Isabelle/UTP: Mechanised reasoning for the UTP

Simon Foster

Frank Zeyda

June 23, 2017

Contents

| 1 | Par | ser Utilities | 4 |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{T}$ | P variables | 5 |
| | 2.1 | Initial syntax setup | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Variable foundations | 6 |
| | 2.3 | Variable lens properties | 7 |
| | 2.4 | Lens simplifications | 8 |
| | 2.5 | Syntax translations | 8 |
| 3 | UT | P expressions | 10 |
| | 3.1 | Expression type | 10 |
| | 3.2 | Core expression constructs | 11 |
| | 3.3 | Type class instantiations | 12 |
| | 3.4 | Overloaded expression constructors | 15 |
| | 3.5 | | 16 |
| | 3.6 | Lifting set collectors | 20 |
| | 3.7 | Lifting limits | 20 |
| | 3.8 | Evaluation laws for expressions | 20 |
| | 3.9 | Misc laws | 21 |
| | 3.10 | Literalise tactics | 22 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r}$ | restriction | 23 |
| | 4.1 | Definitions and Core Syntax | 23 |
| | 4.2 | Unrestriction laws | |
| 5 | Sub | stitution | 26 |
| | 5.1 | Substitution definitions | 26 |
| | 5.2 | Syntax translations | 27 |
| | 5.3 | | 28 |
| | 5.4 | | 30 |
| | 5.5 | Ordering substitutions | 32 |
| | 5.6 | Unrestriction laws | 32 |

| 6 | UTI | P Tactics | 34 |
|----|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| | 6.1 | Theorem Attributes | 34 |
| | 6.2 | Generic Methods | 34 |
| | 6.3 | Transfer Tactics | 35 |
| | | 6.3.1 Robust Transfer | 35 |
| | | | 35 |
| | 6.4 | | 36 |
| | 6.5 | • | 36 |
| | 0.0 | Ober Taestes | 00 |
| 7 | Alp | habetised Predicates | 38 |
| | 7.1 | Predicate type and syntax | 38 |
| | 7.2 | | 39 |
| | 7.3 | | 44 |
| | 7.4 | | 45 |
| | | | |
| 8 | | | 47 |
| | 8.1 | 1 | 47 |
| | 8.2 | | 50 |
| | 8.3 | Equality laws | 53 |
| | 8.4 | HOL Variable Quantifiers | 54 |
| | 8.5 | Case Splitting | 55 |
| | 8.6 | UTP Quantifiers | 56 |
| | 8.7 | Conditional laws | 57 |
| | 8.8 | Refinement By Observation | 59 |
| | 8.9 | Cylindric Algebra | 59 |
| | | | |
| 9 | | 1 | 60 |
| | 9.1 | Fixed-point Laws | 60 |
| | 9.2 | Obtaining Unique Fixed-points | 60 |
| 10 | TION | D. Francisco | co. |
| ΤÛ | | | 62 |
| | | | 62 |
| | 10.2 | | 62 |
| | | 10.2.1 Operators | 62 |
| 11 | Alp | habet Manipulation | 63 |
| | _ | | 63 |
| | | | 63 |
| | | • | 65 |
| | | • | 67 |
| | | | 67 |
| | | • | |
| | 11.0 | Substitution Alphabet Restriction | 67 |
| 12 | Lifti | ing expressions | 68 |
| | | | 68 |
| | | | 69 |
| | | | 69 |
| | | | 69 |
| | 14.1 | | 50 |

| 13 | Alphabetised Relations | 69 |
|-----------|---|--------|
| | 13.1 Relational Alphabets | 70 |
| | 13.2 Relational Types and Operators | 71 |
| | | 73 |
| | | 74 |
| | | 74 |
| | | 76 |
| | | 77 |
| | | 77 |
| | | 79 |
| 14 | | 80 |
| | | 82 |
| | | |
| 16 | | 84 |
| | | 84 |
| | | 84 |
| | 1 | 85 |
| | | 88 |
| | 16.5 Quantale Laws | 88 |
| | 16.6 Skip Laws | 89 |
| | 16.7 Assignment Laws | 89 |
| | 16.8 Converse Laws | 91 |
| | 16.9 Assertion and Assumption Laws | 91 |
| | 16.10While Loop Laws | 92 |
| | 16.11Algebraic Properties | 93 |
| | 16.11.1 Kleene Star | 95 |
| | 16.11.2 Omega | 95 |
| | | 95 |
| | | 95 |
| | | 96 |
| | | 96 |
| | | 97 |
| 17 | UTP Theories | 98 |
| 11 | | 98 |
| | 17.2 Healthiness conditions | |
| | | |
| | 17.3 Properties of healthiness conditions | |
| | 17.4 UTP theories hierarchy | |
| | 17.5 UTP theory hierarchy | |
| | 17.6 Theory of relations | |
| | 17.7 Theory links | 13 |
| 18 | Concurrent Programming 12 | 14 |
| | 18.1 Variable Renamings | 14 |
| | 18.2 Merge Predicates | |
| | 18.3 Separating Simulations | |
| | 18.4 Parallel Operators | |
| | 18.5 Substitution laws | |

| 19 Relational operational semantics 19.1 Variable blocks | 120 121 |
|---|------------------------------|
| 20 Meta-theory for the Standard Core | 125 |
| 1 Parser Utilities | |
| theory utp-parser-utils | |
| imports Maio | |
| Main begin | |
| syntax $-id\text{-}string :: id \Rightarrow string \ (IDSTR'(-'))$ | |
| -tu-string $tu \rightarrow string (1DSTR (-))$ | |
| ML ((| |
| $signature \ UTP-PARSER-UTILS = sig$ | |
| $val \ mk\text{-}nib: int \rightarrow Ast.ast$ | |
| val mk-char: string -> Ast.ast | |
| val mk-string: string list -> Ast.ast val string-ast-tr: Ast.ast list -> Ast.ast | |
| end; | |
| $structure\ Utp	ext{-}Parser	ext{-}Utils:\ UTP	ext{-}PARSER	ext{-}UTILS=$ | |
| $val \ mk-nib =$ | |
| Ast. Constant o Lexicon.mark-const o fst o Term.dest-Const o HOLogic.mk-char; | |
| $fun \ mk$ -char $s =$ | |
| if Symbol.is-ascii s then | |
| $Ast.Appl \ [Ast.Constant \ @\{const-syntax \ Char\}, \ mk-nib \ (ord \ s \ div \ 16), \ mk-nielse \ error \ (Non-ASCII \ symbol: \ ^quote \ s);$ | $[b \ (ord \ s \ mod \ 16)]$ |
| $fun \ mk\text{-}string \ [] = Ast.Constant \ @\{const\text{-}syntax \ Nil\}$ | |
| $ mk\text{-string } (c :: cs) = Ast.Appl [Ast.Constant @{const-syntax List.Cons}, mk\text{-char } c, mk\text{-string } c$ | [cs]; |
| $fun\ string-ast-tr\ [Ast.Variable\ str] =$ | • |
| (case Lexicon.explode-str (str, Position.none) of | |
| | |
| $Ast.Appl \ [Ast.Constant @{syntax-const - constrain},$ | |
| $Ast.Constant \ @\{const-syntax\ Nil\},\ Ast.Constant \ @\{type-syntax\ string\} $ | [g] |
| $ ss > mk$ -string $(map \ Symbol - Pos. symbol \ ss))$ | 1 |
| string-ast-tr [Ast.Appl [Ast.Constant @{syntax-const -constrain}, ast1, ast2] Ast.Appl [Ast.Constant @{syntax-const -constrain}, string-ast-tr [ast1], ast | |
| | <i>v</i> ~] |

```
signature\ NAME\text{-}UTILS =
 val\ deep-unmark-const: term\ ->\ term
 val\ right-crop-by: int -> string -> string
 val\ last-char-str: string \longrightarrow string
 val\ repeat-char: char -> int -> string
 val \ mk-id : string \rightarrow term
end;
structure\ Name-Utils: NAME-UTILS =
 fun\ unmark-const-term\ (Const\ (name,\ typ)) =
    Const (Lexicon.unmark-const name, typ)
   unmark-const-term term = term;
  val\ deep-unmark-const =
   (map-aterms\ unmark-const-term);
 fun \ right-crop-by \ n \ s =
   String.substring (s, 0, (String.size \ s) - n);
 fun\ last-char-str\ s =
   String.str (String.sub (s, (String.size s) - 1));
 fun \ repeat-char \ c \ n =
   if n > 0 then (String.str c) \hat{} (repeat-char c (n-1)) else;
 fun \ mk\text{-}id \ name = Free \ (name, \ dummyT);
end;
\rangle\rangle
parse-translation \langle \langle
 fun\ id\text{-}string\text{-}tr\ [Free\ (full\text{-}name,\ -)] = HOLogic.mk\text{-}string\ full\text{-}name
    id-string-tr [Const (full-name, -)] = HOLogic.mk-string full-name
    id-string-tr - = raise Match;
 [(@{syntax-const - id-string}, K id-string-tr)]
end
\rangle\rangle
end
```

2 UTP variables

```
theory utp-var
imports
Deriv
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Prefix-Order
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Char-ord
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Product-Order
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Product-Order
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Monad-Syntax
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Countable
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Order-Continuity
\sim \sim /src/HOL/Library/Order-Continuity
```

```
../contrib/Algebra/Complete-Lattice
../contrib/Algebra/Galois-Connection
../optics/Lenses
../utils/Profiling
../utils/TotalRecall
../utils/Library-extra/Pfun
../utils/Library-extra/Ffun
../utils/Library-extra/List-lexord-alt
../utils/Library-extra/Monoid-extra
utp-parser-utils
begin
```

In this first UTP theory we set up variable, which are are built on lenses. A large part of this theory is setting up the parser for UTP variable syntax.

2.1 Initial syntax setup

We will overload the square order relation with refinement and also the lattice operators so we will turn off these notations.

```
purge-notation
```

```
Order.le (infixl \sqsubseteq1 50) and
Lattice.sup (\bigsqcup1- [90] 90) and
Lattice.inf (\bigcap1- [90] 90) and
Lattice.join (infixl \sqcup1 65) and
Lattice.meet (infixl \sqcap1 70) and
LFP (\mu1) and
GFP (\nu1) and
Set.member (op:) and
Set.member ((-/:-) [51, 51] 50)
```

We hide HOL's built-in relation type since we will replace it with our own

```
hide-type rel
type-synonym 'a relation = ('a × 'a) set
declare fst-vwb-lens [simp]
declare snd-vwb-lens [simp]
declare comp-vwb-lens [simp]
declare lens-indep-left-ext [simp]
declare lens-indep-right-ext [simp]
```

2.2 Variable foundations

This theory describes the foundational structure of UTP variables, upon which the rest of our model rests. We start by defining alphabets, which following [3, 4] in this shallow model are simply represented as types ' α , though by convention usually a record type where each field corresponds to a variable. UTP variables in this frame are simply modelled as lenses ' $a \Longrightarrow '\alpha$, where the view type 'a is the variable type, and the source type ' α is the alphabet or state-space type.

We define some lifting functions for variables to create input and output variables. These simply lift the alphabet to a tuple type since relations will ultimately be defined by a tuple alphabet.

```
definition in\text{-}var::('a\Longrightarrow '\alpha)\Rightarrow ('a\Longrightarrow '\alpha\times '\beta) where [lens\text{-}defs]:in\text{-}var\;x=x\;;_L\;fst_L
```

```
definition out-var :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta) where [lens-defs]: out-var x = x; x \in A
```

Variables can also be used to effectively define sets of variables. Here we define the universal alphabet (Σ) to be the bijective lens 1_L . This characterises the whole of the source type, and thus is effectively the set of all alphabet variables.

```
abbreviation (input) univ-alpha :: ('\alpha \Longrightarrow '\alpha) (\Sigma) where univ-alpha \equiv 1_L
```

The next construct is vacuous and simply exists to help the parser distinguish predicate variables from input and output variables.

```
definition pr\text{-}var :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta) where [simp]: pr\text{-}var \ x = x
```

2.3 Variable lens properties

We can now easily show that our UTP variable construction are various classes of well-behaved lens .

```
lemma in-var-semi-uvar [simp]:
 mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow mwb-lens (in-var x)
 by (simp add: comp-mwb-lens in-var-def)
lemma in-var-uvar [simp]:
 vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow vwb-lens (in-var x)
 by (simp add: in-var-def)
lemma out-var-semi-uvar [simp]:
 mwb-lens x \implies mwb-lens (out-var x)
 by (simp add: comp-mwb-lens out-var-def)
lemma out-var-uvar [simp]:
 vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow vwb-lens (out-var x)
 by (simp add: out-var-def)
Moreover, we can show that input and output variables are independent, since they refer to
different sections of the alphabet.
lemma in-out-indep [simp]:
 in\text{-}var \ x \bowtie out\text{-}var \ y
 by (simp add: lens-indep-def in-var-def out-var-def fst-lens-def snd-lens-def lens-comp-def)
lemma out-in-indep [simp]:
```

by (simp add: lens-indep-def in-var-def out-var-def fst-lens-def snd-lens-def lens-comp-def)

lemma prod-lens-indep-in-var [simp]:

 $x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow out\text{-}var \ x \bowtie out\text{-}var \ y$ **by** $(simp \ add: out\text{-}var\text{-}def)$

 $out\text{-}var \ x \bowtie in\text{-}var \ y$

lemma in-var-indep [simp]: $x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow in\text{-}var \ x \bowtie in\text{-}var \ y$ **by** (simp add: in-var-def out-var-def)

lemma out-var-indep [simp]:

```
a \bowtie x \Longrightarrow a \times_L b \bowtie in\text{-}var\ x

by (metis in-var-def in-var-indep out-in-indep out-var-def plus-pres-lens-indep prod-as-plus)

lemma prod-lens-indep-out-var [simp]:

b\bowtie x \Longrightarrow a\times_L b\bowtie out\text{-}var\ x

by (metis in-out-indep in-var-def out-var-def out-var-indep plus-pres-lens-indep prod-as-plus)
```

2.4 Lens simplifications

We also define some lookup abstraction simplifications.

```
lemma var-lookup-in [simp]: lens-get (in-var x) (A, A') = lens-get x A
by (simp add: in-var-def fst-lens-def lens-comp-def)
lemma var-lookup-out [simp]: lens-get (out-var x) (A, A') = lens-get x A'
by (simp add: out-var-def snd-lens-def lens-comp-def)
lemma var-update-in [simp]: lens-put (in-var x) (A, A') v = (lens-put x A v, A')
by (simp add: in-var-def fst-lens-def lens-comp-def)
lemma var-update-out [simp]: lens-put (out-var x) (A, A') v = (A, lens-put x A' v)
by (simp add: out-var-def snd-lens-def lens-comp-def)
```

2.5 Syntax translations

In order to support nice syntax for variables, we here set up some translations. The first step is to introduce a collection of non-terminals.

nonterminal svid and svids and svar and svars and salpha

These non-terminals correspond to the following syntactic entities. Non-terminal *svid* is an atomic variable identifier, and *svids* is a list of identifier. *svar* is a decorated variable, such as an input or output variable, and *svars* is a list of decorated variables. *salpha* is an alphabet or set of variables. Such sets can be constructed only through lens composition due to typing restrictions. Next we introduce some syntax constructors.

A variable identifier can either be a HOL identifier, the complete set of variables in the alphabet Σ , the empty set \emptyset , or a composite identifier separated by colons, which corresponds to a sort of qualification. The final option is effectively a lens composition.

```
syntax — Decorations

-spvar :: svid \Rightarrow svar (\&-[998] 998)

-sinvar :: svid \Rightarrow svar (\$-[998] 998)

-soutvar :: svid \Rightarrow svar (\$-[998] 998)
```

A cariable can be decorated with an ampersand, to indicate it is a predicate variable, with a dollar to indicate its an unprimed relational variable, or a dollar and "acute" symbol to indicate its a primed relational variable. Isabelle's parser is extensible so additional decorations can be and are added later.

```
syntax — Variable sets

-salphaid :: id \Rightarrow salpha \ (-[998] 998)

-salphavar :: svar \Rightarrow salpha \ (-[998] 998)

-salphacomp :: salpha \Rightarrow salpha \Rightarrow salpha \ (infixr; 75)

-svar-nil :: svar \Rightarrow svars \ (-)

-svar-cons :: svar \Rightarrow svars \Rightarrow svars \ (-,/-)

-salphaset :: svars \Rightarrow salpha \ (\{-\})

-salphamk :: logic \Rightarrow salpha
```

The terminals of an alphabet are either HOL identifiers or UTP variable identifiers. We support two ways of constructing alphabets; by composition of smaller alphabets using a semi-colon or by a set-style construction $\{a, b, c\}$ with a list of UTP variables.

```
syntax — Quotations

-ualpha-set :: svars \Rightarrow logic (\{-\}_{\alpha})

-svar :: svar \Rightarrow logic ('(-')_{v})
```

For various reasons, the syntax constructors above all yield specific grammar categories and will not parser at the HOL top level (basically this is to do with us wanting to reuse the syntax for expressions). As a result we provide some quotation constructors above.

Next we need to construct the syntax translations rules. First we need a few polymorphic constants.

consts

```
svar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'e

ivar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'e

ovar :: 'v \Rightarrow 'e
```

adhoc-overloading

```
svar pr-var and ivar in-var and ovar out-var
```

The functions above turn a representation of a variable (type 'v), including its name and type, into some lens type 'e. svar constructs a predicate variable, ivar and input variables, and ovar and output variable. The functions bridge between the model and encoding of the variable and its interpretation as a lens in order to integrate it into the general lens-based framework. Overriding these functions is then all we need to make use of any kind of variables in terms of interfacing it with the system. Although in core UTP variables are always modelled using record field, we can overload these constants to allow other kinds of variables, such as deep variables with explicit syntax and type information.

Finally, we set up the translations rules.

translations

```
- Identifiers
-svid x \rightarrow x
-svid-alpha \rightleftharpoons \Sigma
-svid-empty \rightleftharpoons \theta_L
-svid-dot x y \rightarrow y;<sub>L</sub> x

- Decorations
-spvar \Sigma \leftarrow CONST svar CONST id-lens
-sinvar \Sigma \leftarrow CONST ivar 1_L
-soutvar \Sigma \leftarrow CONST ovar 1_L
-spvar (-svid-dot x y) \leftarrow CONST svar (CONST lens-comp y x)
-sinvar (-svid-dot x y) \leftarrow CONST ivar (CONST lens-comp y x)
-soutvar (-svid-dot x y) \leftarrow CONST ovar (CONST lens-comp y x)
-spvar x \rightleftharpoons CONST svar x
```

```
\begin{array}{l} -sinvar \ x \rightleftharpoons CONST \ ivar \ x \\ -soutvar \ x \rightleftharpoons CONST \ ovar \ x \\ \hline - \text{Alphabets} \\ -salphaid \ x \rightharpoonup x \\ -salphacomp \ x \ y \rightharpoonup x +_L \ y \\ -salphavar \ x \rightharpoonup x \\ -svar-nil \ x \rightharpoonup x \\ -svar-cons \ x \ xs \rightharpoonup x +_L \ xs \\ -salphaset \ A \rightharpoonup A \\ (-svar-cons \ x \ (-salphamk \ y)) \leftarrow -salphamk \ (x +_L \ y) \\ x \leftarrow -salphamk \ x \\ \hline - \text{Quotations} \\ -ualpha-set \ A \rightharpoonup A \\ -svar \ x \rightharpoonup x \end{array}
```

The translation rules mainly convert syntax into lens constructions, using a mixture of lens operators and the bespoke variable definitions. Notably, a colon variable identifier qualification becomes a lens composition, and variable sets are constructed using len sum. The translation rules are carefully crafted to ensure both parsing and pretty printing.

