

Reactive Designs

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock
Samuel Canham

March 2, 2018

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions	2
2.1	Preliminaries	3
2.2	Identities	3
2.3	RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces	4
2.4	R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3	6
2.5	RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination	9
2.6	Major healthiness conditions	9
2.7	UTP theories	12
3	Reactive Design Specifications	14
3.1	Reactive design forms	15
3.2	Auxiliary healthiness conditions	17
3.3	Composition laws	18
3.4	Refinement introduction laws	24
3.5	Distribution laws	25
4	Reactive Design Triples	26
4.1	Diamond notation	26
4.2	Export laws	27
4.3	Pre-, peri-, and postconditions	28
4.3.1	Definitions	28
4.3.2	Unrestriction laws	28
4.3.3	Substitution laws	29
4.3.4	Healthiness laws	30
4.3.5	Calculation laws	33
4.4	Formation laws	35
4.4.1	Order laws	36
4.5	Composition laws	36
4.6	Refinement introduction laws	41
4.7	Closure laws	42
4.8	Distribution laws	43
4.9	Algebraic laws	44
4.10	Recursion laws	46

5	Normal Reactive Designs	47
6	Syntax for reactive design contracts	58
7	Reactive design tactics	60
8	Reactive design parallel-by-merge	61
8.1	Example basic merge	75
8.2	Simple parallel composition	75
9	Productive Reactive Designs	76
9.1	Healthiness condition	77
9.2	Reactive design calculations	78
9.3	Closure laws	79
10	Guarded Recursion	81
10.1	Traces with a size measure	81
10.2	Guardedness	82
10.3	Tail recursive fixed-point calculations	86
11	Reactive Design Programs	87
11.1	State substitution	87
11.2	Assignment	88
11.3	Conditional	89
11.4	Guarded commands	89
12	Generalised Alternation	90
12.1	Choose	91
12.2	State Abstraction	92
12.3	Assumptions	92
12.4	While Loop	93
12.5	Iteration Construction	94
12.6	Substitution Laws	95
12.7	Algebraic Laws	95
12.8	Lifting designs to reactive designs	96
13	Instantaneous Reactive Designs	98
14	Meta-theory for Reactive Designs	101

1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [2] of our theory of reactive designs. Reactive designs form an important semantic foundation for reactive modelling languages such as Circus [3]. For more details of this work, please see our recent paper [1].

2 Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions

```
theory utp-rdes-healths
  imports UTP-Reactive.utp-reactive
```

begin

2.1 Preliminaries

named-theorems *rdes* **and** *rdes-def* **and** *RD-elim*

type-synonym (s, t) *rdes* = (s, t, unit) *hrel-rsp*

translations

$(\text{type}) (s, t)$ *rdes* $\leq (\text{type}) (s, t, \text{unit})$ *hrel-rsp*

lemma *R2-st-ex*: $R2 (\exists \$st \cdot P) = (\exists \$st \cdot R2(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2s-st'-eq-st*:
 $R2s(\$st' =_u \$st) = (\$st' =_u \$st)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-st'-eq-st*:
 $R2c(\$st' =_u \$st) = (\$st' =_u \$st)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-des-lift-skip*: $R1(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2-des-lift-skip*:
 $R2(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *R1-R2c-ex-st*: $R1 (R2c (\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1)) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2c Q_1))$
by (*rel-auto*)

2.2 Identities

We define two identities for reactive designs, which correspond to the regular and state-sensitive versions of reactive designs, respectively. The former is the one used in the UTP book and related publications for CSP.

definition *skip-rea* :: $(t::\text{trace}, \alpha)$ *hrel-rp* (II_c) **where**
skip-rea-def [*urel-defs*]: $II_c = (II \vee (\neg \$ok \wedge \$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

definition *skip-srea* :: $(s, t::\text{trace}, \alpha)$ *hrel-rsp* (II_R) **where**
skip-srea-def [*urel-defs*]: $II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II_c)$

lemma *skip-rea-R1-lemma*: $II_c = R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-rea-form*: $II_c = (II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true}))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-srea-form*: $II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true})$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-skip-rea*: $R1(II_c) = II_c$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-skip-rea*: $R2c\ II_c = II_c$
by (*simp add: skip-rea-def R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-skip-r R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-tr'-ge-tr*)

lemma *R2-skip-rea*: $R2(II_c) = II_c$
by (*metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-skip-rea R2c-skip-rea*)

lemma *R2c-skip-srea*: $R2c(II_R) = II_R$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *skip-srea-R1 [closure]*: II_R *is* $R1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-srea-R2c [closure]*: II_R *is* $R2c$
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R2c-skip-srea*)

lemma *skip-srea-R2 [closure]*: II_R *is* $R2$
by (*metis Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2c-skip-srea skip-srea-R1*)

2.3 RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces

definition $RD1 :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $RD1(P) = (P \vee (\neg \$ok \wedge \$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

$RD1$ is essentially $H1$ from the theory of designs, but viewed through the prism of reactive processes.

lemma *RD1-idem*: $RD1(RD1(P)) = RD1(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-Idempotent*: *Idempotent* $RD1$
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RD1-idem*)

lemma *RD1-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies RD1(P) \sqsubseteq RD1(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-Monotonic*: *Monotonic* $RD1$
using *mono-def RD1-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *RD1-Continuous*: *Continuous* $RD1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-true-RD1-closed [closure]*: $R1(true)$ *is* $RD1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-wait-false [closure]*: P *is* $RD1 \implies P[\![false/\$wait]\!]$ *is* $RD1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-wait'-false [closure]*: P *is* $RD1 \implies P[\![false/\$wait']]\!$ *is* $RD1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-seq*: $RD1(RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)) = RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-seq-closure [closure]*: $\llbracket P \text{ is } RD1; Q \text{ is } RD1 \rrbracket \implies P ;; Q \text{ is } RD1$
by (*metis Healthy-def' RD1-seq*)

lemma *RD1-R1-commute*: $RD1(R1(P)) = R1(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-R2c-commute*: $RD1(R2c(P)) = R2c(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-via-R1*: $R1(H1(P)) = RD1(R1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-R1-cases*: $RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-rea-RD1-skip*: $II_c = RD1(II)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-srea-RD1 [closure]*: II_R is *RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-algebraic-intro*:

assumes

P is *R1* ($R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) (II_c ;; P) = P$

shows P is *RD1*

proof –

have $P = (II_c ;; P)$

by (*simp add: assms(3)*)

also have $\dots = (R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; P)$

by (*simp add: skip-rea-R1-lemma*)

also have $\dots = (((\neg \$ok \wedge R1(true)) ;; P) \vee P)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl skip-rea-R1-lemma skip-rea-def utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup-commute*)

also have $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; (R1(true_h) ;; P)) \vee P)$

using *dual-order.trans* **by** (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; R1(true_h)) \vee P)$

by (*simp add: assms(2)*)

also have $\dots = (R1(\neg \$ok) \vee P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = RD1(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-def*)

qed

theorem *RD1-left-zero*:

assumes P is *R1* P is *RD1*

shows $(R1(true) ;; P) = R1(true)$

proof –

have $(R1(true) ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(true)$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2)*)

qed

theorem *RD1-left-unit*:

assumes P is *R1* P is *RD1*

shows $(II_c ;; P) = P$

proof –
 have $(II_c ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(RD1(P))$
 by *(rel-auto)*
 thus *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2))*
qed

lemma *RD1-alt-def*:
 assumes *P is R1*
 shows $RD1(P) = (P \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$
proof –
 have $RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$
 by *(rel-auto)*
 thus *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: Healthy-if assms)*
qed

theorem *RD1-algebraic*:
 assumes *P is R1*
 shows $P \text{ is } RD1 \iff (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) \wedge (II_c ;; P) = P$
 using *RD1-algebraic-intro RD1-left-unit RD1-left-zero assms* **by** *blast*

2.4 R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3

definition $R3c :: ('t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $R3c(P) = (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition $R3h :: ('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
R3h-def *[upred-defs]*: $R3h(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

lemma *R3c-idem*: $R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)$
by *(rel-auto)*

lemma *R3c-Idempotent*: *Idempotent R3c*
by *(simp add: Idempotent-def R3c-idem)*

lemma *R3c-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R3c(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(Q)$
by *(rel-auto)*

lemma *R3c-Monotonic*: *Monotonic R3c*
by *(simp add: mono-def R3c-mono)*

lemma *R3c-Continuous*: *Continuous R3c*
by *(rel-auto)*

lemma *R3h-idem*: $R3h(R3h(P)) = R3h(P)$
by *(rel-auto)*

lemma *R3h-Idempotent*: *Idempotent R3h*
by *(simp add: Idempotent-def R3h-idem)*

lemma *R3h-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3h(Q)$
by *(rel-auto)*

lemma *R3h-Monotonic*: *Monotonic R3h*
by *(simp add: mono-def R3h-mono)*

lemma *R3h-Continuous: Continuous R3h*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-inf: $R3h(P \sqcap Q) = R3h(P) \sqcap R3h(Q)$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-UINF:*
 $A \neq \{\} \implies R3h(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot R3h(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-cond: $R3h(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3h(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3h(Q))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-via-RD1-R3: $RD1(R3(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-RD1-def: P is $RD1 \implies R3c(P) = RD1(R3(P))$*
by (*simp add: Healthy-if R3c-via-RD1-R3*)

lemma *RD1-R3c-commute: $RD1(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-R3c-commute: $R1(R3c(P)) = R3c(R1(P))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-R3c-commute: $R2c(R3c(P)) = R3c(R2c(P))$*
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *R1-R3h-commute: $R1(R3h(P)) = R3h(R1(P))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-R3h-commute: $R2c(R3h(P)) = R3h(R2c(P))$*
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *RD1-R3h-commute: $RD1(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD1(P))$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-cancels-R3: $R3c(R3(P)) = R3c(P)$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3-cancels-R3c: $R3(R3c(P)) = R3(P)$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-cancels-R3c: $R3h(R3c(P)) = R3h(P)$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-semir-form:*
 $(R3c(P) ;; R3c(R1(Q))) = R3c(P ;; R3c(R1(Q)))$
by (*rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans*)

lemma *R3h-semir-form:*
 $(R3h(P) ;; R3h(R1(Q))) = R3h(P ;; R3h(R1(Q)))$
by (*rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans, blast+*)

lemma *R3c-seq-closure*:

assumes P is *R3c* Q is *R3c* Q is *R1*

shows $(P ;; Q)$ is *R3c*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R3c-semir-form assms*)

lemma *R3h-seq-closure [closure]*:

assumes P is *R3h* Q is *R3h* Q is *R1*

shows $(P ;; Q)$ is *R3h*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R3h-semir-form assms*)

lemma *R3c-R3-left-seq-closure*:

assumes P is *R3* Q is *R3c*

shows $(P ;; Q)$ is *R3c*

proof –

have $(P ;; Q) = ((P ;; Q) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis cond-var-split cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = (((II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) ;; Q) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis Healthy-def' R3-def assms(1)*)

also have $\dots = ((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*subst-tac*)

also have $\dots = (((II \wedge \$wait') ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-pos-var-subst seqr-pre-var-out skip-var utp-pred-laws.inf-left-idem wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = ((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; Q \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convr upred-eq-true utp-rel.unrest-ouvar vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = ((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis Healthy-def' R3c-def assms(2)*)

also have $\dots = ((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; II_c \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*subst-tac*)

also have $\dots = (((II \wedge \$wait') ;; II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convr upred-eq-true utp-rel.unrest-ouvar vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = ((II ;; II_c) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by (*simp add: cond-def seqr-pre-transfer utp-rel.unrest-ouvar*)

also have $\dots = (II_c \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = R3c(P ;; Q)$

by (*simp add: R3c-def*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-def'*)

qed

lemma *R3c-cases*: $R3c(P) = ((II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true})) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-cases*: $R3h(P) = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true})) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-form*: $R3h(P) = II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-subst-wait*: $R3c(P) = R3c(P_f)$

by (*simp add: R3c-def cond-var-subst-right*)

lemma *R3h-subst-wait*: $R3h(P) = R3h(P_f)$
 by (*simp add: R3h-cases cond-var-subst-right*)

lemma *skip-srea-R3h [closure]*: II_R is *R3h*
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-wait-true*:

assumes P is *R3h*

shows $P_t = II_R t$

proof –

have $P_t = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)_t$

by (*metis Healthy-if R3h-form assms*)

also have $\dots = II_R t$

by (*simp add: usubst*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

2.5 RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination

definition *RD2 where*

[*upred-defs*]: $RD2(P) = H2(P)$

RD2 is just *H2* since the type system will automatically have *J* identifying the reactive variables as required.

lemma *RD2-idem*: $RD2(RD2(P)) = RD2(P)$

by (*simp add: H2-idem RD2-def*)

lemma *RD2-Idempotent*: *Idempotent RD2*

by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RD2-idem*)

lemma *RD2-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies RD2(P) \sqsubseteq RD2(Q)$

by (*simp add: H2-def RD2-def seqr-mono*)

lemma *RD2-Monotonic*: *Monotonic RD2*

using *mono-def RD2-mono* by *blast*

lemma *RD2-Continuous*: *Continuous RD2*

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-RD2-commute*: $RD1(RD2(P)) = RD2(RD1(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-R3c-commute*: $RD2(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD2(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-R3h-commute*: $RD2(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD2(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

2.6 Major healthiness conditions

definition *RH* :: $(t::trace, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rp } (\mathbf{R})$

where [*upred-defs*]: $RH(P) = R1(R2c(R3c(P)))$

definition *RHS* :: $(s, t::trace, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow (s, t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\mathbf{R}_s)$

where [*upred-defs*]: $RHS(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(P)))$

definition $RD :: ('t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$
where $[upred-defs]: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(RP(P)))$

definition $SRD :: ('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
where $[upred-defs]: SRD(P) = RD1(RD2(RHS(P)))$

lemma $RH\text{-comp}: RH = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3c$
by $(auto \text{ simp add: } RH\text{-def})$

lemma $RHS\text{-comp}: RHS = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3h$
by $(auto \text{ simp add: } RHS\text{-def})$

lemma $RD\text{-comp}: RD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RP$
by $(auto \text{ simp add: } RD\text{-def})$

lemma $SRD\text{-comp}: SRD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RHS$
by $(auto \text{ simp add: } SRD\text{-def})$

lemma $RH\text{-idem}: \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R1\text{-}R2c\text{-commute } R1\text{-}R3c\text{-commute } R1\text{-idem } R2c\text{-}R3c\text{-commute } R2c\text{-idem } R3c\text{-idem } RH\text{-def})$

lemma $RH\text{-Idempotent}: \text{Idempotent } \mathbf{R}$
by $(simp \text{ add: } Idempotent\text{-def } RH\text{-idem})$

lemma $RH\text{-Monotonic}: \text{Monotonic } \mathbf{R}$
by $(metis (no-types, lifting) R1\text{-Monotonic } R2c\text{-Monotonic } R3c\text{-mono } RH\text{-def mono-def})$

lemma $RH\text{-Continuous}: \text{Continuous } \mathbf{R}$
by $(simp \text{ add: } Continuous\text{-comp } R1\text{-Continuous } R2c\text{-Continuous } R3c\text{-Continuous } RH\text{-comp})$

lemma $RHS\text{-idem}: \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R1\text{-}R2c\text{-is-}R2 \text{ } R1\text{-}R3h\text{-commute } R2\text{-idem } R2c\text{-}R3h\text{-commute } R3h\text{-idem } RHS\text{-def})$

lemma $RHS\text{-Idempotent [closure]}: \text{Idempotent } \mathbf{R}_s$
by $(simp \text{ add: } Idempotent\text{-def } RHS\text{-idem})$

lemma $RHS\text{-Monotonic}: \text{Monotonic } \mathbf{R}_s$
by $(simp \text{ add: } mono\text{-def } R1\text{-}R2c\text{-is-}R2 \text{ } R2\text{-mono } R3h\text{-mono } RHS\text{-def})$

lemma $RHS\text{-mono}: P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$
using $mono\text{-def } RHS\text{-Monotonic by blast}$

lemma $RHS\text{-Continuous [closure]}: \text{Continuous } \mathbf{R}_s$
by $(simp \text{ add: } Continuous\text{-comp } R1\text{-Continuous } R2c\text{-Continuous } R3h\text{-Continuous } RHS\text{-comp})$

lemma $RHS\text{-inf}: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$
using $Continuous\text{-Disjunctuous } Disjunctuous\text{-def } RHS\text{-Continuous by auto}$

lemma $RHS\text{-INF}: A \neq \{\} \implies \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))$
by $(simp \text{ add: } RHS\text{-def } R3h\text{-UINF } R2c\text{-USUP } R1\text{-USUP})$

lemma $RHS\text{-sup}: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcup Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$
by $(rel\text{-auto})$

lemma *RHS-SUP*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-cond*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(P) \triangleleft R2c\ b \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(Q))$

by (*simp add: RHS-def R3h-cond R2c-cond R1-cond*)

lemma *RD-alt-def*: $RD(P) = RD1(RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)))$

by (*simp add: R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-RD2-commute RH-def RD-def RP-def*)

lemma *RD1-RH-commute*: $RD1(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD1(P))$

by (*simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RH-def*)

lemma *RD2-RH-commute*: $RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD2(P))$

by (*metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def RH-def*)

lemma *RD-idem*: $RD(RD(P)) = RD(P)$

by (*simp add: RD-alt-def RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem RD1-idem RH-idem*)

lemma *RD-Monotonic*: *Monotonic RD*

by (*simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RD-comp RP-Monotonic*)

lemma *RD-Continuous*: *Continuous RD*

by (*simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RD-comp RP-Continuous*)

lemma *R3-RD-RP*: $R3(RD(P)) = RP(RD1(RD2(P)))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-cancels-R3c RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD-alt-def RH-def RP-def*)

lemma *RD1-RHS-commute*: $RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD1(P))$

by (*simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RHS-def*)

lemma *RD2-RHS-commute*: $RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD2(P))$

by (*metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def*)

lemma *SRD-idem*: $SRD(SRD(P)) = SRD(P)$

by (*simp add: RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RHS-idem SRD-def*)

lemma *SRD-Idempotent [closure]*: *Idempotent SRD*

by (*simp add: Idempotent-def SRD-idem*)

lemma *SRD-Monotonic*: *Monotonic SRD*

by (*simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RHS-Monotonic SRD-comp*)

lemma *SRD-Continuous [closure]*: *Continuous SRD*

by (*simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RHS-Continuous SRD-comp*)

lemma *SRD-RHS-H1-H2*: $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *SRD-healths [closure]*:

```

assumes  $P$  is  $SRD$ 
shows  $P$  is  $R1$   $P$  is  $R2$   $P$  is  $R3h$   $P$  is  $RD1$   $P$  is  $RD2$ 
apply (metis Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms)
  apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def
SRD-def assms)
  apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute
RHS-def SRD-def assms)
  apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-idem SRD-def assms)
  apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem SRD-def assms)
done

```

lemma *SRD-intro*:

```

assumes  $P$  is  $R1$   $P$  is  $R2$   $P$  is  $R3h$   $P$  is  $RD1$   $P$  is  $RD2$ 
shows  $P$  is  $SRD$ 
by (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def SRD-def assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5))

```

lemma *SRD-ok-false* [*usubst*]: P is $SRD \implies P \llbracket \text{false}/\$ok \rrbracket = R1(\text{true})$

```

by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H1-H2-eq-design Healthy-def R1-ok-false RD1-R1-commute RD1-via-R1
RD2-def SRD-def SRD-healths(1) design-ok-false)

```

lemma *SRD-ok-true-wait-true* [*usubst*]:

```

assumes  $P$  is  $SRD$ 
shows  $P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \text{ true} \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P$ 
  by (metis Healthy-def R3h-cases SRD-healths(3) assms)
moreover have  $((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \text{ true} \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$ 
  by (simp add: usubst)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp)

```

qed

lemma *SRD-left-zero-1*: P is $SRD \implies R1(\text{true}) ;; P = R1(\text{true})$

```

by (simp add: RD1-left-zero SRD-healths(1) SRD-healths(4))

```

lemma *SRD-left-zero-2*:

```

assumes  $P$  is  $SRD$ 
shows  $(\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $(\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; R3h(P) = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$ 
  by (rel-auto)
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths(3) assms)

```

qed

2.7 UTP theories

We create two theory objects: one for reactive designs and one for stateful reactive designs.

```

typedecl RDES
typedecl SRDES

```

abbreviation $RDES \equiv UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, 'α) \text{ } rp)$

abbreviation $SRDES \equiv UTHY(SRDES, ('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ } rsp)$

overloading

$rdes-hcond == utp-hcond :: (RDES, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) uthy \Rightarrow (('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp) health$
 $srdes-hcond == utp-hcond :: (SRDES, ('s, 't::trace, 'α) rsp) uthy \Rightarrow (('s, 't, 'α) rsp \times ('s, 't, 'α) rsp) health$

begin

definition $rdes-hcond :: (RDES, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) uthy \Rightarrow (('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp) health$ **where**

$[upred-defs]: rdes-hcond T = RD$

definition $srdes-hcond :: (SRDES, ('s, 't::trace, 'α) rsp) uthy \Rightarrow (('s, 't, 'α) rsp \times ('s, 't, 'α) rsp) health$
where

$[upred-defs]: srdes-hcond T = SRD$

end

interpretation $rdes-theory: utp-theory UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

by $(unfold-locales, simp-all add: rdes-hcond-def RD-idem)$

interpretation $rdes-theory-continuous: utp-theory-continuous UTHY(RDES, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

rewrites $\bigwedge P. P \in carrier (uthy-order RDES) \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } RD$

and $carrier (uthy-order RDES) \rightarrow carrier (uthy-order RDES) \equiv \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H$

and $le (uthy-order RDES) = op \sqsubseteq$

and $eq (uthy-order RDES) = op =$

by $(unfold-locales, simp-all add: rdes-hcond-def RD-Continuous)$

interpretation $rdes-rea-galois:$

$galois-connection (RDES \leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \rightarrow REA)$

proof $(simp add: mk-conn-def, rule galois-connectionI', simp-all add: utp-partial-order rdes-hcond-def rea-hcond-def)$

show $R3 \in \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H$

by $(metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' Pi-I R3-RD-RP RP-idem mem-Collect-eq)$

show $RD1 \circ RD2 \in \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H$

by $(simp add: Pi-iff Healthy-def, metis RD-def RD-idem)$

show $isotone (utp-order RD) (utp-order RP) R3$

by $(simp add: R3-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)$

show $isotone (utp-order RP) (utp-order RD) (RD1 \circ RD2)$

by $(simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)$

fix $P :: ('a, 'b) hrel-rp$

assume $P \text{ is } RD$

thus $P \sqsubseteq RD1 (RD2 (R3 P))$

by $(metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-iff)$

next

fix $P :: ('a, 'b) hrel-rp$

assume $a: P \text{ is } RP$

thus $R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) \sqsubseteq P$

proof –

have $R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) = RP (RD1 (RD2(P)))$

by $(metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def a)$

moreover have $RD1(RD2(P)) \sqsubseteq P$

by $(rel-auto)$

ultimately show $?thesis$

by $(metis Healthy-if RP-mono a)$

qed

qed

interpretation $rdes-rea-retract:$

$retract (RDES \leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \rightarrow REA)$

by $(unfold-locales, simp-all add: mk-conn-def utp-partial-order rdes-hcond-def rea-hcond-def)$

(metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-refl)

interpretation *srdes-theory*: utp-theory UTHY(*SRDES*, ('s,'t::trace,'α) rsp)
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: srdes-hcond-def SRD-idem)

interpretation *srdes-theory-continuous*: utp-theory-continuous UTHY(*SRDES*, ('s,'t::trace,'α) rsp)
 rewrites $\bigwedge P. P \in \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \textit{SRDES}) \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } \textit{SRD}$
 and $P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{\textit{SRDES}} \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } \textit{SRD}$
 and $\text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \textit{SRDES}) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \textit{SRDES}) \equiv \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 and $\llbracket \mathcal{H}_{\textit{SRDES}} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{H}_{\textit{SRDES}} \rrbracket_H \equiv \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 and $le (\text{uthy-order } \textit{SRDES}) = op \sqsubseteq$
 and $eq (\text{uthy-order } \textit{SRDES}) = op =$
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: srdes-hcond-def SRD-Continuous)

declare *srdes-theory-continuous.top-healthy* [simp del]
declare *srdes-theory-continuous.bottom-healthy* [simp del]

abbreviation *Chaos* :: ('s,'t::trace,'α) hrel-rsp **where**
Chaos $\equiv \perp_{\textit{SRDES}}$

abbreviation *Miracle* :: ('s,'t::trace,'α) hrel-rsp **where**
Miracle $\equiv \top_{\textit{SRDES}}$

thm *srdes-theory-continuous.weak.bottom-lower*
thm *srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-higher*
thm *srdes-theory-continuous.meet-bottom*
thm *srdes-theory-continuous.meet-top*

abbreviation *srd-lfp* (μ_R) **where** $\mu_R F \equiv \mu_{\textit{SRDES}} F$

abbreviation *srd-gfp* (ν_R) **where** $\nu_R F \equiv \nu_{\textit{SRDES}} F$

syntax
-srd-mu :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* ($\mu_R \cdot \cdot \cdot [0, 10] 10$)
-srd-nu :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* ($\nu_R \cdot \cdot \cdot [0, 10] 10$)

translations
 $\mu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)$
 $\nu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)$

The reactive design weakest fixed-point can be defined in terms of relational calculus one.

lemma *srd-mu-equiv*:
assumes *Monotonic* $F F \in \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
shows $(\mu_R X \cdot F(X)) = (\mu X \cdot F(\textit{SRD}(X)))$
by (metis assms srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.utp-lfp-def)

end

3 Reactive Design Specifications

theory *utp-rdes-designs*
imports *utp-rdes-healths*
begin

3.1 Reactive design forms

lemma *srdes-skip-def*: $II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Chaos-def*: $\text{Chaos} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true})$

proof –

have $\text{Chaos} = \text{SRD}(\text{true})$
by (*metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-bottom*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(\text{true}))$
by (*simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true})$
by (*metis H1-design H2-true design-false-pre*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *Miracle-def*: $\text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false})$

proof –

have $\text{Miracle} = \text{SRD}(\text{false})$
by (*metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(\text{false}))$
by (*simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false})$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design p-imp-p subst-impl subst-not utp-pred-laws.compl-bot-eq utp-pred-laws.compl-top-eq*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RD1-reactive-design*: $\text{RD1}(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-reactive-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \nmid P \ \$ok' \nmid Q$
shows $\text{RD2}(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
using *assms*
by (*metis H2-design RD2-RH-commute RD2-def*)

lemma *RD1-st-reactive-design*: $\text{RD1}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-st-reactive-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \nmid P \ \$ok' \nmid Q$
shows $\text{RD2}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
using *assms*
by (*metis H2-design RD2-RHS-commute RD2-def*)

lemma *wait-false-design*:

$(P \vdash Q)_f = ((P_f) \vdash (Q_f))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD-RH-design-form*:

$\text{RD}(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

proof –

have $\text{RD}(P) = \text{RD1}(\text{RD2}(\text{R1}(\text{R2c}(\text{R3c}(P))))$
by (*simp add: RD-alt-def RH-def*)
also have $\dots = \text{RD1}(\text{H2}(\text{R1}(\text{R2s}(\text{R3c}(P))))$

by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RD2-def)
 also have ... = $RD1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P)))))$
 by (simp add: R1-H2-commute)
 also have ... = $R1(H1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P))))))$
 by (simp add: R1-idem RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = $R1(H1(H2(R2s(R3c(R1(P))))))$
 by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = $R1(R2s(H1(H2(R3c(R1(P))))))$
 by (simp add: R2s-H1-commute R2s-H2-commute)
 also have ... = $R1(R2s(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def)
 also have ... = $R2(R1(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis R1-R2-commute R1-idem R2-def)
 also have ... = $R2(R3c(R1(H(R1(P)))))$
 by (simp add: R1-R3c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = $RH(H(R1(P)))$
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2-R1-form RH-def)
 also have ... = $RH(H(P))$
 by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem RD1-via-R1 RH-def)
 also have ... = $RH((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)$
 by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3c-subst-wait RH-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *RD-reactive-design*:

assumes P is RD
 shows $\mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P$
 by (metis RD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)

lemma *RD-RH-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $RD(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
 by (simp add: RD1-reactive-design RD2-reactive-design RD-alt-def RH-idem assms(1) assms(2))

lemma *RH-design-is-RD*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$ is RD
 by (simp add: RD-RH-design Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2))

lemma *SRD-RH-design-form*:

$SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

proof –

have $SRD(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(RD1(RD2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3h-commute R1-idem R2c-H2-commute RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def SRD-def)
 also have ... = $R1(R2s(R3h(H(P))))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-R1-form RD1-via-R1 RD2-def)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(H(P))$
 by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-def)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)$
 by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3h-subst-wait RHS-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-design*:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P$
 by (metis SRD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)

lemma *SRD-RH-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $SRD(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
 by (simp add: RD1-st-reactive-design RD2-st-reactive-design RHS-idem SRD-def assms(1) assms(2))

lemma *RHS-design-is-SRD*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$ is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' SRD-RH-design assms(1) assms(2))

lemma *SRD-RHS-H1-H2*: $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design R3h-subst-wait RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form subst-not wait-false-design)

3.2 Auxiliary healthiness conditions

definition [*upred-defs*]: $R3c\text{-}pre(P) = (true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $R3c\text{-}post(P) = (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $R3h\text{-}post(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

lemma *R3c-pre-conj*: $R3c\text{-}pre(P \wedge Q) = (R3c\text{-}pre(P) \wedge R3c\text{-}pre(Q))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *R3c-pre-seq*:

$(true ;; Q) = true \implies R3c\text{-}pre(P ;; Q) = (R3c\text{-}pre(P) ;; Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *unrest-ok-R3c-pre* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3c\text{-}pre(P)$
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)

lemma *unrest-ok'-R3c-pre* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3c\text{-}pre(P)$
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)

lemma *unrest-ok-R3c-post* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3c\text{-}post(P)$
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)

lemma *unrest-ok-R3c-post'* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3c\text{-}post(P)$
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)

lemma *unrest-ok-R3h-post* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3h\text{-}post(P)$
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)

lemma *unrest-ok-R3h-post'* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3h\text{-}post(P)$
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)

3.3 Composition laws

theorem *R1-design-composition:*

fixes $P\ Q :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β)\ rel\text{-}rp$

and $R\ S :: ('t, 'β, 'γ)\ rel\text{-}rp$

assumes $\$ok' \# P\ \$ok' \# Q\ \$ok \# R\ \$ok \# S$

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) =$

$R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))$

proof –

have $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = (\exists\ ok_0 \cdot (R1(P \vdash Q))[\ll ok_0 \gg / \$ok'] ;; (R1(R \vdash S))[\ll ok_0 \gg / \$ok])$

using *seqr-middle ok-vwb-lens* **by** *blast*

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = (\exists\ ok_0 \cdot R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow (\ll ok_0 \gg \wedge Q)) ;; R1((\ll ok_0 \gg \wedge R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$

by (*simp add: design-def R1-def usubst unrest*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow (true \wedge Q)) ;; R1((true \wedge R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow (false \wedge Q)) ;; R1((false \wedge R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S))))$

by (*simp add: false-alt-def true-alt-def*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q) ;; R1(R \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg (\$ok \wedge P)) ;; R1(true)))$

by *simp*

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P \vee Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = (((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) \vee R1(Q)) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: R1-disj utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: seqr-or-distl utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*rel-blast*)

also from *assms* **have** $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: R1-disj R1-extend-conj utp-pred-laws.inf-commute*)

also have $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$
 $\vee ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'β)\ rel\text{-}rp) ;; R1(true)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: R1-disj seqr-or-distl*)

also have $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

proof –

have $((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'β)\ rel\text{-}rp) ;; R1(true)) =$

$(R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'γ)\ rel\text{-}rp)$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee (R1(S \wedge \$ok'))))$
 $\vee R1(\neg \$ok)$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by (*simp add: R1-extend-conj*)

also have $\dots = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$

$\vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))$
 $\vee R1(\neg \$ok)$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true))$
by (*simp add: segr-or-distr utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc*)
also have ... = $R1((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$
 $\vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))$
 $\vee (\neg \$ok)$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true))$
by (*simp add: R1-disj R1-segr*)
also have ... = $R1((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$
 $\vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok')$
 $\vee (\neg \$ok)$
 $\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true))$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have ... = $R1(\neg(\$ok \wedge \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))$
 $\vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok')$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have ... = $R1((\$ok \wedge \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))$
 $\Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge (R1(Q) ;; R1(S))))$
by (*simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute*)
also have ... = $R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))$
by (*simp add: design-def*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

theorem *R1-design-composition-RR*:
assumes *P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR*
shows
 $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$
apply (*subst R1-design-composition*)
apply (*simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

theorem *R1-design-composition-RC*:
assumes *P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR*
shows
 $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$
by (*simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp*)

lemma *R2s-design*: $R2s(P \vdash Q) = (R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q))$
by (*simp add: R2s-def design-def usubst*)

lemma *R2c-design*: $R2c(P \vdash Q) = (R2c(P) \vdash R2c(Q))$
by (*simp add: design-def impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-and R2c-ok'*)

lemma *R1-R3c-design*:
 $R1(R3c(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-pre}(P) \vdash R3c\text{-post}(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-R3h-design*:
 $R1(R3h(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-pre}(P) \vdash R3h\text{-post}(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-R1-design-composition*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(R3c(R1(P \vdash Q)) \;; R3c(R1(R \vdash S))) =$
 $R3c(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) \;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg ((R1(Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; R1(\neg R)))$
 $\vdash (R1(Q) \;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))$
proof –
have 1: $(\neg (R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } P) \;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-pre } (\neg (R1(\neg P) \;; R1 true)))$
by *(rel-auto)*
have 2: $(\neg (R1(R3c\text{-post } Q) \;; R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; R1(\neg R)))$
by *(rel-auto, blast+)*
have 3: $(R1(R3c\text{-post } Q) \;; R1(R3c\text{-post } S)) = R3c\text{-post } (R1 Q \;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 S))$
by *(rel-auto)*
show *?thesis*
apply *(simp add: R3c-semir-form R1-R3c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3c-design unrest)*
apply *(subst R1-design-composition)*
apply *(simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)*
done
qed

lemma *R3h-R1-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(R3h(R1(P \vdash Q)) \;; R3h(R1(R \vdash S))) =$
 $R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) \;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg ((R1(Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; R1(\neg R)))$
 $\vdash (R1(Q) \;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))$
proof –
have 1: $(\neg (R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } P) \;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-pre } (\neg (R1(\neg P) \;; R1 true)))$
by *(rel-auto)*
have 2: $(\neg (R1(R3h\text{-post } Q) \;; R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; R1(\neg R)))$
by *(rel-auto, blast+)*
have 3: $(R1(R3h\text{-post } Q) \;; R1(R3h\text{-post } S)) = R3h\text{-post } (R1 Q \;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 S))$
by *(rel-auto, blast+)*
show *?thesis*
apply *(simp add: R3h-semir-form R1-R3h-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3h-design unrest)*
apply *(subst R1-design-composition)*
apply *(simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)*
done
qed

lemma *R2-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(R2(P \vdash Q) \;; R2(R \vdash S)) =$
 $R2((\neg (R1(\neg R2c P) \;; R1 true) \wedge \neg (R1(R2c Q) \;; R1(\neg R2c R))) \vdash (R1(R2c Q) \;; R1$
 $(R2c S)))$
apply *(simp add: R2-R2c-def R2c-design R1-design-composition assms unrest R2c-not R2c-and R2c-disj*
R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R2c-idem R2c-R1-seq)
apply *(metis (no-types, lifting) R2c-R1-seq R2c-not R2c-true)*
done

lemma *RH-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(RH(P \vdash Q) \;; RH(R \vdash S)) =$
 $RH((\neg (R1(\neg R2s P) \;; R1 true) \wedge \neg ((R1(R2s Q) \wedge (\neg \$wait')) \;; R1(\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s Q) \;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s S))))$
proof –
have 1: $R2c(R1(\neg R2s P) \;; R1 true) = (R1(\neg R2s P) \;; R1 true)$
proof –

```

have 1: (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true))
  by (rel-auto)
have R2c(R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true))
  using R2c-not by blast
also have ... = R2(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)
  by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
also have ... = (R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)
  by (simp add: R2-seqr-distribute)
also have ... = (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true)
  by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1)
qed

have 2: R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R))
proof -
  have ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = R1 (R2 (Q ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R2 (¬ R))
    by (rel-auto)
  hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = (R2 (Q ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R2 (¬ R))
    by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)
  also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have 3: R2c((R1 (R2s Q) ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S)))) = (R1 (R2s Q) ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S)))
proof -
  have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))⌈true/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈true/$wait⌋))
    = ((R1 (R2s Q))⌈true/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈true/$wait⌋)
  proof -
    have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))⌈true/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈true/$wait⌋)) =
      R2c(R1 (R2s (Q⌈true/$wait'⌋)) ;; ⌈II⌉D⌈true/$wait⌋)
    by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
    also have ... = R2c(R2(Q⌈true/$wait'⌋) ;; R2(⌈II⌉D⌈true/$wait⌋))
    by (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true)
    also have ... = (R2(Q⌈true/$wait'⌋) ;; R2(⌈II⌉D⌈true/$wait⌋))
    using R2c-seq by blast
    also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q))⌈true/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈true/$wait⌋)
    apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
    apply (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
    done
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))⌈false/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈false/$wait⌋))
  = ((R1 (R2s Q))⌈false/$wait'⌋ ;; (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))⌈false/$wait⌋)
  by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-F)
  (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-def R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false R2c-seq)
ultimately show ?thesis
proof -
  have ⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ R1 (R2s S) = R2 (⌈II⌉D ◁ $wait ▷ S)
  by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2s-wait)
  then show ?thesis

```

by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2c-seq)
 qed
 qed
 have (R1(R2s(R3c(P ⊢ Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3c(R ⊢ S)))) =
 ((R3c(R1(R2s(P) ⊢ R2s(Q)))) ;; R3c(R1(R2s(R) ⊢ R2s(S))))
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute R2s-design)
 also have ... = R3c (R1 ((¬ (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) ∧ ¬ ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)))) ⊢
 (R1 (R2s Q) ;; ([II]_D ◁ \$wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))))
 by (simp add: R3c-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
 also have ... = R3c(R1(R2c((¬ (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) ∧ ¬ ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)))) ⊢
 (R1 (R2s Q) ;; ([II]_D ◁ \$wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))))
 by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
 qed

lemma *RHS-design-composition:*

assumes \$ok' # P \$ok' # Q \$ok # R \$ok # S
 shows (R_s(P ⊢ Q) ;; R_s(R ⊢ S)) =
 R_s((¬ (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) ∧ ¬ ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)))) ⊢
 (R1 (R2s Q) ;; ((∃ \$st • [II]_D ◁ \$wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))))

proof –

have 1: R2c (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true)

proof –

have 1:(R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true))

by (rel-auto, blast)

have R2c(R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true))

using R2c-not by blast

also have ... = R2(R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)

by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)

also have ... = (R2 (¬ P) ;; R2 true)

by (simp add: R2-seqr-distribute)

also have ... = (R1 (¬ R2s P) ;; R1 true)

by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)

finally show ?thesis

by (simp add: 1)

qed

have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R))

proof –

have ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = R1 (R2 (Q ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R2 (¬ R))

by (rel-auto, blast+)

hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R)) = (R2 (Q ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R2 (¬ R))

by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)

also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q) ∧ ¬ \$wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R))

by (rel-auto, blast+)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

have 3:R2c((R1 (R2s Q) ;; ((∃ \$st • [II]_D ◁ \$wait ▷ R1 (R2s S)))) =
 (R1 (R2s Q) ;; ((∃ \$st • [II]_D ◁ \$wait ▷ R1 (R2s S))))

proof –
 have $R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
proof –
 have $R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)) =$
 $R2c(R1 (R2s (Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (*simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def*)
 also have $\dots = R2c(R2(Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) ;; R2((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true R2-st-ex*)
 also have $\dots = (R2(Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) ;; R2((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 using *R2c-seq* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 apply (*simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip*)
 apply (*metis (no-types) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true*)
 done
 finally show *?thesis* .
qed
moreover have $R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1 (R2s Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (*simp add: usubst*)
 (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-R1-form R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false*
R2c-seq)
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 by (*smt R2-R1-form R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2c-seq R2s-wait*)
qed
 have $(R1(R2s(R3h(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3h(R \vdash S)))) =$
 $((R3h(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3h(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))$
 by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R2s-design*)
 also have $\dots = R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \wedge \neg ((R1(R2s Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg$
R2s R))) \vdash
 $(R1(R2s Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s S))))$
 by (*simp add: R3h-R1-design-composition assms unrest*)
 also have $\dots = R3h(R1(R2c((\neg (R1(\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \wedge \neg ((R1(R2s Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg$
R2s R))) \vdash
 $(R1(R2s Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s S))))$
 by (*simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3*)
 finally show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def*)
qed
lemma *RHS-R2s-design-composition:*
assumes
 $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S$
 $P \text{ is } R2s \ Q \text{ is } R2s \ R \text{ is } R2s \ S \text{ is } R2s$
shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1 true) \wedge \neg ((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash$
 $(R1 Q ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 S)))$
proof –
 have *f1*: $R2s P = P$
 by (*meson Healthy-def assms(5)*)
 have *f2*: $R2s Q = Q$
 by (*meson Healthy-def assms(6)*)
 have *f3*: $R2s R = R$
 by (*meson Healthy-def assms(7)*)

have $R2s\ S = S$
by (*meson Healthy-def assms(8)*)
then show *?thesis*
using $f3\ f2\ f1$ **by** (*simp add: RHS-design-composition assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4)*)
qed

lemma *RH-design-export-R1*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-export-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-export-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R1*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-design-R1-pre*:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(R1(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-ok-wait*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-neg-R1-pre*:
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1\ P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P) \vdash R)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre*:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg R1\ P) \wedge Q) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg P) \wedge Q) \vdash R)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-pre-lemma*: $(\mathbf{R}_s\ P)^f_f = R1(R2c(P^f_f))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-R2c-pre*:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

3.4 Refinement introduction laws

lemma *R1-design-refine*:
assumes
 $P_1\ is\ R1\ P_2\ is\ R1\ Q_1\ is\ R1\ Q_2\ is\ R1$
 $\$ok\ \# P_1\ \$ok'\ \# P_1\ \$ok\ \# P_2\ \$ok'\ \# P_2$
 $\$ok\ \# Q_1\ \$ok'\ \# Q_1\ \$ok\ \# Q_2\ \$ok'\ \# Q_2$

shows $R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
proof –
 have $R1((\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1((\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_2))$
 $\longleftrightarrow 'R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_1)' \wedge 'R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \wedge R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok'$
 $\cdot Q_2) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_2)'$
 by (*rel-auto, meson+*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp-all add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms*)
qed

lemma *R1-design-refine-RR*:

assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
 shows $R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
 by (*simp add: R1-design-refine assms unrest closure*)

lemma *RHS-design-refine*:

assumes
 P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
 P_1 is R2c P_2 is R2c Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R2c
 $\$ok \# P_1 \$ok' \# P_1 \$ok \# P_2 \$ok' \# P_2$
 $\$ok \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok \# Q_2 \$ok' \# Q_2$
 $\$wait \# P_1 \$wait \# P_2 \$wait \# Q_1 \$wait \# Q_2$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$

proof –
 have $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))$
 by (*simp add: R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))$
 by (*simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
 by (*rel-auto, metis+*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)$
 by (*simp add: usubst assms closure unrest*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
 by (*simp add: R1-design-refine assms*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *srdes-refine-intro*:

assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1'$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2)$
 by (*simp add: RHS-mono assms design-refine-intro*)

3.5 Distribution laws

lemma *RHS-design-choice*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \vee Q_2))$
 by (*metis RHS-inf design-choice*)

lemma *RHS-design-sup*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))$
 by (*metis RHS-sup design-inf*)

lemma *RHS-design-USUP*:

assumes $A \neq \{\}$
 shows $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)))$
 by (*subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms*)

end

4 Reactive Design Triples

theory *utp-rdes-triples*
imports *utp-rdes-designs*
begin

4.1 Diamond notation

definition *wait'-cond* ::
 $(t::\text{trace}, \alpha, \beta) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, \alpha, \beta) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, \alpha, \beta) \text{ rel-rp}$ (**infixr** \diamond 65) **where**
[upred-defs]: $P \diamond Q = (P \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright Q)$

lemma *wait'-cond-unrest* [*unrest*]:
 $\llbracket \text{out-var } wait \bowtie x; x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (P \diamond Q)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def unrest*)

lemma *wait'-cond-subst* [*usubst*]:
 $\$wait' \# \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P \diamond Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \diamond (\sigma \dagger Q)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest*)

lemma *wait'-cond-left-false*: $false \diamond P = (\neg \$wait' \wedge P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-seq*: $((P \diamond Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; (\$wait \wedge R)) \vee (Q ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge R)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-def segr-or-distl, rel-blast*)

lemma *wait'-cond-true*: $(P \diamond Q \wedge \$wait') = (P \wedge \$wait')$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-false*: $(P \diamond Q \wedge (\neg \$wait')) = (Q \wedge (\neg \$wait'))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-idem*: $P \diamond P = P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-conj-exchange*:
 $((P \diamond Q) \wedge (R \diamond S)) = (P \wedge R) \diamond (Q \wedge S)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-cond-true* [*usubst*]: $(P \diamond Q) \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket = P \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-cond-false* [*usubst*]: $(P \diamond Q) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket = Q \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-left-subst*: $(P \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond Q) = (P \diamond Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-right-subst*: $(P \diamond Q \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket) = (P \diamond Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-split*: $P \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket = P$

by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-var-split)

lemma wait-cond'-assoc [simp]: $P \diamond Q \diamond R = P \diamond R$
by (rel-auto)

lemma wait-cond'-shadow: $(P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond Q \diamond R$
by (rel-auto)

lemma wait-cond'-conj [simp]: $P \diamond (Q \wedge (R \diamond S)) = P \diamond (Q \wedge S)$
by (rel-auto)

lemma R1-wait'-cond: $R1(P \diamond Q) = R1(P) \diamond R1(Q)$
by (rel-auto)

lemma R2s-wait'-cond: $R2s(P \diamond Q) = R2s(P) \diamond R2s(Q)$
by (simp add: wait'-cond-def R2s-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma R2-wait'-cond: $R2(P \diamond Q) = R2(P) \diamond R2(Q)$
by (simp add: R2-def R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond)

lemma wait'-cond-R1-closed [closure]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R1$
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-wait'-cond)

lemma wait'-cond-R2c-closed [closure]: $\llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R2c$
 by (simp add: R2c-condr wait'-cond-def Healthy-def, rel-auto)

