metis RD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma RD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-reactive-design RD2-reactive-design RD-alt-def RH-idem assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RH-design-is-RD:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) is RD
 by (simp add: RD-RH-design Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RH-design-form:
 SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have SRD(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(RD1(RD2(R1(P))))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3h-commute R1-idem R2c-H2-commute
RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def SRD-def)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(R3h(\mathbf{H}(P))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-R1-form RD1-via-R1
RD2-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3h-subst-wait RHS-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P
 by (metis SRD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma SRD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows SRD(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-st-reactive-design RD2-st-reactive-design RHS-idem SRD-def assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RHS-design-is-SRD:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' SRD-RH-design assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design R3h-subst-wait RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form subst-not
wait-false-design)
3.2
       Auxiliary healthiness conditions
definition [upred-defs]: R3c\text{-}pre(P) = (true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition [upred-defs]: R3c\text{-post}(P) = ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition [upred-defs]: R3h-post(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c-pre-conj: R3c-pre(P \land Q) = (R3c-pre(P) \land R3c-pre(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-pre-seq:
  (true :; Q) = true \Longrightarrow R3c\text{-}pre(P :; Q) = (R3c\text{-}pre(P) :; Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-pre [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3c\text{-pre}(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok'-R3c-pre\ [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3c-pre(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3c\text{-post}(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post' [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3c-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3h-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post' [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3h-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
```

3.3 Composition laws

```
theorem R1-design-composition:
  fixes P Q :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp
 and R S :: ('t, '\beta, '\gamma) \text{ rel-rp}
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) =
   R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
proof -
 have (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot (R1(P \vdash Q)) [ \langle ok_0 \rangle / \$ok'] ;; (R1(R \vdash S)) [ \langle ok_0 \rangle / \$ok] )
   using seqr-middle ok-vwb-lens by blast
 also from assms have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (\lessdot ok_0 \gg \land Q)) ;; R1((\lessdot ok_0 \gg \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok))
\wedge S)))
   by (simp add: design-def R1-def usubst unrest)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (true \land Q)) ;; R1((true \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S)))
                            \vee (R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (false \land Q)) ;; R1((false \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S))))
   by (simp add: false-alt-def true-alt-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow Q) ;; R1(R \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S)))
                            \vee (R1(\neg (\$ok \land P)) ;; R1(true)))
   by simp
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P \lor Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                            \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = (((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) \lor R1(Q));; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) :: R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok' \land S)))
                               \vee (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))
                               \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: segr-or-distl utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok' \land S)))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-extend-conj utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                 \vee ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \ rel-rp) ;; R1(true))
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj seqr-or-distl)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                 \vee (R1(\neg \$ok))
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
  proof -
   have ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \ rel-rp) :: R1(true)) =
           (R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\gamma) \ rel-rp)
      by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
      by simp
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor (R1(S \land \$ok')))))
                  \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-extend-conj)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
```

```
\vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))
                \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
                \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: segr-or-distr utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
 also have ... = R1((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))
                  \vee (\neg \$ok)
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-seqr)
 also have ... = R1((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee ((R1(Q); R1(S)) \wedge \$ok')
                  \vee (\neg \$ok)
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
  also have ... = R1(\neg(\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                  \vee ((R1(Q); R1(S)) \wedge \$ok'))
   by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = R1((\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                   \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land (R1(Q) ;; R1(S))))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
 also have ... = R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
   by (simp add: design-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR
 shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 apply (subst R1-design-composition)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
 (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
lemma R2s-design: R2s(P \vdash Q) = (R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q))
 by (simp add: R2s-def design-def usubst)
lemma R2c-design: R2c(P \vdash Q) = (R2c(P) \vdash R2c(Q))
 by (simp add: design-def impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-and R2c-ok')
lemma R1-R3c-design:
  R1(R3c(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3c\text{-}post(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-R3h-design:
  R1(R3h(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3h\text{-}post(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-R1-design-composition:
```

```
assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  shows (R3c(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3c(R1(R \vdash S))) =
       R3c(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S)))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
   by (rel-auto)
 have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3c\text{-}post \ Q) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-}pre \ R))) = R3c\text{-}pre(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait') \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
  have 3:(R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ Q) \ ;;\ R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ S)) = R3c\text{-post}\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3c-semir-form R1-R3c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3c-design unrest)
   apply (subst R1-design-composition)
       apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
   done
qed
lemma R3h-R1-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R3h(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3h(R1(R \vdash S))) =
       R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S)))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
  by (rel-auto)
 have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3h\text{-}post \ Q) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-}pre \ R))) = R3c\text{-}pre(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait') \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
 have 3:(R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ Q)\ ;;\ R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ S)) = R3h\text{-post}\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil H\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3h-semir-form R1-R3h-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3h-design unrest)
   apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
   apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
  done
qed
lemma R2-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  shows (R2(P \vdash Q) ;; R2(R \vdash S)) =
        R2((\neg (R1 (\neg R2c P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1 (\neg R2c R))) \vdash (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1)
(R2c\ S)))
 apply (simp add: R2-R2c-def R2c-design R1-design-composition assms unrest R2c-not R2c-and R2c-disj
R1-R2c-commute[THEN\ sym]\ R2c-idem\ R2c-R1-seq)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) R2c-R1-seq R2c-not R2c-true)
done
lemma RH-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (RH(P \vdash Q) :: RH(R \vdash S)) =
       RH((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land (\neg \$wait')) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                       (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))
proof -
  have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
 proof -
```

```
have 1:(R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) = (R1(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;; R2 \ true))
     by (rel-auto)
   have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
     using R2c-not by blast
   also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
     by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
   also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
     by (simp add: R2-segr-distribute)
   also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
     by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp \ add: 1)
  qed
 have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait') :: R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait') :: R1 (\neg R2s R))
 proof -
   have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R2 \ (\neg R))
     by (rel-auto)
   hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = (R2 (Q \land \neg $wait');; R2 (\neg R))
     by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-segr-distribute)
   also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))
     by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
 have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ (\lceil II\rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S)))) = (R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ (\lceil II\rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1
(R2s\ S)))
 proof -
   have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait']];([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait]))
         = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))[true/\$wait'] \ ;; \ ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))[true/\$wait])
   proof -
     have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait']); ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait])) =
           R2c(R1 \ (R2s \ (Q[true/\$wait'])) ;; [II]_D[true/\$wait])
       by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
     also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait']); R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
       by (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true)
     also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait']) ;; R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
       using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
     also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket))
       apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
       apply (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
       done
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   moreover have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q)))[false/\$wait']]; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[false/\$wait]))
         = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
     by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-F)
      (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-def R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait'-false
R2c\text{-}seq)
   ultimately show ?thesis
   proof -
     have [II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S) = R2 \ ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright S)
       by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2s-wait)
     then show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2c-seq)
   qed
  qed
  have (R1(R2s(R3c(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3c(R \vdash S)))) =
        ((R3c(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3c(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute R2s-design)
 also have ... = R3c (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P);; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait');; R1 (\neg R2s
R))) \vdash
                       (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
   by (simp add: R3c-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
 also have ... = R3c(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P);;R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');;R1 (\neg R1s P) \land \neg R2s P);
R2s R))) \vdash
                             (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))))
   by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{RHS-design-composition}:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
      \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \land \neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land (\neg \$wait')) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) \vdash
                      (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))
proof -
  have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) :: R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) :: R1 true)
  proof -
   have 1:(R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) = (R1(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;; R2 \ true))
      by (rel-auto, blast)
   have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
      using R2c-not by blast
   also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
      by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
   also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
      by (simp add: R2-segr-distribute)
   also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
      by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
   finally show ?thesis
      by (simp \ add: 1)
  qed
 have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R))
R))
  proof -
   have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R2 \ (\neg R))
      by (rel-auto, blast+)
   hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = (R2 (Q \land \neg $wait');; R2 (\neg R))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)
   also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))
      by (rel-auto, blast+)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
 have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S)))) =
         (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))
```

```
proof -
    have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait'];((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait]))
          = ((R1\ (R2s\ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \; ;; \; ((\exists\ \$st \cdot \lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1\ (R2s\ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket))
    proof -
     have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait'];;((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait])) =
            R2c(R1\ (R2s\ (Q[true/\$wait']))\ ;;\ (\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait])
        by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
      also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait']) ;; R2((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait]))
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true R2-st-ex)
      also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait']); R2((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait]))
        using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
     \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))[true/\$wait']]; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))[true/\$wait]])
        apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
       apply (metis (no-types) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
      done
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
   moreover have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q)))[false/\$wait'];;((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[false/\$wait]))
          = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))) \lceil false / \$wait' \rceil : ; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \lceil false / \$wait \rceil)
      by (simp add: usubst)
      (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-R1-form R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (smt R2-R1-form R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2c-seq R2s-wait)
 have (R1(R2s(R3h(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3h(R \vdash S)))) =
        ((R3h(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3h(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R2s-design)
  also have ... = R3h (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait') ;; R1 (\neg
R2s R))) \vdash
                       (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
    by (simp add: R3h-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
 also have ... = R3h(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true))))
R2s R))) \vdash
                              (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))))
    by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
qed
lemma RHS-R2s-design-composition:
  assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
    P is R2s Q is R2s R is R2s S is R2s
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) :: \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
       \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R))) \vdash
                       (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S)))
proof -
  have f1: R2s P = P
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(5))
  have f2: R2s Q = Q
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(6))
  have f3: R2s R = R
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(7))
```

```
have R2s S = S
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(8))
  then show ?thesis
    using f3 f2 f1 by (simp add: RHS-design-composition assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4))
qed
lemma RH-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-design-R1-pre:
 \mathbf{R}_s(R1(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-ok-wait: \mathbf{R}_s(P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \vdash Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{RHS-design-neg-R1-pre}\colon
 \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg P) \vdash R)
 by (rel-auto)
{f lemma} RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre:
  \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg R1 \ P) \land Q) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg P) \land Q) \vdash R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-pre-lemma: (\mathbf{R}_s \ P)^f_f = R1(R2c(P^f_f))
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{RHS-design-R2c-pre} :
 \mathbf{R}_s(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
        Refinement introduction laws
3.4
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{R1-design-refine} \colon
  assumes
    P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
    \$ok \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P_2 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P_2
```

 $\$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_2$

```
shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_
proof -
             have R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot Q_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot Q_2))
                                            \longleftrightarrow 'R1(\exists $ok;$ok' \cdot P_1) \Rightarrow R1(\exists $ok;$ok' \cdot Q_1)' \land 'R1(\exists $ok;$ok' \cdot P_1) \land R1(\exists $ok;$ok'
 \cdot Q_2) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_2)
                        by (rel-auto, meson+)
              thus ?thesis
                        by (simp-all add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma R1-design-refine-RR:
             assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
             shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_
             by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms unrest closure)
lemma RHS-design-refine:
             assumes
                          P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
                          P_1 is R2c P_2 is R2c Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R2c
                        \$ok \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P_2 \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P_2
                        \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_2
                        \$wait \sharp P_1 \$wait \sharp P_2 \$wait \sharp Q_1 \$wait \sharp Q_2
             shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land 
proof -
             have \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))
                       by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))
                       by (simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms)
             also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2))[false/\$wait] \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))[false/\$wait]
                        by (rel-auto, metis+)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)[false/\$wait] \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)[false/\$wait]
                        by (rel-auto)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)
                        by (simp add: usubst assms closure unrest)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land 'P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'
                        by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms)
           finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma srdes-refine-intro:
             assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_
             shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2)
           by (simp add: RHS-mono assms design-refine-intro)
3.5
                                                     Distribution laws
lemma RHS-design-choice: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \lor Q_2))
           by (metis RHS-inf design-choice)
lemma RHS-design-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \land (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
             by (metis RHS-sup design-inf)
lemma RHS-design-USUP:
             assumes A \neq \{\}
             by (subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms)
```

4 Reactive Design Triples

theory utp-rdes-triples imports utp-rdes-designs begin

4.1 Diamond notation

```
definition wait'-cond ::
  ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \ (infixr \diamond 65) \ where
[upred-defs]: P \diamond Q = (P \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright Q)
\mathbf{lemma}\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}unrest\ [unrest]:
  \llbracket out\text{-}var \ wait \bowtie x; \ x \ \sharp \ P; \ x \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (P \diamond Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-subst [usubst]:
  \$wait' \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P \diamond Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \diamond (\sigma \dagger Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-left-false: false \diamond P = (\neg \$wait' \land P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-seq: ((P \diamond Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; (\$wait \land R)) \lor (Q ;; (¬\$wait \land R)))
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-def seqr-or-distl, rel-blast)
lemma wait'-cond-true: (P \diamond Q \land \$wait') = (P \land \$wait')
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-false: (P <math>\diamond Q \land (\neg\$wait')) = (Q \land (\neg\$wait'))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-idem: P \diamond P = P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-conj-exchange:
  ((P \diamond Q) \land (R \diamond S)) = (P \land R) \diamond (Q \land S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-cond-true\ [usubst]:\ (P \diamond Q)[true/\$wait']] = P[true/\$wait']
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-cond-false [usubst]: (P \diamond Q) [false/$wait'] = Q [false/$wait']
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-left-subst: (P[true/\$wait'] \diamond Q) = (P \diamond Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-right-subst: (P \Leftrightarrow Q[false/\$wait']) = (P \Leftrightarrow Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-split: P[[true/\$wait']] \diamond P[[false/\$wait']] = P
```

```
by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-var-split)
lemma wait-cond'-assoc [simp]: P \diamond Q \diamond R = P \diamond R
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait-cond'-shadow: (P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond Q \diamond R
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wait-cond'-conj [simp]: P \diamond (Q \wedge (R \diamond S)) = P \diamond (Q \wedge S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-wait'-cond: R1(P \diamond Q) = R1(P) \diamond R1(Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-wait'-cond: R2s(P \diamond Q) = R2s(P) \diamond R2s(Q)
 by (simp add: wait'-cond-def R2s-def R2s-def usubst)
lemma R2-wait'-cond: R2(P \diamond Q) = R2(P) \diamond R2(Q)
  by (simp add: R2-def R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R1-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \diamond Q \text{ is } R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R2c-closed [closure]: \llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R2c
  by (simp add: R2c-condr wait'-cond-def Healthy-def, rel-auto)
4.2
        Export laws
lemma RH-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1)
lemma RH-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1 RH-design-peri-R1)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-post-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1)
lemma RHS-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-peri-R1)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s)

lemma RHS-design-post-R2s: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ by (metis R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s RHS-design-peri-R2s)

```
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{RH-design-lemma1}:
  RH(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RH(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RH-design-peri-R1
RH-design-peri-R2s)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{RHS-design-lemma1} :
 RHS(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RHS(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RHS-design-peri-R1
RHS-design-peri-R2s)
       Pre-, peri-, and postconditions
4.3
4.3.1
         Definitions
abbreviation pre_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation cmt_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation peri_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s true]
abbreviation post_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation npre_R(P) \equiv pre_s \dagger P
definition [upred-defs]: pre_R(P) = (\neg_r \ npre_R(P))
definition [upred-defs]: cmt_R(P) = (cmt_s \dagger P)
definition [upred-defs]: peri_R(P) = (peri_s \dagger P)
definition [upred-defs]: post_R(P) = (post_s \dagger P)
4.3.2
         Unrestriction laws
lemma ok-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$ok \sharp pre_R P
 by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)
lemma ok-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp peri_R P
 by (simp\ add:\ peri_R-def\ unrest\ usubst)
lemma ok-post-unrest [unrest]: \$ok \sharp post_R P
 by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)
lemma ok-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp cmt<sub>R</sub> P
 by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)
lemma ok'-pre-unrest [unrest]: $ok' \mu pre_R P
 by (simp\ add: pre_R-def\ unrest\ usubst)
lemma ok'-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp peri_R P
 by (simp\ add:\ peri_R\text{-}def\ unrest\ usubst)
lemma ok'-post-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp post_R P
```

by (simp add: $post_R$ -def unrest usubst)

lemma ok'-cmt-unrest [unrest]: $\$ok' \sharp cmt_R P$ **by** ($simp\ add:\ cmt_R$ - $def\ unrest\ usubst$)

lemma wait-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \sharp pre_R P

by ($simp\ add$: pre_R - $def\ unrest\ usubst$)

lemma wait-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \sharp peri $_R$ P by (simp add: peri $_R$ -def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-post-unrest [unrest]: $wait \sharp post_R P$ **by** (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-cmt-unrest [unrest]: $wait \sharp cmt_R P$ **by** (simp add: cmt_R -def unrest usubst)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ wait'\text{-}peri\text{-}unrest \ [unrest] \colon \$wait' \ \sharp \ peri_R \ P \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add \colon peri_R\text{-}def \ unrest \ usubst) \end{array}$

lemma wait'-post-unrest [unrest]: $$wait' \ \pm post_R P$ **by** ($simp\ add$: $post_R$ - $def\ unrest\ usubst$)

