Kleene Algebra in Unifying Theories of Programming

Simon Foster

April 4, 2018

Contents

1	Kleene Algebra and UTP				
	1.1	Syntax setup	1		
	1.2	Kleene Algebra Instantiations	2		
	1.3	Derived Laws	2		
	1.4	UTP Theories with Kleene Algebra	3		

1 Kleene Algebra and UTP

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}kleene \\ \textbf{imports} \\ KAT\text{-}and\text{-}DRA\text{.}KAT \\ UTP\text{.}utp \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

This theory instantiates the Kleene Algebra [5] (KA) hierarchy, mechanised in Isabelle/HOL by Armstrong, Gomes, Struth et al [1, 3, 2]., for UTP alphabetised relations [4]. Specifically, we substantiate the required dioid and KA laws in the type class hierarchy, which allows us to make use of all theorems proved in the former work. Moreover, we also prove an important result that a subclass of UTP theories, which we call "Kleene UTP theories", always form Kleene algebras. The proof of the latter is obtained by lifting laws from the KA hierarchy.

1.1 Syntax setup

It is necessary to replace parts of the KA syntax to ensure compatibility with UTP. We therefore delete various bits of notation, and hide some constants.

```
purge-notation star (-* [101] 100)
recall-syntax
purge-notation n-op (n - [90] 91)
purge-notation ts-ord (infix \sqsubseteq 50)
notation n-op (n[-])
notation t (n<sup>2</sup>[-])
notation ts-ord (infix \sqsubseteq_t 50)
hide-const t
```

1.2 Kleene Algebra Instantiations

Next, import the laws of Kleene Algebra into the UTP relational calculus. We show that relations form a dioid and a Kleene algebra via two locales, the interpretation of which exports a large library of algebraic laws.

```
interpretation urel-dioid: dioid
  where plus = op \sqcap and times = op ;;_h and less-eq = less-eq and less = less
 fix P Q R :: '\alpha hrel
 show (P \sqcap Q) ;; R = P ;; R \sqcap Q ;; R
   by (simp add: upred-semiring.distrib-right)
  \mathbf{show}\ (Q \sqsubseteq P) = (P \sqcap Q = Q)
   by (simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-iff-sup)
 \mathbf{show}\ (P < Q) = (Q \sqsubseteq P \land \neg P = Q)
   by (simp add: less-le)
 show P \sqcap P = P
   by simp
qed
interpretation urel-ka: kleene-algebra
  where plus = op \sqcap and \ times = op \ ;_h \ and \ one = skip-r \ and \ zero = false_h \ and \ less-eq = less-eq
and less = less and star = ustar
proof
 \mathbf{fix}\ P\ Q\ R\ ::\ '\alpha\ \mathit{hrel}
 show II ;; P = P by simp
 show P ;; II = P by simp
 show false \sqcap P = P by simp
 show false ;; P = false by simp
 show P ;; false = false by simp
 show P^* \sqsubseteq II \sqcap P ;; P^*
   using ustar-sub-unfoldl by blast
 show Q \sqsubseteq R \sqcap P ;; Q \Longrightarrow Q \sqsubseteq P^* ;; R
   by (simp add: ustar-inductl)
 show Q \sqsubseteq R \sqcap Q ;; P \Longrightarrow Q \sqsubseteq R ;; P^*
   by (simp add: ustar-inductr)
qed
We also show that UTP relations form a Kleene Algebra with Tests [6, 3] (KAT).
interpretation urel-kat: kat
  where plus = op \sqcap and \ times = op \ ;_h \ and \ one = skip-r \ and \ zero = false_h \ and \ less-eq = less-eq
and less = less and star = ustar and n-op = \lambda x. II \wedge (\neg x)
 by (unfold-locales, rel-auto+)
We can now access the laws of KA and KAT for UTP relations as below.
thm urel-ka.star-inductr-var
{f thm} urel-ka.star-trans
thm urel-ka.star-square
thm urel-ka.independence1
```