4.2 Export laws

lemma RH-design-peri-R1: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1)

lemma RH-design-post-R1: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1 RH-design-peri-R1)

lemma RH-design-peri-R2s: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)

lemma RH-design-post-R2s: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)

lemma RH-design-peri-R2c: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)

lemma RHS-design-peri-R1: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1)

lemma RHS-design-post-R1: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-peri-R1)

lemma RHS-design-peri-R2s: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s)

lemma RHS-design-post-R2s: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s RHS-design-peri-R2s)

lemma *RHS-design-peri-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s*)

lemma *RH-design-lemma1*:

$RH(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \vee R) \diamond S) = RH(P \vdash (Q \vee R) \diamond S)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s*)

lemma *RHS-design-lemma1*:

$RHS(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \vee R) \diamond S) = RHS(P \vdash (Q \vee R) \diamond S)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s*)

4.3 Pre-, peri-, and postconditions

4.3.1 Definitions

abbreviation $pre_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $cmt_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $peri_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s true]$

abbreviation $post_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $npre_R(P) \equiv pre_s \dagger P$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $pre_R(P) = (\neg_r npre_R(P))$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $cmt_R(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $peri_R(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $post_R(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$

4.3.2 Unrestriction laws

lemma *ok-pre-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# pre_R P$

by (*simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok-peri-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# peri_R P$

by (*simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok-post-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# post_R P$

by (*simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok-cmt-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# cmt_R P$

by (*simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok'-pre-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# pre_R P$

by (*simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok'-peri-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# peri_R P$

by (*simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok'-post-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# post_R P$

by (*simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *ok'-cmt-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok' \# cmt_R P$

by (*simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst*)

lemma *wait-pre-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$wait \# pre_R P$

by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-*peri-unrest* [unrest]: \$wait # peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-*post-unrest* [unrest]: \$wait # post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-*cmt-unrest* [unrest]: \$wait # cmt_R P
by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait'-*peri-unrest* [unrest]: \$wait' # peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait'-*post-unrest* [unrest]: \$wait' # post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

4.3.3 Substitution laws

lemma pre_s-*design*: pre_s † (P ⊢ Q) = (¬ pre_s † P)
by (simp add: design-def pre_R-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-*design*: peri_s † (P ⊢ Q ◊ R) = peri_s † (P ⇒ Q)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma post_s-*design*: post_s † (P ⊢ Q ◊ R) = post_s † (P ⇒ R)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma cmt_s-*design*: cmt_s † (P ⊢ Q) = cmt_s † (P ⇒ Q)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma pre_s-*R1* [usubst]: pre_s † R1(P) = R1(pre_s † P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma pre_s-*R2c* [usubst]: pre_s † R2c(P) = R2c(pre_s † P)
by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-*R1* [usubst]: peri_s † R1(P) = R1(peri_s † P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-*R2c* [usubst]: peri_s † R2c(P) = R2c(peri_s † P)
by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma post_s-*R1* [usubst]: post_s † R1(P) = R1(post_s † P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma post_s-*R2c* [usubst]: post_s † R2c(P) = R2c(post_s † P)
by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma cmt_s-*R1* [usubst]: cmt_s † R1(P) = R1(cmt_s † P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma cmt_s-*R2c* [usubst]: cmt_s † R2c(P) = R2c(cmt_s † P)
by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma pre-*wait-false*:

$pre_R(P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket) = pre_R(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *cmt-wait-false*:
 $cmt_R(P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket) = cmt_R(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-pre-RHS-design*: $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))$
by (*simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre_R-def pre_s-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def*)

lemma *rea-cmt-RHS-design*: $cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem*)

lemma *rea-peri-RHS-design*: $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-def usubst peri_R-def R3h-def peri_s-design, rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-post-RHS-design*: $post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-def usubst post_R-def R3h-def post_s-design, rel-auto*)

lemma *peri-cmt-def*: $peri_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *post-cmt-def*: $post_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rdes-export-cmt*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash cmt_s \dagger Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rdes-export-pre*: $\mathbf{R}_s((P \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

4.3.4 Healthiness laws

lemma *wait'-unrest-pre-SRD* [*unrest*]:
 $\$wait' \# pre_R(P) \implies \$wait' \# pre_R(SRD P)$
apply (*rel-auto*)
using *least-zero apply blast+*
done

lemma *R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2s(cmt_R(P))) = cmt_R(P)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design assms rea-cmt-RHS-design*)

lemma *R1-R2s-peri-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2s(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form assms R1-idem peri_R-def peri_s-R1 peri_s-R2c*)

lemma *R1-peri-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)$
proof –
have $R1(peri_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(peri_R(P))))$

by (simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 also have ... = $\text{peri}_R(P)$
 by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *periR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD $\implies \text{peri}_R(P)$ is R1*
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-peri-SRD)

lemma *R1-R2c-peri-RHS:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R1(R2c(\text{peri}_R(P))) = \text{peri}_R(P)$
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)

lemma *R1-R2s-post-SRD:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R1(R2s(\text{post}_R(P))) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form
 assms $\text{post}_R\text{-def}$ $\text{post}_s\text{-R1}$ $\text{post}_s\text{-R2c}$)

lemma *R2c-peri-SRD:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R2c(\text{peri}_R(P)) = \text{peri}_R(P)$
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-peri-RHS R1-peri-SRD assms)

lemma *R1-post-SRD:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R1(\text{post}_R(P)) = \text{post}_R(P)$
proof –
 have $R1(\text{post}_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(\text{post}_R(P))))$
 by (simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 also have ... = $\text{post}_R(P)$
 by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *R2c-post-SRD:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R2c(\text{post}_R(P)) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)

lemma *postR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD $\implies \text{post}_R(P)$ is R1*
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-post-SRD)

lemma *R1-R2c-post-RHS:*
 assumes P is SRD
 shows $R1(R2c(\text{post}_R(P))) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)

lemma *R2-cmt-conj-wait':*
 P is SRD $\implies R2(\text{cmt}_R P \wedge \neg \$\text{wait}') = (\text{cmt}_R P \wedge \neg \$\text{wait}')$
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' R1-extend-conj R1-R2s-cmt-SRD)

lemma *R2c-preR:*
 P is SRD $\implies R2c(\text{pre}_R(P)) = \text{pre}_R(P)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design rea-pre-RHS-design)

lemma *preR-R2c-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{pre}_R(P)$ is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R2c-preR)

lemma *R2c-periR*:
 P is SRD \implies $R2c(\text{peri}_R(P)) = \text{peri}_R(P)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD R2c-idem)

lemma *periR-R2c-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{peri}_R(P)$ is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-peri-SRD)

lemma *R2c-postR*:
 P is SRD \implies $R2c(\text{post}_R(P)) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-post-SRD R2-def R2s-idem)

lemma *postR-R2c-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{post}_R(P)$ is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-post-SRD)

lemma *periR-RR* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{peri}_R(P)$ is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)

lemma *postR-RR* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{post}_R(P)$ is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)

lemma *wpR-trace-ident-pre* [wp]:
 $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R) \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R P = \text{pre}_R P$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *R1-preR* [closure]:
 $\text{pre}_R(P)$ is R1
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *trace-ident-left-periR*:
 $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; \text{peri}_R(P) = \text{peri}_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *trace-ident-left-postR*:
 $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; \text{post}_R(P) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *trace-ident-right-postR*:
 $\text{post}_R(P) ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-R2-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{pre}_R(P)$ is R2
 by (simp add: R2-comp-def Healthy-comp closure)

lemma *periR-R2-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{peri}_R(P)$ is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-peri-RHS R2-R2c-def)

lemma *postR-R2-closed* [closure]: P is SRD \implies $\text{post}_R(P)$ is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-post-RHS R2-R2c-def)

4.3.5 Calculation laws

lemma *wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt* [rdes]:

$cmt_R P = peri_R P \diamond post_R P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-rdes* [rdes]:

assumes P is RR
shows $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1*)

lemma *periR-rdes* [rdes]:

assumes P is RR Q is RR
shows $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$
by (*simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure*)

lemma *postR-rdes* [rdes]:

assumes P is RR R is RR
shows $post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)$
by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure*)

lemma *preR-Chaos* [rdes]: $pre_R(Chaos) = false$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp*)

lemma *periR-Chaos* [rdes]: $peri_R(Chaos) = true_r$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp*)

lemma *postR-Chaos* [rdes]: $post_R(Chaos) = true_r$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp*)

lemma *preR-Miracle* [rdes]: $pre_R(Miracle) = true_r$

by (*simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-Miracle* [rdes]: $peri_R(Miracle) = false$

by (*simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-Miracle* [rdes]: $post_R(Miracle) = false$

by (*simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $pre_R(II_R) = true_r$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $peri_R(II_R) = false$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $post_R(II_R) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-INF* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-INF* [rdes]: $peri_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-INF* [rdes]: $post_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-UINF* [rdes]: $pre_R(\sqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \cdot pre_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-UINF* [rdes]: $peri_R(\sqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \cdot peri_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-UINF* [rdes]: $post_R(\sqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \cdot post_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\sqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \in A \cdot pre_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P i j) = (\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot pre_R(P i j))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P i j k) = (\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot pre_R(P i j k))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $peri_R(\sqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \in A \cdot peri_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $peri_R(\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P i j) = (\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot peri_R(P i j))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $peri_R(\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P i j k) = (\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot peri_R(P i j k))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $post_R(\sqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\sqcap i \in A \cdot post_R(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $post_R(\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P i j) = (\sqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot post_R(P i j))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $post_R(\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P i j k) = (\sqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot post_R(P i j k))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-inf* [rdes]: $pre_R(P \sqcap Q) = (pre_R(P) \wedge pre_R(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-inf* [rdes]: $peri_R(P \sqcap Q) = (peri_R(P) \vee peri_R(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-inf* [rdes]: $post_R(P \sqcap Q) = (post_R(P) \vee post_R(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-SUP* [rdes]: $pre_R(\sqcup A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-SUP* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies peri_R(\sqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-SUP* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies post_R(\sqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot post_R(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

4.4 Formation laws

lemma *srdes-skip-tri-design* [*rdes-def*]: $II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond II_r)$
by (*simp add: srdes-skip-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *Chaos-tri-def* [*rdes-def*]: $\text{Chaos} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true}_r \diamond \text{true}_r)$
by (*simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre*)

lemma *Miracle-tri-def* [*rdes-def*]: $\text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \text{false})$
by (*simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre wait'-cond-idem*)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-form*:

assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR* P_3 is *RR*

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (P_2 \diamond P_3))))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3))))$

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *RHS-design-pre-post-form*:

$\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket \vdash P^t_f \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: design-subst-ok*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$

by (*simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *SRD-as-reactive-design*:

$\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$

by (*simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form SRD-RH-design-form*)

lemma *SRD-reactive-design-alt*:

assumes P is *SRD*

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P)) = P$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

by (*simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-design assms*)

qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-tri-design-lemma*:

$\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split*)

lemma *SRD-as-reactive-tri-design*:

$\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$

proof –

have $\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$

apply (simp add: usubst)
 apply (subst design-subst-ok-ok'[THEN sym])
 apply (simp add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-tri-design*:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) = P$
 by (metis Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)

lemma *SRD-elim* [RD-elim]: $\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-is-SRD* [closure]:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest assms)

lemma *SRD-rdes-intro* [closure]:

assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is SRD
 by (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)

lemma *USUP-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 shows $(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P))) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)$
 by (rule USUP-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)

lemma *UINF-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 shows $(\sqcap P \in A \cdot R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P))) = (\sqcap P \in A \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)$
 by (rule UINF-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)

4.4.1 Order laws

lemma *preR-antitone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{pre}_R(Q) \sqsubseteq \text{pre}_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-monotone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{peri}_R(P) \sqsubseteq \text{peri}_R(Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-monotone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{post}_R(P) \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R(Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

4.5 Composition laws

theorem *RH-tri-design-composition*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$
 shows $(RH(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; RH(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =$
 $RH((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $((Q_1 \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2))))$

proof –

have 1: $(\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =$
 $(\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false*)
have 2: $(R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =$
 $((R1 (R2s Q_1) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))$
proof –
have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_1) \wedge \$wait')$
proof –
have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge [II]_D))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have ... $= ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; [II]_D) \wedge \$wait')$
by (*rel-auto*)
also from *assms*(2) **have** ... $= ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait')$
by (*simp add: lift-des-skip-dr-unit-unrest unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

moreover have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$
proof –
have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem*
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
also have ... $= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
by (*metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens*)
also have ... $= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

moreover
have $((R1 (R2s Q_1) \wedge \$wait') \vee ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_1) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq*)
qed

show *?thesis*
apply (*subst RH-design-composition*)
apply (*simp-all add: assms*)
apply (*simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest*)
apply (*simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest*)
apply (*simp add: 1 2*)
apply (*simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-lemma1*)
done
qed

theorem *R1-design-composition-RR:*

assumes *P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR*

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$

apply (*subst R1-design-composition*)

apply (*simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

theorem *R1-design-composition-RC:*

assumes *P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR*

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$

by (*simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp*)

theorem *RHS-tri-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$

$\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$

shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg (R1(R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2))))$

proof –

have $1: (\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =$

$(\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false*)

have $2: (R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =$

$((\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2s Q_1)) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait'))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)))$

by (*rel-auto, blast+*)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \wedge \$wait')$

by (*rel-auto*)

also from *assms(2)* **have** $\dots = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait'))$

by (*rel-auto, blast*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

moreover have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb*)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$wait \rrbracket ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$wait \rrbracket)$

by (*metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$

```

    by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)

  finally show ?thesis .
qed

moreover
have ((R1 (R2s Q1) ∧ $wait') ∨ ((R1 (R2s Q2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S1) ◇ R1 (R2s S2))))
  = (R1 (R2s Q1) ∨ (R1 (R2s Q2) ;; R1 (R2s S1))) ◇ ((R1 (R2s Q2) ;; R1 (R2s S2)))
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)

ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest)
    (simp add: cond-and-T-integrate cond-seq-right-distr unrest-var wait'-cond-def)
qed

from assms(7,8) have 3: (R1 (R2s Q2) ∧ ¬ $wait') ;; R1 (¬ R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q2) ;; R1 (¬ R2s
R)
  by (rel-auto, blast, meson)

show ?thesis
  apply (subst RHS-design-composition)
  apply (simp-all add: assms)
  apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
  apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
  apply (simp add: 1 2 3)
  apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-lemma1)
  apply (metis R1-R2c-ex-st RHS-design-lemma1)
done
qed

theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-wp:
  assumes $ok' # P $ok' # Q1 $ok' # Q2 $ok # R $ok # S1 $ok # S2
    $wait # R $wait' # Q2 $wait # S1 $wait # S2
    P is R2c Q1 is R1 Q1 is R2c Q2 is R1 Q2 is R2c
    R is R2c S1 is R1 S1 is R2c S2 is R1 S2 is R2c
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$ 
     $\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2)))$  (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs =  $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} \wedge \neg Q_2 ;; R1 (\neg R)) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap Q_2 ;; S_1) \diamond Q_2 ;;$ 
 $S_2)$ 
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def)
      (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16))
  also have ... = ?rhs
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:
  assumes P is RR Q1 is RR Q2 is RR
    R is RR S1 is RR S2 is RR
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$ 
     $\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2)))$  (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c)

```

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition*:

assumes

$\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$

$\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$

$P \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$

$R \text{ is } R2c \ S_1 \text{ is } R1 \ S_1 \text{ is } R2c \ S_2 \text{ is } R1 \ S_2 \text{ is } R2c$

$R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \# Q_1$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$

$= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((R1 (\neg P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$

by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$

by (*simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition'* [*rdes-def*]:

assumes $P \text{ is } RC \ Q_1 \text{ is } RR \ \$st' \# Q_1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } RR \ R \text{ is } RR \ S_1 \text{ is } RR \ S_2 \text{ is } RR$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$

$= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$

proof –

have $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = R1(\neg P)$

using *RC-implies-RC1[OF assms(1)]*

by (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def*)

(*metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c*)

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R \$wait' \# R$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))$

by (*simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))))$

by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 (R2s R))$

proof –

from *assms(3,4)* **have** $(R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))) = R1 (R2s R)$

by (*rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel*)

thus *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *SRD-composition-wp*:

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD

shows $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have $(P ;; Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q)))$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2)*)

also from *assms*

have ... = ?rhs

by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp disj-upred-def unrest assms closure*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

4.6 Refinement introduction laws

lemma *RHS-tri-design-refine*:

assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow$
 P_3'

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$

by (*simp add: RHS-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest*)

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '(P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[\text{true}/\$wait']' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond$
 $(P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[\text{false}/\$wait']'$

by (*rel-auto, metis*)

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$

by (*simp add: usubst unrest assms*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *srdes-tri-refine-intro*:

assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1' 'P_1 \wedge R_2 \Rightarrow R_1'$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$

using *assms*

by (*rule-tac srdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto*)

lemma *srdes-tri-eq-intro*:

assumes $P_1 = Q_1 P_2 = Q_2 P_3 = Q_3$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$

using *assms* **by** (*simp*)

lemma *srdes-tri-refine-intro'*:

assumes $P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2) R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge R_2)$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$

using *assms*

by (*rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order*)

lemma *SRD-peri-under-pre*:

assumes P is SRD $\$wait' \# \text{pre}_R(P)$

shows $(\text{pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R(P)) = \text{peri}_R(P)$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(P) =$
 $\text{peri}_R(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)
also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R P)$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design assms*
 $\text{unrest usubst R1-peri-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-periR}$)
finally show *?thesis* ..
qed

lemma *SRD-post-under-pre*:

assumes $P \text{ is SRD } \$\text{wait}' \nmid \text{pre}_R(P)$
shows $(\text{pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P)) = \text{post}_R(P)$

proof –

have $\text{post}_R(P) =$
 $\text{post}_R(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)
also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P)$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design assms*
 $\text{unrest usubst R1-post-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-postR}$)
finally show *?thesis* ..
qed

lemma *SRD-refine-intro*:

assumes
 $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD}$
 $\text{'pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R(Q) \text{' } \text{'pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(Q) \Rightarrow \text{peri}_R(P) \text{' } \text{'pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(Q) \Rightarrow \text{post}_R(P) \text{'}$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$
by (*metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) sres-tri-refine-intro*)

lemma *SRD-refine-intro'*:

assumes
 $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD}$
 $\text{'pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R(Q) \text{' } \text{peri}_R(P) \sqsubseteq (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(Q)) \text{ } \text{post}_R(P) \sqsubseteq (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(Q))$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$
using *assms* **by** (*rule-tac SRD-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order*)

lemma *SRD-eq-intro*:

assumes
 $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD } \text{pre}_R(P) = \text{pre}_R(Q) \text{ } \text{peri}_R(P) = \text{peri}_R(Q) \text{ } \text{post}_R(P) = \text{post}_R(Q)$
shows $P = Q$
by (*metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

4.7 Closure laws

lemma *SRD-sres-skip [closure]*: $\Pi_R \text{ is SRD}$

by (*simp add: sres-skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *SRD-seqr-closure [closure]*:

assumes $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD}$
shows $(P ;; Q) \text{ is SRD}$

proof –

have $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $(\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \vee \text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond \text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms(1) assms(2)*)
also have $\dots \text{ is SRD}$
by (*rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest*)

finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *SRD-power-Suc* [closure]: P is *SRD* $\implies P \;; P^{\wedge} n$ is *SRD*
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
using *SRD-seqr-closure* by auto
qed

lemma *SRD-Sup-closure* [closure]:
assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$
shows $(\bigcap A)$ is *SRD*
proof –
have $\text{SRD } (\bigcap A) = (\bigcap (\text{SRD } `A))$
by (simp add: ContinuousD *SRD-Continuous* assms(2))
also have ... = $(\bigcap A)$
by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed

4.8 Distribution laws

lemma *RHS-tri-design-choice* [rdes-def]:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \vee Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3))$
apply (simp add: *RHS-design-choice*)
apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s])
apply (simp)
apply (rel-auto)
done

lemma *RHS-tri-design-sup* [rdes-def]:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$
by (simp add: *RHS-design-sup*, rel-auto)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-conj* [rdes-def]:
 $(\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \wedge \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$
by (simp add: *RHS-tri-design-sup conj-upred-def*)

lemma *SRD-UINF* [rdes-def]:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
shows $\bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P)))$
proof –
have $\bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(\bigcap A) \vdash \text{peri}_R(\bigcap A) \diamond \text{post}_R(\bigcap A))$
by (metis *SRD-as-reactive-tri-design* assms *srdes-hcond-def* *srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-inf* *srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-inf-def*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (simp add: *preR-INF periR-INF postR-INF* assms)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-USUP* [*rdes-def*]:

assumes $A \neq \{\}$

shows $(\prod i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i) \diamond R(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\prod i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond (\prod i \in A \cdot R(i)))$

by (*subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *SRD-UINF-ind*:

assumes $A \neq \{\} \wedge i. P\ i$ is *SRD*

shows $(\prod i \in A \cdot P\ i) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge i \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P\ i)) \vdash (\bigvee i \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P\ i)) \diamond (\bigvee i \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P\ i)))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $(\prod (P\ 'A))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\prod Pa \in P\ 'A \cdot \text{pre}_R\ Pa) \vdash (\prod Pa \in P\ 'A \cdot \text{peri}_R\ Pa) \diamond (\prod Pa \in P\ 'A \cdot \text{post}_R\ Pa))$

by (*subst rdes-def, simp-all add: assms image-subsetI*)

also have ... = ?rhs

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *cond-srea-form* [*rdes-def*]:

$\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$

by (*pred-auto*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$

by (*simp add: RHS-cond lift-cond-srea-def*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1 \diamond S_2))$

by (*simp add: design-condr lift-cond-srea-def*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))$

by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s\ \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *SRD-cond-srea* [*closure*]:

assumes P is *SRD* Q is *SRD*

shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$ is *SRD*

proof –

have $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q))$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R\ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{pre}_R\ Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R\ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{peri}_R\ Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R\ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{post}_R\ Q))$

by (*simp add: cond-srea-form*)

also have ... is *SRD*

by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD lift-cond-srea-def unrest*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

