# A Shallow Model of the UTP in Isabelle/HOL

# Abderrahmane Feliachi Simon Foster Marie-Claude Gaudel Burkhart Wolff Frank Zeyda

# February 1, 2016

# Contents

1	UTP variables	2
	1.1 Deep UTP variables	 . 5
	1.2 Cardinalities	 . 5
	1.3 Injection functions	 . 6
	1.4 Deep variables	 . 8
2	UTP expressions	10
3	Unrestriction	<b>1</b> 4
4	Substitution	15
	4.1 Substitution definitions	 . 15
	4.2 Substitution laws	
5	Lifting expressions	18
	5.1 Lifting definitions	 . 18
	5.2 Lifting laws	. 19
6	Alphabetised Predicates	19
	6.1 Predicate syntax	 . 20
	6.2 Predicate operators	 . 21
	6.3 Proof support	 . 23
	6.4 Unrestriction Laws	
	6.5 Substitution Laws	
	6.6 Predicate Laws	 . 25
	6.7 Quantifier lifting	 . 28
7	Alphabetised relations	28
	7.1 Unrestriction Laws	 . 30
	7.2 Substitution laws	 . 31
	7.3 Relation laws	 . 31
	7.4 Converse laws	 . 34
	7.5 Weakest precondition calculus	 . 36
8	UTP Theories	37
9	Example UTP theory: Boyle's laws	37

<b>10</b>	Designs	38
	10.1 Definitions	
	10.2 Design laws	40
	10.3 H1: No observation is allowed before initiation	42
	10.4 H2: A specification cannot require non-termination	44
	10.5 H3: The design assumption is a precondition	46
	10.6 H4: Feasibility	48
11	Concurrent programming	48
12	Reactive processes	49
	12.1 Preliminaries	49

#### 1 UTP variables

```
theory utp	ext{-}var
imports
../contrib/Kleene	ext{-}Algebras/Quantales}
../utils/cardinals
../utils/Continuum
../utils/finite	ext{-}bijection
\sim	ext{-}/src/HOL/Library/Prefix-Order}
\sim	ext{-}/src/HOL/Library/Adhoc-Overloading}
\sim	ext{-}/src/HOL/Library/Monad-Syntax}
\sim	ext{-}/src/HOL/Library/Countable}
\sim	ext{-}/src/HOL/Eisbach/Eisbach}
utp	ext{-}parser	ext{-}utils
begin
```

This theory describes the foundational structure of UTP variables, upon which the rest of our model rests. We start by defining alphabets, which is this shallow model are simple represented as types, though by convention usually a record type where each field corresponds to a variable.

```
type-synonym '\alpha alphabet = '\alpha
```

UTP variables carry two type parameters, 'a that corresponds to the variable's type and ' $\alpha$  that corresponds to alphabet of which the variable is a type. There is a thus a strong link between alphabets and variables in this model. Variable are characterized by two functions, var-lookup and var-update, that respectively lookup and update the variable's value in some alphabetised state space. These functions can readily be extracted from an Isabelle record type.

```
record ('a, '\alpha) uvar = 
var-lookup :: '\alpha \Rightarrow 'a 
var-update :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow '\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha
```

The var-assign function uses the var-update function of a variable to update its value.

```
abbreviation var-assign :: ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow '\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha
where var-assign f v \equiv var-update f (\lambda - v)
```

The VAR function is a syntactic translations that allows to retrieve a variable given its name, assuming the variable is a field in a record.

```
syntax -VAR :: id \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) \ uvar \ (VAR -)
translations VAR \ x => (| \ var-lookup = x, \ var-update = -update-name \ x |)
```

In order to allow reasoning about variables generically, we introduce a locale called *uvar*, that axiomatises properties of a valid variable, that should be satisfied for any record field. When a UTP alphabet record is created it will be necessary to prove these properties for each variable field, though this will always be automatic. The locale effectively describes the relationship between the functions *var-update* and *var-lookup*, and thus prevents one from having arbitrary functions as variables. Moreover, these properties allow us to prove several important UTP laws, such as the assignment laws in the theory of alphabetised relations.

```
locale uvar =
fixes x :: ('a, 'r) uvar

— Application of two updates should correspond to the composition of update functions assumes var-update-comp: var-update x f (var-update x g \sigma) = var-update x (f \circ g) \sigma

— Looking a variable up after updating it corresponds to updating the variable's prior valuation and var-update-lookup: var-lookup x (var-update var) = var var-lookup var0 = var0 declare var-update-eta: var-update var0 (var-lookup var0) = var0 declare var0 var-update-lookup var0 var0 declare var0 var0 var0 var0 declare var0 var0 var0 var0 var0 declare var0 va
```

In addition to defining the validity of variable, we also need to show how two variables are related. Since variables are pairs of functions and have no identifying name that we can reason about, and moreover will often have different types, we cannot use the usual HOL inequalities to reason about them. Thus we define a weaker notion of inequality called *independence* – two variables are independent if their update functions commute. That is to say, updates to the variables do not have any effect on each other. This assumes they are also valid variables.

```
definition uvar-indep :: ('a, 'r) uvar \Rightarrow ('b, 'r) uvar \Rightarrow bool (infix \bowtie 50) where x \bowtie y \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \ g \ \sigma. \ var-update x \ f \ (var-update y \ g \ \sigma) = var-update y \ g \ (var-update x \ f \ \sigma))
```

We can now demonstrate some useful properties about the variable independence relation.

```
lemma uvar-indep-sym: x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow y \bowtie x
by (simp \ add: \ uvar-indep-def)
lemma uvar-indep-comm:
assumes x \bowtie y
shows var-update x \ f \ (var-update y \ g \ \sigma) = var-update y \ g \ (var-update x \ f \ \sigma)
using assms by (simp \ add: \ uvar-indep-def)
```

The following property states that looking up the value of a variable is unaffected by an update to an independent variable.

```
lemma uvar \cdot indep \cdot lookup \cdot upd [simp]:
   assumes uvar \ x \ \bowtie \ y
   shows var \cdot lookup \ x \ (var \cdot update \ y \ f \ \sigma) = var \cdot lookup \ x \ \sigma

proof -
   have var \cdot lookup \ x \ (var \cdot update \ y \ f \ \sigma) = var \cdot lookup \ x \ (var \cdot update \ y \ f \ (var \cdot update \ x \ (\lambda \cdot . \ var \cdot lookup \ x \ \sigma))
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(1))
   also have \dots = var \cdot lookup \ x \ (var \cdot update \ x \ (\lambda \cdot . \ var \cdot lookup \ x \ \sigma) \ (var \cdot update \ y \ f \ \sigma))
   using assms(2) by (auto \ simp \ add: uvar \cdot indep \cdot def)
   also have \dots = var \cdot lookup \ x \ \sigma
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(1))
   finally show ?thesis.
```

We also define some lifting functions for variables to create input and output variables. These simply lift the alphabet to a tuple type since relations will ultimately be defined to a tuple alphabet.

```
definition in\text{-}var :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) \ uvar \ \mathbf{where} in\text{-}var \ x = (|var\text{-}lookup| = var\text{-}lookup \ x \circ fst, \ var\text{-}update = (\lambda \ f \ (A, \ A'). \ (var\text{-}update \ x \ f \ A, \ A')) \ )
definition out\text{-}var :: ('a, '\beta) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) \ uvar \ \mathbf{where}
out\text{-}var \ x = (|var\text{-}lookup| = var\text{-}lookup \ x \circ snd, \ var\text{-}update = (\lambda \ f \ (A, \ A'). \ (A, \ var\text{-}update \ x \ f \ A')) \ )
```

We show that lifted input and output variables are both valid variables, and that input and output variables are always independent.

```
lemma in-var-uvar [simp]:
 assumes uvar x
 shows uvar (in-var x)
 using assms
 by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: in-var-def)
lemma out-var-uvar [simp]:
 assumes uvar x
 shows uvar (out\text{-}var x)
 using assms
 by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: out-var-def)
lemma in-out-indep [simp]:
 in\text{-}var \ x \bowtie out\text{-}var \ y
 by (simp add: uvar-indep-def in-var-def out-var-def)
lemma out-in-indep [simp]:
 out-var x \bowtie in-var y
 by (simp add: uvar-indep-def in-var-def out-var-def)
We also define some lookup abstraction simplifications.
lemma var-lookup-in [simp]: var-lookup (in-var x) (A, A') = var-lookup x A
 by (simp add: in-var-def)
lemma var-lookup-out [simp]: var-lookup (out-var x) (A, A') = var-lookup x A'
 by (simp add: out-var-def)
lemma var-update-in [simp]: var-update (in-var x) f(A, A') = (var-update \ x f A, A')
 by (simp add: in-var-def)
lemma var-update-out [simp]: var-update (out-var x) f(A, A') = (A, var-update x f A')
 by (simp add: out-var-def)
```

Variables can also be used to effectively define sets of variables. Here we define the the universal alphabet  $(\Sigma)$  to be a variable with identity for both the lookup and update functions. Effectively this is just a function directly on the alphabet type.

```
definition univ-alpha :: ('\alpha, '\alpha) uvar (\Sigma) where univ-alpha = (|var-lookup = id, var-update = id |)
```

The following operator attempts to combine two variables to produce a unified projection update pair. I hoped this could be used to define alphabet subsets by allowing a finite composition of variables. However, I don't think it works as the update function can't really be split into it's

constituent parts if, e.g. the update of the first component depends on the second etc. You really want to update the two fields in parallel, but I don't think this is possible.

```
definition uvar\text{-}comp :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b, '\alpha) \ uvar \ (\textbf{infix} \circ_v \ 35) \ \textbf{where} uvar\text{-}comp \ x \ y = (| var\text{-}lookup = \lambda \ A. \ (var\text{-}lookup \ x \ A, \ var\text{-}lookup \ y \ A) , var\text{-}update = \lambda \ f. \ var\text{-}update \ x \ (\lambda \ a. \ fst \ (f \ (a, \ undefined))) \circ var\text{-}update \ y \ (\lambda \ b. \ snd \ (f \ (undefined, \ b))) \ )
```

nonterminal svar

#### syntax

```
-svar :: id \Rightarrow svar (- [999] 999)

-spvar :: id \Rightarrow svar (&- [999] 999)

-sinvar :: id \Rightarrow svar ($- [999] 999)

-soutvar :: id \Rightarrow svar ($-' [999] 999)
```

#### translations

```
-svar \ x => x

-spvar \ x => x

-sinvar \ x == CONST \ in-var \ x

-soutvar \ x == CONST \ out-var \ x
```

end

#### 1.1 Deep UTP variables

theory utp-dvar imports utp-var begin

UTP variables represented by record fields are shallow, nameless entities. They are fundamentally static in nature, since a new record field can only be introduced definitionally and cannot be otherwise arbitrarily created. They are nevertheless very useful as proof automation is excellent, and they can fully make use of the Isabelle type system. However, for constructs like alphabet extension that can introduce new variables they are inadequate. As a result we also introduce a notion of deep variables to complement them. A deep variable is not a record field, but rather a key within a store map that records the values of all deep variables. As such the Isabelle type system is agnostic of them, and the creation of a new deep variable does not change the portion of the alphabet specified by the type system.

In order to create a type of stores (or bindings) for variables, we must fix a universe for the variable valuations. This is the major downside of deep variables – they cannot have any type, but only a type whose cardinality is up to  $\mathfrak{c}$ , the cardinality of the continuum. This is why we need both deep and shallow variables, as the latter are unrestricted in this respect. Each deep variable will therefore specify the cardinality of the type it possesses.

#### 1.2 Cardinalities

We first fix a datatype representing all possible cardinalities for a deep variable. These include finite cardinalities,  $\aleph_0$  (countable), and  $\mathfrak{c}$  (uncountable up to the continuum).

```
datatype ucard = fin \ nat \mid aleph0 \ (\aleph_0) \mid cont \ (c)
```

Our universe is simply the set of natural numbers; this is sufficient for all types up to cardinality c.

```
type-synonym \ uuniv = nat \ set
```

We introduce a function that gives the set of values within our universe of the given cardinality. Since a cardinality of 0 is no proper type, we use finite cardinality 0 to mean cardinality 1, 1 to mean 2 etc.

```
fun uuniv :: ucard \Rightarrow uuniv set (\mathcal{U}'(-')) where \mathcal{U}(fin\ n) = \{\{x\} \mid x.\ x \leq n\} \mid \mathcal{U}(\aleph_0) = \{\{x\} \mid x.\ True\} \mid \mathcal{U}(c) = UNIV
```

We also define the following function that gives the cardinality of a type within the *continuum* type class.

```
definition ucard-of :: 'a::continuum itself \Rightarrow ucard where
ucard-of x = (if (finite (UNIV :: 'a set))
               then fin(card(UNIV :: 'a set) - 1)
             else if (countable (UNIV :: 'a set))
               then \aleph_0
             else c)
syntax
 -ucard :: type \Rightarrow ucard (UCARD'(-'))
translations
  UCARD('a) == CONST \ ucard-of \ (TYPE('a))
lemma ucard-of-finite [simp]:
 finite\ (UNIV: 'a::continuum\ set) \Longrightarrow UCARD('a) = fin(card(UNIV: 'a\ set) - 1)
 by (simp add: ucard-of-def)
lemma ucard-of-countably-infinite [simp]:
  \llbracket countable(UNIV :: 'a::continuum set); infinite(UNIV :: 'a set) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow UCARD('a) = \aleph_0
 by (simp add: ucard-of-def)
lemma ucard-of-uncountably-infinite [simp]:
  uncountable\ (UNIV::'a\ set) \Longrightarrow UCARD('a::continuum) = c
 apply (simp add: ucard-of-def)
 using countable-finite apply blast
done
```