Finally we create the following useful utility translation function that allows us to construct a UTP variable (lens) type given a return and alphabet type.

```
-uvar-ty :: type \Rightarrow type \Rightarrow type

parse-translation (
let

fun uvar-ty-tr [ty] = Syntax.const @{type-syntax lens} $ ty $ Syntax.const @{type-syntax dummy} | uvar-ty-tr ts = raise TERM (uvar-ty-tr, ts);
```

end

syntax

3 UTP expressions

```
theory utp-expr
imports
utp-var
begin
```

3.1 Expression type

```
purge-notation BNF-Def.convol (\langle (-,/-) \rangle)
```

in $[(@\{syntax-const -uvar-ty\}, K uvar-ty-tr)]$ end

Before building the predicate model, we will build a model of expressions that generalise alphabetised predicates. Expressions are represented semantically as mapping from the alphabet $'\alpha$ to the expression's type 'a. This general model will allow us to unify all constructions under one type. The majority definitions in the file are given using the *lifting* package [6], which allows us to reuse much of the existing library of HOL functions.

```
typedef ('t, '\alpha) uexpr = UNIV :: ('\alpha \Rightarrow 't) set ..
```

setup-lifting type-definition-uexpr

```
notation Rep-uexpr (\llbracket - \rrbracket_e)

lemma uexpr-eq-iff:
e = f \longleftrightarrow (\forall b. \llbracket e \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket f \rrbracket_e \ b)
```

using Rep-uexpr-inject[of ef, THEN sym] by (auto)

The term $[e]_e$ b effectively refers to the semantic interpretation of the expression under the state-space valuation (or variables binding) b. It can be used, in concert with the lifting package, to interpret UTP constructs to their HOL equivalents. We create some theorem sets to store such transfer theorems.

named-theorems ueval and lit-simps

3.2 Core expression constructs

A variable expression corresponds to the lens *get* function associated with a variable. Specifically, given a lens the expression always returns that portion of the state-space referred to by the lens.

```
lift-definition var :: ('t \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ is \ lens-get \ .
```

A literal is simply a constant function expression, always returning the same value for any binding.

```
lift-definition lit :: t \Rightarrow (t, \alpha) \text{ uexpr is } \lambda \text{ v b. v}.
```

We define lifting for unary, binary, ternary, and quaternary expression constructs, that simply take a HOL function with correct number of arguments and apply it function to all possible results of the expressions.

```
lift-definition uop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ e \ b . \ f \ (e \ b).
lift-definition bop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('c, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ u \ v \ b . \ f \ (u \ b) \ (v \ b).
lift-definition trop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('c, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('d, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ u \ v \ w \ b . \ f \ (u \ b) \ (v \ b) \ (w \ b).
lift-definition qtop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('d, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('e, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ u \ v \ w \ x \ b . \ f \ (u \ b) \ (v \ b) \ (w \ b) \ (x \ b).
```

We also define a UTP expression version of function (λ) abstraction, that takes a function producing an expression and produces an expression producing a function.

```
lift-definition ulambda :: ('a \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ f \ A \ x. \ f \ x \ A .
```

UTP expression is equality is simply HOL equality lifted using the *bop* binary expression constructor.

```
definition eq-upred :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr where eq-upred x y = bop HOL.eq x y
```

We define syntax for expressions using adhoc-overloading – this allows us to later define operators on different types if necessary (e.g. when adding types for new UTP theories).

consts

```
ulit :: 't \Rightarrow 'e \ (\ll -\gg)
ueq :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ (\mathbf{infixl} =_u 50)
```

adhoc-overloading

```
ulit lit and ueq eq-upred
```

A literal is the expression $\ll v \gg$, where v is any HOL term. Actually, the literal construct is very versatile and also allows us to refer to HOL variables within UTP expressions, and has a variety of other uses. It can therefore also be considered as a kind of quotation mechanism.

We also set up syntax for UTP variable expressions.

syntax

```
-uuvar :: svar \Rightarrow logic (-)

translations
-uuvar x == CONST var x
```

Since we already have a parser for variables, we can directly reuse it and simply apply the *var* expression construct to lift the resulting variable to an expression.

3.3 Type class instantiations

Isabelle/HOL of course provides a large hierarchy of type classes that provide constructs such as numerals and the arithmetic operators. Fortunately we can directly make use of these for UTP expressions, and thus we now perform a long list of appropriate instantiations. We first lift the core arithmetic constants and operators using a mixture of literals, unary, and binary expression constructors.

```
instantiation uexpr :: (zero, type) \ zero begin definition zero\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def \colon \theta = lit \ \theta instance .. end instantiation uexpr :: (one, type) \ one begin definition one\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def \colon 1 = lit \ 1 instance .. end instantiation uexpr :: (plus, type) \ plus begin definition plus\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def \colon u + v = bop \ (op \ +) \ u \ v instance .. end
```

It should be noted that instantiating the unary minus class, *uminus*, will also provide negation UTP predicates later.

```
instantiation uexpr :: (uminus, type) uminus
```

```
begin
 definition uminus-uexpr-def: -u = uop uminus u
end
instantiation uexpr :: (minus, type) minus
 definition minus-uexpr-def: u - v = bop (op -) u v
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (times, type) times
 definition times-uexpr-def: u * v = bop (op *) u v
instance ..
end
instance uexpr :: (Rings.dvd, type) Rings.dvd ...
instantiation \ uexpr :: (divide, \ type) \ divide
begin
 definition divide-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr where
 divide-uexpr u v = bop divide u v
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (inverse, type) inverse
 definition inverse-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 where inverse-uexpr u = uop inverse u
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (modulo, type) modulo
 definition mod\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def : u \ mod \ v = bop \ (op \ mod) \ u \ v
instance ...
end
{\bf instantiation}\ uexpr::(sgn,\ type)\ sgn
 definition sgn\text{-}uexpr\text{-}def: sgn\ u = uop\ sgn\ u
instance ..
end
instantiation uexpr :: (abs, type) abs
begin
 definition abs-uexpr-def: abs u = uop abs u
instance ..
end
```

Once we've set up all the core constructs for arithmetic, we can also instantiate the type classes for various algebras, including groups and rings. The proofs are done by definitional expansion, the *transfer* tactic, and then finally the theorems of the underlying HOL operators. This is mainly routine, so we don't comment further.

```
instance\ uexpr::(semigroup-mult,\ type)\ semigroup-mult
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: times-uexpr-def one-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: mult.assoc)+
instance uexpr :: (monoid-mult, type) monoid-mult
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: times-uexpr-def one-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)+
instance uexpr :: (semigroup-add, type) semigroup-add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: add.assoc)+
instance\ uexpr::(monoid-add,\ type)\ monoid-add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)+
instance\ uexpr::(ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add,\ type)\ ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: add.commute)+
instance uexpr::(cancel-semigroup-add, type) cancel-semigroup-add
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: fun-eq-iff)+
instance\ uexpr::(cancel-ab-semigroup-add,\ type)\ cancel-ab-semigroup-add
 by (intro-classes, (simp add: plus-uexpr-def minus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: fun-eq-iff add.commute
cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.diff-diff-add)+)
instance\ uexpr::(group-add,\ type)\ group-add
 by (intro-classes)
    (simp add: plus-uexpr-def uminus-uexpr-def minus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)+
instance uexpr :: (ab-group-add, type) ab-group-add
 by (intro-classes)
    (simp add: plus-uexpr-def uminus-uexpr-def minus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)+
instance uexpr :: (semiring, type) semiring
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def times-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: fun-eq-iff add.commute
semiring-class.distrib-right\ semiring-class.distrib-left)+
instance uexpr :: (ring-1, type) ring-1
 by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def uminus-uexpr-def minus-uexpr-def times-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def
one-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: fun-eq-iff)+
We can also define the order relation on expressions. Now, unlike the previous group and ring
constructs, the order relations op \leq and op \leq return a bool type. This order is not therefore
the lifted order which allows us to compare the valuation of two expressions, but rather the
order on expressions themselves. Notably, this instantiation will later allow us to talk about
predicate refinements and complete lattices.
instantiation uexpr :: (ord, type) ord
begin
 lift-definition less-eq-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow bool
 is \lambda P Q. (\forall A. P A \leq Q A).
```

UTP expressions whose return type is a partial ordered type, are also partially ordered as the following instantiation demonstrates.

instance uexpr :: (order, type) order

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{instance } .. \\ \text{end} \end{array}$

definition less-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow bool

where less-uexpr P $Q = (P \leq Q \land \neg Q \leq P)$

```
proof
   fix x y z :: ('a, 'b) uexpr
   show (x < y) = (x \le y \land \neg y \le x) by (simp\ add:\ less-uexpr-def)
   show x \leq x by (transfer, auto)
   \mathbf{show}\ x \leq y \Longrightarrow y \leq z \Longrightarrow x \leq z
       by (transfer, blast intro:order.trans)
   show x \leq y \Longrightarrow y \leq x \Longrightarrow x = y
       by (transfer, rule ext, simp add: eq-iff)
qed
We also lift the properties from certain ordered groups.
instance uexpr :: (ordered-ab-group-add, type) ordered-ab-group-add
   by (intro-classes) (simp add: plus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp)
instance\ uexpr::(ordered-ab-group-add-abs,\ type)\ ordered-ab-group-add-abs
    apply (intro-classes)
    apply (simp add: abs-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def plus-uexpr-def uminus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add:
abs-ge-self abs-le-iff abs-triangle-ineq)+
  {\bf apply} \ (met is\ ab\hbox{-} group\hbox{-} add\hbox{-} class.\ ab\hbox{-} diff\hbox{-} conv\hbox{-} add\hbox{-} uminus\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} minus\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ add\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} linor dered\hbox{-} semiri\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} minus\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ add\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} linor dered\hbox{-} semiri\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} minus\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ add\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} linor dered\hbox{-} semiri\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} minus\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ add\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} linor dered\hbox{-} semiri\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} minus\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ add\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} ge\hbox{-} self\ ad\hbox{-} mono\hbox{-} thm\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-} self\ ab\hbox{-
done
The following instantiation sets up numerals. This will allow us to have Isabelle number repre-
sentations (i.e. 3,7,42,198 etc.) to UTP expressions directly.
instance uexpr :: (numeral, type) numeral
   by (intro-classes, simp add: plus-uexpr-def, transfer, simp add: add.assoc)
The following two theorems also set up interpretation of numerals, meaning a UTP numeral
can always be converted to a HOL numeral.
lemma numeral-uexpr-rep-eq: [numeral \ x]_e b = numeral \ x
   apply (induct \ x)
   apply (simp add: lit.rep-eq one-uexpr-def)
   apply (simp add: bop.rep-eq numeral-Bit0 plus-uexpr-def)
   apply (simp add: bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq numeral-code(3) one-uexpr-def plus-uexpr-def)
done
lemma numeral-uexpr-simp: numeral x =  «numeral x >
   by (simp add: uexpr-eq-iff numeral-uexpr-rep-eq lit.rep-eq)
We can also lift a few arithmetic properties from the class instantiations above using transfer.
lemma uexpr-diff-zero [simp]:
   fixes a :: ('\alpha :: trace, 'a) \ uexpr
   shows a - \theta = a
   by (simp add: minus-uexpr-def zero-uexpr-def, transfer, auto)
lemma uexpr-add-diff-cancel-left [simp]:
   fixes a \ b :: ('\alpha :: trace, 'a) \ uexpr
   \mathbf{shows}\ (a+b)-a=b
   by (simp add: minus-uexpr-def plus-uexpr-def, transfer, auto)
```

3.4 Overloaded expression constructors

For convenience, we often want to utilise the same expression syntax for multiple constructs. This can be achieved using ad-hoc overloading. We create a number of polymorphic constants and then overload their definitions using appropriate implementations. In order for this to work,

each collection must have its own unique type. Thus we do not use the HOL map type directly, but rather our own partial function type, for example.

consts

```
— Empty elements, for example empty set, nil list, 0...
uempty
— Function application, map application, list application...
            :: 'f \Rightarrow 'k \Rightarrow 'v
uapply
— Function update, map update, list update...
           :: 'f \Rightarrow 'k \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'f
uupd
— Domain of maps, lists...
udom :: 'f \Rightarrow 'a \ set
— Range of maps, lists...
uran
         :: 'f \Rightarrow 'b \ set
— Domain restriction
udomres :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'f \Rightarrow 'f
— Range restriction
uranres :: 'f \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow 'f
— Collection cardinality
ucard
         :: 'f \Rightarrow nat
 - Collection summation
            :: 'f \Rightarrow 'a
usums
```

We need a function corresponding to function application in order to overload.

```
definition fun-apply :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)
where fun-apply f x = f x
```

declare fun-apply-def [simp]

We then set up the overloading for a number of useful constructs for various collections.

adhoc-overloading

```
uempty 0 and
uapply fun-apply and uapply nth and uapply pfun-app and
uapply ffun-app and
uupd pfun-upd and uupd ffun-upd and uupd list-update and
udom Domain and udom pdom and udom fdom and udom seq-dom and
udom Range and uran pran and uran fran and uran set and
udomres pdom-res and udomres fdom-res and
uranres pran-res and udomres fran-res and
ucard card and ucard pcard and ucard length and
usums list-sum and usums Sum
```

3.5 Syntax translations

The follows a large number of translations that lift HOL functions to UTP expressions using the various expression constructors defined above. Much of the time we try to keep the HOL syntax but add a "u" subscript.

```
abbreviation ulens-override x f g \equiv lens-override f g x
```

translations

 $\theta <= CONST \ uempty$ — We have to do this so we don't see uempty. Is there a better way of printing?

We add new non-terminals for UTP tuples and maplets.

nonterminal utuple-args and umaplet and umaplets

```
syntax — Core expression constructs
  -ucoerce :: logic \Rightarrow type \Rightarrow logic (infix :_u 50)
  -ulambda :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\lambda - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
  -ulens-ovrd :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow svar \Rightarrow logic (- \oplus - on - [85, 0, 86] 86)
translations
  \lambda \ x \cdot p == CONST \ ulambda \ (\lambda \ x. \ p)
  x:_{u}'a == x :: ('a, -) uexpr
  -ulens-ovrd f g a == CONST bop (CONST ulens-override a) f g
syntax — Tuples
             :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow utuple-args \Rightarrow ('a * 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr ((1'(-,/-')_u))
  -utuple
  -utuple-arg :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow utuple-args (-)
  -utuple-args :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr => utuple-args \Rightarrow utuple-args
                                                                                    (-,/-)
               :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr ('(')_u)
               :: ('a \times 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\pi_1'(-'))
  -ufst
  -usnd
                :: ('a \times 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (\pi_2'(-'))
translations
  ()_u
            == \ll() \gg
  (x, y)_u = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ Pair) \ x \ y
  -utuple \ x \ (-utuple-args \ y \ z) == -utuple \ x \ (-utuple-arg \ (-utuple \ y \ z))
            == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ fst \ x
  \pi_2(x)
             == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ snd \ x
syntax — Polymorphic constructs
  -umap-empty :: logic ([]_u)
                :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow utuple-args \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (-'(-')_a \ [999,0] \ 999)
  -umaplet
               :: [logic, logic] => umaplet (-/ \mapsto / -)
              :: umaplet => umaplets
  -UMaplets :: [umaplet, umaplets] => umaplets (-,/-)
  -UMapUpd :: [logic, umaplets] => logic (-/'(-')_u [900,0] 900)
                  :: umaplets => logic ((1[-]_u))
  -UMap
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic (\#_u'(-'))
  -ucard
  -uless
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infix <_u 50)
  -uleq
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infix \leq_u 50)
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infix >_u 50)
  -ugreat
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infix \geq_u 50)
  -ugeq
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic ([-]_u)
  -uceil
  -ufloor
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic (|-|_u)
                 :: logic \Rightarrow logic (dom_u'(-'))
  -udom
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic (ran_u'(-'))
  -uran
                 :: logic \Rightarrow logic (sum_u'(-'))
  -usum
  -udom-res :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infixl \triangleleft_u 85)
  -uran-res :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infixl \triangleright_u 85)
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (min_u'(-, -'))
  -umin
  -umax
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (max_u'(-, -'))
  -uqcd
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (gcd_u'(-, -'))
translations

    Pretty printing for adhoc-overloaded constructs

            <= CONST \ uapply \ f \ x
  dom_u(f) <= CONST \ udom f
  ran_u(f) <= CONST uran f
```

```
A \triangleleft_u f <= CONST \ udomres \ A f
  f \rhd_u A <= CONST \ uran res f A
  \#_u(f) <= CONST \ ucard \ f
  f(k \mapsto v)_u \le CONST \ uupd \ f \ k \ v

    Overloaded construct translations

  f(x,y,z,u)_a == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ uapply \ f \ (x,y,z,u)_u
  f(x,y,z)_a == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ uapply \ f(x,y,z)_u
  f(x,y)_a = CONST \ bop \ CONST \ uapply \ f(x,y)_u
  f(x)_a = CONST \ bop \ CONST \ uapply f x
  \#_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ ucard \ xs
  sum_u(A) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ usums \ A
  dom_u(f) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ udom f
  ran_u(f) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ uran f
  ||_{u} =  \ll CONST \ uempty \gg
  A \triangleleft_u f == CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ udomres) \ A f
  f \rhd_u A == CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ uranges) f A
  -UMapUpd\ m\ (-UMaplets\ xy\ ms) == -UMapUpd\ (-UMapUpd\ m\ xy)\ ms
  -UMapUpd m (-umaplet x y) = CONST trop CONST uupd m x y
                                      == -UMap Upd []_u ms
  -UMap\ ms
  -UMap (-UMaplets ms1 ms2)
                                             <= -UMap Upd (-UMap ms1) ms2
  -UMaplets \ ms1 \ (-UMaplets \ ms2 \ ms3) <= -UMaplets \ (-UMaplets \ ms1 \ ms2) \ ms3
  — Type-class polymorphic constructs
  x <_{u} y = CONST \ bop \ (op <) \ x \ y
  x \leq_u y = CONST \ bop \ (op \leq) \ x \ y
  x >_u y == y <_u x
  x \ge_u y == y \le_u x
  min_u(x, y) = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ min) \ x \ y
  max_u(x, y) = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ max) \ x \ y
  gcd_u(x, y) = CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ gcd) \ x \ y
  [x]_u == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ ceiling \ x
  |x|_u == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ floor \ x
\mathbf{syntax} - \mathrm{Lists} \; / \; \mathrm{Sequences}
  -unil
               :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\langle \rangle)
  -ulist
              :: args = \langle ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (\langle (-) \rangle) \rangle
                :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr (infixr \hat{a} 80)
  -uappend
               :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr (last<sub>u</sub>'(-'))
  -ulast
  -ufront
                :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (front_u'(-'))
  -uhead
                :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (head_u'(-'))
               :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr (tail<sub>u</sub>'(-'))
  -utail
                :: (nat, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (take_u'(-,/-'))
  -utake
                :: (nat, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (drop_u'(-,/-'))
  -udrop
              :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infixl \mid_u 75)
  -ufilter
  -uextract :: ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr (infixl \(\begin{aligned} \psi_u & 75 \end{black}\)
  -uelems
                :: ('a \ list, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (elems_u'(-'))
                :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr (sorted<sub>u</sub>'(-'))
  -usorted
  -udistinct :: ('a list, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr (distinct<sub>u</sub>'(-'))
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\langle -..- \rangle)
  -uupto
  -uupt
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\langle -.. < - \rangle)
                 :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (map_u)
  -umap
translations
```