4.9 Algebraic laws

lemma *SRD-left-unit*:

assumes P is *SRD*

shows $II_R ;; P = P$
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp C1 R1-negate-R1 R1-false
 rpred trace-ident-left-periR trace-ident-left-postR SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)

lemma SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $P ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P)$
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp rpred trace-ident-right-postR assms)

lemma Miracle-left-zero:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $\text{Miracle} ;; P = \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\text{Miracle} ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false}) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$
 by (simp add: Miracle-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false})$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R1-false R2s-false R2s-true)
 also have $\dots = \text{Miracle}$
 by (simp add: Miracle-def)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma Chaos-left-zero:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $(\text{Chaos} ;; P) = \text{Chaos}$

proof –

have $\text{Chaos} ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true}) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$
 by (simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \text{true} \wedge \neg (R1 \text{true} \wedge \neg \$wait')) ;; R1 (\neg R2s (\text{pre}_R P))) \vdash$
 $R1 \text{true} ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R2s-false R2s-true R1-false)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s ((\text{false} \wedge \neg (R1 \text{true} \wedge \neg \$wait')) ;; R1 (\neg R2s (\text{pre}_R P))) \vdash$
 $R1 \text{true} ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true})$
 by (simp add: design-false-pre)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true})$
 by (simp add: design-def)
 also have $\dots = \text{Chaos}$
 by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $P ;; \text{Chaos} = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{wp}_r \text{false}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{false})$
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)

lemma SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $P ;; \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{false})$
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)

Stateful reactive designs are left unital

overloading

$srdes\text{-}unit == utp\text{-}unit :: (SRDES, ('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ } rsp) \text{ } uthy \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp$
begin
definition $srdes\text{-}unit :: (SRDES, ('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ } rsp) \text{ } uthy \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp$ **where**
 $srdes\text{-}unit \text{ } T = II_R$
end
interpretation $srdes\text{-}left\text{-}unital$: $utp\text{-}theory\text{-}left\text{-}unital \text{ } SRDES$
by ($unfold\text{-}locales$, $simp\text{-}all$ add: $srdes\text{-}hcond\text{-}def$ $srdes\text{-}unit\text{-}def$ $SRD\text{-}segr\text{-}closure$ $SRD\text{-}srdes\text{-}skip$ $SRD\text{-}left\text{-}unit$)

4.10 Recursion laws

lemma $mono\text{-}srd\text{-}iter$:
assumes $mono \text{ } F \text{ } F \in \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H$
shows $mono (\lambda X. \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F \text{ } X) \vdash peri_R(F \text{ } X) \diamond post_R(F \text{ } X)))$
apply ($rule \text{ } monoI$)
apply ($rule \text{ } srdes\text{-}tri\text{-}refine\text{-}intro'$)
apply ($meson \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } monoE \text{ } preR\text{-}antitone \text{ } utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.le\text{-}infI2$)
apply ($meson \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } monoE \text{ } periR\text{-}monotone \text{ } utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.le\text{-}infI2$)
apply ($meson \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } monoE \text{ } postR\text{-}monotone \text{ } utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.le\text{-}infI2$)
done

lemma $mu\text{-}srd\text{-}SRD$:
assumes $mono \text{ } F \text{ } F \in \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H$
shows $(\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F \text{ } X) \vdash peri_R(F \text{ } X) \diamond post_R(F \text{ } X))) \text{ } is \text{ } SRD$
apply ($subst \text{ } gfp\text{-}unfold$)
apply ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } mono\text{-}srd\text{-}iter \text{ } assms$)
apply ($rule \text{ } RHS\text{-}tri\text{-}design\text{-}is\text{-}SRD$)
apply ($simp\text{-}all \text{ } add: \text{ } unrest$)
done

lemma $mu\text{-}srd\text{-}iter$:
assumes $mono \text{ } F \text{ } F \in \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H$
shows $(\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X)))) = F(\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X))))$
apply ($subst \text{ } gfp\text{-}unfold$)
apply ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } mono\text{-}srd\text{-}iter \text{ } assms$)
apply ($subst \text{ } SRD\text{-}as\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design[THEN \text{ } sym]$)
using $Healthy\text{-}func \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } assms(2) \text{ } mu\text{-}srd\text{-}SRD$ **apply** $blast$
done

lemma $mu\text{-}srd\text{-}form$:
assumes $mono \text{ } F \text{ } F \in \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H$
shows $\mu_R \text{ } F = (\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X))))$
proof –
have $1: F (\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F \text{ } X) \vdash peri_R(F \text{ } X) \diamond post_R(F \text{ } X))) \text{ } is \text{ } SRD$
by ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } Healthy\text{-}apply\text{-}closed \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } assms(2) \text{ } mu\text{-}srd\text{-}SRD$)
have $2: Mono_{uthy\text{-}order} \text{ } SRDES \text{ } F$
by ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } assms(1) \text{ } mono\text{-}Monotone\text{-}utp\text{-}order$)
hence $3: \mu_R \text{ } F = F (\mu_R \text{ } F)$
by ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } srdes\text{-}theory\text{-}continuous.LFP\text{-}unfold[THEN \text{ } sym] \text{ } assms$)
hence $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(F(\mu_R F))) \vdash peri_R(F(F(\mu_R F))) \diamond post_R(F(F(\mu_R F)))) = \mu_R \text{ } F$
using $SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design$ **by** $force$
hence $(\mu \text{ } X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F \text{ } X) \vdash peri_R(F \text{ } X) \diamond post_R(F \text{ } X))) \sqsubseteq F (\mu_R \text{ } F)$
by ($simp \text{ } add: \text{ } 2 \text{ } srdes\text{-}theory\text{-}continuous.weak.LFP\text{-}lemma3 \text{ } gfp\text{-}upperbound \text{ } assms$)
thus $?thesis$
using $assms \text{ } 1 \text{ } 3 \text{ } srdes\text{-}theory\text{-}continuous.weak.LFP\text{-}lowerbound \text{ } eq\text{-}iff \text{ } mu\text{-}srd\text{-}iter$

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

lemma *Monotonic-SRD-comp* [closure]: *Monotonic* ($op \;; P \circ SRD$)
by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RD1-mono RD2-mono RHS-def SRD-def
seqr-mono)

end

5 Normal Reactive Designs

theory *utp-rdes-normal*
imports *utp-rdes-triples*
begin

This additional healthiness condition is analogous to H3

definition *RD3* **where**
[upred-defs]: $RD3(P) = P \;; II_R$

lemma *RD3-idem*: $RD3(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –
have $a: II_R \;; II_R = II_R$
by (simp add: SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: RD3-def seqr-assoc a)
qed

lemma *RD3-Idempotent* [closure]: *Idempotent* *RD3*
by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD3-idem)

lemma *RD3-continuous*: $RD3(\sqcap A) = (\sqcap P \in A. RD3(P))$
by (simp add: RD3-def seq-Sup-distr)

lemma *RD3-Continuous* [closure]: *Continuous* *RD3*
by (simp add: Continuous-def RD3-continuous)

lemma *RD3-right-subsumes-RD2*: $RD2(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –
have $a: II_R \;; J = II_R$
by (rel-auto)
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc)
qed

lemma *RD3-left-subsumes-RD2*: $RD3(RD2(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –
have $a: J \;; II_R = II_R$
by (rel-simp, safe, blast+)
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc)
qed

lemma *RD3-implies-RD2*: $P \text{ is } RD3 \implies P \text{ is } RD2$
by (metis Healthy-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2)

lemma *RD3-intro-pre*:

assumes P is SRD $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))$;; $\text{true}_r = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \ \$st' \ \# \ \text{peri}_R(P)$
shows P is RD3

proof –

have $\text{RD3}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P)$
by (*simp add: RD3-def SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma assms*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash \text{peri}_R P \diamond \text{post}_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms(3) ex-unrest*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash \text{cmt}_R P)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R P \vdash \text{cmt}_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms(2) rpred wp-rea-def R1-preR*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*metis Healthy-def SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1)*)

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*:

assumes $\$ok' \ \# \ P \ \$ok' \ \# \ Q \ \$ok' \ \# \ R \ \$wait' \ \# \ R$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$;; $\text{II}_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P)) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R)$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$;; $\text{II}_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$;; $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [\text{II}]_R))$
by (*simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r R1 (\neg_r R2s P)) ;; R1 \text{true}) \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [\text{II}]_R)))$
by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r R1 (\neg_r R2s P)) ;; R1 \text{true}) \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 (R2s R)$

proof –

from *assms(3,4)* **have** $(R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [\text{II}]_R))) = R1 (R2s R)$
by (*rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel*)
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P)) ;; R1 \text{true}) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P)) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R)$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro*:

assumes

$\$ok' \ \# \ P \ \$ok' \ \# \ Q \ \$ok' \ \# \ R \ \$st' \ \# \ Q \ \$wait' \ \# \ R$
 P is R1 $(\neg_r P)$;; $\text{true}_r = (\neg_r P)$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is RD3

apply (*simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def*)

apply (*subst RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*)

apply (*simp-all add:assms ex-unrest rpred*)

done

RD3 reactive designs are those whose assumption can be written as a conjunction of a precondition on (undashed) program variables, and a negated statement about the trace. The latter allows us to state that certain events must not occur in the trace – which are effectively safety properties.

lemma *R1-right-unit-lemma*:

$\llbracket \text{out}\alpha \# b; \text{out}\alpha \# e \rrbracket \implies (\neg_r b \vee \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr') ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r b \vee \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr')$
by (rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro-form*:

assumes
 $\text{out}\alpha \# b \text{ out}\alpha \# e \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$st' \# Q \ \$ok' \# R \ \$wait' \# R$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s((b \wedge \neg_r \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr') \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is *RD3*
apply (rule *RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro*)
apply (simp-all add: assms unrest closure rpred)
apply (subst *R1-right-unit-lemma*)
apply (simp-all add: assms unrest)
done

definition *NSRD* :: $(s, t :: \text{trace}, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow (s, t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp}$

where [*upred-defs*]: *NSRD* = *RD1* \circ *RD3* \circ *RHS*

lemma *RD1-RD3-commute*: $RD1(RD3(P)) = RD3(RD1(P))$

by (rel-auto, blast+)

lemma *NSRD-is-SRD* [*closure*]: *P* is *NSRD* \implies *P* is *SRD*

by (simp add: *Healthy-def NSRD-def SRD-def*, metis *Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD2-RHS-commute RD3-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip*)

lemma *NSRD-elim* [*RD-elim*]:

$\llbracket P \text{ is } NSRD; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$

by (simp add: *RD-elim closure*)

lemma *NSRD-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent NSRD*

by (clarsimp simp add: *Idempotent-def NSRD-def*, metis (no-types, hide-lams) *Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-def RD3-idem RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip*)

lemma *NSRD-Continuous* [*closure*]: *Continuous NSRD*

by (simp add: *Continuous-comp NSRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3-Continuous RHS-Continuous*)

lemma *NSRD-form*:

$NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$

proof –

have $NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-def*)

also have $\dots = RD3(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$

by (simp add: *SRD-as-reactive-tri-design*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) ;; II_R$

by (simp add: *RD3-def*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$

by (simp add: *RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *NSRD-healthy-form*:

assumes *P* is *NSRD*

shows $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) = P$

by (metis *Healthy-def NSRD-form assms*)

lemma *NSRD-Sup-closure* [*closure*]:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket NSRD \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\Box A$ is NSRD
proof –
 have NSRD $(\Box A) = (\Box (NSRD \text{ 'A}))$
 by (simp add: ContinuousD NSRD-Continuous assms(2))
 also have ... = $(\Box A)$
 by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed

lemma intChoice-NSRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows $P \Box Q$ is NSRD
 using NSRD-Sup-closure[of $\{P, Q\}$] by (simp add: assms)

lemma NRSD-SUP-closure [closure]:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P(i) \text{ is NSRD}; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (\Box_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is NSRD}$
 by (rule NSRD-Sup-closure, auto)

lemma NSRD-neg-pre-unit:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$
proof –
 have $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) = (\neg_r pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))))$
 by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = $R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))$
 by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest closure)
 also have ... = $(\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true$
 by (simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: rea-not-def)
qed

lemma NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is R1 Q is RD1
 shows $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; Q = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) NSRD-neg-pre-unit RD1-left-zero assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) seqr-assoc)

lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-peri [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows $\$st' \# peri_R(P)$
proof –
 have $peri_R(P) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))$
 by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = $R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true \Rightarrow_r (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P)))$
 by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have $\$st' \# \dots$
 by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows $\$wait' \# pre_R(P)$
proof –

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms*)
also have $\dots = (R1\ (R2c\ (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true)))$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest*)
also have $\$wait' \# \dots$
by (*simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *NSRD-st'-unrest-pre* [*unrest*]:

assumes P is NSRD
shows $\$st' \# pre_R(P)$

proof –

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms*)
also have $\dots = R1\ (R2c\ (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true))$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest*)
also have $\$st' \# \dots$
by (*simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *NSRD-alt-def*: $NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))$

by (*metis NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-eq-dest-lhs*)

lemma *preR-RR* [*closure*]: P is NSRD $\implies pre_R(P)$ is RR

by (*rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest*)

lemma *NSRD-neg-pre-RC* [*closure*]:

assumes P is NSRD
shows $pre_R(P)$ is RC
by (*rule RC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms NSRD-neg-pre-unit rpred*)

lemma *NSRD-intro*:

assumes P is SRD $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P))\ \$st' \# peri_R(P)$
shows P is NSRD

proof –

have $NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-form*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R\ P \vdash peri_R\ P \diamond post_R\ P)$
by (*simp add: assms ex-unrest rpred closure*)
also have $\dots = P$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1)*)
finally show *?thesis*
using *Healthy-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *NSRD-intro'*:

assumes P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD3
shows P is NSRD
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def assms comp-apply*)

lemma *NSRD-RC-intro*:

assumes P is SRD $pre_R(P)$ is RC $\$st' \# peri_R(P)$
shows P is NSRD

by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
ex-unrest rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)

lemma NSRD-rdes-intro [closure]:

assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR $\$st' \# Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is NSRD

by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)

lemma SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD:

$\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; P \text{ is RD3} \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is NSRD}$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def NSRD-def RHS-idem SRD-healths(4) SRD-reactive-design comp-apply)

lemma NSRD-iff:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \longleftrightarrow ((P \text{ is SRD}) \wedge (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \wedge (\$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P)))$

by (meson NSRD-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri)

lemma NSRD-is-RD3 [closure]:

assumes P is NSRD

shows P is RD3

by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri RD3-intro-pre assms)

lemma NSRD-refine-elim:

assumes

$P \sqsubseteq Q$ P is NSRD Q is NSRD

$\llbracket 'pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)'; 'pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P)'; 'pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)'\rrbracket \implies R$

shows R

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))$

by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3))

hence 1: $'pre_R P \Rightarrow pre_R Q'$ and 2: $'pre_R P \wedge peri_R Q \Rightarrow peri_R P'$ and 3: $'pre_R P \wedge post_R Q \Rightarrow post_R P'$

by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms closure)

with assms(4) show ?thesis

by simp

qed

lemma NSRD-right-unit: $P \text{ is NSRD} \implies P ;; II_R = P$

by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-is-RD3 RD3-def)

lemma NSRD-composition-wp:

assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD

shows $P ;; Q =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge post_R P \text{wp}_r pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))$

by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms NSRD-is-SRD wp-rea-def NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri R1-negate-R1 R1-preR ex-unrest rpred)

lemma preR-NSRD-seq-lemma:

assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD

shows $R1(R2c(post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))) = post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q)$

proof –

have $post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q) = R1(R2c(post_R P)) ;; R1(R2c(\neg_r pre_R Q))$

by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-post-RHS R1-rea-not R2c-preR R2c-rea-not assms(1) assms(2))
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (¬_r pre_R Q)))
 by (simp add: R1-seqr R2c-R1-seq calculation)
 finally show ?thesis ..
 qed

lemma preR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
 shows pre_R(P ;; Q) = (pre_R P ∧ post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj
 R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure)
 (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-commute
 R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R1-seqr-closure assms(1) assms(2) postR-R2c-closed postR-SRD-R1
 preR-R2c-closed rea-not-R1 rea-not-R2c)

lemma periR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows peri_R(P ;; Q) = ((pre_R P ∧ post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) ⇒_r (peri_R P ∨ (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
 R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
 R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not R1-peri-SRD)

lemma postR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows post_R(P ;; Q) = ((pre_R P ∧ post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) ⇒_r (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
 R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
 R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not)

lemma NSRD-seqr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is NSRD
proof –
 have (¬_r post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) ;; true_r = (¬_r post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NSRD-neg-pre-unit)
 moreover have \$st' # pre_R P ∧ post_R P wp_r pre_R Q ⇒_r peri_R P ∨ post_R P ;; peri_R Q
 by (simp add: unrest assms wp-rea-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis
 by (rule-tac NSRD-intro, simp-all add: seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest)
 qed

lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:

assumes
 \$ok' # P \$ok' # Q₁ \$ok' # Q₂ \$ok # R \$ok # S₁ \$ok # S₂
 \$wait # R \$wait' # Q₂ \$wait # S₁ \$wait # S₂
 P is R2c Q₁ is R1 Q₁ is R2c Q₂ is R1 Q₂ is R2c
 R is R2c S₁ is R1 S₁ is R2c S₂ is R1 S₂ is R2c
 R1 (¬ P) ;; R1(true) = R1(¬ P) \$st' # Q₁
 shows **R_s**(P ⊢ Q₁ ◇ Q₂) ;; **R_s**(R ⊢ S₁ ◇ S₂)
 = **R_s**((P ∧ Q₂ wp_r R) ⊢ (Q₁ ∨ (Q₂ ;; S₁)) ◇ (Q₂ ;; S₂))

proof –
 have **R_s**(P ⊢ Q₁ ◇ Q₂) ;; **R_s**(R ⊢ S₁ ◇ S₂) =
R_s((R1 (¬ P) wp_r false ∧ Q₂ wp_r R) ⊢ ((∃ \$st' · Q₁) □ (Q₂ ;; S₁)) ◇ (Q₂ ;; S₂))
 by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
 also have ... = **R_s**((P ∧ Q₂ wp_r R) ⊢ (Q₁ ∨ (Q₂ ;; S₁)) ◇ (Q₂ ;; S₂))

by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition'* [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR $\$st' \# Q_1$ Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
proof –
 have $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = R1(\neg P)$
 using RC-implies-RC1[OF assms(1)]
 by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
 (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
 qed

If a normal reactive design has postcondition false, then it is a left zero for sequential composition.

lemma *NSRD-seq-post-false*:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD $\text{post}_R(P) = \text{false}$
 shows $P ;; Q = P$
apply (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms wp rpred closure)
using NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1,3) **apply** fastforce
 done

lemma *NSRD-srd-skip* [closure]: Π_R is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure unrest)

lemma *NSRD-Chaos* [closure]: *Chaos* is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)

lemma *NSRD-Miracle* [closure]: *Miracle* is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)

lemma *NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma*:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows $P ;; \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R P \vdash \text{peri}_R P \diamond \text{false})$
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp closure assms rdes wp rpred)

lemma *NSRD-power-Suc* [closure]: P is NSRD $\implies P ;; P^\wedge n$ is NSRD

proof (induct n)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 by (simp)
next
 case (Suc n)
 then show ?case
 using NSRD-seqr-closure **by** auto
 qed

lemma *preR-power*:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows $\text{pre}_R(P ;; P^\wedge n) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in \{0..n\}}. (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge i) \text{ wp}_r (\text{pre}_R(P)))$
proof (induct n)

```

case 0
then show ?case
  by (simp add: wp closure)
next
case (Suc n) note hyp = this
have  $pre_R (P \wedge (Suc\ n + 1)) = pre_R (P ;; P \wedge (n+1))$ 
  by (simp)
also have ... =  $(pre_R\ P \wedge post_R\ P\ wp_r\ pre_R\ (P ;; P \wedge n))$ 
  by (subst preR-NSRD-seq, simp-all add: closure assms)
also have ... =  $(pre_R\ P \wedge post_R\ P\ wp_r\ (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P))$ 
  by (simp only: hyp)
also have ... =  $(pre_R\ P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. post_R\ P\ wp_r\ (post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)))$ 
  by (simp add: wp)
also have ... =  $(pre_R\ P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge (i+1)\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)))$ 
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge i. R1\ (post_R\ P \wedge i ;; (\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)) = (post_R\ P \wedge i ;; (\neg_r\ pre_R\ P))$ 
    by (induct-tac i, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: wp-rea-def seqr-assoc rpred closure assms)
qed
also have ... =  $(post_R\ P \wedge 0\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge (i+1)\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)))$ 
  by (simp add: wp assms closure)
also have ... =  $(post_R\ P \wedge 0\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)))$ 
proof -
  have  $(\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge (i+1)\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P))$ 
    by (rule cong[of Inf], simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
    (metis (no-types, lifting) image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-cong image-image upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup i \in insert\ 0\ \{1..Suc\ n\}. (post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P))$ 
  by (simp add: conj-upred-def)
also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. post_R\ P \wedge i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)$ 
  by (simp add: atLeast0-atMost-Suc-eq-insert-0)
finally show ?case by simp
qed

```

```

lemma preR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD
  shows  $pre_R(P ;; P^n) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R(P) \wedge i)\ wp_r\ (pre_R(P)))$ 
  by (simp add: preR-power assms USUP-as-Inf[THEN sym])

```

```

lemma periR-power:
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD
  shows  $peri_R(P ;; P^n) = (pre_R(P^n(Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. post_R(P) \wedge i) ;; peri_R(P))$ 
proof (induct n)

```

```

  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-peri-under-pre assms)
next
case (Suc n) note hyp = this
have  $peri_R (P \wedge (Suc\ n + 1)) = peri_R (P ;; P \wedge (n+1))$ 
  by (simp)
also have ... =  $(pre_R(P \wedge (Suc\ n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; peri_R (P ;; P \wedge n)))$ 
  by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
also have ... =  $(pre_R(P \wedge (Suc\ n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; (pre_R (P \wedge (Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.$ 

