## Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock April 19, 2018

### Abstract

Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs specialise reactive design contracts with failures traces, as present in languages like CSP and Circus. A failure trace consists of a sequence of events and a refusal set. It intuitively represents a quiescent observation, where certain events have previously occurred, and others are currently being accepted. Following the UTP book, we add an observational variable to represent refusal sets, and healthiness conditions that ensure their well-formedness. Using these, we also specialise our theory of reactive relations with operators to characterise both completed and quiescent interactions, and an accompanying equational theory. We use these to define the core operators — including assignment, event occurence, and external choice — and specialise our proof strategy to support these. We also demonstrate a link with the CSP failures-divergences semantic model.

## Contents

1 Introduction			
2	Stateful-Failure Core Types2.1 SFRD Alphabet2.2 Basic laws2.3 Unrestriction laws	2 3 3 4	
3	3.4 Weakest Precondition 3.5 Trace Substitution 3.6 Initial Interaction 3.7 Enabled Events		
4		19	
5	5.1 Healthiness condition properties	19 20 31 32	
6	Stateful-Failure Reactive Contracts	32	

7	External Choice 34				
	7.1	Definitions and syntax	34		
	7.2	Basic laws	35		
	7.3	Algebraic laws	35		
	7.4	Reactive design calculations	35		
	7.5	Productivity and Guardedness	43		
	7.6	Algebraic laws	44		
8	Stateful-Failure Programs 47				
	8.1	Conditionals	47		
	8.2	Guarded commands	47		
	8.3	Alternation	47		
	8.4	While Loops	48		
	8.5	Assignment	48		
	8.6	Assignment with update	49		
	8.7	State abstraction	50		
	8.8	Assumptions	50		
	8.9	Guards	50		
		Basic events	54		
		Event prefix	55		
	8.12	Guarded external choice	58		
	8.13	Input prefix	58		
	8.14	Algebraic laws	59		
9	Rec	ursion in Stateful-Failures	61		
	9.1	Fixed-points	61		
	9.2	Example action expansion	63		
<b>10</b>	Link	king to the Failures-Divergences Model	63		
	10.1	Failures-Divergences Semantics	63		
	10.2	Circus Operators	65		
	10.3	Deadlock Freedom	71		
11	Met	a-theory for Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs	71		

## 1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [1] of an specialisation of stateful reactive designs with refusal information, as present in languages like Circus [2].

# 2 Stateful-Failure Core Types

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}sfrd\text{-}core \\ \textbf{imports} \ UTP-Reactive-Designs.utp\text{-}rea\text{-}designs \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

## 2.1 SFRD Alphabet

```
alphabet '\varphi csp-vars = '\sigma rsp-vars + ref :: '\varphi set

declare csp-vars.defs [lens-defs]
declare csp-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
```

The following two locale interpretations are a technicality to improve the behaviour of the automatic tactics. They enable (re)interpretation of state spaces in order to remove any occurrences of lens types, replacing them by tuple types after the tactics *pred-simp* and *rel-simp* are applied. Eventually, it would be desirable to automate preform these interpretations automatically as part of the **alphabet** command.

```
interpretation alphabet-csp-prd:
  lens-interp \lambda(ok, wait, tr, m). (ok, wait, tr, ref_v m, more m)
apply (unfold-locales)
apply (rule injI)
apply (clarsimp)
done
interpretation alphabet-csp-rel:
  lens-interp \lambda(ok, ok', wait, wait', tr, tr', m, m').
    (ok, ok', wait, wait', tr, tr', ref<sub>v</sub> m, ref<sub>v</sub> m', more m, more m')
apply (unfold-locales)
apply (rule injI)
apply (clarsimp)
done
lemma circus-var-ords [usubst]:
  \$ref \prec_v \$ref
  \$ok \prec_v \$ref \ \$ok \ ' \prec_v \$ref \ ' \ \$ok \ \prec_v \ \$ref \ ' \ \$ok \ ' \prec_v \ \$ref
  \$ref \prec_v \$wait \$ref' \prec_v \$wait' \$ref \prec_v \$wait' \$ref' \prec_v \$wait
  \$ref \prec_v \$st \$ref' \prec_v \$st' \$ref \prec_v \$st' \$ref' \prec_v \$st
  \$ref \prec_v \$tr \$ref' \prec_v \$tr' \$ref \prec_v \$tr' \$ref' \prec_v \$tr
  by (simp-all add: var-name-ord-def)
type-synonym ('\sigma,'\varphi) st-csp = ('\sigma,'\varphi list, ('\varphi,unit) csp-vars-scheme) rsp
type-synonym (\sigma, \varphi) action = (\sigma, \varphi) st-csp hrel
type-synonym '\varphi csp = (unit, '\varphi) st-csp
type-synonym '\varphi rel-csp = '\varphi csp hrel
```

There is some slight imprecision with the translations, in that we don't bother to check if the trace event type and refusal set event types are the same. Essentially this is because its very difficult to construct processes where this would be the case. However, it may be better to add a proper ML print translation in the future.

#### translations

```
(type) ('\sigma,'\varphi) st\text{-}csp <= (type) ('\sigma, '\varphi \text{ list, '}\varphi 1 \text{ } csp\text{-}vars) \text{ } rsp (type) ('\sigma,'\varphi) action <= (type) ('\sigma, '\varphi) st\text{-}csp hrel

notation csp\text{-}vars\text{-}child\text{-}lens_a (\Sigma_c)

notation csp\text{-}vars\text{-}child\text{-}lens (\Sigma_C)
```

#### 2.2 Basic laws

```
lemma R2c-tr-ext: R2c (tr' =_u tr_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle = (tr' =_u tr_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle)
```

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma circus-alpha-bij-lens:
  bij-lens (\{\$ok,\$ok',\$wait,\$wait',\$tr,\$tr',\$st,\$st',\$ref,\$ref'\}_{\alpha} :: - \Longrightarrow ('s,'e) st-csp \times ('s,'e) st-csp)
  by (unfold-locales, lens-simp+)
         Unrestriction laws
2.3
lemma pre-unrest-ref [unrest]: ref \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref \sharp pre_R(P)
 by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest)
lemma peri-unrest-ref [unrest]: $ref \sharp P \Longrightarrow $ref \sharp peri<sub>R</sub>(P)
 by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest)
lemma post-unrest-ref [unrest]: ref \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref \sharp post_R(P)
  by (simp\ add:\ post_R-def\ unrest)
lemma cmt-unrest-ref [unrest]: $ref $$ P \Longrightarrow $ref $$ cmt_R(P)$
 by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest)
lemma st-lift-unrest-ref' [unrest]: ref' \sharp [b]_{S<}
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-ref-unrest [unrest]:
  \llbracket \$ref \ \sharp \ P; \$ref \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$ref \ \sharp \ (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
 by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest)
lemma R1-ref-unrest [unrest]: ref \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow ref \ \sharp \ R1(P)
  by (simp add: R1-def unrest)
lemma R2c\text{-ref-unrest} [unrest]: $ref \mu P \Longrightarrow $ref \mu R2c(P)
 by (simp add: R2c-def unrest)
lemma R1-ref'-unrest [unrest]: ref' \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref' \sharp R1(P)
 by (simp add: R1-def unrest)
lemma R2c\text{-ref'-unrest} [unrest]: ref' \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref' \sharp R2c(P)
 by (simp add: R2c-def unrest)
lemma R2s-notin-ref': R2s([\ll x \gg]_{S < \notin u} \$ref') = ([\ll x \gg]_{S < \notin u} \$ref')
 by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-circus-alpha:
  fixes P :: ('e, 't) \ action
  assumes
    \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ P \ \$tr \ \sharp \ P
    tr' \ P \ st \ P \ ref \ P \ ref' \ P
 shows \Sigma \sharp P
 by (rule bij-lens-unrest-all[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens], simp add: unrest assms)
lemma unrest-all-circus-vars:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \' \sharp P \$wait \sharp P \$wait \' \sharp P \$ref \sharp P \Sigma \sharp r' \Sigma \sharp s \Sigma \sharp s' \Sigma \sharp t \Sigma \sharp t'
 shows \Sigma \sharp [\$ref' \mapsto_s r', \$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \dagger P
  using assms
```

by (simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens)

```
(simp add: unrest usubst closure)
lemma unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st':
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok' \sharp P \$wait \sharp P \$wait' \sharp P \$ref \sharp P \$ref' \sharp P \Sigma \sharp s \Sigma \sharp s' \Sigma \sharp t \Sigma \sharp t'
  shows \Sigma \sharp [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \dagger P
  by (simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens)
     (simp add: unrest usubst closure)
lemma unrest-all-circus-vars-st:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$vait \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref' \ \sharp \ P \ \$st' \ \sharp \ P \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ s \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t' \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t'
  shows \Sigma \sharp [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \dagger P
  using assms
  by (simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens)
      (simp add: unrest usubst closure)
lemma unrest-any-circus-var:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref' \ \sharp \ P \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ s' \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t' \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t'
  shows x \sharp [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \dagger P
  by (simp add: unrest-all-var unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' assms)
lemma unrest-any-circus-var-st:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$vait \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P \ \$st' \ \sharp \ P \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ s \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t' \ \Sigma \ \sharp \ t'
  shows x \sharp [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \dagger P
  by (simp add: unrest-all-var unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms)
end
       Stateful-Failure Reactive Relations
3
theory utp-sfrd-rel
  imports utp-sfrd-core
begin
3.1
        Healthiness Conditions
CSP Reactive Relations
definition CRR :: ('s, 'e) \ action \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \ action where
[upred-defs]: CRR(P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RR(P))
lemma CRR-idem: CRR(CRR(P)) = CRR(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma Idempotent-CRR [closure]: Idempotent CRR
  by (simp add: CRR-idem Idempotent-def)
lemma CRR-intro:
  assumes ref \ \sharp PP \ is \ RR
  shows P is CRR
```

by (simp add: CRR-def Healthy-def, simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest)

```
CSP Reactive Conditions
definition CRC :: ('s, 'e) \ action \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \ action \ where
[upred-defs]: CRC(P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RC(P))
lemma CRC-intro:
 assumes ref \ \ P \ P \ is \ RC
 shows P is CRC
 by (simp add: CRC-def Healthy-def, simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest)
lemma ref-unrest-RR [unrest]: ref \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow ref \ \sharp \ RR \ P
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma ref-unrest-RC1 [unrest]: ref \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow ref \ \sharp \ RC1 \ P
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma ref-unrest-RC [unrest]: ref \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow ref \ \sharp \ RC \ P
 by (simp add: RC-R2-def ref-unrest-RC1 ref-unrest-RR)
lemma RR-ex-ref: RR (\exists $ref • RR P) = (\exists $ref • RR P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RC1-ex-ref: RC1 (\exists \$ref \cdot RC1 \ P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RC1 \ P)
 by (rel-auto, meson dual-order.trans)
lemma ex-ref'-RR-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is RR
 shows (\exists \$ref' \cdot P) is RR
proof -
 have RR \ (\exists \$ref' \cdot RR(P)) = (\exists \$ref' \cdot RR(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma CRC-idem: CRC(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)
 apply (simp add: CRC-def ex-unrest unrest)
 apply (simp add: RC-def RR-ex-ref)
 apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def RC1-RR-closed RC1-ex-ref RR-ex-ref RR-idem)
done
lemma Idempotent-CRC [closure]: Idempotent CRC
 by (simp add: CRC-idem Idempotent-def)
3.2
       Closure Properties
lemma CRR-implies-RR [closure]:
 assumes P is CRR
 shows P is RR
proof -
 have RR(CRR(P)) = CRR(P)
```

by (rel-auto) thus ?thesis

qed

**by** (metis Healthy-def' assms)

```
lemma CRC-implies-RR [closure]:
 assumes P is CRC
 shows P is RR
proof -
 have RR(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)
   by (rel-auto)
     (metis (no-types, lifting) Prefix-Order.prefixE Prefix-Order.prefixI append.assoc append-minus)+
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma CRC-implies-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is CRC
 shows P is RC
proof -
 have RC1(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)
   by (rel-auto, meson dual-order.trans)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: CRC-implies-RR Healthy-if RC1-def RC-intro assms)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma CRR-unrest-ref [unrest]: P is CRR \Longrightarrow \$ref \sharp P
 by (metis CRR-def CRR-implies-RR Healthy-def in-var-uvar ref-vwb-lens unrest-as-exists)
lemma CRC-implies-CRR [closure]:
 assumes P is CRC
 shows P is CRR
 apply (rule CRR-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: unrest assms closure)
 apply (metis CRC-def CRC-implies-RC Healthy-def assms in-var-uvar ref-vwb-lens unrest-as-exists)
 done
lemma unrest-ref'-neg-RC [unrest]:
 assumes P is RR P is RC
 shows ref' \sharp P
proof -
 have P = (\neg_r \neg_r P)
   by (simp add: closure rpred assms)
 also have ... = (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r)
   by (metis Healthy-if RC1-def RC-implies-RC1 assms(2) calculation)
 also have $ref' \mu ...
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
lemma rea-true-CRR [closure]: true_r is CRR
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-true-CRC [closure]: true_r is CRC
 by (rel-auto)
lemma false-CRR [closure]: false is CRR
 by (rel-auto)
lemma false-CRC [closure]: false is CRC
```

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma st-pred-CRR [closure]: [P]_{S<} is CRR
  by (rel-auto)
lemma st-cond-CRC [closure]: [P]_{S<} is CRC
 by (rel-auto)
lemma conj-CRC-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRC; Q \text{ is } CRC \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \land Q) \text{ is } CRC
  by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma disj-CRC-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRC; Q \text{ is } CRC \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \lor Q) \text{ is } CRC
  by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma shEx-CRR-closed [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge x. P x is CRR
  shows (\exists x \cdot P(x)) is CRR
proof -
  have CRR(\exists x \cdot CRR(P(x))) = (\exists x \cdot CRR(P(x)))
   by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms shEx-cong)
lemma USUP-ind-CRR-closed [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge i. P i is CRR
 shows (| i \cdot P(i)) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: assms unrest closure)
lemma UINF-ind-CRR-closed [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge i. P i is CRR
 shows (   i \cdot P(i) ) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: assms unrest closure)
lemma cond-tt-CRR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is CRR Q is CRR
  shows P \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright Q \text{ is } CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure)
lemma rea-implies-CRR-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRR; Q \text{ is } CRR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \text{ is } CRR
 by (simp-all add: CRR-intro closure unrest)
lemma conj-CRR-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRR; Q \text{ is } CRR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \land Q) \text{ is } CRR
 by (simp-all add: CRR-intro closure unrest)
lemma disj-CRR-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRR; Q \text{ is } CRR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \lor Q) \text{ is } CRR
  by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma rea-not-CRR-closed [closure]:
  P \text{ is } CRR \Longrightarrow (\neg_r P) \text{ is } CRR
```

```
using false-CRR rea-implies-CRR-closed by fastforce
lemma disj-R1-closed [closure]: [P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1] \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is } R1
  by (rel-blast)
lemma st-cond-R1-closed [closure]: [P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1] \implies (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) \text{ is } R1
  by (rel-blast)
lemma cond-st-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR
  shows (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) is RR
  apply (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure assms, simp add: Healthy-def R2c-condr)
  apply (simp add: Healthy-if assms RR-implies-R2c)
  apply (rel-auto)
done
lemma cond-st-CRR-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } CRR; Q \text{ is } CRR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) \text{ is } CRR
  by (simp-all add: CRR-intro closure unrest)
lemma seq-CRR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is CRR Q is RR
  shows (P ;; Q) is CRR
  by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure)
lemma tr-extend-segr-lit [rdes]:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P
  shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \ll a \gg \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) ;; P = P[\![\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \ll a \gg \rangle / \$tr]\!]
  using assms by (rel-auto, meson)
lemma tr-assign-comp [rdes]:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P
  shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S) ;; P = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P
  using assms by (rel-auto, meson)
lemma RR-msubst-tt: RR((P\ t)[t \rightarrow \&tt]) = (RR\ (P\ t))[t \rightarrow \&tt]
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RR-msubst-ref': RR((P \ r) \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket) = (RR \ (P \ r)) \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
lemma msubst-tt-RR [closure]: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ P \ t \ is \ RR \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \ t) \llbracket t \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \ is \ RR
  by (simp add: Healthy-def RR-msubst-tt)
lemma msubst-ref'-RR [closure]: [\![ \land r. \ P \ r \ is \ RR \ ]\!] \Longrightarrow (P \ r)[\![r \rightarrow \$ref']\!] is RR
  by (simp add: Healthy-def RR-msubst-ref')
lemma conj-less-tr-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is CRR
  shows (P \land \$tr <_u \$tr') is CRR
proof -
  have CRR(CRR(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr') = (CRR(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')
    apply (rel-auto, blast+)
```

#### 3.3 Introduction laws

Extensionality principles for introducing refinement and equality of Circus reactive relations. It is necessary only to consider a subset of the variables that are present.

```
lemma CRR-refine-ext:
 assumes
   P is CRR Q is CRR
   \bigwedge \ t \ s \ s' \ r'. \ P[\![\langle\rangle,\ll t\gg,\ll s\gg,\ll s'\gg,\ll r'\gg/\$tr,\$tr',\$st,\$st',\$ref']\!] \sqsubseteq Q[\![\langle\rangle,\ll t\gg,\ll s\gg,\ll s'\gg,\ll r'\gg/\$tr,\$tr',\$st,\$st',\$ref']\!] 
 shows P \sqsubseteq Q
proof -
 have \bigwedge t s s' r'. (CRR P) \llbracket \langle \rangle, \ll t \gg, \ll s' \gg, \ll r' \gg / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref' \rrbracket
                 \sqsubseteq (CRR\ Q)[\langle \rangle, \ll t \gg, \ll s \gg, \ll s' \gg, \ll r' \gg /\$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
 hence CRR P \sqsubseteq CRR Q
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis\ Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
lemma CRR-eq-ext:
 assumes
   P is CRR Q is CRR
  shows P = Q
proof -
 have \bigwedge t s s' r'. (CRR P) \llbracket \langle \rangle, \ll t \gg, \ll s' \gg, \ll r' \gg / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref' \rrbracket
                 = (CRR\ Q) [\langle \rangle, \ll t \gg, \ll s \gg, \ll s' \gg, \ll r' \gg /\$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
 hence CRR P = CRR Q
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis\ Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
lemma CRR-refine-impl-prop:
 assumes P is CRR Q is CRR
  shows P \sqsubseteq Q
```

by (rule CRR-refine-ext, simp-all add: assms closure unrest usubst)

#### 3.4 Weakest Precondition

```
lemma nil-least [simp]:
  \langle \rangle \leq_u x = true \ \mathbf{by} \ rel-auto
lemma minus-nil [simp]:
 xs - \langle \rangle = xs by rel-auto
lemma wp-rea-circus-lemma-1:
  assumes P is CRR \$ref' \sharp P
 shows out\alpha \sharp P[\ll s_0\gg,\ll t_0\gg/\$st',\$tr']
proof -
  have out\alpha \sharp (CRR (\exists \$ref' \cdot P))[\![\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr']\!]
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ ex-unrest)
qed
lemma wp-rea-circus-lemma-2:
  assumes P is CRR
 shows in\alpha \sharp P[\ll s_0\gg,\ll t_0\gg/\$st,\$tr]
  have in\alpha \sharp (CRR P)[\![\ll s_0\gg,\ll t_0\gg/\$st,\$tr]\!]
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest)
qed
```