#### 1.3 Injection functions

```
definition uinject-finite :: 'a::finite \Rightarrow uuniv where uinject-finite x = \{to\text{-}nat\text{-}fin\ x\}

definition uinject-aleph0 :: 'a::\{countable, infinite\} \Rightarrow uuniv where uinject-aleph0 x = \{to\text{-}nat\text{-}bij\ x\}

definition uinject-continuum :: 'a::\{continuum, infinite\} \Rightarrow uuniv where uinject-continuum x = to\text{-}nat\text{-}set\text{-}bij\ x}

definition uinject :: 'a::continuum \Rightarrow uuniv where uinject x = (if\ (finite\ (UNIV\ :: 'a\ set))

then \{to\text{-}nat\text{-}fin\ x\}
else if (countable\ (UNIV\ :: 'a\ set)\ x\}
```

```
else to-nat-set x)
definition uproject :: uuniv \Rightarrow 'a::continuum where
uproject = inv \ uinject
lemma uinject-finite:
 finite (UNIV :: 'a::continuum set) \implies uinject = (\lambda x :: 'a. {to-nat-fin x})
 by (rule ext, auto simp add: uinject-def)
lemma uinject-uncountable:
 uncountable\ (UNIV::'a::continuum\ set) \Longrightarrow (uinject::'a \Rightarrow uuniv) = to-nat-set
 by (rule ext, auto simp add: uinject-def countable-finite)
lemma card-finite-lemma:
 assumes finite (UNIV :: 'a set)
 shows x < card (UNIV :: 'a set) \longleftrightarrow x \leq card (UNIV :: 'a set) - Suc \theta
proof -
 have card (UNIV :: 'a set) > 0
   by (simp add: assms finite-UNIV-card-ge-0)
 thus ?thesis
   by linarith
qed
This is a key theorem that shows that the injection function provides a bijection between any
continuum type and the subuniverse of types with a matching cardinality.
lemma uinject-bij:
 bij-betw (uinject :: 'a::continuum \Rightarrow uuniv) UNIV \mathcal{U}(UCARD('a))
proof (cases finite (UNIV :: 'a set))
 case True thus ?thesis
   apply (auto simp add: uinject-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def image-def card-finite-lemma[THEN sym])
   apply (auto simp add: inj-eq to-nat-fin-inj to-nat-fin-bounded)
   using to-nat-fin-ex apply blast
 done
 next
 case False note infinite = this thus ?thesis
 proof (cases countable (UNIV :: 'a set))
   case True thus ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: uinject-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def infinite image-def card-finite-lemma THEN
sym])
     apply (meson image-to-nat-on infinite surj-def)
   done
   next
   case False note uncount = this thus ?thesis
     apply (simp add: uinject-uncountable)
     using to-nat-set-bij apply blast
   done
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma uinject-card [simp]: uinject (x :: 'a :: continuum) \in \mathcal{U}(UCARD('a))
 by (metis bij-betw-def rangeI uinject-bij)
lemma uinject-inv [simp]:
 uproject (uinject x) = x
 by (metis UNIV-I bij-betw-def inv-into-f-f uinject-bij uproject-def)
```

```
lemma uproject-inv [simp]:
  x \in \mathcal{U}(UCARD('a::continuum)) \Longrightarrow uinject ((uproject :: nat set \Rightarrow 'a) \ x) = x
  by (metis bij-betw-inv-into-right uinject-bij uproject-def)
```

#### Deep variables 1.4

A deep variable name stores both a name and the cardinality of the type it points to

```
record dname =
 dname-name :: string
 dname\text{-}card :: ucard
```

A vstore is a function mapping deep variable names to corresponding values in the universe, such that the deep variables specified cardinality is matched by the value it points to.

```
typedef vstore = \{f :: dname \Rightarrow uuniv. \forall x. f(x) \in \mathcal{U}(dname\text{-}card x)\}
 apply (rule-tac x=\lambda x. \{\theta\} in exI)
 apply (auto)
 apply (rename-tac x)
 apply (case-tac dname-card x)
 apply (simp-all)
done
{\bf setup\text{-}lifting}\ type\text{-}definition\text{-}vstore
typedef ('a::continuum) dvar = \{x :: dname. dname-card x = UCARD('a)\}
 by (auto, meson dname.select-convs(2))
setup-lifting type-definition-dvar
lift-definition mk-dvar :: string \Rightarrow ('a::continuum) dvar
is \lambda n. (| dname-name = n, dname-card = UCARD('a) |)
 by auto
lift-definition dvar-name :: 'a::continuum dvar \Rightarrow string is dname-name.
lift-definition dvar-card :: 'a::continuum dvar \Rightarrow ucard is dname-card.
lift-definition vstore-lookup :: ('a::continuum) dvar \Rightarrow vstore \Rightarrow 'a
is \lambda x s. (uproject :: uuniv \Rightarrow 'a) (s(x)).
lift-definition vstore-put :: ('a::continuum) dvar \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow vstore \Rightarrow vstore
is \lambda (x :: dname) (v :: 'a) f . f(x := uinject v)
 by (auto)
definition vstore-upd :: ('a::continuum) dvar \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow vstore \Rightarrow vstore
where vstore-upd x f s = vstore-put x (f (<math>vstore-lookup x s)) s
lemma vstore-upd-comp [simp]:
  vstore-upd x f (vstore-upd x g s) = vstore-upd x (f \circ g) s
 by (simp add: vstore-upd-def, transfer, simp)
lemma vstore-lookup-upd [simp]: vstore-lookup x (vstore-upd x f s) = f (vstore-lookup x s)
 by (simp add: vstore-upd-def, transfer, simp)
lemma vstore-upd-eta [simp]: vstore-upd x (\lambda -. vstore-lookup x s) s = s
 apply (simp add: vstore-upd-def, transfer, auto)
```

```
apply (metis Domainp-iff dvar.domain fun-upd-idem-iff uproject-inv)
done
lemma vstore-lookup-put-diff-var [simp]:
 assumes dvar-name x \neq dvar-name y
 shows vstore-lookup x (<math>vstore-put y v s) = vstore-lookup x s
 using assms by (transfer, auto)
lemma vstore-put-commute:
 assumes dvar-name x \neq dvar-name y
 shows vstore-put \ x \ u \ (vstore-put \ y \ v \ s) = <math>vstore-put \ y \ v \ (vstore-put \ x \ u \ s)
 using assms
 by (transfer, fastforce)
The vst class provides an interface for extracting a variable store from a state space. For now,
the state-space is limited to countably infinite types, though we will in the future build a more
expressive universe.
class vst =
 fixes get-vstore :: 'a \Rightarrow vstore
 and upd\text{-}vstore :: (vstore \Rightarrow vstore) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
 assumes get-upd-vstore [simp]: get-vstore (upd-vstore f s) = f (get-vstore s)
 and upd-vstore-comp [simp]: upd-vstore f (upd-vstore g s) = upd-vstore (f \circ g) s
 and upd-vstore-eta [simp]: upd-vstore (\lambda -. get-vstore s) s=s
 and upd-store-parm: upd-vstore f s = upd-vstore (\lambda - f (get-vstore s)) s
definition dvar-lift :: 'a::continuum dvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha::vst) uvar (-\(\tau\) [999] 999)
where dvar-lift\ x = (var-lookup = \lambda\ v.\ vstore-lookup\ x\ (get-vstore\ v)
                  , var\text{-}update = \lambda f s. upd\text{-}vstore (vstore\text{-}upd x f) s
lemma vstore-upd-compose [simp]: vstore-upd x f \circ vstore-upd x g = vstore-upd x (f \circ g)
 by (rule ext, simp add: vstore-upd-def, transfer, auto)
lemma uvar-dvar: uvar (x\uparrow)
 apply (unfold-locales, simp-all add: dvar-lift-def)
 apply (subst upd-store-parm)
 apply (simp)
done
Deep variables with different names are independent
lemma dvar-indep-diff-name:
 assumes dvar-name x \neq dvar-name y
 shows x \uparrow \bowtie y \uparrow
proof -
 from assms have \bigwedge fg. vstore-upd x f \circ vstore-upd y g = vstore-upd y g \circ vstore-upd x f
   apply (auto simp add: comp-def vstore-upd-def)
   apply (rule ext, subst vstore-put-commute, auto)
 done
thus ?thesis
   by (auto simp add: uvar-indep-def dvar-name-def dvar-card-def dvar-lift-def vstore-upd-def)
qed
A basic record structure for vstores
record \ vstore-d =
```

```
vstore :: vstore
```

```
instantiation vstore-d-ext :: (type) vst
begin
definition [simp]: get-vstore-vstore-d-ext = vstore
definition [simp]: upd-vstore-vstore-d-ext = vstore-update
instance
by (intro-classes, simp-all)
end
end
```

### 2 UTP expressions

```
theory utp-expr
imports
utp-var
utp-dvar
begin
```

Before building the predicate model, we will build a model of expressions that generalise alphabetised predicates. Expressions are represented semantically as mapping from the alphabet to the expression's type. This general model will allow us to unify all constructions under one type. All definitions in the file are given using the *lifting* package.

Since we have two kinds of variable (deep and shallow) in the model, we will also need two versions of each construct that takes a variable. We make use of adhoc-overloading to ensure the correct instance is automatically chosen, within the user noticing a difference.

```
typedef ('t, '\alpha) uexpr = UNIV :: ('\alpha alphabet \Rightarrow 't) set ..

notation Rep-uexpr ([-]_e)

lemma uexpr-eq-iff:
e = f \longleftrightarrow (\forall b. [e]_e \ b = [f]_e \ b)
using Rep-uexpr-inject[of e f, THEN sym] by (auto)

setup-lifting type-definition-uexpr

A variable expression corresponds to the lookup function of the variable. lift-definition var :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) uexpr is var-lookup. declare [[coercion-enabled]]

declare [[coercion var]]

definition dvar-exp :: 't::continuum dvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha::vst) uexpr where dvar-exp x = var (dvar-lift x)
```

We can then define specific cases for input and output variables, that simply perform tuple lifting. We also have variants for deep variables.

```
definition iuvar :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr

where iuvar x = var (in-var x)

definition ouvar :: ('t, '\beta) uvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr

where ouvar x = var (out-var x)
```

```
definition idvar :: 't::continuum \ dvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha::vst \times '\beta) \ uexpr where idvar \ x = var \ (in-var \ (dvar-lift \ x))

definition odvar :: 't::continuum \ dvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha \times '\beta::vst) \ uexpr where odvar \ x = var \ (out-var \ (dvar-lift \ x))
```

A literal is simply a constant function expression, always returning the same value.

```
lift-definition lit: 't \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ v \ b. \ v .
```

We define lifting for unary, binary, and ternary functions, that simply apply the function to all possible results of the expressions.

```
lift-definition uop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ e \ b \ f \ (e \ b).
lift-definition bop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('c, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ u \ v \ b \ f \ (u \ b) \ (v \ b).
lift-definition trop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('c, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('d, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ u \ v \ w \ b \ f \ (u \ b) \ (v \ b) \ (w \ b).
```

We define syntax for expressions using adhoc overloading – this allows us to later define operators on different types if necessary (e.g. when adding types for new UTP theories).

#### consts

```
ulit :: 't \Rightarrow 'e \ (\ll -\gg)

ueq :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ (infixl =_u 50)

ueuvar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'p

uiuvar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'p

uouvar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'p
```

#### adhoc-overloading

```
ulit lit and
ueuvar var and
ueuvar dvar-exp and
uiuvar iuvar and
uiuvar idvar and
uouvar ouvar and
uouvar odvar
```

#### syntax

```
-uuvar :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow logic (&- [999] 999)

-uiuvar :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow logic (\$- [999] 999)

-uouvar :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow logic (\$-' [999] 999)
```

#### translations

We also set up some useful standard arithmetic operators for Isabelle by lifting the functions to binary operators.

```
instantiation uexpr :: (plus, type) plusbegin
```

```
definition plus-uexpr-def: u + v = bop (op +) u v
instance ..
end
Instantiating uminus also provides negation for predicates later
instantiation uexpr :: (uminus, type) uminus
 definition uminus-uexpr-def: -u = uop uminus u
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (minus, type) minus
 definition minus-uexpr-def: u - v = bop (op -) u v
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (times, type) times
begin
 definition times-uexpr-def: u * v = bop (op *) u v
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (Divides.div, type) Divides.div
 definition div-uexpr-def: u div v = bop (op div) u v
 definition mod\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def: u \ mod \ v = bop \ (op \ mod) \ u \ v
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (zero, type) zero
 definition zero-uexpr-def: \theta = lit \ \theta
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (one, type) one
 definition one-uexpr-def: 1 = lit 1
instance ..
end
instance uexpr :: (semigroup-mult, type) semigroup-mult
 \mathbf{by}\ (intro-classes)\ (simp\ add:\ times-uexpr-def\ one-uexpr-def\ ,\ transfer,\ simp\ add:\ mult.assoc) + \\
instance uexpr :: (monoid-mult, type) monoid-mult
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro-classes})\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{times-uexpr-def}\ \mathit{one-uexpr-def}\ ,\ \mathit{transfer}\ ,\ \mathit{simp}) +
instance \ uexpr :: (semigroup-add, \ type) \ semigroup-add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: add.assoc)+
instance \ uexpr :: (monoid-add, \ type) \ monoid-add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)+
```

```
instance uexpr :: (numeral, type) numeral
  by (intro-classes, simp add: plus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: add.assoc)
Set up automation for numerals
lemma numeral-uexpr-rep-eq: [numeral \ x]_e b = numeral \ x
  by (induct x, simp-all add: plus-uexpr-def one-uexpr-def numeral.simps lit.rep-eq bop.rep-eq)
lemma numeral-uexpr-simp: numeral x =  «numeral x >
  by (simp add: uexpr-eq-iff numeral-uexpr-rep-eq lit.rep-eq)
definition eq-upred :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr
where eq-upred x y = bop HOL.eq x y
adhoc-overloading
  ueq eq-upred
nonterminal utuple-args
syntax
  -unil
               :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\langle \rangle)
              :: args => ('a \ list, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \quad (\langle (\text{--}) \rangle)
  -ulist
                :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr (infixr \hat{}_u 80)
  -uappend
               :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix <_u 50)
  -uless
               :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \leq_u 50)
  -uleq
  -ugreat
                :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix >_u 50)
                :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \ge_u 50)
  -ugeq
  -uempset :: ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\{\}_u)
               :: args => ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\{(-)\}_u)
  -uset
                :: ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infixl \cup_u \ 65)
  -uunion
                :: ('a\ set,\ '\alpha)\ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a\ set,\ '\alpha)\ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a\ set,\ '\alpha)\ uexpr\ (\mathbf{infixl}\ \cap_u\ 70)
  -uinter
                  :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \in_u 50)
  -umem
                  :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \notin_u 50)
  -unmem
                :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow utuple-args \Rightarrow ('a * 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr ((1'(-,/-')_u))
  -utuple-arg :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow utuple-args (-)
  -utuple-args :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr => utuple-args \Rightarrow utuple-args
translations
  \langle \rangle == \ll [] \gg
  \langle x, xs \rangle = CONST \ bop \ (op \#) \ x \ \langle xs \rangle
  \langle x \rangle = CONST \ bop \ (op \#) \ x \ll [] \gg
  x \hat{y} = CONST \ bop \ (op @) \ x \ y
  x <_u y = CONST bop (op <) x y
  x \leq_u y = CONST \ bop \ (op \leq) \ x \ y
  x >_u y == y <_u x
  x \ge_u y == y \le_u x
  \{\}_u == \ll \{\} \gg
  \{x, xs\}_u == CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ insert) \ x \ \{xs\}_u
  \{x\}_u = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ insert) \ x \ {<}\}
  A \cup_u B = CONST \ bop \ Set.union \ A \ B
  A \cap_u B = CONST \ bop \ Set.inter \ A \ B
  x \in_{u} A = CONST \ bop \ (op \in) \ x \ A
  x \notin_u A = CONST \ bop \ (op \notin) \ x \ A
  (x, y)_u = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ Pair) \ x \ y
```