== «[]»

 $\langle \rangle$

```
\langle x, xs \rangle = CONST \ bop \ (op \#) \ x \ \langle xs \rangle
        == CONST \ bop \ (op \ \#) \ x \ll [] \gg
  x \hat{y} = CONST \ bop \ (op @) \ x \ y
  last_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ last \ xs
  front_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ butlast \ xs
  head_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ hd \ xs
  tail_{u}(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ tl \ xs
  drop_u(n,xs) == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ drop \ n \ xs
  take_u(n,xs) == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ take \ n \ xs
  elems_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ set \ xs
  sorted_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ sorted \ xs
  distinct_u(xs) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ distinct \ xs
  xs \upharpoonright_u A == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ seq-filter \ xs \ A
  A \upharpoonright_u xs = CONST \ bop \ (op \upharpoonright_l) \ A \ xs
  \langle n..k \rangle == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ up to \ n \ k
  \langle n... \langle k \rangle == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ upt \ n \ k
  map_u f xs == CONST bop CONST map f xs
syntax — Sets
  -ufinite :: logic \Rightarrow logic (finite_u'(-'))
               :: ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\{\}_u)
  -uempset
                :: args => ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr (\{(-)\}_u)
                :: ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infixl \cup_u \ 65)
  -uunion
                :: ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, \ '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infixl \cap_u \ 70)
  -uinter
  -umem
                 :: ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \in_u 50)
               :: ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \subset_u 50)
  -usubseteq :: ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr (infix \subseteq_u 50)
translations
  finite_u(x) == CONST \ uop \ (CONST \ finite) \ x
           == «{}»
  \{x, xs\}_u == CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ insert) \ x \ \{xs\}_u
          == CONST \ bop \ (CONST \ insert) \ x \ \ll \{\} \gg
  A \cup_u B = CONST \ bop \ (op \cup) \ A \ B
  A \cap_u B = CONST \ bop \ (op \cap) A B
  x \in_{u} A = CONST \ bop \ (op \in) \ x \ A
  A \subset_u B = CONST \ bop \ (op \subset) A B
  f \subset_u g \iff CONST \ bop \ (op \subset_p) \ f \ g
  f \subset_u g <= CONST \ bop \ (op \subset_f) \ f \ g
  A \subseteq_u B = CONST \ bop \ (op \subseteq) A B
  f \subseteq_u g \iff CONST \ bop \ (op \subseteq_p) \ f \ g
  f \subseteq_u g \iff CONST \ bop \ (op \subseteq_f) \ f \ g
syntax — Partial functions
  -umap-plus :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infixl \oplus_u 85)
  -umap-minus :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic  (infixl \ominus_u 85)
translations
  f \oplus_u g => (f :: ((-, -) pfun, -) uexpr) + g
 f \ominus_u g => (f :: ((-, -) pfun, -) uexpr) - g
syntax — Sum types
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic (inl_u'(-'))
  -uinl
                :: logic \Rightarrow logic (inr_u'(-'))
  -uinr
```

translations

```
inl_u(x) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ Inl \ x
inr_u(x) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ Inr \ x
```

3.6 Lifting set collectors

We provide syntax for various types of set collectors, including intervals and the Z-style set comprehension which is purpose built as a new lifted definition.

syntax

```
-uset-atLeastAtMost :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \(\Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr ((1 \{-..\}_u)) \)
-uset-atLeastLessThan :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \((1 \{-..\}_u)) \)
-uset-compr :: pttrn \Rightarrow ('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('b set, '\alpha) uexpr \((1 \{- \left\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow
```

lift-definition ZedSetCompr ::

```
('a set, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) uexpr \times ('b, '\alpha) uexpr) \Rightarrow ('b set, '\alpha) uexpr is \lambda A PF b. { snd (PF x) b | x. x \in A b \wedge fst (PF x) b}.
```

translations

```
 \begin{aligned} &\{x..y\}_u == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ at Least At Most \ x \ y \\ &\{x..<y\}_u == CONST \ bop \ CONST \ at Least Less Than \ x \ y \\ &\{x \mid P \cdot F\}_u == CONST \ ZedSetCompr \ (CONST \ ulit \ CONST \ UNIV) \ (\lambda \ x. \ (P, F)) \\ &\{x : A \mid P \cdot F\}_u == CONST \ ZedSetCompr \ A \ (\lambda \ x. \ (P, F)) \end{aligned}
```

3.7 Lifting limits

We also lift the following functions on topological spaces for taking function limits, and describing continuity.

```
definition ulim-left :: 'a::order-topology \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b::t2-space where ulim-left = (\lambda p f. Lim (at-left p) f)
```

```
definition ulim-right :: 'a::order-topology \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b::t2-space where ulim-right = (\lambda p f. Lim (at-right p) f)
```

definition ucont-on :: ('a::topological-space \Rightarrow 'b::topological-space) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool where ucont-on = (λ f A. continuous-on A f)

syntax

```
-ulim-left :: id \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (lim_u'(- \rightarrow -^-)'(-'))
-ulim-right :: id \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (lim_u'(- \rightarrow -^+)'(-'))
-ucont-on :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (infix \ cont-on_u \ 90)
```

translations

```
\lim_{u}(x \to p^{-})(e) == CONST \text{ bop } CONST \text{ ulim-left } p \ (\lambda \ x \cdot e)
\lim_{u}(x \to p^{+})(e) == CONST \text{ bop } CONST \text{ ulim-right } p \ (\lambda \ x \cdot e)
f \text{ cont-on}_{u} A == CONST \text{ bop } CONST \text{ continuous-on } A f
```

3.8 Evaluation laws for expressions

We now collect together all the definitional theorems for expression constructs, and use them to build an evaluation strategy for expressions that we will later use to construct proof tactics for UTP predicates.

```
lemmas uexpr-defs =
 zero-uexpr-def
 one-uexpr-def
 plus-uexpr-def
 uminus-uexpr-def
 minus-uexpr-def
 times-uexpr-def
 inverse-uexpr-def
 divide-uexpr-def
 sgn-uexpr-def
 abs-uexpr-def
 mod-uexpr-def
 eq-upred-def
 numeral-uexpr-simp
 ulim-left-def
 ulim-right-def
 ucont-on-def
 plus-list-def
```

The following laws show how to evaluate the core expressions constructs in terms of which the above definitions are defined. Thus, using these theorems together, we can convert any UTP expression into a pure HOL expression. All these theorems are marked as *ueval* theorems which can be used for evaluation.

```
lemma lit-ueval [ueval]: [\![ \langle x \rangle \rangle ]\!]_e b = x
by (transfer, simp)

lemma var-ueval [ueval]: [\![ var \ x ]\!]_e b = get_x b
by (transfer, simp)

lemma uop-ueval [ueval]: [\![ uop \ f \ x ]\!]_e b = f ([\![ x ]\!]_e b)
by (transfer, simp)

lemma bop-ueval [ueval]: [\![ bop \ f \ x \ y ]\!]_e b = f ([\![ x ]\!]_e b) ([\![ y ]\!]_e b)
by (transfer, simp)

lemma trop-ueval [ueval]: [\![ trop \ f \ x \ y \ z ]\!]_e b = f ([\![ x ]\!]_e b) ([\![ y ]\!]_e b) ([\![ z ]\!]_e b)
by (transfer, simp)

lemma qtop-ueval [ueval]: [\![ qtop \ f \ x \ y \ z \ w ]\!]_e b = f ([\![ x ]\!]_e b) ([\![ y ]\!]_e b) ([\![ x ]\!]_e b)
by (transfer, simp)

We also add all the definitional expressions to the evaluation theorem set.
```

3.9 Misc laws

declare uexpr-defs [ueval]

We also prove a few useful algebraic and expansion laws for expressions.

```
lemma uop-const [simp]: uop id u = u
by (transfer, simp)
lemma bop-const-1 [simp]: bop (\lambda x \ y. \ y) u \ v = v
by (transfer, simp)
lemma bop-const-2 [simp]: bop (\lambda x \ y. \ x) u \ v = u
```

```
by (transfer, simp)
lemma uinter-empty-1 [simp]: x \cap_u \{\}_u = \{\}_u
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma uinter-empty-2 [simp]: \{\}_u \cap_u x = \{\}_u
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma uunion-empty-1 [simp]: \{\}_u \cup_u x = x
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma uset-minus-empty [simp]: x - \{\}_u = x
 by (simp add: uexpr-defs, transfer, simp)
lemma ulist-filter-empty [simp]: x \upharpoonright_u \{\}_u = \langle \rangle
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma tail-cons [simp]: tail_u(\langle x \rangle \hat{\ }_u xs) = xs
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma ufun-apply-lit [simp]:
  \ll f \gg (\ll x \gg)_a = \ll f(x) \gg
 by (transfer, simp)
```

3.10 Literalise tactics

The following tactic converts literal HOL expressions to UTP expressions and vice-versa via a collection of simplification rules. The two tactics are called "literalise", which converts UTP to expressions to HOL expressions – i.e. it pushes them into literals – and unliteralise that reverses this. We collect the equations in a theorem attribute called "lit_simps".

```
lemma lit-num-simps [lit-simps]: \ll 0 \gg = 0 \ll 1 \gg = 1 \ll numeral \ n \gg = numeral \ n \ll - x \gg = - \ll x \gg by (simp-all add: ueval, transfer, simp)
```

```
lemma lit-arith-simps [lit-simps]:
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} <- \ x > = - \ < x > \\ < x + y > = < x > + < y > < x - y > = < x > - < y > \\ < x * y > = < x > * < y > < x / y > = < x > / < y > \\ < x \ div \ y > = < x > div \ < y > \\ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ uexpr-defs, \ transfer, \ simp) + \\ \end{array}
```

lemma *lit-fun-simps* [*lit-simps*]:

```
\begin{array}{l} \ll i \ x \ y \ z \ u \gg = qtop \ i \ \ll x \gg \ll y \gg \ll z \gg \ll u \gg \\ \ll h \ x \ y \ z \gg = trop \ h \ \ll x \gg \ll y \gg \ll z \gg \\ \ll g \ x \ y \gg = bop \ g \ \ll x \gg \ll y \gg \\ \ll f \ x \gg = uop \ f \ \ll x \gg \\ \mathbf{by} \ (transfer, \ simp) + \end{array}
```

In general unliteralising converts function applications to corresponding expression liftings. Since some operators, like + and *, have specific operators we also have to use $\theta = []_u$

$$1 = \ll 1 :: ?'a \gg$$

$$?u + ?v = bop \ op + ?u ?v$$

$$- ?u = uop \ uminus ?u$$

$$?u - ?v = bop \ op - ?u ?v$$

```
?u * ?v = bop op * ?u ?v
inverse ?u = uop inverse ?u
?u div ?v = bop op div ?u ?v
sgn ?u = uop sgn ?u
|?u| = uop abs ?u
?u mod ?v = bop op mod ?u ?v
(?x =_u ?y) = bop op = ?x ?y
numeral ?x = «numeral ?x»
ulim-left = (\lambda p. Lim (at-left p))
ulim-right = (\lambda p. Lim (at-right p))
ucont-on = (\lambda f A. continuous-on A f)
op + = op @ in reverse to correctly interpret the sum of t
```

op + = op @ in reverse to correctly interpret these. Moreover, numerals must be handled separately by first simplifying them and then converting them into UTP expression numerals; hence the following two simplification rules.

```
lemma lit-numeral-1: uop numeral x = Abs-uexpr (\lambda b. \ numeral \ (\llbracket x \rrbracket_e \ b))
by (simp \ add: \ uop\text{-}def)
lemma lit-numeral-2: Abs-uexpr (\lambda \ b. \ numeral \ v) = numeral \ v
by (metis \ lit.abs-eq lit-num-simps(3))
method lit-ralise = (unfold \ lit-simps [THEN \ sym])
method unlit-ralise = (unfold \ lit-simps u-expr-defs[THEN \ sym]; (unfold \ lit-numeral-1; (u-nfold u-eval); (u-nfold u-numeral-2))?)+end
```

4 Unrestriction

```
theory utp-unrest
imports utp-expr
begin
```

4.1 Definitions and Core Syntax

Unrestriction is an encoding of semantic freshness that allows us to reason about the presence of variables in predicates without being concerned with abstract syntax trees. An expression p is unrestricted by lens x, written $x \not\equiv p$, if altering the value of x has no effect on the valuation of p. This is a sufficient notion to prove many laws that would ordinarily rely on an fv function.

Unrestriction was first defined in the work of Marcel Oliveira [10, 9] in his UTP mechanisation in *ProofPowerZ*. Our definition modifies his in that our variables are semantically characterised as lenses, and supported by the lens laws, rather than named syntactic entities. We effectively fuse the ideas from both Feliachi [3] and Oliveira's [9] mechanisations of the UTP, the former being also purely semantic in nature.

We first set up overloaded syntax for unrestriction, as several concepts will have this defined.

```
consts unrest :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
syntax
-unrest :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic 	ext{ (infix $\sharp$ 20)}
```

translations

```
-unrest x p == CONST unrest x p
-unrest (-salphaset (-salphamk (x +<sub>L</sub> y))) P <= -unrest (x +<sub>L</sub> y) P
```

Our syntax translations support both variables and variable sets such that we can write down predicates like &x \sharp P and also {&x, &y, &z} \sharp P.

We set up a simple tactic for discharging unrestriction conjectures using a simplification set.

```
named-theorems unrest
method unrest-tac = (simp add: unrest)?
```

Unrestriction for expressions is defined as a lifted construct using the underlying lens operations. It states that lens x is unrestricted by expression e provided that, for any state-space binding b and variable valuation v, the value which the expression evaluates to is unaltered if we set x to v in b. In other words, we cannot effect the behaviour of e by changing x. Thus e does not observe the portion of state-space characterised by x. We add this definition to our overloaded constant.

```
lift-definition unrest-uexpr :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow bool is \lambda x e. \forall b v. e (put_x \ b \ v) = e \ b.
```

adhoc-overloading

unrest unrest-uexpr

4.2 Unrestriction laws

We now prove unrestriction laws for the key constructs of our expression model. Many of these depend on lens properties and so variously employ the assumptions mwb-lens and vwb-lens, depending on the number of assumptions from the lenses theory is required.

Firstly, we prove a general property – if x and y are both unrestricted in P, then their composition is also unrestricted in P. One can interpret the composition here as a union – if the two sets of variables x and y are unrestricted, then so is their union.

```
lemma unrest-var-comp [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp P; y \sharp P ]\!] \Longrightarrow x;y \sharp P by (transfer, simp add: lens-defs)
```

No lens is restricted by a literal, since it returns the same value for any state binding.

```
lemma unrest-lit [unrest]: x \sharp \ll v \gg by (transfer, simp)
```

If two lenses are equivalent, and thus they characterise the same state-space regions, then clearly unrestrictions over them are equivalent.

```
lemma unrest-equiv:

fixes P::('a, '\alpha) uexpr

assumes mwb-lens y \ x \approx_L y \ x \ \sharp \ P

shows y \ \sharp \ P

by (metis assms lens-equiv-def sublens-pres-mwb sublens-put-put unrest-uexpr.rep-eq)
```

The following laws demonstrate the primary motivation for lens independence: a variable expression is unrestricted by another variable only when the two variables are independent. Lens independence thus effectively allows us to semantically characterise when two variables, or sets of variables, are different.

```
lemma unrest-var [unrest]: \llbracket vwb-lens x; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies y \sharp var x
 by (transfer, auto)
lemma unrest-iuvar [unrest]: \llbracket vwb-lens x; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$y \sharp \$x
 by (metis in-var-indep in-var-uvar unrest-var)
lemma unrest-ouvar [unrest]: \llbracket vwb-lens x; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$y' \sharp \$x'
 by (metis out-var-indep out-var-uvar unrest-var)
The following laws follow automatically from independence of input and output variables.
lemma unrest-iuvar-ouvar [unrest]:
 fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 assumes vwb-lens y
 shows x \sharp y'
 by (metis prod.collapse unrest-uexpr.rep-eq var.rep-eq var-lookup-out var-update-in)
lemma unrest-ouvar-iuvar [unrest]:
 fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 assumes vwb-lens y
 shows x \neq y
 by (metis prod.collapse unrest-uexpr.rep-eq var.rep-eq var-lookup-in var-update-out)
Unrestriction distributes through the various function lifting expression constructs; this allows
us to prove unrestrictions for the majority of the expression language.
lemma unrest-uop [unrest]: x \sharp e \Longrightarrow x \sharp uop f e
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-bop [unrest]: [x \sharp u; x \sharp v] \Longrightarrow x \sharp bop f u v
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-trop [unrest]: [x \sharp u; x \sharp v; x \sharp w] \Longrightarrow x \sharp trop f u v w
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-qtop [unrest]: \llbracket x \sharp u; x \sharp v; x \sharp w; x \sharp y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp qtop f u v w y
 by (transfer, simp)
For convenience, we also prove unrestriction rules for the bespoke operators on equality, num-
bers, arithmetic etc.
lemma unrest-eq [unrest]: [x \sharp u; x \sharp v] \implies x \sharp u =_u v
 by (simp add: eq-upred-def, transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-zero [unrest]: x \sharp \theta
 by (simp add: unrest-lit zero-uexpr-def)
lemma unrest-one [unrest]: x \sharp 1
 by (simp add: one-uexpr-def unrest-lit)
lemma unrest-numeral [unrest]: x \sharp (numeral \ n)
 by (simp add: numeral-uexpr-simp unrest-lit)
lemma unrest-sqn [unrest]: x \sharp u \Longrightarrow x \sharp sqn u
 by (simp add: sqn-uexpr-def unrest-uop)
lemma unrest-abs [unrest]: x \sharp u \Longrightarrow x \sharp abs u
 by (simp add: abs-uexpr-def unrest-uop)
```

```
lemma unrest-plus [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp u; x \sharp v ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp u + v by (simp\ add:\ plus-uexpr-def\ unrest)

lemma unrest-uminus [unrest]: x \sharp u \Longrightarrow x \sharp - u by (simp\ add:\ uminus-uexpr-def\ unrest)

lemma unrest-minus [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp u; x \sharp v ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp u - v by (simp\ add:\ minus-uexpr-def\ unrest)

lemma unrest-times [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp u; x \sharp v ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp u * v by (simp\ add:\ times-uexpr-def\ unrest)

lemma unrest-divide [unrest]: [\![ x \sharp u; x \sharp v ]\!] \Longrightarrow x \sharp u / v by (simp\ add:\ divide-uexpr-def\ unrest)
```

For a λ -term we need to show that the characteristic function expression does not restrict v for any input value x.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ unrest\text{-}ulambda \ [unrest]\text{:} \\ \mathbb{[}\ \bigwedge\ x.\ v\ \sharp\ F\ x\ ] \implies v\ \sharp\ (\lambda\ x\boldsymbol{\cdot} F\ x) \\ \textbf{by}\ (transfer,\ simp) \end{array}
```

end

5 Substitution

```
theory utp-subst
imports
utp-expr
utp-unrest
begin
```

5.1 Substitution definitions

Variable substitution, like unrestriction, will be characterised semantically using lenses and state-spaces. Effectively a substitution σ is simply a function on the state-space which can be applied to an expression e using the syntax $\sigma \dagger e$. We introduce a polymorphic constant that will be used to represent application of a substitution, and also a set of theorems to represent laws.