```

```

postR P ^ i ;; periR P)))
  by (simp only: hyp)
also
have ... = (preR P ⇒r periR P ∨ (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (preR (P ;; P ^ n)
⇒r (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i ;; periR P)))
  by (simp add: rdes closure assms, rel-blast)
also
have ... = (preR P ⇒r periR P ∨ (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR
P ^ i ;; periR P)))
proof -
  have (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i) is R1
  by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-Continuous R1-power Sup-Continuous-closed assms postR-SRD-R1)
  hence 1: (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P) is R1
  by (simp add: closure assms)
  hence (preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P) is R1
  by (simp add: closure)
  hence (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P
^ i) ;; periR P))
    = (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r R1(postR P) ;; R1(preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}.
postR P ^ i) ;; periR P))
  by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-post-SRD assms closure)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp only: wp-rea-impl-lemma, simp add: Healthy-if 1, simp add: R1-post-SRD assms closure)
qed
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ postR P ;; (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR
P ^ i) ;; periR P))
  by (pred-auto)
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ ((⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ (Suc
i)) ;; periR P))
  by (simp add: seq-Sup-distl seqr-assoc[THEN sym])
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ ((⋀ i ∈ {1..Suc n}. postR P ^ i)
;; periR P))
proof -
  have (⋀ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ Suc i) = (⋀ i ∈ {1..Suc n}. postR P ^ i)
  apply (rule cong[of Sup], auto)
  apply (metis atLeast0AtMost atMost-iff image-Suc-atLeastAtMost rev-image-eqI upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  using Suc-le-D apply fastforce
done
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r ((⋀ i ∈ {0..Suc n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P)
  by (simp add: SUP-atLeastAtMost-first uinf-or seqr-or-distl seqr-or-distr)
also
have ... = (preR (P ^ (Suc (Suc n))) ⇒r ((⋀ i ∈ {0..Suc n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P))
  by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
finally show ?case by (simp)
qed

```

```

lemma periR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows periR(P ;; P ^ n) = (preR(P ^ (Suc n)) ⇒r (⋀ i ∈ {0..n} . postR(P) ^ i) ;; periR(P))

```



```

by (simp add: periR-power assms UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym])

lemma postR-power [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows  $\text{post}_R(P ;; P^\wedge n) = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge (\text{Suc } n)) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P)^\wedge \text{Suc } n)$ 
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-post-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc n) note hyp = this
  have  $\text{post}_R(P^\wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) = \text{post}_R(P ;; P^\wedge (n+1))$ 
    by (simp)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R(P^\wedge n)))$ 
    by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P ;; (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n))))$ 
    by (simp only: hyp)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P ;; (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n))))$ 
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms, pred-auto)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n)))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc R1-power assms hyp postR-SRD-R1 upred-semiring.power-Suc wp-rea-impl-lemma)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } n))$ 
    by (pred-auto)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P^\wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))$ 
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  finally show ?case by (simp)
qed

lemma power-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR $st' # Q
  shows  $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^\wedge (\text{Suc } n) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R^\wedge i) \text{ wp}_r P) \vdash ((\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R^\wedge i) ;; Q) \diamond (R^\wedge \text{Suc } n))$ 
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: wp assms closure)
next
  case (Suc n)

  have 1:  $(P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \text{ wp}_r (R^\wedge i \text{ wp}_r P))) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..\text{Suc } n\} \cdot R^\wedge i \text{ wp}_r P)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs =  $(P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R^\wedge \text{Suc } i \text{ wp}_r P)))$ 
      by (simp add: wp closure assms)
    also have  $\dots = (P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R^\wedge \text{Suc } i \text{ wp}_r P)))$ 
      by (simp only: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
    also have  $\dots = (P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..\text{Suc } n\} \cdot (R^\wedge i \text{ wp}_r P)))$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) INF-cong One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
    also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup i \in \text{insert } 0 \{1..\text{Suc } n\} \cdot (R^\wedge i \text{ wp}_r P))$ 
      by (simp add: wp assms closure conj-upred-def)
  end

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sqcup i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. (R \wedge i\ wp_r\ P)$ )
  by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
qed

have 2: ( $(Q \vee R ;; (\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q) = (\sqcap i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$ )
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
  have ?lhs = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge Suc\ i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (simp add: seqr-assoc[THEN sym] seq-UINF-distl)
  also have ... = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge Suc\ i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (simp only: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  also have ... = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (metis One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
  also have ... = ( $(\sqcap i \in insert\ 0\ \{1..Suc\ n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$ )
    by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
  also have ... = ( $(\sqcap i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$ )
    by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
qed

  thm image-Suc-atLeastLessThan
have 3: ( $\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$  is RR
proof –
  have ( $\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q = (\sqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$ 
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  also have ... = ( $\sqcap i \in insert\ 0\ \{1..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q$ 
    by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
  also have ... = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{1..n\}. R \wedge i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-insert disj-upred-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl upred-semiring.power-0)
  also have ... = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{0..<n\}. R \wedge Suc\ i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (metis One-nat-def atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost image-Suc-atLeastLessThan image-image)
  also have ... = ( $(Q \vee (\sqcap i \in \{0..<n\}. R \wedge Suc\ i) ;; Q)$ )
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  also have ... is RR
    by (simp-all add: closure assms)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
from 1 2 3 Suc show ?case
  by (simp add: Suc RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms wp)
qed

end

```

6 Syntax for reactive design contracts

```

theory utp-rdes-contracts
  imports utp-rdes-normal
begin

```

We give an experimental syntax for reactive design contracts $[P \vdash Q|R]_R$, where P is a precondition on undashed state variables only, Q is a pericondition that can refer to the trace and before state but not the after state, and R is a postcondition. Both Q and R can refer only to the trace contribution through a HOL variable *trace* which is bound to $\&tt$.

definition $mk\text{-}RD :: 's \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 's \text{ hrel}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $mk\text{-}RD \ P \ Q \ R = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil P \rceil_{S<} \vdash \lceil Q(x) \rceil_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \diamond \lceil R(x) \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket)$

definition $trace\text{-}pred :: ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $[upred\text{-}defs]: trace\text{-}pred \ P = \lceil (P \ x) \rceil_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket$

syntax

$-trace\text{-}var :: logic$
 $-mk\text{-}RD \quad :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (\lceil - \rceil \vdash - / \mid - \rceil_R)$
 $-trace\text{-}pred \quad :: logic \Rightarrow logic \ (\lceil - \rceil_t)$

parse-translation \ll

let
 $\quad fun \ trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr \ [] = Syntax.free \ trace$
 $\quad \mid \ trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr \ - = raise \ Match;$
 in
 $\lceil (@\{syntax\text{-}const \ -trace\text{-}var\}, K \ trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr) \rceil$
 end
 \gg

translations

$\lceil P \vdash Q \mid R \rceil_R \Rightarrow CONST \ mk\text{-}RD \ P \ (\lambda \ -trace\text{-}var. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ -trace\text{-}var. \ R)$
 $\lceil P \vdash Q \mid R \rceil_R \Leftarrow CONST \ mk\text{-}RD \ P \ (\lambda \ x. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ y. \ R)$
 $\lceil P \rceil_t \Rightarrow CONST \ trace\text{-}pred \ (\lambda \ -trace\text{-}var. \ P)$
 $\lceil P \rceil_t \Leftarrow CONST \ trace\text{-}pred \ (\lambda \ t. \ P)$

lemma $SRD\text{-}mk\text{-}RD \ [closure]: \lceil P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \rceil_R$ is SRD
by ($simp \ add: mk\text{-}RD\text{-}def \ closure \ unrest$)

lemma $preR\text{-}mk\text{-}RD \ [rdes]: pre_R(\lceil P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \rceil_R) = R1(\lceil P \rceil_{S<})$
by ($simp \ add: mk\text{-}RD\text{-}def \ rea\text{-}pre\text{-}RHS\text{-}design \ usubst \ unrest \ R2c\text{-}not \ R2c\text{-}lift\text{-}state\text{-}pre$)

lemma $trace\text{-}pred\text{-}RR\text{-}closed \ [closure]:$

$\lceil P \ trace \rceil_t$ is RR
by ($rel\text{-}auto$)

lemma $unrest\text{-}trace\text{-}pred\text{-}st' \ [unrest]:$

$\$st' \ \# \ \lceil P \ trace \rceil_t$
by ($rel\text{-}auto$)

lemma $R2c\text{-}msubst\text{-}tt: R2c \ (msubst \ (\lambda x. \lceil Q \ x \rceil_S) \ \&tt) = (msubst \ (\lambda x. \lceil Q \ x \rceil_S) \ \&tt)$
by ($rel\text{-}auto$)

lemma $periR\text{-}mk\text{-}RD \ [rdes]: peri_R(\lceil P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \rceil_R) = (\lceil P \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1((\lceil Q(trace) \rceil_{S<}) \llbracket trace \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket))$
by ($simp \ add: mk\text{-}RD\text{-}def \ rea\text{-}peri\text{-}RHS\text{-}design \ usubst \ unrest \ R2c\text{-}not \ R2c\text{-}lift\text{-}state\text{-}pre \ R2c\text{-}disj \ R2c\text{-}msubst\text{-}tt \ R1\text{-}disj \ R2c\text{-}rea\text{-}impl \ R1\text{-}rea\text{-}impl$)

lemma $postR\text{-}mk\text{-}RD \ [rdes]: post_R(\lceil P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \rceil_R) = (\lceil P \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1((\lceil R(trace) \rceil_S) \llbracket trace \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket))$
by ($simp \ add: mk\text{-}RD\text{-}def \ rea\text{-}post\text{-}RHS\text{-}design \ usubst \ unrest \ R2c\text{-}not \ R2c\text{-}lift\text{-}state\text{-}pre \ impl\text{-}alt\text{-}def \ R2c\text{-}disj \ R2c\text{-}msubst\text{-}tt \ R2c\text{-}rea\text{-}impl \ R1\text{-}rea\text{-}impl$)

Refinement introduction law for contracts

lemma $RD\text{-}contract\text{-}refine:$
assumes

```

   $Q$  is SRD ‘ $[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q$ ’
  ‘ $[P_1]_{S<} \wedge peri_R Q \Rightarrow [P_2\ x]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket$ ’
  ‘ $[P_1]_{S<} \wedge post_R Q \Rightarrow [P_3\ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket$ ’
  shows  $[P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq Q$ 
proof –
  have  $[P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))$ 
    using assms
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def, rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
qed
end

```

7 Reactive design tactics

```

theory utp-rdes-tactics
  imports utp-rdes-triples
begin

```

The following tactic can be used to simply and evaluate reactive predicates.

```

method rpred-simp = (ueexpr-simp_simps: rpred usubst closure unrest)

```

Tactic to expand out healthy reactive design predicates into the syntactic triple form.

```

method rdes-expand uses cls = (insert cls, (erule RD-elim)+)

```

Tactic to simplify the definition of a reactive design

```

method rdes-simp uses cls cong_simps =
  ((rdes-expand cls: cls)?, (simp add: rdes-def rdes rpred cls closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if
simps cong: cong))

```

Tactic to prove a refinement

```

method rdes-refine uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro; (insert cls; rel-auto))

```

Tactics to prove an equality

```

method rdes-eq uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (rule-tac sdes-tri-eq-intro; rel-auto))

```

Via antisymmetry

```

method rdes-eq-anti uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (rule-tac antisym; (rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro; rel-auto)))

```

Tactic to calculate pre/peri/postconditions from reactive designs

```

method rdes-calc = (simp add: rdes rpred closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if)

```

The following tactic attempts to prove a reactive design refinement by calculation of the pre-, peri-, and postconditions and then showing three implications between them using *rel-blast*.

```

method rdspl-refine =
  (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro; (simp add: closure rdes unrest usubst ; rel-blast?))

```

The following tactic combines antisymmetry with the previous tactic to prove an equality.

```

method rdspl-eq =

```

(*rule-tac antisym*, *rdes-refine*, *rdes-refine*)

end

8 Reactive design parallel-by-merge

theory *utp-rdes-parallel*

imports

utp-rdes-normal

utp-rdes-tactics

begin

R3h implicitly depends on RD1, and therefore it requires that both sides be RD1. We also require that both sides are R3c, and that $wait_m$ is a quasi-unit, and div_m yields divergence.

lemma *st-U0-alpha*: $\lceil \exists \$st \cdot II \rceil_0 = (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_0)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *st-U1-alpha*: $\lceil \exists \$st \cdot II \rceil_1 = (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_1)$

by (*rel-auto*)

definition *skip-rm* :: ($'s, 't :: trace, 'a$) *rsp merge* (II_{RM}) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $II_{RM} = (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot skip_m \vee (\neg \$ok_{<} \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr'))$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $R3hm(M) = (II_{RM} \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright M)$

lemma *R3hm-idem*: $R3hm(R3hm(P)) = R3hm(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-par-by-merge* [*closure*]:

assumes *P is R3h Q is R3h M is R3hm*

shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ *is R3h*

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) = (((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

by (*simp add: cond-idem cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right*)

also have $\dots = (((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; R3hm(M)) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$v' =_u \$v_{<})) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$v' =_u \$v_{<})) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (R1(true)) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; R3hm(M)) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ((($\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \mathbf{v}$) ;; ($\$tr_{<} \leq_u \tr')) $\llbracket false, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$)
 by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = ((($\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \mathbf{v}$) ;; ($\$tr_{<} \leq_u \tr')) $\llbracket false, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$)
 by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ($R1(true)$) $\llbracket false, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$
 by (rel-blast)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 also have ... = ((($\exists \$st \cdot II$) $\triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)$) $\triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)$)
 by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = $R3h(P \parallel_M Q)$
 by (simp add: R3h-cases)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)
 qed

definition [upred-defs]: $RD1m(M) = (M \vee \neg \$ok_{<} \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')$

lemma *RD1-par-by-merge* [closure]:

assumes P is $R1$ Q is $R1$ M is $R1m$ P is $RD1$ Q is $RD1$ M is $RD1m$
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is $RD1$

proof –

have 1: ($RD1(R1(P)) \parallel_{RD1m(R1m(M))} RD1(R1(Q))$) $\llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket = R1(true)$
 by (rel-blast)
 have $(P \parallel_M Q) = (P \parallel_M Q)$ $\llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)$ $\llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket$
 by (simp add: cond-var-split)
 also have ... = $R1(P \parallel_M Q) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)$
 by (metis 1 Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge assms calculation
 cond-idem cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar ok-vwb-lens)
 also have ... = $RD1(P \parallel_M Q)$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge RD1-alt-def assms(3))
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)

qed

lemma *RD2-par-by-merge* [closure]:

assumes M is $RD2$
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is $RD2$

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M)$
 by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 also from assms have ... = $((P \parallel_s Q) ;; (M ;; J))$
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' RD2-def H2-def)
 also from assms have ... = $((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) ;; J$
 by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
 also from assms have ... = $RD2(P \parallel_M Q)$
 by (simp add: RD2-def H2-def par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def')

qed

lemma *SRD-par-by-merge*:

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is $R1m$ M is $R2m$ M is $R3hm$ M is $RD1m$ M is $RD2$
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is SRD

by (rule *SRD-intro*, *simp-all add: assms closure SRD-healths*)

definition *nmerge-rd0* (N_0) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $N_0(M) = (\$wait' =_u (\$0 - wait \vee \$1 - wait) \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr') \wedge (\exists \$0 - ok; \$1 - ok; \$ok_{<}; \$ok'; \$0 - wait; \$1 - wait; \$wait_{<}; \$wait' \cdot M)$

definition *nmerge-rd1* (N_1) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $N_1(M) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0 - ok \wedge \$1 - ok) \wedge N_0(M))$

definition *nmerge-rd* (N_R) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $N_R(M) = ((\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$v' =_u \$v_{<}) \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright N_1(M)) \triangleleft \$ok_{<} \triangleright (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')$

definition *merge-rd1* (M_1) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $M_1(M) = (N_1(M) ;; II_R)$

definition *merge-rd* (M_R) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $M_R(M) = N_R(M) ;; II_R$

abbreviation *rdes-par* ($- \parallel_R - [85, 0, 86] \ 85$) **where**

$P \parallel_{RM} Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q$

Healthiness condition for reactive design merge predicates

definition [*upred-defs*]: $RDM(M) = R2m(\exists \$0 - ok; \$1 - ok; \$ok_{<}; \$ok'; \$0 - wait; \$1 - wait; \$wait_{<}; \$wait' \cdot M)$

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R1m* [*closure*]:

$N_R(M)$ is *R1m*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *R2m-nmerge-rd*: $R2m(N_R(R2m(M))) = N_R(R2m(M))$

apply (*rel-auto*) using *minus-zero-eq* by *blast+*

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R2m* [*closure*]:

M is *R2m* $\implies N_R(M)$ is *R2m*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R2m-nmerge-rd*)

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R3hm* [*closure*]: $N_R(M)$ is *R3hm*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-RD1m* [*closure*]: $N_R(M)$ is *RD1m*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *merge-rd-is-RD3*: $M_R(M)$ is *RD3*

by (*metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def merge-rd-def*)

lemma *merge-rd-is-RD2*: $M_R(M)$ is *RD2*

by (*simp add: RD3-implies-RD2 merge-rd-is-RD3*)

lemma *par-rdes-NSRD* [*closure*]:

assumes P is *SRD* Q is *SRD* M is *RDM*

shows $P \parallel_{RM} Q$ is *NSRD*

proof –

have $(P \parallel_{N_R M} Q ;; II_R)$ is *NSRD*

by (*rule NSRD-intro'*, *simp-all add: SRD-healths closure assms*)

(*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R2-par-by-merge R2-seqr-closure R2m-nmerge-rd RDM-def*)

SRD-healths(2) *assms skip-srea-R2*
,metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: merge-rd-def par-by-merge-def segr-assoc*)
qed

lemma *RDM-intro*:
assumes *M is R2m* $\$0-ok \# M \$1-ok \# M \$ok_{<} \# M \$ok' \# M$
 $\$0-wait \# M \$1-wait \# M \$wait_{<} \# M \$wait' \# M$
shows *M is RDM*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def RDM-def ex-unrest unrest*)

lemma *RDM-unrests* [*unrest*]:
assumes *M is RDM*
shows $\$0-ok \# M \$1-ok \# M \$ok_{<} \# M \$ok' \# M$
 $\$0-wait \# M \$1-wait \# M \$wait_{<} \# M \$wait' \# M$
by (*subst Healthy-if[OF assms, THEN sym], simp-all add: RDM-def unrest, rel-auto*)**+**

lemma *RDM-R1m* [*closure*]: *M is RDM* \implies *M is R1m*
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def*)

lemma *RDM-R2m* [*closure*]: *M is RDM* \implies *M is R2m*
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R2m-idem RDM-def*)

lemma *ex-st'-R2m-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes *P is R2m*
shows $(\exists \$st' \cdot P)$ *is R2m*
proof –
have $R2m(\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P)$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Healthy-def' assms*)
qed

lemma *parallel-RR-closed*:
assumes *P is RR* *Q is RR* *M is R2m*
 $\$ok_{<} \# M \$wait_{<} \# M \$ok' \# M \$wait' \# M$
shows $P \parallel_M Q$ *is RR*
by (*rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms RR-implies-R2 closure*)

lemma *parallel-ok-cases*:
 $((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = ($
 $((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket true, true / \$0-ok, \$1-ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket false, true / \$0-ok, \$1-ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket true, false / \$0-ok, \$1-ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket false, false / \$0-ok, \$1-ok \rrbracket))$
proof –
have $((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot (P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket / \$0-ok \rrbracket ;; M \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket / \$0-ok \rrbracket)$
by (*subst segr-middle[of left-uvar ok], simp-all*)
also have $\dots = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket / \$0-ok \rrbracket \llbracket \llbracket ok_1 \rrbracket / \$1-ok \rrbracket ;; (M \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket / \$0-ok \rrbracket \llbracket \llbracket ok_1 \rrbracket / \$1-ok \rrbracket))$
by (*subst segr-middle[of right-uvar ok], simp-all*)
also have $\dots = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot (P \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket / \$ok \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket \llbracket ok_1 \rrbracket / \$ok \rrbracket) ;; (M \llbracket \llbracket ok_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket ok_1 \rrbracket / \$0-ok, \$1-ok \rrbracket))$
by (*rel-auto robust*)
also have $\dots = ($

$((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket \text{false}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket \text{false}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket))$
by (*simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym] false-alt-def[THEN sym] disj-assoc*
utp-pred-laws.sup.left-commute utp-pred-laws.sup-commute usubst)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *skip-srea-ok-f* [*usubst*]:
 $II_R^f = R1(\neg \$ok)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *nmerge0-rd-unrest* [*unrest*]:
 $\$0\text{--ok} \# N_0 \ M \ \$1\text{--ok} \# N_0 \ M$
by (*pred-auto*)+

lemma *parallel-assm-lemma*:
assumes *P is RD2*
shows $pre_s \uparrow (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (((pre_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) (cmt_s \uparrow Q))$
 $\vee ((cmt_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) (pre_s \uparrow Q)))$

proof –
have $pre_s \uparrow (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = pre_s \uparrow ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M_R(M))$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def*)
also have $\dots = ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; N_R \ M ;; R1(\neg \$ok))$
by (*simp add: merge-rd-def usubst, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = ((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) ;; N_1(M) ;; R1(\neg \$ok))$
by (*rel-auto robust, (metis)+*)
also have $\dots = (($
 $((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t \parallel_s (Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t) ;; ((N_1 \ M) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)$
 $;; R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$
 $((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t) ;; ((N_1 \ M) \llbracket \text{false}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)$
 $;; R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$
 $((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t \parallel_s (Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) ;; ((N_1 \ M) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)$
 $;; R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$
 $((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) ;; ((N_1 \ M) \llbracket \text{false}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)$
 $;; R1(\neg \$ok))))$
(is $\neg = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4)$
by (*subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac*)
also have $\dots = (?C2 \vee ?C3)$
proof –
have $?C1 = \text{false}$
by (*rel-auto*)
moreover have $'?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3'$ **(is** $'(?A ;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C ;; ?D)'$)
proof –
from *assms* **have** $'P^f \Rightarrow P^t'$
by (*metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def*)
hence *P*: $'P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f'$
by (*rel-auto*)
have $'?A \Rightarrow ?C'$
using *P* **by** (*rel-auto*)
moreover have $'?B \Rightarrow ?D'$
by (*rel-auto*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: impl-seqr-mono*)

```

qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: subsumption2)
qed
also have ... = (
  (((pre_s † P) ‖_s (cmt_s † Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))) ∨
  (((cmt_s † P) ‖_s (pre_s † Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true))))
  by (rel-auto, metis+)
also have ... = (
  ((pre_s † P) ‖_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (cmt_s † Q)) ∨
  ((cmt_s † P) ‖_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (pre_s † Q))
  by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma pre_s-SRD:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows pre_s † P = (¬_r pre_R(P))
proof -
  have pre_s † P = pre_s † R_s(pre_R P ⊢ peri_R P ⊔ post_R P)
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(¬ pre_s † pre_R P))
    by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre_s-design)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(¬ pre_R P))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (¬_r pre_R P)
    by (simp add: R2c-not R2c-preR assms rea-not-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma parallel-assm:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows pre_R(P ‖_{M_R(M)} Q) = (¬_r ((¬_r pre_R(P)) ‖_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) ∧
    ¬_r (cmt_R(P) ‖_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (¬_r pre_R(Q))))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have pre_R(P ‖_{M_R(M)} Q) = (¬_r (pre_s † P) ‖_{N_0 M ;; R1 true} (cmt_s † Q) ∧
    ¬_r (cmt_s † P) ‖_{N_0 M ;; R1 true} (pre_s † Q))
    by (simp add: pre_R-def parallel-assm-lemma assms SRD-healths R1-conj rea-not-def[THEN sym])
  also have ... = ?rhs
    by (simp add: pre_s-SRD assms cmt_R-def Healthy-if closure unrest)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma parallel-assm-unrest-wait' [unrest]:
  ‖ P is SRD; Q is SRD ‖ ⟹ $wait' ‡ pre_R(P ‖_{M_R(M)} Q)
  by (simp add: parallel-assm, simp add: par-by-merge-def unrest)