1.3 Derived Laws

We prove that UTP assumptions are tests.

```
lemma test-rassume [simp]: urel-kat.test [b]^{\top} by (simp add: urel-kat.test-def, rel-auto)
```

The KAT laws can be used to prove results like the one below.

```
lemma while-kat-form:
  while b do P od = ([b]^{\top};; P)^{\star};; [\neg b]^{\top} (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  have 1:(II::'a\ hrel) \sqcap (II::'a\ hrel) ;; [\neg\ b]^{\top} = II
   by (metis assume-true test-rassume urel-kat.test-absorb1)
  have ?lhs = ([b]^{\top} ;; P \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top} ;; II)^{*} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}
   by (simp add: while-star-form rcond-rassume-expand)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top} ;; P)^{*} ;; [\neg b]^{\top*})^{*} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}
   by (metis segr-right-unit urel-ka.star-denest)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top} ;; P)^{\star} ;; (II \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top})^{\star})^{\star} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}
   by (metis urel-ka.star2)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top} ;; P)^{*} ;; (II)^{*})^{*} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}
   by (metis 1 seqr-left-unit)
  also have ... = (([b]^\top ;; P)^{\star})^{\star} ;; [\neg b]^\top
   by (metis urel-ka.mult-oner urel-ka.star-one)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (metis urel-ka.star-invol)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma uplus-invol [simp]: (P^+)^+ = P^+
 by (metis RA1 uplus-def urel-ka.conway.dagger-trans-eq urel-ka.star-denest-var-2 urel-ka.star-invol)
lemma uplus-alt-def: P^+ = P^*;; P
  by (simp add: uplus-def urel-ka.star-slide-var)
```

1.4 UTP Theories with Kleene Algebra

A Kleene UTP theory is continuous UTP theory with a left unit. The star in such a context has already been defined by lifting the relational Kleene star. Here, we use the KA theorems obtained above to provide corresponding theorems for a Kleene UTP theory.

```
locale \ utp-theory-kleene = utp-theory-cont-unital-zerol
begin
lemma Star-def: P \star = P^{\star} ;; \mathcal{II}
 by (simp add: utp-star-def)
lemma Star-alt-def:
 assumes P is \mathcal{H}
 shows P_{\star} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} \sqcap P^{+}
proof -
  from assms have P^+ = P^* :: P :: \mathcal{II}
   by (simp add: Unit-Right uplus-alt-def)
  then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: RA1 utp-star-def)
qed
lemma Star-Healthy [closure]:
 assumes P is H
 shows P\star is \mathcal{H}
 by (simp add: assms closure Star-alt-def)
```