```
consts usubst :: 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ (infixr } \dagger 80)
```

named-theorems usubst

A substitution is simply a transformation on the alphabet; it shows how variables should be mapped to different values. Most of the time these will be homogeneous functions but for flexibility we also allow some operations to be heterogeneous.

```
type-synonym ('\alpha,'\beta) psubst = '\alpha \Rightarrow '\beta type-synonym '\alpha usubst = '\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha
```

Application of a substitution simply applies the function σ to the state binding b before it is handed to e as an input. This effectively ensures all variables are updated in e.

```
lift-definition subst :: ('\alpha, '\beta) psubst \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr is
```

```
\lambda \sigma e b. e (\sigma b).
```

adhoc-overloading

 $usubst\ subst$

Substitutions can be updated by associating variables with expressions. We thus create an additional polymorphic constant to represent updating the value of a variable to an expression in a substitution, where the variable is modelled by type 'v. This again allows us to support different notions of variables, such as deep variables, later.

```
consts subst-upd :: ('\alpha, '\beta) psubst \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) psubst
```

The following function takes a substitution form state-space α to β , a lens with source β and view "a", and an expression over α and returning a value of type "a, and produces an updated substitution. It does this by constructing a substitution function that takes state binding b, and updates the state first by applying the original substitution σ , and then updating the part of the state associated with lens x with expression evaluated in the context of x. This effectively means that x is now associated with expression x. We add this definition to our overloaded constant.

```
definition subst-upd-uvar :: ('\alpha,'\beta) psubst \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('\alpha,'\beta) psubst where subst-upd-uvar \sigma x v = (\lambda b. put<sub>x</sub> (\sigma b) (\llbracket v \rrbracket_e b))
```

adhoc-overloading

subst-upd subst-upd-uvar

The next function looks up the expression associated with a variable in a substitution by use of the *qet* lens function.

```
lift-definition usubst-lookup :: ('\alpha, '\beta) psubst \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr (\langle -\rangle_s) is \lambda \sigma x b. get<sub>x</sub> (\sigma b).
```

Substitutions also exhibit a natural notion of unrestriction which states that σ does not restrict x if application of σ to an arbitrary state ρ will not effect the valuation of x. Put another way, it requires that put and the substitution commute.

```
definition unrest-usubst :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow bool
where unrest-usubst \ x \ \sigma = (\forall \ \varrho \ v. \ \sigma \ (put_x \ \varrho \ v) = put_x \ (\sigma \ \varrho) \ v)
```

adhoc-overloading

unrest unrest-usubst

5.2 Syntax translations

We support two kinds of syntax for substitutions, one where we construct a substitution using a maplet-style syntax, with variables mapping to expressions. Such a constructed substitution can be applied to an expression. Alternatively, we support the more traditional notation, P[v/x], which also support multiple simultaneous substitutions. We have to use double square brackets as the single ones are already well used.

We set up non-terminals to represent a single substitution maplet, a sequence of maplets, a list of expressions, and a list of alphabets. The parser effectively uses *subst-upd* to construct substitutions from multiple variables.

nonterminal smaplet and smaplets and uexprs and salphas

syntax

translations

```
 -SubstUpd\ m\ (-SMaplets\ xy\ ms) == -SubstUpd\ (-SubstUpd\ m\ xy)\ ms \\ -SubstUpd\ m\ (-smaplet\ x\ y) == CONST\ subst-upd\ m\ x\ y \\ -Subst\ ms == -SubstUpd\ (CONST\ id)\ ms \\ -Subst\ (-SMaplets\ ms1\ ms2) <= -SubstUpd\ (-Subst\ ms1)\ ms2 \\ -SMaplets\ ms1\ (-SMaplets\ ms2\ ms3) <= -SMaplets\ (-SMaplets\ ms1\ ms2)\ ms3 \\ -subst\ P\ es\ vs => CONST\ subst\ (-psubst\ (CONST\ id)\ vs\ es)\ P \\ -psubst\ m\ (-salphas\ x\ xs)\ (-uexprs\ v\ vs) => -psubst\ (-psubst\ m\ x\ v)\ xs\ vs \\ -psubst\ m\ x\ v => CONST\ subst-upd\ m\ x\ v \\ P[v/$x] <= CONST\ usubst\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ id)\ (CONST\ ivar\ x)\ v)\ P \\ P[v/$x] <= CONST\ usubst\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ id)\ (CONST\ ovar\ x)\ v)\ P \\ P[v/x] <= CONST\ usubst\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ id)\ x\ v)\ P
```

Thus we can write things like $\sigma(x \mapsto_s v)$ to update a variable x in σ with expression v, $[x \mapsto_s e, y \mapsto_s f]$ to construct a substitution with two variables, and finally P[v/x], the traditional syntax.

We can now express deletion of a substitution maplet.

```
definition subst-del :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst (infix -_s 85) where subst-del \sigma x = \sigma(x \mapsto_s \& x)
```

5.3 Substitution application laws

We set up a simple substitution tactic that applies substitution and unrestriction laws

method $subst-tac = (simp \ add: \ usubst \ unrest)?$

Evaluation of a substitution expression involves application of the substitution to different variables. Thus we first prove laws for these cases. The simplest substitution, id, when applied to any variable x simply returns the variable expression, since id has no effect.

```
lemma usubst-lookup-id [usubst]: \langle id \rangle_s \ x = var \ x
by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-upd-id-lam [usubst]: subst-upd (\lambda \ x. \ x) \ x \ v = subst-upd \ id \ x \ v
by (simp \ add: id-def)
```

A substitution update naturally yields the given expression.

```
lemma usubst-lookup-upd [usubst]:

assumes mwb-lens x

shows \langle \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \rangle_s \ x = v

using assms

by (simp\ add:\ subst-upd-uvar-def,\ transfer)\ (simp)
```

Substitution update is idempotent.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ usubst\text{-}upd\text{-}idem\ [usubst]:
  assumes mwb-lens x
  shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, x \mapsto_s v) = \sigma(x \mapsto_s v)
  by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def assms comp-def)
Substitution updates commute when the lenses are independent.
lemma usubst-upd-comm:
  assumes x \bowtie y
  shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, y \mapsto_s v) = \sigma(y \mapsto_s v, x \mapsto_s u)
  using assms
  by (rule-tac ext, auto simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def assms comp-def lens-indep-comm)
lemma usubst-upd-comm2:
  assumes z \bowtie y and mwb-lens x
 shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, y \mapsto_s v, z \mapsto_s s) = \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, z \mapsto_s s, y \mapsto_s v)
  by (rule-tac ext, auto simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def assms comp-def lens-indep-comm)
A substitution which swaps two independent variables is an injective function.
lemma swap-usubst-inj:
 fixes x y :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 assumes vwb-lens x vwb-lens y x \bowtie y
 shows inj [x \mapsto_s \& y, y \mapsto_s \& x]
proof (rule injI)
  fix b_1 :: '\alpha and b_2 :: '\alpha
  assume [x \mapsto_s \& y, y \mapsto_s \& x] b_1 = [x \mapsto_s \& y, y \mapsto_s \& x] b_2
  hence a: put_y (put_x \ b_1 ([\![\&y]\!]_e \ b_1)) ([\![\&x]\!]_e \ b_1) = put_y (put_x \ b_2 ([\![\&y]\!]_e \ b_2)) ([\![\&x]\!]_e \ b_2)
   by (auto simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def)
  then have (\forall a \ b \ c. \ put_x \ (put_y \ a \ b) \ c = put_y \ (put_x \ a \ c) \ b) \land
             (\forall a \ b. \ get_x \ (put_y \ a \ b) = get_x \ a) \land (\forall a \ b. \ get_y \ (put_x \ a \ b) = get_y \ a)
   by (simp add: assms(3) lens-indep.lens-put-irr2 lens-indep-comm)
  then show b_1 = b_2
     by (metis\ a\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ pr-var-def\ var.rep-eq\ vwb-lens.source-determination\ vwb-lens-def
wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-get)
qed
lemma usubst-upd-var-id [usubst]:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow [x \mapsto_s var x] = id
 apply (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def)
 apply (transfer)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (auto)
done
lemma usubst-upd-comm-dash [usubst]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s v, \$x \mapsto_s u) = \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s u, \$x' \mapsto_s v)
  using out-in-indep usubst-upd-comm by blast
lemma subst-upd-lens-plus [usubst]:
  subst-upd \sigma (x +_L y) \ll (u,v) \gg = \sigma(y \mapsto_s \ll v \gg, x \mapsto_s \ll u \gg)
  by (simp add: lens-defs uexpr-defs subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma subst-upd-in-lens-plus [usubst]:
  subst-upd \sigma (ivar (x +_L y)) \ll (u,v) \gg = \sigma(\$y \mapsto_s \ll v \gg, \$x \mapsto_s \ll u \gg)
```

```
by (simp add: lens-defs uexpr-defs subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto simp add: prod.case-eq-if)
```

```
lemma subst-upd-out-lens-plus [usubst]: subst-upd \sigma (ovar (x +_L y)) \ll (u,v) \gg = \sigma(\$y' \mapsto_s \ll v), \$x' \mapsto_s \ll u \gg) by (simp add: lens-defs uexpr-defs subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto simp add: prod.case-eq-if) lemma usubst-lookup-upd-indep [usubst]: assumes mwb-lens x x \bowtie y shows \langle \sigma(y \mapsto_s v) \rangle_s x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s x using assms by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, simp)
```

If a variable is unrestricted in a substitution then it's application has no effect.

```
lemma usubst-apply-unrest [usubst]: 
 \llbracket vwb\text{-lens }x; x \sharp \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle_s x = var x

by (simp add: unrest-usubst-def, transfer, auto simp add: fun-eq-iff, metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-qet)
```

There follows various laws about deleting variables from a substitution.

```
lemma subst-del-id [usubst]:
    vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow id -_s x = id
    by (simp add: subst-del-def subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto)

lemma subst-del-upd-same [usubst]:
    mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) -_s x = \sigma -_s x
    by (simp add: subst-del-def subst-upd-uvar-def)

lemma subst-del-upd-diff [usubst]:
    x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow \sigma(y \mapsto_s v) -_s x = (\sigma -_s x)(y \mapsto_s v)
    by (simp add: subst-del-def subst-upd-uvar-def lens-indep-comm)
```

If a variable is unrestricted in an expression, then any substitution of that variable has no effect on the expression .

```
lemma subst-unrest [usubst]: x \not \models P \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \dagger P = \sigma \dagger P
by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto)
lemma subst-compose-upd [usubst]: x \not \models \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \circ \varrho(x \mapsto_s v) = (\sigma \circ \varrho)(x \mapsto_s v)
by (simp add: subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, auto simp add: unrest-usubst-def)
Any substitution is a monotonic function.
lemma subst-mono: mono (subst \sigma)
by (simp add: less-eq-uexpr.rep-eq mono-def subst.rep-eq)
```

5.4 Substitution laws

We now prove the key laws that show how a substitution should be performed for every expression operator, including the core function operators, literals, variables, and the arithmetic operators. They are all added to the *usubst* theorem attribute so that we can apply them using the substitution tactic.

```
lemma id-subst [usubst]: id \dagger v = v by (transfer, simp) lemma subst-lit [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \ll v \gg = \ll v \gg v
```

```
by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-var [usubst]: \sigma \dagger var x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s x
  by (transfer, simp)
lemma usubst-ulambda [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\lambda x \cdot P(x)) = (\lambda x \cdot \sigma \dagger P(x))
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-usubst-del [unrest]: \llbracket vwb-lens x; x \sharp (\langle \sigma \rangle_s x); x \sharp \sigma -_s x \rrbracket \implies x \sharp (\sigma \dagger P)
 \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: subst-def \ subst-upd-uvar-def \ unrest-uexpr-def \ unrest-usubst-def \ subst. rep-eq \ usubst-lookup. rep-eq)
     (metis vwb-lens.put-eq)
We add the symmetric definition of input and output variables to substitution laws so that the
variables are correctly normalised after substitution.
lemma subst-uop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger uop f v = uop f (\sigma \dagger v)
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-bop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger bop f u v = bop f (\sigma \dagger u) (\sigma \dagger v)
  by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-trop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger trop f u v w = trop f (\sigma \dagger u) (\sigma \dagger v) (\sigma \dagger w)
  by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-qtop [usubst]: \sigma \dagger qtop f u v w x = qtop f (\sigma \dagger u) (\sigma \dagger v) (\sigma \dagger v) (\sigma \dagger x)
 by (transfer, simp)
lemma subst-plus [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x + y) = \sigma \dagger x + \sigma \dagger y
  by (simp add: plus-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-times [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x * y) = \sigma \dagger x * \sigma \dagger y
 by (simp add: times-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-mod [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x \mod y) = \sigma \dagger x \mod \sigma \dagger y
  by (simp add: mod-uexpr-def usubst)
lemma subst-div [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x \ div \ y) = \sigma \dagger x \ div \ \sigma \dagger y
  by (simp add: divide-uexpr-def usubst)
lemma subst-minus [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (x - y) = \sigma \dagger x - \sigma \dagger y
 by (simp add: minus-uexpr-def subst-bop)
lemma subst-uminus [usubst]: \sigma \uparrow (-x) = -(\sigma \uparrow x)
  by (simp add: uminus-uexpr-def subst-uop)
lemma usubst-sgn [usubst]: \sigma \dagger sgn x = sgn (\sigma \dagger x)
 by (simp add: sqn-uexpr-def subst-uop)
lemma usubst-abs [usubst]: \sigma \dagger abs \ x = abs \ (\sigma \dagger x)
  by (simp add: abs-uexpr-def subst-uop)
lemma subst-zero [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \theta = \theta
  by (simp add: zero-uexpr-def subst-lit)
lemma subst-one [usubst]: \sigma \dagger 1 = 1
  by (simp add: one-uexpr-def subst-lit)
```

```
lemma subst-eq-upred [usubst]: \sigma \uparrow (x =_u y) = (\sigma \uparrow x =_u \sigma \uparrow y)
by (simp add: eq-upred-def usubst)
```

This laws shows the effect of applying one substitution after another – we simply use function composition to compose them.

```
lemma subst-subst [usubst]: \sigma \dagger \varrho \dagger e = (\varrho \circ \sigma) \dagger e
by (transfer, simp)
```

The next law is similar, but shows how such a substitution is to be applied to every updated variable additionally.

```
lemma subst-upd-comp [usubst]:
    fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
    shows \varrho(x \mapsto_s v) \circ \sigma = (\varrho \circ \sigma)(x \mapsto_s \sigma \dagger v)
    by (rule\ ext, simp\ add:uexpr-defs\ subst-upd-uvar-def, transfer, simp)

lemma subst-singleton:
    fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
    assumes x \sharp \sigma
    shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \dagger P = (\sigma \dagger P) \llbracket v/x \rrbracket
    using assms
    by (simp\ add: usubst)
```

5.5 Ordering substitutions

lemmas subst-to-singleton = subst-singleton id-subst

We set up a purely syntactic order on variable lenses which is useful for the substitution normal form.

```
definition var-name-ord :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow ('b \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow bool where [no-atp]: var-name-ord x \ y = True syntax -var-name-ord :: salpha \Rightarrow salpha \Rightarrow bool (infix \prec_v \ 65) translations -var-name-ord x \ y == CONST \ var-name-ord x \ y
```

A fact of the form $x \prec_v y$ has no logical information; it simply exists to define a total order on named lenses that is useful for normalisation. The following theorem is simply an instance of the commutativity law for substitutions. However, that law could not be a simplification law as it would cause the simplifier to loop. Assuming that the variable order is a total order then this theorem will not loop.

```
lemma usubst-upd-comm-ord [usubst]:

assumes x \bowtie y \ y \prec_v x

shows \sigma(x \mapsto_s u, y \mapsto_s v) = \sigma(y \mapsto_s v, x \mapsto_s u)

by (simp add: assms(1) usubst-upd-comm)
```

5.6 Unrestriction laws

These are the key unrestriction theorems for substitutions and expressions involving substitutions.

lemma unrest-usubst-single [unrest]:

6 UTP Tactics

theory utp-tactics imports Eisbach Lenses Interp utp-expr utp-unrest keywords update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms :: thy-decl begin

In this theory, we define several automatic proof tactics that use transfer techniques to reinterpret proof goals about UTP predicates and relations in terms of pure HOL conjectures. The fundamental tactics to achieve this are *pred-simp* and *rel-simp*; a more detailed explanation of their behaviour is given below. The tactics can be given optional arguments to fine-tune their behaviour. By default, they use a weaker but faster form of transfer using rewriting; the option *robust*, however, forces them to use the slower but more powerful transfer of Isabelle's lifting package. A second option *no-interp* suppresses the re-interpretation of state spaces in order to eradicate record for tuple types prior to automatic proof.

In addition to *pred-simp* and *rel-simp*, we also provide the tactics *pred-auto* and *rel-auto*, as well as *pred-blast* and *rel-blast*; they, in essence, sequence the simplification tactics with the methods *auto* and *blast*, respectively.

6.1 Theorem Attributes

The following named attributes have to be introduced already here since our tactics must be able to see them. Note that we do not want to import the theories *utp-pred* and *utp-rel* here, so that both can potentially already make use of the tactics we define in this theory.

named-theorems upred-defs upred definitional theorems named-theorems urel-defs urel definitional theorems

6.2 Generic Methods

We set up several automatic tactics that recast theorems on UTP predicates into equivalent HOL predicates, eliminating artefacts of the mechanisation as much as this is possible. Our approach is first to unfold all relevant definition of the UTP predicate model, then perform a transfer, and finally simplify by using lens and variable definitions, the split laws of alphabet records, and interpretation laws to convert record-based state spaces into products. The definition of the respective methods is facilitated by the Eisbach tool: we define generic methods that are parametrised by the tactics used for transfer, interpretation and subsequent automatic proof. Note that the tactics only apply to the head goal.