```

```

lemma JL1: (M_1 M)^t ‖false,true/$0-ok,$1-ok‖ = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)

```

```

lemma JL2: (M_1 M)^t ‖true,false/$0-ok,$1-ok‖ = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)

```

by (rel-blast)

lemma *JL3*: $(M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{false}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket = N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true})$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *JL4*: $(M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket = (\$ok' \wedge N_0 \ M) \ ; \ ; \ II_R^t$
 by (simp add: merge-rd1-def usubst nmerge-rd1-def unrest)

lemma *parallel-commitment-lemma-1*:

assumes *P* is *RD2*

shows $\text{cmt}_s \uparrow (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = ($
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 \ M)} \ ; \ ; \ II_R^t (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true}) (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \vee$
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true}) (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)))$

proof –

have $\text{cmt}_s \uparrow (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_{(M_1(M))^t} Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: usubst, rel-auto)

also have $\dots = ((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \ ; \ ; \ (M_1 \ M)^t)$
 by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)

also have $\dots = ($
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{false}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{false}, \text{false} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket))$
 by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)

also have $\dots = ($
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1 \ M)^t \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ (N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true}))) \vee$
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ (N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true}))) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ (N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true})))$
(is $\text{--} = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4))$

by (simp add: JL1 JL2 JL3)

also have $\dots = ($
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ ((M_1(M))^t \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true} / \$0\text{--ok}, \$1\text{--ok} \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((\text{pre}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{cmt}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ (N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true}))) \vee$
 $((\text{cmt}_s \uparrow P) \parallel_s (\text{pre}_s \uparrow Q)) \ ; \ ; \ (N_0(M) \ ; \ ; \ R1(\text{true})))$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\text{'}P^f \Rightarrow P^t\text{'}$

by (metis *RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def'*)

hence $P: \text{'}P_f^f \Rightarrow P_f^t\text{'}$

by (rel-auto)

have $\text{'}?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3\text{'}$ (**is** $\text{'}(?A \ ; \ ; \ ?B) \Rightarrow (?C \ ; \ ; \ ?D)\text{'}$)

proof –

have $\text{'}?A \Rightarrow ?C\text{'}$

using *P* **by** (rel-auto)

thus *?thesis*

by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (simp add: subsumption2)

qed

finally show *?thesis*

by (simp add: par-by-merge-def JL4)

qed

lemma *parallel-commitment-lemma-2:*

assumes P is $RD2$

shows $cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$$(((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \vee pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))$$

by (*simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-1 assms parallel-asm-lemma*)

lemma *parallel-commitment-lemma-3:*

M is $R1m \implies (\$ok' \wedge N_0 M) ;; II_R^t$ is $R1m$

by (*rel-simp, safe, metis+*)

lemma *parallel-commitment:*

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t cmt_R(Q))$

by (*simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-2 parallel-commitment-lemma-3 Healthy-if assms cmt_R-def pre_s-SRD closure rea-impl-def disj-comm unrest*)

theorem *parallel-reactive-design:*

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s($

$(\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) \wedge$

$\neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (\neg_r pre_R(Q)))) \vdash$

$(cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t cmt_R(Q))$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \vdash cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))$

by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-rdes-NSRD*)

also have $\dots = ?rhs$

by (*simp add: parallel-asm parallel-commitment design-export-spec assms, rel-auto*)

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma *parallel-pericondition-lemma1:*

$(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II_R \llbracket true, true / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket = (\exists \$st' \cdot P) \llbracket true, true / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket$

(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $?lhs = (\$ok' \wedge P) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = ?rhs$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma *parallel-pericondition-lemma2:*

assumes M is RDM

shows $(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M)) \llbracket true, true / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket = ((\$0 - wait \vee \$1 - wait) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$

proof –

have $(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M)) \llbracket true, true / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0 - wait \vee \$1 - wait) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr_{<} \wedge M)$

by (*simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms*)

also have $\dots = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0 - wait \vee \$1 - wait) \wedge M)$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-if R1m-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute*)

also have $\dots = ((\$0 - wait \vee \$1 - wait) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma3:

$((\$0\text{-wait} \vee \$1\text{-wait}) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) = ((\$0\text{-wait} \wedge \$1\text{-wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \vee (\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \$1\text{-wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \vee (\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \$1\text{-wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma parallel-pericondition [rdes]:

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{ rsp merge}$

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $\text{peri}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q) \vee \text{post}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q) \vee \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{post}_R(Q))$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$(\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait'] \text{cmt}_R Q)$

by (simp add: peri-cmt-def parallel-commitment SRD-healths assms usubst unrest assms)

also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0 M)} [\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait'] \text{cmt}_R Q)$

by (simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma1)

also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{(\$0\text{-wait} \vee \$1\text{-wait}) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)} \text{cmt}_R Q)$

by (simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma2 assms)

also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil \text{cmt}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{cmt}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$0\text{-wait} \wedge \$1\text{-wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$

$\vee (\lceil \text{cmt}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{cmt}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \$1\text{-wait}$

$\wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$

$\vee (\lceil \text{cmt}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{cmt}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \1-wait

$\wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$

by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def parallel-pericondition-lemma3 segr-or-distr)

also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil \text{peri}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{peri}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M)$

$\vee (\lceil \text{post}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{peri}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M)$

$\vee (\lceil \text{peri}_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil \text{post}_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$

by (simp add: segr-right-one-point-true segr-right-one-point-false cmt_R-def post_R-def peri_R-def usubst unrest assms)

also have $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q)$

$\vee \text{post}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q)$

$\vee \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{post}_R(Q))$

by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma1:

$(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II_R[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait'] = P[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait']$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait']$

by (rel-blast)

also have $\dots = ?rhs$

by (rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma2:

assumes M is RDM

shows $(N_0(M))[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \$1\text{-wait}) \wedge M)$

proof –

have $(N_0(M))[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \$1\text{-wait}) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr_{<} \wedge M)$

by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
 also have ... = $(\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \$1\text{-wait}) \wedge M$
 by (metis Healthy-if R1m-def RDM-R1m assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *parallel-postcondition* [rdes]:

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{rsp merge}$

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $\text{post}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P) \parallel_M \text{post}_R(Q))$

proof –

have $\text{post}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$(\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; H_R[\text{true}, \text{false}/\$ok', \$wait'] \text{cmt}_R Q)$

by (simp add: post-cmt-def parallel-commitment assms usubst unrest SRD-healths)

also have ... = $(\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{(\neg \$0\text{-wait} \wedge \neg \$1\text{-wait} \wedge M)} \text{cmt}_R Q)$

by (simp add: parallel-postcondition-lemma1 parallel-postcondition-lemma2 assms,

simp add: utp-pred-laws.inf-commute utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute)

also have ... = $(\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P \parallel_M \text{post}_R Q)$

by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def seqr-right-one-point-false usubst unrest cmt_R-def post_R-def assms)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *parallel-precondition-lemma*:

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{rsp merge}$

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM

shows $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(Q) =$

$((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{peri}_R Q \vee (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{post}_R Q)$

proof –

have $((\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(Q)) =$

$((\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) (\text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q)))$

by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)

also have ... = $(([\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(\text{true}))$

by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)

also have ... = $(([\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R(Q)]_1 \triangleleft \$1\text{-wait}' \triangleright [\text{post}_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(\text{true}))$

by (simp add: wait'-cond-def alpha)

also have ... = $((([\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$1\text{-wait}' \triangleright ([\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v})) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(\text{true}))$

(is $(?P ;; -) = (?Q ;; -)$)

proof –

have $?P = ?Q$

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis by simp

qed

also have ... = $((([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v})[\text{true}/\$1\text{-wait}'] ;; (N_0 M ;; R1 \text{true}))[\text{true}/\$1\text{-wait}] \vee$

$([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v})[\text{false}/\$1\text{-wait}'] ;; (N_0 M ;; R1 \text{true}))[\text{false}/\$1\text{-wait}]$

by (simp add: cond-inter-var-split)

also have ... = $((([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 M[\text{true}/\$1\text{-wait}'] ;; R1 \text{true} \vee$

$([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 M[\text{false}/\$1\text{-wait}'] ;; R1 \text{true}))$

by (simp add: usubst unrest)

also have ... = $((([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' \wedge M) ;; R1 \text{true} \vee$

$([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' =_u \$0\text{-wait} \wedge M) ;; R1 \text{true}))$

proof –
 have $(\$tr' \geq_u \$tr < \wedge M) = M$
 using *RDM-R1m[OF assms(3)]*
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1m-def conj-comm*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: nmerge-rd0-def unrest assms closure ex-unrest usubst*)
qed
 also have $\dots = (([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; M ;; R1 \text{ true} \vee$
 $([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; M ;; R1 \text{ true})$
 (is $(?P_1 \vee_p ?P_2) = (?Q_1 \vee ?Q_2)$)
proof –
 have $?P_1 = ([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{peri}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; (M \wedge \$wait')$;; *R1 true*
 by (*simp add: conj-comm*)
 hence 1: $?P_1 = ?Q_1$
 by (*simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false add: unrest usubst closure assms*)
 have $?P_2 = (([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; (M \wedge \$wait') ;; R1 \text{ true} \vee$
 $([\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$v_{<}' =_u \$v) ;; (M \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \text{ true})$
 by (*subst segr-bool-split[of left-uvar wait], simp-all add: usubst unrest assms closure conj-comm*)
 hence 2: $?P_2 = ?Q_2$
 by (*simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false unrest usubst closure assms*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
 also have $\dots = ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{peri}_R Q \vee (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{post}_R Q)$
 by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def*)
 finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *swap-nmerge-rd0*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; N_0(M) = N_0(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
by (*rel-auto, meson+*)

lemma *SymMerge-nmerge-rd0 [closure]*:
 $M \text{ is SymMerge} \implies N_0(M) \text{ is SymMerge}$
by (*rel-auto, meson+*)

lemma *swap-merge-rd'*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; N_R(M) = N_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *swap-merge-rd*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; M_R(M) = M_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
by (*simp add: merge-rd-def segr-assoc[THEN sym] swap-merge-rd'*)

lemma *SymMerge-merge-rd [closure]*:
 $M \text{ is SymMerge} \implies M_R(M) \text{ is SymMerge}$
by (*simp add: Healthy-def swap-merge-rd*)

lemma *nmerge-rd1-merge3*:
assumes $M \text{ is RDM}$
shows $\mathbf{M3}(N_1(M)) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0-ok \wedge \$1-0-ok \wedge \$1-1-ok) \wedge$
 $\$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \vee \$1-0-wait \vee \$1-1-wait) \wedge$
 $\mathbf{M3}(M))$

proof –
 have $\mathbf{M3}(N_1(M)) = \mathbf{M3}(\$ok' =_u (\$0-ok \wedge \$1-ok) \wedge$
 $\$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \vee \$1-wait) \wedge$

$\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr' \wedge$
 $(\exists \{ \$0-ok, \$1-ok, \$ok_{<}, \$ok', \$0-wait, \$1-wait, \$wait_{<}, \$wait' \} \cdot RDM(M))$
by (*simp add: nmerge-rd1-def nmerge-rd0-def assms Healthy-if*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{M3}(\$ok' =_u (\$0-ok \wedge \$1-ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \vee \$1-wait) \wedge RDM(M))$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have $\dots = (\$ok' =_u (\$0-ok \wedge \$1-0-ok \wedge \$1-1-ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \vee \$1-0-wait \vee \$1-1-wait) \wedge \mathbf{M3}(RDM(M)))$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have $\dots = (\$ok' =_u (\$0-ok \wedge \$1-0-ok \wedge \$1-1-ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0-wait \vee \$1-0-wait \vee \$1-1-wait) \wedge \mathbf{M3}(M))$
by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *nmerge-rd-merge3:*

$\mathbf{M3}(N_R(M)) = (\exists \$st_{<} \cdot \$v' =_u \$v_{<}) \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright \mathbf{M3}(N_1 M) \triangleleft \$ok_{<} \triangleright (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')$
by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *swap-merge-RDM-closed [closure]:*

assumes *M is RDM*
shows $swap_m ;; M \text{ is RDM}$

proof –

have $RDM(swap_m ;; RDM(M)) = (swap_m ;; RDM(M))$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Healthy-def' assms*)
qed

lemma *parallel-precondition:*

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: trace, 'a) \text{ rsp merge}$
assumes *P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM*
shows $pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$(\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R Q) \wedge$
 $\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R Q) \wedge$
 $\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R P) \wedge$
 $\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R P))$

proof –

have $a: (\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) \text{cmt}_R(Q) =$
 $((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R Q \vee (\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma assms*)

have $b: (\neg_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 \text{ true } (\neg_r pre_R Q)) =$
 $(\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{cmt}_R(P))$
by (*simp add: swap-nmerge-rd0[THEN sym] seqr-assoc[THEN sym] par-by-merge-def par-sep-swap*)

have $c: (\neg_r pre_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{cmt}_R(P) =$
 $((\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R P \vee (\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R$

$P)$

by (*simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma closure assms*)

show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: parallel-assm closure assms a b c, rel-auto*)

qed

Weakest Parallel Precondition

definition *wrR* ::

(*t*::*trace*, *'α*) *hrel-rp* \Rightarrow
(*t* :: *trace*, *'α*) *rp merge* \Rightarrow
(*t*, *'α*) *hrel-rp* \Rightarrow
(*t*, *'α*) *hrel-rp* (- *wr_R'(-)* - [60,0,61] 61)

where [*upred-defs*]: *Q wr_R(M) P* = (\neg_r ((\neg_r *P*) \parallel_M ;; *R1(true) Q*))

lemma *wrR-R1 [closure]*:

M is R1m \Rightarrow *Q wr_R(M) P is R1*
by (*simp add: wrR-def closure*)

lemma *R2-rea-not*: *R2($\neg_r P$) = ($\neg_r R2(P)$)*

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wrR-R2-lemma*:

assumes *P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m*
shows (($\neg_r P$) $\parallel_M Q$) ;; *R1(true_h) is R2*

proof –

have (($\neg_r P$) $\parallel_M Q$ *is R2*)
by (*simp add: closure assms*)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: closure*)

qed

lemma *wrR-R2 [closure]*:

assumes *P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m*
shows *Q wr_R(M) P is R2*

proof –

have (($\neg_r P$) $\parallel_M Q$) ;; *R1(true_h) is R2*
by (*simp add: wrR-R2-lemma assms*)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: wrR-def wrR-R2-lemma par-by-merge-seq-add closure*)

qed

lemma *wrR-RR [closure]*:

assumes *P is RR Q is RR M is RDM*
shows *Q wr_R(M) P is RR*
apply (*rule RR-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: unrest assms closure wrR-def rpred*)
apply (*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-rea-not RDM-R2m*
RR-implies-R2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-by-merge-seq-add rea-not-R2-closed
wrR-R2-lemma)

done

lemma *wrR-RC [closure]*:

assumes *P is RR Q is RR M is RDM*
shows (*Q wr_R(M) P*) *is RC*
apply (*rule RC-intro*)
apply (*simp add: closure assms*)
apply (*simp add: wrR-def rpred closure assms*)
apply (*simp add: par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc*)

done

lemma *wppR-choice [wp]*: (*P* \vee *Q*) *wr_R(M) R* = (*P wr_R(M) R* \wedge *Q wr_R(M) R*)

proof –

have $(P \vee Q) \text{ wr}_R(M) R =$
 $(\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \wedge (P ;; U1 \vee Q ;; U1) \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; \text{true}_r)$
by (*simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def segr-or-distl*)
also have $\dots = (\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \wedge P ;; U1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v} \vee (\neg_r R) ;; U0 \wedge Q ;; U1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u$
 $\$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; \text{true}_r)$
by (*simp add: conj-disj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2*)
also have $\dots = (\neg_r (((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \wedge P ;; U1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; \text{true}_r \vee$
 $((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \wedge Q ;; U1 \wedge \$\mathbf{v}_{<}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; \text{true}_r))$
by (*simp add: segr-or-distl*)
also have $\dots = (P \text{ wr}_R(M) R \wedge Q \text{ wr}_R(M) R)$
by (*simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *wppR-miracle* [*wp*]: $\text{false wr}_R(M) P = \text{true}_r$
by (*simp add: wrR-def*)

lemma *wppR-true* [*wp*]: $P \text{ wr}_R(M) \text{true}_r = \text{true}_r$
by (*simp add: wrR-def*)

lemma *parallel-precondition-wr* [*rdes*]:
assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
shows $\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\text{peri}_R(Q) \text{ wr}_R(M) \text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(Q) \text{ wr}_R(M) \text{pre}_R(P) \wedge$
 $\text{peri}_R(P) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) \text{pre}_R(Q) \wedge \text{post}_R(P) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) \text{pre}_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: assms parallel-precondition wrR-def*)

lemma *parallel-rdes-def* [*rdes-def*]:
assumes P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
 $\$st' \# P_2 \$st' \# Q_2$
 M is RDM
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \parallel_{M_R(M)} \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge$
 $(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash$
 $((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \vee$
 $(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \vee (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists \$st'} M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)) \diamond$
 $((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \parallel_M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$ (*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –
have $?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R ?lhs \vdash \text{peri}_R ?lhs \diamond \text{post}_R ?lhs)$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms closure*)
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by (*simp add: rdes closure unrest assms, rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *Miracle-parallel-left-zero*:
assumes P is SRD M is RDM
shows $\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P = \text{Miracle}$

proof –
have $\text{pre}_R(\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P) = \text{true}_r$
by (*simp add: parallel-assm wait'-cond-idem rdes closure assms*)
moreover hence $\text{cmt}_R(\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P) = \text{false}$
by (*simp add: rdes closure wait'-cond-idem SRD-healths assms*)
ultimately have $\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false})$
by (*metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed*)
thus *?thesis*

by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed

lemma *Miracle-parallel-right-zero*:

assumes P is SRD M is RDM
shows $P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle}) = \text{true}_r$
by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem parallel-assm rdes closure assms)
moreover hence $\text{cmt}_R(P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle}) = \text{false}$
by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem rdes closure SRD-healths assms)
ultimately have $P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false})$
by (metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed)
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)

qed

8.1 Example basic merge

definition *BasicMerge* :: $((s, t::\text{trace}, \text{unit}) \text{ rsp}) \text{ merge } (N_B)$ **where**

$[upred-defs]: \text{BasicMerge} = (\$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \$tr' - \$tr_{<} =_u \$0 - tr - \$tr_{<} \wedge \$tr' - \$tr_{<} =_u \$1 - tr - \$tr_{<} \wedge \$st' =_u \$st_{<})$

abbreviation *rbasic-par* $(- \parallel_B - [85,86] \ 85)$ **where**

$P \parallel_B Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(N_B)} Q$

lemma *BasicMerge-RDM [closure]*: N_B is RDM

by (rule RDM-intro, (rel-auto)+)

lemma *BasicMerge-SymMerge [closure]*:

N_B is SymMerge

by (rel-auto)

lemma *BasicMerge'-calc*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$wait' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$wait' \# Q$ P is R2 Q is R2

shows $P \parallel_{N_B} Q = ((\exists \$st' \cdot P) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \wedge \$st' =_u \$st)$

using assms

proof –

have $P: (\exists \{\$ok', \$wait'\} \cdot R2(P)) = P$ (is ?P' = -)
by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
have $Q: (\exists \{\$ok', \$wait'\} \cdot R2(Q)) = Q$ (is ?Q' = -)
by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
have $?P' \parallel_{N_B} ?Q' = ((\exists \$st' \cdot ?P') \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot ?Q') \wedge \$st' =_u \$st)$
by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def, rel-auto, blast+)
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: P Q)

qed

8.2 Simple parallel composition

definition *rea-design-par* ::

$(s, t::\text{trace}, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow (s, t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow (s, t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ (**infixr** \parallel_R 85)

where $[upred-defs]: P \parallel_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{pre}_R(Q)) \vdash (\text{cmt}_R(P) \wedge \text{cmt}_R(Q)))$

lemma *RHS-design-par*:

assumes

```

    $ok' \# P_1 $ok' \# P_2
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))$ 
proof -
  have  $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) =$ 
     $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash Q_1 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash$ 
     $Q_2 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$ 
    by (simp add: RHS-design-ok-wait)

  also from assms
  have ... =
     $\mathbf{R}_s((R1 (R2c (P_1)) \wedge R1 (R2c (P_2))) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash$ 
     $(R1 (R2c (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2c (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$ 
    apply (simp add: rea-design-par-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest assms)
    apply (rule cong[of  $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$ ], simp)
    using assms apply (rel-auto)
  done
  also have ... =
     $\mathbf{R}_s((R2c(P_1) \wedge R2c(P_2)) \vdash$ 
     $(R1 (R2s (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2s (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-conj R1-design-R1-pre RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also have ... =
     $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (R1 (R2s (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2s (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))$ 
    by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute R2c-and R2c-design R2c-idem R2c-not RHS-def)
  also have ... =  $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-conj R2s-conj RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2s)
  also have ... =  $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))$ 
    by (rule cong[of  $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$ ], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma RHS-tri-design-par:
  assumes  $\$ok' \# P_1 \$ok' \# P_2$ 
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \wedge R_2))$ 
  by (simp add: RHS-design-par assms unrest wait'-cond-conj-exchange)

lemma RHS-tri-design-par-RR [rdes-def]:
  assumes  $P_1$  is RR  $P_2$  is RR
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \wedge R_2))$ 
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest assms)

lemma RHS-comp-assoc:
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD  $Q$  is NSRD  $R$  is NSRD
  shows  $(P \parallel_R Q) \parallel_R R = P \parallel_R Q \parallel_R R$ 
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)

end

```

9 Productive Reactive Designs

```

theory utp-rdes-productive
  imports utp-rdes-parallel
begin

```

9.1 Healthiness condition

A reactive design is productive if it strictly increases the trace, whenever it terminates. If it does not terminate, it is also classed as productive.

definition *Productive* :: ($'s, 't::\text{trace}, '\alpha$) *hrel-rsp* \Rightarrow ($'s, 't, '\alpha$) *hrel-rsp* **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \text{Productive}(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{true} \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))$

lemma *Productive-RHS-design-form*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R$
shows $\text{Productive}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond (R \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
using *assms by (simp add: Productive-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest)*

lemma *Productive-form*:

$\text{Productive}(\text{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$

proof –

have $\text{Productive}(\text{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{true} \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by (*simp add: Productive-def SRD-as-reactive-tri-design*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

A reactive design is productive provided that the postcondition, under the precondition, strictly increases the trace.

lemma *Productive-intro*:

assumes $P \text{ is SRD } (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(P)) \$wait' \# \text{pre}_R(P)$
shows $P \text{ is Productive}$

proof –

have $P : \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) = P$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis assms(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1 utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1)*)