lemma Star-unfoldl:

```
P \star \sqsubseteq \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \sqcap P ;; P \star
   by (simp add: RA1 utp-star-def)
{f lemma} Star-inductl:
   assumes R is \mathcal{H} Q \sqsubseteq P ;; Q \sqcap R
    shows Q \sqsubseteq P \star ;; R
proof -
    from assms(2) have Q \sqsubseteq R \ Q \sqsubseteq P \ ;; \ Q
        by auto
    thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: Unit-Left assms(1) upred-semiring.mult-assoc urel-ka.star-inductl utp-star-def)
qed
lemma Star-invol:
    assumes P is \mathcal{H}
   shows P\star\star = P\star
   by (metis (no-types) RA1 Unit-Left Unit-self assms urel-ka.star-invol urel-ka.star-sim3 utp-star-def)
lemma Star-test:
    assumes P is \mathcal{H} utest \mathcal{T} P
   shows P \star = \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis utp-star-def Star-alt-def Unit-Right Unit-self assms semilattice-sup-class.sup. absorb 1 semilattice-sup-class.sup-by (\textit{metis utp-star-def Star-alt-def Unit-Right Unit-self assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-absorb 1 semilattice-sup-class.sup-by (\textit{metis utp-star-def Star-alt-def Unit-Right Unit-self assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-absorb 1 semilattice-sup-class.sup-by (\textit{metis utp-star-def Unit-Right Unit-self assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-absorb 1 semilattice-sup-absorb 1 semilattice-s
urel-ka.star-inductr-var-eq2 urel-ka.star-sim1 utest-def)
lemma Star-lemma-1:
    P \text{ is } \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{II} \text{ } ;; P^* \text{ } ;; \mathcal{II} = P^* \text{ } ;; \mathcal{II}
   by (metis utp-star-def Star-Healthy Unit-Left)
lemma Star-lemma-2:
    assumes P is \mathcal{H} Q is \mathcal{H}
   shows (P^* :: Q^* :: \mathcal{II})^* :: \mathcal{II} = (P^* :: Q^*)^* :: \mathcal{II}
   by (metis (no-types) assms RA1 Star-lemma-1 Unit-self urel-ka.star-sim3)
lemma Star-denest:
    assumes P is \mathcal{H} Q is \mathcal{H}
    shows (P \sqcap Q)\star = (P\star ;; Q\star)\star
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) RA1 utp-star-def Star-lemma-1 Star-lemma-2 assms urel-ka.star-denest)
{\bf lemma}\ \mathit{Star-denest-disj}\colon
   assumes P is \mathcal{H} Q is \mathcal{H}
   shows (P \vee Q)\star = (P\star ;; Q\star)\star
   by (simp add: disj-upred-def Star-denest assms)
lemma Star-unfoldl-eq:
    assumes P is \mathcal{H}
   shows \mathcal{II} \sqcap P ;; P \star = P \star
   by (simp add: RA1 utp-star-def)
lemma uplus-Star-def:
   assumes P is H
   shows P^+ = (P ;; P \star)
  by (metis (full-types) RA1 utp-star-def Unit-Left Unit-Right assms uplus-def urel-ka.conway.dagger-slide)
```

lemma *Star-trade-skip*:

```
P \text{ is } \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{II} ;; P^* = P^* ;; \mathcal{II}
 by (simp add: Unit-Left Unit-Right urel-ka.star-sim3)
lemma Star-slide:
 assumes P is \mathcal{H}
 shows (P :: P \star) = (P \star :: P) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = P ;; P^* ;; II
   by (simp add: utp-star-def)
 also have ... = P :: \mathcal{II} :: P^*
   by (simp add: Star-trade-skip assms)
 also have ... = P;; P^*
   by (simp add: RA1 Unit-Right assms)
 also have ... = P^* ;; P
   by (simp add: urel-ka.star-slide-var)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (metis RA1 utp-star-def Unit-Left assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Star-unfoldr-eq:
 assumes P is \mathcal{H}
 shows \mathcal{II} \sqcap P \star ;; P = P \star
 using Star-slide Star-unfoldl-eq assms by auto
lemma Star-inductr:
 assumes P is \mathcal{H} R is \mathcal{H} Q \sqsubseteq P \sqcap Q;; R
 shows Q \sqsubseteq P;;R\star
 by (metis (full-types) RA1 Star-def Star-trade-skip Unit-Right assms urel-ka.star-inductr')
lemma Star-Top: T \star = II
 by (simp add: Star-test top-healthy utest-Top)
end
end
```

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, V. Gomes, and G. Struth. Building program construction and verification tools from algebraic principles. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 28(2):265–293, 2015.
- [2] S. Foster, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Automated engineering of relational and algebraic methods in Isabelle/HOL. In *RAMICS*, LNCS 6663, pages 52–67. Springer, 2011.
- [3] V. B. F. Gomes and G. Struth. Modal Kleene algebra applied to program correctness. In *Formal Methods*, volume 9995 of *LNCS*, pages 310–325. Springer, 2016.
- [4] T. Hoare and J. He. Unifying Theories of Programming. Prentice-Hall, 1998.
- [5] D. Kozen. On Kleene algebras and closed semirings. In *Proc. 15th Symp. on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS)*, volume 452 of *LNCS*, pages 26–47. Springer, 1990.

[6]	D. Kozen. Kleene algebra with tests. <i>ACM Systems (TOPLAS)</i> , 19(3):427–443, 1997.	Transactions on	Programming	Languages and