The meaning of reactive weakest precondition for Circus. P  $wp_r$  Q means that, whenever P terminates in a state  $s_0$  having done the interaction trace  $t_0$ , which is a prefix of the overall trace, then Q must be satisfied. This in particular means that the remainder of the trace after  $t_0$  must not be a divergent behaviour of Q.

```
lemma wp-rea-circus-form:
      \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ is\ CRR\ \$ref\ '\ \sharp\ P\ Q\ is\ CRC
     \mathbf{shows}\;(P\;wp_r\;Q) = (\forall\;(s_0,t_0)\;\cdot\; \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr'\;\wedge\; P[\![\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st',\$tr']\!] \Rightarrow_r Q[\![\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st,\$tr]\!])
       have (P \ wp_r \ Q) = (\neg_r \ (\exists \ t_0 \cdot P[[\ll t_0 \gg /\$tr']] ;; (\neg_r \ Q)[[\ll t_0 \gg /\$tr]] \land \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr'))
             by (simp-all add: wp-rea-def R2-tr-middle closure assms)
       also have ... = (\neg_r (\exists (s_0,t_0) \cdot P[ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr'] ;; (\neg_r Q)[ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr]] \land \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u
$tr'))
             by (rel-blast)
       also have ... = (\neg_r (\exists (s_0,t_0) \cdot P[\ll s_0), \ll t_0)/\$st', \$tr'] \wedge (\neg_r Q)[\ll s_0), \ll t_0)/\$st, \$tr] \wedge \ll t_0) \leq u
$tr'))
         by (simp add: seqr-to-conj add: wp-rea-circus-lemma-1 wp-rea-circus-lemma-2 assms closure conj-assoc)
         also have ... = (\forall (s_0,t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st', \$tr']\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \ll t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\![ \gg t_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr]\!] \wedge \neg_r (\neg_r Q
\ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr'
            by (rel-auto)
       also have ... = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr']] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r RR Q)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr]] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r RR Q)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr]]
\ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr'
             by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)
     also have ... = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr']\!] \lor (RR Q)[\![ \ll s_0 \gg, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr]\!] \lor \neg_r \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u
$tr')
             by (rel-auto)
```

```
\textbf{also have} \ ... = (\forall \ (s_0,t_0) \cdot \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr' \land P[\langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr']] \Rightarrow_r (RR \ Q)[\langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr]])
     by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r Q[\langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr])
     by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma wp-rea-circus-form-alt:
  assumes P is CRR ref' <math>\sharp P Q is CRC
  \mathbf{shows}\ (P\ wp_r\ Q) = (\forall\ (s_0,t_0)\cdot\$tr\ \hat{\ }_u \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr' \land P[\![\ll s_0 \gg , \langle \rangle, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr, \$tr']\!]
                                        \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\ll s_0\gg,\langle\rangle,\&tt-\ll t_0\gg/\$st,\$tr,\$tr']))
proof -
  have (P wp_r Q) = R2(P wp_r Q)
    by (simp add: CRC-implies-RR CRR-implies-RR Healthy-if RR-implies-R2 assms wp-rea-R2-closed)
 \textbf{also have} \ ... = R2(\forall \ (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \ll tr_0 > \leq_u \$tr' \land (RR\ P)[\![\ll s_0 >, \ll tr_0 > /\$st', \$tr']\!] \Rightarrow_r (RR\ Q)[\![\ll s_0 >, \ll tr_0 > /\$st, \$tr]\!])
     by (simp add: wp-rea-circus-form assms closure Healthy-if)
  also have ... = (\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \langle tr_0 \rangle \leq_u \langle tt_0 \rangle \wedge (RRP)[\langle s_0 \rangle, \langle tr_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr, \$tr']]
                                                   \Rightarrow_r (RR \ Q) \llbracket \ll s_0 \gg, \ll tr_0 \gg, \ll tt_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket )
                                                    \wedge \$tr' =_{u} \$tr \cdot u \ll tt_0 \gg 1
     by (simp add: R2-form, rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \ll tr_0)) \cdot \ll tr_0 \times (RRP) [\ll s_0), (\c tr_0)/\$ t', \$ tr, \$ tr']
                                                   \Rightarrow_r (RR \ Q)[\![\ll s_0 \gg, \langle \rangle, \ll tt_0 - tr_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr, \$tr']\!])
                                                    \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{u} \ll tt_0 \gg
     by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr' \land (RR\ P) \llbracket \ll s_0 \gg, \langle \rangle, \ll tr_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket
                                                   \Rightarrow_r (RR \ Q) \llbracket \ll s_0 \gg , \langle \rangle, \&tt - \ll tr_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket )
                                                    \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ll tt_0 \gg)
     by (rel-auto, (metis\ list-concat-minus-list-concat)+)
  \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (\forall \ (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \$tr \ \hat{\ }_u \ «tr_0» \leq_u \ \$tr' \ \wedge \ (RR \ P) \llbracket «s_0», \langle \rangle, «tr_0» / \$st', \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket 
                                                   \Rightarrow_r R1((RR\ Q)[\ll s_0\gg, \langle\rangle, \&tt-\ll tr_0\gg/\$st, \$tr, \$tr']))
     by (rel-auto, blast+)
  also have ... = (\forall (s_0,t_0) \cdot \$tr \cdot u \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\ll s_0 \gg, \langle \rangle, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr, \$tr']
                                        \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\ll s_0\gg, \langle \rangle, \&tt-\ll t_0\gg/\$st, \$tr, \$tr']))
     by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma wp-rea-circus-form-alt:
  assumes P is CRR \$ref' \sharp P Q is CRC
  shows (P w p_r Q) = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr' \land P[\ll s_0 \gg , \langle \rangle, \ll t_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr, \$tr']
                                        \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\ll s_0\gg,\langle\rangle,\&tt-\ll t_0\gg/\$st,\$tr,\$tr']))
  oops
          Trace Substitution
3.5
definition trace-subst (-[-]<sub>t</sub> [999,0] 999)
where [upred\text{-}defs]: P[[v]]_t = (P[\&tt-[v]_{S<}/\&tt]] \land \$tr + [v]_{S<} \le_u \$tr')
lemma unrest-trace-subst [unrest]:
  \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ x\bowtie (\$tr)_v;\ x\bowtie (\$tr')_v;\ x\bowtie (\$st)_v;\ x\ \sharp\ P\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ P\llbracket v\rrbracket_t
  by (simp add: trace-subst-def lens-indep-sym unrest)
lemma trace-subst-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR
  shows P[v]_t is RR
proof -
```

```
have (RR \ P)[\![v]\!]_t is RR
    apply (rel-auto)
    apply (metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono)
    apply (metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left)
    using le-add order-trans apply blast
  done
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma trace-subst-CRR-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is CRR
 shows P[v]_t is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest)
lemma tsubst-nil [usubst]:
 assumes P is CRR
 shows P[\![\langle\rangle]\!]_t = P
proof -
  have (CRR\ P)[\![\langle\rangle]\!]_t = CRR\ P
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma tsubst-false [usubst]: false[y]]_t = false
 by rel-auto
lemma cond-rea-tt-subst [usubst]:
  (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)\llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (P\llbracket v \rrbracket_t \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q\llbracket v \rrbracket_t)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma tsubst-conj [usubst]: (P \land Q)[v]_t = (P[v]_t \land Q[v]_t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma tsubst-disj [usubst]: (P \lor Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t \lor Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_t)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-subst-R1-closed [closure]: P[v]_t is R1
 apply (rel-auto) using le-add order.trans by blast
lemma tsubst-UINF-ind [usubst]: (<math> [ i \cdot P(i)) [v]_t = ([ i \cdot (P(i)) [v]_t) ]
 by (rel-auto)
3.6
        Initial Interaction
definition rea-init :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('t::trace, 's) uexpr \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha, '\beta) rel-rsp (\mathcal{I}'(-,-')) where
[upred-defs]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) = (\lceil s \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr + \lceil t \rceil_{S<} \leq_u \$tr')
\mathcal{I}(s,t) is a predicate stating that, if the initial state satisfies state predicate s, then the trace t
is an initial trace.
lemma unrest-rea-init [unrest]:
  \llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp \mathcal{I}(s,t)
 by (simp add: rea-init-def unrest lens-indep-sym)
```

```
lemma rea-init-R1 [closure]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) is R1
 apply (rel-auto) using dual-order.trans le-add by blast
lemma rea-init-R2c [closure]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) is R2c
  apply (rel-auto)
 apply (metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono)
 apply (metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left)
done
lemma rea-init-R2 [closure]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) is R2
 by (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 rea-init-R1 rea-init-R2c)
lemma csp-init-RR [closure]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) is RR
 apply (rel-auto)
 apply (metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono)
 apply (metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left)
 apply (metis le-add less-le less-le-trans)
done
lemma csp-init-CRR [closure]: \mathcal{I}(s,t) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma rea-init-impl-st [closure]: (\mathcal{I}(b,t) \Rightarrow_r [c]_{S<}) is RC
  apply (rule RC-intro)
 apply (simp add: closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
 using order-trans by auto
lemma rea-init-RC1:
  \neg_r \ \mathcal{I}(P,t) is RC1
 apply (rel-auto) using dual-order.trans by blast
lemma init-acts-empty [rpred]: \mathcal{I}(true,\langle\rangle) = true_r
  by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-not-init [rpred]:
  (\neg_r \ \mathcal{I}(P,\langle\rangle)) = \mathcal{I}(\neg P,\langle\rangle)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-init-conj [rpred]:
  (\mathcal{I}(P,t) \wedge \mathcal{I}(Q,t)) = \mathcal{I}(P \wedge Q,t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-init-empty-trace [rpred]: \mathcal{I}(s,\langle\rangle) = [s]_{S<}
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-init-disj-same [rpred]: (\mathcal{I}(s_1,t) \vee \mathcal{I}(s_2,t)) = \mathcal{I}(s_1 \vee s_2, t)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-init-impl-same [rpred]: (\mathcal{I}(s_1,t) \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(s_2,t)) = (\mathcal{I}(s_1,t) \Rightarrow_r [s_2]_{S<})
 apply (rel-auto) using dual-order.trans le-add by blast+
lemma tsubst-st-cond [usubst]: [P]_{S<}[\![t]\!]_t = \mathcal{I}(P,t)
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma tsubst-rea-init [usubst]: (\mathcal{I}(s,x))[\![y]\!]_t = \mathcal{I}(s,y+x)
 apply (rel-auto)
 apply (metis add.assoc diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-le-imp-le-left trace-class.add-left-mono)
 apply (metis add. assoc diff-add-cancel-left' le-add trace-class. add-le-imp-le-left trace-class. add-left-mono) +
done
lemma tsubst-rea-not [usubst]: (\neg_r P) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = ((\neg_r P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t) \land \mathcal{I}(true, v))
  apply (rel-auto)
 using le-add order-trans by blast
lemma tsubst-true [usubst]: true_r[v]_t = \mathcal{I}(true,v)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R4-csp-init [rpred]: R4(\mathcal{I}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs)) = \mathcal{I}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs)
  using less-list-def by (rel-blast)
lemma R5-csp-init [rpred]: R5(\mathcal{I}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs)) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R4-trace-subst [rpred]:
  R4 (P \llbracket bop\ Cons\ x\ xs \rrbracket_t) = P \llbracket bop\ Cons\ x\ xs \rrbracket_t
  using le-imp-less-or-eq by (rel-blast)
lemma R5-trace-subst [rpred]:
  R5 (P[bop\ Cons\ x\ xs]_t) = false
  by (rel-auto)
3.7
        Enabled Events
definition csp-enable :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('e list, 's) uexpr \Rightarrow ('e set, 's) uexpr \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) action (\mathcal{E}'(-,-,-'))
[upred-defs]: \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) = (\lceil s \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \ \hat{}_u \lceil t \rceil_{S<} \land (\forall e \in \lceil E \rceil_{S<} \cdot \ll e \gg \notin_u \$ref'))
Predicate \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) states that, if the initial state satisfies predicate s, then t is a possible
(failure) trace, such that the events in the set E are enabled after the given interaction.
lemma csp-enable-R1-closed [closure]: \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) is R1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-R2-closed [closure]: \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) is R2c
 by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-RR [closure]: \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) is CRR
 by (rel-auto)
lemma tsubst-csp-enable [usubst]: \mathcal{E}(s,t_2,e)[\![t_1]\!]_t = \mathcal{E}(s,t_1 \hat{\ }_u t_2,e)
  apply (rel-auto)
 apply (metis append.assoc less-eq-list-def prefix-concat-minus)
 apply (simp add: list-concat-minus-list-concat)
done
lemma csp-enable-unrests [unrest]:
  \llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \bowtie (\$ref')_v \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp \mathcal{E}(s,t,e)
 by (simp add: csp-enable-def R1-def lens-indep-sym unrest)
lemma csp-enable-tr'-eq-tr [rpred]:
```

```
\mathcal{E}(s,\langle\rangle,r) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright false = \mathcal{E}(s,\langle\rangle,r)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-st-pred [rpred]:
  ([s_1]_{S<} \wedge \mathcal{E}(s_2,t,E)) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \wedge s_2,t,E)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-conj [rpred]:
  (\mathcal{E}(s, t, E_1) \wedge \mathcal{E}(s, t, E_2)) = \mathcal{E}(s, t, E_1 \cup_u E_2)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-cond [rpred]:
  \mathcal{E}(s_1, t_1, E_1) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathcal{E}(s_2, t_2, E_2) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright s_2, t_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright t_2, E_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright E_2)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-rea-assm [rpred]:
  [b]_r^+ :: \mathcal{E}(s,t,E) = \mathcal{E}(b \wedge s,t,E)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-tr-empty: \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{v\}_u) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil v \rceil_{S <} \notin_u \$ref')
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-nothing: \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma msubst-nil-csp-enable [usubst]:
  \mathcal{E}(s(x), t(x), E(x))[\![x \rightarrow \langle \rangle]\!] = \mathcal{E}(s(x)[\![x \rightarrow \langle \rangle]\!], t(x)[\![x \rightarrow \langle \rangle]\!], E(x)[\![x \rightarrow \langle \rangle]\!])
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-csp-enable [usubst]:
  \mathcal{E}(s(x),t(x),E(x))[\![x\rightarrow\lceil v\rceil_{S\leftarrow}]\!] = \mathcal{E}(s(x)[\![x\rightarrow v]\!],t(x)[\![x\rightarrow v]\!],E(x)[\![x\rightarrow v]\!])
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-enable-false [rpred]: \mathcal{E}(false,t,E) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma conj-csp-enable [rpred]: (\mathcal{E}(b_1, t, E_1) \wedge \mathcal{E}(b_2, t, E_2)) = \mathcal{E}(b_1 \wedge b_2, t, E_1 \cup_u E_2)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma USUP-csp-enable [rpred]:
  (\bigsqcup x \cdot \mathcal{E}(s, t, A(x))) = \mathcal{E}(s, t, (\bigvee x \cdot A(x)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R4-csp-enable-nil [rpred]:
  R4(\mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, E)) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R5-csp-enable-nil [rpred]:
  R5(\mathcal{E}(s,\langle\rangle,E)) = \mathcal{E}(s,\langle\rangle,E)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R4-csp-enable-Cons [rpred]:
  R4(\mathcal{E}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs,\ E)) = \mathcal{E}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs,\ E)
  by (rel-auto, simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI')
```

```
lemma R5-csp-enable-Cons [rpred]:
  R5(\mathcal{E}(s,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs,\ E)) = false
 by (rel-auto)
```

#### 3.8 Completed Trace Interaction

```
definition csp\text{-}do :: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('e \ list, 's) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \ action \ (\Phi'(-,-,-')) \ \textbf{where}
[upred-defs]: \Phi(s,\sigma,t) = (\lceil s \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \upharpoonright_u \lceil t \rceil_{S<} \land \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S)
```

Predicate  $\Phi(s,\sigma,t)$  states that if the initial state satisfies s, and the trace t is performed, then afterwards the state update  $\sigma$  is executed.

```
lemma unrest-csp-do [unrest]:
  \llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \bowtie (\$st')_v \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp \Phi(s,\sigma,t)
  by (simp-all add: csp-do-def alpha-in-var alpha-out-var prod-as-plus unrest lens-indep-sym)
lemma csp-do-CRR [closure]: \Phi(s,\sigma,t) is CRR
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-R4-closed [closure]:
  \Phi(b,\sigma,bop\ Cons\ x\ xs) is R4
  by (rel-auto, simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI')
lemma st-pred-conj-csp-do [rpred]:
  ([b]_{S<} \land \Phi(s,\sigma,t)) = \Phi(b \land s,\sigma,t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma trea-subst-csp-do [usubst]:
  (\Phi(s,\sigma,t_2))[t_1]_t = \Phi(s,\sigma,t_1 \hat{u} t_2)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (metis append.assoc less-eq-list-def prefix-concat-minus)
  apply (simp add: list-concat-minus-list-concat)
done
lemma st-subst-csp-do [usubst]:
  [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \Phi(s,\varrho,t) = \Phi(\sigma \dagger s,\varrho \circ \sigma,\sigma \dagger t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-init-do [rpred]: (\mathcal{I}(s1,t) \wedge \Phi(s2,\sigma,t)) = \Phi(s1 \wedge s2, \sigma, t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-false [rpred]: \Phi(false, s, t) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-assign [rpred]:
  assumes P is CRR
  shows \Phi(s, \sigma, t) ;; P = ([s]_{S <} \land ([\sigma]_{S \sigma} \dagger P)[\![t]\!]_t)
  have \Phi(s,\sigma,t) ;; CRR(P) = ([s]_{S<} \land ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger CRR(P))[\![t]\!]_t)
    by (rel-blast)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma subst-state-csp-enable [usubst]:
  [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathcal{E}(s,t_2,e) = \mathcal{E}(\sigma \dagger s, \sigma \dagger t_2, \sigma \dagger e)
```

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-assign-enable [rpred]:
  \Phi(s_1,\sigma,t_1) ;; \mathcal{E}(s_2,t_2,e) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \wedge \sigma \dagger s_2, t_1 \hat{u}(\sigma \dagger t_2), (\sigma \dagger e))
  by (simp add: rpred closure usubst)
lemma csp-do-assign-do [rpred]:
  \Phi(s_1,\sigma,t_1) ;; \Phi(s_2,\varrho,t_2) = \Phi(s_1 \wedge (\sigma \dagger s_2), \varrho \circ \sigma, t_1 \hat{u}(\sigma \dagger t_2))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-cond [rpred]:
  \Phi(s_1, \sigma, t_1) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \Phi(s_2, \varrho, t_2) = \Phi(s_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright s_2, \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright_s \varrho, t_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright t_2)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-assm-csp-do [rpred]:
  [b]^{\top}_{r} :: \Phi(s,\sigma,t) = \Phi(b \wedge s,\sigma,t)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma csp-do-skip [rpred]:
  assumes P is CRR
  shows \Phi(true,id,t) ;; P = P[t]_t
proof -
  have \Phi(true,id,t) ;; CRR(P) = (CRR \ P)[\![t]\!]_t
     by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma wp-rea-csp-do-lemma:
  fixes P :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P
  \mathbf{shows} \; (\lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \; \wedge \; \$tr \; \hat{} \; =_u \; \$tr \; \hat{} \; _u \; \lceil t \rceil_{S <}) \; ;; \; P = (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S \sigma} \; \dagger \; P) \llbracket \$tr \; \hat{} \; _u \; \lceil t \rceil_{S <} / \$tr \rrbracket
  using assms by (rel-auto, meson)
lemma wp-rea-csp-do [wp]:
  fixes P :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) action
  assumes P is CRR
  shows \Phi(s,\sigma,t) wp_r P = (\mathcal{I}(s,t) \Rightarrow_r (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \llbracket t \rrbracket_t)
proof -
  have \Phi(s,\sigma,t) wp_r CRR(P) = (\mathcal{I}(s,t) \Rightarrow_r (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger CRR(P)) \llbracket t \rrbracket_t)
    by (rel-blast)
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
lemma csp-do-power-Suc [rpred]:
  \Phi(true, id, t) \hat{\ } (Suc i) = \Phi(true, id, iter[Suc i](t))
  by (induct\ i,\ (rel-auto)+)
lemma csp-power-do-comp [rpred]:
  assumes P is CRR
  shows \Phi(true, id, t) \hat{i} ;; P = \Phi(true, id, iter[i](t)) ;; P
  apply (cases i)
   apply (simp-all add: rpred usubst assms closure)
  done
```

```
lemma wp\text{-}rea\text{-}csp\text{-}do\text{-}skip \ [wp]:
fixes Q::('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action
assumes P is CRR
shows \Phi(s,id,t) \ wp_r \ P = (\mathcal{I}(s,t) \Rightarrow_r P \llbracket t \rrbracket_t)
proof -
have \Phi(s,id,t) \ wp_r \ P = \Phi(s,id,t) \ wp_r \ P
by (simp \ add: skip\text{-}r\text{-}def)
thus ?thesis by (simp \ add: wp \ assms \ usubst \ alpha)
qed
lemma msubst\text{-}csp\text{-}do \ [usubst]:
\Phi(s(x),\sigma,t(x)) \llbracket x \rightarrow \lceil v \rceil_{S \leftarrow} \rrbracket = \Phi(s(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket,\sigma,t(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket)
by (rel\text{-}auto)
```

### 4 Stateful-Failure Healthiness Conditions

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{utp-sfrd-healths} \\ \textbf{imports} \ \textit{utp-sfrd-rel} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

## 5 Definitions

```
We here define extra healthiness conditions for stateful-failure reactive designs.
abbreviation CSP1 :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp) health
where CSP1(P) \equiv RD1(P)
abbreviation CSP2 :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp) \ health
where CSP2(P) \equiv RD2(P)
abbreviation CSP :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp) \ health
where CSP(P) \equiv SRD(P)
definition STOP :: '\varphi rel\text{-}csp where
[upred-defs]: STOP = CSP1(\$ok' \land R3c(\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
definition SKIP :: '\varphi rel\text{-}csp where
[upred-defs]: SKIP = \mathbf{R}_s(\exists \$ref \cdot CSP1(II))
definition Stop :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ \mathbf{where}
[upred-defs]: Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
definition Skip :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ where
[upred-defs]: Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \$st' =_u \$st))
definition CSP3 :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp) \ health where
[upred-defs]: CSP3(P) = (Skip ;; P)
definition CSP4 :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health where}
[upred-defs]: CSP_4(P) = (P ;; Skip)
definition NCSP :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st\text{-}csp) \ health \ where
```

```
[upred-defs]: NCSP = CSP3 \circ CSP4 \circ CSP
Productive and normal processes
abbreviation PCSP \equiv Productive \circ NCSP
Instantaneous and normal processes
abbreviation ICSP \equiv ISRD1 \circ NCSP
```

### 5.1 Healthiness condition properties

SKIP is the same as Skip, and STOP is the same as Stop, when we consider stateless CSP processes. This is because any reference to the st variable degenerates when the alphabet type coerces its type to be empty. We therefore need not consider SKIP and STOP actions.