Generic Predicate Tactics

```
method gen-pred-tac methods transfer-tac interp-tac prove-tac = (
    ((unfold upred-defs) [1])?;
    (transfer-tac),
    (simp add: fun-eq-iff
        lens-defs upred-defs alpha-splits Product-Type.split-beta)?,
    (interp-tac)?);
    (prove-tac)

Generic Relational Tactics

method gen-rel-tac methods transfer-tac interp-tac prove-tac = (
    ((unfold upred-defs urel-defs) [1])?;
    (transfer-tac),
```

```
(simp add: fun-eq-iff relcomp-unfold OO-def
lens-defs upred-defs alpha-splits Product-Type.split-beta)?,
(interp-tac)?);
(prove-tac)
```

6.3 Transfer Tactics

Next, we define the component tactics used for transfer.

6.3.1 Robust Transfer

Robust transfer uses the transfer method of the lifting package.

```
method slow-uexpr-transfer = (transfer)
```

6.3.2 Faster Transfer

Fast transfer side-steps the use of the (transfer) method in favour of plain rewriting with the underlying rep-eq-... laws of lifted definitions. For moderately complex terms, surprisingly, the transfer step turned out to be a bottle-neck in some proofs; we observed that faster transfer resulted in a speed-up of approximately 30% when building the UTP theory heaps. On the downside, tactics using faster transfer do not always work but merely in about 95% of the cases. The approach typically works well when proving predicate equalities and refinements conjectures.

A known limitation is that the faster tactic, unlike lifting transfer, does not turn free variables into meta-quantified ones. This can, in some cases, interfere with the interpretation step and cause subsequent application of automatic proof tactics to fail. A fix is in progress [TODO].

Attribute Setup We first configure a dynamic attribute *uexpr-rep-eq-thms* to automatically collect all *rep-eq-* laws of lifted definitions on the *uexpr* type.

```
ML-file uexpr-rep-eq.ML

setup \langle \langle Global-Theory.add-thms-dynamic (@\{binding uexpr-rep-eq-thms\}, uexpr-rep-eq.get-uexpr-rep-eq-thms o Context.theory-of) \rangle \rangle
```

We next configure a command **update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms** in order to update the content of the *uexpr-rep-eq-thms* attribute. Although the relevant theorems are collected automatically, for efficiency reasons, the user has to manually trigger the update process. The command must hence be executed whenever new lifted definitions for type *uexpr* are created. The updating mechanism uses **find-theorems** under the hood.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{ML} \  \, \langle \langle \\ Outer-Syntax.command \ @\{command\text{-}keyword\ update\text{-}uexpr\text{-}rep\text{-}eq\text{-}thms}\} \\ reread\ and\ update\ content\ of\ the\ uexpr\text{-}rep\text{-}eq\text{-}thms\ attribute} \\ (Scan.succeed\ (Toplevel.theory\ uexpr\text{-}rep\text{-}eq\text{-}read\text{-}uexpr\text{-}rep\text{-}eq\text{-}thms})); \\ \rangle \rangle \end{array}
```

update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms — Read uexpr-rep-eq-thms here.

Lastly, we require several named-theorem attributes to record the manual transfer laws and extra simplifications, so that the user can dynamically extend them in child theories.

named-theorems uexpr-transfer-laws uexpr transfer laws

```
declare uexpr-eq-iff [uexpr-transfer-laws]
named-theorems uexpr-transfer-extra extra simplifications for uexpr transfer

declare unrest-uexpr.rep-eq [uexpr-transfer-extra]
utp-expr.numeral-uexpr-rep-eq [uexpr-transfer-extra]
utp-expr.less-eq-uexpr.rep-eq [uexpr-transfer-extra]
Abs-uexpr-inverse [simplified, uexpr-transfer-extra]
Rep-uexpr-inverse [uexpr-transfer-extra]
```

Tactic Definition We have all ingredients now to define the fast transfer tactic as a single simplification step.

```
method fast-uexpr-transfer = (simp add: uexpr-transfer-laws uexpr-rep-eq-thms uexpr-transfer-extra)
```

6.4 Interpretation

The interpretation of record state spaces as products is done using the laws provided by the utility theory *Interp*. Note that this step can be suppressed by using the *no-interp* option.

```
method uexpr-interp-tac = (simp \ add: lens-interp-laws)?
```

6.5 User Tactics

In this section, we finally set-up the six user tactics: pred-simp, rel-simp, pred-auto, rel-auto, pred-blast and rel-blast. For this, we first define the proof strategies that are to be applied after the transfer steps.

```
method utp-simp-tac = (clarsimp)?
method utp-auto-tac = ((clarsimp)?; auto)
method utp-blast-tac = ((clarsimp)?; blast)
```

The ML file below provides ML constructor functions for tactics that process arguments suitable and invoke the generic methods *gen-pred-tac* and *gen-rel-tac* with suitable arguments.

```
ML-file utp-tactics.ML
```

Finally, we execute the relevant outer commands for method setup. Sadly, this cannot be done at the level of Eisbach since the latter does not provide a convenient mechanism to process symbolic flags as arguments. It may be worth to put in a feature request with the developers of the Eisbach tool.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{method-setup} \ pred-simp = \langle \langle \\ (Scan.lift \ UTP-Tactics.scan-args) >> \\ (fn \ args => fn \ ctx => \\ let \ val \ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-simp-tac \ in \\ (UTP-Tactics.inst-gen-pred-tac \ args \ prove-tac \ ctx) \\ end); \\ \rangle \rangle \\ \\ \textbf{method-setup} \ rel-simp = \langle \langle \\ (Scan.lift \ UTP-Tactics.scan-args) >> \\ (fn \ args => fn \ ctx => \\ let \ val \ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-simp-tac \ in \\ (UTP-Tactics.inst-gen-rel-tac \ args \ prove-tac \ ctx) \\ \end{array}
```

```
end);
\rangle\!\rangle
method-setup pred-auto = \langle \langle
  (Scan.lift\ UTP\text{-}Tactics.scan\text{-}args) >>
     (fn \ args => fn \ ctx =>
       let\ val\ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-auto-tac\ in
         (UTP\text{-}Tactics.inst\text{-}gen\text{-}pred\text{-}tac\ args\ prove\text{-}tac\ ctx)
       end);
\rangle\!\rangle
method-setup rel-auto = \langle \langle
  (Scan.lift\ UTP\text{-}Tactics.scan-args)>>
     (fn \ args => fn \ ctx =>
       let\ val\ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-auto-tac\ in
         (UTP-Tactics.inst-gen-rel-tac args prove-tac ctx)
       end);
\rangle\!\rangle
method-setup pred-blast = \langle \langle
  (Scan.lift\ UTP\text{-}Tactics.scan-args) >>
     (fn \ args => fn \ ctx =>
       let\ val\ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-blast-tac\ in
         (\mathit{UTP}\text{-}\mathit{Tactics}.inst\text{-}\mathit{gen}\text{-}\mathit{pred}\text{-}\mathit{tac}\ \mathit{args}\ \mathit{prove}\text{-}\mathit{tac}\ \mathit{ctx})
       end);
\rangle\!\rangle
method-setup rel-blast = \langle \langle
  (Scan.lift\ UTP\text{-}Tactics.scan-args) >>
     (fn \ args => fn \ ctx =>
       let\ val\ prove-tac = Basic-Tactics.utp-blast-tac\ in
         (UTP\text{-}Tactics.inst\text{-}gen\text{-}rel\text{-}tac\ args\ prove\text{-}tac\ ctx)
       end);
\rangle\!\rangle
```

7 Alphabetised Predicates

```
theory utp-pred
imports
utp-expr
utp-subst
utp-tactics
begin
```

In this theory we begin to create an Isabelle version of the alphabetised predicate calculus that is described in Chapter 1 of the UTP book [5].

7.1 Predicate type and syntax

An alphabetised predicate is a simply a boolean valued expression.

```
type-synonym '\alpha upred = (bool, '\alpha) uexpr
```

translations

```
(type) '\alpha upred \le (type) (bool, '\alpha) uexpr
```

We want to remain as close as possible to the mathematical UTP syntax, but also want to be conservative with HOL. For this reason we chose not to steal syntax from HOL, but where possible use polymorphism to allow selection of the appropriate operator (UTP vs. HOL). Thus we will first remove the standard syntax for conjunction, disjunction, and negation, and replace these with adhoc overloaded definitions. We similarly use polymorphic constants for the other predicate calculus operators.

```
purge-notation
```

```
conj (infixr \land 35) and disj (infixr \lor 30) and Not (\lnot - [40] 40)

consts

utrue :: 'a \ (true)
ufalse :: 'a \ (false)
uconj :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (infixr <math>\land 35)
udisj :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (infixr <math>\lor 30)
uimpl :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (infixr \Rightarrow 25)
uiff :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (infixr \Rightarrow 25)
unot :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (\lnot - [40] \ 40)
uex :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p
uall :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p
ushEx :: ['a \Rightarrow 'p] \Rightarrow 'p
ushAll :: ['a \Rightarrow 'p] \Rightarrow 'p
```

adhoc-overloading

```
uconj conj and
udisj disj and
unot Not
```

We set up two versions of each of the quantifiers: uex / uall and ushEx / ushAll. The former pair allows quantification of UTP variables, whilst the latter allows quantification of HOL variables

in concert with the literal expression constructor $\ll x \gg$. Both varieties will be needed at various points. Syntactically they are distinguished by a boldface quantifier for the HOL versions (achieved by the "bold" escape in Isabelle).

nonterminal idt-list

```
syntax
  -idt-el :: idt \Rightarrow idt-list (-)
  -idt-list :: idt \Rightarrow idt-list \Rightarrow idt-list ((-,/-) [0, 1])
             :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\exists - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
             :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\forall - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
  -ushEx :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\exists - \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
  -ushAll :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\forall -\cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
  -ushBEx :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\exists - \in - \cdot - [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -ushBAll :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\forall - \in - \cdot - [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -ushGAll :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\forall - | - \cdot - [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -ushGtAll :: idt \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\forall -> -\cdot - [0, 0, 10] 10)
  -ushLtAll :: idt \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\forall -<--- [0, 0, 10] 10)
translations
                                     == CONST uex x P
  -uex x P
  -uex (-salphaset (-salphamk (x +_L y))) P \le -uex (x +_L y) P
                                    == CONST \ uall \ x \ P
  -uall \ x \ P
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} -uall \; (\text{-}salphaset \; (\text{-}salphamk \; (x +_L \; y))) \; P \; <= \; -uall \; (x +_L \; y) \; P \\ -ushEx \; x \; P & == \; CONST \; ushEx \; (\lambda \; x. \; P) \\ \exists \; x \in A \cdot P & => \; \exists \; x \cdot \ll x \gg \in_u \; A \wedge P \\ -ushAll \; x \; P & == \; CONST \; ushAll \; (\lambda \; x. \; P) \\ \forall \; x \in A \cdot P & => \; \forall \; x \cdot \ll x \gg \in_u \; A \Rightarrow P \\ \forall \; x \mid P \cdot Q & => \; \forall \; x \cdot \ll x \gg \in_u \; A \Rightarrow P \\ \forall \; x > y \cdot P & => \; \forall \; x \cdot \ll x \gg >_u \; y \Rightarrow P \\ \forall \; x < y \cdot P & => \; \forall \; x \cdot \ll x \gg <_u \; y \Rightarrow P \end{array}
```

7.2 Predicate operators

We chose to maximally reuse definitions and laws built into HOL. For this reason, when introducing the core operators we proceed by lifting operators from the polymorphic algebraic hierarchy of HOL. Thus the initial definitions take place in the context of type class instantiations. We first introduce our own class called *refine* that will add the refinement operator syntax to the HOL partial order class.

```
class refine = order

abbreviation refineBy :: 'a::refine \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \ (infix <math>\sqsubseteq 50) where P \sqsubseteq Q \equiv less-eq \ Q \ P
```

Since, on the whole, lattices in UTP are the opposite way up to the standard definitions in HOL, we syntactically invert the lattice operators. This is the one exception where we do steal HOL syntax, but I think it makes sense for UTP. Indeed we make this inversion for all of the lattice operators.

```
purge-notation Lattices.inf (infixl \Box 70)
notation Lattices.inf (infixl \Box 70)
purge-notation Lattices.sup (infixl \Box 65)
notation Lattices.sup (infixl \Box 65)
purge-notation Inf (\Box- [900] 900)
```

```
notation Inf (\square - [900] 900)
purge-notation Sup (\square - [900] 900)
notation Sup ( [ - [900] 900 ) ]
purge-notation Orderings.bot (\perp)
notation Orderings.bot (\top)
purge-notation Orderings.top (\top)
notation Orderings.top (\bot)
purge-syntax
  -INF1
              :: pttrns \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
                                                  ((3 \square -./ -) [0, 10] 10)
              :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((3 \square - \in -./ -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -INF
               :: pttrns \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \qquad ((3 \sqcup -./ -) [0, 10] 10)
  -SUP1
              :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((3 \sqcup -\in -./ -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -SUP
syntax
              :: pttrns \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
  -INF1
                                                  ((3 \mid | -./ -) \mid [0, 10] \mid 10)
              :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((3 \square - \in -./ -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -INF
  -SUP1
              :: pttrns \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \qquad ((3 \square -./ -) [0, 10] 10)
              :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((3 \square - \in -./ -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
  -SUP
We trivially instantiate our refinement class
instance uexpr :: (order, type) refine ..
— Configure transfer law for refinement for the fast relational tactics.
theorem upred-ref-iff [uexpr-transfer-laws]:
(P \sqsubseteq Q) = (\forall b. \ \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \longrightarrow \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b)
apply (transfer)
apply (clarsimp)
done
Next we introduce the lattice operators, which is again done by lifting.
instantiation uexpr :: (lattice, type) lattice
begin
 lift-definition sup-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda P \ Q \ A. Lattices.sup (P \ A) \ (Q \ A).
 lift-definition inf-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda P \ Q \ A. Lattices.inf (P \ A) \ (Q \ A).
instance
 \mathbf{by}\ (intro-classes)\ (transfer,\ auto)+
end
instantiation \ uexpr::(bounded-lattice, \ type) \ bounded-lattice
begin
 lift-definition bot-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr is \lambda A. Orderings.bot.
 lift-definition top-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr is \lambda A. Orderings.top .
instance
 by (intro-classes) (transfer, auto)+
end
\mathbf{instance}\ uexpr\ ::\ (\mathit{distrib-lattice},\ type)\ \mathit{distrib-lattice}
  by (intro-classes) (transfer, rule ext, auto simp add: sup-inf-distrib1)
```

Finally we show that predicates form a Boolean algebra (under the lattice operators), a complete

lattice, a completely distribute lattice, and a complete boolean algebra. This equip us with a very complete theory for basic logical propositions.

```
instance \ uexpr :: (boolean-algebra, \ type) \ boolean-algebra
apply (intro-classes, unfold uexpr-defs; transfer, rule ext)
apply (simp-all add: sup-inf-distrib1 diff-eq)
done
instantiation uexpr :: (complete-lattice, type) complete-lattice
begin
 lift-definition Inf-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda PS A. INF P:PS. P(A).
 lift-definition Sup-uexpr :: ('a, 'b) uexpr set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) uexpr
 is \lambda PS A. SUP P:PS. P(A).
instance
 by (intro-classes)
    (transfer, auto intro: INF-lower SUP-upper simp add: INF-greatest SUP-least)+
instance uexpr::(complete-distrib-lattice, type) complete-distrib-lattice
 apply (intro-classes)
 apply (transfer, rule ext, auto)
 using sup-INF apply fastforce
 apply (transfer, rule ext, auto)
 using inf-SUP apply fastforce
done
instance uexpr :: (complete-boolean-algebra, type) complete-boolean-algebra ...
From the complete lattice, we can also define and give syntax for the fixed-point operators. Like
the lattice operators, these are reversed in UTP.
syntax
  -mu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\mu - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
 -nu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\nu - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
notation gfp(\mu)
notation lfp (\nu)
translations
 \nu X \cdot P == CONST \ lfp \ (\lambda X. P)
 \mu X \cdot P == CONST gfp (\lambda X. P)
With the lattice operators defined, we can proceed to give definitions for the standard predicate
operators in terms of them.
definition true-upred = (Orderings.top :: '<math>\alpha upred)
definition false-upred = (Orderings.bot :: '\alpha upred)
definition conj-upred = (Lattices.inf :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)
definition disj-upred = (Lattices.sup :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)
```

abbreviation Conj-upred :: '\alpha upred set \Rightarrow '\alpha upred (\Lambda - [900] 900) where

definition not-upred = $(uminus :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred)$

 $\bigwedge A \equiv | | A$

definition diff-upred = (minus :: ' α upred \Rightarrow ' α upred \Rightarrow ' α upred)

```
\bigvee A \equiv \prod A
```

notation

```
conj-upred (infixr \wedge_p 35) and disj-upred (infixr \vee_p 30)
```

Perhaps slightly confusingly, the UTP infimum is the HOL supremum and vice-versa. This is because, again, in UTP the lattice is inverted due to the definition of refinement and a desire to have miracle at the top, and abort at the bottom.

```
lift-definition UINF :: ('a \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b::complete-lattice, '\alpha) \ uexpr) \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr is \lambda \ P \ F \ b. Sup \{ \llbracket F \ x \rrbracket_e b \mid x . \ \llbracket P \ x \rrbracket_e b \}.
```

lift-definition $USUP :: ('a \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b::complete-lattice, '\alpha) \ uexpr) \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr$ is $\lambda \ P \ F \ b$. Inf $\{ \llbracket F \ x \rrbracket_e b \mid x . \ \llbracket P \ x \rrbracket_e b \}$.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{declare} \ \ UINF\text{-}def \ \ [upred\text{-}defs] \\ \textbf{declare} \ \ \ USUP\text{-}def \ \ [upred\text{-}defs] \end{array}
```

syntax

```
(\bigwedge - \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
(\bigsqcup - \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
-USup
            :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic
-USup
            :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic
-USup-mem :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\bigsqcup - \in - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
             :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (\land - \mid - \cdot - [0, 0, 10] \ 10)
             :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\bigcup - | - \cdot - [0, 0, 10] 10)
-USUP
                                                   (\bigvee - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
-UInf
           :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic
                                                    (   - \cdot - [0, 10] 10 )
           :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic
-UInf-mem :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (\bigvee - \in -\cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
:: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \quad (\bigvee - | - \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
-UINF
- UINF
```

translations

```
\begin{array}{lll} & | P \cdot F => CONST\ UINF\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)\ (\lambda\ x.\ F) \\ & | x \cdot F & == | x \mid true \cdot F \\ & | x \cdot F & == | x \mid true \cdot F \\ & | x \in A \cdot F => | x \mid «x \gg \in_u «A \gg \cdot F \\ & | x \in A \cdot F <= | x \mid «y \gg \in_u «A \gg \cdot F \\ & | x \mid P \cdot F <= CONST\ UINF\ (\lambda\ y.\ P)\ (\lambda\ x.\ F) \\ & | x \mid P \cdot F(x) <= CONST\ UINF\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)\ F \\ & | x \mid P \cdot F => CONST\ USUP\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)\ (\lambda\ x.\ F) \\ & | x \cdot F & == | x \mid true \cdot F \\ & | x \in A \cdot F => | x \mid «x \gg \in_u «A \gg \cdot F \\ & | x \in A \cdot F <= | x \mid «y \gg \in_u «A \gg \cdot F \\ & | x \mid P \cdot F <= CONST\ USUP\ (\lambda\ y.\ P)\ (\lambda\ x.\ F) \\ & | x \mid P \cdot F(x) <= CONST\ USUP\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)\ F \end{array}
```

We also define the other predicate operators

lift-definition $impl::'\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred$ is $\lambda \ P \ Q \ A. \ P \ A \longrightarrow Q \ A$.

lift-definition iff-upred ::' α upred \Rightarrow ' α upred \Rightarrow ' α upred is λ P Q A. P A \longleftrightarrow Q A .

lift-definition $ex :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred$ **is**