4.3.3 Substitution laws

lemma pre_s -design: $pre_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = (\neg pre_s \dagger P)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design\text{-}def\ pre_R\text{-}def\ usubst})$

lemma $peri_s$ -design: $peri_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ usubst\ wait'$ -cond-def)

lemma $post_s$ -design: $post_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow R)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ usubst\ wait'$ -cond-def)

lemma cmt_s - $design: cmt_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design-def\ usubst\ wait'-cond-def)$

lemma pre_s -R1 [usubst]: $pre_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(pre_s \dagger P)$ **by** ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)

lemma pre_s -R2c [usubst]: $pre_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(pre_s \dagger P)$ **by** (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma $peri_s$ -R1 [usubst]: $peri_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)$ **by** ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)

lemma $peri_s$ -R2c [usubst]: $peri_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(peri_s \dagger P)$ by $(simp\ add:\ R2c\text{-}def\ R2s\text{-}def\ usubst)$

lemma $post_s$ -R1 [usubst]: $post_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$ by ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)

lemma $post_s$ -R2c [usubst]: $post_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(post_s \dagger P)$ by ($simp\ add$: R2c- $def\ R2s$ - $def\ usubst$)

lemma cmt_s -R1 [usubst]: $cmt_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)$ by ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)

lemma cmt_s -R2c [usubst]: $cmt_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(cmt_s \dagger P)$ **by** (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{pre-wait-false} \colon$

```
pre_R(P[false/\$wait]) = pre_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma cmt-wait-false:
  cmt_R(P[false/\$wait]) = cmt_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-pre-RHS-design: pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre<sub>R</sub>-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def)
lemma rea-cmt-RHS-design: cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design)
lemma rea-peri-RHS-design: peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))
 by (simp add:RHS-def usubst peri<sub>R</sub>-def R3h-def peri<sub>s</sub>-design, rel-auto)
lemma rea-post-RHS-design: post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))
 by (simp\ add:RHS-def\ usubst\ post_R-def\ R3h-def\ post_s-design,\ rel-auto)
lemma peri\text{-}cmt\text{-}def: peri_R(P) = (cmt_R(P))[true/\$wait']
 by (rel-auto)
lemma post-cmt-def: post_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-cmt: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash cmt_s \dagger Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-pre: \mathbf{R}_s((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
4.3.4
         Healthiness laws
lemma wait'-unrest-pre-SRD [unrest]:
 \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P) \Longrightarrow \$wait' \sharp pre_R (SRD P)
 apply (rel-auto)
 using least-zero apply blast+
done
lemma R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(cmt_R(P))) = cmt_R(P)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design
assms\ rea-cmt-RHS-design)
lemma R1-R2s-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{hide-lams})\ \textit{Healthy-def}\ \textit{R1-R2s-R2c}\ \textit{R2-def}\ \textit{R2-idem}\ \textit{RHS-def}\ \textit{SRD-RH-design-form}
assms peri_R-def peri_s-R1 peri_s-R2c)
lemma R1-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(peri_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(peri_R(P))))
```

```
by (simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 also have ... = peri_R(P)
   by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma periR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-peri-SRD)
lemma R1-R2c-peri-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-R2s-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form
assms\ post_R-def\ post_s-R1\ post_s-R2c)
lemma R2c-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-peri-RHS R1-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(post_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 also have ... = post_R(P)
   by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R2c-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
lemma postR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-post-SRD)
lemma R1-R2c-post-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
lemma R2-cmt-conj-wait':
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2(cmt_R \ P \land \neg \$wait') = (cmt_R \ P \land \neg \$wait')
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' R1-extend-conj R1-R2s-cmt-SRD)
lemma R2c-preR:
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(pre_R(P)) = pre_R(P)
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design rea-pre-RHS-design)
lemma preR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R2c-preR)
lemma R2c-periR:
  P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD R2c-idem)
lemma periR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \implies peri_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-peri-SRD)
lemma R2c-postR:
  P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \ \textit{hide-lams}) \ \textit{R1-R2c-commute} \ \textit{R1-R2c-is-R2} \ \textit{R1-R2s-post-SRD} \ \textit{R2-def} \ \textit{R2s-idem})
lemma postR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \implies post_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-post-SRD)
lemma periR-RR [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma postR-RR [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma wpR-trace-ident-pre [wp]:
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P = pre_R \ P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-preR [closure]:
 pre_R(P) is R1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-periR:
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; peri_R(P) = peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-postR:
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R) ;; post_R(P) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-right-postR:
  post_R(P) ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: R2-comp-def Healthy-comp closure)
lemma periR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-peri-RHS R2-R2c-def)
lemma postR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-post-RHS R2-R2c-def)
```

4.3.5 Calculation laws

```
lemma wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt [rdes]:
  cmt_R P = peri_R P \diamond post_R P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR
 shows pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P
 by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1)
lemma periR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR
 shows peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)
 by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma postR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)
 by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma preR-Chaos [rdes]: pre_R(Chaos) = false
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma periR-Chaos [rdes]: peri_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma postR-Chaos [rdes]: post_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma preR-Miracle [rdes]: pre_R(Miracle) = true_r
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-Miracle [rdes]: peri_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma postR-Miracle [rdes]: post_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma preR-srdes-skip [rdes]: pre_R(II_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-srdes-skip [rdes]: peri_R(II_R) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srdes-skip [rdes]: post_R(II_R) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-INF [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-INF [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))
 by (rel-simp, simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
lemma postR-INF [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P))
 by (rel-simp, simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
```

```
lemma preR-UINF [rdes]: pre_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcup i \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR\text{-}UINF \ [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot post_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\coprod i \in A \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
  \mathbf{by} \ (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \mid j) = (| \mid (i,j) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \mid j))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (| \ | \ (i,j,k) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \ | \ k))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot post_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: <math>post_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR\text{-}inf [rdes]: pre_R(P \sqcap Q) = (pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-inf [rdes]: peri_R(P \sqcap Q) = (peri_R(P) \lor peri_R(Q))
 by (rel\text{-}simp)
lemma postR-inf [rdes]: post_R(P \cap Q) = (post_R(P) \vee post_R(Q))
 by (rel\text{-}simp)
lemma preR-SUP [rdes]: pre_R(| | A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-SUP [rdes]: peri_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))
  by (rel-simp, simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
lemma postR-SUP [rdes]: post_R(   A) = (  P \in A \cdot post_R(P) )
  by (rel-simp, simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
```

4.4 Formation laws

```
lemma srdes-skip-tri-design [rdes-def]: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r)
    by (simp add: srdes-skip-def, rel-auto)
lemma Chaos-tri-def [rdes-def]: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true_r \diamond true_r)
     by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
lemma Miracle-tri-def [rdes-def]: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond false)
     by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre wait'-cond-idem)
lemma RHS-tri-design-form:
     assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (P_2 \diamond P_3))))
    have \mathbf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)))) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_2))) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_2)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_2))
RR(P_3)))))
          apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
     thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma RHS-design-pre-post-form:
    \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
     have \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f)[true/\$ok]] \vdash P^t_f[true/\$ok])
          by (simp add: design-subst-ok)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
          by (simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-as-reactive-design:
     SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
     \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon RHS\text{-}design\text{-}pre\text{-}post\text{-}form\ SRD\text{-}RH\text{-}design\text{-}form)
lemma SRD-reactive-design-alt:
     assumes P is SRD
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P
     have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
          by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form)
     thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design assms)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma SRD-reactive-tri-design-lemma:
     SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket)
     by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{SRD-as-reactive-tri-design} :
     SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
proof -
    have SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f[true/\$wait'] \diamond P_f[false/\$wait'])
          by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
```

```
apply (simp add: usubst)
   apply (subst design-subst-ok-ok'[THEN sym])
   apply (simp\ add: pre_R-def\ peri_R-def\ post_R-def\ usubst\ unrest)
   apply (rel-auto)
  done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD-reactive-tri-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P
 by (metis Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma SRD-elim [RD-elim]: P is SRD; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \implies Q(P)
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design)
lemma RHS-tri-design-is-SRD [closure]:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest assms)
lemma SRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)
lemma USUP-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 shows (| P \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))) = (| P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)
 by (rule USUP-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
lemma UINF-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 shows (\bigcap P \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)
 by (rule UINF-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
         Order laws
lemma preR-antitone: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow pre_R(Q) \subseteq pre_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq peri_R(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow post_R(P) \sqsubseteq post_R(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
4.5
        Composition laws
theorem RH-tri-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
          wait' \sharp Q_2  wait \sharp S_1  wait \sharp S_2
 shows (RH(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; RH(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
      RH((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \land \neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') \ ;; \ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) \vdash
                     ((Q_1 \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))))
proof -
```

```
have 1:(\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) =
        (\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
  have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \diamond \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                 ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
  proof -
    have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                       = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait')
    proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
           = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land \lceil II \rceil_D))
        by (rel-auto)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \lceil II \rceil_D) \land \$wait')
        by (rel-auto)
      also from assms(2) have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait')
        by (simp add: lift-des-skip-dr-unit-unrest unrest)
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    moreover have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                  = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; \ (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
    proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
            = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
        by (metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
        by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
      finally show ?thesis.
   \mathbf{qed}
    moreover
    have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait') \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
          = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq)
  qed
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst RH-design-composition)
    apply (simp-all add: assms)
    apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
    apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
    apply (simp add: 12)
    apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-lemma1)
  done
qed
```

```
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
     assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR
     (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
    apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
    apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
     apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
    assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
    shows
     (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
     by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition:
     assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
                         \$wait \sharp R \$wait' \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
    shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
                 \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \land \neg (R1(R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) \vdash
                                                    (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))))
proof -
    have 1:(\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) =
                    (\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)))
         by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
     have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                                          (((\exists \$st' \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R2 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R2 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R2 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R3 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R3 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R3 \ (R3s \ Q_2) \ ;; R3 \ (R3s
S_2)))
     proof -
         have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                                                         = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait'))
         proof -
               have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                           = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)))
                   by (rel-auto, blast+)
               also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \land \$wait')
                   by (rel-auto)
               also from assms(2) have ... = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait'))
                   by (rel-auto, blast)
               finally show ?thesis.
         qed
          moreover have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \land R1 \ (R2s \ S_2) \land R2 \ (R2s \ S_2) \land R3 \ (R3s \ S_2) \land R3 \ (R
S_2)))))
                                             = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
         proof -
               have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                              = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
              by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
               also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
                   by (metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
               also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
```

```
by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   moreover
   have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait') \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
         = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
     by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest)
        (simp add: cond-and-T-integrate cond-seq-right-distr unrest-var wait'-cond-def)
  qed
 from assms(7,8) have 3: (R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);
R
   by (rel-auto, blast, meson)
  show ?thesis
   apply (subst RHS-design-composition)
   apply (simp-all add: assms)
   apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
   apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
   apply (simp add: 1 2 3)
   apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-lemma1)
   apply (metis R1-R2c-ex-st RHS-design-lemma1)
  done
qed
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-wp:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
         \$wait \sharp R \$wait \' \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
         P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
         R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
         \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) \ wp_r \ false \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))) (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg R1 \ (\neg P) \ ;; R1 \ true \land \neg Q_2 \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap Q_2 \ ;; S_1) \diamond Q_2 \ ;;
S_2
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def)
      (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16))
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:
  assumes P is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
         R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
         \mathbf{R}_{s}(((\neg_{r}\ P)\ wp_{r}\ false\ \land\ Q_{2}\ wp_{r}\ R)\vdash (((\exists\ \$st'\ \cdot\ Q_{1})\ \sqcap\ (Q_{2}\ ;;\ S_{1}))\ \diamond\ (Q_{2}\ ;;\ S_{2})))\ (\mathbf{is}\ ?lhs=1)
?rhs)
 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c)
```

```
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:
   assumes
      \$ok \' \sharp P \$ok \' \sharp Q_1 \$ok \' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
      \$wait \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_1 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_2
      P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
      R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
       R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \sharp Q_1
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
               = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
   have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
             \mathbf{R}_s ((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
      by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
      by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
   assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st' \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
                = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
   have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
      using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
      by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
            (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
   thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
   assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait' \sharp R
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
proof -
   have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
      by (simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u) ) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ (R1s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R
tr \wedge [II]_R)))
      by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ R))
   proof -
      from assms(3,4) have (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))) = R1 (R2s R)
          by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
      thus ?thesis
          by simp
   qed
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre
RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
      by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
{f lemma} \ SRD	ext{-}composition	ext{-}wp:
         assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
        shows (P :; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                                                                                                   ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
         (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
         \mathbf{have}\ (P\ ;;\ Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))\ ;;\ \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
                 by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1)\ assms(2))
         also from assms
        have \dots = ?rhs
                 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp disj-upred-def unrest assms closure)
        finally show ?thesis.
4.6
                                    Refinement introduction laws
lemma RHS-tri-design-refine:
        assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
        \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow `P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 
P_3
         (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
         have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow 'P<sub>1</sub> \Rightarrow Q<sub>1</sub>' \wedge 'P<sub>1</sub> \wedge Q<sub>2</sub> \diamond Q<sub>3</sub> \Rightarrow P<sub>2</sub> \diamond P<sub>3</sub>'
                 by (simp add: RHS-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest)
         also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '(P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'
                 by (rel-auto)
       also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[true/$wait']' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2)
\diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket
                 by (rel-auto, metis)
         also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
                 by (simp add: usubst unrest assms)
        finally show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma} srdes-tri-refine-intro:
         assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot P_1 \wedge R_2 \Rightarrow R_1 \wedge R_2 \wedge R_
         shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
        by (rule-tac srdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto)
lemma srdes-tri-eq-intro:
         assumes P_1 = Q_1 P_2 = Q_2 P_3 = Q_3
         shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
         using assms by (simp)
lemma srdes-tri-refine-intro':
         assumes P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \ R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land R_2)
         shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
         by (rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-peri-under-pre:
         assumes P is SRD \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
         shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
```

```
have peri_R(P) =
       peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design assms
        unrest usubst R1-peri-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-periR)
  finally show ?thesis ...
qed
lemma SRD-post-under-pre:
 assumes P is SRD \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
 shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
 have post_R(P) =
       post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P)
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design assms
       unrest usubst R1-post-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-postR)
 finally show ?thesis ...
qed
lemma SRD-refine-intro:
  assumes
    P is SRD Q is SRD
    pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q), pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P), pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)
 shows P \sqsubseteq Q
 by (metis\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)\ assms(5)\ srdes\text{-}tri\text{-}refine\text{-}intro)
lemma SRD-refine-intro':
  assumes
    P is SRD Q is SRD
    \textit{`pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow \textit{pre}_R(Q) \textit{`peri}_R(P) \sqsubseteq (\textit{pre}_R(P) \land \textit{peri}_R(Q)) \textit{ post}_R(P) \sqsubseteq (\textit{pre}_R(P) \land \textit{post}_R(Q))
  shows P \sqsubseteq Q
  using assms by (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-eq-intro:
  assumes
    P \text{ is } SRD \text{ } Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ } pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q) \text{ } peri_R(P) = peri_R(Q) \text{ } post_R(P) = post_R(Q)
  shows P = Q
 by (metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
4.7
        Closure laws
lemma SRD-srdes-skip [closure]: II_R is SRD
  by (simp add: srdes-skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma SRD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows (P :; Q) is SRD
proof -
  have (P :; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                      ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \lor post_R P ;; peri_R Q) \diamond post_R P ;; post_R Q)
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}composition\text{-}wp\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
  also have ... is SRD
   by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest)
```

```
finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-power-Suc [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P ;; P^n is SRD
proof (induct n)
        case \theta
         then show ?case
                 by (simp)
next
         case (Suc \ n)
         then show ?case
                 using SRD-seqr-closure by auto
qed
lemma SRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
        assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H A \neq \{\}
        shows (  A) is SRD
proof -
         have SRD (   A) = (   (SRD 'A))
                 by (simp\ add:\ ContinuousD\ SRD-Continuous\ assms(2))
         also have ... = (   A )
                 by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
         finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
4.8
                                     Distribution laws
lemma RHS-tri-design-choice [rdes-def]:
        \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \lor Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3))
        apply (simp add: RHS-design-choice)
        apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s])
            apply (simp)
         apply (rel-auto)
         done
lemma RHS-tri-design-sup [rdes-def]:
          \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
\Rightarrow_r P_3) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
        by (simp add: RHS-design-sup, rel-auto)
lemma RHS-tri-design-conj [rdes-def]:
        (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \land \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
\Rightarrow_r P_3) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
        by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-sup conj-upred-def)
lemma SRD-UINF [rdes-def]:
         assumes A \neq \{\} A \subseteq [SRD]_H
        shows \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
proof -
        have \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(\bigcap A) \vdash peri_R(\bigcap A) \diamond post_R(\bigcap A))
                 by (metis SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms srdes-hcond-def
                                                              srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-inf srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-inf-def)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
                 by (simp add: preR-INF periR-INF postR-INF assms)
         finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma RHS-tri-design-USUP [rdes-def]:
   assumes A \neq \{\}
   shows (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i) \diamond R(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond (\bigcap i \in A)
   by (subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms, rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SRD-UINF-ind}:
   assumes A \neq \{\} \land i. P i is SRD
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
   have ?lhs = ( (P `A))
       by (rel-auto)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((| | Pa \in P \land A \cdot pre_R Pa) \vdash (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa) \diamond (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa)
post_R Pa))
       by (subst rdes-def, simp-all add: assms image-subsetI)
   also have \dots = ?rhs
       by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma cond-srea-form [rdes-def]:
   \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
    \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
   have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2)
S_2
       by (pred-auto)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
       by (simp add: RHS-cond lift-cond-srea-def)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1 \diamond S_2))
       by (simp add: design-condr lift-cond-srea-def)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
       by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-cond-srea [closure]:
   assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
   shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
proof -
   have P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
      by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ peri_R \ Q) \diamond (post_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ post_R
       by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
   also have ... is SRD
       by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD lift-cond-srea-def unrest)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

4.9 Algebraic laws