```
theorem SKIP-is-Skip: SKIP = Skip
 by (rel-auto)
theorem STOP-is-Stop: STOP = Stop
  by (rel-auto)
theorem Skip-UTP-form: Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(\exists \$ref \cdot CSP1(II))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma Skip-is-CSP [closure]:
  Skip is CSP
  by (simp add: Skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma Skip-RHS-tri-design:
  Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$st' =_u \$st)))
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{Skip-RHS-tri-design'} \ [\mathit{rdes-def}\,] :
  Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash (false \diamond \Phi(true, id, \langle \rangle)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma Stop-is-CSP [closure]:
  Stop is CSP
  by (simp add: Stop-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma Stop-RHS-tri-design: Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \diamond false)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma Stop-RHS-rdes-def [rdes-def]: Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash \mathcal{E}(true,\langle\rangle,\{\}_u) \diamond false)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-Skip [rdes]: pre_R(Skip) = true_r
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-Skip [rdes]: peri_R(Skip) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-Skip [rdes]: post_R(Skip) = \Phi(true, id, \langle \rangle)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma Productive-Stop [closure]:
```

```
Stop is Productive
 by (simp add: Stop-RHS-tri-design Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
lemma Skip-left-lemma:
 assumes P is CSP
 shows Skip ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot cmt_R P))
proof -
 have Skip ;; P =
       \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$st' =_u \$st) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \vdash
           (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$st' =_u \$st) ;; peri_R P \diamond
           (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$st' =_u \$st) ;; post_R P)
   by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp alpha rdes closure wp assms rpred C1, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R P) \vdash
                    (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \$st' =_u \$st) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [H]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright cmt_R P))
   by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot cmt_R P))
   by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest:
  assumes P is CSP ref <math> P[false/wait]
 shows Skip;; P = P
 using assms
 by (simp add: Skip-left-lemma)
   (metis SRD-reactive-design-alt all-unrest cmt-unrest-ref cmt-wait-false ex-unrest pre-unrest-ref pre-wait-false)
lemma CSP3-intro:
  \llbracket P \text{ is CSP; } \$ref \ \sharp \ P \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \ \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is CSP3}
 by (simp add: CSP3-def Healthy-def' Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest)
lemma ref-unrest-RHS-design:
 assumes ref \ P \ ref \ Q_1 \ ref \ Q_2
 shows ref \sharp (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) f
 by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def unrest usubst assms)
lemma CSP3-SRD-intro:
 assumes P is CSP ref \ pre_R(P) \ ref \ peri_R(P) \ ref \ post_R(P)
 shows P is CSP3
proof -
 have P: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1) wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt[THEN sym])
 have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) is CSP3
   by (rule CSP3-intro, simp add: assms P, simp add: ref-unrest-RHS-design assms)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp \ add: P)
qed
lemma Skip-unrest-ref [unrest]: $ref \models Skip [false/$wait]
 by (simp add: Skip-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest)
lemma Skip-unrest-ref' [unrest]: $ref' \models Skip [false/$wait]
 by (simp add: Skip-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest)
lemma CSP3-iff:
```

```
assumes P is CSP
 shows P is CSP3 \longleftrightarrow (\$ref \sharp P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)
 assume 1: P is CSP3
 have ref \sharp (Skip ;; P) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
   by (simp add: usubst unrest)
 with 1 show ref \ p[false/\wait]
   by (metis CSP3-def Healthy-def)
next
 assume 1:\$ref \ \sharp \ P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
 show P is CSP3
   by (simp add: 1 CSP3-intro assms)
qed
lemma CSP3-unrest-ref [unrest]:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP3
 shows ref \sharp pre_R(P) \ ref \sharp peri_R(P) \ ref \sharp post_R(P)
 have a:(\$ref \ \sharp \ P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)
   using CSP3-iff assms by blast
  from a show ref \sharp pre_R(P)
   by (rel-blast)
 from a show ref \sharp peri_R(P)
   by (rel-blast)
 from a show ref \sharp post_R(P)
   by (rel-blast)
qed
lemma CSP3-rdes:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows CSP3(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot Q) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot R))
 by (simp add: CSP3-def Skip-left-lemma closure assms rdes, rel-auto)
lemma CSP3-form:
 assumes P is CSP
 shows CSP3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot post_R(P)))
 by (simp add: CSP3-def Skip-left-lemma assms, rel-auto)
lemma CSP3-Skip [closure]:
  Skip is CSP3
 by (rule CSP3-intro, simp add: Skip-is-CSP, simp add: Skip-def unrest)
lemma CSP3-Stop [closure]:
  Stop is CSP3
 by (rule CSP3-intro, simp add: Stop-is-CSP, simp add: Stop-def unrest)
lemma CSP3-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent CSP3
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-Skip CSP3-def Healthy-if Idempotent-def seqr-assoc)
lemma CSP3-Continuous: Continuous CSP3
 by (simp add: Continuous-def CSP3-def seq-Sup-distl)
lemma Skip-right-lemma:
 assumes P is CSP
 shows P :: Skip = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R \ P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R \ P)))
```

```
proof -
  have P :: Skip = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P :: (\$tr' =_u \ \$tr \land \$st')
    by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure assms wp rdes rpred, rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash
                             ((cmt_R \ P \ ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ P \ ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait \land \$st')
=_{u} \$st))))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash
                           ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R P ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait \land \$st' =_u \$st))))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R \ P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R \ P)))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Skip-right-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is CSP
  shows P;; Skip = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R \ P)))
  have ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R P)) = ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R P))
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: Skip-right-lemma[OF assms])
lemma CSP4-intro:
  assumes P is CSP (\neg_r \ pre_R(P));; R1(true) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
            st' \sharp (cmt_R P) \llbracket true / swait' \rrbracket \ ref' \sharp (cmt_R P) \llbracket false / swait' \rrbracket
  shows P is CSP4
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{CSP4}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \ \mathit{pre}_R \ P) \ \mathit{wp}_r \ \mathit{false} \vdash ((\exists \ \$\mathit{st'} \cdot \mathit{cmt}_R \ P) \triangleleft \$\mathit{wait'} \triangleright (\exists \ \$\mathit{ref'} \cdot \mathit{cmt}_R \ P)))
    by (simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-lemma assms(1))
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R(P) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R P)[true/\$wait'] \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R)
P)[false/\$wait'])
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ wp\text{-}rea\text{-}def\ assms(2)\ rpred\ closure\ cond\text{-}var\text{-}subst\text{-}left\ cond\text{-}var\text{-}subst\text{-}right)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R(P) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot (cmt_R P) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot (cmt_R P) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket)
P)[false/\$wait']))
    by (simp add: usubst unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> P \vdash ((cmt_R \ P) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ P) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket))
    by (simp add: ex-unrest assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> P \vdash cmt_R P)
    by (simp add: cond-var-split)
  also have \dots = P
    by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}design\text{-}alt\ assms}(1))
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
lemma CSP4-RC-intro:
  assumes P is CSP pre_R(P) is RC
            st' \sharp (cmt_R P) \llbracket true / swait' \rrbracket \ ref' \sharp (cmt_R P) \llbracket false / swait' \rrbracket
  shows P is CSP4
proof -
  have (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
```

```
by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ R1-seqr-closure\ assms(2)\ rea-not-R1\ rea-not-false\ rea-not-not\ wp-rea-RC-false
wp-rea-def)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: CSP4-intro assms)
qed
lemma CSP4-rdes:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
  shows CSP_4(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot R)))
 by (simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-lemma closure assms rdes, rel-auto, blast+)
lemma CSP4-form:
  assumes P is CSP
  shows CSP4(P) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R \ P)))
 by (simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-tri-lemma assms)
{f lemma} Skip\text{-}srdes\text{-}right\text{-}unit:
  (Skip :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action) ;; II_R = Skip
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma Skip-srdes-left-unit:
  II_R;; (Skip :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action) = Skip
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3: RD3(CSP4(P)) = CSP4(P)
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP4-def RD3-def Skip-srdes-right-unit seqr-assoc)
lemma CSP4-implies-RD3: P is CSP4 \implies P is RD3
  by (metis CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3 Healthy-def)
lemma CSP4-tri-intro:
 \textbf{assumes} \ P \ is \ CSP \ (\lnot_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lnot_r \ pre_R(P)) \ \$st\' \ \sharp \ peri_R(P) \ \$ref\' \ \sharp \ post_R(P)
 shows P is CSP4
  using assms
  by (rule-tac CSP4-intro, simp-all add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst cmt_R-def)
lemma CSP4-NSRD-intro:
  assumes P is NSRD $ref' \sharp post_R(P)
  shows P is CSP4
 by (simp add: CSP4-tri-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri assms)
lemma CSP3-commutes-CSP4: CSP3(CSP4(P)) = CSP4(CSP3(P))
  by (simp add: CSP3-def CSP4-def seqr-assoc)
lemma NCSP-implies-CSP [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow P is CSP
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \textit{hide-lams}) \ \textit{CSP3-def} \ \textit{CSP4-def} \ \textit{Healthy-def} \ \textit{NCSP-def} \ \textit{SRD-idem} \ \textit{SRD-seqr-closure}
Skip-is-CSP \ comp-apply)
lemma NCSP-elim [RD-elim]:
  \llbracket X \text{ is NCSP}; P(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(X) \vdash peri_R(X) \diamond post_R(X))) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P(X)
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure)
lemma NCSP-implies-CSP3 [closure]:
  P \text{ is } NCSP \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } CSP3
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-def Healthy-def' NCSP-def Skip-is-CSP Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest
```

```
lemma NCSP-implies-CSP4 [closure]:
 P \text{ is } NCSP \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } CSP4
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-commutes-CSP4 Healthy-def NCSP-def NCSP-implies-CSP
NCSP-implies-CSP3 comp-apply)
lemma NCSP-implies-RD3 [closure]: P is NCSP \implies P is RD3
 by (metis CSP3-commutes-CSP4 CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3 Healthy-def NCSP-def comp-apply)
lemma NCSP-implies-NSRD [closure]: P is NCSP \implies P is NSRD
 by (simp add: NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-RD3 SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD)
lemma NCSP-subset-implies-CSP [closure]:
 A \subseteq [NCSP]_H \Longrightarrow A \subseteq [CSP]_H
 using NCSP-implies-CSP by blast
lemma NCSP-subset-implies-NSRD [closure]:
 A \subseteq [NCSP]_H \Longrightarrow A \subseteq [NSRD]_H
 using NCSP-implies-NSRD by blast
lemma CSP-Healthy-subset-member: [P \in A; A \subseteq [CSP]_H] \Rightarrow P is CSP
 by (simp add: is-Healthy-subset-member)
lemma CSP3-Healthy-subset-member: [P \in A; A \subseteq [CSP3]_H] \implies P is CSP3
 by (simp add: is-Healthy-subset-member)
lemma CSP4-Healthy-subset-member: [P \in A; A \subseteq [CSP4]_H] \implies P is CSP4
 by (simp add: is-Healthy-subset-member)
lemma NCSP-Healthy-subset-member: [P \in A; A \subseteq [NCSP]_H] \implies P is NCSP
 by (simp add: is-Healthy-subset-member)
lemma NCSP-intro:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP3 P is CSP4
 shows P is NCSP
 by (metis Healthy-def NCSP-def assms comp-eq-dest-lhs)
lemma Skip-left-unit: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow Skip ;; P = P
 by (metis (full-types) CSP3-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP3)
lemma Skip-right-unit: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow P ;; Skip = P
 by (metis (full-types) CSP4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP4)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NCSP}	ext{-}\mathit{NSRD}	ext{-}\mathit{intro}:
 assumes P is NSRD $ref \mu pre_R(P) $ref \mu peri_R(P) $ref \mu post_R(P) $ref' \mu post_R(P)$
 \mathbf{shows}\ P\ is\ NCSP
 by (simp add: CSP3-SRD-intro CSP4-NSRD-intro NCSP-intro NSRD-is-SRD assms)
lemma CSP4-neg-pre-unit:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 by (simp add: CSP4-implies-RD3 NSRD-neg-pre-unit SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD assms(1) assms(2))
```

Skip-unrest-ref comp-apply seqr-assoc)

lemma NSRD-CSP4-intro:

```
assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
   shows P is NSRD
   by (simp add: CSP4-implies-RD3 SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD assms(1) assms(2))
lemma NCSP-form:
    NCSP\ P = \mathbf{R}_s\ ((\forall\ \$ref\ \cdot (\neg_r\ pre_R(P))\ wp_r\ false) \vdash ((\exists\ \$ref\ \cdot\ \exists\ \$st'\ \cdot\ peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists\ \$ref\ \cdot\ \exists
ref' \cdot post_R(P)))
proof -
   have NCSP\ P = CSP3\ (CSP4\ (NSRD\ P))
      by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP4-def NCSP-def NSRD-alt-def RA1 RD3-def Skip-srdes-left-unit
o-apply)
   also
   have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r pre_R (NSRD P)) wp_r false) \vdash
                                (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R (NSRD P)) \diamond
                                (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R (NSRD P)))
      by (simp add: CSP3-form CSP4-form closure unrest rdes, rel-auto)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ wp_r \ false) \vdash ((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists
ref' \cdot post_R(P))
      by (simp add: NSRD-form rdes closure, rel-blast)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CSP4-st'-unrest-peri [unrest]:
   assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
   shows \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
   by (simp add: NSRD-CSP4-intro NSRD-st'-unrest-peri assms)
lemma CSP4-healthy-form:
   assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
   shows P = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P))) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))
proof -
   have P = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot cmt_R \ P) \land \$wait' \rhd (\exists \ \$ref' \cdot cmt_R \ P)))
      by (metis CSP4-def Healthy-def Skip-right-lemma assms(1) assms(2))
   \mathbf{also\ have}\ ... = \mathbf{R}_s\ ((\lnot_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists\ \$st'\cdot cmt_R\ P) \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait' \rhd (\exists\ \$ref'\cdot error erro
cmt_R \ P)[false/\$wait']))
      by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) subst-wait'-left-subst subst-wait'-right-subst wait'-cond-def)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \ \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst peri_R-def post_R-def cmt_R-def unrest)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CSP4-ref'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
   assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
   shows ref' \not\equiv pre_R(P)
proof -
   have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P)))))
      using CSP4-healthy-form assms(1) assms(2) by fastforce
   also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false
      by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design wp-rea-def usubst unrest
              CSP4-neg-pre-unit R1-rea-not R2c-preR R2c-rea-not assms)
   also have $ref' \mu ...
      by (simp add: wp-rea-def unrest)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait':
 assumes A \subseteq [NCSP]_H
 shows \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 \mathbf{fix} P
 assume P \in A
 hence P is NSRD
   using NCSP-implies-NSRD assms by auto
 thus \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
   using NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre by blast
qed
lemma CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st':
 assumes A \subseteq [\![CSP]\!]_H A \subseteq [\![CSP4]\!]_H
 shows \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$st' \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 \mathbf{fix} P
 assume P \in A
 hence P is NSRD
   using NSRD-CSP4-intro assms(1) assms(2) by blast
  thus \$st' \sharp pre_R(P)
   using NSRD-st'-unrest-pre by blast
qed
lemma CSP4-ref'-unrest-post [unrest]:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows ref' \not\equiv post_R(P)
proof -
 have post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P)))))
   using CSP4-healthy-form assms(1) assms(2) by fastforce
 also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp<sub>r</sub> false \Rightarrow_r (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R \ P)))
   by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def)
 also have $ref' \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def wp-rea-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CSP3-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is CSP3
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rule CSP3-intro, simp-all add: RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma CSP4-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is CSP4
 by (rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
lemma NCSP-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is NCSP
 by (simp add: NCSP-intro closure)
lemma CSP3-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is CSP3
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rule CSP3-intro, simp-all add: RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma CSP4-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is CSP4
 by (rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
lemma NCSP-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is NCSP
 by (simp add: NCSP-intro closure)
```

```
lemma NCSP-seqr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows P :: Q is NCSP
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-def' NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-CSP3
    NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-intro SRD-segr-closure assms(1) assms(2) segr-assoc)
lemma CSP4-Skip [closure]: Skip is CSP4
 apply (rule CSP4-intro, simp-all add: Skip-is-CSP)
 apply (simp-all add: Skip-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-true)
done
lemma NCSP-Skip [closure]: Skip is NCSP
 by (metis CSP3-Skip CSP4-Skip Healthy-def NCSP-def Skip-is-CSP comp-apply)
lemma CSP4-Stop [closure]: Stop is CSP4
 apply (rule CSP4-intro, simp-all add: Stop-is-CSP)
 apply (simp-all add: Stop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-true)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NCSP-Stop}\ [\mathit{closure}] \colon \mathit{Stop}\ \mathit{is}\ \mathit{NCSP}
 by (metis CSP3-Stop CSP4-Stop Healthy-def NCSP-def Stop-is-CSP comp-apply)
lemma CSP4-Idempotent: Idempotent CSP4
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-Skip CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-if Idempotent-def seqr-assoc)
lemma CSP4-Continuous: Continuous CSP4
 by (simp add: Continuous-def CSP4-def seq-Sup-distr)
lemma preR-Stop [rdes]: pre_R(Stop) = true_r
 by (simp add: Stop-def Stop-is-CSP rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst R2c-true)
lemma periR-Stop [rdes]: peri_R(Stop) = \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-Stop [rdes]: post_R(Stop) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma cmtR-Stop [rdes]: cmt_R(Stop) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait')
 by (rel-auto)
lemma NCSP-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent NCSP
 by (clarsimp simp add: NCSP-def Idempotent-def)
    (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-Idempotent CSP3-def CSP4-Idempotent CSP4-def Healthy-def
Idempotent-def\ SRD-idem\ SRD-seqr-closure\ Skip-is-CSP\ seqr-assoc)
lemma NCSP-Continuous [closure]: Continuous NCSP
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ CSP3-Continuous\ CSP4-Continuous\ Continuous-comp\ NCSP-def\ SRD-Continuous)
lemma preR-CRR [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma periR-CRR [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma postR-CRR [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is CRR
```