```
\lambda \ x \ P \ b. \ (\exists \ v. \ P(put_x \ b \ v)).
lift-definition shEx :: ['\beta \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred] \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred is
\lambda P A. \exists x. (P x) A.
lift-definition all :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred is
\lambda \ x \ P \ b. \ (\forall \ v. \ P(put_x \ b \ v)).
lift-definition shAll :: ['\beta \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred] \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \ \mathbf{is}
\lambda P A. \forall x. (P x) A.
We have to add a u subscript to the closure operator as I don't want to override the syntax for
HOL lists (we'll be using them later).
lift-definition closure::'\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred ([-]<sub>u</sub>) is
\lambda P A. \forall A'. P A'.
lift-definition taut :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow bool ('-')
is \lambda P. \forall A. P A.
— Configuration for UTP tactics (see utp-tactics).
update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms — Reread rep-eq theorems.
declare utp-pred.taut.rep-eq [upred-defs]
adhoc-overloading
  utrue true-upred and
  ufalse false-upred and
  unot not-upred and
  uconj conj-upred and
  udisj disj-upred and
  uimpl impl and
  uiff iff-upred and
  uex ex and
  uall all and
  ushEx shEx and
  ushAll \ shAll
syntax
               :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (infixl \neq_u 50)
  -uneq
                 ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a \ set, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow (bool, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ (infix \notin_u 50)
  -unmem
translations
  x \neq_u y == CONST \ unot \ (x =_u y)
 x \notin_{u} A == CONST \ unot \ (CONST \ bop \ (op \in) \ x \ A)
declare true-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare false-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare conj-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare disj-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare not-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare diff-upred-def [upred-defs]
declare subst-upd-uvar-def [upred-defs]
declare unrest-usubst-def [upred-defs]
```

declare uexpr-defs [upred-defs]

```
lemma true-alt-def: true = «True»
  by (pred-auto)
lemma false-alt-def: false = «False»
  by (pred-auto)
declare true-alt-def [THEN sym,lit-simps]
declare false-alt-def [THEN sym,lit-simps]
We set up syntax for the conditional. This is effectively an infix version of if-then-else where
the condition is in the middle.
abbreviation cond ::
  ('a,'\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow ('a,'\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a,'\alpha) \ uexpr
  ((\textit{3-} \triangleleft \textit{-} \triangleright / \textit{-}) \ [\textit{52}, \textit{0}, \textit{53}] \ \textit{52})
where P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q \equiv trop \ If \ b \ P \ Q
          Unrestriction Laws
{f lemma} unrest-allE:
  \llbracket \&\Sigma \sharp P; P = true \Longrightarrow Q; P = false \Longrightarrow Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-true [unrest]: x \sharp true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-false [unrest]: x \sharp false
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-conj [unrest]: [x \sharp (P :: '\alpha \ upred); x \sharp Q] \implies x \sharp P \land Q
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-disj [unrest]: \llbracket x \sharp (P :: '\alpha \ upred); x \sharp Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp P \lor Q
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-UINF [unrest]:
  \llbracket \ (\bigwedge \ i. \ x \ \sharp \ P(i)); \ (\bigwedge \ i. \ x \ \sharp \ Q(i)) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (\bigcap \ i \ | \ P(i) \cdot \ Q(i))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-USUP [unrest]:
  \llbracket \ (\bigwedge \ i. \ x \ \sharp \ P(i)); \ (\bigwedge \ i. \ x \ \sharp \ Q(i)) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (\bigsqcup \ i \ | \ P(i) \cdot Q(i))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-UINF-mem [unrest]:
  \llbracket (\bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow x \sharp P(i)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp (\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i))
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{pred-simp},\ \mathit{metis})
lemma unrest-USUP-mem [unrest]:
  \llbracket (\bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ P(i)) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (\bigsqcup \ i \in A \cdot P(i))
  by (pred-simp, metis)
```

lemma unrest-impl [unrest]: $[x \sharp P; x \sharp Q] \implies x \sharp P \Rightarrow Q$

lemma unrest-iff [unrest]: $[x \sharp P; x \sharp Q] \implies x \sharp P \Leftrightarrow Q$

by (pred-auto)

```
by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-not [unrest]: x \sharp (P :: '\alpha \ upred) \Longrightarrow x \sharp (\neg P)
  by (pred-auto)
The sublens proviso can be thought of as membership below.
lemma unrest-ex-in [unrest]:
  \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ y;\ x\subseteq_L y\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ (\exists\ y\cdot P)
 by (pred-auto)
declare sublens-refl [simp]
declare lens-plus-ub [simp]
declare lens-plus-right-sublens [simp]
declare comp-wb-lens [simp]
declare comp-mwb-lens [simp]
declare plus-mwb-lens [simp]
lemma unrest-ex-diff [unrest]:
 assumes x\bowtie y y \sharp P
 shows y \sharp (\exists x \cdot P)
 using assms
 apply (pred-auto)
 using lens-indep-comm apply fastforce+
done
lemma unrest-all-in [unrest]:
  \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ y;\ x\subseteq_L y\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\ (\forall\ y\cdot P)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-all-diff [unrest]:
 assumes x \bowtie y y \sharp P
 shows y \sharp (\forall x \cdot P)
 using assms
 by (pred-simp, simp-all add: lens-indep-comm)
lemma unrest-shEx [unrest]:
 assumes \bigwedge y. x \sharp P(y)
 shows x \sharp (\exists y \cdot P(y))
 using assms by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-shAll [unrest]:
 assumes \bigwedge y. x \sharp P(y)
 shows x \sharp (\forall y \cdot P(y))
 using assms by (pred-auto)
lemma unrest-closure [unrest]:
  x \sharp [P]_u
 by (pred-auto)
        Substitution Laws
Substitution is monotone
```

7.4

```
lemma subst-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow (\sigma \dagger P) \sqsubseteq (\sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-auto)
```

```
lemma subst-true [usubst]: \sigma \dagger true = true
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-false [usubst]: \sigma † false = false
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-not [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\neg P) = (\neg \sigma \dagger P)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-impl [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \Rightarrow \sigma \dagger Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-iff [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \Leftrightarrow Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \Leftrightarrow \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-disj [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \lor Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \lor \sigma \dagger Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-conj [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \land Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \land \sigma \dagger Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-sup [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \sqcap Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \sqcap \sigma \dagger Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-inf [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (P \sqcup Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \sqcup \sigma \dagger Q)
 by (pred-auto)
by (pred-auto)
by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-closure [usubst]: \sigma \dagger [P]_u = [P]_u
  by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-shEx [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\exists x \cdot P(x)) = (\exists x \cdot \sigma \dagger P(x))
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-shAll [usubst]: \sigma \dagger (\forall x \cdot P(x)) = (\forall x \cdot \sigma \dagger P(x))
 by (pred-auto)
TODO: Generalise the quantifier substitution laws to n-ary substitutions
lemma subst-ex-same [usubst]:
  mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \dagger (\exists x \cdot P) = \sigma \dagger (\exists x \cdot P)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma subst-ex-indep [usubst]:
  assumes x \bowtie y y \sharp v
 shows (\exists y \cdot P) \llbracket v/x \rrbracket = (\exists y \cdot P \llbracket v/x \rrbracket)
  using assms
 apply (pred-auto)
 using lens-indep-comm apply fastforce+
done
```

```
lemma subst-ex-unrest [usubst]: x \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (\exists \ x \cdot P) = (\exists \ x \cdot \sigma \dagger \ P) by (pred-auto)

lemma subst-all-same [usubst]: mwb-lens \ x \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \dagger (\forall \ x \cdot P) = \sigma \dagger (\forall \ x \cdot P) by (simp \ add: \ id-subst \ subst-unrest \ unrest-all-in)

lemma subst-all-indep [usubst]: assumes \ x \bowtie y \ y \ \sharp v shows \ (\forall \ y \cdot P)[\![v/x]\!] = \ (\forall \ y \cdot P[\![v/x]\!]) using \ assms by (pred-simp, simp-all \ add: lens-indep-comm)
```

8 Predicate Calculus Laws

theory utp-pred-laws imports utp-pred begin

8.1 Propositional Logic

Showing that predicates form a Boolean Algebra (under the predicate operators as opposed to the lattice operators) gives us many useful laws.

```
interpretation boolean-algebra diff-upred not-upred conj-upred op \leq op <
  disj-upred false-upred true-upred
  by (unfold-locales; pred-auto)
lemma taut-true [simp]: 'true'
 by (pred-auto)
lemma taut-false [simp]: 'false' = False
 by (pred-auto)
lemma upred-eval-taut:
  {}^{{}^{\backprime}}\!P[\![\ll\!b \gg\!/\&\Sigma]\!]\,{}^{\backprime}=[\![P]\!]_eb
 by (pred-auto)
lemma refBy-order: P \sqsubseteq Q = Q \Rightarrow P'
 by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-idem [simp]: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land P) = P
 by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-idem [simp]: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor P) = P
 \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto)
lemma conj-comm: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q) = (Q \land P)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-comm: ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q) = (Q \lor P)
 by (pred-auto)
```

```
lemma conj-subst: P = R \Longrightarrow ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q) = (R \land Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-subst: P = R \Longrightarrow ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q) = (R \lor Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-assoc:(((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land Q) \land S) = (P \land (Q \land S))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-assoc:(((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q) \lor S) = (P \lor (Q \lor S))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-disj-abs:((P::'\alpha upred) \land (P \lor Q)) = P
  by (pred-auto)
lemma disj\text{-}conj\text{-}abs:((P::'\alpha\ upred)\ \lor\ (P\ \land\ Q))=P
  by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-disj-distr:((P::'\alpha upred) \land (Q \lor R)) = ((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-conj-distr:((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor (Q \land R)) = ((P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma true-disj-zero [simp]:
  (P \lor true) = true (true \lor P) = true
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma true-conj-zero [simp]:
  (P \wedge false) = false \ (false \wedge P) = false
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma imp-vacuous [simp]: (false \Rightarrow u) = true
  \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto)
lemma imp-true [simp]: (p \Rightarrow true) = true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma true-imp [simp]: (true \Rightarrow p) = p
  by (pred-auto)
lemma impl-mp1 [simp]: (P \land (P \Rightarrow Q)) = (P \land Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma impl-mp2 [simp]: ((P \Rightarrow Q) \land P) = (Q \land P)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma impl-adjoin: ((P \Rightarrow Q) \land R) = ((P \land R \Rightarrow Q \land R) \land R)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma impl-refine-intro:
  \llbracket Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1; P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P_1 \Rightarrow P_2) \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_2)
```

by (pred-auto)

```
lemma spec-refine:
```

$$Q \sqsubseteq (P \land R) \Longrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q) \sqsubseteq R$$

by $(rel\text{-}auto)$

lemma
$$impl\text{-}disjI$$
: $\llbracket \ `P \Rightarrow R'; \ `Q \Rightarrow R' \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \ `(P \lor Q) \Rightarrow R'$ by $(rel\text{-}auto)$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{conditional-iff}\colon$

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) = (P \Rightarrow R) \longleftrightarrow P \Rightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

by $(pred-auto)$

lemma
$$p$$
-and-not- p $[simp]$: $(P \land \neg P) = false$ by $(pred$ -auto $)$

lemma
$$p$$
-or-not- p $[simp]$: $(P \lor \neg P) = true$ **by** $(pred-auto)$

lemma
$$p$$
- imp - p $[simp]$: $(P \Rightarrow P) = true$ **by** $(pred-auto)$

lemma
$$p$$
-iff- p [$simp$]: $(P \Leftrightarrow P) = true$ **by** $(pred$ - $auto)$

lemma *p-imp-false* [
$$simp$$
]: $(P \Rightarrow false) = (\neg P)$ by $(pred-auto)$

lemma not-conj-deMorgans [simp]:
$$(\neg ((P :: '\alpha \ upred) \land Q)) = ((\neg P) \lor (\neg Q))$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma not-disj-deMorgans [simp]:
$$(\neg ((P::'\alpha \ upred) \lor Q)) = ((\neg P) \land (\neg Q))$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma conj-disj-not-abs [simp]:
$$((P::'\alpha \ upred) \land ((\neg P) \lor Q)) = (P \land Q)$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma subsumption1:

$${}^{\prime}P \Rightarrow Q^{\prime} \Longrightarrow (P \vee Q) = Q$$

by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma subsumption 2:

$${}^{\iota}Q \Rightarrow P^{\iota} \Longrightarrow (P \vee Q) = P$$

by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma neg-conj-cancel1:
$$(\neg P \land (P \lor Q)) = (\neg P \land Q :: '\alpha \ upred)$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma neg-conj-cancel2:
$$(\neg Q \land (P \lor Q)) = (\neg Q \land P :: '\alpha \ upred)$$
 by $(pred-auto)$

lemma double-negation [simp]:
$$(\neg \neg (P::'\alpha \ upred)) = P$$

by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma true-not-false [simp]: true
$$\neq$$
 false false \neq true **by** (pred-auto)+

```
lemma closure-conj-distr: ([P]_u \wedge [Q]_u) = [P \wedge Q]_u
 by (pred-auto)
lemma closure-imp-distr: '[P \Rightarrow Q]_u \Rightarrow [P]_u \Rightarrow [Q]_u'
 by (pred-auto)
lemma true-iff [simp]: (P \Leftrightarrow true) = P
 by (pred-auto)
lemma taut-iff-eq:
  P \Leftrightarrow Q' \longleftrightarrow (P = Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma impl-alt-def: (P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg P \lor Q)
 by (pred-auto)
8.2
        Lattice laws
```

by (pred-auto)

by (pred-auto)

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{uinf-or} \colon
  fixes P Q :: '\alpha \ upred
  shows (P \sqcap Q) = (P \vee Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma usup-and:
  fixes P Q :: '\alpha \ upred
  shows (P \sqcup Q) = (P \land Q)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma UINF-alt-def:
  (\prod i \mid A(i) \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \cdot A(i) \land P(i))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma USUP-true [simp]: (\bigsqcup P \mid F(P) \cdot true) = true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma UINF-mem-UNIV [simp]: (\bigcap x \in UNIV \cdot P(x)) = (\bigcap x \cdot P(x))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma USUP-mem-UNIV [simp]: (| | x \in UNIV \cdot P(x)) = (| | x \cdot P(x))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma USUP-false [simp]: (   i \cdot false ) = false
  by (pred\text{-}simp)
lemma UINF-true [simp]: (   i \cdot true ) = true
  by (pred\text{-}simp)
lemma UINF-mem-true [simp]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\bigcap i \in A \cdot true) = true
```