```
lemma SRD-left-unit: assumes P is SRD
```

```
shows II_R;; P = P
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp C1 R1-negate-R1 R1-false
     rpred trace-ident-left-periR trace-ident-left-postR SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD	ext{-}right	ext{-}unit	ext{-}tri	ext{-}lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows P :: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp rpred trace-ident-right-postR assms)
lemma Miracle-left-zero:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows Miracle ;; P = Miracle
proof -
  have Miracle ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Miracle-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R1-false R2s-false R2s-true)
  also have \dots = Miracle
   by (simp add: Miracle-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Chaos-left-zero:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows (Chaos ;; P) = Chaos
proof -
  have Chaos ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ true \land \neg (R1 \ true \land \neg \$wait');; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ (pre_R \ P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R2s-false R2s-true R1-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((false \land \neg (R1 \ true \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (<math>\neg R2s \ (pre_R \ P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true)
   by (simp add: design-false-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (simp add: design-def)
  also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows P;; Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ false) \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
lemma SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows P ;; Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
Stateful reactive designs are left unital
overloading
```

```
srdes-unit == utp-unit :: (SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp) uthy \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
begin
 definition srdes-unit :: (SRDES, ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ rsp) \ uthy \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
 srdes-unit T = II_R
end
interpretation srdes-left-unital: utp-theory-left-unital SRDES
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: srdes-hoond-def srdes-unit-def SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip SRD-left-unit)
4.10
         Recursion laws
lemma mono-srd-iter:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows mono (\lambda X. \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F X) \vdash peri_R(F X) \diamond post_R(F X)))
 apply (rule monoI)
 apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE preR-antitone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE periR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE postR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
done
lemma mu-srd-SRD:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) is SRD
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
 apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest)
done
lemma mu-srd-iter:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
  \mathbf{shows} \ (\mu \ X \ \cdot \ \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \ \vdash \ peri_R(F(X)) \ \diamond \ post_R(F(X)))) \ = \ F(\mu \ X \ \cdot \ \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \ \vdash \ peri_R(F(X))))
peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X)))
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
 apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (subst SRD-as-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym])
 using Healthy-func assms(1) assms(2) mu-srd-SRD apply blast
done
lemma mu-srd-form:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows \mu_R F = (\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X))) \diamond post_R(F(X))))
proof -
 have 1: F(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(FX) \vdash peri_R(FX) \diamond post_R(FX))) is SRD
   by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-apply-closed\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ mu-srd-SRD)
 have 2:Mono<sub>uthy-order</sub> SRDES F
   by (simp add: assms(1) mono-Monotone-utp-order)
 hence 3:\mu_R F = F (\mu_R F)
   by (simp add: srdes-theory-continuous.LFP-unfold[THEN sym] assms)
 hence \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \diamond post_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F)))) = \mu_R \ F
   using SRD-reactive-tri-design by force
  hence (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R(F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) \sqsubseteq F \ (\mu_R \ F)
   by (simp add: 2 srdes-theory-continuous.weak.LFP-lemma3 gfp-upperbound assms)
  thus ?thesis
   using assms 1 3 srdes-theory-continuous.weak.LFP-lowerbound eq-iff mu-srd-iter
```

```
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
lemma Monotonic-SRD-comp [closure]: Monotonic (op ;; P \circ SRD)
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RD1-mono RD2-mono RHS-def SRD-def
segr-mono)
end
```

5 Normal Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rdes-normal
 imports utp-rdes-triples
begin
This additional healthiness condition is analogous to H3
definition RD3 where
[upred-defs]: RD3(P) = P;; II_R
lemma RD3-idem: RD3(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a: II_R :: II_R = II_R
   by (simp add: SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip)
 show ?thesis
   by (simp \ add: RD3-def \ seqr-assoc \ a)
lemma RD3-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent RD3
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD3-idem)
lemma RD3-continuous: RD3(\bigcap A) = (\bigcap P \in A. RD3(P))
 by (simp add: RD3-def seq-Sup-distr)
lemma RD3-Continuous [closure]: Continuous RD3
 by (simp add: Continuous-def RD3-continuous)
lemma RD3-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a:II_R;; J = II_R
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc)
lemma RD3-left-subsumes-RD2: RD3(RD2(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a:J; II_R = II_R
   by (rel\text{-}simp, safe, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a segr-assoc)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma RD3-implies-RD2: P is RD3 \implies P is RD2
 by (metis Healthy-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2)
```

```
lemma RD3-intro-pre:
  assumes P is SRD (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P)) \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
proof -
  have RD3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
    by (simp add: RD3-def SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
    by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ ex-unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash cmt_R \ P)
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> P \vdash cmt_R P)
    by (simp add: assms(2) rpred wp-rea-def R1-preR)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (metis\ Healthy-def\ SRD-as-reactive-design\ assms(1))
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait' \sharp R
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
    by (simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P);; R1 true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R);; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u
tr \wedge [II]_R))))
    by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) :: R1 true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 (R2s R))
  proof -
    from assms(3,4) have (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (<math>tr' = u tr \land [H]_R))) = R1 (R2s R)
      by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre
RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro:
  assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$st' \sharp Q \$wait' \sharp R
    P \text{ is } R1 \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r = (\neg_r \ P)
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
  apply (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def)
 apply (subst RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma)
 apply (simp-all add:assms ex-unrest rpred)
```

RD3 reactive designs are those whose assumption can be written as a conjunction of a precondition on (undashed) program variables, and a negated statement about the trace. The latter allows us to state that certain events must not occur in the trace – which are effectively safety properties.

 ${f lemma}$ R1-right-unit-lemma:

done

```
\llbracket \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ b; \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ e \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\lnot_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \widehat{\ }_u \ e \le_u \ \$tr') \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lnot_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \widehat{\ }_u \ e \le_u \ \$tr')
 by (rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans)
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro-form:
 assumes
    out\alpha \parallel b \ out\alpha \parallel e \ \$ok' \parallel Q \ \$st' \parallel Q \ \$ok' \parallel R \ \$wait' \parallel R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((b \land \neg_r \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ e \leq_u \$tr') \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
 apply (rule RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest closure rpred)
 apply (subst R1-right-unit-lemma)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest)
done
definition NSRD :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: NSRD = RD1 \circ RD3 \circ RHS
lemma RD1-RD3-commute: <math>RD1(RD3(P)) = RD3(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma NSRD-is-SRD [closure]: P is NSRD \implies P is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD2-RHS-commute
RD3-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip)
lemma NSRD-elim [RD-elim]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is NSRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: RD-elim closure)
lemma NSRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent NSRD
 by (clarsimp simp add: Idempotent-def NSRD-def, metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute
RD3-def RD3-idem RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip)
lemma NSRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous NSRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp NSRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3-Continuous RHS-Continuous)
lemma NSRD-form:
  NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
 have NSRD(P) = RD\Im(SRD(P))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-def)
 also have ... = RD3(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; II_R
   by (simp \ add: RD3-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-healthy-form:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))) = P
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form assms)
lemma NSRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
 assumes A \subseteq [NSRD]_H A \neq \{\}
```

```
shows \prod A \text{ is } NSRD
proof -
 have NSRD (\bigcap A) = (\bigcap (NSRD 'A))
   by (simp\ add: ContinuousD\ NSRD-Continuous\ assms(2))
 also have ... = (   A )
   by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma intChoice-NSRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows P \sqcap Q is NSRD
 using NSRD-Sup-closure[of \{P, Q\}] by (simp \ add: \ assms)
lemma NRSD-SUP-closure [closure]:
  \llbracket \bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) \ is \ NSRD; \ A \neq \{\} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\prod i \in A. \ P(i)) \ is \ NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-Sup-closure, auto)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-unit:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 \mathbf{have}\;(\lnot_r\;\mathit{pre}_R(P)) = (\lnot_r\;\mathit{pre}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\lnot_r\;(\lnot_r\;\mathit{pre}_R(P))\;;;\;R1\;\mathit{true}) \vdash ((\exists\;\$st'\;\cdot\;\mathit{peri}_R(P)) \diamond\;\mathit{post}_R(P)))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
  by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest
closure)
 also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) ;; R1 true
   by (simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-not-def)
qed
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is R1 Q is RD1
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) :: Q = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) NSRD-neg-pre-unit RD1-left-zero assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) seqr-assoc)
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-peri [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
proof -
 have peri_R(P) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true \Rightarrow_r (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P)))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have \$st' \sharp ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
```

```
have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = (R1 \ (R2c \ (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true)))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have $wait' \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st' \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have \$st' \sharp ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-RR [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows pre_R(P) is RC
 by (rule RC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms NSRD-neg-pre-unit rpred)
lemma NSRD-intro:
 assumes P is SRD (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ \$st' \ \sharp \ peri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
 have NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-form)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: assms ex-unrest rpred closure)
 also have \dots = P
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-reactive-tri-design}\ assms(1))
 finally show ?thesis
   using Healthy-def by blast
qed
lemma NSRD-intro':
 assumes P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD3
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def assms comp-apply)
lemma NSRD-RC-intro:
 assumes P is SRD pre_R(P) is RC \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
     ex-unrest rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
```

```
lemma NSRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
   assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' \sharp Q
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is NSRD
   by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
lemma SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD:
    \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; P \text{ is } RD3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } NSRD
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def NSRD-def RHS-idem SRD-healths(4) SRD-reactive-design
comp-apply)
lemma NSRD-iff:
    P \text{ is } NSRD \longleftrightarrow ((P \text{ is } SRD) \land (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \text{ } ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \land (\$st' \sharp \text{ } peri_R(P)))
   by (meson NSRD-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri)
lemma NSRD-is-RD3 [closure]:
   assumes P is NSRD
   shows P is RD3
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri RD3-intro-pre assms)
lemma NSRD-refine-elim:
   assumes
       P \sqsubseteq Q P \text{ is } NSRD Q \text{ is } NSRD
       \llbracket \text{`$pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)\text{'}; \text{`$pre}_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P)\text{'}; \text{`$pre}_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)\text{'} \rrbracket
\implies R
   shows R
proof -
   have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
       by (simp\ add:\ NSRD\ -is\ -SRD\ -reactive\ -tri\ -design\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3))
   hence 1: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow pre_R Q' and 2: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land peri_R Q \Rightarrow peri_R P' and 3: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R Q \Rightarrow
post_R P'
       by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms closure)
   with assms(4) show ?thesis
       by simp
qed
lemma NSRD-right-unit: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P ;; II_R = P
   by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-is-RD3 RD3-def)
lemma NSRD-composition-wp:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
   shows P :: Q =
                \mathbf{R}_s \; ((pre_R \; P \; \land \; post_R \; P \; wp_r \; pre_R \; Q) \vdash (peri_R \; P \; \lor \; (post_R \; P \; ;; \; peri_R \; Q)) \diamond (post_R \; P \; ;; \; post_R \; P \; ;; \; post_
Q))
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms NSRD-is-SRD wp-rea-def NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri
R1-negate-R1 R1-preR ex-unrest rpred)
lemma preR-NSRD-seg-lemma:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
   shows R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))) = post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q)
proof -
   have post_R P;; (\neg_r pre_R Q) = R1(R2c(post_R P));; R1(R2c(\neg_r pre_R Q))
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-post-RHS R1-rea-not R2c-preR R2c-rea-not assms(1) assms(2))
    also have ... = R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q)))
       by (simp add: R1-seqr R2c-R1-seq calculation)
```

```
finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma preR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
 shows pre_R(P ;; Q) = (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
  by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj
    R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute [THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure)
    (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-commute
     R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R1-seqr-closure assms(1) assms(2) postR-R2c-closed postR-SRD-R1
     preR-R2c-closed rea-not-R1 rea-not-R2c)
lemma periR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 \mathbf{shows} \ peri_R(P \ ;; \ Q) = ((pre_R \ P \ \land \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R \ P \ \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
     R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-segr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
     R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not R1-peri-SRD)
lemma postR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows post_R(P :; Q) = ((pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (post_R P :; post_R Q))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
     R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
     R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not)
lemma NSRD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is NSRD
proof -
 have (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q);; true_r = (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q)
   by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NSRD-neg-pre-unit)
 moreover have st' \not\equiv pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor post_R P ;; peri_R Q
   by (simp add: unrest assms wp-rea-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (rule-tac NSRD-intro, simp-all add: segr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest)
qed
{f lemma} RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:
 assumes
   \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
   \$wait \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_1 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_2
   P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
   R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
   R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \sharp Q_1
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
       \mathbf{R}_s ((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
   by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
   by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st' \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
       = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
 have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
   using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
     (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
If a normal reactive design has postcondition false, then it is a left zero for sequential composi-
lemma NSRD-seq-post-false:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD post<sub>R</sub>(P) = false
 shows P :: Q = P
 apply (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms wp rpred closure)
 using NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1,3) apply fastforce
done
lemma NSRD-srd-skip [closure]: II_R is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
lemma NSRD-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
{f lemma} NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P ;; Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond false)
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp closure assms rdes wp rpred)
lemma NSRD-power-Suc [closure]: P is NSRD \implies P;; P^n is NSRD
proof (induct \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
   using NSRD-seqr-closure by auto
qed
lemma preR-power:
 assumes P is NSRD
 proof (induct \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: wp closure)
```

```
next
  case (Suc n) note hyp = this
  have pre_R (P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) = pre_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
   by (simp)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R (P :; P \hat{\ } n))
   by (subst preR-NSRD-seq, simp-all add: closure assms)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P))
   by (simp\ only:\ hyp)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
   by (simp \ add: wp)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \land (| | i \in \{0..n\}, (post_R P \land (i+1) wp_r pre_R P)))
  proof -
   have \bigwedge i. R1 \ (post_R \ P \hat{\ } i \ ;; \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)) = (post_R \ P \hat{\ } i \ ;; \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P))
      by (induct-tac i, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
   thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: wp-rea-def segr-assoc rpred closure assms)
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \land (|| i \in \{0..n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
   by (simp add: wp assms closure)
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \land ( \sqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
  proof -
   have ( \bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R \ P \ \hat{} (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)) = ( \bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. (post_R \ P \ \hat{} i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P))
      by (rule cong[of Inf], simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
      (metis (no-types, lifting) image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-cong image-image upred-semiring.power-Suc)
   thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P))
   by (simp add: conj-upred-def)
  also have ... = (||i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. post_R P \hat{i} wp_r pre_R P)
   by (simp add: atLeast0-atMost-Suc-eq-insert-0)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma preR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows pre_R(P :; P \hat{\ } n) = (|\ | \ i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (post_R(P) \hat{\ } i) \ wp_r \ (pre_R(P)))
 by (simp add: preR-power assms USUP-as-Inf[THEN sym])
lemma periR-power:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows peri_R(P :: P^n) = (pre_R(P^n(Suc n)) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}, post_R(P)^n i) :: peri_R(P))
proof (induct \ n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-peri-under-pre assms)
  case (Suc\ n) note hyp = this
  have peri_R (P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) = peri_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
   by (simp)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \lor post_R\ P ;; peri_R\ (P ;; P \hat{\ } n)))
   by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
 also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \cap (Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R P \hat{\ } i) ;; peri_R P)))
   by (simp only: hyp)
  also
```

```
have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n}) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n}))
\Rightarrow_r ( \prod i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \hat{i} :; peri_R P)))
          by (simp add: rdes closure assms, rel-blast)
     also
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; ( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; ( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; ( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; ( [ i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ ] \ post_R \ post_R
P \hat{i} ;; peri_R P)))
     proof -
          have (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. post<sub>R</sub> P \cap i) is R1
          by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-Continuous R1-power Sup-Continuous-closed assms postR-SRD-R1)
          hence 1:(( [ i \in \{0..n\}, post_R P \hat{i}); peri_R P) is R1
               by (simp add: closure assms)
          hence (pre_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } n) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \hat{\ } i) ;; peri_R P) is R1
               by (simp add: closure)
         hence (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \ ;; \ (pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;)
\hat{i} i i; peri_R P)
                         = (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P) \ ;; R1(pre_R \ (P \ ;; P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P))
               by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-post-SRD assms closure)
          thus ?thesis
              by (simp only: wp-rea-impl-lemma, simp add: Healthy-if 1, simp add: R1-post-SRD assms closure)
     qed
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;; (i) \mid i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \
P \hat{i} :: peri_R P)
         by (pred-auto)
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ (Suc
i)) ;; peri_R P))
          by (simp add: seq-Sup-distl seqr-assoc[THEN sym])
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor (( [ i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i)))
;; peri_R P))
     proof -
          have (\prod i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \cap Suc i) = (\prod i \in \{1..Suc n\}. post_R P \cap i)
               apply (rule cong[of Sup], auto)
           apply (metis\ at Least OAt Most\ at Most-iff\ image-Suc-at Least At Most\ rev-image-eq I\ upred-semiring.power-Suc)
               using Suc-le-D apply fastforce
          done
          thus ?thesis by simp
     qed
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \hat{\ } n) \Rightarrow_r ((\bigcap i \in \{0..Suc \ n\}. \ post_R \ P \hat{\ } i)) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P)
         by (simp add: SUP-atLeastAtMost-first uinf-or seqr-or-distl seqr-or-distr)
     also
     have ... = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ (Suc\ n)))) \Rightarrow_r ((\prod i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}, post_R\ P^{\hat{}}\ i);; peri_R\ P))
          by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
    finally show ?case by (simp)
qed
lemma periR-power' [rdes]:
     assumes P is NSRD
    shows peri_R(P;; P^n) = (pre_R(P^n(Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (   i \in \{0..n\} \cdot post_R(P) \hat{i}) ;; peri_R(P))
    by (simp add: periR-power assms UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym])
```