```
by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma NCSP-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is CRC Q is CRR R is CRR
        \$st' \sharp Q \$ref' \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-intro)
   apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
  apply (rule CSP3-SRD-intro)
     apply (simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
 apply (rule CSP4-tri-intro)
    apply (simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) CRC-implies-RC R1-seqr-closure assms(1) rea-not-R1 rea-not-false
rea-not-not wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
 done
lemma NCSP-preR-CRC [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP
 shows pre_R(P) is CRC
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest)
lemma CSP3-Sup-closure [closure]:
 apply (auto simp add: CSP3-def Healthy-def seq-Sup-distl)
 apply (rule cong[of Sup])
  apply (simp)
 using image-iff apply force
 done
lemma CSP4-Sup-closure [closure]:
 apply (auto simp add: CSP4-def Healthy-def seq-Sup-distr)
 apply (rule\ cong[of\ Sup])
  apply (simp)
 using image-iff apply force
 done
lemma NCSP-Sup-closure [closure]: [A \subseteq [NCSP]_H; A \neq \{\}] \implies ([A]) is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-intro, simp-all add: closure)
  \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{no-types},\ \mathit{lifting})\ \mathit{Ball-Collect}\ \mathit{CSP3-Sup-closure}\ \mathit{NCSP-implies-CSP3})
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Ball-Collect CSP4-Sup-closure NCSP-implies-CSP4)
 done
lemma NCSP-SUP-closure [closure]: \llbracket \bigwedge i. P(i) \text{ is NCSP}; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\prod i \in A. P(i)) \text{ is NCSP}
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect NCSP-Sup-closure image-iff image-is-empty)
lemma PCSP-implies-NCSP [closure]:
 assumes P is PCSP
 shows P is NCSP
proof -
 have P = Productive(NCSP(NCSP|P))
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def' Idempotent-def NCSP-Idempotent assms comp-apply)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r \ pre_R(NCSP \ P)) \ wp_r \ false) <math>\vdash
                   (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(NCSP\ P)) \diamond
```

```
((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R (NCSP P)) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
   by (simp add: NCSP-form Productive-RHS-design-form unrest closure)
 also have ... is NCSP
   apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
       apply (rule CRC-intro)
        apply (simp-all add: unrest ex-unrest all-unrest closure)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma PCSP-elim [RD-elim]:
 assumes X is PCSP P (\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R X) \vdash peri_R X \diamond (R \not \downarrow (post_R X))))
 shows PX
 by (metis R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP Productive-form assms comp-apply)
lemma ICSP-implies-NCSP [closure]:
 assumes P is ICSP
 shows P is NCSP
proof -
 have P = ISRD1(NCSP(NCSP P))
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def' Idempotent-def NCSP-Idempotent assms comp-apply)
 also have ... = ISRD1 (\mathbf{R}_s ((\forall $ref \cdot (\neg_r pre<sub>R</sub> (NCSP P)) wp<sub>r</sub> false) \vdash
                           (\exists \$\mathit{ref} \cdot \exists \$\mathit{st'} \cdot \mathit{peri}_R \; (\mathit{NCSP} \; P)) \diamond
                           (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R (NCSP P))))
   by (simp add: NCSP-form)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r \ pre_R(NCSP \ P)) \ wp_r \ false) <math>\vdash
                    false \diamond
                     ((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R (NCSP P)) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
     by (simp-all add: ISRD1-RHS-design-form closure rdes unrest)
 also have ... is NCSP
   apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
       apply (rule CRC-intro)
        apply (simp-all add: unrest ex-unrest all-unrest closure)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma ICSP-implies-ISRD [closure]:
 assumes P is ICSP
 shows P is ISRD
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def ICSP-implies-NCSP ISRD-def NCSP-implies-NSRD assms
comp-apply)
lemma ICSP-elim [RD-elim]:
 assumes X is ICSP P (\mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> X) \vdash false \diamond (post<sub>R</sub> X \land $tr' =<sub>u</sub> $tr)))
 shows PX
 by (metis Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP ICSP-implies-NCSP ISRD1-form assms comp-apply)
lemma ICSP-Stop-right-zero-lemma:
  (P \land (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) ;; true_r = true_r \Longrightarrow (P \land (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)
 by (rel-blast)
lemma ICSP-Stop-right-zero:
 assumes P is ICSP pre_R(P) = true_r post_R(P) ;; true_r = true_r
 shows P :: Stop = Stop
```

```
proof -
  from assms(3) have 1:(post_R P \land \$tr' =_u \$tr) ;; true_r = true_r
   by (rel-auto, metis (full-types, hide-lams) dual-order.antisym order-refl)
  show ?thesis
   by (rdes-simp cls: assms(1), simp add: csp-enable-nothing assms(2) ICSP-Stop-right-zero-lemma[OF]
1])
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ICSP-intro: \llbracket P \text{ is NCSP}; P \text{ is ISRD1} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is ICSP}
  using Healthy-comp by blast
lemma seq-ICSP-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is ICSP Q is ICSP
 shows P ;; Q is ICSP
 by (meson ICSP-implies-ISRD ICSP-implies-NCSP ICSP-intro ISRD-implies-ISRD1 NCSP-segr-closure
assms seq-ISRD-closed)
lemma Miracle-ICSP [closure]: Miracle is ICSP
  by (rule ICSP-intro, simp add: closure, simp add: ISRD1-rdes-intro rdes-def closure)
5.2
        CSP theories
typedecl TCSP
abbreviation TCSP \equiv UTHY(TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) st\text{-}csp)
overloading
  tcsp-hcond = utp-hcond :: (TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp) uthy \Rightarrow (('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp) health
  tcsp-unit = utp-unit :: (TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp) uthy \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) action
begin
 definition tcsp-hcond :: (TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp) uthy \Rightarrow (('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp \times ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp) health where
  [upred-defs]: tcsp-hcond T = NCSP
 definition tcsp-unit :: (TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ st-csp) \ uthy \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action where
  [upred-defs]: tcsp-unit T = Skip
end
interpretation csp-theory: utp-theory-kleene UTHY(TCSP, ('\sigma,'\varphi) st-csp)
  rewrites \bigwedge P. P \in carrier (uthy-order TCSP) \longleftrightarrow P is NCSP
  and P is \mathcal{H}_{TCSP} \longleftrightarrow P is NCSP
 and II_{TCSP} = Skip
  and \top_{TCSP} = Miracle
 and carrier (uthy-order TCSP) \rightarrow carrier (uthy-order TCSP) \equiv [NCSP]_H \rightarrow [NCSP]_H
  and A \subseteq carrier (uthy-order\ TCSP) \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq [NCSP]_H
 and le (uthy\text{-}order\ TCSP) = op \sqsubseteq
proof -
  interpret lat: utp-theory-continuous UTHY(TCSP, ('\sigma, '\varphi) st-csp)
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def closure Healthy-if)
  show 1: \top_{TCSP} = (Miracle :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action)
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis NCSP-Miracle NCSP-implies-CSP} \ lat. top-healthy \ lat. utp-theory-continuous-axioms \ srdes-theory-continuous.
tcsp-hcond-def upred-semiring.add-commute utp-theory-continuous.meet-top)
  thus utp-theory-kleene UTHY (TCSP, ('\sigma,'\varphi) st-csp)
     by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def tcsp-unit-def Skip-left-unit Skip-right-unit closure
```

Healthy-if Miracle-left-zero )

**qed** (simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def tcsp-unit-def closure Healthy-if)

```
declare csp-theory.top-healthy [simp del]
declare csp-theory.bottom-healthy [simp del]
abbreviation TestC (test_C) where
test_C P \equiv utest TCSP P
abbreviation StarC :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ (-*^C [999] 999) \ where
StarC\ P \equiv P \star_{TCSP}
lemma csp-bottom-Chaos: \perp_{TCSP} = Chaos
 using NCSP-Chaos NCSP-implies-CSP by auto
lemma csp-top-Miracle: \top_{TCSP} = Miracle
 by (simp add: csp-theory.healthy-top csp-theory.utp-theory-mono-axioms utp-theory-mono.healthy-top)
5.3
        Algebraic laws
lemma Stop-left-zero:
 assumes P is CSP
 shows Stop ;; P = Stop
 by (simp add: NSRD-seq-post-false assms NCSP-implies-NSRD NCSP-Stop postR-Stop)
end
      Stateful-Failure Reactive Contracts
theory utp-sfrd-contracts
 imports utp-sfrd-healths
begin
definition mk-CRD :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('e \ list \Rightarrow 'e \ set \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('e \ list \Rightarrow 's \ hrel) \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) action
[rdes-def]: mk-CRD \ P \ Q \ R = \mathbf{R}_s([P]_{S<} \vdash [Q \ x \ r]_{S<}[x \rightarrow \&tt][r \rightarrow \$ref'] \diamond [R(x)]_{S'}[x \rightarrow \&tt]])
syntax
  -ref-var :: logic
  -mk-CRD :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic ([-/ \vdash -/ \mid -]_C)
parse-translation \langle\!\langle
let
 fun \ ref-var-tr \ [] = Syntax.free \ refs
   | ref-var-tr - = raise Match;
[(@{syntax-const - ref-var}, K ref-var-tr)]
\rangle\rangle
translations
  [P \vdash Q \mid R]_C = > CONST \ mk\text{-}CRD \ P \ (\lambda \text{-}trace\text{-}var \text{-}ref\text{-}var. \ Q) \ (\lambda \text{-}trace\text{-}var. \ R)
 [P \vdash Q \mid R]_C <= CONST \ mk\text{-}CRD \ P \ (\lambda \ x \ r. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ y. \ R)
lemma CSP-mk-CRD [closure]: [P \vdash Q \text{ trace refs} \mid R(\text{trace})]_C \text{ is CSP}
  by (simp add: mk-CRD-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-mk-CRD [rdes]: pre_R([P \vdash Q trace refs \mid R(trace) \mid_C) = [P]_{S < P}
```

```
rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ periR\text{-}mk\text{-}CRD \ [rdes]: peri_R([P \vdash Q \ trace \ refs \mid R(trace) \mid_C) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r ([Q \ trace \ refs \mid_{S<}) \llbracket (trace, refs) \rightarrow (\&tt,\$refs) ]
  by (simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
                    impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj, rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ postR-mk-CRD \ [rdes]: post_R([P \vdash Q \ trace \ refs \mid R(trace)]_C) = ([P]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r ([R(trace)]_S') \llbracket trace \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket)
  by (simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
                    impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj, rel-auto)
Refinement introduction law for contracts
lemma CRD-contract-refine:
  assumes
     Q \text{ is } CSP \text{ `} \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q \text{`}
     `\lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land peri_R \ Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_2 \ t \ r \rceil_{S<} \llbracket t \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref \, ' \rrbracket '
     \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land post_R \ Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_3 \ x \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket
  shows [P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3(trace)]_C \sqsubseteq Q
proof
  have [P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3(trace)]_C \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
     using assms by (simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1))
qed
lemma CRD-contract-refine':
  assumes
     Q \text{ is } CSP \text{ `} \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q \text{'}
     [P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<}[t\rightarrow\&tt][r\rightarrow\$ref'] \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \land peri_R \ Q)
     [P_3 \ x]_S[x \rightarrow \&tt] \subseteq ([P_1]_{S <} \land post_R \ Q)
  shows [P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3(trace)]_C \sqsubseteq Q
  using assms by (rule-tac CRD-contract-refine, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma CRD-refine-CRD:
  assumes
     [P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow ([Q_1]_{S<} :: ('e,'s) \ action)
     (\lceil P_2 \times r \rceil_{S < \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref \' \rrbracket}) \sqsubseteq (\lceil P_1 \rceil_{S < \land} \lceil Q_2 \times r \rceil_{S < \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref \' \rrbracket} :: ('e,'s) \ action)
     \lceil P_3 \ x \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \sqsubseteq (\lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land \lceil Q_3 \ x \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket :: ('e,'s) \ action)
  shows ([P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3 \ trace]_C :: ('e,'s) \ action) \sqsubseteq [Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \ trace \ refs \mid Q_3 \ trace]_C
  using assms
  by (simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)
lemma CRD-refine-rdes:
  assumes
     [P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1
     ([P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref \' \rrbracket) \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \land Q_2)
     [P_3 \ x]_S'[x \rightarrow \&tt] \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S <} \land Q_3)
  shows ([P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3 \ trace]_C :: ('e,'s) \ action) \sqsubseteq
             \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
  using assms
  by (simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)
lemma CRD-refine-rdes':
```

by (simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre rea-st-cond-def,

assumes  $Q_2$  is RR

```
Q_3 is RR
    [P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1
   shows ([P_1 \vdash P_2 \ trace \ refs \mid P_3 \ trace]_C :: ('e,'s) \ action) \sqsubseteq
          \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
proof (simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule srdes-tri-refine-intro)
  show '[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1' by (fact assms(3))
 have \bigwedge t. ([P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<}[r \rightarrow \$ref']) \subseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \land (RR \ Q_2)[[\langle \rangle, \ll t \gg /\$tr, \$tr']])
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
  hence [P_1]_{S<} \land RR(Q_2) \Rightarrow [P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} [x \rightarrow \&tt] [r \rightarrow \$ref']
   by (rel-simp; meson)
  thus [P_1]_{S<} \land Q_2 \Rightarrow [P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} [x \rightarrow \&tt] [r \rightarrow \$ref']
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
 have \bigwedge t. [P_3 t]_S' \subseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \land (RR Q_3) \llbracket \langle \rangle, \ll t \gg /\$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
  hence [P_1]_{S<} \land (RR \ Q_3) \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_S [x \rightarrow \&tt]
   by (rel-simp; meson)
  thus [P_1]_{S<} \land Q_3 \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_S [x \to \&tt]
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
end
7
      External Choice
theory utp-sfrd-extchoice
 imports
   utp-sfrd-healths
   utp-sfrd-rel
begin
        Definitions and syntax
```

#### 7.1

**lemma** extChoice-alt-def:

Small external choice as an indexed big external choice.

```
definition ExtChoice ::
  ('\sigma, '\varphi) action set \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) action where
[upred-defs]: ExtChoice A = \mathbf{R}_s(( \bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (( \bigsqcup P \in A \cdot cmt_R(P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'
\triangleright ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R(P)))
  -ExtChoice :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((3\Box - \in - \cdot / -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -ExtChoice-simp :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b ((3\Box - \cdot/ -) [0, 10] 10)
translations
  \Box P \in A \cdot B \implies CONST \ ExtChoice \ ((\lambda P. B) \ `A)
  \Box P \cdot B
                  \Rightarrow CONST ExtChoice (CONST range (\lambda P. B))
\mathbf{definition} extChoice ::
  ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ (infixl \square 65) \ where
[upred-defs]: P \square Q \equiv ExtChoice \{P, Q\}
```

```
P \square Q = (\square i :: nat \in \{0,1\} \cdot P \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright Q)
by (simp add: extChoice-def ExtChoice-def, unliteralise, simp)
```

#### 7.2 Basic laws

also have ... =

## 7.3 Algebraic laws

```
lemma ExtChoice-empty: ExtChoice {} = Stop
by (simp add: ExtChoice-def cond-def Stop-def)
lemma ExtChoice-single:
    P is CSP ⇒ ExtChoice {P} = P
by (simp add: ExtChoice-def usup-and uinf-or SRD-reactive-design-alt)
7.4 Reactive design calculations
```

```
lemma ExtChoice-rdes:
   assumes \bigwedge i. \$ok' \sharp P(i) A \neq \{\}
   \mathbf{shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)\ \vdash\ Q(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup i \in A\ \cdot\ P(i))\ \vdash\ ((\bigsqcup i \in A\ \cdot\ Q(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vartriangleright\ \mathsf{Shows}\ (\Box i \in A\ \cdot\ \mathsf{Q}(i))\ \triangleleft\ \$tr\ '\ =_u\ \$tr\ \land\ \$wait\ '\ \vdash\ \mathsf{Q}(i)\ )
(\prod i \in A \cdot Q(i)))
proof -
   have (\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) =
             \mathbf{R}_s (( \bigsqcup i \in A \cdot pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P i \vdash Q i))) \vdash
                    (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot cmt_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P i \vdash Q i))))
                       \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (\prod i \in A \cdot cmt_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P i \vdash Q i)))))
      by (simp add: ExtChoice-def)
   also have \dots =
             \mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2c \ (pre_s \dagger P(i))))) \vdash
                    ((\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))))
                       \triangleleft \$tr' =_{u} \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (\prod i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))))
      by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design)
   also have \dots =
             \mathbf{R}_s ((| | i \in A \cdot R1 (R2c (pre_s \dagger P(i))))) \vdash
                    R1(R2c)
                    \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (\prod i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c)
   also have ... =
             \mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2c \ (pre_s \dagger P(i))))) \vdash
                    R1(R2c
                    \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (\prod i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))))
      by (simp add: R2c-UINF R2c-condr R1-cond R1-idem R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem R1-UINF assms
R1-USUP R2c-USUP)
   also have \dots =
             \mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2c \ (pre_s \dagger P(i))))) \vdash
                    cmt_s †
                    (( \bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))
                        \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (\prod i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c rdes-export-cmt)
```

```
\mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2c \ (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash
                                                                  cmt_s †
                                                                  ((\coprod i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))
                                                                           \triangleleft \$tr' =_{u} \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                                                                         (\prod i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     by (simp add: usubst)
           also have ... =
                                           \mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2c \ (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash
                                                                  ((\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (\bigcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     by (simp add: rdes-export-cmt)
           also have \dots =
                                           \mathbf{R}_s ((R1(R2c(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i)))))) \vdash
                                                                 ((\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (\bigcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     by (simp add: not-UINF R1-UINF R2c-UINF assms)
           also have ... =
                                          \mathbf{R}_s ((R2c(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i))))) \vdash
                                                                 ((||i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (||i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     by (simp add: R1-design-R1-pre)
           also have \dots =
                                           \mathbf{R}_s ((( \sqsubseteq i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash
                                                                  ((\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (\prod i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-R2c-pre)
           also have \dots =
                                           \mathbf{R}_s (([\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false] \dagger (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i))) \vdash
                                                                  ((||i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (\bigcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))))
                     from assms have \bigwedge i. pre_s \dagger P(i) = [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false] \dagger P(i)
                                by (rel-auto)
                     thus ?thesis
                                 by (simp add: usubst)
           qed
           also have \dots =
                                                \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\bigsqcup i \in A \ \cdot \ P(i)) \vdash ((\bigsqcup i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr' \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr' \land \$wait' \rhd (\bigcap i \in A \ \cdot \ (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr' \land (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)) \land 
   Q(i)))))
                     by (simp add: rdes-export-pre not-UINF)
           also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((| |i \in A \cdot P(i)| \vdash ((| |i \in A \cdot Q(i)|) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \vdash (| |i \in A \cdot Q(i)|))
                     by (rule cong [of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto, blast+)
          finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma ExtChoice-tri-rdes:
          assumes \bigwedge i . \$ok' \sharp P_1(i) A \neq \{\}
          shows (\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =
                                                          \mathbf{R}_s \ (( \bigsqcup \ i \in A \ \cdot \ P_1(i)) \ \vdash \ ((( \bigsqcup \ i \in A \ \cdot \ P_2(i))) \ \triangleleft \ \$tr' \ =_u \ \$tr \ \rhd \ ( \bigcap \ \ i \in A \ \cdot \ P_2(i))) \ \diamond \ (\bigcap \ \ i \in A \ \cdot \ P_2(i)))
P_3(i))))
proof -
          have (\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =
                                                \mathbf{R}_s \; ((\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash ((\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' =_u \$tr' \wedge \$wait' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr' \wedge \mathsf{\triangleright} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\mid \mid i \in A \cdot P_2
 P_3(i))))
                     by (simp add: ExtChoice-rdes assms)
           also
          have \dots =
                                                 \mathbf{R}_s \; (( \bigsqcup \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_1(i)) \vdash (( \bigsqcup \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \triangleleft \; \$wait' \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; \diamond \; P_3(i)) \; \land \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr' \; =_u \; \$tr \; \rhd \; ( \bigcap \; i \in A \; \cdot \; P_2(i) \; ) \; \land \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; =_u \; =_u \; =_u \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u \; =_u \; =_u \; \P_1(i) \; =_u 
 P_3(i))))
```