 $-utuple \ x \ (-utuple-args \ y \ z) == -utuple \ x \ (-utuple-arg \ (-utuple \ y \ z))$ 

```
lemmas uexpr-defs =
 iuvar-def
 ouvar-def
 zero-uexpr-def
 one-uexpr-def
 plus-uexpr-def
 uminus-uexpr-def
 minus-uexpr-def
 times-uexpr-def
 div-uexpr-def
 mod-uexpr-def
 eq-upred-def
 numeral-uexpr-simp
lemma var-in-var: var (in-var x) = $x
 by (simp add: iuvar-def)
lemma var\text{-}out\text{-}var: var (out-var x) = x
 by (simp add: ouvar-def)
end
```

#### 3 Unrestriction

```
theory utp-unrest
imports utp-expr
begin
```

Unrestriction is an encoding of semantic freshness, that allows us to reason about the presence of variables in predicates without being concerned with abstract syntax trees. An expression p is unrestricted by variable x, written  $x \not\equiv p$ , if altering the value of x has no effect on the valuation of p. This is a sufficient notion to prove many laws that would ordinarily rely on an fv function.

```
consts
unrest :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool

syntax
-unrest :: svar \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \text{ (infix } \sharp 20)

translations
-unrest \ x \ p == CONST \ unrest \ x \ p

named-theorems unrest

lift-definition unrest-upred :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow bool

is \lambda \ x \ e. \ \forall \ b \ v. \ e \ (var-update \ x \ v \ b) = e \ b.

adhoc-overloading
unrest \ unrest-upred

lemma unrest-upred

lemma unrest-upred

lemma unrest-upred
```

The following law demonstrates why we need variable independence: a variable expression is unrestricted by another variable only when the two variables are independent.

```
lemma unrest-var [unrest]: \llbracket uvar\ x;\ x\bowtie y\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow y\ \sharp\ var\ x
by (transfer,\ auto)

lemma unrest-uop [unrest]: x\ \sharp\ e\Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ uop\ f\ e
by (transfer,\ simp)

lemma unrest-bop [unrest]: \llbracket\ x\ \sharp\ u;\ x\ \sharp\ v\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ bop\ f\ u\ v
by (transfer,\ simp)

lemma unrest-trop [unrest]: \llbracket\ x\ \sharp\ u;\ x\ \sharp\ v;\ x\ \sharp\ w\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ trop\ f\ u\ v\ w
by (transfer,\ simp)

lemma unrest-eq [unrest]: \llbracket\ x\ \sharp\ u;\ x\ \sharp\ v;\ x\ \sharp\ v\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ u=_u\ v
by (simp\ add:\ eq\ upred\ def,\ transfer,\ simp)
```

end

#### 4 Substitution

```
theory utp-subst
imports
utp-expr
utp-lift
utp-unrest
begin
```

#### 4.1 Substitution definitions

We introduce a polymorphic constant that will be used to represent application of a substitution, and also a set of theorems to represent laws.

#### consts

```
usubst :: 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infixr } \dagger 80)
```

#### named-theorems usubst

A substitution is simply a transformation on the alphabet; it shows how variables should be mapped to different values.

```
type-synonym '\alpha usubst = '\alpha alphabet \Rightarrow '\alpha alphabet
```

```
lift-definition subst :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr is \lambda \sigma e b. e (\sigma b).
```

#### adhoc-overloading

 $usubst\ subst$ 

Update the value of a variable to an expression in a substitution

```
consts subst-upd :: '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha \ usubst
```

```
definition subst-upd-uvar :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst where subst-upd-uvar \sigma x v = (\lambda b. var-assign x (\llbracket v \rrbracket_e b) (\sigma b))
```

**definition** subst-upd-dvar :: ' $\alpha$  usubst  $\Rightarrow$  'a::continuum dvar  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, ' $\alpha$ ::vst) uexpr  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$  usubst where subst-upd-dvar  $\sigma$  x v = ( $\lambda$  b. var-assign (dvar-lift x) ( $\llbracket v \rrbracket_e b$ ) ( $\sigma$  b))

```
adhoc-overloading
```

 $subst-upd\ subst-upd-uvar\ {f and}\ subst-upd\ subst-upd-dvar$ 

Lookup the expression associated with a variable in a substitution

```
lift-definition usubst-lookup :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr (\langle - \rangle_s) is \lambda \sigma x b. var-lookup x (\sigma b).
```

Relational lifting of a substitution to the first element of the state space

```
definition usubst\text{-}rel\text{-}lift :: '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\beta) \ usubst \ (\lceil - \rceil_s) where \lceil \sigma \rceil_s = (\lambda \ (A, \ A'). \ (\sigma \ A, \ A'))
```

```
definition usubst-rel-drop :: ('\alpha \times '\alpha) usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst (\lfloor - \rfloor_s) where |\sigma|_s = (\lambda \ A. \ fst \ (\sigma \ (A, \ A)))
```

nonterminal smaplet and smaplets

#### syntax

```
-smaplet :: [svar, 'a] => smaplet (- /\mapstos/ -)

:: smaplet => smaplets (-)

-SMaplets :: [smaplet, smaplets] => smaplets (-,/ -)

-SubstUpd :: ['m usubst, smaplets] => 'm usubst (-/'(-') [900,0] 900)

-Subst :: smaplets => 'a ~=> 'b ((1[-]))
```

#### translations

```
 \begin{array}{lll} -SubstUpd \ m \ (-SMaplets \ xy \ ms) & == -SubstUpd \ (-SubstUpd \ m \ xy) \ ms \\ -SubstUpd \ m \ (-smaplet \ x \ y) & == CONST \ subst-upd \ m \ x \ y \\ -Subst \ ms & == -SubstUpd \ (CONST \ id) \ ms \\ -Subst \ (-SMaplets \ ms1 \ ms2) & <= -SubstUpd \ (-Subst \ ms1) \ ms2 \\ -SMaplets \ ms1 \ (-SMaplets \ ms2 \ ms3) \ <= -SMaplets \ (-SMaplets \ ms1 \ ms2) \ ms3 \end{array}
```

#### 4.2 Substitution laws

We set up a simple substitution tactic that applies substitution and unrestriction laws  $method\ subst-tac = (simp\ add:\ usubst\ unrest)$ ?

```
lemma usubst-lookup-id [usubst]: \langle id \rangle_s \ x = var \ x
by (transfer, simp)
```

```
lemma usubst-lookup-upd [usubst]:

assumes uvar x

shows \langle \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \rangle_s x = v
```

using assms
by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer) (simp)

```
lemma usubst-upd-idem [usubst]:

assumes uvar x

shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, x \mapsto_s v) = \sigma(x \mapsto_s v)
```

```
by (simp\ add:\ subst-upd-uvar-def\ assms\ comp-def)
```

```
lemma usubst-lookup-upd-indep [usubst]:

assumes uvar x \times y

shows \langle \sigma(y \mapsto_s v) \rangle_s x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s x

using assms

by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, simp)
```

```
lemma subst-unrest [usubst] : x \sharp P \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \dagger P = \sigma \dagger P
  by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma id-subst [usubst]: id \dagger v = v
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-lit [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \ll v \gg = \ll v \gg
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-var [usubst]: \sigma \dagger var x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s x
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-ivar [usubst]: \sigma \uparrow \$x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s (in-var x)
  by (simp add: iuvar-def, transfer, simp)
lemma subst-ovar [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \$x' = \langle \sigma \rangle_s (out-var x)
  by (simp add: ouvar-def, transfer, simp)
lemma subst-uop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger uop f v = uop f (\sigma \dagger v)
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-bop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger bop f u v = bop f (\sigma \dagger u) (\sigma \dagger v)
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-plus [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x + y) = \sigma \dagger x + \sigma \dagger y
  by (simp add: plus-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-times [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x * y) = \sigma \dagger x * \sigma \dagger y
  by (simp add: times-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-minus [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x - y) = \sigma \dagger x - \sigma \dagger y
 by (simp add: minus-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-zero [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \theta = \theta
  by (simp add: zero-uexpr-def subst-lit)
lemma subst-one [usubst]: \sigma \dagger 1 = 1
 by (simp add: one-uexpr-def subst-lit)
lemma subst-eq-upred [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x =_u y) = (\sigma \dagger x =_u \sigma \dagger y)
  by (simp add: eq-upred-def usubst)
lemma subst-subst [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \varrho \dagger e = (\varrho \circ \sigma) \dagger e
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-upd-comp [usubst]:
 fixes x :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar
 shows \varrho(x \mapsto_s v) \circ \sigma = (\varrho \circ \sigma)(x \mapsto_s \sigma \dagger v)
  by (rule ext, simp add:uexpr-defs subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, simp)
lemma subst-lift-id [usubst]: [id]_s = id
  by (simp add: usubst-rel-lift-def)
lemma subst-drop-id [usubst]: \lfloor id \rfloor_s = id
  by (auto simp add: usubst-rel-drop-def)
```

```
lemma subst-lift-drop [usubst]: |\lceil \sigma \rceil_s|_s = \sigma
 by (simp add: usubst-rel-lift-def usubst-rel-drop-def)
lemma subst-lift-upd [usubst]: \lceil \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \rceil_s = \lceil \sigma \rceil_s (\$x \mapsto_s \lceil v \rceil_<)
  by (simp add: usubst-rel-lift-def subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma subst-drop-upd [usubst]: [\sigma(\$x \mapsto_s v)]_s = [\sigma]_s(x \mapsto_s [v]_<)
 apply (simp add: usubst-rel-drop-def subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, rule ext, auto simp add:in-var-def)
 apply (rename-tac x \ v \ \sigma \ A)
 apply (case-tac \sigma (A, A), simp)
done
nonterminal uexprs and svars
syntax
  -psubst :: ['\alpha \ usubst, \ svars, \ uexprs] \Rightarrow logic
  -subst :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow svars \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr ((-\llbracket -'/-\rrbracket) [999,999] 1000)
  -uexprs :: [('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr, \ uexprs] => uexprs (-,/-)
          :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr => uexprs (-)
  -svars :: [svar, svars] => svars (-,/-)
          :: svar => svars (-)
translations
  -subst P es vs
                             => CONST subst (-psubst (CONST id) vs es) P
  -psubst m (-svar x) v
                               => CONST subst-upd m x v
  -psubst\ m\ (-spvar\ x)\ v => CONST\ subst-upd\ m\ x\ v
  -psubst\ m\ (-sinvar\ x)\ v\ =>\ CONST\ subst-upd\ m\ (CONST\ in-var\ x)\ v
  -psubst \ m \ (-soutvar \ x) \ v => CONST \ subst-upd \ m \ (CONST \ out-var \ x) \ v
  -psubst\ m\ (-svars\ x\ xs)\ (-uexprs\ v\ vs) => -psubst\ (-psubst\ m\ x\ v)\ xs\ vs
end
```

# 5 Lifting expressions

```
theory utp-lift
imports
utp-expr
utp-unrest
begin
```

#### 5.1 Lifting definitions

We define operators for converting an expression to and from a relational state space

```
lift-definition lift-pre :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr (\[ [-]_< \]) is \lambda p (A, A'). p A.

lift-definition drop-pre :: ('a, '\alpha \times '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr (\[ [-]_< \]) is \lambda p A. p (A, A).

lift-definition lift-post :: ('a, '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr (\[ [-]_> \]) is \lambda p (A, A'). p A'.
```

**abbreviation** drop-post ::  $('a, '\alpha \times '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\lfloor - \rfloor >)$ 

```
where \lfloor b \rfloor_{>} \equiv \lfloor b \rfloor_{<}
```

#### 5.2 Lifting laws

```
lemma lift-pre-var [simp]:
   \lceil var \ x \rceil_{<} = \$x
  by (simp add: iuvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma lift-post-var [simp]:
   \lceil var \ x \rceil_{>} = \$x'
  by (simp add: ouvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma lift-pre-lit [simp]:
   \lceil \ll v \gg \rceil < = \ll v \gg
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-post-lit [simp]:
   \lceil \ll v \gg \rceil_{>} = \ll v \gg
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-pre-uop [simp]:
   \lceil uop \ f \ v \rceil_{<} = uop \ f \ \lceil v \rceil_{<}
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-post-uop [simp]:
   \lceil uop f v \rceil_{>} = uop f \lceil v \rceil_{>}
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-pre-bop [simp]:
   \lceil bop \ f \ u \ v \rceil_{<} = bop \ f \ \lceil u \rceil_{<} \ \lceil v \rceil_{<}
  by (transfer, auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{lift-post-bop} \ [\mathit{simp}] :
   \lceil bop \ f \ u \ v \rceil_{>} = bop \ f \ \lceil u \rceil_{>} \lceil v \rceil_{>}
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-pre-trop [simp]:
   \lceil \operatorname{trop} f \ u \ v \ w \rceil_{<} = \operatorname{trop} f \ \lceil u \rceil_{<} \ \lceil v \rceil_{<} \ \lceil w \rceil_{<}
  by (transfer, auto)
lemma lift-post-trop [simp]:
   \lceil \operatorname{trop} f \ u \ v \ w \rceil_{>} = \operatorname{trop} f \ \lceil u \rceil_{>} \ \lceil v \rceil_{>} \ \lceil w \rceil_{>}
  by (transfer, auto)
```

# 6 Alphabetised Predicates

```
theory utp-pred
imports
utp-expr
utp-subst
begin
```

end

An alphabetised predicate is a simply a boolean valued expression

```
type-synonym '\alpha upred = (bool, '\alpha) uexpr
```

named-theorems upred-defs

#### 6.1 Predicate syntax

We want to remain as close as possible to the mathematical UTP syntax, but also want to be conservative with HOL. For this reason we chose not to steal syntax from HOL, but where possible use polymorphism to allow selection of the appropriate operator (UTP vs. HOL). Thus we will first remove the standard syntax for conjunction, disjunction, and negation, and replace these with adhoc overloaded definitions.

#### no-notation

```
conj (infixr \land 35) and disj (infixr \lor 30) and Not (\lnot - [40] 40)

consts

utrue :: 'a (true)

ufalse :: 'a (false)

uconj :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (infixr \land 35)

udisj :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (infixr \lor 30)

uimpl :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (infixr \Rightarrow 25)

uiff :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (infixr \Rightarrow 25)

unot :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a (\lnot - [40] 40)

uex :: ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p

uall :: ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p

ushEx :: ['a \Rightarrow 'p] \Rightarrow 'p

ushAll :: ['a \Rightarrow 'p] \Rightarrow 'p
```

#### adhoc-overloading

```
uconj conj and
udisj disj and
unot Not
```

We set up two versions of each of the quantifiers: uex / uall and ushEx / ushAll. The former pair allows quantification of UTP variables, whilst the latter allows quantification of HOL variables. Both varieties will be needed at various points. Syntactically they are distinguish by a boldface quantifier for the HOL versions (achieved by the "bold" escape in Isabelle).