lemma postR-power [rdes]:

```
assumes P is NSRD
  shows post_R(P :; P \hat{\ } n) = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc \ n)) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \hat{\ } Suc \ n)
proof (induct n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-post-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc\ n) note hyp = this
 have post_R (P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) = post_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
    by (simp)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R\ P ;; post_R\ (P ;; P \hat{\ } n)))
   by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \hat{\ } Suc\ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R\ P \hat{\ } Suc\ n)))
    by (simp \ only: hyp)
  also
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ \hat{} \ Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (pre_R \ (P \ \hat{} \ Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R
P \cap Suc(n))
   by (simp add: rdes closure assms, pred-auto)
  also
 have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P \hat{\ } Suc n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; post_R P \hat{\ } Suc n))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc R1-power assms hyp postR-SRD-R1
upred-semiring.power-Suc wp-rea-impl-lemma)
  also
  have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ \hat{\ } Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \ \hat{\ } Suc \ (Suc \ n))
    by (pred-auto)
 also have ... = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ (Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r post_R P^{\hat{}} Suc\ (Suc\ n))
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
 finally show ?case by (simp)
lemma power-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' \sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) \hat{\ } (Suc\ n)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((    i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \hat{} i) wp_r P) \vdash ((    i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{} i) ;; Q) \diamond (R \hat{} Suc n))
proof (induct \ n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: wp assms closure)
next
  case (Suc \ n)
  have 1: (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \ wp_r \ (R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r \ P))) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc \ n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r \ P)
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \land Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
     by (simp add: wp closure assms)
    also have ... = (P \land (| | i \in \{0..n\}, (R \land Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
     by (simp only: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
    also have ... = (P \land (| | i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}, (R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r\ P)))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) INF-cong One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
    also have ... = (| i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (R \ i \ wp_r \ P))
      by (simp add: wp assms closure conj-upred-def)
    also have ... = (| | i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. (R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r\ P))
      by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
    finally show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
 qed
 have 2: (Q \vee R ;; (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{i}) ;; Q) = (\bigcap i \in \{0..Suc\ n\} \cdot R \hat{i}) ;; Q
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 proof -
   have ?lhs = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } Suc i) ;; Q)
    by (simp add: seqr-assoc[THEN sym] seq-UINF-distl)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{0..n\}. R \land Suc i) ;; Q)
    by (simp only: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}.\ R \hat{i});;\ Q)
    by (metis One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
   also have ... = (( \mid i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ R \hat{\ } i) ;; \ Q)
    by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
   also have ... = (( \mid i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ R \hat{i});; Q)
    by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
   finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 qed
      {f thm}\ image\mbox{-}Suc\mbox{-}atLeastLessThan
 have \beta: (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{i});; Q is RR
 proof -
   by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{1..n\}, R \hat{i});; Q)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-insert disj-upred-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl upred-semiring.power-0)
   by (metis\ One-nat-def\ at Least Less\ Than Suc-at Least At Most\ image-Suc-at Least Less\ Than\ image-image)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{0... < n\} \cdot R \land Suc\ i) ;;\ Q)
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   also have ... is RR
    by (simp-all add: closure assms)
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
 from 1 2 3 Suc show ?case
   by (simp add: Suc RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms wp)
qed
```

6 Syntax for reactive design contracts

```
theory utp-rdes-contracts
imports utp-rdes-normal
begin
```

end

We give an experimental syntax for reactive design contracts $[P \vdash Q|R]_R$, where P is a precondition on undashed state variables only, Q is a pericondition that can refer to the trace and before state but not the after state, and R is a postcondition. Both Q and R can refer only to the trace contribution through a HOL variable trace which is bound to &tt.

```
definition mk-RD :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 's \ hrel) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) hrel-rsp where mk-RD P Q R = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil P \rceil_{S <} \vdash \lceil Q(x) \rceil_{S <} \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket \diamond \lceil R(x) \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket)
```

```
definition trace\text{-}pred :: ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rsp \ \textbf{where}
[upred-defs]: trace-pred P = [(P \ x)]_{S < [x \to \&tt]}
syntax
  -trace-var :: logic
  -mk-RD :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic ([-/ \vdash -/ \mid -]_R)
  -trace-pred :: logic \Rightarrow logic ([-]<sub>t</sub>)
parse-translation \langle\!\langle
  fun\ trace-var-tr\ [] = Syntax.free\ trace
    | trace-var-tr - = raise Match;
[(@{syntax-const -trace-var}, K trace-var-tr)]
end
\rangle\rangle
translations
  [P \vdash Q \mid R]_R = > CONST \ mk-RD \ P \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ R)
  [P \vdash Q \mid R]_R \le CONST \ mk-RD \ P \ (\lambda \ x. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ y. \ R)
  [P]_t = CONST \ trace-pred \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ P)
  [P]_t \le CONST \ trace-pred \ (\lambda \ t. \ P)
lemma SRD-mk-RD [closure]: [P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]<sub>R</sub> is SRD
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-mk-RD [rdes]: pre_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \mid_R) = R1([P]_{S<})
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre)
lemma trace-pred-RR-closed [closure]:
  [P \ trace]_t \ is \ RR
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-trace-pred-st' [unrest]:
  st' \ddagger [P \ trace]_t
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-msubst-tt: R2c (msubst (\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) &tt) = (msubst (\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) &tt)
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ periR-mk-RD \ [rdes]: peri_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = (\lceil P \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1((\lceil Q(trace) \rceil_{S<})[\![trace \rightarrow \&tt]\!]))
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
\mathbf{lemma} \ postR-mk-RD \ [rdes]: post_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(([R(trace)]_S)[trace \rightarrow \&tt]))
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
Refinement introduction law for contracts
lemma RD-contract-refine:
  assumes
    Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ `} \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q \text{'}
    \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land peri_R Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_2 x \rceil_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket
```

```
 \begin{split} `\lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \wedge post_R \ Q &\Rightarrow \lceil P_3 \ x \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket `` \\ \mathbf{shows} \ [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq Q \\ \mathbf{proof} - \\ \mathbf{have} \ [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)) \\ \mathbf{using} \ assms \\ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ mk\text{-}RD\text{-}def, \ rule\text{-}tac \ srdes\text{-}tri\text{-}refine\text{-}intro, \ simp\text{-}all) \\ \mathbf{thus} \ ?thesis \\ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design \ assms(1)) \\ \mathbf{qed} \\ \mathbf{end} \end{aligned}
```

7 Reactive design tactics

end

```
theory utp-rdes-tactics
 imports utp-rdes-triples
begin
The following tactic can be used to simply and evaluate reactive predicates.
method rpred-simp = (uexpr-simp simps: rpred usubst closure unrest)
Tactic to expand out healthy reactive design predicates into the syntactic triple form.
method rdes-expand uses cls = (insert cls, (erule RD-elim)+)
Tactic to simplify the definition of a reactive design
method rdes-simp uses cls =
 ((rdes-expand cls: cls)?, (simp add: rdes-def rdes rpred cls closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if))
Tactic to prove a refinement
method rdes-refine uses cls =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls; rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro; (insert cls; rel-auto))
Tactics to prove an equality
method rdes-eq uses cls =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls; (rule-tac srdes-tri-eq-intro; insert cls; rel-auto))
Via antisymmetry
method rdes-eq' uses cls =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls; (rule-tac antisym; (rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro; insert cls; rel-auto)))
Tactic to calculate pre/peri/postconditions from reactive designs
method rdes-calc = (simp add: rdes rpred closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if)
The following tactic attempts to prove a reactive design refinement by calculation of the pre-,
peri-, and postconditions and then showing three implications between them using rel-blast.
method rdspl-refine =
 (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro; (simp add: closure rdes unrest usubst; rel-blast?))
The following tactic combines antisymmetry with the previous tactic to prove an equality.
method rdspl-eq =
 (rule-tac antisym, rdes-refine, rdes-refine)
```