```
by (simp add: conj-comm)
     also
     have ... =
                     by (simp add: cond-conj wait'-cond-def)
    have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (([ ] i \in A \cdot P_1(i) ) \vdash ((([ ] i \in A \cdot P_2(i) )) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright ([ ] i \in A \cdot P_2(i) )) \diamond ([ ] i \in A \cdot P_2(i) )
P_3(i)))
         by (rule cong [of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ExtChoice-tri-rdes' [rdes-def]:
     assumes \bigwedge i . \$ok' \sharp P_1(i) A \neq \{\}
     shows (\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =
                     \mathbf{R}_{s} ((| \mid i \in A \cdot P_{1}(i)) \vdash (((| \mid i \in A \cdot R5(P_{2}(i))) \lor ( \mid i \in A \cdot R4(P_{2}(i)))) \diamond ( \mid i \in A \cdot P_{3}(i))))
     by (simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes assms, rel-auto, simp-all add: less-le assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def}\ [\mathit{rdes-def}\,]:
     assumes A \subseteq [\![CSP]\!]_H
     shows ExtChoice\ A = \mathbf{R}_s\ ((\bigcup\ P \in A \cdot pre_R\ P) \vdash (((\bigcup\ P \in A \cdot peri_R\ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \rhd (\bigcap\ P \in A \cdot P) \vdash ((\bigcup\ P \in A \cdot peri_R\ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \rhd (\bigcap\ P \in A \cdot P)
peri_R P)) \diamond ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R P)))
proof -
     have ((| P \in A \cdot cmt_R P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (| P \in A \cdot cmt_R P)) =
                   (((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot cmt_R P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R P)) \diamond (\bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R P))
         by (rel-auto)
     also have ... = (((| P \in A \cdot peri_R P)) \diamond \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (| P \in A \cdot peri_R P)) \diamond (| P \in A \cdot post_R P))
         by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis
         by (simp add: ExtChoice-def)
qed
lemma extChoice-rdes:
     assumes \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_1
    shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \Pwait' \rhd (P_2 \land Q_2) \land \Pwait' \rhd (P_
\vee Q_2)))
proof -
    \mathbf{have} \ (\Box i :: nat \in \{\theta, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s \ (P_1 \vdash P_2) \triangleleft \ll i = \theta \gg \mathsf{R}_s \ (Q_1 \vdash Q_2)) = (\Box i :: nat \in \{\theta, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s \ ((P_1 \vdash Q_2)) \vdash (\neg P_1) \vdash \neg P_2) \vee \neg P_2 
P_2) \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright (Q_1 \vdash Q_2))
         by (simp only: RHS-cond R2c-lit)
     also have ... = (\Box i :: nat \in \{0, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \triangleleft \ll i = \theta \gg \triangleright Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \triangleleft \ll i = \theta \gg \triangleright Q_2)))
         by (simp add: design-condr)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (P_2 \lor Q_2)))
         apply (subst ExtChoice-rdes, simp-all add: assms unrest)
         apply unliteralise
         apply (simp add: uinf-or usup-and)
         done
    finally show ?thesis by (simp add: extChoice-alt-def)
qed
lemma extChoice-tri-rdes:
     assumes \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_1
    shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \square \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
                     \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))
```

```
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \square \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
        \mathbf{R}_s \; ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \diamond P_3 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (P_2 \diamond P_3 \vee Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))
    by (simp add: extChoice-rdes assms)
  also
  have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \diamond P_3 \land Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) \triangleleft \$wait' \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \diamond P_3 \lor Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))
    by (simp add: conj-comm)
  also
  have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash
                (((P_2 \diamond P_3 \land Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) \diamond \$tr' =_u \$tr \rhd (P_2 \diamond P_3 \lor Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) \diamond (P_2 \diamond P_3 \lor Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))
    by (simp add: cond-conj wait'-cond-def)
  also
  have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \land Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \lor Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3)))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma extChoice-rdes-def:
  assumes P_1 is RR Q_1 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \square \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
         \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))
  by (subst extChoice-tri-rdes, simp-all add: assms unrest)
lemma extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def]:
  assumes P_1 is RR Q_1 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \square \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
         \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash ((R5(P_2 \land Q_2) \lor R_4(P_2 \lor Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3)))
  by (simp add: extChoice-rdes-def assms, rel-auto, simp-all add: less-le)
lemma CSP-ExtChoice [closure]:
  ExtChoice A is CSP
  by (simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma CSP-extChoice [closure]:
  P \square Q \text{ is } CSP
  by (simp add: CSP-ExtChoice extChoice-def)
lemma preR-ExtChoice [rdes]:
  assumes A \neq \{\} A \subseteq [CSP]_H
  shows pre_R(ExtChoice\ A) = ( \ \ P \in A \cdot pre_R(P) )
  have pre_R (ExtChoice A) = (R1 (R2c (( | P \in A \cdot pre_R P))))
    by (simp add: ExtChoice-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
  also from assms have ... = (R1 \ (R2c \ ( \ P \in A \cdot (pre_R(CSP(P))))))
    by (metis USUP-healthy)
  also from assms have ... = (   P \in A \cdot (pre_R(CSP(P))) )
    \mathbf{by} (rel-auto)
  also from assms have ... = (| P \in A \cdot (pre_R(P)))
    by (metis USUP-healthy)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-ExtChoice-ind [rdes]:
  assumes A \neq \{\} \land P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) \text{ is } CSP
  shows pre_R(\Box P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R(F(P)))
```

```
using assms by (subst preR-ExtChoice, auto)
lemma periR-ExtChoice [rdes]:
 assumes A \subseteq [NCSP]_H A \neq \{\}
  shows peri_R(ExtChoice\ A) = (( \ \ P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \Rightarrow_r ( \ \ P \in A \cdot peri_R\ P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\ \ \ \ )
P \in A \cdot peri_R P
proof -
 have peri_R (ExtChoice A) = peri_R (\mathbf{R}_s ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \vdash
                                    ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R P)))
   by (simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def assms closure)
 also have ... = peri_R (\mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P)) \vdash
                         ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigcap P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P))) \diamond
                            ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R P)))
   by (simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym])
 also have ... = R1 (R2c ((| | P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P)) \Rightarrow_r
                          (| P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P))
                           \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
                          ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P))))
  proof -
   have (\square P \in A \cdot [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s true] \dagger pre_R (NCSP P)
        by (rule USUP-cong, simp add: closure usubst unrest assms)
   thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design Healthy-Idempotent SRD-Idempotent usubst unrest assms)
 qed
 also have ... = R1 ((| | P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P)) \Rightarrow_r
                     ( \bigsqcup P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P) )
                        \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
                     ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P) ) )
   by (simp add: R2c-rea-impl R2c-condr R2c-UINF R2c-preR R2c-periR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-USUP
closure)
 \triangleleft \$tr' =_{u} \$tr \triangleright
                  ((| \mid P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P))) \Rightarrow_r (| \mid P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P))))
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R1-cond R1-USUP R1-UINF assms Healthy-if closure, rel-auto)
  also have ... = ((( | P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P))) \Rightarrow_r ( | P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P)))
                    \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
                  (( \bigcap P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R (NCSP P))))
   by (simp add: UINF-rea-impl[THEN sym])
 also have ... = ((( | | P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P))) \Rightarrow_r (| | P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P)))
                    \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
                  (( \bigcap P \in A \cdot peri_R (NCSP P))))
   by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre closure assms unrest)
 also have ... = (((| P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \Rightarrow_r (| P \in A \cdot peri_R P))
                    \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
                  by (simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym])
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

**lemma** periR-ExtChoice':

assumes  $A \subseteq [NCSP]_H A \neq \{\}$ 

```
shows peri_R(ExtChoice\ A) = (R5((\bigcup\ P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcup\ P \in A \cdot peri_R\ P)) \lor (\bigcap\ P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))
R4(peri_R P))
   using assms(2)
   by (simp add: periR-ExtChoice assms(1), rel-auto)
lemma periR-ExtChoice-ind [rdes]:
   assumes \land P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) is NCSP A \neq \{\}
   shows peri_R(\Box P \in A \cdot F(P)) = ((\Box P \in A \cdot pre_R(FP)) \Rightarrow_r (\Box P \in A \cdot peri_R(FP))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr
using assms by (subst periR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: closure unrest)
lemma periR-ExtChoice-ind':
   assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) is NCSP A \neq \{\}
   shows peri_R(\Box P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (R5((| P \in A \cdot pre_R(FP))) \Rightarrow_r (| P \in A \cdot peri_R(FP))) \vee (| P \in A \cdot pre_R(FP)) \vee (| P \in A \cdot p
• R4(peri_R(FP)))
   using assms by (subst periR-ExtChoice', auto simp add: closure unrest)
lemma postR-ExtChoice [rdes]:
   assumes A \subseteq [NCSP]_H A \neq \{\}
   shows post_R(ExtChoice\ A) = (\bigcap\ P \in A \cdot post_R\ P)
proof -
   have post_R (ExtChoice A) = post_R (\mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \vdash
                                                                   ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R P)))
      by (simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def closure assms)
   also have ... = post_R (\mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P)) \vdash
                                                  ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R (NCSP P))))
      by (simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym])
   also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P))) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R (NCSP P)))
   proof -
      have (| | P \in A \cdot [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s false] \dagger pre_R (NCSP P))
               = ( \bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P) )
          by (rule USUP-cong, simp add: usubst closure unrest assms)
          by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design Healthy-Idempotent SRD-Idempotent usubst unrest assms)
   also have ... = R1 ((\bigcup P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R (NCSP P)))
      by (simp add: R2c-rea-impl R2c-condr R2c-UINF R2c-preR R2c-postR
                               R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-USUP closure)
   also from assms(2) have ... = (( | | P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P))) \Rightarrow_r ( | P \in A \cdot post_R (NCSP P)))
      by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R1-cond R1-USUP R1-UINF assms Healthy-if closure)
   also have ... = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot pre_R (NCSP P) \Rightarrow_r post_R (NCSP P))
      by (simp add: UINF-rea-impl)
    also have ... = ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R (NCSP P) )
      by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre closure assms unrest)
   finally show ?thesis
      by (simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym])
qed
lemma postR-ExtChoice-ind [rdes]:
   assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) is NCSP A \neq \{\}
   shows post_R(\square P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R(F(P)))
```

```
lemma preR-extChoice:
 assumes P is CSP Q is CSP wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(P) wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(Q)
 shows pre_R(P \square Q) = (pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q))
 by (simp add: extChoice-def preR-ExtChoice assms usup-and)
lemma preR-extChoice' [rdes]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows pre_R(P \square Q) = (pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q))
 by (simp add: preR-extChoice closure assms unrest)
lemma periR-extChoice [rdes]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows peri_R(P \square Q) = ((pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \land peri_R(Q)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (peri_R(P))
\vee peri_R(Q))
 using assms
 by (simp add: extChoice-def, subst periR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: usup-and uinf-or)
lemma postR-extChoice [rdes]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows post_R(P \square Q) = (post_R(P) \lor post_R(Q))
 using assms
 by (simp add: extChoice-def, subst postR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: usup-and uinf-or)
lemma ExtChoice-cong:
 assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) = G(P)
 shows (\Box P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\Box P \in A \cdot G(P))
 using assms image-cong by force
lemma ref-unrest-ExtChoice:
 assumes
   \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$ref \sharp pre_R(P)
   \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$ref \sharp cmt_R(P)
 shows ref \sharp (ExtChoice A) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
proof -
 have \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$ref \sharp pre_R(P[0/\$tr])
   using assms by (rel-blast)
 with assms show ?thesis
   by (simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest)
qed
lemma CSP4-ExtChoice:
 assumes A \subseteq [NCSP]_H
 shows ExtChoice A is CSP4
proof (cases\ A = \{\})
 case True thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty Healthy-def CSP4-def, simp add: Skip-is-CSP Stop-left-zero)
next
 case False
 have 1:(\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ (ExtChoice \ A)) \ ;;_h \ R1 \ true) = pre_R \ (ExtChoice \ A)
   have \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; R1 \ true = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
     by (simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms)
   thus ?thesis
```

using assms by (subst postR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: closure unrest)

```
apply (simp add: False preR-ExtChoice closure NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait' assms not-UINF seq-UINF-distr
not-USUP)
     apply (rule USUP-cong)
     apply (simp add: rpred assms closure)
     done
 qed
 have 2: \$st' \sharp peri_R (ExtChoice A)
 proof -
   have a: \land P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$st' \sharp pre_R(P)
     by (simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-st'-unrest-pre assms)
   have b: \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
    by (simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-st'-unrest-peri assms)
   from a b show ?thesis
     apply (subst periR-ExtChoice)
        apply (simp-all add: assms closure unrest CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st' NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait'
False)
     done
 qed
 have 3: \$ref' \sharp post_R (ExtChoice A)
 proof -
   have a: \land P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$ref' \sharp pre_R(P)
      by (simp add: CSP4-ref'-unrest-pre CSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-Healthy-subset-member
NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-subset-implies-CSP assms)
   have b: \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$ref' \sharp post_R(P)
      by (simp add: CSP4-ref'-unrest-post CSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-Healthy-subset-member
NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-subset-implies-CSP assms)
   from a b show ?thesis
    by (subst postR-ExtChoice, simp-all add: assms CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st' NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait'
unrest False)
 qed
 show ?thesis
   by (rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: 1 2 3 assms closure)
      (metis 1 R1-seqr-closure rea-not-R1 rea-not-not rea-true-R1)
qed
lemma CSP4-extChoice [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows P \square Q is CSP4
 by (simp add: extChoice-def, rule CSP4-ExtChoice, simp-all add: assms)
lemma NCSP-ExtChoice [closure]:
 assumes A \subseteq [NCSP]_H
 shows ExtChoice A is NCSP
proof (cases A = \{\})
 case True
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty closure)
next
 case False
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule NCSP-intro)
   from assms have cls: A \subseteq [\![CSP]\!]_H A \subseteq [\![CSP3]\!]_H A \subseteq [\![CSP4]\!]_H
     using NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-CSP3 NCSP-implies-CSP4 by blast+
   have wu: \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
     using NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre assms by force
   show 1:ExtChoice A is CSP
```

```
by (metis (mono-tags) Ball-Collect CSP-ExtChoice NCSP-implies-CSP assms)
   from cls show ExtChoice A is CSP3
   by (rule-tac CSP3-SRD-intro, simp-all add: CSP-Healthy-subset-member CSP3-Healthy-subset-member
closure rdes unrest wu assms 1 False)
   from cls show ExtChoice A is CSP4
     by (simp add: CSP4-ExtChoice assms)
 qed
qed
lemma ExtChoice-NCSP-closed [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) is NCSP
 shows (\Box i \in I \cdot P(i)) is NCSP
 by (simp add: NCSP-ExtChoice assms image-subset-iff)
lemma NCSP-extChoice [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows P \square Q is NCSP
 by (simp add: NCSP-ExtChoice assms extChoice-def)
7.5
       Productivity and Guardedness
lemma Productive-ExtChoice [closure]:
 assumes A \neq \{\} A \subseteq [NCSP]_H A \subseteq [Productive]_H
 shows ExtChoice A is Productive
proof -
 have 1: \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P)
   using NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre assms(2) by blast
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule Productive-intro, simp-all add: assms closure rdes 1 unrest)
   have ((| P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \land ( P \in A \cdot post_R P)) =
         ((| P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \land ( P \in A \cdot (pre_R P \land post_R P)))
     by (rel-auto)
    moreover have (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (pre_R P \land post_R P)) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot ((pre_R P \land post_R P) \land \$tr <_u
$tr'))
   by (rule UINF-cong, metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Ball-Collect NCSP-implies-CSP Productive-post-refines-tr-increase
assms utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)
   ultimately show (\$tr'>_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R P) \land ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot post_R P)))
     by (rel-auto)
 qed
qed
lemma Productive-extChoice [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP P is Productive Q is Productive
 shows P \square Q is Productive
 by (simp add: extChoice-def Productive-ExtChoice assms)
lemma ExtChoice-Guarded [closure]:
 assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow Guarded P
 shows Guarded (\lambda X. \Box P \in A \cdot P(X))
proof (rule GuardedI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ X \ n
 have \bigwedge Y. ((\Box P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\Box P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ Y
   let ?lhs = ((\Box P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) and ?rhs = ((\Box P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
```

```
have a:?lhs[false/\$ok] = ?rhs[false/\$ok]
     by (rel-auto)
   have b:?lhs[true/\$ok][true/\$wait] = ?rhs[true/\$ok][true/\$wait]
     by (rel-auto)
   have c:?lhs[true/\$ok][false/\$wait] = ?rhs[true/\$ok][false/\$wait]
      by (simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest,
rel-blast)
   \mathbf{show} ? lhs = ? rhs
     using a \ b \ c
     by (rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var wait], simp-all)
  moreover have ((\Box P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\Box P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n))) \land (\Box P \in A \land (P \mid X \land gvrt(n))))
gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)
 proof -
   have (\Box P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) = (\Box P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
   proof (rule ExtChoice-cong)
     fix P assume P \in A
     thus (P X \land gvrt(n+1)) = (P (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1))
       using Guarded-def assms by blast
   \mathbf{qed}
   thus ?thesis by simp
  ultimately show ((\Box P \in A \cdot P \ X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\Box P \in A \cdot (P \ (X \land gvrt(n)))) \land gvrt(n+1))
   by simp
qed
lemma extChoice-Guarded [closure]:
  assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
 shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \square Q(X))
proof -
  have Guarded (\lambda X. \Box F \in \{P,Q\} \cdot F(X))
   by (rule ExtChoice-Guarded, auto simp add: assms)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: extChoice-def)
qed
7.6
        Algebraic laws
lemma extChoice-comm:
  P \square Q = Q \square P
 by (unfold extChoice-def, simp add: insert-commute)
lemma extChoice-idem:
  P \text{ is } CSP \Longrightarrow P \square P = P
 by (unfold extChoice-def, simp add: ExtChoice-single)
lemma extChoice-assoc:
  assumes P is CSP Q is CSP R is CSP
 shows P \square Q \square R = P \square (Q \square R)
 have P \square Q \square R = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \square \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)) \square \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(R) \vdash cmt_R(R))
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-reactive-design-alt}\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3))
  also have ... =
   \mathbf{R}_s (((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \land pre_R \ R) \vdash
         (((cmt_R \ P \land cmt_R \ Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ P \lor cmt_R \ Q) \land cmt_R \ R)
             \triangleleft \$tr' =_{u} \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
```

```
((cmt_R \ P \land cmt_R \ Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ P \lor cmt_R \ Q) \lor cmt_R \ R)))
    by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
  also have \dots =
    \mathbf{R}_s (((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \land pre_R \ R) \vdash
           (((cmt_R \ P \land cmt_R \ Q) \land cmt_R \ R)
                \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
             ((cmt_R \ P \lor cmt_R \ Q) \lor cmt_R \ R)))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  also have \dots =
    \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q \land pre_R \ R) \vdash
           ((cmt_R \ P \land (cmt_R \ Q \land cmt_R \ R))
                \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
            (cmt_R \ P \lor (cmt_R \ Q \lor cmt_R \ R))))
    by (simp add: conj-assoc disj-assoc)
  also have \dots =
    \mathbf{R}_s \ ((pre_R \ P \ \land \ pre_R \ Q \ \land \ pre_R \ R) \vdash
           ((cmt_R \ P \land (cmt_R \ Q \land cmt_R \ R) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ Q \lor cmt_R \ R))
                \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
            (cmt_R \ P \lor (cmt_R \ Q \land cmt_R \ R) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ Q \lor cmt_R \ R))))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \square (\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)) \square \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(R) \vdash cmt_R(R)))
    by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
  also have \dots = P \square (Q \square R)
    by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-reactive-design-alt}\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3))
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma extChoice-Stop:
  assumes Q is CSP
  shows Stop \square Q = Q
  using assms
proof -
  have Stop \square Q = \mathbf{R}_s \ (true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait')) \square \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q))
    by (simp add: Stop-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  \textbf{also have} \ \dots = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ Q \vdash (((\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait') \land cmt_R \ Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\$tr') ) \land (\mathsf{mt}_R \ Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \rhd (\$tr') )
=_u \$tr \land \$wait' \lor cmt_R \ Q)))
    by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> Q \vdash (cmt_R \ Q \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright cmt_R \ Q))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def eq-upred-sym neg-conj-cancel1 utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> Q \vdash cmt_R Q)
    by (simp add: cond-idem)
  also have \dots = Q
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma extChoice-Chaos:
  assumes Q is CSP
  shows Chaos \square Q = Chaos
proof -
  have Chaos \square Q = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash true) \square \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q))
    by (simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash (cmt<sub>R</sub> Q \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright true))
    by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash true)
```

```
by (rule cong [of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma extChoice-Dist:
 assumes P is CSP S \subseteq [\![CSP]\!]_H S \neq \{\}
 shows P \square (\square S) = (\square Q \in S. P \square Q)
proof
 let ?S1 = pre_R 'S and ?S2 = cmt_R 'S
 have P \square (\bigcap S) = P \square (\bigcap Q \in S \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)))
  by (simp add: SRD-as-reactive-design[THEN sym] Healthy-SUPREMUM UINF-as-Sup-collect assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \square \mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup Q \in S \cdot pre_R(Q)) \vdash (\bigcap Q \in S \cdot cmt_R(Q)))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-USUP SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  ((cmt_R(P) \land (   Q \in S \cdot cmt_R(Q) ))
                       \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright
                      (cmt_R(P) \vee (   Q \in S \cdot cmt_R(Q))))
   by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup Q \in S \cdot pre_R P \land pre_R Q) \vdash
                     ( \bigcap Q \in S \cdot (cmt_R \ P \land cmt_R \ Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R \ P \lor cmt_R \ Q)))
   by (simp add: conj-USUP-dist conj-UINF-dist disj-UINF-dist cond-UINF-dist assms)
  also have ... = ( \bigcap Q \in S \cdot \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \land pre_R Q) \vdash
                                ((cmt_R\ P\ \land\ cmt_R\ Q) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$tr\ ' =_u \$tr\ \land\ \$wait' \mathrel{\triangleright} (cmt_R\ P\ \lor\ cmt_R\ Q))))
   by (simp add: assms RHS-design-USUP)
 also have ... = (\bigcap Q \in S \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \Box \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)))
   by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
 also have ... = (   Q \in S. P \square CSP(Q) )
     by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect, metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-design
assms(1)
 by (rule SUP-cong, simp-all add: Healthy-subset-member[OF assms(2)])
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma extChoice-dist:
 assumes P is CSP Q is CSP R is CSP
 shows P \square (Q \sqcap R) = (P \square Q) \sqcap (P \square R)
 using assms extChoice-Dist[of\ P\ \{Q,\ R\}] by simp
lemma ExtChoice-seq-distr:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P i is PCSP Q is NCSP
 shows (\Box i \in A \cdot P i) ;; Q = (\Box i \in A \cdot P i ;; Q)
proof (cases\ A = \{\})
 case True
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty NCSP-implies-CSP Stop-left-zero assms(2))
next
 case False
 show ?thesis
  proof -
   have 1:(\Box i \in A \cdot P i) = (\Box i \in A \cdot (\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R (P i)) \vdash peri_R (P i)) \diamond (R4(post_R (P i))))))
     (is ?X = ?Y)
    by (rule ExtChoice-cong, metis (no-types, hide-lams) R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP
```

```
Productive-form \ assms(1) \ comp-apply)
           have 2:(\Box i \in A \cdot P \ i \ ;; \ Q) = (\Box i \in A \cdot (\mathbf{R}_s \ ((pre_R \ (P \ i)) \vdash peri_R \ (P \ i) \diamond (R_4(post_R \ (P \ i))))) \ ;; \ Q)
             \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule ExtChoice-cong}, \ \textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \ \textit{hide-lams}) \ \textit{R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP} \ \textit{NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-implies-NCSP-imp
Productive-form \ assms(1) \ comp-apply)
           show ?thesis
                  by (simp add: 12, rdes-eq cls: assms False cong: ExtChoice-cong USUP-cong)
     \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma extChoice-seq-distr:
      assumes P is PCSP Q is PCSP R is NCSP
     shows (P \square Q);; R = (P ;; R \square Q ;; R)
     by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma extChoice-seq-distl:
     assumes P is ICSP Q is ICSP R is NCSP
     shows P :: (Q \square R) = (P :: Q \square P :: R)
     by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
end
                    Stateful-Failure Programs
theory utp-sfrd-prog
     \mathbf{imports}
            utp-sfrd-extchoice
```