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Healthy-def RHS-tri-design-par Productive-def ok'-pre-unrest unrest-true utp-pred-laws.inf-right-idem utp-pred-laws.inf-top-right*)

qed

lemma *Productive-post-refines-tr-increase*:

assumes $P \text{ is SRD } P \text{ is Productive } \$wait' \# \text{pre}_R(P)$
shows $(\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(P))$

proof –

have $\text{post}_R(P) = \text{post}_R(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2)*)
also have $\dots = R1(R2c(\text{pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = R1((\text{pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*simp add: R2c-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' assms*)

also have $(\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \wedge \dots)$
 by *(rel-auto)*
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *Continuous-Productive [closure]: Continuous Productive*
 by *(simp add: Continuous-def Productive-def, rel-auto)*

9.2 Reactive design calculations

lemma *preR-Productive [rdes]:*
 assumes *P is SRD*
 shows $pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(P)$
proof –
 have $pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
 by *(metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms)*
 thus *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-preR R1-preR Healthy-if assms)*
 qed

lemma *periR-Productive [rdes]:*
 assumes *P is NSRD*
 shows $peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(P)$
proof –
 have $peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
 by *(metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)*
 also have $\dots = R1 (R2c (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P))$
 by *(simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not assms closure)*
 also have $\dots = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)$
 by *(simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-peri-SRD R1-peri-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')*
 finally show *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms unrest closure)*
 qed

lemma *postR-Productive [rdes]:*
 assumes *P is NSRD*
 shows $post_R(Productive(P)) = (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$
proof –
 have $post_R(Productive(P)) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
 by *(metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)*
 also have $\dots = R1 (R2c (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
 by *(simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest assms closure)*
 also have $\dots = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)$
 by *(simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-and R1-extend-conj' R2c-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')*
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *preR-frame-seq-export:*
 assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD*
 shows $(pre_R P \wedge (pre_R P \wedge post_R P) ;; Q) = (pre_R P \wedge (post_R P ;; Q))$
proof –
 have $(pre_R P \wedge (post_R P ;; Q)) = (pre_R P \wedge ((pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P) ;; Q))$
 by *(simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)*
 also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge (((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; Q \vee (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R P)) ;; Q)))$

```

  by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms(1) rea-impl-def segr-or-distl R1-preR Healthy-if)
also have ... = (preR P ∧ (((¬r preR P) ;; Q ∨ (preR P ∧ postR P) ;; Q)))
proof -
  have (preR P ∨ ¬r preR P) = R1 true
  by (simp add: R1-preR rea-not-or)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def conj-comm disj-comm disj-conj-distr rea-impl-def segr-or-distl
    utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup.left-idem)
qed
also have ... = (preR P ∧ (((¬r preR P) ∨ (preR P ∧ postR P) ;; Q)))
  by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero assms closure SRD-healths)
also have ... = (preR P ∧ (preR P ∧ postR P) ;; Q)
  by (rel-blast)
finally show ?thesis ..
qed

```

9.3 Closure laws

lemma *Productive-rdes-intro:*

```

  assumes ($tr <u $tr') ⊆ R $ok' # P $ok' # Q $ok' # R $wait # P $wait' # P
  shows (Rs(P ⊢ Q ◊ R)) is Productive
proof (rule Productive-intro)
  show Rs (P ⊢ Q ◊ R) is SRD
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD assms)

```

```

from assms(1) show ($tr' >u $tr) ⊆ (preR (Rs (P ⊢ Q ◊ R)) ∧ postR (Rs (P ⊢ Q ◊ R)))
  apply (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst assms unrest)
  using assms(1) apply (rel-auto)
  apply fastforce
  done

```

```

show $wait' # preR (Rs (P ⊢ Q ◊ R))
  by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst R1-def R2c-def R2s-def assms unrest)
qed

```

lemma *Productive-rdes-RR-intro:*

```

  assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR ($tr <u $tr') ⊆ R
  shows (Rs(P ⊢ Q ◊ R)) is Productive
  by (simp add: Productive-rdes-intro unrest assms)

```

lemma *Productive-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is Productive*

```

  unfolding Miracle-tri-def Healthy-def
  by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest)

```

lemma *Productive-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is Productive*

```

  unfolding Chaos-tri-def Healthy-def
  by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest, rel-auto)

```

lemma *Productive-intChoice [closure]:*

```

  assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
  shows P ⊓ Q is Productive
proof -
  have P ⊓ Q =
    Rs(preR(P) ⊢ periR(P) ◊ (postR(P) ∧ $tr <u $tr')) ⊓ Rs(preR(Q) ⊢ periR(Q) ◊ (postR(Q) ∧
    $tr <u $tr'))
  by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)

```

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee peri_R Q) \diamond ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \vee (post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee peri_R Q) \diamond (((post_R P) \vee (post_R Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 also have ... is Productive
 by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *Productive-cond-rea* [closure]:

assumes *P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive*

shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$ is Productive

proof –

have $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q =$

$\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$

by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R peri_R Q) \diamond ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$

by (simp add: cond-srea-form)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R peri_R Q) \diamond (((post_R P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (post_R Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$

by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)

also have ... is Productive

by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *Productive-seq-1* [closure]:

assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD*

shows $P ;; Q$ is Productive

proof –

have $P ;; Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q)))$

by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) assms(3))

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge (post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash$

$(peri_R P \vee ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; post_R Q))$

by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge (post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash$

$(peri_R P \vee ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$

proof –

have $((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q)) = ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)$

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)

qed

also have ... is Productive

by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *Productive-seq-2 [closure]*:

assumes *P is NSRD Q is NSRD Q is Productive*

shows *P ;; Q is Productive*

proof –

have $P ;; Q = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P))) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R(Q) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$

by (metis *Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{ pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$

by (simp add: *RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{ pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$

proof –

have $(R1(\text{post}_R P) ;; (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) = (R1(\text{post}_R P) ;; (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: *NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms*)

qed

also have \dots is Productive

by (rule *Productive-rdes-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest assms closure wp-rea-def*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

end

10 Guarded Recursion

theory *utp-rdes-guarded*

imports *utp-rdes-productive*

begin

10.1 Traces with a size measure

Guarded recursion relies on our ability to measure the trace's size, in order to see if it is decreasing on each iteration. Thus, we here equip the trace algebra with the *ucard* function that provides this.

class *size-trace* = *trace* + *size* +

assumes

size-zero: $\text{size } 0 = 0$ and

size-nzero: $s > 0 \implies \text{size}(s) > 0$ and

size-plus: $\text{size } (s + t) = \text{size}(s) + \text{size}(t)$

— These axioms may be stronger than necessary. In particular, $0 < ?s \implies 0 < \#_u(?s)$ requires that a non-empty trace have a positive size. But this may not be the case with all trace models and is possibly more restrictive than necessary. In future we will explore weakening.

begin

lemma *size-mono*: $s \leq t \implies \text{size}(s) \leq \text{size}(t)$

by (metis *le-add1 local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus*)

lemma *size-strict-mono*: $s < t \implies \text{size}(s) < \text{size}(t)$
by (*metis* *cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-diff-cancel-left'* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.less-iff local.minus-gr-zero-iff* *local.size-nzero* *local.size-plus* *zero-less-diff*)

lemma *trace-strict-prefixE*: $xs < ys \implies (\bigwedge zs. \llbracket ys = xs + zs; \text{size}(zs) > 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
by (*metis* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.less-iff* *local.minus-gr-zero-iff* *local.size-nzero*)

lemma *size-minus-trace*: $y \leq x \implies \text{size}(x - y) = \text{size}(x) - \text{size}(y)$
by (*metis* *diff-add-inverse* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.size-plus*)

end

Both natural numbers and lists are measurable trace algebras.

instance *nat* :: *size-trace*
by (*intro-classes*, *simp-all*)

instance *list* :: (*type*) *size-trace*
by (*intro-classes*, *simp-all* *add: zero-list-def less-list-def' plus-list-def prefix-length-less*)

syntax
 $\text{-size} \quad :: \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (\text{size}_u'(-))$

translations
 $\text{size}_u(t) == \text{CONST } \text{uop } \text{CONST } \text{size } t$

10.2 Guardedness

definition *gvert* :: $((t::\text{size-trace}, \alpha) \text{ } rp \times (t', \alpha) \text{ } rp) \text{ } chain$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \text{gvert}(n) \equiv (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \text{size}_u(\&tt) <_u \llbracket n \rrbracket)$

lemma *gvert-chain*: *chain* *gvert*
apply (*simp* *add: chain-def*, *safe*)
apply (*rel-simp*)
apply (*rel-simp*) +
done

lemma *gvert-limit*: $\sqcap (\text{range } \text{gvert}) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr')$
by (*rel-auto*)

definition *Guarded* :: $((t::\text{size-trace}, \alpha) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rp \Rightarrow (t', \alpha) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rp) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \text{Guarded}(F) = (\forall X n. (F(X) \wedge \text{gvert}(n+1)) = (F(X \wedge \text{gvert}(n)) \wedge \text{gvert}(n+1)))$

lemma *GuardedI*: $\llbracket \bigwedge X n. (F(X) \wedge \text{gvert}(n+1)) = (F(X \wedge \text{gvert}(n)) \wedge \text{gvert}(n+1)) \rrbracket \implies \text{Guarded } F$
by (*simp* *add: Guarded-def*)

Guarded reactive designs yield unique fixed-points.

theorem *guarded-fp-uniq*:
assumes *mono* $F \text{ } F \in \llbracket id \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \text{ } \text{Guarded } F$
shows $\mu F = \nu F$
proof –
have *constr* $F \text{ } \text{gvert}$
using *assms*
by (*auto* *simp* *add: constr-def gvert-chain Guarded-def tcontr-alt-def'*)
hence $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu F) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu F)$
apply (*rule* *constr-fp-uniq*)

```

    apply (simp add: assms)
  using gvirt-limit apply blast
done
moreover have  $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu F) = \mu F$ 
proof -
  have  $\mu F$  is R1
  by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-mu, simp-all add: assms)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
qed
moreover have  $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu F) = \nu F$ 
proof -
  have  $\nu F$  is R1
  by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-nu, simp-all add: assms)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp)
qed

lemma Guarded-const [closure]: Guarded  $(\lambda X. P)$ 
  by (simp add: Guarded-def)

lemma UINF-Guarded [closure]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \text{Guarded } P$ 
  shows Guarded  $(\lambda X. \bigwedge P \in A. P(X))$ 
proof (rule GuardedI)
  fix X n
  have  $\bigwedge Y. ((\bigwedge P \in A. P Y) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)) = ((\bigwedge P \in A. (P Y \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ 
proof -
  fix Y
  let ?lhs =  $((\bigwedge P \in A. P Y) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$  and ?rhs =  $((\bigwedge P \in A. (P Y \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ 
  have  $a: ?lhs \llbracket \text{false} / \$ok \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{false} / \$ok \rrbracket$ 
  by (rel-auto)
  have  $b: ?lhs \llbracket \text{true} / \$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{true} / \$wait \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{true} / \$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{true} / \$wait \rrbracket$ 
  by (rel-auto)
  have  $c: ?lhs \llbracket \text{true} / \$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{false} / \$wait \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{true} / \$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{false} / \$wait \rrbracket$ 
  by (rel-auto)
  show ?lhs = ?rhs
  using a b c
  by (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait], simp-all)
qed
moreover have  $((\bigwedge P \in A. (P X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)) = ((\bigwedge P \in A. (P (X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ 
proof -
  have  $(\bigwedge P \in A. (P X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) = (\bigwedge P \in A. (P (X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n)) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)))$ 
proof (rule UINF-cong)
  fix P assume  $P \in A$ 
  thus  $(P X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)) = (P (X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n)) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ 
  using Guarded-def assms by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately show  $((\bigwedge P \in A. P X) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)) = ((\bigwedge P \in A. (P (X \wedge \text{gvrt}(n)))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ 

```

by *simp*
qed

lemma *intChoice-Guarded* [closure]:
assumes *Guarded P Guarded Q*
shows *Guarded (λ X. P(X) □ Q(X))*

proof –
have *Guarded (λ X. □ F ∈ {P, Q} • F(X))*
by (rule *UINF-Guarded*, auto *simp add: assms*)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp*)
qed

lemma *cond-srea-Guarded* [closure]:
assumes *Guarded P Guarded Q*
shows *Guarded (λ X. P(X) < b ▷_R Q(X))*
using *assms* by (*rel-auto*)

A tail recursive reactive design with a productive body is guarded.

lemma *Guarded-if-Productive* [closure]:
fixes *P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, 'α) hrel-rsp*
assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows *Guarded (λ X. P ;; SRD(X))*

proof (*clarsimp simp add: Guarded-def*)

— We split the proof into three cases corresponding to valuations for ok, wait, and wait' respectively.

fix *X n*

have *a: (P ;; SRD(X) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [false/\$ok] =*
(P ;; SRD(X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [false/\$ok]

by (*simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-1 assms*)

have *b: ((P ;; SRD(X) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true/\$ok]) [true/\$wait] =*
((P ;; SRD(X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true/\$ok]) [true/\$wait]

by (*simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-2 assms*)

have *c: ((P ;; SRD(X) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true/\$ok]) [false/\$wait] =*
((P ;; SRD(X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true/\$ok]) [false/\$wait]

proof –

have *1: (P [true/\$wait'] ;; (SRD X) [true/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =*
(P [true/\$wait'] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n)) [true/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R3h-wait-true SRD-healths(3) SRD-idem*)

have *2: (P [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD X) [false/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =*
(P [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n)) [false/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]

proof –

have *exp: ∧ Y :: ('s, 't, 'α) hrel-rsp. (P [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD Y) [false/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]*

=

(((((¬_r pre_R P) ;; (SRD(Y)) [false/\$wait] ∨ (post_R P ∧ \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD
Y) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]

proof –

fix *Y :: ('s, 't, 'α) hrel-rsp*

have *(P [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD Y) [false/\$wait] ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =*
((R_s(pre_R(P) ⊢ peri_R(P) ◊ (post_R(P) ∧ \$tr <_u \$tr')) [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD Y) [false/\$wait]
∧ gvirt (Suc n)) [true,false/\$ok,\$wait]

by (*metis (no-types) Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) NSRD-is-SRD*)

also have ... =

((R1(R2c(pre_R(P) ⇒ (\$ok' ∧ post_R(P) ∧ \$tr <_u \$tr')) [false/\$wait'] ;; (SRD Y) [false/\$wait]

$\wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def RD1-def RD2-def usubst unrest assms closure design-def*)
also have ... =
 $(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P) \vee (\$ok' \wedge \text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
 $\wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: impl-alt-def R2c-disj R1-disj R2c-not assms closure R2c-and R2c-preR rea-not-def R1-extend-conj' R2c-ok' R2c-post-SRD R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr'*)
also have ... =
 $((((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; (\text{SRD}(Y)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \vee (\$ok' \wedge \text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket)) \wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: usubst unrest assms closure seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero SRD-healths*)
also have ... =
 $((((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; (\text{SRD}(Y)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \vee (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket))$
 $\wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
proof –
have $(\$ok' \wedge \text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket =$
 $((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wedge \$ok' =_u \text{true}) ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have ... = $(\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{ok}' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{ok} \rrbracket$
using *seqr-left-one-point* [*of ok (post_R P ∧ \$tr' >_u \$tr) True (SRD Y) ⌊false/\$wait⌋*]
by (*simp add: true-alt-def [THEN sym]*)
finally show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: usubst unrest*)
qed
finally
show $(P \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket =$
 $((((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; (\text{SRD}(Y)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \vee (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } Y) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket))$
 $\wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket .$
qed
have 1: $((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } X) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n)) =$
 $((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (\text{SRD } (X \wedge \text{gvert } n)) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$\text{ok}, \$\text{wait} \rrbracket \wedge \text{gvert } (\text{Suc } n))$
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr₀ st₀ more₀ ok'*)
apply (*rule-tac x=tr₀ in exI, rule-tac x=st₀ in exI, rule-tac x=more₀ in exI*)
apply (*simp*)
apply (*erule trace-strict-prefixE*)
apply (*rename-tac tr st ref ok wait tr' st' ref' tr₀ st₀ ref₀ ok' zs*)
apply (*rule-tac x=False in exI*)
apply (*simp add: size-minus-trace*)
apply (*subgoal-tac size(tr) < size(tr₀)*)
apply (*simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono*)
using *size-strict-mono* **apply** *blast*
apply (*rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr₀ st₀ more₀ ok'*)
apply (*rule-tac x=tr₀ in exI, rule-tac x=st₀ in exI, rule-tac x=more₀ in exI*)
apply (*simp*)
apply (*erule trace-strict-prefixE*)
apply (*rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr₀ st₀ more₀ ok' zs*)
apply (*auto simp add: size-minus-trace*)
apply (*subgoal-tac size(tr) < size(tr₀)*)
apply (*simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono*)
using *size-strict-mono* **apply** *blast*
done
have 2: $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; (\text{SRD } X) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; (\text{SRD}(X \wedge \text{gvert } n)) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait} \rrbracket$

```

    by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero closure assms SRD-healths)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: exp 1 2 utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
qed

show ?thesis
proof -
  have (P ;; (SRD X) ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]] =
    ((P[[true/$wait']] ;; (SRD X)[[true/$wait]] ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]] ∨
    (P[[false/$wait']] ;; (SRD X)[[false/$wait]] ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]])
    by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))

  also
  have ... = ((P[[true/$wait']] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))[[true/$wait]] ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]]
  ∨
    (P[[false/$wait']] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))[[false/$wait]] ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]])
    by (simp add: 1 2)

  also
  have ... = ((P[[true/$wait']] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))[[true/$wait]] ∨
    P[[false/$wait']] ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))[[false/$wait]]) ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]]
    by (simp add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))

  also have ... = (P ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (n+1))[[true,false/$ok,$wait]]
    by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst)
qed

qed
show (P ;; SRD(X) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) = (P ;; SRD(X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (Suc n))
  apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok])
  apply (simp-all add: a)
  apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait])
  apply (simp-all add: b c)
done
qed

```

10.3 Tail recursive fixed-point calculations

```

lemma mu-csp-form-1 [rdes]:
  fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, 'α) hrel-rsp
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows (μ X · P ;; SRD(X)) = (⊓ i · P ^ (i+1)) ;; Miracle
proof -
  have 1: Continuous (λX. P ;; SRD X)
    using SRD-Continuous
    by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: (λX. P ;; SRD X) ∈ [[id]]H → [[SRD]]H
    by (blast intro: funcsetI closure assms)
  with 1 2 have (μ X · P ;; SRD(X)) = (ν X · P ;; SRD(X))
    by (simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure)
  also have ... = (⊓ i. ((λX. P ;; SRD X) ^ i) false)
    by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 1 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = ((λX. P ;; SRD X) ^ 0) false ⊓ (⊓ i. ((λX. P ;; SRD X) ^ (i+1)) false)
    by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
  also have ... = (⊓ i. ((λX. P ;; SRD X) ^ (i+1)) false)

```

```

    by (simp)
  also have ... = ( $\bigwedge i. P \wedge (i+1)$ ) ;; Miracle
proof (rule SUP-cong,simp-all)
  fix i
  show  $P ;; SRD ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \wedge i)$  false =  $(P ;; P \wedge i) ;; Miracle$ 
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp, metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top)
  next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD SRD-power-Suc SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) seqr-assoc[THEN
sym] srdes-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed)
  qed
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\bigwedge i. P \wedge (i+1)$ ) ;; Miracle
    by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym])
  qed
qed

lemma mu-csp-form-NSRD [closure]:
  fixes  $P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, 'a) hrel-rsp$ 
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD  $P$  is Productive
  shows  $(\mu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X))$  is NSRD
  by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure)

lemma mu-csp-form-1':
  fixes  $P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, 'a) hrel-rsp$ 
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD  $P$  is Productive
  shows  $(\mu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X)) = (P ;; P^*) ;; Miracle$ 
proof -
  have  $(\mu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X)) = (\bigwedge i \in UNIV \cdot P ;; P \wedge i) ;; Miracle$ 
    by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure ustar-def)
  also have ... =  $(P ;; P^*) ;; Miracle$ 
    by (simp only: seq-UINF-distl[THEN sym], simp add: ustar-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

```

11 Reactive Design Programs

```

theory utp-rdes-prog
imports
  utp-rdes-normal
  utp-rdes-tactics
  utp-rdes-parallel
  utp-rdes-guarded
begin

```

11.1 State substitution

```

lemma srd-subst-RHS-tri-design [usubst]:

```

$\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \vdash (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger Q) \diamond (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger R))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *srd-subst-SRD-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$ *is SRD*

proof –

have $SRD(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)

qed

lemma *preR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$pre_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger pre_R(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$peri_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger peri_R(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$post_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger post_R(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *srd-subst-NSRD-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes *P is NSRD*

shows $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$ *is NSRD*

by (*rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes assms unrest*)

11.2 Assignment

definition *assigns-srd* :: $'s \text{ usubst} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\langle \cdot \rangle_R)$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $\text{assigns-srd } \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \wedge \$\Sigma_S' =_u \$\Sigma_S))$

syntax

-assign-srd :: $\text{svids} \Rightarrow \text{uexprs} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \quad ('(-) :=_R '(-))$

-assign-srd :: $\text{svids} \Rightarrow \text{uexprs} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \quad (\text{infixr} :=_R 90)$

translations

-assign-srd $xs \text{ vs} \Rightarrow \text{CONST assigns-srd } (-\text{mk-usubst } (\text{CONST id}) \text{ xs vs})$

-assign-srd $x \text{ v} \leq \text{CONST assigns-srd } (\text{CONST subst-upd } (\text{CONST id}) \text{ x v})$

-assign-srd $x \text{ v} \leq \text{-assign-srd } (-\text{spvar } x) \text{ v}$

$x, y :=_R u, v \leq \text{CONST assigns-srd } (\text{CONST subst-upd } (\text{CONST subst-upd } (\text{CONST id}) (\text{CONST svar } x) \text{ u}) (\text{CONST svar } y) \text{ v})$

lemma *assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des* [*rdes-def*]:

$\langle \sigma \rangle_R = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \langle \sigma \rangle_r)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *assigns-srd-NSRD-closed* [*closure*]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R$ *is NSRD*

by (*simp add: rdes-def closure unrest*)

lemma *preR-assigns-srd* [*rdes*]: $pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \text{true}_r$

by (*simp add: rdes-def rdes closure*)

lemma *periR-assigns-srd* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \text{false}$
 by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)

lemma *postR-assigns-srd* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \langle \sigma \rangle_r$
 by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure rpred)