8 Reactive design parallel-by-merge

```
theory utp-rdes-parallel
    imports
         utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}normal
          utp-rdes-tactics
begin
R3h implicitly depends on RD1, and therefore it requires that both sides be RD1. We also
require that both sides are R3c, and that wait_m is a quasi-unit, and div_m yields divergence.
lemma st-U0-alpha: [\exists \$st \cdot II]_0 = (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_0)
     by (rel-auto)
lemma st-U1-alpha: [\exists \$st \cdot II]_1 = (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_1)
     by (rel-auto)
definition skip\text{-}rm :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ rsp \ merge \ (II_{RM}) where
     [upred-defs]: II_{RM} = (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot skip_m \lor (\neg \$ok_{<} \land \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr'))
definition [upred-defs]: R3hm(M) = (II_{RM} \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright M)
lemma R3hm-idem: R3hm(R3hm(P)) = R3hm(P)
    by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-par-by-merge [closure]:
     assumes P is R3h Q is R3h M is R3hm
     shows (P \parallel_M Q) is R3h
     \mathbf{have}\ (P\parallel_M Q) = (((P\parallel_M Q)\llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$ok \mathrel{\vartriangleright} (P\parallel_M Q)\llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$wait \mathrel{\vartriangleright} (P\parallel_M Q) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket)
         by (simp add: cond-idem cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right)
     \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (((P \parallel_M Q)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait
\triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
         by (rel-auto)

hd (P \parallel_M Q))
     proof -
      \mathbf{have}\;(P\parallel_M Q)[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{vait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{vait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \, ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\mathsf{vait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))[\![\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\mathsf{vait}]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_
              by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
         also have ... = (([P]_0 \land [Q]_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{<}))[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
              by (rel-blast)
       \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}{}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$\mathbf{v}{}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{<}))[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
              by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
         also have ... = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
              by (rel-auto)
         finally show ?thesis by simp
    also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (R1(true))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait
\triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
     proof -
      \mathbf{have}\;(P\parallel_M Q)\llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<} ' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ; \; R3hm(M))\llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
              by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
         also have ... = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v} < =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$tr < \le_u \$tr'))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
              by (rel-blast)
         also have ... = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
              by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
```

```
also have ... = (R1(true)) [false, true/$ok, $wait]
     by (rel-blast)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
   by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = R3h(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: R3h-cases)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
definition [upred-defs]: RD1m(M) = (M \lor \neg \$ok_{<} \land \$tr_{<} \le_{u} \$tr')
lemma RD1-par-by-merge [closure]:
  assumes P is R1 Q is R1 M is R1m P is RD1 Q is RD1 M is RD1m
  shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD1
  have 1: (RD1(R1(P)) \parallel_{RD1m(R1m(M))} RD1(R1(Q))) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket = R1(true)
   by (rel-blast)
  have (P \parallel_M Q) = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket
   by (simp add: cond-var-split)
  also have ... = R1(P \parallel_M Q) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ 1\ \mathit{Healthy-if}\ \mathit{R1-par-by-merge}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{calculation}
              cond-idem\ cond-var-subst-right\ in-var-uvar\ ok-vwb-lens)
  also have ... = RD1(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge RD1-alt-def assms(3))
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma RD2-par-by-merge [closure]:
  assumes M is RD2
  shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD2
proof -
  have (P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M)
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon par\text{-}by\text{-}merge\text{-}def)
  also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; (M ;; J))
   by (simp add: Healthy-def' RD2-def H2-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) ;; J)
   by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
  also from assms have ... = RD2(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: RD2-def H2-def par-by-merge-def)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def')
lemma SRD-par-by-merge:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is R1m M is R2m M is R3hm M is RD1m M is RD2
  shows (P \parallel_M Q) is SRD
  by (rule SRD-intro, simp-all add: assms closure SRD-healths)
definition nmerge-rd\theta (N_0) where
[upred-defs]: N_0(M) = (\$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \lor \$1-wait) \land \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr'
                       \land (\exists \$0 - ok; \$1 - ok; \$ok <; \$ok'; \$0 - wait; \$1 - wait; \$wait <; \$wait' \cdot M))
```

```
definition nmerge-rd1 (N_1) where
[upred-defs]: N_1(M) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0 - ok \land \$1 - ok) \land N_0(M))
definition nmerge-rd (N_R) where
[upred-defs]: N_R(M) = ((\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$\mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{<}) \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright N_1(M)) \triangleleft \$ok_{<} \triangleright (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')
definition merge-rd1 (M_1) where
[upred-defs]: M_1(M) = (N_1(M) ;; II_R)
definition merge-rd (M_R) where
[upred-defs]: M_R(M) = N_R(M);; II_R
abbreviation rdes-par (- \parallel_{R-} - [85,0,86] 85) where
P \parallel_{RM} Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q
Healthiness condition for reactive design merge predicates
\mathbf{definition} \ [upred-defs]: RDM(M) = R2m(\exists \$0 - ok; \$1 - ok; \$ok'; \$0 - wait; \$1 - wait; \$wait'; \$wait' = \mathsf{wait} 
· M)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R1m [closure]:
 N_R(M) is R1m
 by (rel-blast)
lemma R2m-nmerge-rd: R2m(N_R(R2m(M))) = N_R(R2m(M))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R2m [closure]:
  M \text{ is } R2m \Longrightarrow N_R(M) \text{ is } R2m
 by (metis Healthy-def' R2m-nmerge-rd)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R3hm [closure]: N_R(M) is R3hm
 by (rel-blast)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-RD1m [closure]: N_R(M) is RD1m
 by (rel-blast)
lemma merge-rd-is-RD3: M_R(M) is RD3
 by (metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def merge-rd-def)
lemma merge-rd-is-RD2: M_R(M) is RD2
 by (simp add: RD3-implies-RD2 merge-rd-is-RD3)
lemma par-rdes-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 shows P \parallel_{RM} Q is NSRD
proof -
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{have} \ (P \parallel_{N_R \ M} Q \ ;; \ II_R) \ \textit{is NSRD} \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule NSRD-intro'}, \ \textit{simp-all add: SRD-healths closure assms}) \end{array} 
       (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R2-par-by-merge R2-seqr-closure R2m-nmerge-rd RDM-def
SRD-healths(2) assms skip-srea-R2
      , metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: merge-rd-def par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
qed
```

```
lemma RDM-intro:
  assumes M is R2m \$0-ok \sharp M \$1-ok \sharp M \$ok < \sharp M \$ok ' \sharp M
          \$0-wait \ \sharp \ M \ \$1-wait \ \sharp \ M \ \$wait < \ \sharp \ M \ \$wait ' \ \sharp \ M
  shows M is RDM
  using assms
  by (simp add: Healthy-def RDM-def ex-unrest unrest)
lemma RDM-unrests [unrest]:
  assumes M is RDM
  shows \$0-ok \sharp M \$1-ok \sharp M \$ok < \sharp M \$ok' \sharp M
        \$0-wait \ \sharp \ M \ \$1-wait \ \sharp \ M \ \$wait < \ \sharp \ M \ \$wait ' \ \sharp \ M
  by (subst Healthy-if [OF assms, THEN sym], simp-all add: RDM-def unrest, rel-auto)+
lemma RDM-R1m [closure]: M is RDM \implies M is R1m
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def)
lemma RDM-R2m [closure]: M is RDM \implies M is R2m
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R2m-idem RDM-def)
lemma ex-st'-R2m-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is R2m
  shows (\exists \$st' \cdot P) is R2m
proof -
  have R2m(\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def' assms)
lemma parallel-RR-closed:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR M is R2m
          \$ok < \sharp M \$wait < \sharp M \$ok ' \sharp M \$wait ' \sharp M
  shows P \parallel_M Q is RR
  by (rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms RR-implies-R2 closure)
lemma parallel-ok-cases:
((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (
  ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok])) \vee
  ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[false, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok])) \vee
  ((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) :; (M[[true,false/\$\theta-ok,\$1-ok]])) \vee 
  ((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M\llbracket false, false/\$0-ok,\$1-ok \rrbracket)))
proof -
  have ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot (P \parallel_s Q)[\![ \ll ok_0 \gg / \$\theta - ok']\!] ;; M[\![ \ll ok_0 \gg / \$\theta - ok]\!])
    by (subst seqr-middle[of left-uvar ok], simp-all)
 also have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg /\$0 - ok ' \rrbracket \llbracket \ll ok_1 \gg /\$1 - ok ' \rrbracket) ;; (M \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg /\$0 - ok \rrbracket \llbracket \ll ok_1 \gg /\$1 - ok \rrbracket))
    by (subst seqr-middle[of right-uvar ok], simp-all)
 also have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot (P \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg /\$ ok' \rrbracket \rrbracket_s Q \llbracket \ll ok_1 \gg /\$ ok' \rrbracket) ;; (M \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg, \ll ok_1 \gg /\$ 0 - ok, \$ 1 - ok \rrbracket))
    by (rel-auto robust)
  also have \dots = (
      ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok])) \lor
      ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) \; ; ; \; (M \llbracket false, true/\$\theta - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \; \lor \;
      (P^t \parallel_s Q^f) :: (M \llbracket true, false/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \vee
      ((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[false,false/\$0-ok,\$1-ok])))
    by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym] false-alt-def[THEN sym] disj-assoc
```

```
utp-pred-laws.sup.left-commute utp-pred-laws.sup-commute usubst)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma skip-srea-ok-f [usubst]:
  II_R^f = R1(\neg\$ok)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma nmerge0-rd-unrest [unrest]:
 \$0-ok \sharp N_0 M \$1-ok \sharp N_0 M
 by (pred-auto)+
lemma parallel-assm-lemma:
 assumes P is RD2
 shows pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q))
                              \vee ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) (pre_s \dagger Q)))
proof -
 \mathbf{have}\ pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = pre_s \dagger ((P \parallel_s Q) \ ;; \ M_R(M))
   by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 also have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait];; N_R M ;; R1(\neg \$ok))
   by (simp add: merge-rd-def usubst, rel-auto)
 also have ... = ((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; N_1(M);; R_1(\neg \$ok)
   by (rel-auto\ robust,\ (metis)+)
 also have \dots = ((
      (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t);;((N_1 M)[true,true/\$0-ok,\$1-ok]]
:: R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
     (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t);;((N_1 M)[false,true/\$0-ok,\$1-ok])
;; R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
     (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f);;((N_1 M)[true,false/\$0-ok,\$1-ok])^f)
;; R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
     (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f);;((N_1 M)[false,false/\$0-ok,\$1-ok])
;; R1(\neg \$ok)))))
   (is - = (?C1 \lor_p ?C2 \lor_p ?C3 \lor_p ?C4))
   by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
 also have ... = (?C2 \lor ?C3)
 proof -
   have ?C1 = false
     by (rel-auto)
   moreover have '?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3' (is '(?A;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C;; ?D)')
     from assms have P^f \Rightarrow P^t.
       by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
     hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
       by (rel-auto)
     have ?A \Rightarrow ?C
       using P by (rel-auto)
     moreover have ?B \Rightarrow ?D
       by (rel-auto)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (simp add: subsumption2)
 qed
 also have \dots = (
```

```
(((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0\ M\ ;;\ R1(true)))) \lor \\
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))))
    by (rel-auto, metis+)
  also have \dots = (
      ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 \ M};; R1(true) \ (cmt_s \dagger \ Q)) \lor \\
      ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 \ M};; R1(true) \ (pre_s \dagger \ Q)))
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma pres-SRD:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows pre_s \dagger P = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
  have pre_s \dagger P = pre_s \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P)
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_s \dagger pre_R P))
    by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_R P))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
    by (simp add: R2c-not R2c-preR assms rea-not-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-assm:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 \mathbf{shows} \ \mathit{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r \ ((\neg_r \ \mathit{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; \ \mathit{R1}(\mathit{true}) \ \mathit{cmt}_R(Q)) \ \land \\ 
                                    \neg_r \ (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q))))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r (pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 \ true \ (cmt_s \dagger Q) \land
                             \neg_r (cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M} :: R1 \ true \ (pre_s \dagger Q))
    by (simp add: pre<sub>R</sub>-def parallel-assm-lemma assms SRD-healths R1-conj rea-not-def [THEN sym])
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (simp add: pre_s-SRD assms cmt_R-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-assm-unrest-wait' [unrest]:
  \llbracket \ P \ is \ SRD; \ Q \ is \ SRD \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$wait` \ \sharp \ pre_R(P \ \|_{M_R(M)} \ \ Q)
  by (simp add: parallel-assm, simp add: par-by-merge-def unrest)
lemma JL1: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL2: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, false/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL3: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, false/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
 by (rel-blast)
```

```
lemma JL_4: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok] = (\$ok' \land N_0 \ M) ;; II_R^t
  by (simp add: merge-rd1-def usubst nmerge-rd1-def unrest)
\mathbf{lemma} parallel-commitment-lemma-1:
  assumes P is RD2
  shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) :: II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)};; R1(true) (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (pre_s \dagger Q)))
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (P[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \parallel_{(M_1(M))^t} Q[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
    by (simp add: usubst, rel-auto)
  also have ... = ((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; (M_1 M)^t)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \vee \\
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, false/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((\mathit{pre}_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (\mathit{pre}_s \dagger Q)) \; ; ; \; ((M_1 \; M)^t \llbracket \mathit{false}, \mathit{false} / \$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)))
    by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
      (is - = (?C1 \lor_p ?C2 \lor_p ?C3 \lor_p ?C4))
    by (simp add: JL1 JL2 JL3)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1(M))^t \llbracket true, true/\$0 - ok, \$1 - ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
  proof -
    from assms have P^f \Rightarrow P^t
      by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
    hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
      by (rel-auto)
    have ?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3 (is (?A :: ?B) \Rightarrow (?C :: ?D))
    proof -
      have '?A \Rightarrow ?C'
        using P by (rel-auto)
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: subsumption2)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def JL4)
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-2:
  assumes P is RD2
  shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
         (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
```

```
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-3:
    M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow (\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t \text{ is } R1m
    by (rel-simp, safe, metis+)
lemma parallel-commitment:
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
   \mathbf{shows}\ cmt_R(P\parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P\parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R(P)\parallel_{(\$ok'\land N_0\ M)\ ;;\ II_R}{}^t\ cmt_R(Q))
     by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-2 parallel-commitment-lemma-3 Healthy-if SRD-healths
assms cmt_R-def pre_s-SRD closure rea-impl-def disj-comm)
theorem parallel-reactive-design:
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
   \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{shows}\ (P\parallel_{M_R(M)}\ Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(\\ (\lnot_r\ ((\lnot_r\ pre_R(P))\ \|_{N_0(M)\ ;;\ R1(true)}\ cmt_R(Q))\ \land \end{array}
         \neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} (\neg_r pre_R(Q)))) \vdash
       (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} cmt_R(Q))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   have (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \vdash cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
     by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-rdes-NSRD)
    also have \dots = ?rhs
       by (simp add: parallel-assm parallel-commitment design-export-spec assms, rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma1:
    (\$ok' \land P) \; ; \; II_R[[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] = (\exists \; \$st' \cdot P)[[true, true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] = (\exists \; \$st' \cdot P)[[true, true, t
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
    have ?lhs = (\$ok' \land P) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket
       by (rel-blast)
    also have \dots = ?rhs
       by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma2:
   assumes M is RDM
   \mathbf{shows} \ (\exists \ \$st' \cdot N_0(M)) \llbracket true, true/\$ok', \ \$wait' \rrbracket = ((\$\theta - wait \lor \$1 - wait) \land (\exists \ \$st' \cdot M))
    have (\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M))[true, true/\$ok', \$wait'] = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0 - wait \lor \$1 - wait) \land \$tr' \ge_u \$tr_<
       by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
    also have ... = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0-wait \lor \$1-wait) \land M)
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-if R1m-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
    also have ... = ((\$0 - wait \lor \$1 - wait) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
       by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma3:
    ((\$0 - wait \lor \$1 - wait) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) = ((\$0 - wait \land \$1 - wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \lor (\neg \$0 - wait \land (\exists \$v' \cdot M))) \lor (\neg \$v' \cdot M)
```

by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-1 assms parallel-assm-lemma)

 $\$1-wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \lor (\$0-wait \land \neg \$1-wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma parallel-pericondition [rdes]:
     fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) rsp merge
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
    shows peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} . M peri_R(Q)
                                                                                                                      \forall post_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} peri_R(Q)
                                                                                                                      \vee \ peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st' \cdot M} \ post_R(Q))
proof -
    have peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
                  (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 \ M)};; II_R \llbracket true, true/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket \ cmt_R \ Q)
         by (simp add: peri-cmt-def parallel-commitment SRD-healths assms usubst unrest assms)
     also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0 \ M)[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']]} cmt_R \ Q)
         \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon parallel\text{-}pericondition\text{-}lemma1)
    also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$0-wait \ \lor \$1-wait) \ \land \ (\exists \ \$st' \ . \ M)} \ cmt_R \ Q)
         \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon parallel\text{-}pericondition\text{-}lemma2\ assms})
     \textbf{also have} \ ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$\mathbf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta - wait \ \land \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ ' =_u \ \mathsf{v}_{<} \ )
\$1-wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
                                                                                            \vee (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v} \leq =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\neg \$\theta - wait \wedge \$1 - wait)
\wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
                                                                                           \vee (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{\leq}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$\theta - wait \wedge \neg \$1 - wait)
\wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))
         by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def parallel-pericondition-lemma3 seqr-or-distr)
    \textbf{also have} \ ... = (\textit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{\textit{M}_R \ \textit{M}} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil \textit{peri}_R \ P \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil \textit{peri}_R \ \textit{Q} \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\exists \ \$\textit{st}' \cdot \textit{M})
                                                                                             \bigvee (\lceil post_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \ \$st' \cdot M)
                                                                                            \vee (\lceil peri_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \ \$st' \cdot M)))
       by (simp add: seqr-right-one-point-true seqr-right-one-point-false cmt_R-def post_R-def po
unrest assms)
     \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (\textit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r \textit{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st' \ . \ M} \textit{peri}_R(Q) \\ \lor \textit{post}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st' \ . \ M} \textit{peri}_R(Q) 
                                                                                            \vee \ peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} M \ post_R(Q))
         by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma1:
     (\$ok' \land P) :: II_R[[true,false/\$ok',\$wait']] = P[[true,false/\$ok',\$wait']]
     (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
    have ?lhs = (\$ok' \land P);; II[[true,false/\$ok', \$wait']]
         by (rel-blast)
    also have \dots = ?rhs
         by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma2:
    assumes M is RDM
    shows (N_0(M))[true,false/\$ok',\$wait'] = ((\neg \$0-wait \land \neg \$1-wait) \land M)
proof -
    have (N_0(M))[true,false/\$ok',\$wait'] = ((\neg \$0-wait \land \neg \$1-wait) \land \$tr' \ge_u \$tr_{<} \land M)
         by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
     also have ... = ((\neg \$0 - wait \land \neg \$1 - wait) \land M)
         by (metis Healthy-if R1m-def RDM-R1m assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
    finally show ?thesis.
```

```
lemma parallel-postcondition [rdes]:
  fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
  shows post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \parallel_M post_R(Q))
proof -
  have post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
         (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 \ M)};; II_R \llbracket true, false/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket \ cmt_R \ Q)
    by (simp add: post-cmt-def parallel-commitment assms usubst unrest SRD-healths)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\neg \$0-wait \ \land \ \neg \$1-wait \ \land \ M)} cmt_R \ Q)
    by (simp add: parallel-postcondition-lemma1 parallel-postcondition-lemma2 assms,
         simp add: utp-pred-laws.inf-commute utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute)
  also have ... = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \parallel_M post_R Q)
   by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def seqr-right-one-point-false usubst unrest cmt_R-def post_R-def assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-precondition-lemma:
  fixes M :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) rsp merge
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
  shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q) =
          ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ post_R \ Q)
proof -
  have ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q)) =
         ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} (peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \rceil_1 \triangleleft \$1 - wait' \triangleright \lceil post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M)
;; R1(true))
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-def alpha)
  \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (((\lceil \neg_r \ \mathit{pre}_R(P) \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil \mathit{peri}_R(Q) \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$\mathbf{v}_{<}{'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \ \triangleleft \ \$1 - \mathit{wait}{'} \ \vartriangleright \ (\lceil \neg_r \ \mathit{pre}_R(P) \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lVert \mathsf{v}_{>} \rVert ) \ \rvert 
[post_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
    (is (?P ;; -) = (?Q ;; -))
  proof -
    have ?P = ?Q
      by (rel-auto)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  aed
   also have ... = (([\neg_r \ pre_R \ P]_0 \land [peri_R \ Q]_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v})[[true/\$1-wait']];; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1)
true)[true/\$1-wait] \lor
                             (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \llbracket false/\$1 - wait' \rrbracket ;; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1)
true)[false/$1-wait])
    by (simp add: cond-inter-var-split)
  (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v} \leq =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 \ M[false/\$1-wait] ;; R1 \ true)
    by (simp add: usubst unrest)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' \land M) ;; R1 \ true \lor
                      (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' =_u \$\theta - wait \land M) ;; R1 \ true)
  proof -
    have (\$tr' \ge_u \$tr_< \land M) = M
      using RDM-R1m[OF\ assms(3)]
      by (simp add: Healthy-def R1m-def conj-comm)
```