#### syntax

#### translations

```
\exists \&x \cdot P => CONST \ uex \ x \ P
\exists \&x \cdot P == CONST \ uex \ (CONST \ in\text{-}var \ x) \ P
\exists \&x' \cdot P == CONST \ uex \ (CONST \ out\text{-}var \ x) \ P
\exists &x \cdot P == CONST \ uex \ x \ P
\forall \&x \cdot P => CONST \ uall \ (CONST \ in\text{-}var \ x) \ P
\forall \&x' \cdot P == CONST \ uall \ (CONST \ out\text{-}var \ x) \ P
\forall &x \cdot P == CONST \ uall \ x \ P
\exists &x \cdot P == CONST \ uall \ x \ P
\exists &x \cdot P == CONST \ uall \ x \ P
```

```
\forall x \cdot P = CONST \ ushAll \ (\lambda x. \ P)
```

#### 6.2 Predicate operators

We chose to maximally reuse definitions and laws built into HOL. For this reason, when introducing the core operators we proceed by lifting operators from the polymorphic algebraic hiearchy of HOL. Thus the initial definitions take place in the context of type class instantiations. We first introduce our own class called *refine* that will add the refinement operator syntax to the HOL partial order class.

```
class refine = order 
abbreviation refineBy :: 'a::refine \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (infix \sqsubseteq 50) where P \sqsubseteq Q \equiv less\text{-eq }QP
```

Since, on the whole, lattices in UTP are the opposite way up to the standard definitions in HOL, we syntactically invert the lattice operators. This is the one exception where we do steal HOL syntax, but I think it makes sense for UTP.

```
notation inf (infixl \Box 70)
notation sup (infixl \Box 65)
notation Inf (\Box - [900] 900)
notation Sup (\Box - [900] 900)
notation bot (\Box)
notation top (\Box)
```

We now introduce a partial order on expressions. Note this is more general than refinement since it lifts an order on any expression type (not just Boolean). However, the Boolean version does equate to refinement.

```
instantiation uexpr :: (order, type) order
begin
 lift-definition less-eq-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow bool
 is \lambda P Q. (\forall A. P A \leq Q A).
  definition less-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow bool
  where less-uexpr P Q = (P \leq Q \land \neg Q \leq P)
instance proof
  fix x y z :: ('a, 'b) uexpr
  show (x < y) = (x \le y \land \neg y \le x) by (simp\ add:\ less-uexpr-def)
 show x \le x by (transfer, auto)
 show x \leq y \Longrightarrow y \leq z \Longrightarrow x \leq z
   by (transfer, blast intro:order.trans)
 show x \le y \Longrightarrow y \le x \Longrightarrow x = y
   by (transfer, rule ext, simp add: eq-iff)
qed
end
We also trivially instantiate our refinement class
instance uexpr :: (order, type) refine ..
```

Next we introduce the lattice operators, which is again done by lifting.

```
instantiation uexpr :: (lattice, type) \ lattice
begin
 lift-definition sup\text{-}uexpr :: ('a, 'b) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ uexpr
```

```
is \lambda P \ Q \ A. sup \ (P \ A) \ (Q \ A).
 lift-definition inf-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda P Q A. inf (P A) (Q A).
instance
 by (intro-classes) (transfer, auto)+
end
instantiation uexpr :: (bounded-lattice, type) bounded-lattice
 lift-definition bot-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr is \lambda A. bot.
 lift-definition top-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr is \lambda A. top.
instance
 by (intro-classes) (transfer, auto)+
\mathbf{end}
Finally we show that predicates form a Boolean algebra (under the lattice operators).
instance \ uexpr :: (boolean-algebra, \ type) \ boolean-algebra
  by (intro-classes, simp-all add: uexpr-defs)
     (transfer, simp add: sup-inf-distrib1 inf-compl-bot sup-compl-top diff-eq)+
instantiation uexpr :: (complete-lattice, type) complete-lattice
begin
 lift-definition Inf-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda PS A. INF P:PS. P(A).
 lift-definition Sup-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda PS A. SUP P:PS. P(A).
instance
  by (intro-classes)
     (transfer, auto intro: INF-lower SUP-upper simp add: INF-greatest SUP-least)+
end
With the lattice operators defined, we can proceed to give definitions for the standard predicate
operators in terms of them.
definition true-upred = (top :: '\alpha upred)
definition false-upred = (bot :: '\alpha upred)
definition conj-upred = (inf :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)
definition disj-upred = (sup :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred)
definition not\text{-}upred = (uminus :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)
definition diff-upred = (minus :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)
We also define the other predicate operators
lift-definition impl::'\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred is
\lambda P Q A. P A \longrightarrow Q A.
lift-definition iff-upred ::'\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred is
\lambda \ P \ Q \ A. \ P \ A \longleftrightarrow Q \ A.
lift-definition ex :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred is
\lambda x P b. (\exists v. P(var-assign x v b)).
lift-definition shEx :: ['\beta \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred] \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred is
\lambda P A. \exists x. (P x) A.
lift-definition all :: ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred is
\lambda \ x \ P \ b. \ (\forall \ v. \ P(var-assign \ x \ v \ b)).
```

```
lift-definition shAll ::['\beta \Rightarrow'\alpha upred] \Rightarrow '\alpha upred is \lambda P A. \forall x. (P x) A.
```

We have to add a u subscript to the closure operator as I don't want to override the syntax for HOL lists (we'll be using them later).

```
lift-definition closure::'\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred ([-]_u) is \lambda P A. \forall A'. P A'.
```

```
lift-definition taut :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow bool (`-`) is \lambda \ P. \ \forall \ A. \ P \ A.
```

#### adhoc-overloading

utrue true-upred and ufalse false-upred and unot not-upred and uconj conj-upred and udisj disj-upred and uimpl impl and uiff iff-upred and uex ex and uall all and ushEx shEx and ushAll shAll

#### 6.3 Proof support

We set up a simple tactic with the help of *Eisbach* that applies predicate definitions, applies the transfer method to drop down to the core definitions, applies extensionality (to remove the resulting lambda term) and the applies auto. This simple tactic will suffice to prove most of the standard laws.

```
method pred-tac = ((simp only: upred-defs)?; (transfer, (rule-tac ext)?, auto)?)
```

```
declare true-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare false-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare conj-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare disj-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare not-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare diff-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare subst-upd-uvar-def [upred-defs]
declare subst-upd-dvar-def [upred-defs]
declare uexpr-defs [upred-defs]
declare usubst-rel-lift-def [upred-defs]
declare usubst-rel-drop-def [upred-defs]
lemma true-alt-def: true = «True»
by (pred-tac)
```

#### 6.4 Unrestriction Laws

lemma unrest-true [unrest]:  $x \sharp true$ 

```
by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-false [unrest]: x \sharp false
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-conj [unrest]: [x \sharp P; x \sharp Q] \implies x \sharp P \land Q
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-disj [unrest]: [[ x \ \sharp \ P; \ x \ \sharp \ Q \ ]] \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ P \ \lor \ Q
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-impl [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp P; x \sharp Q ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp P \Rightarrow Q
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-iff [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp P; x \sharp Q ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp P \Leftrightarrow Q
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-not [unrest]: x \sharp P \Longrightarrow x \sharp (\neg P)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma unrest-ex-same [unrest]:
  uvar \ x \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (\exists \ x \cdot P)
  by (pred-tac, auto simp add: comp-def)
lemma unrest-ex-diff [unrest]:
  assumes x \bowtie y y \sharp P
  shows y \sharp (\exists x \cdot P)
  using assms
  by (pred-tac, auto simp add: uvar-indep-def)
lemma unrest-all-same [unrest]:
  uvar \ x \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (\forall \ x \cdot P)
  by (pred-tac, auto simp add: comp-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ unrest\text{-}all\text{-}diff \ [unrest]:
  assumes x \bowtie y y \sharp P
  shows y \sharp (\forall x \cdot P)
  using assms
  by (pred-tac, auto simp add: uvar-indep-def)
lemma unrest-shEx [unrest]:
  assumes \bigwedge y. x \sharp P(y)
  shows x \sharp (\exists y \cdot P(y))
  using assms by pred-tac
lemma unrest-shAll [unrest]:
  assumes \bigwedge y. x \sharp P(y)
  shows x \sharp (\forall y \cdot P(y))
  using assms by pred-tac
lemma unrest-closure [unrest]:
  x \sharp [P]_u
  by pred-tac
```

#### 6.5 Substitution Laws

```
lemma subst-true [usubst]: \sigma \dagger true = true
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-false [usubst]: \sigma \dagger false = false
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-not [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\neg P) = (\neg \sigma \dagger P)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-impl [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \Rightarrow \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-iff [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \Leftrightarrow Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \Leftrightarrow \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-disj [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \lor Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \lor \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-conj [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \land Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \land \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-closure [usubst]: \sigma \dagger [P]_u = [P]_u
  by (pred-tac)
lemma subst-shEx [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\exists x \cdot P(x)) = (\exists x \cdot \sigma \dagger P(x))
  by pred-tac
lemma subst-shAll [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\forall x \cdot P(x)) = (\forall x \cdot \sigma \dagger P(x))
  by pred-tac
```

#### 6.6 Predicate Laws

**by** pred-tac

Showing that predicates form a Boolean Algebra (under the predicate operators) gives us many useful laws.

interpretation boolean-algebra diff-upred not-upred conj-upred op  $\leq$  op < disj-upred false-upred true-upred by (unfold-locales, pred-tac+)

```
lemma refBy\text{-}order: P \sqsubseteq Q = `Q \Rightarrow P` by (transfer, auto)

lemma conj\text{-}idem \ [simp]: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land P) = P by pred\text{-}tac

lemma disj\text{-}idem \ [simp]: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor P) = P by pred\text{-}tac

lemma conj\text{-}comm: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q) = (Q \land P) by pred\text{-}tac
```

lemma disj-comm:  $((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q) = (Q \lor P)$ 

**lemma** conj-subst:  $P = R \Longrightarrow ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q) = (R \land Q)$ 

```
by pred-tac
```

lemma disj-subst:  $P = R \Longrightarrow ((P :: '\alpha \ upred) \lor Q) = (R \lor Q)$  by pred-tac

**lemma** conj-assoc:(((P::' $\alpha$  upred)  $\wedge$  Q)  $\wedge$  S) = ( $P \wedge (Q \wedge S)$ ) **by** pred-tac

lemma disj-assoc:(((P::' $\alpha$  upred)  $\vee$  Q)  $\vee$  S) = (P  $\vee$  (Q  $\vee$  S)) by pred-tac

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ conj\text{-}disj\text{-}abs\text{:}((P\text{::}'\alpha \ upred) \land (P \lor Q)) = P \\ \textbf{by} \ pred\text{-}tac \end{array}$ 

lemma disj-conj-abs: $((P::'\alpha\ upred) \lor (P \land Q)) = P$  by pred-tac

**lemma** conj-disj-distr:((P::' $\alpha$  upred)  $\wedge$  (Q  $\vee$  R)) = ((P  $\wedge$  Q)  $\vee$  (P  $\wedge$  R)) **by** pred-tac

lemma disj-conj-dsitr:((P::' $\alpha$  upred)  $\vee$  (Q  $\wedge$  R)) = ((P  $\vee$  Q)  $\wedge$  (P  $\vee$  R)) by pred-tac

**lemma** true-disj-zero [simp]:  $(P \lor true) = true$   $(true \lor P) = true$  **by** (pred-tac) (pred-tac)

lemma true-conj-zero [simp]:  $(P \land false) = false \ (false \land P) = false$  by  $(pred\text{-}tac) \ (pred\text{-}tac)$ 

**lemma** imp-vacuous [simp]:  $(false \Rightarrow u) = true$  **by** pred-tac

**lemma** imp-true [simp]:  $(p \Rightarrow true) = true$  **by** pred-tac

**lemma**  $true\text{-}imp \ [simp]: (true \Rightarrow p) = p$ **by** pred-tac

**lemma** p-and-not-p [simp]:  $(P \land \neg P) = false$  by pred-tac

**lemma** p-or-not-p [simp]:  $(P \lor \neg P) = true$  **by** pred-tac

lemma p-imp-p [simp]:  $(P \Rightarrow P) = true$  by pred-tac

**lemma** p-iff-p [simp]:  $(P \Leftrightarrow P) = true$  **by** pred-tac

**lemma** p-imp-false [simp]:  $(P \Rightarrow false) = (\neg P)$  by pred-tac

```
lemma not-conj-deMorgans [simp]: (\neg ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q)) = ((\neg P) \lor (\neg Q))
 by pred-tac
lemma not-disj-deMorgans [simp]: (\neg ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q)) = ((\neg P) \land (\neg Q))
 by pred-tac
lemma conj-disj-not-abs [simp]: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land ((\neg P) \lor Q)) = (P \land Q)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma double-negation [simp]: (\neg \neg (P::'\alpha upred)) = P
 by (pred-tac)
lemma true-not-false [simp]: true \neq false false \neq true
  by (pred-tac, metis)+
lemma closure-conj-distr: ([P]_u \wedge [Q]_u) = [P \wedge Q]_u
 by pred-tac
lemma closure-imp-distr: '[P \Rightarrow Q]_u \Rightarrow [P]_u \Rightarrow [Q]_u'
 by pred-tac
lemma true-iff [simp]: (P \Leftrightarrow true) = P
 by pred-tac
lemma impl-alt-def: (P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg P \lor Q)
 by pred-tac
lemma shEx-bool [simp]: shEx P = (P True \lor P False)
  by (pred-tac, metis (full-types))
lemma shAll-bool [simp]: shAll P = (P True \land P False)
 by (pred-tac, metis (full-types))
lemma upred-eq-true [simp]: (p =_u true) = p
  by pred-tac
lemma upred-eq-false [simp]: (p =_u false) = (\neg p)
 \mathbf{by}\ pred-tac
lemma one-point:
 assumes uvar x x \sharp v
 shows (\exists x \cdot (P \land (var \ x =_u v))) = P[v/x]
  \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}
 by (simp add: upred-defs, transfer, auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ uvar\text{-}assign\text{-}exists:
  uvar \ x \Longrightarrow \exists \ v. \ b = var\text{-}assign \ x \ v \ b
 by (rule-tac \ x=var-lookup \ x \ b \ in \ exI, \ simp)
lemma uvar-obtain-assign:
  assumes uvar x
 obtains v where b = var-assign x v b
 using assms
```