#### 8.1 Conditionals

begin

```
lemma NCSP\text{-}cond\text{-}srea\ [closure]:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is NCSP
by (rule\ NCSP\text{-}NSRD\text{-}intro,\ simp\text{-}all\ add:\ closure\ rdes\ assms\ unrest})
```

#### 8.2 Guarded commands

```
lemma GuardedCommR-NCSP-closed [closure]: assumes P is NCSP shows g \rightarrow_R P is NCSP by (simp\ add: gcmd-def\ closure\ assms)
```

### 8.3 Alternation

```
lemma AlternateR-NCSP-closed [closure]: assumes \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) is NCSP Q is NCSP shows (if_R \ i \in A \cdot g(i) \to P(i) \ else \ Q \ fi) is NCSP proof (cases \ A = \{\}) case True then show ?thesis by (simp \ add: \ assms) next case False then show ?thesis
```

```
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon AlternateR\text{-}def\ closure\ assms}) \mathbf{qed}
```

#### 8.4 While Loops

```
lemma NSRD-coerce-NCSP:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow Skip :; P :; Skip \text{ is } NCSP
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-Skip CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-def NCSP-Skip NCSP-implies-CSP
NCSP-intro NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-segr-closure)
definition While C :: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \ action \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \ action \ (while <math>C - do - od) where
while_C \ b \ do \ P \ od = Skip \ ;; \ while_R \ b \ do \ P \ od \ ;; \ Skip
lemma While C-NCSP-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NCSP P is Productive
 shows while_C b do P od is NCSP
 by (simp add: While C-def NSRD-coerce-NCSP assms closure)
8.5
        Assignment
definition AssignsCSP :: '\sigma \ usubst \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ (\langle -\rangle_C) where
[upred-defs]: Assigns CSP \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_s))
syntax
  -assigns-csp :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic ('(-') :=_C '(-'))
  -assigns-csp :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic (infixr :=_C 90)
translations
  -assigns-csp \ xs \ vs => CONST \ AssignsCSP \ (-mk-usubst \ (CONST \ id) \ xs \ vs)
  -assigns-csp \ x \ v \le CONST \ AssignsCSP \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (CONST \ id) \ x \ v)
  -assigns-csp \ x \ v \le -assigns-csp \ (-spvar \ x) \ v
 x,y :=_C u,v <= CONST \ Assigns CSP \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (CONST \ id) \ (CONST \ id)
svar x) u) (CONST svar y) v)
lemma preR-AssignsCSP [rdes]: pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-Assigns CSP [rdes]: peri_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-Assigns CSP [rdes]: post_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = \Phi(true, \sigma, \langle \rangle)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma AssignsCSP-rdes-def [rdes-def] : \langle \sigma \rangle_C = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond \Phi(true, \sigma, \langle \rangle))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma AssignsCSP-CSP [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_C is CSP
  by (simp add: AssignsCSP-def RHS-tri-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma Assigns CSP-CSP3 [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_C is CSP3
 by (rule CSP3-intro, simp add: closure, rel-auto)
lemma Assigns CSP-CSP4 [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_C is CSP4
  by (rule CSP4-intro, simp add: closure, rel-auto+)
lemma AssignsCSP-NCSP [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_C is NCSP
```

```
by (simp add: AssignsCSP-CSP AssignsCSP-CSP3 AssignsCSP-CSP4 NCSP-intro)
```

```
lemma AssignsCSP-ICSP [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_C is ICSP
 apply (rule ICSP-intro, simp add: closure, simp add: rdes-def)
 apply (rule ISRD1-rdes-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
done
```

#### 8.6 Assignment with update

There are different collections that we would like to assign to, but they all have different types and perhaps more importantly different conditions on the update being well defined. For example, for a list well-definedness equates to the index being less than the length etc. Thus we here set up a polymorphic constant for CSP assignment updates that can be specialised to different types.

```
definition AssignCSP-update ::
   (f \Rightarrow k \ set) \Rightarrow (f \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow f) \Rightarrow (f \Longrightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow
    ('k, '\sigma) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('v, '\sigma) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ where
[upred-defs,rdes-def]: AssignCSP-update domf updatef x k v =
  \mathbf{R}_s([k \in_u uop\ domf\ (\&x)]_{S<} \vdash false \diamond \Phi(true,[x \mapsto_s trop\ updatef\ (\&x)\ k\ v],\ \langle\rangle))
```

All different assignment updates have the same syntax; the type resolves which implementation to use.

```
syntax
```

```
-csp-assign-upd :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (-[-] :=_C - [0,0,72] 72)
 x[k] :=_C v == CONST \ AssignCSP-update (CONST udom) (CONST uupd) x \ k \ v
lemma AssignCSP-update-CSP [closure]:
  AssignCSP-update domf updatef x \ k \ v is CSP
 by (simp add: AssignCSP-update-def RHS-tri-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma preR-AssignCSP-update [rdes]:
 pre_R(AssignCSP\text{-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) = [k \in_u \ uop \ domf \ (\&x)]_{S < v}
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-AssignCSP-update [rdes]:
  peri_R(AssignCSP\text{-}update\ domf\ updatef\ x\ k\ v) = [k\notin_u\ uop\ domf\ (\&x)]_{S<}
 by (rel\text{-}simp)
lemma post-AssignCSP-update [rdes]:
  post_R(AssignCSP-update\ domf\ updatef\ x\ k\ v) =
   (\Phi(true, [x \mapsto_s trop \ updatef \ (\&x) \ k \ v], \langle \rangle) \triangleleft k \in_u uop \ domf \ (\&x) \triangleright_R R1(true))
  \mathbf{by} \ (rel-auto)
lemma AssignCSP-update-NCSP [closure]:
  (AssignCSP-update\ domf\ updatef\ x\ k\ v)\ is\ NCSP
proof (rule NCSP-intro)
```

**show** (AssignCSP-update domf updatef  $x \ k \ v$ ) is CSP

**show** (AssignCSP-update domf updatef  $x \ k \ v$ ) is CSP3

by (rule CSP3-SRD-intro, simp-all add: csp-do-def closure rdes unrest)

by (simp add: closure)

```
show (AssignCSP-update domf updatef x \ k \ v) is CSP4
    by (rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: csp-do-def closure rdes unrest, rel-auto)
qed
8.7
         State abstraction
lemma ref-unrest-abs-st [unrest]:
  ref \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref \sharp \langle P \rangle_S
  ref' \sharp P \Longrightarrow ref' \sharp \langle P \rangle_S
  by (rel\text{-}simp)+
lemma NCSP-state-srea [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is NCSP
  apply (rule NCSP-NSRD-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure rdes)
  apply (simp-all add: state-srea-def unrest closure)
8.8
        Assumptions
definition AssumeCircus (\{-\}_C) where
[rdes-def]: \{b\}_C = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathcal{I}(b,\langle\rangle) \vdash (false \diamond \Phi(true,id,\langle\rangle)))
8.9
         Guards
definition GuardCSP ::
  '\sigma \ cond \Rightarrow
   ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow
   ('\sigma, '\varphi) action (infixr &<sub>u</sub> 70) where
[upred-defs]: g \&_u A = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R(A)) \vdash ((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land cmt_R(A)) \lor (\lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <}) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land r
$wait '))
lemma Guard-tri-design:
  g \&_u P = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \vdash (peri_R(P) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S <} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land post_R(P)))
 have (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land cmt_R \ P \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait') = (peri_R(P) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S <} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond
(\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land post_R(P))
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: GuardCSP-def)
lemma csp-do-cond-conj:
  assumes P is CRR
  shows (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \land P) = \Phi(b, id, \langle \rangle) ;; P
proof -
  have (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \land CRR(P)) = \Phi(b, id, \langle \rangle) ;; CRR(P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Guard-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RR Q is CRR R is CRR
```

 $\langle \rangle \rangle ; ; R \rangle \rangle$ 

proof -

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```
\mathbf{have} \ ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((P \Rightarrow_r Q) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S<} \rhd (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land (P \Rightarrow_r R)))
     by (simp add: Guard-tri-design rdes assms closure)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\mathcal{I}(g,\langle\rangle) \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (([g]_{S<} \land Q) \lor \mathcal{E}(\neg g,\langle\rangle,\{\}_u)) \diamond ([g]_{S<} \land R))
     by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\mathcal{I}(g,\langle\rangle) \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((\Phi(g,id,\langle\rangle);;Q) \lor \mathcal{E}(\neg g,\langle\rangle,\{\}_u)) \diamond (\Phi(g,id,\langle\rangle);;R))
     by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ csp-do-cond-conj)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Guard-rdes-def':
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P
  shows g \&_u (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land Q \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
  have g \&_u (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S \leq r} pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S \leq r} cmt_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q))) \lor
\lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait')
     by (simp add: GuardCSP-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q))) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <})
\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')
     by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))) \vdash R1(R2c(\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q))))
\vee [\neg g]_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c)
   \textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))) \vdash R1(R2c(\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land (cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <})) 
\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')))
      by (simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-disj R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-idem)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P)))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land (cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr'
=_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S \leq \neg r} R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P)))) \vdash cmt_s \dagger (\lceil g \rceil_{S \leq \neg r} (cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S \leq \neg r} (pre_s \dagger P))
\land \ \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
      by (simp add: rdes-export-cmt)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))) \vdash cmt_s \dagger (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr'
=_u \$tr \land \$wait')
      by (simp add: usubst)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P)))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr
\land \$wait'))
      by (simp add: rdes-export-cmt)
    \textbf{also from} \ \textit{assms} \ \textbf{have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r (pre_s \dagger P)) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \land \$tr' =_u)
tr \wedge wait')
      by (rel-auto)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_s \dagger P) \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \land
\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait')
      by (simp add: rdes-export-pre)
   also from assms have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P)[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<})
\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))
      by (rel-auto)
    also from assms have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land (P \Rightarrow Q) \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land
$wait'))
      by (simp add: rdes-export-pre)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S <} \land Q \lor \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S <} \land \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \$wait'))
      by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

lemma CSP-Guard [closure]:  $b \&_u P$  is CSP

```
by (simp add: GuardCSP-def, rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest)
lemma preR-Guard [rdes]: P is CSP \Longrightarrow pre_R(b \&_u P) = ([b]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)
  by (simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-preR R2c-lift-state-pre
     R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl R1-preR Healthy-if, rel-auto)
lemma periR-Guard [rdes]:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows peri_R(b \&_u P) = (peri_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u))
  have peri_R(b \&_u P) = ((\lceil b \rceil_{S \leqslant} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S \leqslant} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)))
   by (simp add: assms Guard-tri-design rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-not
       R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-periR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-condr closure
       Healthy-if R1-cond R1-tr'-eq-tr)
  also have ... = ((pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P) \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S <} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (peri_R P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S <} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre add: unrest closure assms)
  finally show ?thesis
   by rel-auto
qed
lemma postR-Guard [rdes]:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows post_R(b \&_u P) = ([b]_{S <} \land post_R P)
  have post_R(b \&_u P) = ((\lceil b \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \Rightarrow_r (\lceil b \rceil_{S <} \land post_R P))
   by (simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-rea-not R2c-and R2c-rea-impl
       R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-condr R1-rea-impl R1-extend-conj'
       R1-post-SRD closure assms)
  also have ... = (\lceil b \rceil_{S <} \land (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P))
   by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\lceil b \rceil_{S <} \land post_R P)
   by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre add: unrest closure assms)
  also have ... = ([b]_{S<} \land post_R P)
   by (metis CSP-Guard R1-extend-conj R1-post-SRD calculation rea-st-cond-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CSP3-Guard [closure]:
  assumes P is CSP P is CSP3
 shows b \&_u P is CSP3
proof -
  from assms have 1:ref \ \sharp P[false/\$wait]
   by (simp add: CSP-Guard CSP3-iff)
  hence ref \sharp pre_R (P \llbracket 0/\$tr \rrbracket) \$ref \sharp pre_R P \$ref \sharp cmt_R P
   by (pred-blast)+
  hence ref \ \sharp \ (b \ \&_n \ P) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
    by (simp add: CSP3-iff GuardCSP-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def unrest
usubst)
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis CSP3-intro CSP-Guard)
```

lemma CSP4-Guard [closure]:

```
assumes P is NCSP
  shows b \&_u P is CSP4
proof (rule CSP4-tri-intro[OF CSP-Guard])
  show (\neg_r \ pre_R \ (b \ \&_u \ P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ (b \ \&_u \ P))
  proof -
   have a:(\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
     by (simp add: CSP4-neg-pre-unit assms closure)
   have (\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P));; R1 true = (\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P))
   proof -
     have 1:(\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)) = ([b]_{S<} \land (\neg_r pre_R P))
       by (rel-auto)
     also have 2:... = ([b]_{S <} \land ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true))
       by (simp \ add: \ a)
     also have 3:... = (\neg_r ([b]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)) ;; R1 true
       by (rel-auto)
     finally show ?thesis ..
   qed
   thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: preR-Guard periR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)
  qed
  show \$st' \sharp peri_R (b \&_u P)
   by (simp add: preR-Guard periR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)
  show \$ref' \sharp post_R (b \&_u P)
   by (simp add: preR-Guard postR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)
qed
lemma NCSP-Guard [closure]:
  assumes P is NCSP
 shows b \&_u P is NCSP
proof -
 have P is CSP
   using NCSP-implies-CSP assms by blast
  then show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types) CSP3-Guard CSP3-commutes-CSP4 CSP4-Guard CSP4-Idempotent CSP-Guard
Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def NCSP-def assms comp-apply)
qed
lemma Productive-Guard [closure]:
  assumes P is CSP P is Productive wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(P)
  shows b \&_u P is Productive
  have b \&_u P = b \&_u \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
   by (metis\ Healthy-def\ Productive-form\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
  also have \dots =
       \mathbf{R}_s ((\lceil b \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \vdash
         ((pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S \triangleleft} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil b \rceil_{S \triangleleft} \wedge (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))
\$tr)))
   by (simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design unrest
assms
     usubst R1-preR Healthy-if R1-rea-impl R1-peri-SRD R1-extend-conj' R2c-preR R2c-not R2c-rea-impl
        R2c-periR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' R1-tr-less-tr')
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \vdash (peri_R P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond ((\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \land post_R P)
\land \$tr' >_u \$tr)
   by (rel-auto)
```

```
also have ... = Productive(b \&_u P)
        by (simp add: Productive-def Guard-tri-design RHS-tri-design-par unrest)
    finally show ?thesis
        by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
8.10
                     Basic events
definition do_u ::
    (\varphi, \varphi) uexpr \Rightarrow (\varphi, \varphi) action where
[upred-defs]: do_u \ e = ((\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [e]_{S <} \notin_u \$ref') \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land_u \langle [e]_{S <} \rangle \land \$st' =_u \land [v]_{S <} \land [v]_{
\$st)
definition DoCSP :: ('\varphi, '\sigma) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ (do_C) \ where
[upred-defs]: DoCSP \ a = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash do_u \ a)
lemma R1-DoAct: R1(do_u(a)) = do_u(a)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c\text{-}DoAct: R2c(do_u(a)) = do_u(a)
    by (rel-auto)
lemma DoCSP-alt-def: do_C(a) = R3h(CSP1(\$ok' \land do_u(a)))
    apply (simp add: DoCSP-def RHS-def design-def impl-alt-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute
R2c-disj
                                       R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-ok' R2c-and R2c-DoAct R1-disj R1-extend-conj' R1-DoAct)
   apply (rel-auto)
done
lemma DoAct-unrests [unrest]:
    \$ok \sharp do_u(a) \$wait \sharp do_u(a)
   by (pred-auto)+
lemma DoCSP-RHS-tri [rdes-def]:
    do_C(a) = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \diamond \Phi(true, id, \langle a \rangle)))
    by (simp add: DoCSP-def do_u-def wait'-cond-def, rel-auto)
lemma CSP-DoCSP [closure]: do_C(a) is CSP
    by (simp add: DoCSP-def do_u-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma preR-DoCSP [rdes]: pre_R(do_C(a)) = true_r
    by (simp add: DoCSP-def rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst R2c-true)
lemma periR-DoCSP [rdes]: peri_R(do_C(a)) = \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-DoCSP [rdes]: post_R(do_C(a)) = \Phi(true,id,\langle a \rangle)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma CSP3-DoCSP [closure]: do_C(a) is CSP3
    by (rule CSP3-intro[OF CSP-DoCSP])
          (simp add: DoCSP-def do<sub>u</sub>-def RHS-def design-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def unrest usubst)
lemma CSP4-DoCSP [closure]: do_C(a) is CSP4
     by (rule CSP4-tri-intro[OF CSP-DoCSP], simp-all add: preR-DoCSP periR-DoCSP postR-DoCSP
```