```
thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: nmerge-rd0-def unrest assms closure ex-unrest usubst)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true \lor
                    (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true)
    (is (?P_1 \lor_p ?P_2) = (?Q_1 \lor ?Q_2))
  proof -
    have ?P_1 = (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$wait') ;; R1 \ true
      by (simp add: conj-comm)
    hence 1: ?P_1 = ?Q_1
      by (simp add: seqr-left-one-point-true seqr-left-one-point-false add: unrest usubst closure assms)
    have ?P_2 = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$wait') ;; R1 \ true \lor
                 (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ true)
      by (subst seqr-bool-split of left-uvar wait), simp-all add: usubst unrest assms closure conj-comm)
    hence 2: ?P_2 = ?Q_2
      by (simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false unrest usubst closure assms)
    from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
  also have ... = ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M} :: R1(true) \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M} :: R1(true) \ post_R \ Q)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma swap-nmerge-rd\theta:
  swap_m :: N_0(M) = N_0(swap_m :: M)
  by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma SymMerge-nmerge-rd0 [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \implies N_0(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma swap-merge-rd':
  swap_m ;; N_R(M) = N_R(swap_m ;; M)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma swap-merge-rd:
  swap_m ; M_R(M) = M_R(swap_m ; M)
  by (simp add: merge-rd-def seqr-assoc[THEN sym] swap-merge-rd')
lemma SymMerge-merge-rd [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \Longrightarrow M_R(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (simp add: Healthy-def swap-merge-rd)
lemma nmerge-rd1-merge3:
 assumes M is RDM
  shows M3(N_1(M)) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0 - ok \land \$1 - 0 - ok \land \$1 - 1 - ok) \land
                      \$wait' =_{u} (\$0 - wait \lor \$1 - 0 - wait \lor \$1 - 1 - wait) \land
                      \mathbf{M}3(M)
proof -
  have \mathbf{M} \Im(N_1(M)) = \mathbf{M} \Im(\$ok' =_u (\$0 - ok \land \$1 - ok) \land
                       \$wait' =_u (\$\mathit{0} - wait \lor \$\mathit{1} - wait) \land \\
                       tr < \leq_u tr' \land
                       (\exists \{\$0-ok, \$1-ok, \$ok_{<}, \$ok', \$0-wait, \$1-wait, \$wait_{<}, \$wait'\} \cdot RDM(M)))
    by (simp add: nmerge-rd1-def nmerge-rd0-def assms Healthy-if)
  also have ... = \mathbf{M}\mathfrak{I}(\$ok' =_u (\$\theta - ok \land \$1 - ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$\theta - wait \lor \$1 - wait) \land RDM(M))
```

```
by (rel-blast)
    also have ... = (\$ok' =_u (\$o-ok \land \$1-0-ok \land \$1-1-ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$o-wait \lor \$1-0-wait)
\vee \$1-1-wait) \wedge \mathbf{M}3(RDM(M))
       by (rel-blast)
    also have ... = (\$ok') = (
\vee \$1-1-wait) \wedge \mathbf{M}3(M)
       by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma nmerge-rd-merge3:
   \mathbf{M}\beta(N_R(M)) = (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$\mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{<}) \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright \mathbf{M}\beta(N_1 M) \triangleleft \$ok_{<} \triangleright (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')
   by (rel-blast)
lemma swap-merge-RDM-closed [closure]:
   assumes M is RDM
   shows swap_m;; M is RDM
proof -
    have RDM(swap_m ;; RDM(M)) = (swap_m ;; RDM(M))
       by (rel-auto)
    thus ?thesis
       by (metis Healthy-def' assms)
qed
lemma parallel-precondition:
    fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
    assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
   shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
                   (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P)) \parallel_{M ;; R1(true)} peri_R Q) \land
                     \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} post_R \ Q) \land
                     \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ peri_R \ P) \land
                      \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ post_R \ P))
proof -
   have a: (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) \ cmt_R(Q) =
                      ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ post_R \ Q)
       by (simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma assms)
   have b: (\neg_r \ cmt_R \ P \parallel_{N_0 \ M} ;; R1 \ true \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)) =
                     (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q)) \ \|_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ cmt_R(P))}
       by (simp add: swap-nmerge-rd0[THEN sym] seqr-assoc[THEN sym] par-by-merge-def par-sep-swap)
    have c: (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(P) =
                    ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ peri_R \ P \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ post_R}
       by (simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma closure assms)
   show ?thesis
       by (simp add: parallel-assm closure assms a b c, rel-auto)
Weakest Parallel Precondition
definition wrR ::
    ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow
     ('t :: trace, '\alpha) \ rp \ merge \Rightarrow
     ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow
```

```
('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ (- \ wr_R'(-') - [60,0,61] \ 61)
where [upred-defs]: Q \ wr_R(M) \ P = (\neg_r \ ((\neg_r \ P) \ \|_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ Q))
lemma wrR-R1 [closure]:
     M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow Q \text{ } wr_R(M) \text{ } P \text{ is } R1
    by (simp add: wrR-def closure)
lemma R2-rea-not: R2(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R2(P))
    by (rel-auto)
lemma wrR-R2-lemma:
    assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
    shows ((\neg_r \ P) \parallel_M Q) ;; R1(true_h) is R2
proof -
    have (\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q is R2
         by (simp add: closure assms)
    thus ?thesis
         by (simp add: closure)
qed
lemma wrR-R2 [closure]:
    assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
    shows Q wr_R(M) P is R2
proof -
    have ((\neg_r \ P) \parallel_M Q);; R1(true_h) is R2
         by (simp add: wrR-R2-lemma assms)
    thus ?thesis
         by (simp add: wrR-def wrR-R2-lemma par-by-merge-seq-add closure)
lemma wrR-RR [closure]:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
    shows Q wr_R(M) P is RR
    apply (rule RR-intro)
    apply (simp-all add: unrest assms closure wrR-def rpred)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-rea-not RDM-R2m
                                  RR-implies-R2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-by-merge-seq-add rea-not-R2-closed
                                  wrR-R2-lemma)
done
lemma wrR-RC [closure]:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
    shows (Q wr_R(M) P) is RC
    apply (rule RC-intro)
    apply (simp add: closure assms)
    apply (simp add: wrR-def rpred closure assms )
    apply (simp add: par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
lemma wppR-choice [wp]: (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
proof -
    have (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R =
                  (\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land (P ;; U1 \lor Q ;; U1) \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r)
         by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def seqr-or-distl)
    also have ... = (\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land P ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r R) ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}_{
```

```
\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r)
      by (simp add: conj-disj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
   also have ... = (\neg_r (((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land P ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r \lor)
                                          ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$\mathbf{v}_{<'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r))
      by (simp add: segr-or-distl)
    also have ... = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
      by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma wppR-miracle [wp]: false wr_R(M) P = true_r
   by (simp\ add:\ wrR\text{-}def)
lemma wppR-true [wp]: P wr_R(M) true_r = true_r
   by (simp add: wrR-def)
lemma parallel-precondition-wr [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
   shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (peri_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \ 
                                                    peri_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q) \land post_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q))
   by (simp add: assms parallel-precondition wrR-def)
lemma parallel-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
    assumes P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
                 \$st' \sharp P_2 \$st' \sharp Q_2
                 M is RDM
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \parallel_{M_R(M)} \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
               \mathbf{R}_s \ (((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \ wr_R(M) \ P_1 \ \land \ (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) \ wr_R(M) \ P_1 \ \land \ 
                        (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash
                 ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} \cdot M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \vee
                 proof
   have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ ?lhs \vdash peri_R \ ?lhs \diamond post_R \ ?lhs)
      by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms closure)
   also have \dots = ?rhs
      by (simp add: rdes closure unrest assms, rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
{\bf lemma}\ {\it Miracle-parallel-left-zero}:
   assumes P is SRD M is RDM
   shows Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = Miracle
proof -
   have pre_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = true_r
      by (simp add: parallel-assm wait'-cond-idem rdes closure assms)
    moreover hence cmt_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = false
      by (simp add: rdes closure wait'-cond-idem SRD-healths assms)
    ultimately have Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
    \textbf{by} \ (metis \ NSRD\text{-}iff \ SRD\text{-}reactive-design-alt} \ assms \ par-rdes\text{-}NSRD \ srdes\text{-}theory\text{-}continuous.weak.top-closed)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ {\it Miracle-parallel-right-zero}$:

```
assumes P is SRD M is RDM
  shows P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = Miracle
proof -
  have pre_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = true_r
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem parallel-assm rdes closure assms)
  moreover hence cmt_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = false
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem rdes closure SRD-healths assms)
  ultimately have P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed})
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed
        Example basic merge
8.1
definition BasicMerge :: (('s, 't::trace, unit) rsp) merge (N_B) where
[upred-defs]: BasicMerge = (\$tr_{<} \le_{u} \$tr' \land \$tr' - \$tr_{<} =_{u} \$0 - tr - \$tr_{<} \land \$tr' - \$tr_{<} =_{u} \$1 - tr
- \$tr_{<} \land \$st' =_{u} \$st_{<})
abbreviation rbasic-par (- \parallel_B - [85,86] 85) where
P \parallel_B Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_B(N_B)} Q
lemma BasicMerge-RDM [closure]: N<sub>B</sub> is RDM
 by (rule\ RDM-intro,\ (rel-auto)+)
lemma BasicMerge-SymMerge [closure]:
  N_B is SymMerge
 by (rel-auto)
lemma BasicMerge'-calc:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$wait' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$wait' \sharp Q P is R2 Q is R2
  shows P \parallel_{N_B} Q = ((\exists \$st' \cdot P) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \land \$st' =_u \$st)
  using assms
proof -
  have P:(\exists \{\$ok',\$wait'\} \cdot R2(P)) = P \text{ (is } ?P' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  have Q:(\exists \{\$ok',\$wait'\} \cdot R2(Q)) = Q \text{ (is } ?Q' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  \mathbf{have}~?P'\parallel_{N_B}?Q'=((\exists~\$st'\cdot?P')~\land~(\exists~\$st'\cdot?Q')~\land~\$st'=_u\$st)
    by (simp\ add:\ par-by-merge-alt-def,\ rel-auto,\ blast+)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp \ add: P \ Q)
qed
        Simple parallel composition
8.2
definition rea-design-par ::
  ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (infixr \parallel_R 85)
where [upred-defs]: P \parallel_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q)) \vdash (cmt_R(P) \land cmt_R(Q)))
{f lemma} RHS-design-par:
  assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp P_2
 shows \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2}) = \mathbf{R}_{s}((P_{1} \land P_{2}) \vdash (Q_{1} \land Q_{2}))
  have \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2}) =
```

```
\mathbf{R}_s(P_1[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash Q_1[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash
Q_2[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
    by (simp add: RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also from assms
  have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R1\ (R2c\ (P_1)) \land R1\ (R2c\ (P_2)))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash
           (R1 \ (R2c \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 \ (R2c \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))) \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)
      apply (simp add: rea-design-par-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest assms)
      apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp)
      using assms apply (rel-auto)
  done
  also have ... =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R2c(P_1) \wedge R2c(P_2)) \vdash
           (R1 \ (R2s \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 \ (R2s \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-conj R1-design-R1-pre RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (R1 \ (R2s \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 \ (R2s \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
    by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute R2c-and R2c-design R2c-idem R2c-not RHS-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-conj R2s-conj RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-par:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp P_2
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \land R_2))
  by (simp add: RHS-design-par assms unrest wait'-cond-conj-exchange)
lemma RHS-tri-design-par-RR [rdes-def]:
  assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1} \diamond R_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2} \diamond R_{2}) = \mathbf{R}_{s}((P_{1} \land P_{2}) \vdash (Q_{1} \land Q_{2}) \diamond (R_{1} \land R_{2}))
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest assms)
lemma RHS-comp-assoc:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD R is NSRD
  shows (P \parallel_R Q) \parallel_R R = P \parallel_R Q \parallel_R R
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
end
```

9 Productive Reactive Designs

theory utp-rdes-productive imports utp-rdes-parallel begin

9.1 Healthiness condition

A reactive design is productive if it strictly increases the trace, whenever it terminates. If it does not terminate, it is also classed as productive.

```
definition Productive :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
```

```
[upred-defs]: Productive(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash true \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))
lemma Productive-RHS-design-form:
    assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R
    shows Productive(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond (R \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
    using assms by (simp add: Productive-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest)
lemma Productive-form:
    Productive(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
proof -
   have Productive(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash true \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))
       by (simp add: Productive-def SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
       by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
A reactive design is productive provided that the postcondition, under the precondition, strictly
increases the trace.
lemma Productive-intro:
    assumes P is SRD (tr <_u tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P)) wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
    shows P is Productive
proof -
   have P: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) = P
    proof -
        have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \Rightarrow (pr
post_R(P)))
           by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P) \land (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
           by (metis assms(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1 utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
           by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
       finally show ?thesis
           by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1))
    \mathbf{qed}
    thus ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-def RHS-tri-design-par Productive-def ok'-pre-unrest unrest-true utp-pred-laws.inf-right-idem
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-right)
qed
lemma Productive-post-refines-tr-increase:
    assumes P is SRD P is Productive wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
    shows (tr <_u tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P))
    have post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (metis\ Healthy-def\ Productive-form\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
    also have ... = R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms, rel-auto)
    also have ... = R1((pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (simp add: R2c-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' assms)
    also have (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land ...)
       by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

9.2 Reactive design calculations

```
lemma preR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(P)
 \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{pre}_R(\mathit{Productive}(P)) = \mathit{pre}_R(\mathbf{R}_s(\mathit{pre}_R(P) \vdash \mathit{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\mathit{post}_R(P) \land \$\mathit{tr} <_u \$\mathit{tr}')))
   by (metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-preR R1-preR Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma periR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
 have peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
   by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
  also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not assms closure)
 also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-peri-SRD
                R1-peri-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms unrest closure)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ postR\text{-}Productive\ [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows post_R(Productive(P)) = (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')
proof -
 have post_R(Productive(P)) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
   by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
  also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest assms closure)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-and R1-extend-conj' R2c-post-SRD
            R1-post-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-frame-seq-export:
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q) = (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q))
proof -
 have (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q)) = (pre_R \ P \land ((pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q))
   by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P)) \ ;; \ Q)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms(1) rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl R1-preR Healthy-if)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
   have (pre_R \ P \lor \neg_r \ pre_R \ P) = R1 \ true
     by (simp add: R1-preR rea-not-or)
```

```
then show ?thesis
              by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def conj-comm disj-comm disj-conj-distr rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup.left-idem)
    qed
    also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
         by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero assms closure SRD-healths)
    also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) ;; \ Q)
         by (rel-blast)
    finally show ?thesis ..
qed
                    Closure laws
9.3
lemma Productive-rdes-intro:
    assumes (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq R \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait \sharp P \$wait' \sharp P
    shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
proof (rule Productive-intro)
    show \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
         by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD assms)
    from assms(1) show (\$tr'>_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq (pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R)) \land post_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R)))
         apply (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst assms unrest)
         using assms(1) apply (rel-auto)
         apply fastforce
         done
    show \$wait' \sharp pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R))
        by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst R1-def R2c-def R2s-def assms unrest)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ Productive\text{-}rdes\text{-}RR\text{-}intro:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR (tr <_u tr') \subseteq R
    shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
    by (simp add: Productive-rdes-intro unrest assms)
lemma Productive-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is Productive
     unfolding Miracle-tri-def Healthy-def
    by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest)
lemma Productive-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is Productive
     unfolding Chaos-tri-def Healthy-def
    by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest, rel-auto)
lemma Productive-intChoice [closure]:
    assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
    shows P \sqcap Q is Productive
proof -
    have P \sqcap Q =
                 \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P)
tr <_u tr'
         by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor peri_R \ Q) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \lor (post_R \ P)
Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))
         by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor peri_R \ Q) \diamond (((post_R \ P) \lor (post_R \ Q)) \land \$tr'
>_u \$tr)
```

```
by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
         also have ... is Productive
                 by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
        finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-cond-rea [closure]:
         assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
        shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is Productive
proof
         have P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q =
                             \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land post_R(Q)) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land post_R(Q)) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land post_R(Q)) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land post_R(Q) \land post_R(Q)) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land
\wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')
                 by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
         also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \leq b \rangle_R pre<sub>R</sub> Q) \rangle (peri<sub>R</sub> P \leq b \rangle_R peri<sub>R</sub> Q) \leq ((post<sub>R</sub> P \langle \$tr' > u
\$tr) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (post_R Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr))
                 by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
       \textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((pre_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ peri_R \ Q) \mathrel{\diamond} (((post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ (post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ (post_R
 Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))
                 by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
         also have ... is Productive
                 by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
         finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-1 [closure]:
         assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD
        shows P;; Q is Productive
proof -
         have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond
(post_R(Q))
                    by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms(1) assms(2)
assms(3)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \land (post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash
                                                                                                           (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;;
post_R Q))
                 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neq-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
         also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \land (post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash
                                                                                                            (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;;
post_R \ Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))
         proof -
               \mathbf{have}\ ((post_R\ P\ \wedge\ \$tr\ '>_u\ \$tr)\ ;;\ R1(post_R\ Q)) = ((post_R\ P\ \wedge\ \$tr\ '>_u\ \$tr)\ ;;\ R1(post_R\ Q)\ \wedge\ \$tr\ '>_u\ \$tr)\ ;;\ R1(post_R\ Q)\ \wedge\ \$tr\ '>_u\ ">_u\ ">
>_u \$tr
                        by (rel-auto)
                 thus ?thesis
                          by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
         also have ... is Productive
                 by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
        finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-2 [closure]:
        assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD Q is Productive
```

```
shows P;; Q is Productive
proof -
      have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P))) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land \$tr
<_u \$tr'))
           by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q))
P :: (post_R \ Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr)))
           by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q))
P :: (post_R \ Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$tr' >_u \$tr))
     proof -
           have (R1(post_R P); (post_R Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P); (post_R Q \land \$tr') + (R1(post_R Q \land
                 by (rel-auto)
           thus ?thesis
                 by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
      also have ... is Productive
           by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
      finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
```

10 Guarded Recursion

```
theory utp-rdes-guarded imports utp-rdes-productive begin
```

10.1 Traces with a size measure

Guarded recursion relies on our ability to measure the trace's size, in order to see if it is decreasing on each iteration. Thus, we here equip the trace algebra with the *ucard* function that provides this.