**by**  $(drule-tac\ uvar-assign-exists[of\ -\ b],\ auto)$ 

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ taut\text{-}split\text{-}subst\text{:}
 assumes uvar x
 shows 'P' \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. 'P[\![\ll v \gg /x]\!]')
  using assms
 by (pred-tac, metis (full-types) uvar.var-update-eta)
lemma eq-split:
  assumes 'P \Rightarrow Q' 'Q \Rightarrow P'
 shows P = Q
 using assms
 by (pred-tac)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-bool-split}\colon
 assumes uvar x
 shows 'P' = '(P[false/x] \land P[true/x])'
proof -
  from assms have 'P' = (\forall v. 'P \llbracket \ll v \gg /x \rrbracket ')
   by (subst\ taut\text{-}split\text{-}subst[of\ x],\ auto)
 also have ... = (P[\llbracket \ll True \gg /x \rrbracket) \land P[\llbracket \ll False \gg /x \rrbracket)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
 also have ... = (P[false/x] \land P[true/x])
   by (pred-tac)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma taut-iff-eq:
  P \Leftrightarrow Q' \longleftrightarrow (P = Q)
 by pred-tac
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-eq\text{-}replace:
 fixes x :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar
 shows (p[u/x] \land u =_u v) = (p[v/x] \land u =_u v)
 by pred-tac
6.7
         Quantifier lifting
named-theorems uquant-lift
lemma shEx-lift-conj-1 [uquant-lift]:
  ((\exists x \cdot P(x)) \land Q) = (\exists x \cdot P(x) \land Q)
 by pred-tac
lemma shEx-lift-conj-2 [uquant-lift]:
 (P \land (\exists x \cdot Q(x))) = (\exists x \cdot P \land Q(x))
 by pred-tac
end
7
```

## Alphabetised relations

```
theory utp-rel
imports
 utp-pred
begin
```

```
default-sort type
```

```
named-theorems urel-defs
```

```
consts
  useq :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c (infixr ;; 15)
  uskip :: 'a (II)
definition in\alpha :: ('\alpha, '\alpha \times '\beta) \ uvar \ where
in\alpha = (|var\text{-}lookup| = fst, var\text{-}update = \lambda f (A, A'). (f A, A'))
definition out\alpha :: ('\beta, '\alpha \times '\beta) \ uvar \ where
out\alpha = (var-lookup = snd, var-update = \lambda f (A, A'). (A, f A'))
declare in\alpha-def [urel-defs]
declare out\alpha-def [urel-defs]
type-synonym '\alpha condition
                                                 = '\alpha \ upred
type-synonym ('\alpha, '\beta) relation = ('\alpha \times '\beta) upred
type-synonym '\alpha hrelation
                                                 = ('\alpha \times '\alpha) \ upred
definition cond::('\alpha, '\beta) relation \Rightarrow '\alpha \ condition \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta)
                                                                    ((3- < - > / -) [14,0,15] 14)
where (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \equiv (\lceil b \rceil_{<} \land P) \lor ((\neg \lceil b \rceil_{<}) \land Q)
lift-definition seqr::(('\alpha \times '\beta) \ upred) \Rightarrow (('\beta \times '\gamma) \ upred) \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\gamma) \ upred)
is \lambda P Q r. r : (\{p. P p\} O \{q. Q q\}).
lift-definition conv-r :: ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta \times '\alpha) uexpr (-[999] 999)
is \lambda \ e \ (b1, \ b2). e \ (b2, \ b1).
lift-definition assigns-r: '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrelation \ (\langle -\rangle_a)
 is \lambda \sigma (A, A'). A' = \sigma(A).
definition skip-r :: '\alpha \ hrelation \ \mathbf{where}
skip-r = assigns-r id
abbreviation assign-r :: ('t, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha hrelation
where assign-r x v \equiv assigns-r [x \mapsto_s v]
abbreviation assign-2-r ::
  ('t1, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('t2, '\alpha) \ uvar \Rightarrow ('t1, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('t2, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrelation
where assign-2-r x y u v \equiv assigns-r [x \mapsto_s u, y \mapsto_s v]
nonterminal
  id-list and uexpr-list
syntax
  -id-unit
                :: id \Rightarrow id\text{-}list (-)
  -id-list :: id \Rightarrow id-list \Rightarrow id-list (-,/-)
  -uexpr-unit :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow uexpr-list (-[40] 40)
  -uexpr-list :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow uexpr-list \Rightarrow uexpr-list (-,/-[40,40] \ 40)
  -assignment :: id-list \Rightarrow uexpr-list \Rightarrow '\alpha hrelation (infixr := 35)
  -mk-usubst :: id-list \Rightarrow uexpr-list \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst
```

```
translations
```

```
-mk-usubst (-id-unit x) (-uexpr-unit v) == [x \mapsto_s v]

-mk-usubst (-id-list x xs) (-uexpr-list v vs) == (-mk-usubst xs vs)(x \mapsto_s v)

-assignment xs vs => CONST assigns-r (-mk-usubst xs vs)

x := v <= CONST assign-r x v

x,y := u,v <= CONST assign-2-r x y u v
```

#### adhoc-overloading

```
useq\ seqr\ {f and}\ uskip\ skip\mbox{-}r
```

**method** rel-tac =  $((simp \ add: upred-defs \ urel-defs)?, (transfer, (rule-tac \ ext)?, auto \ simp \ add: urel-defs \ relcomp-unfold)?)$ 

A test is like a precondition, except that it identifies to the postcondition. It forms the basis for Kleene Algebra with Tests (KAT).

```
definition lift-test :: '\alpha condition \Rightarrow '\alpha hrelation (\lceil - \rceil_t) where \lceil b \rceil_t = (\lceil b \rceil_< \wedge II)

declare cond-def [urel-defs]

declare skip-r-def [urel-defs]
```

#### 7.1 Unrestriction Laws

```
lemma unrest-iuvar [unrest]: uvar x \Longrightarrow out\alpha \sharp \$x
  by (simp add: out\alpha-def invar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma unrest-ouvar [unrest]: uvar x \Longrightarrow in\alpha \sharp \$x'
  by (simp add: in\alpha-def ouvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma unrest-in\alpha-var [unrest]:
  \llbracket uvar \ x; \ in\alpha \ \sharp \ P \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x \ \sharp \ P
  by (pred\text{-}tac, simp \ add: in\alpha\text{-}def)
lemma unrest-out\alpha-var [unrest]:
  \llbracket uvar \ x; \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ P \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x' \ \sharp \ P
  by (pred-tac, simp add: out\alpha-def)
lemma in\alpha-uvar [simp]: uvar\ in\alpha
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: in\alpha-def)
lemma out\alpha-uvar [simp]: uvar\ out\alpha
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: out\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-pre-out\alpha [unrest]: out\alpha \sharp [b]_{<}
  by (transfer, auto simp add: out\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-convr-out \alpha [unrest]:
  in\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow out\alpha \sharp p^-
  by (transfer, auto simp add: in\alpha-def out\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-convr-in\alpha [unrest]:
  out\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow in\alpha \sharp p^-
```

by (transfer, auto simp add:  $in\alpha$ -def out $\alpha$ -def)

#### 7.2 Substitution laws

It should be possible to substantially generalise the following two laws

```
lemma usubst-seq-left [usubst]:
  \llbracket \ uvar \ x; \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ v \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \ ;; \ Q) \llbracket v/\$x \rrbracket = ((P \llbracket v/\$x \rrbracket) \ ;; \ Q)
  apply (rel-tac)
  apply (rename-tac \ x \ v \ P \ Q \ a \ y \ ya)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=ya \ in \ exI)
  apply (simp)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=a \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac x=y in spec)
  apply (drule-tac x=\lambda-.ya in spec)
  apply (simp)
  apply (rename-tac \ x \ v \ P \ Q \ a \ ba \ y)
  apply (rule-tac x=y in exI)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=a \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=y \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule\text{-}tac \ x=\lambda\text{-}.ba \ \textbf{in} \ spec)
  apply (simp)
done
lemma usubst-seq-right [usubst]:
  \llbracket uvar\ x;\ in\alpha\ \sharp\ v\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P\ ;;\ Q)\llbracket v/\$x'\rrbracket = (P\ ;;\ Q\llbracket v/\$x'\rrbracket)
  apply (rel-tac)
  apply (rename-tac \ x \ v \ P \ Q \ b \ xa \ ya)
  apply (rule-tac x=ya in exI)
  apply (simp)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=ya \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=b \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac x=\lambda-.xa in spec)
  apply (simp)
  apply (rename-tac \ x \ v \ P \ Q \ b \ aa \ y)
  apply (rule-tac x=y in exI)
  apply (simp)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=aa \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=b \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac x=\lambda-.y in spec)
  apply (simp)
done
        Relation laws
7.3
```

```
Homogeneous relations form a quantale
```

```
abbreviation truer :: '\alpha \ hrelation \ (true_h) where truer \equiv true

abbreviation falser :: '\alpha \ hrelation \ (false_h) where falser \equiv false

interpretation upred-quantale: unital-quantale-plus where times = seqr and one = skip-r and Sup = Sup and Inf = Inf and inf = inf and less-eq = less-eq and less = less and sup = sup and bot = bot and top = top apply (unfold-locales) apply (rel-tac)
```

```
apply (unfold SUP-def, transfer, auto)
apply (unfold SUP-def, transfer, auto)
apply (unfold INF-def, transfer, auto)
apply (unfold INF-def, transfer, auto)
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{rel-tac})
apply (rel-tac)
done
lemma drop-pre-inv [simp]: \llbracket out\alpha \sharp p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \lceil \lfloor p \rfloor_{<} \rceil_{<} = p
  apply (pred-tac, auto simp add: out\alpha-def)
  apply (rename-tac \ p \ a \ b)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=a \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=b \ in \ spec)
  apply (drule-tac \ x=\lambda -. \ a \ in \ spec)
  apply (simp)
done
lemma cond\text{-}idem:(P \triangleleft b \triangleright P) = P by rel\text{-}tac
lemma cond-symm:(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (Q \triangleleft \neg b \triangleright P) by rel-tac
lemma cond-assoc: ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright R) = (P \triangleleft b \land c \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)) by rel-tac
lemma cond-distr: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R)) by rel-tac
lemma cond-unit-T:(P \triangleleft true \triangleright Q) = P by rel-tac
lemma cond\text{-}unit\text{-}F:(P \triangleleft false \triangleright Q) = Q by rel\text{-}tac
lemma cond-L6: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright R)) = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) by rel-tac
lemma cond-L7: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q)) = (P \triangleleft b \vee c \triangleright Q) by rel-tac
lemma cond-and-distr: ((P \land Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \land S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \land (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by rel-tac
lemma cond-or-distr: ((P \lor Q) \triangleleft b \rhd (R \lor S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \rhd R) \lor (Q \triangleleft b \rhd S)) by rel-tac
lemma cond-imp-distr:
((P \Rightarrow Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \Rightarrow S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \Rightarrow (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by rel-tac
lemma cond-eq-distr:
((P \Leftrightarrow Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \Leftrightarrow S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \Leftrightarrow (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by rel-tac
lemma comp-cond-left-distr:
  ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; R) \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q ;; R))
  by rel-tac
These laws may seem to duplicate quantale laws, but they don't – they are applicable to non-
homogeneous relations as well, which will become important later.
lemma seqr-assoc: (P ;; (Q ;; R)) = ((P ;; Q) ;; R)
  by rel-tac
lemma segr-left-unit [simp]:
  (II :: P) = P
  by rel-tac
```

```
lemma seqr-right-unit [simp]:
  (P ;; II) = P
 by rel-tac
lemma segr-left-zero [simp]:
  (false ;; P) = false
 by pred-tac
lemma seqr-right-zero [simp]:
  (P ;; false) = false
 by pred-tac
We should be able to generalise this law to arbitrary assignments at some point, but that
requires additional conversion operators for substitutions that act only on in\alpha.
lemma assign-subst [usubst]:
  \llbracket uvar\ x;\ uvar\ y\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket x\mapsto_s \llbracket u \rrbracket_{<} \rrbracket \dagger (y:=v) = (y,\ x:=\llbracket x\mapsto_s u\rrbracket\dagger v,\ u)
 by rel-tac
lemma assigns-idem: uvar x \Longrightarrow (x,x:=u,v) = (x:=u)
  by (simp add: usubst)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{assigns-comp} \colon (\mathit{assigns-r} \ f \ ;; \ \mathit{assigns-r} \ g) = \mathit{assigns-r} \ (g \circ f)
 by (transfer, auto simp add:relcomp-unfold)
lemma assigns-r-comp: uvar x \Longrightarrow (\langle \sigma \rangle_a ;; P) = (\lceil \sigma \rceil_s \dagger P)
  by rel-tac
lemma assign-r-comp: uvar x \Longrightarrow (x := u ;; P) = ([\$x \mapsto_s \lceil u \rceil_<] \dagger P)
 by (simp add: assigns-r-comp usubst)
lemma assign-test: uvar x \Longrightarrow (x := \ll u \gg ;; x := \ll v \gg) = (x := \ll v \gg)
 by (simp add: assigns-comp subst-upd-comp subst-lit usubst-upd-idem)
\mathbf{lemma} seqr-or-distl:
  ((P \lor Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; R) \lor (Q ;; R))
 by rel-tac
\mathbf{lemma}\ seqr\text{-}or\text{-}distr:
  (P ;; (Q \lor R)) = ((P ;; Q) \lor (P ;; R))
 by rel-tac
lemma seqr-middle:
  assumes uvar x
 shows (P ;; Q) = (\exists v \cdot P[ < v > / x'] ;; Q[ < v > / x])
  using assms
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (rename-tac \ xa \ P \ Q \ a \ b \ y)
 apply (rule-tac \ x=var-lookup \ xa \ y \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=y \ in \ exI)
 apply (simp)
done
theorem precond-equiv:
  P = (P ;; true) \longleftrightarrow (out\alpha \sharp P)
```

```
apply (rel-tac)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (auto)
 apply (rename-tac\ P\ a\ b\ y)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=a \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=b \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule\text{-}tac \ x=\lambda \ \text{-}.y \ \textbf{in} \ spec)
 apply (simp)
done
theorem postcond-equiv:
 P = (true ;; P) \longleftrightarrow (in\alpha \sharp P)
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (auto)
 apply (rename-tac\ P\ a\ b\ y)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=a \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=b \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule-tac x=\lambda -.y in spec)
 apply (simp)
\mathbf{done}
lemma precond-right-unit: out\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow (p ;; true) = p
 using precond-equiv by force
lemma postcond-left-unit: in\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow (true ;; p) = p
 using postcond-equiv by force
theorem precond-left-zero:
 assumes out\alpha \sharp p p \neq false
 shows (true ;; p) = true
 using assms
 apply (simp add: out\alpha-def upred-defs)
 apply (transfer, auto simp add: relcomp-unfold, rule ext, auto)
 apply (rename-tac \ p \ b)
 apply (subgoal-tac \exists b1 b2. p (b1, b2))
 apply (auto)
 apply (rule-tac \ x=b1 \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=b1 \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=b2 \ in \ spec)
 apply (drule-tac x=\lambda -. b in spec)
 apply (simp)
done
7.4
       Converse laws
lemma convr-invol [simp]: p^{--} = p
 by pred-tac
lemma lit\text{-}convr [simp]: \ll v \gg^- = \ll v \gg
 by pred-tac
```