unrest)

```
lemma NCSP-DoCSP [closure]: do_C(a) is NCSP
 by (metis CSP3-DoCSP CSP4-DoCSP CSP-DoCSP Healthy-def NCSP-def comp-apply)
lemma Productive-DoCSP [closure]:
  (do_C \ a :: ('\sigma, '\psi) \ action) \ is \ Productive
proof -
 have ((\Phi(true,id,\langle a\rangle) \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) :: ('\sigma, '\psi) \ action)
        = (\Phi(true, id, \langle a \rangle))
    by (rel-auto, simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI')
  hence Productive(do_C \ a) = do_C \ a
    by (simp add: Productive-RHS-design-form DoCSP-RHS-tri unrest)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma PCSP-DoCSP [closure]:
  (do_C \ a :: ('\sigma, '\psi) \ action) \ is \ PCSP
  by (simp add: Healthy-comp NCSP-DoCSP Productive-DoCSP)
lemma wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma:
  fixes P :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P
  shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) ;; P = (\exists \$ref \cdot P[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr])
  using assms
  by (rel-auto, meson)
lemma wp-rea-DoCSP:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<}) \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) wp_r pre_R P =
        (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)[\$tr \ \hat{}_u \ \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr])
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma unrest usubst ex-unrest assms closure)
lemma wp-rea-DoCSP-alt:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) wp_r pre_R P =
         (\$tr' \geq_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<}) \Rightarrow_r (pre_R P) \llbracket \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr \rrbracket)
  by (simp add: wp-rea-DoCSP assms rea-not-def R1-def usubst unrest, rel-auto)
8.11 Event prefix
definition PrefixCSP ::
  ('\varphi, '\sigma) \ uexpr \Rightarrow
 ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow
 (\sigma, \varphi) action (-\rightarrow_C - [81, 80] \ 80) where
[upred-defs]: PrefixCSP \ a \ P = (do_C(a) \ ;; \ CSP(P))
abbreviation OutputCSP \ c \ v \ P \equiv PrefixCSP \ (c \cdot v)_u \ P
lemma CSP-PrefixCSP [closure]: PrefixCSP a P is CSP
 by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def closure)
lemma CSP3-PrefixCSP [closure]:
  PrefixCSP a P is CSP3
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-DoCSP CSP3-def Healthy-def PrefixCSP-def segr-assoc)
```

```
lemma CSP4-PrefixCSP [closure]:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows PrefixCSP a P is CSP4
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP4-def Healthy-def PrefixCSP-def assms(1) assms(2) segr-assoc)
lemma NCSP-PrefixCSP [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP
 shows PrefixCSP a P is NCSP
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-PrefixCSP CSP3-commutes-CSP4 CSP4-Idempotent CSP4-PrefixCSP
        CSP-PrefixCSP Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def NCSP-def NCSP-implies-CSP assms comp-apply)
lemma Productive-PrefixCSP [closure]: P is NCSP \Longrightarrow PrefixCSP a P is Productive
 by (simp add: Healthy-if NCSP-DoCSP NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-is-SRD PrefixCSP-def Productive-DoCSP
Productive-seq-1)
lemma PCSP-PrefixCSP [closure]: P is NCSP \implies PrefixCSP a P is PCSP
 by (simp add: Healthy-comp NCSP-PrefixCSP Productive-PrefixCSP)
lemma PrefixCSP-Guarded [closure]: Guarded (PrefixCSP a)
proof -
 have PrefixCSP \ a = (\lambda \ X. \ do_C(a) \ ;; \ CSP(X))
   by (simp add: fun-eq-iff PrefixCSP-def)
 thus ?thesis
   using Guarded-if-Productive NCSP-DoCSP NCSP-implies-NSRD Productive-DoCSP by auto
lemma PrefixCSP-type [closure]: PrefixCSP a \in [H]_H \to [CSP]_H
 using CSP-PrefixCSP by blast
lemma PrefixCSP-Continuous [closure]: Continuous (PrefixCSP a)
 by (simp add: Continuous-def PrefixCSP-def ContinuousD[OF SRD-Continuous] seq-Sup-distl)
lemma PrefixCSP-RHS-tri-lemma1:
  R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R)) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \ \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma PrefixCSP-RHS-tri-lemma2:
 fixes P :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action
 assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P
 shows ((\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S <}) \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; P = (\exists \$ref \cdot P[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S <}) / \$tr])
 using assms
 by (rel-auto, meson, fastforce)
lemma tr-extend-seqr:
 fixes P :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action
 assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$wait \ \sharp \ P \ \$ref \ \sharp \ P
 shows (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{u} \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) ;; P = P[\![\$tr \hat{u} \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]\!]
 using assms by (simp add: wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma assms unrest ex-unrest)
lemma trace-ext-R1-closed [closure]: P is R1 \Longrightarrow P[$tr \hat{\ }_u e/$tr] is R1
 by (rel-blast)
lemma preR-PrefixCSP-NCSP [rdes]:
 assumes P is NCSP
 shows pre_R(PrefixCSP \ a \ P) = (\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (pre_R \ P) [\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t)
```

```
by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def assms closure rdes rpred Healthy-if wp usubst unrest)
lemma periR-PrefixCSP [rdes]:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows peri_R(PrefixCSP \ a \ P) = (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \lor (peri_R \ P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)
proof -
  have peri_R(PrefixCSP \ a \ P) = peri_R \ (do_C \ a \ ;; \ P)
    by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def closure assms Healthy-if)
  \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r pre_R P[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t) \Rightarrow_r \$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil a \rceil_{S <} \notin_u \$ref' \lor peri_R P[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t)
   by (simp add: assms NSRD-CSP4-intro csp-enable-tr-empty closure rdes unrest ex-unrest usubst rpred
wp)
  also have ... = (\mathcal{E}(true,\langle\rangle,\{a\}_u) \vee ((\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a\rangle)) \Rightarrow_r pre_R P[\![\langle a\rangle]\!]_t) \Rightarrow_r peri_R P[\![\langle a\rangle]\!]_t)
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee ((pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee (peri_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)
    by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms closure unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma postR-PrefixCSP [rdes]:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows post_R(PrefixCSP \ a \ P) = (post_R \ P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t
proof -
  have post_R(PrefixCSP \ a \ P) = ((\mathcal{I}(true, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (pre_R \ P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)
    by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def assms Healthy-if)
        (simp add: assms Healthy-if wp closure rdes rpred wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma unrest ex-unrest usubst)
  also have ... = (\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \land (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P) [\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t)
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \land (post_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)
    by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)
  also have ... = (post_R P)[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma PrefixCSP-RHS-tri:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows PrefixCSP \ a \ P = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r pre_R P[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true,\langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \lor peri_R P[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t) \diamond
post_R P[\![\langle a \rangle ]\!]_t)
  by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def Healthy-if unrest assms closure NSRD-composition-wp rdes rpred usubst
For prefix, we can chose whether to propagate the assumptions or not, hence there are two laws.
lemma PrefixCSP-rdes-def-1 [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is CRC Q is CRR R is CRR
            \$st' \sharp Q \$ref' \sharp R
  shows PrefixCSP \ a \ (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true,\langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \lor Q \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)
\diamond R[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t)
  apply (subst PrefixCSP-RHS-tri)
   apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
```

apply (simp-all add: assms rdes closure)

apply (rel-auto)

done

```
lemma PrefixCSP-rdes-def-2:
  assumes P is CRC Q is CRR R is CRR
            \$st' \sharp Q \$ref' \sharp R
 shows PrefixCSP\ a\ (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(true,\langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true,\langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \lor (P \land Q)[\![\langle a \rangle]\!]_t)
\diamond (P \wedge R) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t
  apply (subst PrefixCSP-RHS-tri)
   apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
        apply (simp-all add: assms rdes closure)
  apply (rel-auto)
  done
             Guarded external choice
8.12
abbreviation Guarded Choice CSP: '\vartheta set \Rightarrow ('\vartheta \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) action) \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) action where
GuardedChoiceCSP \ A \ P \equiv (\Box \ x \in A \cdot PrefixCSP \ll x \gg (P(x)))
syntax
  -GuardedChoiceCSP :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\Box - \in - \rightarrow - [0.0, 85] 86)
translations
  \square x \in A \rightarrow P == CONST \ Guarded Choice CSP \ A \ (\lambda x. P)
lemma GuardedChoiceCSP [rdes-def]:
  assumes \bigwedge x. P(x) is NCSP A \neq \{\}
  shows (\Box x \in A \to P(x)) =
                \mathbf{R}_s (( \sqsubseteq x \in A \cdot \mathcal{I}(true, \langle \ll x \gg \rangle) \Rightarrow_r pre_R (P x) \llbracket \langle \ll x \gg \rangle \rrbracket_t) \vdash
                      ((\mid \mid x \in A \cdot \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\langle x \rangle\}_u)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\prod x \in A \cdot peri_R (Px) \llbracket \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle \rrbracket_t)) \diamond
                      (   x \in A \cdot post_R (P x) [\langle x \rangle]_t ) 
  by (simp add: PrefixCSP-RHS-tri assms ExtChoice-tri-rdes closure unrest, rel-auto)
8.13
            Input prefix
definition InputCSP ::
  ('a, '\vartheta) \ chan \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow '\sigma \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) \ action) \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) \ action \ where
[upred-defs]: InputCSP c A P = (\Box x \in UNIV \cdot A(x) \&_u PrefixCSP (c \cdot \ll x \gg)_u (P x))
definition Input VarCSP :: ('a, '\vartheta) chan \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\sigma) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow '\sigma \ upred) \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) action \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\sigma)
\vartheta) action where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: Input VarCSP c x A P = Input CSP c A (\lambda \ v. \langle [x \mapsto_s \ll v \gg] \rangle_C) ;; P
definition do_I ::
  ('a, '\vartheta) \ chan \Rightarrow
  ('a \Longrightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) \ st\text{-}csp) \Rightarrow
  ('a \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\vartheta) \ action) \Rightarrow
  ('\sigma, '\vartheta) action where
do_I \ c \ x \ P = (
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \{e : \ll \delta_u(c) \gg | P(e) \cdot (c \cdot \ll e)_u\}_u \cap_u \$ref' =_u \{\}_u)
     \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright
  ((\$tr' - \$tr) \in_u \{e : \langle \delta_u(c) \rangle \mid P(e) \cdot \langle (c \cdot \langle e \rangle)_u \rangle\}_u \wedge (c \cdot \$x')_u =_u last_u(\$tr'))
lemma InputCSP-CSP [closure]: InputCSP c A P is CSP
  by (simp add: CSP-ExtChoice InputCSP-def)
lemma InputCSP-NCSP [closure]: \llbracket \land v. P(v) \text{ is } NCSP \rrbracket \implies InputCSP \ c \ A \ P \ \text{is } NCSP
  apply (simp add: InputCSP-def)
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{NCSP-ExtChoice})
```

```
apply (simp add: NCSP-Guard NCSP-PrefixCSP image-Collect-subsetI top-set-def)
  done
lemma Productive-InputCSP [closure]:
  \llbracket \land v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP } \rrbracket \Longrightarrow InputCSP \ x \ A \ P \ is Productive
  by (auto simp add: InputCSP-def unrest closure intro: Productive-ExtChoice)
lemma preR-InputCSP [rdes]:
  assumes \bigwedge v. P(v) is NCSP
 \mathbf{shows} \ pre_R(InputCSP \ a \ A \ P) = (\bigsqcup v \cdot [A(v)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(true, \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (pre_R \ (P(v))) [\langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle]_t)
  by (simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms alpha usubst unrest)
lemma periR-InputCSP [rdes]:
  assumes \bigwedge v. P(v) is NCSP
  shows peri_R(InputCSP \ a \ A \ P) =
              ((\bigsqcup x \cdot [A(x)]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u)))
                  \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright
               ([] x \cdot [A(x)]_{S <} \land (peri_R (P x)) [[\langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle]]_t)
  by (simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms, rel-auto)
lemma postR-InputCSP [rdes]:
  assumes \bigwedge v. P(v) is NCSP
  shows post_R(InputCSP \ a \ A \ P) =
            (\prod x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \land post_R \ (P \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)
  using assms by (simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms usubst unrest)
lemma InputCSP-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes \bigwedge v. P(v) is CRC \bigwedge v. Q(v) is CRR \bigwedge v. R(v) is CRR
            \bigwedge v. \$st' \sharp Q(v) \bigwedge v. \$ref' \sharp R(v)
  shows Input CSP a A (\lambda v. \mathbf{R}_s(P(v) \vdash Q(v) \diamond R(v))) =
           \mathbf{R}_s(\ (\bigsqcup\ v\cdot ([A(v)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(true, \langle (a\cdot \ll v\gg)_u\rangle) \Rightarrow_r (P\ v)[\![\langle (a\cdot \ll v\gg)_u\rangle]\!]_t))
             \vdash (((\bigsqcup x \cdot R5([A(x)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u))))
             \begin{array}{l} ( \prod \ x \cdot [A(x)]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \wedge Q \ x) [\![\langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle]\!]_t ) ) \\ \diamond ( \prod \ x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \wedge R \ x) [\![\langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle]\!]_t ) ) \ \textbf{(is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs) \end{array}
proof
  \mathbf{have} \ 1: pre_R(?lhs) = (\bigsqcup \ v \cdot [A \ v]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(true, \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P \ v[\langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle]_t) \ (\mathbf{is} \ - = \ ?A)
     by (simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms conj-comm closure)
  have 2:peri_R(?lhs) = (\bigsqcup x \cdot [A \ x]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigcap x \cdot [A \ x]_{S <})
\wedge (P x \Rightarrow_r Q x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t )
     (is - ?B)
     by (simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms closure)
  have 3:post_R(?lhs) = (   x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \land (P \ x \Rightarrow_r R \ x) [ \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle ]_t )
     (is - ?C)
     by (simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms closure)
  have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(?A \vdash ?B \diamond ?C)
     by (subst SRD-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym], simp-all add: closure 1 2 3)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
     by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

#### 8.14 Algebraic laws

```
lemma AssignCSP-conditional: assumes vwb-lens x
```

```
\mathbf{shows}\ x :=_C e \triangleleft b \triangleright_R x :=_C f = x :=_C (e \triangleleft b \triangleright f)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma AssignsCSP-id: \langle id \rangle_C = Skip
 by (rel-auto)
lemma Guard-comp:
 g \&_u h \&_u P = (g \wedge h) \&_u P
 by (rule antisym, rel-blast, rel-blast)
lemma Guard-false [simp]: false \&_u P = Stop
 by (simp add: GuardCSP-def Stop-def rpred closure alpha R1-design-R1-pre)
lemma Guard-true [simp]:
  P \text{ is } CSP \Longrightarrow true \&_u P = P
 by (simp add: GuardCSP-def alpha SRD-reactive-design-alt closure rpred)
lemma Guard-conditional:
 assumes P is NCSP
 shows b \&_u P = P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Stop
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma Guard-expansion:
  (g_1 \vee g_2) \&_u P = (g_1 \&_u P) \square (g_2 \&_u P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma Conditional-as-Guard:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = b \&_u P \square (\neg b) \&_u Q
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms; simp add: le-less)
lemma PrefixCSP-dist:
  PrefixCSP \ a \ (P \sqcap Q) = (PrefixCSP \ a \ P) \sqcap (PrefixCSP \ a \ Q)
 using Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def PrefixCSP-Continuous by auto
lemma DoCSP-is-Prefix:
  do_C(a) = PrefixCSP \ a \ Skip
 by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def Healthy-if closure, metis CSP4-DoCSP CSP4-def Healthy-def)
lemma PrefixCSP-seq:
 assumes P is CSP Q is CSP
 shows (PrefixCSP \ a \ P) \ ;; \ Q = (PrefixCSP \ a \ (P \ ;; \ Q))
 by (simp add: PrefixCSP-def seqr-assoc Healthy-if assms closure)
\mathbf{lemma}\ PrefixCSP\text{-}extChoice\text{-}dist:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP R is NCSP
 shows ((a \rightarrow_C P) \Box (b \rightarrow_C Q)) ;; R = (a \rightarrow_C P ;; R) \Box (b \rightarrow_C Q ;; R)
 by (simp\ add:\ PCSP-PrefixCSP\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ extChoice-seq-distr)
lemma GuardedChoiceCSP-dist:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows \square x \in A \to P(x) ;; Q = \square x \in A \to (P(x) ;; Q)
 by (simp add: ExtChoice-seq-distr PrefixCSP-seq closure assms cong: ExtChoice-cong)
```

Alternation can be re-expressed as an external choice when the guards are disjoint

```
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes [rdes-def]
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes' [rdes-def del]
declare extChoice-rdes-def [rdes-def]
declare extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def del]
lemma AlternateR-as-ExtChoice:
  assumes
   \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP}
   \land i j. \llbracket i \in A; j \in A; i \neq j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (g \ i \land g \ j) = false
 shows (if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) else Q fi) =
        (\Box i \in A \cdot g(i) \&_u P(i)) \Box (\bigwedge i \in A \cdot \neg g(i)) \&_u Q
proof (cases\ A = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty extChoice-Stop closure assms)
next
  case False
 show ?thesis
  proof -
   have 1:(\bigcap i \in A \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \ i) \vdash peri_R(P \ i) \diamond post_R(P \ i)))
      by (rule UINF-cong, simp add: NCSP-implies-CSP SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
   \mathbf{have} \ \ 2: (\square \ i \in A \ \cdot \ g(i) \ \&_u \ P(i)) = (\square \ i \in A \ \cdot \ g(i) \ \&_u \ \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \ i) \vdash peri_R(P \ i) \diamond \ post_R(P \ i)))
      by (rule ExtChoice-cong, simp add: NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design
assms(1)
   from assms(3) show ?thesis
      by (simp add: AlternateR-def 1 2)
        (rdes-eq\ cls:\ assms(1-2)\ simps:\ False\ cong:\ UINF-cong\ ExtChoice-cong)
 qed
qed
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes [rdes-def del]
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes' [rdes-def]
declare extChoice-rdes-def [rdes-def del]
declare extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def]
```

end

## 9 Recursion in Stateful-Failures

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}sfrd\text{-}recursion \\ \textbf{imports} \ utp\text{-}sfrd\text{-}contracts \ utp\text{-}sfrd\text{-}prog \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

#### 9.1 Fixed-points

The CSP weakest fixed-point is obtained simply by precomposing the body with the CSP healthiness condition.

```
abbreviation mu-CSP :: (('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action) \Rightarrow ('\sigma, '\varphi) \ action \ (\mu_C) where \mu_C \ F \equiv \mu \ (F \circ \ CSP)
```

syntax

```
-mu-CSP :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\mu_C - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
translations
 \mu_C X \cdot P == CONST \ mu\text{-}CSP \ (\lambda X. P)
lemma mu-CSP-equiv:
  assumes Monotonic F F \in [\![CSP]\!]_H \to [\![CSP]\!]_H
 shows (\mu_R \ F) = (\mu_C \ F)
 by (simp add: srd-mu-equiv assms comp-def)
lemma mu-CSP-unfold:
  P \text{ is } CSP \Longrightarrow (\mu_C \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X) = P \ ;; \ (\mu_C \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X)
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
 apply (simp-all add: closure Healthy-if)
  done
lemma mu-csp-expand [rdes]: (\mu_C \ (op \ ;; \ Q)) = (\mu \ X \cdot Q \ ;; \ CSP \ X)
 by (simp add: comp-def)
lemma mu-csp-basic-refine:
  assumes
    P is CSP Q is NCSP Q is Productive pre_R(P) = true_r \ pre_R(Q) = true_r
   peri_R P \sqsubseteq peri_R Q
   peri_R P \sqsubseteq post_R Q ;; peri_R P
 shows P \sqsubseteq (\mu_C \ X \cdot Q \ ;; \ X)
proof (rule SRD-refine-intro', simp-all add: closure usubst alpha rpred rdes unrest wp seq-UINF-distr
assms)
  proof (rule UINF-refines')
   show peri_R P \sqsubseteq post_R Q \hat{i} ;; peri_R Q
   proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     then show ?case by (simp add: assms)
   next
     case (Suc\ i)
     then show ?case
       by (meson assms(6) assms(7) semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff upower-inductl)
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma CRD-mu-basic-refine:
  fixes P :: 'e \ list \Rightarrow 'e \ set \Rightarrow 's \ upred
  assumes
    Q is NCSP Q is Productive pre_R(Q) = true_r
    [P\ t\ r]_{S<}[(t,\ r)\rightarrow(\&tt,\ ref')_u] \sqsubseteq peri_R\ Q
    [P\ t\ r]_{S<}[(t,\ r)\to(\&tt,\ ref')_u] \subseteq post_R\ Q\ ;;_h\ [P\ t\ r]_{S<}[(t,\ r)\to(\&tt,\ ref')_u]
 shows [true \vdash P trace refs \mid R \mid_C \sqsubseteq (\mu_C \ X \cdot Q \ ;; \ X)
proof (rule mu-csp-basic-refine, simp-all add: msubst-pair assms closure alpha rdes rpred Healthy-if
R1-false)
  show [P \ trace \ refs]_{S<} \llbracket trace \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket refs \rightarrow \$ref \ ' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq peri_R \ Q
    using assms by (simp add: msubst-pair)
 \mathbf{show} \ [P \ trace \ refs]_{S < [[trace \to \& tt]][refs \to \$ref`]] \sqsubseteq post_R \ Q \ ;; \ [P \ trace \ refs]_{S < [[trace \to \& tt]][refs \to \$ref`]]}
   using assms by (simp add: msubst-pair)
```

### 9.2 Example action expansion

```
lemma mu-example1: (\mu \ X \cdot \ll a \gg \to_C \ X) = (\bigcap i \cdot do_C(\ll a \gg) \hat{\ } (i+1));; Miracle by (simp \ add: PrefixCSP-def mu-csp-form-1 closure)

lemma preR-mu-example1 [rdes]: pre_R(\mu \ X \cdot \ll a \gg \to_C \ X) = true_r by (simp \ add: mu-example1 rdes closure \ unrest \ wp)

lemma periR-mu-example1 [rdes]: peri_R(\mu \ X \cdot \ll a \gg \to_C \ X) = (\bigcap i \cdot \mathcal{E}(true, iter[i]((\ll a \gg)), \ \{\ll a \gg\}_u)) by (simp \ add: mu-example1 rdes rpred \ closure \ unrest \ wp \ seq-UINF-distr \ alpha \ usubst)

lemma postR-mu-example1 [rdes]: post_R(\mu \ X \cdot \ll a \gg \to_C \ X) = false by (simp \ add: mu-example1 rdes \ closure \ unrest \ wp)
```

## 10 Linking to the Failures-Divergences Model

```
theory utp-sfrd-fdsem
imports utp-sfrd-recursion
begin
```

#### 10.1 Failures-Divergences Semantics

The following functions play a similar role to those in Roscoe's CSP semantics, and are calculated from the Circus reactive design semantics. A major difference is that these three functions account for state. Each divergence, trace, and failure is subject to an initial state. Moreover, the traces are terminating traces, and therefore also provide a final state following the given interaction. A more subtle difference from the Roscoe semantics is that the set of traces do not include the divergences. The same semantic information is present, but we construct a direct analogy with the pre-, peri- and postconditions of our reactive designs.