```
that provides this.

class size-trace = trace + size + 
assumes

size-zero: size \ 0 = 0 and

size-nzero: s > 0 \implies size(s) > 0 and

size-plus: size \ (s+t) = size(s) + size(t)

— These axioms may be stronger than necessary. In particular, 0 < ?s \implies 0 < \#_u(?s) requires that a non-empty trace have a positive size. But this may not be the case with all trace models and is possibly more restrictive than necessary. In future we will explore weakening.

begin

lemma size-mono: s \le t \implies size(s) \le size(t)

by (metis\ le-add1\ local.\ diff-add-cancel-left'\ local.\ size-plus)

lemma size-strict-mono: s < t \implies size(s) < size(t)

by (metis\ cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.\ add-diff-cancel-left'\ local.\ diff-add-cancel-left'\ local.\ less-iff\ local.\ minus-gr-zero-iff\ local.\ size-nzero\ local.\ size-plus\ zero-less-diff)

lemma trace-strict-prefixE: xs < ys \implies (\land zs. \ ys = xs + zs;\ size(zs) > 0\ \implies thesis) \implies thesis
```

```
by (metis local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff local.minus-gr-zero-iff local.size-nzero)
lemma size-minus-trace: y \le x \Longrightarrow size(x - y) = size(x) - size(y)
 by (metis diff-add-inverse local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus)
end
Both natural numbers and lists are measurable trace algebras.
\mathbf{instance}\ \mathit{nat} :: \mathit{size-trace}
 by (intro-classes, simp-all)
instance list :: (type) size-trace
 by (intro-classes, simp-all add: zero-list-def less-list-def plus-list-def prefix-length-less)
syntax
             :: logic \Rightarrow logic (size_u'(-'))
  -usize
translations
 size_u(t) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ size \ t
10.2
         Guardedness
definition gvrt :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ rp \times ('t,'\alpha) \ rp) \ chain where
[upred-defs]: gvrt(n) \equiv (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \land size_u(\&tt) <_u \ll n \gg)
lemma gvrt-chain: chain gvrt
 apply (simp add: chain-def, safe)
 apply (rel-simp)
 apply (rel\text{-}simp)+
done
lemma gvrt-limit: \prod (range gvrt) = (\$tr \le_u \$tr')
 by (rel-auto)
definition Guarded :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp) \Rightarrow bool where
[upred-defs]: Guarded(F) = (\forall X \ n. \ (F(X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
lemma GuardedI: \llbracket \bigwedge X \ n. \ (F(X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Guarded F
 by (simp add: Guarded-def)
Guarded reactive designs yield unique fixed-points.
theorem guarded-fp-uniq:
 assumes mono F F \in [id]_H \to [SRD]_H Guarded F
 shows \mu F = \nu F
proof -
 have constr F gvrt
   using assms
   by (auto simp add: constr-def gvrt-chain Guarded-def tcontr-alt-def')
 hence (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu F) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu F)
   apply (rule constr-fp-uniq)
    apply (simp add: assms)
   using gvrt-limit apply blast
  moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu F) = \mu F
 proof -
```

```
have \mu F is R1
              by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-mu, simp-all add: assms)
         thus ?thesis
              by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
     qed
    moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \land \nu F) = \nu F
     proof -
         have \nu F is R1
              by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-nu, simp-all add: assms)
         thus ?thesis
              by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
         by (simp)
qed
lemma Guarded-const [closure]: Guarded (\lambda X. P)
    by (simp add: Guarded-def)
lemma UINF-Guarded [closure]:
    assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow Guarded P
    shows Guarded (\lambda X. \prod P \in A \cdot P(X))
proof (rule GuardedI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ X \ n
    have \bigwedge Y. ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land qvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land qvrt(n+1))) \land qvrt(n+1))
    proof -
         \mathbf{fix} \ Y
       let ?lhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) and ?rhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
         have a:?lhs[false/\$ok] = ?rhs[false/\$ok]
             by (rel-auto)
         \mathbf{have}\ b:?lhs[[true/\$ok]][[true/\$wait]] = ?rhs[[true/\$ok]][[true/\$wait]]
              by (rel-auto)
         have c:?lhs[true/\$ok][false/\$wait] = ?rhs[true/\$ok][false/\$wait]
              by (rel-auto)
         \mathbf{show} \ ?lhs = ?rhs
              using a \ b \ c
              by (rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var wait], simp-all)
    qed
     moreover have ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n))) \land (P \mid (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))))) \land (P \mid (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land (P \mid (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land (P \mid (P \mid X \land gvr
gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)
    proof -
         have (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
         proof (rule UINF-cong)
              fix P assume P \in A
              thus (P X \land gvrt(n+1)) = (P (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1))
                  using Guarded-def assms by blast
        qed
         thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P (X \land gvrt(n)))) \land gvrt(n+1))
         by simp
qed
lemma intChoice-Guarded [closure]:
    assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
```

```
shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \sqcap Q(X))
proof -
     have Guarded (\lambda X. \prod F \in \{P,Q\} \cdot F(X))
         by (rule UINF-Guarded, auto simp add: assms)
     thus ?thesis
         by (simp)
qed
lemma cond-srea-Guarded [closure]:
     assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
     shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q(X))
     using assms by (rel-auto)
A tail recursive reactive design with a productive body is guarded.
lemma Guarded-if-Productive [closure]:
     fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
     assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
     shows Guarded (\lambda X. P ; SRD(X))
proof (clarsimp simp add: Guarded-def)
      — We split the proof into three cases corresponding to valuations for ok, wait, and wait' respectively.
    fix X n
    have a:(P ;; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket =
                    (P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket
         by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-1 assms)
     have b:((P ;; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]] =
                        ((P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]
         by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-2 assms)
     have c:((P :: SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[false/\$wait]] =
                        ((P \; ; \; SRD(X \; \land \; gvrt \; n) \; \land \; gvrt \; (Suc \; n)) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
     proof -
         \mathbf{have} \ 1: (P[[true/\$wait']]; (SRD\ X)[[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = (SRD\ X)[[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n)[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = (SRD\ X)[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = (SRD\
                        (P[true/\$wait']; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
              by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R3h-wait-true SRD-healths(3) SRD-idem)
         have 2:(P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ X)[false/\$wait] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =
                        (P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[false/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
          \mathbf{have}\ exp: \land\ Y :: ('s, 't, '\alpha)\ hrel-rsp.\ (P\llbracket false/\$wait'\rrbracket\ ;;\ (SRD\ Y)\llbracket false/\$wait\rrbracket \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))\llbracket true, false/\$ok,\$wait\rrbracket
                                                              ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$
 Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                                                   \land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
              proof -
                   fix Y :: ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
                  have (P[false/\$wait']]; (SRD\ Y)[false/\$wait] \land qvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =
                           ((\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                        by (metis (no-types) Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) NSRD-is-SRD)
                  also have \dots =
                      ((R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                        by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def RD1-def RD2-def usubst unrest assms
closure design-def)
                   also have ... =
                                (((\neg_r \ pre_B(P) \lor (\$ok' \land post_B(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
```

```
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                by (simp add: impl-alt-def R2c-disj R1-disj R2c-not assms closure R2c-and
                      R2c-preR rea-not-def R1-extend-conj' R2c-pk' R2c-post-SRD R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
            also have ... =
                           ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \lceil false/\$wait \rceil \lor (\$ok' \land post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rceil \lor (SRD(Y)) \ ;
 Y)[false/\$wait])) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                by (simp add: usubst unrest assms closure segr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero SRD-healths)
            also have ... =
              ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; (SRD(Y) \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)))
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
            proof -
                have (\$ok' \land post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ; (SRD Y)[[false/\$wait]] =
                          ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$ok' =_u true) ;; (SRD \ Y)[[false/\$wait]]
                   by (rel-blast)
                also have ... = (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \llbracket true/\$ok' \rrbracket ;; (SRD \ Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket
                  using seqr-left-one-point[of\ ok\ (post_R\ P\ \land\ \$tr'>_u\ \$tr)\ True\ (SRD\ Y)[false/\$wait]]
                  by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym])
                finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst unrest)
            qed
            finally
            \mathbf{show}\ (P[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}']\ ;;\ (\mathit{SRD}\ Y)[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]\ \land\ \mathit{gvrt}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ n))[\mathit{true},\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]] =
                                        ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \| false/\$wait \| \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr') \ ;
Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                           \land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait].
         qed
         \mathbf{have} \ 1: ((post_R \ P \ \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD \ X)[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \ \land \ gvrt \ (Suc \ n)) = \\
                      ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD \ (X \land gvrt \ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))
            apply (rel-auto)
              apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr_0 st_0 more_0 ok')
              apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
              apply (simp)
              apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
              apply (rename-tac tr st ref ok wait tr' st' ref' tr_0 st_0 ref_0 ok' zs)
              apply (rule-tac x = False in <math>exI)
              apply (simp add: size-minus-trace)
              apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
               apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
            using size-strict-mono apply blast
            apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok')
            apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
            apply (simp)
            apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
            apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr_0 st_0 more_0 ok' zs)
            apply (auto simp add: size-minus-trace)
            apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
              apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
            using size-strict-mono apply blast
            done
         have 2:(\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD \ X)[[false/$wait]] = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(X \land gvrt \ n))[[false/$wait]]
            by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero closure assms SRD-healths)
            by (simp add: exp 1 2 utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
      qed
```

```
show ?thesis
   proof -
     have (P :: (SRD X) \land gvrt (n+1))[true,false/$ok,$wait] =
         ((P[true/\$wait]; (SRD\ X)[true/\$wait] \land qvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \lor
         (P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ X)[false/\$wait] \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
       by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
     also
   \mathbf{have} \dots = ((P[true/\$wait']; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
             (P[false/\$wait']]; (SRD(X \land gvrt n))[false/\$wait]] \land gvrt(n+1)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
       by (simp add: 12)
     also
     have ... = ((P \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (SRD (X \land gvrt n)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \lor
               P[false/\$wait'] :: (SRD (X \land gvrt n))[false/\$wait]) \land gvrt (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
      by (simp add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
     also have ... = (P :: (SRD (X \land gvrt n)) \land gvrt (n+1))[true, false/\$ok, \$wait]]
      by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst)
     finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst)
   qed
 qed
 show (P :: SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n)) = (P :: SRD(X \land gvrt n) \land gvrt (Suc n))
   apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok])
     apply (simp-all add: a)
   apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait])
     apply (simp-all \ add: \ b \ c)
 done
qed
10.3
         Tail recursive fixed-point calculations
lemma mu-csp-form-1 [rdes]:
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\bigcap i \cdot P \hat{\ } (i+1)) \ ;; Miracle
proof -
 have 1: Continuous (\lambda X.\ P;; SRD\ X)
   using SRD-Continuous
   by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
 have 2: (\lambda X. P :: SRD X) \in [id]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
   by (blast intro: funcsetI closure assms)
  with 1 2 have (\mu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X)) = (\nu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X))
   by (simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure)
  also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{i}) false)
   by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 1 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{0}) false \sqcap (\sqcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{1}) false)
   by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
 also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) ^ (i+1)) false)
   by (simp)
 also have ... = (\prod i. P \hat{} (i+1) ;; Miracle)
  proof (rule SUP-cong, simp-all)
   show P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X) \hat{i}) false) = (P :: P \hat{i}) :: Miracle
```

```
proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     then show ?case
      by (simp, metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Suc\ i)
     then show ?case
    by (simp add: Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD SRD-power-Suc SRD-segr-closure assms(1) segr-assoc[THEN
sym] srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed)
   qed
 qed
 also have ... = (\prod i. P \hat{\ } (i+1)) ;; Miracle
   by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym])
qed
lemma mu-csp-form-NSRD [closure]:
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) is NSRD
 by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure)
lemma mu-csp-form-1 ':
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (P \ ;; P^*) \ ;; Miracle
proof -
 have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\bigcap \ i \in UNIV \cdot P \ ;; P \hat{\ } i) \ ;; Miracle
   by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure ustar-def)
 also have ... = (P ;; P^*) ;; Miracle
   by (simp only: seq-UINF-distl[THEN sym], simp add: ustar-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
11
       Reactive Design Programs
theory utp-rdes-proq
```

```
theory utp-rdes-prog
imports
utp-rdes-normal
utp-rdes-tactics
utp-rdes-parallel
utp-rdes-guarded
begin
```

11.1 State substitution

```
lemma srd-subst-RHS-tri-design [usubst]:
 [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \vdash ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger Q) \diamond ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger R)) 
by (rel-auto)
 lemma <math>srd-subst-SRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD
```

```
shows \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P \text{ is } SRD
proof -
  have SRD(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma preR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  pre_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger pre_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  peri_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger peri_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  post_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger post_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma srd-subst-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P \text{ is } NSRD
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{NSRD-RC-intro},\ \mathit{simp-all}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{closure}\ \mathit{rdes}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{unrest})
11.2
            Assignment
definition assigns-srd :: 's usubst \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (\langle -\rangle_R) where
[upred-defs]: assigns-srd \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \land \$\Sigma_S' =_u \$\Sigma_S))
syntax
  -assign\text{-}srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic ('(-') :=_R '(-'))
  -assign\text{-}srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic (infixr :=_R 90)
translations
  -assign-srd \ xs \ vs => CONST \ assigns-srd \ (-mk-usubst \ (CONST \ id) \ xs \ vs)
  -assign-srd x \ v \le CONST assigns-srd (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) x \ v)
  -assign-srd \ x \ v \le -assign-srd \ (-spvar \ x) \ v
  x,y :=_R u,v <= CONST assigns-srd (CONST subst-upd (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) (CONST)
svar x) u) (CONST svar y) v)
lemma assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des [rdes-def]:
  \langle \sigma \rangle_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond \langle \sigma \rangle_r)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-srd-NSRD-closed [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is NSRD
  by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-assigns-srd [rdes]: pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = true_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma periR-assigns-srd [rdes]: peri_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = false
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma postR-assigns-srd [rdes]: post<sub>R</sub>(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \langle \sigma \rangle_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure rpred)
```

11.3 Conditional

```
lemma preR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  pre_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S \triangleleft} \land pre_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S \triangleleft} \land pre_R(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-cond-srea [rdes]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S <} \land peri_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S <} \land peri_R(Q))
  have peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = peri_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed
lemma postR-cond-srea [rdes]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S \triangleleft} \land post_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S \triangleleft} \land post_R(Q))
proof -
  have post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = post_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
 thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed
lemma NSRD-cond-srea [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is NSRD
proof (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
  show P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
    by (simp add: closure assms)
  show pre_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) is RC
  proof -
    have 1:(\lceil \neg b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ P) ;; R1(true) = (\lceil \neg b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-neg-pre-unit aext-not assms(1) seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    have 2:(\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)
      by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
 show \$st' \sharp peri_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)
  by (simp add: rdes assms closure unrest)
qed
           Guarded commands
11.4
definition GuardedCommR:: 's cond \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (-\rightarrow_R - [85,
86 | 85) where
gcmd-def[rdes-def]: GuardedCommR \ g \ A = A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R Miracle
lemma gcmd-false[simp]: (false \rightarrow_R A) = Miracle
  unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
lemma gcmd-true[simp]: (true \rightarrow_R A) = A
  unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
```