```
lemma uivar\text{-}convr [simp]:
 fixes x :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar
 shows (\$x)^- = \$x'
 by pred-tac
lemma uovar-convr [simp]:
 fixes x :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uvar
 shows (\$x')^- = \$x
 by pred-tac
lemma uop\text{-}convr [simp]: (uop f u)^- = uop f (u^-)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma bop-convr [simp]: (bop f u v)^- = bop f (u^-) (v^-)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma eq-convr [simp]: (p =_u q)^- = (p^- =_u q^-)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma disj-convr [simp]: (p \lor q)^- = (q^- \lor p^-)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma conj-convr [simp]: (p \land q)^- = (q^- \land p^-)
 by (pred-tac)
lemma segr-convr [simp]: (p ;; q)^- = (q^- ;; p^-)
 by rel-tac
theorem seqr-pre-transfer: in\alpha \sharp q \Longrightarrow ((P \land q) ;; R) = (P ;; (q^- \land R))
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (rename-tac\ q\ P\ R\ a\ b\ y)
 apply (rule-tac x=y in exI, simp)
 apply (drule-tac x=b in spec, drule-tac x=y in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-.a in spec, simp)
 apply (rename-tac\ q\ P\ R\ a\ b\ y)
 apply (rule-tac x=y in exI, <math>simp)
 apply (drule-tac x=a in spec, drule-tac x=y in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-b in spec, simp)
done
theorem seqr-post-out: in\alpha \sharp r \Longrightarrow (P ;; (Q \land r)) = ((P ;; Q) \land r)
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (rename-tac \ r \ P \ Q \ a \ b \ y)
 apply (drule-tac x=a in spec, drule-tac x=b in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-y in spec, simp)
 apply (rename-tac \ r \ P \ Q \ a \ b \ y)
 apply (rule-tac \ x=y \ in \ exI)
 apply (simp, drule-tac x=a in spec, drule-tac x=b in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-y in spec, simp)
done
theorem segr-post-transfer: out\alpha \sharp q \Longrightarrow (P ;; (q \land R)) = (P \land q^- ;; R)
 by (simp add: segr-pre-transfer unrest-convr-in\alpha)
lemma segr-pre-out: out\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow ((p \land Q) ;; R) = (p \land (Q ;; R))
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (rename-tac \ p \ Q \ R \ a \ b \ y)
 apply (drule-tac x=a in spec, drule-tac x=b in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-y in spec, simp)
 apply (rename-tac \ p \ Q \ R \ a \ b \ y)
```

```
apply (rule-tac \ x=y \ in \ exI)
 apply (simp, drule-tac x=a in spec, drule-tac x=b in spec, drule-tac x=\lambda-y in spec, simp)
done
lemma segr-true-lemma:
 (P = (\neg (\neg P ;; true))) = (P = (P ;; true))
 apply (rel-tac)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (auto)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (auto)
 apply (metis case-prodI)
done
lemma shEx-lift-seq [uquant-lift]:
 ((\exists x \cdot P(x)) ;; (\exists y \cdot Q(y))) = (\exists x \cdot \exists y \cdot P(x) ;; Q(y))
 by pred-tac
end
7.5
       Weakest precondition calculus
theory utp-wp
imports utp-rel
begin
A very quick implementation of wp – more laws still needed!
named-theorems wp
method wp\text{-}tac = (simp \ add: \ wp)
consts
 uwp :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \text{ (infix } wp 60)
definition wp-upred :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation \Rightarrow '\beta condition \Rightarrow '\alpha condition where
wp-upred Q r = |\neg (Q ;; \neg \lceil r \rceil <)| <
adhoc-overloading
 uwp wp-upred
declare wp-upred-def [urel-defs]
theorem wp-assigns-r [wp]:
 (assigns-r \sigma) wp r = \sigma \dagger r
 by rel-tac
theorem wp-skip-r [wp]:
 II wp r = r
 by rel-tac
theorem wp-true [wp]:
 r \neq true \implies true \ wp \ r = false
 by rel-tac
theorem wp-conj [wp]:
```

```
P\ wp\ (q\land r)=(P\ wp\ q\land P\ wp\ r) by rel\text{-}tac theorem wp\text{-}seq\text{-}r\ [wp]: (P\ ;;\ Q)\ wp\ r=P\ wp\ (Q\ wp\ r) by rel\text{-}tac theorem wp\text{-}cond\ [wp]: (P\vartriangleleft b) Q)\ wp\ r=((b\Rightarrow P\ wp\ r)\land ((\lnot b)\Rightarrow Q\ wp\ r)) by rel\text{-}tac end
```

### 8 UTP Theories

```
theory utp-theory imports utp-rel begin  \begin{aligned} & \text{type-synonym} \ '\alpha \ \textit{Healthiness-condition} &= '\alpha \ \textit{upred} \ \Rightarrow '\alpha \ \textit{upred} \\ & \text{definition} \\ & \textit{Healthy::'}\alpha \ \textit{upred} \ \Rightarrow '\alpha \ \textit{Healthiness-condition} \ \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \ (\text{infix} \ \textit{is} \ \textit{30}) \\ & \text{where} \ P \ \textit{is} \ H \equiv (P = H \ P) \\ & \text{lemma} \ \textit{Healthy-def':} \ P \ \textit{is} \ H \longleftrightarrow (H \ P = P) \\ & \text{unfolding} \ \textit{Healthy-def'} \ [\textit{upred-defs}] \end{aligned}
```

end

# 9 Example UTP theory: Boyle's laws

```
theory utp-boyle imports utp-theory begin
```

Boyle's law states that k = p \* V is invariant. We here encode this as a simple UTP theory. We first create a record to represent the alphabet of the theory consisting of the three variables k, p and V.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{record} \ alpha\text{-}boyle = \\ boyle\text{-}k :: real \\ boyle\text{-}p :: real \\ boyle\text{-}V :: real \end{array}
```

For now we have to explicitly cast the fields to UTP variables using the VAR syntactic transformation function – in future we'd like to automate this. We also have to add the definition equations for these variables to the simplification set for predicates to enable automated proof through our tactics.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{definition} \ k = VAR \ boyle-k \\ \textbf{definition} \ p = VAR \ boyle-p \\ \textbf{definition} \ V = VAR \ boyle-V \\ \\ \textbf{declare} \ k\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs] \ \textbf{and} \ p\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs]} \ \textbf{and} \ V\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs] \\ \end{array}
```

Next we state Boyle's law using the healthiness condition B and likewise add it to the UTP predicate definitional equation set. The syntax differs a little from UTP; we try not to override HOL constants and so UTP predicate equality is subscripted. Moreover to distinguish variables standing for a predicate (like  $\phi$ ) from variables standing for UTP variables we have to prepend the latter with an ampersand.

```
definition B(\varphi) = ((\exists k \cdot \varphi) \land (\&k =_u \&p * \&V))
```

```
declare B-def [upred-defs]
```

We can then prove that B is both idempotent and monotone simply by application of the predicate tactic.

```
lemma B-idempotent:
```

```
B(B(P)) = B(P)
by pred-tac
```

#### lemma *B-monotone*:

```
X \sqsubseteq Y \Longrightarrow B(X) \sqsubseteq B(Y)
by pred-tac
```

We also create some example observations; the first satisfies Boyle's law and the second doesn't.

```
\textbf{definition} \ \varphi_1 = ((\&p =_u 10) \ \land \ (\&V =_u 5) \ \land \ (\&k =_u 50))
```

```
definition \varphi_2 = ((\&p =_u 10) \land (\&V =_u 5) \land (\&k =_u 100))
```

We prove that  $\varphi_1$  satisfied by Boyle's law by simplication of its definitional equation and then application of the predicate tactic.

```
lemma B-\varphi_1: \varphi_1 is B by (simp add: \varphi_1-def, pred-tac)
```

We prove that  $\varphi_2$  does not satisfy Boyle's law by showing it's in fact equal to  $\varphi_1$ . We do this via an automated Isar proof.

```
lemma B 	ext{-} \varphi_2 	ext{:} \ B(\varphi_2) = \varphi_1 proof — have B(\varphi_2) = B((\&p =_u 10) \land (\&V =_u 5) \land (\&k =_u 100)) by (simp \ add: \varphi_2 	ext{-}def) also have ... = ((\exists \ k \cdot (\&p =_u 10) \land (\&V =_u 5) \land (\&k =_u 100)) \land (\&k =_u \&p * \&V)) by pred 	ext{-}tac also have ... = ((\&p =_u 10) \land (\&V =_u 5) \land (\&k =_u \&p * \&V)) by pred 	ext{-}tac also have ... = ((\&p =_u 10) \land (\&V =_u 5) \land (\&k =_u 50)) by pred 	ext{-}tac also have ... = \varphi_1 by (simp \ add: \varphi_1 	ext{-}def) finally show ?thesis. qed
```

## 10 Designs

end

```
theory utp-designs
imports
utp-rel
```

```
utp-wp
utp-theory
begin
```

In UTP, in order to explicitly record the termination of a program, a subset of alphabetized relations is introduced. These relations are called designs and their alphabet should contain the special boolean observational variable ok. It is used to record the start and termination of a program.

#### 10.1 Definitions

In the following, the definitions of designs alphabets, designs and healthiness (well-formedness) conditions are given. The healthiness conditions of designs are defined by H1, H2, H3 and H4.

```
\mathbf{record}\ alpha - d = des - ok :: bool
```

The ok variable is defined using the syntactic translation VAR

```
definition ok = VAR des-ok
```

```
declare ok-def [upred-defs]
```

```
lemma uvar-ok [simp]: uvar ok
by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: ok-def)
```

```
type-synonym '\alpha alphabet-d='\alpha alpha-d-scheme alphabet
type-synonym ('a, '\alpha) uvar-d=('a, '\alpha \ alphabet-d) \ uvar
type-synonym ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d=('\alpha \ alphabet-d, '<math>\beta \ alphabet-d) relation
type-synonym '\alpha hrelation-d='\alpha \ alphabet-d hrelation
```

It would be nice to be able to prove some general distributivity properties about these lifting operators. I don't know if that's possible somehow...

```
lift-definition lift-desr :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d (\lceil -\rceil_D) is \lambda P (A, A'). P (more\ A, more\ A') .
```

```
lift-definition drop-desr :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation (\lfloor -\rfloor_D) is \lambda \ P \ (A, A'). P \ ((| des-ok = True, ... = A |), (| des-ok = True, ... = A' |).
```

```
definition design::('\alpha, '\beta) \ relation \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) \ relation \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) \ relation-d \ (infixl \vdash 60) where (P \vdash Q) = (\$ok \land \lceil P \rceil_D \Rightarrow \$ok' \land \lceil Q \rceil_D)
```

```
definition skip\text{-}d :: '\alpha \text{ hrelation-}d (II_D) where II_D \equiv (true \vdash II)
```

```
definition assigns-d :: '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrelation-d where assigns-d \ \sigma = (true \vdash assigns-r \ \sigma)
```

At some point assignment should be generalised to multiple variables and maybe also for selectors.

```
abbreviation assign-d :: ('a, '\alpha) uvar \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha hrelation-d (infix :=_D 40) where assign-d x v \equiv assigns-d [x \mapsto_s v]
```

```
definition J :: '\alpha \ hrelation - d
where J = ((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land \lceil II \rceil_D)
```

```
definition H1 (P) \equiv \$ok \Rightarrow P
definition H2(P) \equiv P :: J
definition H3(P) \equiv P :: II_D
definition H_4(P) \equiv ((P;;true) \Rightarrow P)
abbreviation \sigma f:('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d (-f [1000] 1000)
where \sigma f D \equiv D[false/\$ok']
abbreviation \sigma t :: ('\alpha, '\beta) \ relation - d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) \ relation - d (-t [1000] 1000)
where \sigma t D \equiv D[true/\$ok']
definition pre-design :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation (pre_D'(-')) where
pre_D(P) = |\neg P^f|_D
definition post-design :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation (post_D'(-')) where
post_D(P) = |P^t|_D
definition wp-design :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow '\beta condition \Rightarrow '\alpha condition (infix wp<sub>D</sub> 60) where
Q wp_D r = (|pre_D(Q)|; true|_{<} \land (post_D(Q) wp r))
declare design-def [upred-defs]
declare skip-d-def [upred-defs]
declare J-def [upred-defs]
declare pre-design-def [upred-defs]
declare post-design-def [upred-defs]
declare wp-design-def [upred-defs]
declare H1-def [upred-defs]
declare H2-def [upred-defs]
declare H3-def [upred-defs]
declare H4-def [upred-defs]
lemma drop-desr-inv [simp]: |\lceil P \rceil_D|_D = P
 by (transfer, simp)
10.2
          Design laws
lemma lift-desr-unrest-ok [unrest]:
 \$ok \sharp \lceil P \rceil_D \$ok' \sharp \lceil P \rceil_D
 by (transfer, simp add: ok-def)+
lemma lift-dists [simp]:
  \lceil true \rceil_D = true
  \lceil \neg P \rceil_D = (\neg \lceil P \rceil_D)
  [P \land Q]_D = ([P]_D \land [Q]_D)
 by (pred-tac)+
lemma lift-dist-seq [simp]:
  [P ;; Q]_D = ([P]_D ;; [Q]_D)
 by (rel\text{-}tac, metis alpha\text{-}d.select\text{-}convs(2))
lemma design-refine:
  assumes 'P1 \Rightarrow P2' 'P1 \land Q2 \Rightarrow Q1'
```