```
\mathbf{fix} \ t \ s
  assume a: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
  with a show '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P'
  proof (rule-tac ccontr)
    from assms(3)[of s] have b: t \in dv[P]s \Longrightarrow t \in dv[Q]s
      by (auto)
    \mathbf{assume} \neg `[\$st \mapsto_s «s», \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s «t»] \dagger pre_R P`
    hence \neg '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] † CRC(pre_R P)'
      by (simp add: assms closure Healthy-if)
    hence '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r CRC(pre_R P))'
      by (rel-auto)
    hence '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R P)'
      by (simp add: assms closure Healthy-if)
    with a b show False
      by (rel-auto)
  qed
qed
lemma preR-eq-divergences:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. dv \llbracket P \rrbracket s = dv \llbracket Q \rrbracket s
  shows pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q)
  by (metis assms dual-order.antisym order-reft preR-refine-divergences)
lemma periR-refine-failures:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. f[[Q]]s \subseteq f[[P]]s
  shows (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(Q) \land peri_R(Q))
proof (rule CRR-refine-impl-prop, simp-all add: assms closure unrest subst-unrest-3)
  fix t s r'
  assume a: `[\$ref' \mapsto_s \ll r' \gg, \$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (pre_R Q \land peri_R Q)`
  from assms(3)[of s] have b: (t, r') \in fl[[Q]]s \Longrightarrow (t, r') \in fl[[P]]s
    by (auto)
  with a show '\lceil \$ref' \mapsto_s \ll r' \gg, \$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t \gg \rceil \dagger (pre_R P \land peri_R P)'
    by (simp add: failures-def)
qed
lemma periR-eq-failures:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. fl[\![P]\!]s = fl[\![Q]\!]s
  shows (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) = (pre_R(Q) \land peri_R(Q))
  by (metis (full-types) assms dual-order.antisym order-refl periR-refine-failures)
lemma postR-refine-traces:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. tr[\![Q]\!]s \subseteq tr[\![P]\!]s
  shows (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(Q) \land post_R(Q))
proof (rule CRR-refine-impl-prop, simp-all add: assms closure unrest subst-unrest-5)
  fix t s s'
  assume a: (\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s'\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t\gg) \dagger (pre_R Q \land post_R Q)
  from assms(3)[of s] have b: (t, s') \in tr[Q]s \Longrightarrow (t, s') \in tr[P]s
  with a show '\{\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \lang{}, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg \} \dagger (pre_B P \land post_B P)'
    by (simp add: traces-def)
qed
lemma postR-eq-traces:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. tr[P]s = tr[Q]s
  shows (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P)) = (pre_R(Q) \land post_R(Q))
```

```
by (metis assms dual-order.antisym order-refl postR-refine-traces)
lemma circus-fd-refine-intro:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP \bigwedge s. dv \llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq dv \llbracket P \rrbracket s \bigwedge s. ff \llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq ff \llbracket P \rrbracket s \bigwedge s. tr \llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq tr \llbracket P \rrbracket s
 shows P \sqsubseteq Q
proof (rule SRD-refine-intro', simp-all add: closure assms)
  show a: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow pre_R Q'
   using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) preR-refine-divergences refBy-order by blast
  show peri_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R P \land peri_R Q)
  proof -
   have peri_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R Q \land peri_R Q)
     by (metis (no-types) assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) periR-refine-failures utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff)
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis a refBy-order utp-pred-laws.inf.order-iff utp-pred-laws.inf-assoc)
  qed
  show post_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R P \land post_R Q)
  proof -
   have post_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R Q \land post_R Q)
     by (meson\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(5)\ postR-refine-traces\ utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff)
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis a refBy-order utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb-iff1 utp-pred-laws.inf-assoc)
  qed
qed
10.2
          Circus Operators
lemma traces-Skip:
  tr[Skip]s = \{([], s)\}
 by (simp add: traces-def rdes alpha closure, rel-simp)
lemma failures-Skip:
 fl[Skip]s = \{\}
 by (simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc)
lemma divergences-Skip:
  dv [Skip] s = \{\}
  by (simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc)
lemma traces-Stop:
  tr[Stop]s = \{\}
 by (simp add: traces-def, rdes-calc)
lemma failures-Stop:
 fl[Stop]s = \{([], E) \mid E. True\}
 by (simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc, rel-auto)
lemma divergences-Stop:
  dv[Stop]s = \{\}
  by (simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc)
lemma traces-AssignsCSP:
  tr[\![\langle \sigma \rangle_C]\!]s = \{([], \sigma(s))\}
 by (simp add: traces-def rdes closure usubst alpha, rel-auto)
lemma failures-AssignsCSP:
 fl[\![\langle \sigma \rangle_C]\!]s = \{\}
```

```
by (simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc)
lemma divergences-AssignsCSP:
  dv \llbracket \langle \sigma \rangle_C \rrbracket s = \{\}
  by (simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc)
lemma failures-Miracle: fl[Miracle]s = \{\}
  by (simp add: failures-def rdes closure usubst)
lemma divergences-Miracle: dv [Miracle] s = \{\}
  by (simp add: divergences-def rdes closure usubst)
lemma failures-Chaos: fl \| Chaos \| s = \{ \}
  by (simp add: failures-def rdes, rel-auto)
lemma divergences-Chaos: dv \llbracket Chaos \rrbracket s = UNIV
  by (simp add: divergences-def rdes, rel-auto)
lemma traces-Chaos: tr[Chaos]s = \{\}
 by (simp add: traces-def rdes closure usubst)
lemma divergences-cond:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
  shows dv \llbracket P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \rrbracket s = (if (\llbracket b \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } dv \llbracket P \rrbracket s \text{ else } dv \llbracket Q \rrbracket s)
 by (rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto)
lemma traces-cond:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows tr[P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q]s = (if ([[b]]_e s) then tr[P]s else tr[Q]s)
 by (rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto)
lemma failures-cond:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
  shows f[P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q]s = (if ([b]_e s) then f[P]s else f[Q]s)
  by (rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def failures-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto)
lemma divergences-quard:
  assumes P is NCSP
  shows dv \llbracket g \&_u P \rrbracket s = (if (\llbracket g \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } dv \llbracket g \&_u P \rrbracket s \text{ else } \{\})
 by (rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto)
lemma traces-do: tr[do_C(e)]s = \{([[e]_e s], s)\}
  by (rdes-simp, simp add: traces-def rdes closure rpred, rel-auto)
lemma failures-do: fl[do_C(e)]s = \{([], E) \mid E. [e]_e s \notin E\}
  by (rdes-simp, simp add: failures-def rdes closure rpred usubst, rel-auto)
lemma divergences-do: dv \llbracket do_C(e) \rrbracket s = \{\}
  by (rel-auto)
lemma divergences-seq:
  fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows dv[P : Q]s = dv[P]s \cup \{t_1 @ t_2 \mid t_1 t_2 s_0. (t_1, s_0) \in tr[P]s \land t_2 \in dv[Q]s_0\}
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
```

```
oops
```

```
lemma traces-seq:
    fixes P :: ('s, 'e) \ action
    assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
   shows tr[P :; Q]s =
                    \{(t_1 \otimes t_2, s') \mid t_1 \ t_2 \ s_0 \ s'. \ (t_1, s_0) \in tr[\![P]\!] \ s \land (t_2, s') \in tr[\![Q]\!] \ s_0 \}
                                                                         \wedge (t_1@t_2) \notin dv \llbracket P \rrbracket s
                                                                         \land (\forall (t, s_1) \in \bar{tr} [\![ P ]\!] s. \ t \le t_1@t_2 \longrightarrow (t_1@t_2) - t \notin dv [\![ Q ]\!] s_1) \ \}
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
    show ?lhs \subseteq ?rhs
   proof (rdes-expand cls: assms, simp add: traces-def divergences-def rdes closure assms rdes-def unrest
rpred usubst, auto)
       fix t :: 'e \ list \ and \ s' :: 's
       \mathbf{let}~?\sigma = [\$st \mapsto_s «s», \$st' \mapsto_s «s'», \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s «t»]
       assume
            a1: '?\sigma \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R Q)' and
            a2: '[$st \mapsto_s «s», $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s «t»] † pre_R P' and
            a3: '\{\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \} \dagger (post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)'
       \textbf{from $a$ 1 have ``?} \sigma \dagger (\exists tr_0 \cdot ((post_R P)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr']\!] \; ;; \; (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!]) \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr') `` (post_R Q)[\![\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!] \land \ll tr_0 \gg tr_0 \gg
            by (simp add: R2-tr-middle assms closure)
        then obtain tr_0 where p1: `?\sigma \dagger ((post_R P)[\![ \ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr' ]\!] ;; (post_R Q)[\![ \ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr]\!])` and tr0: tr_0
\leq t
            apply (simp add: usubst)
            apply (erule taut-shEx-elim)
             apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' closure unrest assms)
            apply (rel-auto)
            done
     from p1 have '?\sigma \uparrow (\exists st_0 \cdot (post_R P)[(str_0 )/str'][(st_0 )/st']]; (post_R Q)[(str_0 )/str][(st_0 )/st])
            by (simp add: seqr-middle[of st, THEN sym])
      then obtain s_0 where '?\sigma \dagger ((post_R P)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll tr_0 \gg /\$st', \$tr']];; (post_R Q)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll tr_0 \gg /\$st, \$tr])'
            apply (simp add: usubst)
            apply (erule taut-shEx-elim)
             apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' closure unrest assms)
           apply (rel-auto)
       hence '(([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0\gg] † post_R P) ;;
                          ([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0), \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s'), \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0), \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t ) \dagger post_R Q))'
            by (rel-auto)
       hence '(([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] † post_R P) \land
                          ([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0), \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s'), \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0), \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t ) \dagger post_R Q))'
            by (simp add: seqr-to-conj unrest-any-circus-var assms closure unrest)
       hence postP: '([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0\gg] † post<sub>R</sub> P)' and
                    postQ': '([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] † post_R Q)'
            by (rel-auto)+
          from postQ' have '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] † [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg + (\ll t \gg -
\ll tr_0\gg)] † post_R Q'
            using tr\theta by (rel-auto)
       hence '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \theta, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'
            by (simp add: R2-subst-tr closure assms)
       hence postQ: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - tr_0 \gg] † post_R Q'
            by (rel-auto)
       have preP: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P'
       proof -
```

```
have (pre_R P)[0,\ll tr_0 \gg /\$tr,\$tr'] \sqsubseteq (pre_R P)[0,\ll t \gg /\$tr,\$tr']
                   by (simp add: RC-prefix-refine closure assms tr0)
                hence [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \sqsubseteq [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg tr_0 \gg
\ll t \gg \uparrow pre_R P
                   by (rel-auto)
               thus ?thesis
                   by (simp add: taut-refine-impl a2)
         \mathbf{qed}
         have preQ: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - tr_0 \gg] † pre_R Q'
               from postP a3 have '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
                   apply (simp add: wp-rea-def)
                   apply (rel-auto)
                   using tr\theta apply blast+
                   done
               hence '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg + (\ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg)] \dagger pre_R Q'
                   by (rel-auto)
               hence '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
                   by (simp add: R2-subst-tr closure assms)
               thus ?thesis
                   by (rel-auto)
         qed
         from a2 have ndiv: \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] † (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)'
              by (rel-auto)
         have t-minus-tr\theta: tr_0 \otimes (t - tr_0) = t
               using append-minus tr0 by blast
         from a3
         have wpr: \bigwedge t_0 \ s_1.
                            (\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg) \dagger pre_R P' \Longrightarrow
                           `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P` \Longrightarrow
                             t_0 \leq t \Longrightarrow `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)` \Longrightarrow False
         proof -
               fix t_0 s_1
               assume b:
                    [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P'
                    `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P`
                   t_0 \leq t
                    `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)`
               from a3 have c: \forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \langle t \rangle
                                                                              \land [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0\gg] \dagger post_R P
                                                                               \Rightarrow [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
                   by (simp add: wp-rea-circus-form-alt[of post_R P pre_R Q] closure assms unrest usubst)
                           (rel-simp)
               from c b(2-4) show False
                   by (rel-auto)
         qed
         show \exists t_1 \ t_2.
```

```
t = t_1 \otimes t_2 \wedge
                          (\exists s_0. \ `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \wedge
                                           [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger post_R P' \wedge
                                          `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q \land
                                           [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q' \land A
                                           \neg '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] † (\neg_r pre_R P)' \wedge
                                           (\forall t_0 \ s_1. \ `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R \ P \land 
                                                               [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P' \longrightarrow t_0 \gg t_0 t_0 \gg t_0 t
                                                           t_0 \leq t_1 \otimes t_2 \longrightarrow \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 \otimes t_2) - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r)
pre_R (Q)')
             apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI)
             apply (rule-tac x=(t-tr_0) in exI)
             apply (auto)
             using tr\theta apply auto[1]
             apply (rule-tac x=s_0 in exI)
             apply (auto intro:wpr simp add: taut-conj preP preQ postP postQ ndiv wpr t-minus-tr0)
             done
    qed
    show ?rhs \subseteq ?lhs
    proof (rdes-expand cls: assms, simp add: traces-def divergences-def rdes closure assms rdes-def unrest
rpred usubst, auto)
        fix t_1 t_2 :: 'e list and s_0 s' :: 's
        assume
             a1: \neg '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] † (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)' and
             a2: '\{\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg \} \dagger pre_R P' and
             a3: '\{\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg \} \dagger post_R P' and
             a4: '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] † pre<sub>R</sub> Q' and
             a5: '\{\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg \} \dagger post_R Q' and
             a6: \forall t \ s_1. \ `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P \land s
                                       [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R P' \longrightarrow
                                      \dot{t} \leq t_1 \ @ \ t_2 \longrightarrow \neg \ `[\$st \mapsto_s \ «s_1 », \$tr \mapsto_s \ \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ «(t_1 \ @ \ t_2) - \ t »] \dagger (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)`
        from a1 have preP: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] † (pre_R P)'
             by (simp add: taut-not unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms closure unrest, rel-auto)
        have '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'
        proof -
             have [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q =
                          [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q
                 by rel-auto
             also have ... = [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q
                 by (simp add: R2-subst-tr assms closure, rel-auto)
             finally show ?thesis using a5
                 by (rel-auto)
        qed
        with a3
         have postPQ: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s'\gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2\gg] \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R)
Q)
            by (rel-auto, meson Prefix-Order.prefixI)
        have '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
        proof -
             have [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q =
                         [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q
```

```
by rel-auto
       also have ... = [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q
         by (simp add: R2-subst-tr assms closure)
       finally show ?thesis using a4
         by (rel-auto)
    qed
    from a6
    \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg \uparrow post_R P' \gg \Longrightarrow
                             `[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
       apply (subst (asm) taut-not)
       apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms closure unrest)
       apply (rel-auto)
       done
    have wpR: '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2\gg] † (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) '
      have \bigwedge s_1 \ t_0. \llbracket t_0 \le t_1 \ @ \ t_2; '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg ] \dagger post_R P
\implies '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'
       proof -
         fix s_1 t_0
         assume c:t_0 \leq t_1 \otimes t_2 '[$st \mapsto_s \ll s\gg, $st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1\gg, $tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, $tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0\gg] † post_R P'
         have preP': '(\$st \mapsto_s \ll s), \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0) † pre_R P'
         proof -
           have (pre_R P) \llbracket \theta, \ll t_0 \gg /\$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq (pre_R P) \llbracket \theta, \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg /\$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket
             by (simp add: RC-prefix-refine closure assms c)
           \mathbf{hence}~[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger~pre_R~P \sqsubseteq [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg]
\ll t_1 \ @ \ t_2 \gg ] \dagger pre_R P
             by (rel-auto)
           thus ?thesis
              by (simp add: taut-refine-impl preP)
         qed
         with c a3 preP a6'[of t_0 s_1] show '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t_0 \gg] † pre_R
Q'
           by (simp)
       qed
       thus ?thesis
         apply (simp-all add: wp-rea-circus-form-alt assms closure unrest usubst rea-impl-alt-def)
         apply (simp add: R1-def usubst tcontr-alt-def)
         apply (auto intro!: taut-shAll-intro-2)
         apply (rule taut-impl-intro)
         apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' unrest closure assms)
         apply (rel-simp)
       done
    qed
    \mathbf{show} \ `([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \ @ \ t_2 \gg] \dagger \ pre_R \ P \ \land \\
          [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q)) \land 
         [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R Q)'
       by (auto simp add: taut-conj preP postPQ wpR)
```

```
qed  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{qed} \\ \textbf{qed} \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Cons-minus} \ [\textit{simp}] \colon (a \ \# \ t) - [a] = t \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis append-Cons append-Nil append-minus}) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{traces-prefix} \colon \\ \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{P is NCSP} \\ \textbf{shows} \ \textit{tr} \llbracket \ll a \gg \to_{C} \ \textit{P} \rrbracket \textit{s} = \{(a \ \# \ t, \ s') \mid t \ s'. \ (t, \ s') \in \textit{tr} \llbracket \textit{P} \rrbracket \textit{s} \} \\ \textbf{apply} \ (\textit{auto simp add: PrefixCSP-def traces-seq traces-do divergences-do lit.rep-eq assms closure} \\ \textit{Healthy-if trace-divergence-disj}) \\ \textbf{apply} \ (\textit{meson assms trace-divergence-disj}) \\ \textbf{done} \\ \end{array}
```

#### 10.3 Deadlock Freedom

The following is a specification for deadlock free actions. In any intermediate observation, there must be at least one enabled event.

```
definition CDF :: ('s, 'e) \ action \ \mathbf{where} [rdes\text{-}def] : CDF = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash ( \mid (s, t, E, e) \cdot \mathcal{E}(\ll s \gg, \ll t \gg, \ll insert \ e \ E \gg)) \diamond true_r) lemma CDF\text{-}NCSP \ [closure] : CDF \ is \ NCSP apply (simp \ add : CDF\text{-}def) apply (rule \ NCSP\text{-}rdes\text{-}intro) apply (simp \text{-}all \ add : \ closure \ unrest) apply (rel\text{-}auto)+ done lemma Skip\text{-}deadlock\text{-}free : CDF \ \sqsubseteq Skip by (rdes\text{-}refine)
```

end

# 11 Meta-theory for Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-sf-rdes
imports
utp-sfrd-core
utp-sfrd-rel
utp-sfrd-healths
utp-sfrd-contracts
utp-sfrd-extchoice
utp-sfrd-prog
utp-sfrd-recursion
utp-sfrd-fdsem
begin end
```

#### References

[1] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.

[2] M. V. M. Oliveira. Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science - University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.