11.3 Conditional

lemma *preR-cond-srea* [rdes]:
 $\text{pre}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{pre}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{pre}_R(Q))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-cond-srea* [rdes]:
 assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD*
 shows $\text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R(Q))$
proof –
 have $\text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = \text{peri}_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
 thus ?thesis
 by (rel-auto)
qed

lemma *postR-cond-srea* [rdes]:
 assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD*
 shows $\text{post}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(Q))$
proof –
 have $\text{post}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = \text{post}_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
 thus ?thesis
 by (rel-auto)
qed

lemma *NSRD-cond-srea* [closure]:
 assumes *P is NSRD Q is NSRD*
 shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is NSRD}$
proof (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
 show $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is SRD}$
 by (simp add: closure assms)
 show $\text{pre}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) \text{ is RC}$
proof –
 have $1:([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1(\text{true}) = ([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R P)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-neg-pre-unit aext-not assms(1) seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
 have $2:([b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R Q) ;; R1(\text{true}) = ([b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R Q)$
 by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
qed
 show $\$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)$
 by (simp add: rdes assms closure unrest)
qed

11.4 Guarded commands

definition *GuardedCommR* :: $'s \text{ cond} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} (- \rightarrow_R - [85, 86] 85)$ **where**
 $\text{gcmd-def}[rdes\text{-def}]: \text{GuardedCommR } g \ A = A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R \text{Miracle}$

lemma *gcmd-false*[simp]: ($\text{false} \rightarrow_R A$) = *Miracle*
unfolding *gcmd-def* **by** (*pred-auto*)

lemma *gcmd-true*[simp]: ($\text{true} \rightarrow_R A$) = *A*
unfolding *gcmd-def* **by** (*pred-auto*)

lemma *gcmd-SRD*:
assumes *A is SRD*
shows ($g \rightarrow_R A$) *is SRD*
by (*simp add: gcmd-def SRD-cond-srea assms sres-theory-continuous.weak.top-closed*)

lemma *gcmd-NSRD* [closure]:
assumes *A is NSRD*
shows ($g \rightarrow_R A$) *is NSRD*
by (*simp add: gcmd-def NSRD-cond-srea assms NSRD-Miracle*)

lemma *gcmd-Productive* [closure]:
assumes *A is NSRD A is Productive*
shows ($g \rightarrow_R A$) *is Productive*
by (*simp add: gcmd-def closure assms*)

lemma *gcmd-seq-distr*:
assumes *B is NSRD*
shows ($g \rightarrow_R A$) ;; $B = (g \rightarrow_R (A ;; B))$
by (*simp add: Miracle-left-zero NSRD-is-SRD assms cond-st-distr gcmd-def*)

lemma *gcmd-nondet-distr*:
assumes *A is NSRD B is NSRD*
shows ($g \rightarrow_R (A \sqcap B)$) = ($g \rightarrow_R A$) \sqcap ($g \rightarrow_R B$)
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

12 Generalised Alternation

definition *AlternateR*

$:: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a)$
hrel-rsp **where**
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: $\text{AlternateR } I \ g \ A \ B = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((g \ i) \rightarrow_R (A \ i))) \sqcap ((\neg (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g \ i)) \rightarrow_R B)$

definition *AlternateR-list*

$:: ('s \text{ upred} \times ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
AlternateR-list *xs P* = *AlternateR* {0..*length xs*} ($\lambda i. \text{map fst } xs \ ! \ i$) ($\lambda i. \text{map snd } xs \ ! \ i$) *P*

syntax

-altindR-els $:: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ - \in \ - \cdot \ - \rightarrow \ - \text{ else } - \text{ fi})$
-altindR $:: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ - \in \ - \cdot \ - \rightarrow \ - \text{ fi})$
-altgcommR-els $:: \text{gcomms} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ - \text{ else } - \text{ fi})$
-altgcommR $:: \text{gcomms} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ - \text{ fi})$

translations

$if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \text{ else } B \text{ fi} \rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR } I \ (\lambda i. g) \ (\lambda i. A) \ B$
 $if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \text{ fi} \rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR } I \ (\lambda i. g) \ (\lambda i. A) \ (\text{CONST } \text{Chaos})$

$\text{if}_R i \in I \cdot (g \ i) \rightarrow A \ \text{else} \ B \ \text{fi} \leftarrow \text{CONST AlternateR } I \ g \ (\lambda i. A) \ B$
 $\text{-altgcommR } cs \rightarrow \text{CONST AlternateR-list } cs \ (\text{CONST Chaos})$
 $\text{-altgcommR } (-\text{gcomm-show } cs) \leftarrow \text{CONST AlternateR-list } cs \ (\text{CONST Chaos})$
 $\text{-altgcommR-els } cs \ P \rightarrow \text{CONST AlternateR-list } cs \ P$
 $\text{-altgcommR-els } (-\text{gcomm-show } cs) \ P \leftarrow \text{CONST AlternateR-list } cs \ P$

lemma *AlternateR-NSRD-closed* [closure]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. A \ i \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}$
shows $(\text{if}_R i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ \text{fi}) \text{ is NSRD}$
proof (cases $I = \{\}$)
case *True*
then show ?thesis **by** (simp add: AlternateR-def assms)
next
case *False*
then show ?thesis **by** (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
qed

lemma *AlternateR-empty* [simp]:
 $(\text{if}_R i \in \{\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ \text{fi}) = B$
by (rdes-simp)

lemma *AlternateR-Productive* [closure]:
assumes
 $\bigwedge i. A \ i \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}$
 $\bigwedge i. A \ i \text{ is Productive } B \text{ is Productive}$
shows $(\text{if}_R i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ \text{fi}) \text{ is Productive}$
proof (cases $I = \{\}$)
case *True*
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: assms(4))
next
case *False*
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
qed

12.1 Choose

definition *choose-srd* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'t} :: \text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha\text{'}) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\text{choose}_R) \text{ where}$
 $[\text{upred-defs}, \text{rdes-def}]: \text{choose}_R = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \text{true}_r)$

lemma *preR-choose* [rdes]: $\text{pre}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{true}_r$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-choose* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{false}$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-choose* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{true}_r$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *choose-srd-SRD* [closure]: $\text{choose}_R \text{ is SRD}$
by (simp add: choose-srd-def closure unrest)

lemma *NSRD-choose-srd* [closure]: $\text{choose}_R \text{ is NSRD}$
by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)

12.2 State Abstraction

definition *state-srea* ::

's itself \Rightarrow (*'s, 't::trace, 'α, 'β*) *rel-rsp* \Rightarrow (*unit, 't, 'α, 'β*) *rel-rsp* **where**
[upred-defs]: *state-srea* *t P* = $\langle \exists \{ \$st, \$st' \} \cdot P \rangle_S$

syntax

-state-srea :: *type* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* (*state* - · - $[0, 200]$ 200)

translations

state 'a · P == *CONST state-srea TYPE('a) P*

lemma *R1-state-srea*: *R1*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · R1(P)*)
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-state-srea*: *R2c*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · R2c(P)*)
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-state-srea*: *R3h*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · R3h(P)*)
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-state-srea*: *RD1*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · RD1(P)*)
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-state-srea*: *RD2*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · RD2(P)*)
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD3-state-srea*: *RD3*(*state 'a · P*) = (*state 'a · RD3(P)*)
by (*rel-auto, blast+*)

lemma *SRD-state-srea [closure]*: *P is SRD* \Longrightarrow *state 'a · P is SRD*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1-state-srea R2c-state-srea R3h-state-srea RD1-state-srea RD2-state-srea RHS-def SRD-def*)

lemma *NSRD-state-srea [closure]*: *P is NSRD* \Longrightarrow *state 'a · P is NSRD*
by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-RD3 NSRD-is-SRD RD3-state-srea SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD SRD-state-srea*)

lemma *preR-state-srea [rdes]*: *pre_R*(*state 'a · P*) = $\langle \forall \{ \$st, \$st' \} \cdot \text{pre}_R(P) \rangle_S$
by (*simp add: state-srea-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-state-srea [rdes]*: *peri_R*(*state 'a · P*) = *state 'a · peri_R(P)*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-state-srea [rdes]*: *post_R*(*state 'a · P*) = *state 'a · post_R(P)*
by (*rel-auto*)

12.3 Assumptions

definition *AssumeR* :: *'s upred* \Rightarrow (*'s, 't::trace, 'α*) *hrel-rsp* ($[-]_R$) **where**
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: $[b]_R = b \rightarrow_R II_R$

lemma *AssumeR-NSRD [closure]*: $[b]_R$ *is NSRD*
by (*simp add: AssumeR-def NSRD-srd-skip gcnd-NSRD*)

lemma *AssumeR-true*: $[true]_R = II_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *AssumeR-false*: $[false]_R = \text{Miracle}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *AssumeR-seq*: $[b]_R ;; [c]_R = [b \wedge c]_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

12.4 While Loop

definition *WhileR* :: $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 't :: \text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ (*while_R* - *do* - *od*)
where

WhileR *b P* = $(\mu_R X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$

lemma *Continuous-const* [*closure*]: *Continuous* $(\lambda X. P)$
by *pred-auto*

lemma *Continuous-cond* [*closure*]:
assumes *Continuous F Continuous G*
shows *Continuous* $(\lambda X. F(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright G(X))$
using *assms* **by** (*pred-auto*)

lemma *Sup-power-false*:
fixes *F* :: $'a \text{ upred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ upred}$
shows $(\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} i) \text{ false}) = (\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{ false})$
proof –
have $(\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} i) \text{ false}) = (F \hat{\wedge} 0) \text{ false} \sqcap (\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{ false})$
by (*subst Sup-power-expand, simp*)
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{ false})$
by (*simp*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

theorem *WhileR-iter-form*:
assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows *while_R* *b do P od* = $(\bigcap i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R))$ (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)

proof –
have *1:Continuous* $(\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD } X)$
using *SRD-Continuous*
by (*clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp*)
have *2: Continuous* $(\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD } X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$
by (*simp add: 1 closure assms*)
have *?lhs* = $(\mu_R X \cdot P ;; X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$
by (*simp add: WhileR-def*)
also have $\dots = (\mu X \cdot P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$
by (*auto simp add: srd-mu-equiv closure assms*)
also have $\dots = (\nu X \cdot P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$
by (*auto simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure assms*)
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD } X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} i) \text{ false})$
by (*simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 2 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def*)
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD } X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{ false})$
by (*simp add: Sup-power-false*)
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R))$
proof (*rule SUP-cong, simp*)
fix *i*
show $((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD } X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{ false} = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R) \hat{\wedge} i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{II}_R)$

```

proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thm if-eq-cancel
  then show ?case
    by (simp, metis srdes-hcond-def srdes-theory-continuous.healthy-top)
next
  case (Suc i)
  show ?case
  proof -
    have (( $\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$ )  $\wedge \wedge$  (Suc i + 1)) false =
       $P ;; SRD ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge \wedge (i + 1))$  false  $\triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$ 
    by simp
    also have ... =  $P ;; SRD ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$ 
    using Suc.hyps by auto
    also have ... =  $P ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-cond-srea NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc
      NSRD-srd-skip SRD-cond-srea SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) power.power-eq-if seqr-left-unit srdes-theory-continuous.top-cl)
    also have ... =  $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge Suc i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$ 
    proof (induct i)
      case 0
      then show ?case
        by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip
          assms(1) cond-L6 cond-st-distr srdes-theory-continuous.top-closed)
      next
      case (Suc i)
      have 1:  $II_R ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i)$ 
      by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip assms(1))
      then show ?case
      proof -
        have  $\bigwedge u. (u ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge Suc i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R) =$ 
           $((u \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge Suc i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R))$ 
        by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps 1 cond-L6 cond-st-distr power.power.power-Suc)
        then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: RA1)
      qed
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

12.5 Iteration Construction

definition IterateR

$:: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
where IterateR A g P = $(\mu_R X \cdot (if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ fi} ;; X) \triangleleft (\bigvee i \in A \cdot g(i)) \triangleright_R II_R)$

syntax

-iter-srd :: ptnr \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (doR - \in - \cdot - \rightarrow - fi)

translations

-iter-srd x A g P \Rightarrow CONST IterateR A ($\lambda x. g$) ($\lambda x. P$)
 -iter-srd x A g P \Leftarrow CONST IterateR A ($\lambda x. g$) ($\lambda x'. P$)

lemma *IterateR-empty*:
 $do_R i \in \{\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ fi} = II_R$
by (*simp add: IterateR-def srd-mu-equiv closure rpred gfp-const*)

12.6 Substitution Laws

lemma *srd-subst-Chaos* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S \text{Chaos} = \text{Chaos}$
by (*rdes-simp*)

lemma *srd-subst-Miracle* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$
by (*rdes-simp*)

lemma *srd-subst-skip* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S II_R = \langle \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *srd-subst-assigns* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

12.7 Algebraic Laws

theorem *assigns-srd-id*: $\langle id \rangle_R = II_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-comp*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-Miracle*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-Chaos*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \text{Chaos} = \text{Chaos}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-cond* : $\langle \sigma \rangle_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright_s \varrho \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-left-seq*:
assumes *P is NSRD*
shows $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; P = \sigma \dagger_S P$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms*)

lemma *AlternateR-seq-distr*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. A \ i \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD } C \text{ is NSRD}$
shows $(if_R i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \text{ else } B \text{ fi}) ;; C = (if_R i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i ;; C \text{ else } B ;; C \text{ fi})$
proof (*cases I = \{\}*)
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp*)
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: AlternateR-def upred-semiring.distrib-right seq-UINF-distr gcmd-seq-distr assms(3)*)
qed

lemma *AlternateR-is-cond-srea*:
assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
shows $(if_R i \in \{a\} \cdot g \rightarrow A \text{ else } B \text{ fi}) = (A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R B)$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

lemma *AlternateR-Chaos*:
 $if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow Chaos \text{ fi} = Chaos$
by (*cases* $A = \{\}$, *simp*, *rdes-eq*)

lemma *choose-srd-par*:
 $choose_R \parallel_R choose_R = choose_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

12.8 Lifting designs to reactive designs

definition *des-rea-lift* :: $'s \text{ hrel-des} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\mathbf{R}_D)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S)))$

definition *des-rea-drop* :: $('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow 's \text{ hrel-des } (\mathbf{D}_R)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \mathbf{D}_R(P) = \lfloor (pre_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$tr' \rrbracket \vdash_v \$st \rfloor_{S<} \vdash_n \lfloor (post_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$tr' \rrbracket \vdash_v \{\$st, \$st'\} \rfloor_S$

lemma *ndesign-rea-lift-inverse*: $\mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{R}_D(p \vdash_n Q)) = p \vdash_n Q$
apply (*simp add: des-rea-lift-def des-rea-drop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design*)
apply (*simp add: R1-def R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

lemma *ndesign-rea-lift-injective*:
assumes P is \mathbf{N} Q is \mathbf{N} $\mathbf{R}_D P = \mathbf{R}_D Q$ (**is** $?RP(P) = ?RQ(Q)$)
shows $P = Q$

proof –
have $?RP(\lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(P)) = ?RQ(\lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(Q))$
by (*simp add: ndesign-form assms*)
hence $\lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(P) = \lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(Q)$
by (*metis ndesign-rea-lift-inverse*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: ndesign-form assms*)
qed

lemma *des-rea-lift-closure* [*closure*]: $\mathbf{R}_D(P)$ is SRD
by (*simp add: des-rea-lift-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *preR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = R1(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = (false \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $post_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = ((true \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\neg \$tr \leq_u \$tr')) \Rightarrow (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *ndes-rea-lift-closure* [*closure*]:


```

assumes  $P$  is N
shows  $\mathbf{R}_D(P)$  is NSRD
proof –
  obtain  $p$   $Q$  where  $P$ :  $P = (p \vdash_n Q)$ 
    by (metis H1-H3-commute H1-H3-is-normal-design H1-idem Healthy-def assms)
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule NSRD-intro)
    apply (simp-all add: closure rdes unrest P)
    apply (rel-auto)
    done
qed

```

lemma *R-D-mono*:

```

assumes  $P$  is H  $Q$  is H  $P \sqsubseteq Q$ 
shows  $\mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$ 
apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def)
apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
  apply (auto intro: H1-H2-refines assms aext-mono)
  apply (rel-auto)
apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) aext-mono assms(3) design-post-choice
  semilattice-sup-class.sup.orderE utp-pred-laws.inf.coboundedI1 utp-pred-laws.inf commute utp-pred-laws.sup.order-iff)
done

```

Homomorphism laws

lemma *R-D-Miracle*:

```

 $\mathbf{R}_D(\top_D) = \text{Miracle}$ 
by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)

```

lemma *R-D-Chaos*:

```

 $\mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \text{Chaos}$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $\mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \mathbf{R}_D(\text{false} \vdash_r \text{true})$ 
  by (rel-auto)
also have ... =  $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$ 
  by (simp add: Chaos-def des-rea-lift-def alpha)
also have ... =  $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{true})$ 
  by (rel-auto)
also have ... = Chaos
  by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
finally show ?thesis .

```

qed

lemma *R-D-inf*:

```

 $\mathbf{R}_D(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$ 
by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)

```

lemma *R-D-cond*:

```

 $\mathbf{R}_D(P \triangleleft [b]_{D<} \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$ 
by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)

```

lemma *R-D-seq-ndesign*:

```

 $\mathbf{R}_D(p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(p_2 \vdash_n Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_D((p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; (p_2 \vdash_n Q_2))$ 
apply (rule antisym)
apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)

```

```

using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
apply (rel-auto)
apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rel-auto)
apply (rel-auto)
done

```

```

lemma R-D-seq:
  assumes P is N Q is N
  shows  $\mathbf{R}_D(P) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P ;; Q)$ 
  by (metis R-D-seq-ndesign assms ndesign-form)

```

These laws are applicable only when there is no further alphabet extension

```

lemma R-D-skip:
   $\mathbf{R}_D(\Pi_D) = (\Pi_R :: ('s, 't::trace, unit) \text{ hrel-rsp})$ 
  apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+

```

```

lemma R-D-assigns:
   $\mathbf{R}_D(\langle \sigma \rangle_D) = (\langle \sigma \rangle_R :: ('s, 't::trace, unit) \text{ hrel-rsp})$ 
  by (simp add: assigns-d-def des-rea-lift-def alpha assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des, rel-auto)

```

end

13 Instantaneous Reactive Designs

```

theory utp-rdes-instant
  imports utp-rdes-prog
begin

```

```

definition ISRD1 ::  $('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$  where
  [upred-defs]:  $\text{ISRD1}(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$ 

```

```

definition ISRD ::  $('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$  where
  [upred-defs]:  $\text{ISRD} = \text{ISRD1} \circ \text{NSRD}$ 

```

```

lemma ISRD1-idem:  $\text{ISRD1}(\text{ISRD1}(P)) = \text{ISRD1}(P)$ 
  by (rel-auto)

```

```

lemma ISRD1-monotonic:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow \text{ISRD1}(P) \sqsubseteq \text{ISRD1}(Q)$ 
  by (rel-auto)

```

```

lemma ISRD1-RHS-design-form:
  assumes  $\$ok' \nmid P \ \$ok' \nmid Q \ \$ok' \nmid R$ 
  shows  $\text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash \text{false} \diamond (R \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$ 
  using assms by (simp add: ISRD1-def choose-srd-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest, rel-auto)

```

```

lemma ISRD1-form:
   $\text{ISRD1}(\text{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$ 
  by (simp add: ISRD1-RHS-design-form SRD-as-reactive-tri-design unrest)

```

```

lemma ISRD1-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
   $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; R \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash \text{false} \diamond (R \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$ 

```

by (simp add: ISRD1-def rdes-def closure rpred)

lemma *ISRD-intro*:

assumes P is NSRD $\text{peri}_R(P) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R(P)$
 shows P is ISRD

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$ is ISRD1
 apply (simp add: Healthy-def rdes-def closure assms(1–2))
 using assms(3) least-zero apply (rel-blast)
 done
 hence P is ISRD1
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure assms(1))
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-comp assms(1))

qed

lemma *ISRD1-rdes-intro*:

assumes P is RR Q is RR $(\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash \text{false} \diamond Q)$ is ISRD1
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def assms closure unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)

lemma *ISRD-rdes-intro [closure]*:

assumes P is RC Q is RR $(\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash \text{false} \diamond Q)$ is ISRD
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD-def closure Healthy-if ISRD1-rdes-def assms unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)

lemma *ISRD-implies-ISRD1*:

assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is ISRD1
proof –
 have $\text{ISRD}(P)$ is ISRD1
 by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-def ISRD1-idem)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)

qed

lemma *ISRD-implies-SRD*:

assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is SRD
proof –
 have $1:\text{ISRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true} \wedge R1 \text{ true}) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$
 by (simp add: NSRD-form ISRD1-def ISRD-def RHS-tri-design-par rdes-def unrest closure)
 moreover have ... is SRD
 by (simp add: closure unrest)
 ultimately have $\text{ISRD}(P)$ is SRD
 by (simp)
 with assms show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)

qed

lemma *ISRD-implies-NSRD [closure]*:

assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is NSRD

proof –

have $1: \text{ISRD}(P) = \text{ISRD1}(\text{RD3}(\text{SRD}(P)))$
by (*simp add: ISRD-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2*)
also have $\dots = \text{ISRD1}(\text{RD3}(P))$
by (*simp add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD Healthy-if*)
also have $\dots = \text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false}_h \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P))$
by (*simp add: RD3-def, subst SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma, simp-all add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false}_h \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-par ISRD1-def unrest choose-srd-def rpred closure ISRD-implies-SRD assms*)
also have $\dots = (\dots ;; II_R)$
by (*rdes-simp, simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms unrest ISRD-implies-SRD wp rpred wp-rea-false-RC*)
also have \dots *is* RD3
by (*simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def segr-assoc, simp add: NSRD-right-unit closure*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD Healthy-if assms ISRD-implies-SRD*)
qed

lemma *ISRD-form*:

assumes P *is* ISRD
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr)) = P$

proof –

have $P = \text{ISRD1}(P)$
by (*simp add: ISRD-implies-ISRD1 assms Healthy-if*)
also have $\dots = \text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design ISRD-implies-SRD assms*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$
by (*simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def closure assms*)
finally show *?thesis* ..
qed

lemma *ISRD-elim* [*RD-elim*]:

$\llbracket P \text{ is ISRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$
by (*simp add: ISRD-form*)

lemma *skip-srd-ISRD* [*closure*]: II_R *is* ISRD

by (*rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure*)

lemma *assigns-srd-ISRD* [*closure*]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R$ *is* ISRD

by (*rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure, rel-auto*)

lemma *seq-ISRD-closed*:

assumes P *is* ISRD Q *is* ISRD
shows $P ;; Q$ *is* ISRD
apply (*insert assms*)
apply (*erule ISRD-elim*)
apply (*simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest*)
apply (*rule ISRD-rdes-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: rdes-def closure assms unrest*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

lemma *ISRD-Miracle-right-zero*:

assumes P *is* ISRD $\text{pre}_R(P) = \text{true}_r$

```
shows  $P$  ;;  $Miracle = Miracle$   
by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
```

```
end
```

14 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rea-designs  
imports  
  utp-rdes-healths  
  utp-rdes-designs  
  utp-rdes-triples  
  utp-rdes-normal  
  utp-rdes-contracts  
  utp-rdes-tactics  
  utp-rdes-parallel  
  utp-rdes-prog  
  utp-rdes-instant  
  utp-rdes-guarded  
begin end
```

References

- [1] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233>.
- [2] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [3] M. V. M. Oliveira. *Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science - University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.