```
shows P1 \vdash Q1 \sqsubseteq P2 \vdash Q2
  using assms unfolding upred-defs
  by pred-tac
theorem design-ok-false [usubst]: (P \vdash Q) [false/$ok] = true
  by (simp add: design-def usubst)
theorem design-pre [simp]: pre_D(P \vdash Q) = P
  by pred-tac
theorem design-post [simp]: post_D(P \vdash Q) = (P \Rightarrow Q)
  \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{pred-tac}
theorem design-true-left-zero: (true ;; (P \vdash Q)) = true
proof -
  have (true ;; (P \vdash Q)) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot true [ (ok_0) / sok'] ;; (P \vdash Q) [ (ok_0) / sok])
    by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ ok],\ simp-all)
  \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((true \llbracket false / \$ok \' \rrbracket \ ;; \ (P \vdash Q) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket) \lor (true \llbracket true / \$ok \' \rrbracket \ ;; \ (P \vdash Q) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket))
    by (simp add: disj-comm false-alt-def true-alt-def)
  also have ... = ((true \llbracket false / \$ok' \rrbracket ;; true_h) \lor (true ;; ((P \vdash Q) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket)))
    by (subst-tac, rel-tac)
  also have \dots = true
    by (subst-tac, simp add: precond-right-unit unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
{\bf theorem}\ design\hbox{-}composition:
  ((P1 \vdash Q1) ;; (P2 \vdash Q2)) = (((\neg ((\neg P1) ;; true)) \land \neg (Q1 ;; (\neg P2))) \vdash (Q1 ;; Q2))
  using assms
proof -
  have ((P1 \vdash Q1) ;; (P2 \vdash Q2)) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot ((P1 \vdash Q1) [ \langle ok_0 \rangle / sok'] ;; (P2 \vdash Q2) [ \langle ok_0 \rangle / sok]))
    by (rule seqr-middle, simp)
  also have ...
        = (((P1 \vdash Q1)[false/\$ok'] ; (P2 \vdash Q2)[false/\$ok])
             \lor ((P1 \vdash Q1)[true/\$ok'] ;; (P2 \vdash Q2)[true/\$ok]))
    by (simp add: true-alt-def false-alt-def, pred-tac)
  also from assms
  have ... = (((\$ok \land \lceil P1 \rceil_D \Rightarrow \lceil Q1 \rceil_D) ;; (\lceil P2 \rceil_D \Rightarrow \$ok' \land \lceil Q2 \rceil_D)) \lor ((\neg (\$ok \land \lceil P1 \rceil_D)) ;; true))
    by (simp add: design-def usubst unrest, pred-tac)
  also have ... = ((\neg\$ok \ ;; \ true_h) \lor (\neg \lceil P1 \rceil_D \ ;; \ true) \lor (\lceil Q1 \rceil_D \ ;; \ \neg \lceil P2 \rceil_D) \lor (\$ok' \land (\lceil Q1 \rceil_D \ ;; \ \neg \lceil P2 \rceil_D))
\lceil Q2 \rceil_D)))
    by (rel-tac)
  also have ... = (\neg (\neg P1 ;; true) \land \neg (Q1 ;; \neg P2)) \vdash (Q1 ;; Q2)
    by (simp add: precond-right-unit design-def unrest, rel-tac)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem design-skip-idem [simp]:
  (II_D :: II_D) = II_D
  by (simp add: skip-d-def urel-defs, pred-tac)
theorem design-composition-cond:
  assumes out\alpha \sharp p1
  shows ((p1 \vdash Q1) ;; (P2 \vdash Q2)) = ((p1 \land \neg (Q1 ;; (\neg P2))) \vdash (Q1 ;; Q2))
  using assms
```

```
by (simp add: design-composition unrest precond-right-unit)
theorem design-composition-wp:
  fixes Q1 Q2 :: '\alpha hrelation
 shows ((\lceil p1 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q1) ;; (\lceil p2 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q2)) = ((\lceil p1 \land Q1 \ wp \ p2 \rceil_{<}) \vdash (Q1 \ ;; \ Q2))
  using assms
 by (simp add: design-composition-cond unrest, rel-tac)
theorem design-wp [wp]:
 (\lceil p \rceil_{<} \vdash Q) \ wp_D \ r = (p \land Q \ wp \ r)
 by rel-tac
theorem wpd-seq-r:
 fixes Q1 Q2 :: '\alpha hrelation
 shows (\lceil p1 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q1 ;; \lceil p2 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q2) wp_D r = (\lceil p1 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q1) wp_D ((\lceil p2 \rceil_{<} \vdash Q2) wp_D r)
 by (simp add: design-composition-wp wp, rel-tac)
theorem design-left-unit [simp]:
  (II_D ;; P \vdash Q) = (P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: skip-d-def urel-defs, pred-tac)
theorem design-right-cond-unit [simp]:
  assumes out\alpha \sharp p
 shows (p \vdash Q ;; II_D) = (p \vdash Q)
  using assms
  by (simp add: skip-d-def design-composition-cond)
lemma lift-des-skip-dr-unit [simp]:
  (\lceil P \rceil_D ;; \lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil P \rceil_D
  (\lceil II \rceil_D ;; \lceil P \rceil_D) = \lceil P \rceil_D
 by rel-tac rel-tac
10.3
         H1: No observation is allowed before initiation
lemma H1-idem:
  H1 (H1 P) = H1(P)
 by pred-tac
lemma H1-monotone:
  P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow H1(P) \sqsubseteq H1(Q)
 by pred-tac
lemma H1-design-skip:
  H1(II) = II_D
 by rel-tac
The H1 algebraic laws are valid only when \alpha(R) is homogeneous. This should maybe be gener-
alised.
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{H1-algebraic-intro}:
 assumes
   (true_h ;; R) = true_h
   (II_D ;; R) = R
 shows R is H1
proof -
```

have  $R = (II_D ;; R)$  by  $(simp \ add: assms(2))$ 

```
also have \dots = (H1(II) ;; R)
   by (simp add: H1-design-skip)
 also have ... = ((\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; R)
   by (simp add: H1-def)
 also have ... = ((\neg \$ok ;; R) \lor R)
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def segr-or-distl)
 also have ... = (((\neg \$ok ;; true_h) ;; R) \lor R)
   by (simp add: precond-right-unit unrest)
 also have ... = ((\neg \$ok ;; true_h) \lor R)
   by (metis\ assms(1)\ seqr-assoc)
 also have ... = (\$ok \Rightarrow R)
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def precond-right-unit unrest)
 finally show ?thesis by (metis H1-def Healthy-def')
\mathbf{lemma}\ nok\text{-}not\text{-}false:
  (\neg \$ok) \neq false
 by (simp add:ok-def, pred-tac, simp add: in-var-def, metis alpha-d.select-convs(1) fst-conv)
theorem H1-left-zero:
 assumes P is H1
 shows (true_h ;; P) = true_h
proof -
 from assms have (true_h ;; P) = (true_h ;; (\$ok \Rightarrow P))
   by (simp add: H1-def Healthy-def')
 also from assms have ... = (true_h : ; (\neg \$ok \lor P))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def)
 also from assms have ... = ((true_h ;; \neg \$ok) \lor (true_h ;; P))
   using seqr-or-distr by blast
 also from assms have ... = (true \lor (true ;; P))
   by (simp add: nok-not-false precond-left-zero unrest)
 finally show ?thesis by rel-tac
qed
theorem H1-left-unit:
 fixes P :: '\alpha \ hrelation-d
 assumes P is H1
 shows (II_D;;P)=P
proof -
 have (II_D ;; P) = ((\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; P)
   by (metis H1-def H1-design-skip)
 also have ... = ((\neg \$ok ;; P) \lor P)
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def seqr-or-distl)
 also from assms have ... = (((\neg \$ok ;; true_h) ;; P) \lor P)
   by (simp add: precond-right-unit unrest)
 also have ... = ((\neg \$ok ;; (true_h ;; P)) \lor P)
   by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
 also from assms have ... = (\$ok \Rightarrow P)
   by (simp add: H1-left-zero impl-alt-def precond-right-unit unrest)
 finally show ?thesis using assms
   by (simp add: H1-def Healthy-def')
qed
theorem H1-algebraic:
 P \text{ is } H1 \longleftrightarrow (true_h ;; P) = true_h \land (II_D ;; P) = P
```

```
theorem H1-nok-left-zero:

fixes P::'\alpha hrelation-d

assumes P is H1

shows (\neg \$ok ;; P) = (\neg \$ok)

proof -

have (\neg \$ok ;; P) = ((\neg \$ok ;; true_h) ;; P)

by (simp \ add: precond-right-unit \ unrest)

also have ... = ((\neg \$ok) ;; true_h)

by (metis \ H1-left-zero assms seqr-assoc)

also have ... = (\neg \$ok)

by (simp \ add: precond-right-unit \ unrest)

finally show ?thesis.
```

### 10.4 H2: A specification cannot require non-termination

```
lemma J-split:
 shows (P :; J) = (P^f \vee (P^t \wedge \$ok'))
proof -
  have (P :; J) = (P :; ((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land \lceil II \rceil_D))
    by (simp add: H2-def J-def design-def)
  also have ... = (P : (\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok \land \$ok') \land [II]_D)
    by rel-tac
  also have ... = ((P : (\neg \$ok \land [II]_D)) \lor (P : (\$ok \land ([II]_D \land \$ok'))))
    by rel-tac
 also have ... = (P^f \lor (P^t \land \$ok'))
  proof -
    have (P :: (\neg \$ok \land \lceil II \rceil_D)) = P^f
    proof -
      have (P :: (\neg \$ok \land \lceil II \rceil_D)) = ((P \land \neg \$ok') :: \lceil II \rceil_D)
       by rel-tac
      also have ... = (\exists \$ok' \cdot P \land \$ok' =_u false)
       by (rel-tac, metis (mono-tags, lifting) alpha-d.surjective alpha-d.update-convs(1))
      also have \dots = P^f
        by (metis one-point out-var-uvar ouvar-def unrest-false uvar-ok)
     finally show ?thesis.
    moreover have (P :: (\$ok \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \land \$ok'))) = (P^t \land \$ok')
   proof -
      have (P : (\$ok \land ([II]_D \land \$ok'))) = (P : (\$ok \land II))
       by (rel-tac, metis alpha-d.equality)
      also have ... = (P^t \wedge \$ok')
       \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{rel-tac},\ \textit{metis}\ (\textit{full-types})\ \textit{alpha-d.surjective}\ \textit{alpha-d.update-convs}(1)) + \\
     finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma H2-split:
  shows H2(P) = (P^f \vee (P^t \wedge \$ok'))
 by (simp add: H2-def J-split)
```

```
theorem H2-equivalence:
  P \text{ is } H2 \longleftrightarrow {}^{\iota}P^f \Rightarrow P^t {}^{\iota}
proof -
  have P \Leftrightarrow (P :: J) \leftrightarrow P \Leftrightarrow (P^f \lor (P^t \land \$ok))
   by (simp add: J-split)
  also from assms have ... \longleftrightarrow '(P \Leftrightarrow P^f \vee P^t \wedge \$ok')^f \wedge (P \Leftrightarrow P^f \vee P^t \wedge \$ok')^t'
   by (simp add: subst-bool-split)
 also from assms have ... = (P^f \Leftrightarrow P^f) \land (P^t \Leftrightarrow P^f \lor P^t)
   by subst-tac
 also have ... = P^t \Leftrightarrow (P^f \vee P^t)
   by pred-tac
  also have ... = (P^f \Rightarrow P^t)
   by pred-tac
 finally show ?thesis using assms
   by (metis H2-def Healthy-def' taut-iff-eq)
lemma H2-equiv:
  P \text{ is } H2 \longleftrightarrow P^t \sqsubseteq P^f
  using H2-equivalence refBy-order by blast
lemma H2-design:
  H2(P \vdash Q) = P \vdash Q
 using assms
 by (simp add: H2-split design-def usubst unrest, pred-tac)
theorem J-idem:
  (J ;; J) = J
 by (simp add: J-def urel-defs, pred-tac)
theorem H2-idem:
  H2(H2(P)) = H2(P)
 by (metis H2-def J-idem seqr-assoc)
theorem H2-not-okay: H2 (\neg \$ok) = (\neg \$ok)
proof -
  have H2 (\neg \$ok) = ((\neg \$ok)^f \lor ((\neg \$ok)^t \land \$ok'))
   by (simp add: H2-split)
 also have ... = (\neg \$ok \lor (\neg \$ok) \land \$ok')
   by (subst-tac, simp add: iuvar-def)
 also have ... = (\neg \$ok)
   by pred-tac
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem H1-H2-commute:
  H1 (H2 P) = H2 (H1 P)
proof -
 have H2 (H1 P) = ((\$ok \Rightarrow P) ;; J)
   by (simp add: H1-def H2-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((\neg \$ok \lor P) ;; J)
   by rel-tac
  also have ... = ((\neg \$ok ;; J) \lor (P ;; J))
   using seqr-or-distl by blast
```

```
also have ... = ((H2 (\neg \$ok)) \lor H2(P))
   by (simp add: H2-def)
  also have ... = ((\neg \$ok) \lor H2(P))
   by (simp add: H2-not-okay)
  also have ... = H1(H2(P))
   by rel-tac
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma ok-pre: (\$ok \land \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_D) = (\$ok \land (\neg P^f))
  by (pred-tac, metis (full-types) alpha-d.surjective alpha-d.update-convs(1))+
lemma ok\text{-}post: (\$ok \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_D) = (\$ok \land (P^t))
  by (pred-tac, metis (full-types) alpha-d.surjective alpha-d.update-convs(1))+
theorem H1-H2-is-design:
 assumes P is H1 P is H2
 shows P = pre_D(P) \vdash post_D(P)
proof -
  from assms have P = (\$ok \Rightarrow H2(P))
   by (simp add: H1-def Healthy-def')
  also have ... = (\$ok \Rightarrow (P^f \lor (P^t \land \$ok')))
   by (metis H2-split)
  also have ... = (\$ok \land (\neg P^f) \Rightarrow \$ok' \land P^t)
   by pred-tac
  also have ... = (\$ok \land (\neg P^f) \Rightarrow \$ok' \land \$ok \land P^t)
   by pred-tac
  also have ... = (\$ok \land \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_D \Rightarrow \$ok' \land \$ok \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_D)
   by (simp add: ok-post ok-pre)
  also have ... = (\$ok \land \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_D \Rightarrow \$ok' \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_D)
   by pred-tac
  also from assms have ... = pre_D(P) \vdash post_D(P)
   by (simp add: design-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
abbreviation H1-H2 P \equiv H1 \ (H2 \ P)
         H3: The design assumption is a precondition
10.5
theorem H3-idem:
  H3(H3(P)) = H3(P)
 by (metis H3-def design-skip-idem seqr-assoc)
theorem H3-iff-pre:
  P \vdash Q \text{ is } H3 \longleftrightarrow P = (P ;; true)
proof -
 have (P \vdash Q ;; II_D) = (P \vdash Q ;; true \vdash II)
   by (simp add: skip-d-def)
  also have ... = (\neg (\neg P ;; true) \land \neg (Q ;; \neg true)) \vdash (Q ;; II)
   by (fact design-composition)
  also have ... = (\neg (\neg P ;; true) \land \neg (Q ;; \neg true)) \vdash Q
   by simp
  also have ... = (\neg (\neg P ;; true)) \vdash Q
   by pred-tac
  finally have P \vdash Q \text{ is } H3 \longleftrightarrow P \vdash Q = (\neg (\neg P ;; true)) \vdash Q
```