```
lemma gcmd-SRD:
  assumes A is SRD
  shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is SRD
  by (simp add: gcmd-def SRD-cond-srea assms srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed)
lemma gcmd-NSRD [closure]:
  assumes A is NSRD
  shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is NSRD
  by (simp add: gcmd-def NSRD-cond-srea assms NSRD-Miracle)
lemma gcmd-Productive [closure]:
  assumes A is NSRD A is Productive
  shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is Productive
  by (simp add: qcmd-def closure assms)
lemma gcmd-seq-distr:
  assumes B is NSRD
  shows (g \rightarrow_R A) :: B = (g \rightarrow_R (A :: B))
  by (simp add: Miracle-left-zero NSRD-is-SRD assms cond-st-distr gcmd-def)
lemma gcmd-nondet-distr:
  assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (g \to_R (A \sqcap B)) = (g \to_R A) \sqcap (g \to_R B)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
12
          Generalised Alternation
definition AlternateR
  ":" 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha)
hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: Alternate RI qAB = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((qi) \to_R (Ai))) \cap ((\neg (\bigvee i \in I \cdot qi)) \to_R
B)
definition AlternateR-list
  :: ('s upred \times ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
  AlternateR-list xs P = AlternateR \{0... < length xs\} (\lambda i. map fst xs! i) (\lambda i. map snd xs! i) P
syntax
  -altindR-els :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if _R -\in - \cdot - \rightarrow - else - fi)
                    :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R - \in - \cdot - \rightarrow - f_l)
  -altindR
  -altgcommR-els :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R - else - f_l)
  -altgcommR
                      :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (if_R - fi)
translations
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \ else \ B \ fi \ \rightharpoonup \ CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B
  \textit{if} \; \textit{R} \; \textit{i} \in \textit{I} \; \cdot \; \textit{g} \; \rightarrow \; \textit{A} \; \textit{fi} \; \; \rightharpoonup \; \textit{CONST} \; \textit{AlternateR} \; \textit{I} \; (\lambda \textit{i}. \; \textit{g}) \; (\lambda \textit{i}. \; \textit{A}) \; (\textit{CONST} \; \textit{Chaos})
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot (g \ i) \rightarrow A \ else \ B \ fi \ \leftarrow CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ g \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B
  -altgcommR \ cs \rightarrow CONST \ AlternateR-list \ cs \ (CONST \ Chaos)
  -altgcommR (-gcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST AlternateR-list cs (CONST Chaos)
  -altgcommR-els\ cs\ P\ 
ightharpoonup\ CONST\ AlternateR-list\ cs\ P
  -altgcommR-els (-gcomm-show cs) P \leftarrow CONST AlternateR-list cs P
```

```
lemma AlternateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge i. A i is NSRD B is NSRD
 shows (if R i \in I \cdot g i \rightarrow A i else B fi) is NSRD
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
 {f case}\ {\it True}
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def assms)
next
 {f case} False
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
lemma AlternateR-empty [simp]:
  (if_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = B
 by (rdes-simp)
lemma AlternateR-Productive [closure]:
 assumes
   \bigwedge i. A i is NSRD B is NSRD
   \bigwedge i. A i is Productive B is Productive
 shows (if R i \in I \cdot g i \rightarrow A i else B fi) is Productive
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
 case True
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(4))
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
12.1
         Choose
definition choose-srd :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (choose_R) where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: choose_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond true_r)
lemma preR-choose [rdes]: pre_R(choose_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-choose [rdes]: peri_R(choose_R) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-choose [rdes]: post_R(choose_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma choose-srd-SRD [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is SRD
 by (simp add: choose-srd-def closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-choose-srd [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)
12.2
         State Abstraction
definition state-srea ::
```

```
's itself \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp \Rightarrow (unit,'t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: state-srea t P = \langle \exists \{\$st,\$st'\} \cdot P \rangle_S
```

```
syntax
  -state-srea :: type \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (state - \cdot - [0,200] 200)
translations
 state 'a \cdot P == CONST state-srea TYPE('a) P
lemma R1-state-srea: R1(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-state-srea: R2c(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R2c(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-state-srea: R3h(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R3h(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-state-srea: RD1(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-state-srea: RD2(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD3-state-srea: RD3(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot RD3(P))
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma SRD-state-srea [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-state-srea R2c-state-srea R3h-state-srea RD1-state-srea RD2-state-srea
RHS-def SRD-def)
lemma NSRD-state-srea [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-RD3 NSRD-is-SRD RD3-state-srea SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD SRD-state-srea)
lemma preR-state-srea [rdes]: pre_R(state 'a \cdot P) = \langle \forall \{\$st,\$st'\} \cdot pre_R(P) \rangle_S
 by (simp add: state-srea-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-state-srea [rdes]: peri_R(state 'a \cdot P) = state 'a \cdot peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-state-srea [rdes]: post<sub>R</sub>(state 'a · P) = state 'a · post<sub>R</sub>(P)
 by (rel-auto)
12.3
         Assumptions
definition Assume R: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp ([-]_R) where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: [b]_R = b \rightarrow_R II_R
lemma AssumeR-NSRD [closure]: [b]_R is NSRD
 by (simp add: AssumeR-def NSRD-srd-skip gcmd-NSRD)
lemma AssumeR-true: [true]_R = II_R
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-false: [false]_R = Miracle
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-seq: [b]_R;; [c]_R = [b \land c]_R
```

by (rdes-eq)

12.4 While Loop

```
definition While R: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (while R - do - od)
While R \ b \ P = (\mu_R \ X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
lemma Continuous-const [closure]: Continuous (\lambda X. P)
  by pred-auto
lemma Continuous-cond [closure]:
  assumes Continuous F Continuous G
  shows Continuous (\lambda X. F(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright G(X))
  using assms by (pred-auto)
lemma Sup-power-false:
  fixes F :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred
  shows (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false) = (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false)
proof
  have (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false) = (F \hat{i}) false \cap (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false)
    by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
  also have ... = (\prod i. (F \hat{i} (i+1)) false)
    by (simp)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem WhileR-iter-form:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while R b do P od = (\bigcap i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have 1: Continuous (\lambda X. P :: SRD X)
    using SRD-Continuous
    by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: Continuous (\lambda X. P :: SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: 1 closure assms)
  have ?lhs = (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: WhileR-def)
  also have ... = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (auto simp add: srd-mu-equiv closure assms)
  also have ... = (\nu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (auto simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure assms)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i) false)
    by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 2 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} (i+1)) false)
    by (simp add: Sup-power-false)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))
  proof (rule SUP-cong, simp)
    show ((\lambda X.\ P\ ;;\ SRD\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \ \hat{\ } (i+1)) false = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \ \hat{\ } i\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ Miracle \triangleleft b \bowtie_R\ II_R)
\triangleright_R II_R)
    \mathbf{proof}\ (induct\ i)
      case \theta
      thm if-eq-cancel
      then show ?case
        by (simp, metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top)
    next
      case (Suc\ i)
```

```
show ?case
       proof -
         have ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} (Suc i + 1)) false =
                P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} (i + 1)) false) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
         also have ... = P ;; SRD ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i ;; (P ;; \mathit{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
           using Suc.hyps by auto
         also have ... = P ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i ;; (P ;; \mathit{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
                by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-cond-srea NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc
NSRD-srd-skip SRD-cond-srea SRD-segr-closure assms(1) power, power-eq-if segr-left-unit srdes-theory-continuous. top-closure
         also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} Suc i :: (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
         proof (induct i)
           case \theta
           then show ?case
              by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip
assms(1) cond-L6 cond-st-distr srdes-theory-continuous.top-closed)
         next
           case (Suc\ i)
          have 1: II_R;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R); (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i}) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R); (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i})
             by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip assms(1))
           then show ?case
           proof -
             have \bigwedge u. (u :; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } Suc \ i) :; (P :; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R) =
                         ((u \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } Suc \ i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R))
                by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps 1 cond-L6 cond-st-distr power.power.power.Suc)
              then show ?thesis
                by (simp add: RA1)
           qed
         qed
         finally show ?thesis.
       qed
    qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
12.5
           Iteration Construction
definition IterateR
  :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, \ 't::trace, \ '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, \ 't, \ '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
where IterateR \ A \ g \ P = (\mu_R \ X \cdot (if_R \ i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ fi \ ;; \ X) \triangleleft (\bigvee \ i \in A \cdot g(i)) \triangleright_R II_R)
  -iter-srd :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (do_R - \in - \cdot - \rightarrow - fi)
translations
  -iter-srd x A g P => CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x. P)
  -iter-srd x A g P \leq CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x'. P)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{IterateR-empty}\colon
  do_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ fi = II_R
  by (simp add: IterateR-def srd-mu-equiv closure rpred qfp-const)
```

12.6 Substitution Laws

lemma srd-subst-Chaos [usubst]:

```
\sigma \dagger_S Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma srd-subst-Miracle [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma srd-subst-skip [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S II_R = \langle \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ srd\text{-}subst\text{-}assigns\ [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
12.7
           Algebraic Laws
theorem assigns-srd-id: \langle id \rangle_R = II_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-comp: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-Miracle: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-Chaos: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-cond : \langle \sigma \rangle_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright_s \varrho \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-left-seq:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows \langle \sigma \rangle_R;; P = \sigma \dagger_S P
  by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
{f lemma} {\it AlternateR-seq-distr}:
  assumes \bigwedge i. A i is NSRD B is NSRD C is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) \ ;; \ C = (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ ;; \ C \ else \ B \ ;; \ C \ fi)
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def upred-semiring.distrib-right seq-UINF-distr gcmd-seq-distr assms(3))
qed
lemma AlternateR-is-cond-srea:
  assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in \{a\} \cdot g \rightarrow A \ else \ B \ fi) = (A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R B)
  by (rdes-eq' cls: assms)
lemma AlternateR-Chaos:
  if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow Chaos fi = Chaos
```

```
by (cases\ A = \{\}, simp, rdes-eq)
lemma choose-srd-par:
  choose_R \parallel_R choose_R = choose_R
  by (rdes-eq)
12.8
          Lifting designs to reactive designs
definition des-rea-lift :: 's hrel-des \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (\mathbf{R}_D) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S)))
definition des-rea-drop :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow 's hrel-des (\mathbf{D}_R) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{D}_R(P) = \lfloor (pre_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$tr' \rrbracket \upharpoonright_v \$st \rfloor_{S<}
                     \vdash_n \lfloor (post_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$tr' \rrbracket \upharpoonright_v \{\$st,\$st'\} \rfloor_S
lemma ndesign-rea-lift-inverse: \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{R}_D(p \vdash_n Q)) = p \vdash_n Q
  apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def des-rea-drop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design)
 apply (simp add: R1-def R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)
  done
lemma ndesign-rea-lift-injective:
  assumes P is \mathbb{N} Q is \mathbb{N} \mathbb{R}_D P = \mathbb{R}_D Q (is ?RP(P) = ?RQ(Q))
  shows P = Q
proof -
  have ?RP(|pre_D(P)| < \vdash_n post_D(P)) = ?RQ(|pre_D(Q)| < \vdash_n post_D(Q))
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
 hence |pre_D(P)| < \vdash_n post_D(P) = |pre_D(Q)| < \vdash_n post_D(Q)
    by (metis ndesign-rea-lift-inverse)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
qed
lemma des-rea-lift-closure [closure]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) is SRD
 by (simp add: des-rea-lift-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma preR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  pre_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = R1(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
 peri_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = (false \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  post_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = ((true \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\neg \$tr \leq_u \$tr')) \Rightarrow (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma ndes-rea-lift-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is N
 shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) is NSRD
proof -
  obtain p Q where P: P = (p \vdash_n Q)
    by (metis H1-H3-commute H1-H3-is-normal-design H1-idem Healthy-def assms)
```

show ?thesis

apply (rule NSRD-intro)

```
apply (simp-all add: closure rdes unrest P)
    apply (rel-auto)
    done
qed
lemma R-D-mono:
  assumes P is \mathbf{H} Q is \mathbf{H} P \sqsubseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def)
  apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
   apply (auto intro: H1-H2-refines assms aext-mono)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) aext-mono assms(3) design-post-choice
    semilattice\text{-}sup\text{-}class.sup.orderE\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.inf.coboundedI1\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.inf.commute\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.sup.order\text{-}iff
  done
Homomorphism laws
lemma R-D-Miracle:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\top_D) = Miracle
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma R-D-Chaos:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = Chaos
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \mathbf{R}_D(false \vdash_r true)
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def des-rea-lift-def alpha)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (true)
    by (rel-auto)
  also have \dots = Chaos
    by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma R-D-inf:
 \mathbf{R}_D(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-cond:
 \mathbf{R}_D(P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{D \triangleleft} \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-seg-ndesign:
 \mathbf{R}_D(p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(p_2 \vdash_n Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_D((p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; (p_2 \vdash_n Q_2))
 apply (rule antisym)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
      apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
      apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
    apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rel-auto)
```

```
apply (rel-auto)
 done
lemma R-D-seq:
 assumes P is N Q is N
 shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P ;; Q)
 by (metis R-D-seq-ndesign assms ndesign-form)
Thes laws are applicable only when there is no further alphabet extension
lemma R-D-skip:
 \mathbf{R}_D(II_D) = (II_R :: ('s, 't :: trace, unit) \ hrel-rsp)
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma R-D-assigns:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\langle \sigma \rangle_D) = (\langle \sigma \rangle_R :: ('s, 't :: trace, unit) \ hrel-rsp)
 by (simp add: assigns-d-def des-rea-lift-def alpha assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des, rel-auto)
end
13
        Instantaneous Reactive Designs
theory utp-rdes-instant
 imports utp-rdes-prog
begin
definition ISRD1 :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: ISRD1(P) = P \parallel_R choose_R
definition ISRD :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: ISRD = ISRD1 \circ NSRD
lemma ISRD1-idem: ISRD1(ISRD1(P)) = ISRD1(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-monotonic: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow ISRD1(P) \sqsubseteq ISRD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } RR; R \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond R)
 by (simp add: ISRD1-def rdes-def closure rpred)
lemma ISRD-intro:
 assumes P is NSRD peri_R(P) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 shows P is ISRD
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) is ISRD1
   by (simp add: Healthy-def rdes-def closure assms, rel-auto)
 hence P is ISRD1
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-reactive-tri-design}\ closure\ assms(1))
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ ISRD\text{-}def\ Healthy\text{-}comp\ assms(1))
qed
lemma ISRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
```

assumes P is RC Q is RR

```
shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) is ISRD
 by (simp-all add: ISRD-intro closure assms unrest rdes)
lemma ISRD-implies-ISRD1:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is ISRD1
proof -
 have ISRD(P) is ISRD1
   by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-def ISRD1-idem)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed
lemma ISRD-implies-SRD:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is SRD
proof -
 have 1:ISRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true \land R1 \ true) \vdash false \diamond (post_R \ P \land R1 \ true))
   by (simp add: NSRD-form ISRD1-def ISRD-def RHS-tri-design-par rdes-def unrest closure)
 moreover have ... is SRD
   by (simp add: closure unrest)
 ultimately have ISRD(P) is SRD
   by (simp)
 with assms show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
ged
lemma ISRD-implies-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
 have 1:ISRD(P) = ISRD1(RD3(SRD(P)))
   by (simp add: ISRD-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2)
 also have ... = ISRD1(RD3(P))
   by (simp add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ISRD1 (\mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false_h \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \diamond post_R P))
   by (simp add: RD3-def, subst SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma, simp-all add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false_h \vdash false \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par ISRD1-def unrest choose-srd-def rpred closure ISRD-implies-SRD
assms)
 also have ... = (...; II_R)
  by (rdes-simp, simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms unrest ISRD-implies-SRD
wp rpred wp-rea-false-RC)
 also have ... is RD3
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def segr-assoc, simp add: NSRD-right-unit closure)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD Healthy-if assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
qed
lemma ISRD-form:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond post_R(P)) = P
proof -
 have P = ISRD1(P)
   by (simp add: ISRD-implies-ISRD1 assms Healthy-if)
```

```
also have ... = ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design ISRD-implies-SRD assms)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond post_R(P))
   by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def closure assms ISRD-implies-NSRD)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma ISRD-elim:
  \llbracket \ P \ is \ \mathit{ISRD}; \ Q(\mathbf{R}_s \ (\mathit{pre}_R(P) \vdash \mathit{false} \diamond \mathit{post}_R(P))) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: ISRD-form)
lemma skip-srd-ISRD [closure]: II_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure)
lemma assigns-srd-ISRD [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure)
lemma seq-ISRD-closed:
 assumes P is ISRD Q is ISRD
 shows P;; Q is ISRD
 apply (insert assms)
 apply (erule ISRD-elim)+
 apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
done
```

end

14 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rea-designs
imports
utp-rdes-healths
utp-rdes-designs
utp-rdes-triples
utp-rdes-normal
utp-rdes-contracts
utp-rdes-parallel
utp-rdes-prog
utp-rdes-instant
utp-rdes-guarded
begin end
```

References

- [1] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233.
- [2] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [3] M. V. M. Oliveira. Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.