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \ \textit{UINF-cong-eq:} \\ \llbracket \ \bigwedge \ x. \ P_1(x) = P_2(x); \ \bigwedge \ x. \ `P_1(x) \Rightarrow Q_1(x) =_u \ Q_2(x)` \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (\bigcap \ x \mid P_1(x) \cdot Q_1(x)) = (\bigcap \ x \mid P_2(x) \cdot Q_2(x)) \end{array}$$

```
by (unfold UINF-def, pred-simp, metis)
lemma UINF-as-Sup: (   P \in \mathcal{P} \cdot P ) =   \mathcal{P} 
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (rule\ cong[of\ Sup])
 \mathbf{apply} \,\, (\mathit{auto})
done
lemma UINF-as-Sup-collect: (\bigcap P \in A \cdot f(P)) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot f(P))
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
done
lemma UINF-as-Sup-collect': ( \bigcap P \cdot f(P) ) = ( \bigcap P \cdot f(P) )
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (simp add: full-SetCompr-eq)
done
lemma UINF-as-Sup-image: ( \bigcap P \mid \ll P \gg \in_u \ll A \gg \cdot f(P) ) = \bigcap (f \cdot A)
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (rule\ cong[of\ Sup])
 apply (auto)
done
lemma USUP-as-Inf: (| | P \in \mathcal{P} \cdot P) = | | \mathcal{P}
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Inf-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (rule cong[of Inf])
 apply (auto)
done
lemma USUP-as-Inf-collect: (| P \in A \cdot f(P)) = (| P \in A \cdot f(P))
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
done
lemma USUP-as-Inf-collect': (|P \cdot f(P)| = (|P \cdot f(P)|)
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Sup-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (simp add: full-SetCompr-eq)
done
lemma USUP-as-Inf-image: (| | P \in \mathcal{P} \cdot f(P)) = | | (f \cdot \mathcal{P})
 apply (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq Inf-uexpr-def)
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (rule cong[of Inf])
 apply (auto)
done
lemma USUP-image-eq [simp]: USUP (\lambda i. \ll i \gg \in_u \ll f \land A \gg) g = ( \bigsqcup i \in A \cdot g(f(i)) )
```

```
by (pred-simp, rule-tac cong[of Inf Inf], auto)
lemma UINF-image-eq [simp]: UINF (\lambda i. \ll i \gg \in_u \ll f \land A \gg) g = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot g(f(i)))
 by (pred-simp, rule-tac cong[of Sup Sup], auto)
by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym] usubst setcompr-eq-image)
by (pred-auto)
by (pred-auto)
by (pred-auto)
lemma UINF-insert [simp]: (\bigcap i \in insert \ x \ xs \cdot P(i)) = (P(x) \cap (\bigcap i \in xs \cdot P(i)))
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (subst Sup-insert[THEN sym])
 apply (rule-tac cong[of Sup Sup])
 apply (auto)
done
lemma USUP-empty [simp]: (| | i \in \{\} \cdot P(i)) = true
 by (pred-auto)
lemma USUP-insert [simp]: (| | i \in insert \ x \ xs \cdot P(i)) = (P(x) \sqcup (| | i \in xs \cdot P(i)))
 apply (pred-simp)
 apply (subst Inf-insert[THEN sym])
 apply (rule-tac cong[of Inf Inf])
 apply (auto)
done
lemma conj-UINF-dist:
 (P \wedge (    \bigcirc Q \in S \cdot F(Q))) = (    \bigcirc Q \in S \cdot P \wedge F(Q))
 by (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq, pred-auto)
lemma disj-UINF-dist:
 S \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (P \vee (\textstyle \bigcap \ Q \in S \cdot F(Q))) = (\textstyle \bigcap \ Q \in S \cdot P \vee F(Q))
 by (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq, pred-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{conj}\text{-}\mathit{USUP}\text{-}\mathit{dist}\text{:}
 S \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (P \land (\bigsqcup Q \in S \cdot F(Q))) = (\bigsqcup Q \in S \cdot P \land F(Q))
 by (subst uexpr-eq-iff, auto simp add: conj-upred-def USUP.rep-eq inf-uexpr.rep-eq bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq)
lemma USUP-conj-USUP: ((| P \in A \cdot F(P)) \land (| P \in A \cdot G(P))) = (| P \in A \cdot F(P) \land G(P))
 by (simp add: upred-defs bop.rep-eq lit.rep-eq, pred-auto)
lemma UINF-all-cong:
 assumes \bigwedge P. F(P) = G(P)
 shows (   P \cdot F(P) ) = (  P \cdot G(P) )
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect assms)
lemma UINF-cong:
```

```
assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) = G(P)
 shows ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P) ) = ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot G(P) )
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect assms)
lemma USUP-cong:
 assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow F(P) = G(P)
 by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect assms)
lemma UINF-subset-mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow (\bigcap P \in B \cdot F(P)) \sqsubseteq (\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P))
 by (simp add: SUP-subset-mono UINF-as-Sup-collect)
lemma USUP-subset-mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot F(P)) \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup P \in B \cdot F(P))
 by (simp add: INF-superset-mono USUP-as-Inf-collect)
by (pred-auto)
lemma UINF-all-nats [simp]:
 fixes P :: nat \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred
 by (pred-auto)
lemma UINF-refines':
 assumes \bigwedge i. P \sqsubseteq Q(i)
 shows P \sqsubseteq (\prod i \cdot Q(i))
 using assms
 apply (rel-auto) using Sup-le-iff by fastforce
       Equality laws
8.3
lemma eq-upred-reft [simp]: (x =_u x) = true
 by (pred-auto)
lemma eq-upred-sym: (x =_u y) = (y =_u x)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma eq-cong-left:
 assumes vwb-lens x \ \$x \ \sharp \ Q \ \$x' \ \sharp \ Q \ \$x \ \sharp \ R \ \$x' \ \sharp \ R
 shows ((\$x' =_u \$x \land Q) = (\$x' =_u \$x \land R)) \longleftrightarrow (Q = R)
 using assms
 by (pred\text{-}simp, (meson\ mwb\text{-}lens\text{-}def\ vwb\text{-}lens\text{-}mwb\ weak\text{-}lens\text{-}def)+)
lemma conj-eq-in-var-subst:
 fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P \land \$x =_u v) = (P[v/\$x] \land \$x =_u v)
 using assms
 by (pred-simp, (metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)+)
lemma conj-eq-out-var-subst:
 fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P \land \$x' =_u v) = (P[v/\$x'] \land \$x' =_u v)
 using assms
 by (pred\text{-}simp, (metis\ vwb\text{-}lens\text{-}wb\ wb\text{-}lens.get\text{-}put)+)
```

```
lemma conj-pos-var-subst:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (\$x \land Q) = (\$x \land Q[true/\$x])
 using assms
 by (pred-auto, metis (full-types) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put, metis (full-types) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
lemma conj-neg-var-subst:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (\neg \$x \land Q) = (\neg \$x \land Q[false/\$x])
 using assms
 by (pred-auto, metis (full-types) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put, metis (full-types) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
lemma upred-eq-true [simp]: (p =_u true) = p
 by (pred-auto)
lemma upred-eq-false [simp]: (p =_u false) = (\neg p)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma upred-true-eq [simp]: (true =_u p) = p
 by (pred-auto)
lemma upred-false-eq [simp]: (false =_u p) = (\neg p)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-var-subst:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P \wedge var x =_u v) = (P[v/x] \wedge var x =_u v)
 using assms
 by (pred-simp, (metis (full-types) vwb-lens-def wb-lens.get-put)+)
lemma le-pred-refl [simp]:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ x::('a::preorder,\ '\alpha)\ uexpr
 shows (x \leq_u x) = true
 \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto)
       HOL Variable Quantifiers
lemma shEx-unbound [simp]: (\exists x \cdot P) = P
 by (pred-auto)
lemma shEx-bool [simp]: shEx P = (P True \lor P False)
 by (pred-simp, metis (full-types))
lemma shEx-commute: (\exists x \cdot \exists y \cdot P x y) = (\exists y \cdot \exists x \cdot P x y)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma shEx-cong: \llbracket \bigwedge x. \ P \ x = Q \ x \ \rrbracket \implies shEx \ P = shEx \ Q
 by (pred-auto)
lemma shAll-unbound [simp]: (\forall x \cdot P) = P
 by (pred-auto)
lemma shAll-bool [simp]: shAll P = (P True \land P False)
 by (pred-simp, metis (full-types))
```

```
lemma shAll\text{-}cong: [\![ \land x. \ P \ x = Q \ x \ ]\!] \Longrightarrow shAll \ P = shAll \ Q
 by (pred-auto)
Quantifier lifting
named-theorems uquant-lift
lemma shEx-lift-conj-1 [uquant-lift]:
 ((\exists x \cdot P(x)) \land Q) = (\exists x \cdot P(x) \land Q)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma shEx-lift-conj-2 [uquant-lift]:
  (P \wedge (\exists x \cdot Q(x))) = (\exists x \cdot P \wedge Q(x))
 by (pred-auto)
8.5
        Case Splitting
\mathbf{lemma}\ eq\text{-}split\text{-}subst:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P = Q) \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. P[\![\ll v \gg /x]\!] = Q[\![\ll v \gg /x]\!])
  using assms
 by (pred-auto, metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.source-stability)
\mathbf{lemma}\ eq\text{-}split\text{-}substI:
  assumes vwb-lens x \wedge v. P[\![ \ll v \gg /x ]\!] = Q[\![ \ll v \gg /x ]\!]
 shows P = Q
 using assms(1) assms(2) eq-split-subst by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ taut\text{-}split\text{-}subst:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows 'P' \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. 'P[\![\ll v \gg /x]\!]')
  using assms
 by (pred-auto, metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.source-stability)
lemma eq-split:
  assumes P \Rightarrow Q' Q \Rightarrow P'
 shows P = Q
 using assms
 by (pred-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ bool\text{-}eq\text{-}splitI:
 assumes vwb-lens x P[true/x] = Q[true/x] P[false/x] = Q[false/x]
 shows P = Q
 by (metis (full-types) assms eq-split-subst false-alt-def true-alt-def)
lemma subst-bool-split:
  assumes vwb-lens x
 shows P' = (P[false/x] \land P[true/x])'
proof -
  from assms have 'P' = (\forall v. 'P[\ll v \gg /x]')
    by (subst\ taut\text{-}split\text{-}subst[of\ x],\ auto)
  also have ... = (P \llbracket \ll True \gg /x \rrbracket \land P \llbracket \ll False \gg /x \rrbracket \land)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  also have ... = (P[false/x] \land P[true/x])
    by (pred-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-eq-replace}\colon
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows (p[u/x] \land u =_u v) = (p[v/x] \land u =_u v)
  by (pred-auto)
8.6
         UTP Quantifiers
lemma one-point:
  assumes mwb-lens x x <math>\sharp v
  shows (\exists x \cdot P \wedge var \ x =_u v) = P[v/x]
  using assms
  by (pred-auto)
lemma exists-twice: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (\exists x \cdot \exists x \cdot P) = (\exists x \cdot P)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma all-twice: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (\forall x \cdot \forall x \cdot P) = (\forall x \cdot P)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma exists-sub: [ mwb-lens y; x\subseteq_L y ] \Longrightarrow (\exists x\cdot\exists y\cdot P)=(\exists y\cdot P)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma all-sub: \llbracket mwb\text{-lens } y; x \subseteq_L y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot P) = (\forall y \cdot P)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma ex-commute:
  assumes x \bowtie y
  shows (\exists x \cdot \exists y \cdot P) = (\exists y \cdot \exists x \cdot P)
  using assms
  apply (pred-auto)
  using lens-indep-comm apply fastforce+
done
lemma all-commute:
  assumes x \bowtie y
  shows (\forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot P) = (\forall y \cdot \forall x \cdot P)
  using assms
  apply (pred-auto)
  using lens-indep-comm apply fastforce+
done
lemma ex-equiv:
  assumes x \approx_L y
  shows (\exists x \cdot P) = (\exists y \cdot P)
  using assms
  by (pred-simp, metis (no-types, lifting) lens.select-convs(2))
lemma all-equiv:
  assumes x \approx_L y
  shows (\forall x \cdot P) = (\forall y \cdot P)
  using assms
  by (pred\text{-}simp, metis (no-types, lifting) lens.select-convs(2))
lemma ex-zero:
```

 $(\exists \& \emptyset \cdot P) = P$

by (pred-auto)

lemma all-zero:

$$(\forall \& \emptyset \cdot P) = P$$
by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma ex-plus:

$$(\exists \ y; x \cdot \hat{P}) = (\exists \ x \cdot \exists \ y \cdot P)$$

by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma all-plus:

$$(\forall \ y; x \cdot P) = (\forall \ x \cdot \forall \ y \cdot P)$$
by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma closure-all:

$$[P]_u = (\forall \& \Sigma \cdot P)$$

by (pred-auto)

lemma unrest-as-exists:

$$vwb$$
-lens $x \Longrightarrow (x \sharp P) \longleftrightarrow ((\exists x \cdot P) = P)$
by $(pred$ -simp, $metis\ vwb$ -lens. put -eq)

lemma ex-mono:
$$P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow (\exists \ x \cdot P) \sqsubseteq (\exists \ x \cdot Q)$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma ex-weakens: wb-lens
$$x \Longrightarrow (\exists x \cdot P) \sqsubseteq P$$
 by (pred-simp, metis wb-lens.get-put)

lemma all-mono:
$$P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow (\forall x \cdot P) \sqsubseteq (\forall x \cdot Q)$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma all-strengthens: wb-lens
$$x \Longrightarrow P \sqsubseteq (\forall x \cdot P)$$
 by (pred-simp, metis wb-lens.get-put)

lemma ex-unrest:
$$x \sharp P \Longrightarrow (\exists x \cdot P) = P$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma all-unrest:
$$x \sharp P \Longrightarrow (\forall x \cdot P) = P$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma
$$not$$
- ex - not : $\neg (\exists x \cdot \neg P) = (\forall x \cdot P)$
by $(pred$ - $auto)$

lemma not-all-not:
$$\neg (\forall x \cdot \neg P) = (\exists x \cdot P)$$

by (pred-auto)

8.7 Conditional laws

lemma cond-def:

$$(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \stackrel{?}{=} ((b \land P) \lor ((\neg b) \land Q))$$

by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma
$$cond\text{-}idem:(P \triangleleft b \triangleright P) = P$$
 by $(pred\text{-}auto)$

lemma cond-symm:
$$(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (Q \triangleleft \neg b \triangleright P)$$
 by $(pred-auto)$

```
lemma cond-assoc: ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright R) = (P \triangleleft b \land c \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-distr: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-unit-T [simp]:(P \triangleleft true \triangleright Q) = P by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-unit-F [simp]:(P \triangleleft false \triangleright Q) = Q by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-conj-not: ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \land (\neg b)) = (Q \land (\neg b))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma cond-and-T-integrate:
   ((P \land b) \lor (Q \triangleleft b \rhd R)) = ((P \lor Q) \triangleleft b \rhd R)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-L6: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright R)) = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-L7: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q)) = (P \triangleleft b \vee c \triangleright Q) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-and-distr: ((P \land Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \land S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \land (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-or-distr: ((P \lor Q) \triangleleft b \rhd (R \lor S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \rhd R) \lor (Q \triangleleft b \rhd S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-imp-distr:
((P \Rightarrow Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \Rightarrow S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \Rightarrow (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-eq-distr:
((P \Leftrightarrow Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (R \Leftrightarrow S)) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright R) \Leftrightarrow (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-conj-distr:(P \land (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright S)) = ((P \land Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \land S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-disj-distr:(P \lor (Q \triangleleft b \rhd S)) = ((P \lor Q) \triangleleft b \rhd (P \lor S)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-neg: \neg (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = ((\neg P) \triangleleft b \triangleright (\neg Q)) by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-conj: P \triangleleft b \land c \triangleright Q = (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright Q
  by (pred-auto)
lemma spec-cond-dist: (P \Rightarrow (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright R)) = ((P \Rightarrow Q) \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \Rightarrow R))
  by (pred-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \ cond\text{-} \ USUP\text{-} \ \ dist: ( \bigsqcup \ P \in S \ \cdot \ F(P)) \ \triangleleft \ b \ \triangleright ( \bigsqcup \ P \in S \ \cdot \ G(P)) = ( \bigsqcup \ P \in S \ \cdot \ F(P) \ \triangleleft \ b \ \triangleright \ G(P))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-UINF-dist: (\bigcap P \in S \cdot F(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright (\bigcap P \in S \cdot G(P)) = (\bigcap P \in S \cdot F(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright G(P))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma cond-var-subst-left:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows (P[true/x] \triangleleft var x \triangleright Q) = (P \triangleleft var x \triangleright Q)
  using assms by (pred-auto, metis (full-types) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
lemma cond-var-subst-right:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows (P \triangleleft var x \triangleright Q[false/x]) = (P \triangleleft var x \triangleright Q)
```

```
using assms by (pred-auto, metis (full-types) vwb-lens.put-eq)

lemma cond-var-split:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (P\llbracket true/x \rrbracket \triangleleft var \ x \rhd P\llbracket false/x \rrbracket) = P
  by (rel-simp, (metis (full-types) vwb-lens.put-eq)+)

lemma cond-assign-subst:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (P \triangleleft utp\text{-expr.var} \ x =_u \ v \rhd Q) = (P\llbracket v/x \rrbracket \triangleleft utp\text{-expr.var} \ x =_u \ v \rhd Q)
  apply (rel-simp) using vwb-lens.put-eq by force
```

8.8 Refinement By Observation

Function to obtain the set of observations of a predicate

```
definition obs-upred :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha set (\llbracket - \rrbracket_o)
where [upred-defs]: \llbracket P \rrbracket_o = \{b. \ \llbracket P \rrbracket_e b\}

lemma obs-upred-refine-iff:
P \sqsubseteq Q \longleftrightarrow \llbracket Q \rrbracket_o \subseteq \llbracket P \rrbracket_o
by (pred-auto)
```

A refinement can be demonstrated by considering only the observations of the predicates which are relevant, i.e. not unrestricted, for them. In other words, if the alphabet can be split into two disjoint segments, x and y, and neither predicate refers to y then only x need be considered when checking for observations.

```
lemma refine-by-obs: assumes x\bowtie y bij-lens (x+_Ly) y \not p y \not q \{v. P[v] \mid v \mid Q \{v. P[v] \mid Q \{v.
```

8.9 Cylindric Algebra

lemma C5:

```
lemma C1: (\exists \ x \cdot false) = false
by (pred\text{-}auto)
lemma C2: wb\text{-}lens \ x \Longrightarrow (P \Rightarrow (\exists \ x \cdot P)')
by (pred\text{-}simp, metis \ wb\text{-}lens.get\text{-}put)
lemma C3: mwb\text{-}lens \ x \Longrightarrow (\exists \ x \cdot (P \land (\exists \ x \cdot Q))) = ((\exists \ x \cdot P) \land (\exists \ x \cdot Q))
by (pred\text{-}auto)
lemma C4a: x \approx_L y \Longrightarrow (\exists \ x \cdot \exists \ y \cdot P) = (\exists \ y \cdot \exists \ x \cdot P)
by (pred\text{-}simp, metis \ (no\text{-}types, lifting) \ lens.select\text{-}convs(2))+
lemma C4b: x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow (\exists \ x \cdot \exists \ y \cdot P) = (\exists \ y \cdot \exists \ x \cdot P)
using ex\text{-}commute by blast
```

```
fixes x:: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) shows (\&x =_u \&x) = true by (pred\text{-}auto)

lemma C6: assumes wb\text{-}lens \ x \ x \bowtie y \ x \bowtie z shows (\&y =_u \&z) = (\exists \ x \cdot \&y =_u \&x \wedge \&x =_u \&z) using assms by (pred\text{-}simp, (metis \ lens\text{-}indep\text{-}def)+)

lemma C7: assumes weak\text{-}lens \ x \ x \bowtie y shows ((\exists \ x \cdot \&x =_u \&y \wedge P) \wedge (\exists \ x \cdot \&x =_u \&y \wedge \neg P)) = false using assms by (pred\text{-}simp, simp \ add: \ lens\text{-}indep\text{-}sym)
```

9 Fixed-points and Recursion

```
theory utp-recursion
imports utp-pred-laws
begin
```

9.1 Fixed-point Laws

```
lemma mu-id: (\mu X \cdot X) = true
 by (simp add: antisym gfp-upperbound)
lemma mu\text{-}const: (\mu \ X \cdot P) = P
 by (simp add: gfp-const)
lemma nu-id: (\nu X \cdot X) = false
  by (meson lfp-lowerbound utp-pred-laws.bot.extremum-unique)
lemma nu\text{-}const: (\nu \ X \cdot P) = P
  by (simp add: lfp-const)
lemma mu-refine-intro:
 assumes (C \Rightarrow S) \sqsubseteq F(C \Rightarrow S) \ \ C \Rightarrow (\mu \ F \Leftrightarrow \nu \ F)
  shows (C \Rightarrow S) \sqsubseteq \mu F
  from assms have (C \Rightarrow S) \sqsubseteq \nu F
   by (simp add: lfp-lowerbound)
  with assms show ?thesis
   \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto)
qed
```

9.2 Obtaining Unique Fixed-points

Obtaining termination proofs via approximation chains. Theorems and proofs adapted from Chapter 2, page 63 of the UTP book [5].

```
type-synonym 'a chain = nat \Rightarrow 'a upred
```

```
definition chain :: 'a \ chain \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  chain Y = ((Y \ 0 = false) \land (\forall i. Y \ (Suc \ i) \sqsubseteq Y \ i))
lemma chain0 [simp]: chain Y \Longrightarrow Y 0 = false
 by (simp add:chain-def)
lemma chainI:
 assumes Y \theta = false \land i. Y (Suc i) \sqsubseteq Y i
 shows chain Y
 using assms by (auto simp add: chain-def)
lemma chainE:
 assumes chain Y \land i. [Y 0 = false; Y (Suc i) \sqsubseteq Y i] \Longrightarrow P
 shows P
 using assms by (simp add: chain-def)
lemma L274:
 assumes \forall n. ((E \ n \land_p X) = (E \ n \land Y))
 shows ( \bigcap (range\ E) \land X) = ( \bigcap (range\ E) \land Y)
 using assms by (pred-auto)
Constructive chains
\mathbf{definition}\ \mathit{constr}::
 ('a \ upred \Rightarrow 'a \ upred) \Rightarrow 'a \ chain \Rightarrow bool \ where
constr \ F \ E \longleftrightarrow chain \ E \ \land \ (\forall \ X \ n. \ ((F(X) \land E(n+1)) = (F(X \land E(n)) \land E \ (n+1))))
This lemma gives a way of showing that there is a unique fixed-point when the predicate function
can be built using a constructive function F over an approximation chain E
lemma chain-pred-terminates:
 assumes constr \ F \ E \ mono \ F
 shows ( \bigcap (range\ E) \land \mu\ F) = ( \bigcap (range\ E) \land \nu\ F)
 from assms have \forall n. (E n \land \mu F) = (E n \land \nu F)
 proof (rule-tac allI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ n
   from assms show (E \ n \land \mu \ F) = (E \ n \land \nu \ F)
   proof (induct n)
     case 0 thus ?case by (simp add: constr-def)
   next
     case (Suc \ n)
     note hyp = this
     thus ?case
     proof -
       have (E (n + 1) \land \mu F) = (E (n + 1) \land F (\mu F))
         using gfp-unfold[OF hyp(3), THEN sym] by (simp add: constr-def)
       also from hyp have ... = (E (n + 1) \land F (E n \land \mu F))
         by (metis conj-comm constr-def)
       also from hyp have ... = (E(n + 1) \land F(En \land \nu F))
       also from hyp have ... = (E (n + 1) \land \nu F)
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) conj-comm constr-def lfp-unfold)
       ultimately show ?thesis
         by simp
     qed
   qed
```

10 UTP Events

theory utp-event imports utp-pred begin

10.1 Events

Events of some type ' ϑ are just the elements of that type. type-synonym ' ϑ event = ' ϑ

10.2 Channels

Typed channels are modelled as functions. Below, 'a determines the channel type and ' ϑ the underlying event type. As with values, it is difficult to introduce channels as monomorphic types due to the fact that they can have arbitrary parametrisations in term of 'a. Applying a channel to an element of its type yields an event, as we may expect. Though this is not formalised here, we may also sensibly assume that all channel- representing functions are injective. Note: is there benefit in formalising this here?

```
type-synonym ('a, '\vartheta) chan = 'a \Rightarrow '\vartheta event
```

A downside of the approach is that the event type θ must be able to encode *all* events of a process model, and hence cannot be fixed upfront for a single channel or channel set. To do so, we actually require a notion of 'extensible' datatypes, in analogy to extensible record types. Another solution is to encode a notion of channel scoping that namely uses sum types to lift channel types into extensible ones, that is using channel-set specific scoping operators. This is a current work in progress.