```
by (metis H3-def Healthy-def')
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow P = (\neg (\neg P ;; true))
   by (metis design-pre)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow P = (P ;; true)
   by (simp add: segr-true-lemma)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem H1-H3-commute:
  H1 (H3 P) = H3 (H1 P)
 by rel-tac
lemma skip-d-absorb-J-1:
  (II_D ;; J) = II_D
 by (metis H2-def H2-design skip-d-def)
lemma skip-d-absorb-J-2:
  (J ;; II_D) = II_D
proof -
  have (J :: II_D) = ((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land [II]_D :: true \vdash II)
   by (simp add: J-def skip-d-def)
  also have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot ((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land [H]_D)[\![ \otimes ok_0 \gg /\$ok']\!] ;; (true \vdash H)[\![ \otimes ok_0 \gg /\$ok]\!]
   by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ ok],\ simp-all)
  also have ... = ((((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land \lceil II \rceil_D) \llbracket false / \$ok' \rrbracket ;; (true \vdash II) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket)
                 \vee (((\$ok \Rightarrow \$ok') \land \lceil II \rceil_D) \llbracket true / \$ok' \rrbracket \; ;; \; (true \vdash II) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket))
   by (simp add: disj-comm false-alt-def true-alt-def)
  also have ... = ((\neg \$ok \land \lceil II \rceil_D ;; true) \lor (\lceil II \rceil_D ;; \$ok' \land \lceil II \rceil_D))
   by (simp add: usubst unrest design-def iuvar-def ouvar-def)
  also have ... = II_D
   by rel-tac
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma H2-H3-absorb:
  H2 (H3 P) = H3 P
 by (metis H2-def H3-def segr-assoc skip-d-absorb-J-1)
lemma H3-H2-absorb:
  H3 (H2 P) = H3 P
 by (metis H2-def H3-def segr-assoc skip-d-absorb-J-2)
theorem H2-H3-commute:
  H2 (H3 P) = H3 (H2 P)
 by (simp add: H2-H3-absorb H3-H2-absorb)
theorem H3-design-pre:
 assumes out\alpha \ \sharp \ p
 shows H3(p \vdash Q) = p \vdash Q
 using assms
 by (simp\ add:\ H3\text{-}def)
theorem H1-H3-is-design:
  assumes P is H1 P is H3
 shows P = pre_D(P) \vdash post_D(P)
 by (metis H1-H2-is-design H2-H3-absorb Healthy-def' assms)
```

```
theorem H1-H3-is-normal-design:
 assumes P is H1 P is H3
 shows P = \lceil |pre_D(P)|_{\leq} \rceil_{\leq} \vdash post_D(P)
 by (metis H1-H3-is-design H3-iff-pre assms(1) assms(2) drop-pre-inv precond-equiv)
abbreviation H1-H3 p \equiv H1 \ (H3 \ p)
theorem wpd-seq-r-H1-H2 [wp]:
 fixes P Q :: '\alpha \ hrelation-d
 assumes P is H1-H3 Q is H1-H3
 shows (P ;; Q) wp_D r = P wp_D (Q wp_D r)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{no-types},\ \mathit{lifting})\ \mathit{H1-H3-commute}\ \mathit{H1-H3-is-normal-design}\ \mathit{H1-idem}\ \mathit{Healthy-def'}\ \mathit{assms}(1)
assms(2) \ wpd\text{-}seq\text{-}r)
10.6
        H4: Feasibility
theorem H4-idem:
 H_4(H_4(P)) = H_4(P)
 by pred-tac
end
11
        Concurrent programming
theory utp-concurrency
 imports utp-designs
begin
```

We describe the partition of a state space into a left and right part for parallel composition. If we want n-ary partitions this could alternatively use a list. But then the type-system would not record the number of state-spaces present, but perhaps we don't want that ...

no-notation

Sublist.parallel (infixl | 50)

```
record 'a partition =
  left-alpha :: 'a
 right-alpha :: 'a
definition design-par :: ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) relation-d (infixr || 85)
P \parallel Q = ((pre_D(P) \land pre_D(Q)) \vdash (post_D(P) \land post_D(Q)))
declare design-par-def [upred-defs]
lemma parallel-zero: P \parallel true = true
proof -
 have P \parallel true = (pre_D(P) \land pre_D(true)) \vdash (post_D(P) \land post_D(true))
   by (simp add: design-par-def)
  also have ... = (pre_D(P) \land false) \vdash (post_D(P) \land true)
   by rel-tac
  also have \dots = true
   by rel-tac
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma parallel-assoc: P \parallel Q \parallel R = (P \parallel Q) \parallel R by rel-tac

lemma parallel-comm: P \parallel Q = Q \parallel P by pred-tac

lemma parallel-idem:
assumes P is H1 P is H2 shows P \parallel P = P by (metis\ H1-H2-is-design\ assms\ conj-idem\ design-par-def)
```

A merge relation is a design that describes how a partitioned state-space should be merged into a third state-space. For now the state-spaces for two merged processes should have the same type. This could potentially be generalised, but that might have an effect on our reasoning capabilities.

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{type-synonym} \ ('\alpha,\ '\beta) \ \textit{merge-d} = ('\alpha \ \textit{partition},\ '\beta) \ \textit{relation-d} \\ & \textbf{lift-definition} \ \textit{U0} :: ('\alpha,\ '\alpha \ \textit{partition}) \ \textit{relation-d} \ \textbf{is} \\ & \lambda \ (A,\ A'). \ \textit{des-ok} \ A' = \textit{des-ok} \ A \land \textit{left-alpha} \ (\textit{alpha-d.more} \ A') = \textit{alpha-d.more} \ A \ . \\ & \textbf{lift-definition} \ \textit{U1} :: ('\alpha,\ '\alpha \ \textit{partition}) \ \textit{relation-d} \ \textbf{is} \\ & \lambda \ (A,\ A'). \ \textit{des-ok} \ A' = \textit{des-ok} \ A \land \textit{right-alpha} \ (\textit{alpha-d.more} \ A') = \textit{alpha-d.more} \ A \ . \\ & \textbf{Parallel} \ \textbf{by merge} \\ & \textbf{definition} \ \textit{design-par-by-merge} :: \\ & ('\alpha,\ '\beta) \ \textit{relation-d} \Rightarrow ('\beta,\ '\gamma) \ \textit{merge-d} \Rightarrow ('\alpha,\ '\beta) \ \textit{relation-d} \Rightarrow ('\alpha,\ '\gamma) \ \textit{relation-d} \ \textbf{(infixr} \parallel \ 85) \\ & \textbf{where} \ P \ \parallel_M \ Q = (((P \ ;; \ \textit{U0}) \ \parallel \ (Q \ ;; \ \textit{U1})) \ ;; \ M) \end{aligned}
```

### 12 Reactive processes

theory utp-reactive imports utp-concurrency begin

end

Following the way of UTP to describe reactive processes, more observational variables are needed to record the interaction with the environment. Three observational variables are defined for this subset of relations: wait, tr and ref. The boolean variable wait records if the process is waiting for an interaction or has terminated. tr records the list (trace) of interactions the process has performed so far. The variable ref contains the set of interactions (events) the process may refuse to perform.

In this section, we introduce first some preliminary notions, useful for trace manipulations. The definitions of reactive process alphabets and healthiness conditions are also given. Finally, proved lemmas and theorems are listed.

### 12.1 Preliminaries

```
type-synonym '\alpha trace = '\alpha list
```

fun list-diff::' $\alpha$   $list <math>\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$  list  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$  list option where

```
list-diff l [] = Some l | list-diff [] l = None | list-diff (x\#xs) (y\#ys) = (if (x=y) then (list-diff xs ys) else None) lemma list-diff-empty [simp]: the (list-diff l []) = l by (cases l) auto lemma prefix-subst [simp]: l @ t=m\Longrightarrow m-l=t by (auto) lemma prefix-subst1 [simp]: m=l @ t\Longrightarrow m-l=t by (auto)
```

The definitions of reactive process alphabets and healthiness conditions are given in the following. The healthiness conditions of reactive processes are defined by R1, R2, R3 and their composition R.

```
type-synonym '\vartheta refusal = '\vartheta set
record '\vartheta alpha-rp = alpha-d +
                      rp-wait :: bool
                      rp-tr :: '\vartheta trace
                      rp\text{-}ref :: '\vartheta \ refusal
definition wait = VAR rp\text{-}wait
definition tr = VAR rp-tr
definition ref = VAR rp-ref
declare wait-def [upred-defs]
declare tr-def [upred-defs]
declare ref-def [upred-defs]
lemma uvar-wait [simp]: uvar wait
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: wait-def)
lemma uvar-tr [simp]: uvar tr
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: tr-def)
lemma uvar-ref [simp]: uvar ref
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: ref-def)
```

Note that we define here the class of UTP alphabets that contain wait, tr and ref, or, in other words, we define here the class of reactive process alphabets.

```
type-synonym ('\vartheta,'\alpha) alphabet-rp = ('\vartheta,'\alpha) alphaber-rp-scheme alphabet type-synonym ('\vartheta,'\alpha) relation-rp = (('\vartheta,'\alpha) alphabet-rp, ('\vartheta,'\beta) alphabet-rp) relation type-synonym ('\vartheta,'\sigma) predicate-rp = ('\vartheta,'\sigma) alphabet-rp upred definition R1-def [upred-defs]: R1 (P) = (P \left(\sigma tr\)) \left(\sigma tr\)) definition R2-def [upred-defs]: R2 (P) = (P[\left(\sigma)/\sigma tr\][(\sigma tr\) - \sigma tr\)) \left(\sigma tr\) definition skip-rea-def [urel-defs]: H_r = (H \vee (\neg \$ok \wedge \$tr \le_u \$tr'))
```

There are two versions of R3 in the UTP book. Here we opt for the version that works for CSP **definition** R3-def [urel-defs]: R3c  $(P) = (H_r \triangleleft \&wait \triangleright P)$ 

```
definition R(P) = R1(R2(R3c(P)))
lemma R1-idem: R1(R1(P)) = R1(P)
  by pred-tac
lemma R2-idem: R2(R2(P)) = R2(P)
  by (pred-tac)
lemma tr-prefix-as-concat: (xs \le_u ys) = (\exists zs \cdot ys =_u xs \hat{\ }_u \ll zs \gg)
  by (rel-tac, simp add: less-eq-list-def prefixeq-def)
lemma R2-form:
   R2(P) = (\exists tt \cdot P[\langle \rangle / \$tr] [ \ll tt \gg / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{u} \ll tt \gg )
  by (rel-tac, metis prefix-subst strict-prefixE)
lemma uconc-left-unit [simp]: \langle \rangle \hat{}_u e = e
  by pred-tac
lemma uconc-right-unit [simp]: e \ \hat{\ }_u \ \langle \rangle = e
  by pred-tac
This laws is proven only for homogeneous relations, can it be generalised?
lemma R2-seqr-form:
  fixes P Q :: ('\vartheta, '\alpha, '\alpha) \ relation-rp
  shows (R2(P); R2(Q)) =
           (\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((P[\langle \rangle/\$tr]][\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']) ;; (Q[\langle \rangle/\$tr]][\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']))
                               \wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_2 \gg))
proof -
  have (R2(P); R2(Q)) = (\exists tr_0 \cdot (R2(P))[\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr']; (R2(Q))[\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr])
     by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ tr],\ simp-all)
   also have \dots =
         (\exists tr_0 \cdot \exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((P[\langle \rangle /\$tr])[\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']) \wedge \ll tr_0 \gg =_u \$tr \uparrow_u \ll tt_1 \gg) ;;
                                          (Q[\langle \rangle/\$tr][\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \ll tr_0 \gg \hat{u} \ll tt_2 \gg)))
     by (simp add: R2-form usubst unrest uquant-lift var-in-var var-out-var, rel-tac)
  also have ... =
         (\exists \ tr_0 \cdot \exists \ tt_1 \cdot \exists \ tt_2 \cdot ((\ll tr_0 \gg =_u \$tr \ \hat{\ }_u \ \ll tt_1 \gg \wedge \ P[\![\langle \rangle / \$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr \, \hat{\ }]\!]) \ ;;
                                         (Q[\langle \rangle/\$tr][\![\ll tt_2 \gg/\$tr']\!] \wedge \$tr' =_u \ll tr_0 \gg \hat{u} \ll tt_2 \gg)))
     by (simp add: conj-comm)
   also have \dots =
        (\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot \exists tr_0 \cdot ((P[[\langle \rangle/\$tr]][\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']) ;; (Q[[\langle \rangle/\$tr]][\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']))
                                          \wedge \ \ll tr_0 \gg \ =_u \ \$tr \ \widehat{\ \ }_u \ \ll tt_1 \gg \wedge \ \$tr \ ' \ =_u \ \ll tr_0 \gg \ \widehat{\ \ }_u \ \ll tt_2 \gg )
     by (simp add: seqr-pre-out seqr-post-out unrest, rel-tac)
   also have \dots =
         (\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((P \llbracket \langle \rangle / \$tr \rrbracket \llbracket \ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr ' \rrbracket) ;; (Q \llbracket \langle \rangle / \$tr \rrbracket \llbracket \ll tt_2 \gg / \$tr ' \rrbracket))
                               \wedge \left( \exists tr_0 \cdot \langle tr_0 \rangle =_u \$tr \cdot \langle tr_1 \rangle \wedge \$tr' =_u \langle tr_0 \rangle \cdot \langle tr_2 \rangle \right) 
     \mathbf{by} rel-tac
  also have \dots =
         (\exists \ tt_1 \cdot \exists \ tt_2 \cdot ((P[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']\!]) \ ;; \ (Q[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']\!])
                               \wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_2 \gg))
     by rel-tac
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R2-segr-distribute:
  fixes P Q :: ('\vartheta, '\alpha, '\alpha) \ relation-rp
```

```
shows R2(R2(P); R2(Q)) = (R2(P); R2(Q))
proof -
  have R2(R2(P) ;; R2(Q)) =
     ((\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot (P[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!] [\![\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']\!] ;; Q[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!] [\![\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']\!]) [\![(\$tr' - \$tr) /\$tr']\!]
       \wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_2 \gg) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr)
     by (simp add: R2-seqr-form, simp add: R2-def usubst unrest, rel-tac)
  also have ... =
     ((\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot (P[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']\!] ;; Q[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']\!])[\![(\ll tt_1 \gg \hat{u} \ll tt_2 \gg )/\$tr']\!]
       \wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_2 \gg) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr)
       by (subst-subst-eq-replace, simp)
  also have ... =
     ((\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot (P[\langle \rangle /\$tr])[\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']);; Q[\langle \rangle /\$tr]][\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr'])
       \wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u «tt_1» \hat{u} «tt_2») \wedge \$tr' \ge_u \$tr
       by (simp add: usubst unrest)
  also have ... =
     (\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot (P[\langle \rangle /\$tr])[\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr'] ;; Q[\langle \rangle /\$tr])[\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr'])
       \wedge (\$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{u} \ll tt_2 \gg \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr))
  also have \dots =
     ((\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot (P[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_1 \gg /\$tr']\!] ;; Q[\![\langle \rangle /\$tr]\!][\![\ll tt_2 \gg /\$tr']\!])
       proof -
     \mathbf{have} \wedge tt_1 \ tt_2. \ (((\$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_2 \gg) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr) :: ('\vartheta, '\alpha, '\alpha) \ relation-rp)
             = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{u} \ll tt_1 \gg \hat{u} \ll tt_2 \gg)
       by (rel-tac, metis prefix-subst strict-prefixE)
     thus ?thesis by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  also have ... = (R2(P); R2(Q))
    by (simp add: R2-seqr-form)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R3c\text{-}idem: R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)
  by rel-tac
end
```