10.2.1 Operators

The Z type of a channel corresponds to the entire carrier of the underlying HOL type of that channel. Strictly, the function is redundant but was added to mirror the mathematical account in [?]. (TODO: Ask Simon Foster for [?])

```
definition chan-type :: ('a, '\vartheta) chan \Rightarrow 'a set (\delta_u) where \delta_u c = UNIV
```

The next lifted function creates an expression that yields a channel event, from an expression on the channel type 'a.

definition chan-apply ::

```
('a, '\vartheta) \ chan \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('\vartheta \ event, '\alpha) \ uexpr \ ('(-\cdot/-')_u) where [upred-defs]: (c \cdot e)_u = \ll c \gg (e)_a
```

11 Alphabet Manipulation

theory utp-alphabet imports utp-pred utp-event begin

11.1 Preliminaries

Alphabets are simply types that characterise the state-space of an expression. Thus the Isabelle type system ensures that predicates cannot refer to variables not in the alphabet as this would be a type error. Often one would like to add or remove additional variables, for example if we wish to have a predicate which ranges only a smaller state-space, and then lift it into a predicate over a larger one. This is useful, for example, when dealing with relations which refer only to undashed variables (conditions) since we can use the type system to ensure well-formedness.

In this theory we will set up operators for extending and contracting and alphabet. We first set up a theorem attribute for alphabet laws and a tactic.

named-theorems alpha

```
method alpha-tac = (simp \ add: \ alpha \ unrest)?
```

11.2 Alphabet Extrusion

Alter an alphabet by application of a lens that demonstrates how the smaller alphabet (β) injects into the larger alphabet (α) . This changes the type of the expression so it is parametrised over the large alphabet. We do this by using the lens *get* function to extract the smaller state binding, and then apply this to the expression.

We call this "extrusion" rather than "extension" because if the extension lens is bijective then it does not extend the alphabet. Nevertheless, it does have an effect because the type will be different which can be useful when converting predicates with equivalent alphabets.

```
lift-definition aext :: ('a, '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('\beta, '\alpha) lens \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr (infixr \oplus_p 95) is \lambda P x b. P (get<sub>x</sub> b).
```

update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms

Next we prove some of the key laws. Extending an alphabet twice is equivalent to extending by the composition of the two lenses.

```
lemma aext-twice: (P \oplus_p a) \oplus_p b = P \oplus_p (a;_L b) by (pred\text{-}auto)
```

The bijective 1_L lens identifies the source and view types. Thus an alphabet extension using this has no effect.

```
lemma aext-id [alpha]: P \oplus_p 1_L = P by (pred-auto)
```

Literals do not depend on any variables, and thus applying an alphabet extension only alters the predicate's type, and not its valuation .

```
lemma aext-lit [alpha]: \ll v \gg \bigoplus_{p} a = \ll v \gg
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-zero [alpha]: \theta \oplus_p a = \theta
 by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-one [alpha]: 1 \oplus_p a = 1
 by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-numeral [alpha]: numeral n \oplus_p a = numeral n
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-true [alpha]: true \oplus_p a = true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-false [alpha]: false \oplus_p a = false
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-not [alpha]: (\neg P) \oplus_p x = (\neg (P \oplus_p x))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-and [alpha]: (P \land Q) \oplus_p x = (P \oplus_p x \land Q \oplus_p x)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-or [alpha]: (P \lor Q) \oplus_p x = (P \oplus_p x \lor Q \oplus_p x)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-imp [alpha]: (P \Rightarrow Q) \oplus_p x = (P \oplus_p x \Rightarrow Q \oplus_p x)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-iff [alpha]: (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \oplus_p x = (P \oplus_p x \Leftrightarrow Q \oplus_p x)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-shAll [alpha]: (\forall x \cdot P(x)) \oplus_p a = (\forall x \cdot P(x) \oplus_p a)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-event [alpha]: (c \cdot v)_u \oplus_p a = (c \cdot v \oplus_p a)_u
  by (pred-auto)
Alphabet extension distributes through the function liftings.
lemma aext-uop [alpha]: uop f u \oplus_p a = uop f (u \oplus_p a)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-bop [alpha]: bop f u v \oplus_p a = bop f (u \oplus_p a) (v \oplus_p a)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-trop [alpha]: trop f u v w \oplus_p a = trop f (u \oplus_p a) (v \oplus_p a) (w \oplus_p a)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma aext-qtop [alpha]: qtop f u v w x \oplus_p a = qtop f (u \oplus_p a) (v \oplus_p a) (w \oplus_p a) (x \oplus_p a)
  \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto)
lemma aext-plus [alpha]:
  (x + y) \oplus_p a = (x \oplus_p a) + (y \oplus_p a)
  by (pred-auto)
```

```
lemma aext-minus [alpha]:
(x-y) \oplus_p a = (x \oplus_p a) - (y \oplus_p a)
by (pred-auto)

lemma aext-uminus [simp]:
(-x) \oplus_p a = -(x \oplus_p a)
by (pred-auto)

lemma aext-times [alpha]:
(x*y) \oplus_p a = (x \oplus_p a) * (y \oplus_p a)
by (pred-auto)

lemma aext-divide [alpha]:
(x/y) \oplus_p a = (x \oplus_p a) / (y \oplus_p a)
by (pred-auto)
```

Extending a variable expression over x is equivalent to composing x with the alphabet, thus effectively yielding a variable whose source is the large alphabet.

```
lemma aext\text{-}var\ [alpha]:
var\ x\oplus_p a=var\ (x\ ;_L\ a)
by (pred\text{-}auto)

lemma aext\text{-}ulambda\ [alpha]: ((\lambda\ x\cdot P(x))\oplus_p a)=(\lambda\ x\cdot P(x)\oplus_p a)
by (pred\text{-}auto)

Alphabet extension is monotonic and continuous.

lemma aext\text{-}mono: P\sqsubseteq Q\Longrightarrow P\oplus_p a\sqsubseteq Q\oplus_p a
by (pred\text{-}auto)

lemma aext\text{-}cont\ [alpha]: vwb\text{-}lens\ a\Longrightarrow (\bigcap\ A)\oplus_p a=(\bigcap\ P\in A.\ P\oplus_p a)
by (pred\text{-}simp)
```

If a variable is unrestricted in a predicate, then the extended variable is unrestricted in the predicate with an alphabet extension.

```
lemma unrest-aext [unrest]:

\llbracket mwb\text{-lens } a; x \sharp p \rrbracket \implies unrest (x ;_L a) (p \oplus_p a)

by (transfer, simp add: lens-comp-def)
```

If a given variable (or alphabet) b is independent of the extension lens a, that is, it is outside the original state-space of p, then it follows that once p is extended by a then b cannot be restricted.

```
lemma unrest-aext-indep [unrest]: a \bowtie b \Longrightarrow b \sharp (p \oplus_p a) by pred-auto
```

11.3 Alphabet Restriction

Restrict an alphabet by application of a lens that demonstrates how the smaller alphabet (β) injects into the larger alphabet (α) . Unlike extension, this operation can lose information if the expressions refers to variables in the larger alphabet.

```
lift-definition arestr :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('\beta, '\alpha) lens \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta) uexpr (infixr \beta_p 90) is \lambda P x b. P (create<sub>x</sub> b).
```

update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms

```
lemma arestr-id [alpha]: P \upharpoonright_p 1_L = P
by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-aext [simp]: mwb-lens a \Longrightarrow (P \oplus_p a) \upharpoonright_p a = P
by (pred-auto)
```

If an expression's alphabet can be divided into two disjoint sections and the expression does not depend on the second half then restricting the expression to the first half is loss-less.

```
lemma aext-arestr [alpha]:
  assumes mwb-lens a bij-lens (a +_L b) a \bowtie b b \sharp P
  shows (P \upharpoonright_p a) \oplus_p a = P
  from assms(2) have 1_L \subseteq_L a +_L b
    by (simp add: bij-lens-equiv-id lens-equiv-def)
  with assms(1,3,4) show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: id-lens-def lens-plus-def sublens-def lens-comp-def prod.case-eq-if)
    apply (pred-simp)
    \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{metis\ lens-indep-comm\ mwb-lens-weak\ weak-lens.put-get})
  done
qed
lemma arestr-lit [alpha]: \ll v \gg \upharpoonright_p a = \ll v \gg
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-zero [alpha]: \theta \upharpoonright_p a = \theta
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-one [alpha]: 1 \upharpoonright_p a = 1
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-numeral [alpha]: numeral n \upharpoonright_p a = numeral n
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-var [alpha]:
  var x \upharpoonright_p a = var (x /_L a)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-true [alpha]: true \upharpoonright_p a = true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-false [alpha]: false \upharpoonright_p a = false
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-not [alpha]: (\neg P) \upharpoonright_p a = (\neg (P \upharpoonright_p a))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-and [alpha]: (P \wedge Q) \upharpoonright_p x = (P \upharpoonright_p x \wedge Q \upharpoonright_p x)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-or [alpha]: (P \lor Q) \upharpoonright_p x = (P \upharpoonright_p x \lor Q \upharpoonright_p x)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma arestr-imp [alpha]: (P \Rightarrow Q) \upharpoonright_p x = (P \upharpoonright_p x \Rightarrow Q \upharpoonright_p x)
```

```
by (pred-auto)
```

11.4 Alphabet Lens Laws

```
lemma alpha-in-var [alpha]: x ;_L fst_L = in\text{-}var \ x by (simp \ add: in\text{-}var\text{-}def)

lemma alpha-out-var [alpha]: x ;_L snd_L = out\text{-}var \ x by (simp \ add: out\text{-}var\text{-}def)

lemma in\text{-}var\text{-}prod\text{-}lens \ [alpha]:} wb\text{-}lens \ Y \Longrightarrow in\text{-}var \ x ;_L \ (X \times_L \ Y) = in\text{-}var \ (x ;_L \ X) by (simp \ add: in\text{-}var\text{-}def \ prod\text{-}as\text{-}plus \ lens\text{-}comp\text{-}assoc \ fst\text{-}lens\text{-}plus)}

lemma out\text{-}var\text{-}prod\text{-}lens \ [alpha]:} wb\text{-}lens \ X \Longrightarrow out\text{-}var \ x ;_L \ (X \times_L \ Y) = out\text{-}var \ (x ;_L \ Y) apply (simp \ add: out\text{-}var\text{-}def \ prod\text{-}as\text{-}plus \ lens\text{-}comp\text{-}assoc)} apply (subst \ snd\text{-}lens\text{-}plus) using comp\text{-}wb\text{-}lens \ fst\text{-}vwb\text{-}lens \ vwb\text{-}lens\text{-}wb \ apply \ blast \ apply \ (simp \ add: alpha-in\text{-}var \ alpha-out\text{-}var) apply (simp) done
```

11.5 Substitution Alphabet Extension

This allows us to extend the alphabet of a substitution, in a similar way to expressions.

```
definition subst-ext :: '\alpha \ usubst \Rightarrow ('\alpha \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow '\beta \ usubst \ (infix \oplus_s 65) where [upred-defs]: \sigma \oplus_s x = (\lambda \ s. \ put_x \ s \ (\sigma \ (get_x \ s)))

lemma id\text{-}subst\text{-}ext \ [usubst]:
wb\text{-}lens \ x \Longrightarrow id \oplus_s x = id
by pred\text{-}auto

lemma upd\text{-}subst\text{-}ext \ [alpha]:
vwb\text{-}lens \ x \Longrightarrow \sigma(y \mapsto_s v) \oplus_s x = (\sigma \oplus_s x)(\&x:y \mapsto_s v \oplus_p x)
by pred\text{-}auto

lemma apply\text{-}subst\text{-}ext \ [alpha]:
vwb\text{-}lens \ x \Longrightarrow (\sigma \dagger e) \oplus_p x = (\sigma \oplus_s x) \dagger (e \oplus_p x)
by (pred\text{-}auto)

lemma aext\text{-}upred\text{-}eq \ [alpha]:
((e =_u \ f) \oplus_p \ a) = ((e \oplus_p \ a) =_u \ (f \oplus_p \ a))
```

11.6 Substitution Alphabet Restriction

This allows us to reduce the alphabet of a substitution, in a similar way to expressions.

```
definition subst-res :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('\beta \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\beta usubst (infix \upharpoonright_s 65) where [upred-defs]: \sigma \upharpoonright_s x = (\lambda \ s. \ get_x \ (\sigma \ (create_x \ s)))

lemma id-subst-res [usubst]:
```

mwb-lens $x \Longrightarrow id \upharpoonright_s x = id$ by pred-auto

by (pred-auto)

```
lemma upd-subst-res [alpha]:

mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \sigma(\&x:y \mapsto_s v) \upharpoonright_s x = (\sigma \upharpoonright_s x)(\&y \mapsto_s v \upharpoonright_p x)
by (pred-auto)

lemma subst-ext-res [usubst]:

mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (\sigma \oplus_s x) \upharpoonright_s x = \sigma
by (pred-auto)

lemma unrest-subst-alpha-ext [unrest]:

x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow x \sharp (P \oplus_s y)
by (pred-simp robust, metis lens-indep-def)
end
```

12 Lifting expressions

```
theory utp-lift
imports
utp-alphabet
begin
```

12.1 Lifting definitions

We define operators for converting an expression to and from a relational state space with the help of alphabet extrusion and restriction. In general throughout Isabelle/UTP we adopt the notation $\lceil P \rceil$ with some subscript to denote lifting an expression into a larger alphabet, and $\lfloor P \rfloor$ for dropping into a smaller alphabet.

The following two functions lift and drop an expression, respectively, whose alphabet is $'\alpha$, into a product alphabet $'\alpha \times '\beta$. This allows us to deal with expressions which refer only to undashed variables, and use the type-system to ensure this.

```
abbreviation lift-pre :: ('a, '\alpha) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr ([-]<) where \lceil P \rceil_{<} \equiv P \oplus_{p} fst_{L} abbreviation drop-pre :: ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) uexpr ([-]<) where \lfloor P \rfloor_{<} \equiv P \upharpoonright_{p} fst_{L}
```

The following two functions lift and drop an expression, respectively, whose alphabet is β , into a product alphabet $\alpha \times \beta$. This allows us to deal with expressions which refer only to dashed variables.

```
abbreviation lift-post :: ('a, '\beta) uexpr \( \rightarrow \) ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr ($\[ [-]_> \]) where $\[ [P]_> \equiv P \oplus_p \ snd_L$

abbreviation drop-post :: ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr \( \rightarrow ('a, '\beta) \) uexpr ($\[ [-]_> \]) where $\[ [P]_> \equiv P \cap nd_L$

abbreviation lift-cond-pre ($[-]_\times \) where $\[ [P]_\times \equiv P \oplus_p \) ($\( 0_L \times_L \ 1_L \) abbreviation drop-cond-pre ($[-]_\times \) where $\[ [P]_\times \equiv P \cap n \) ($\( 0_L \times_L \ 1_L \) abbreviation drop-cond-post ($[-]_\times \) where $\[ [P]_\times \equiv P \cap n \) ($\( 0_L \times_L \ 1_L \) abbreviation drop-cond-post ($[-]_\times \) where $\[ [P]_\times \equiv P \cap n \] ($\( 0_L \times_L \ 1_L \)
```

12.2 Lifting Laws

With the help of our alphabet laws, we can prove some intuitive laws about alphabet lifting. For example, lifting variables yields an unprimed or primed relational variable expression, respectively.

```
lemma lift-pre-var [simp]:

\lceil var \ x \rceil_{<} = \$x
by (alpha-tac)

lemma lift-post-var [simp]:

\lceil var \ x \rceil_{>} = \$x'
by (alpha-tac)

lemma lift-cond-pre-var [simp]:

\lceil \$x \rceil_{\leftarrow} = \$x
by (pred-auto)

lemma lift-cond-post-var [simp]:

\lceil \$x' \rceil_{\rightarrow} = \$x'
by (pred-auto)

12.3 Substitution Laws

lemma pre-var-subst [usubst]:
```

```
lemma pre-var-subst [usubst]: \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s \ll v \gg) \uparrow \lceil P \rceil_{<} = \sigma \uparrow \lceil P \llbracket \ll v \gg / \&x \rrbracket \rceil_{<} by (pred\text{-}simp)
```

12.4 Unrestriction laws

Crucially, the lifting operators allow us to demonstrate unrestriction properties. For example, we can show that no primed variable is restricted in an expression over only the first element of the state-space product type.

```
lemma unrest-dash-var-pre [unrest]:
fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
shows x' \not\models \lceil p \rceil_{<}
by (pred-auto)

lemma unrest-dash-var-cond-pre [unrest]:
fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
shows x' \not\models \lceil P \rceil_{\leftarrow}
by (pred-auto)
end
```

13 Alphabetised Relations

```
theory utp-rel
imports
utp-pred-laws
utp-recursion
utp-lift
utp-tactics
begin
```

An alphabetised relation is simply a predicate whose state-space is a product type. In this theory we construct the core operators of the relational calculus, and prove a libary of associated theorems, based on Chapters 2 and 5 of the UTP book [5].

13.1 Relational Alphabets

We set up convenient syntax to refer to the input and output parts of the alphabet, as is common in UTP. Since we are in a product space, these are simply the lenses fst_L and snd_L .

```
definition in\alpha :: ('\alpha \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta) where
[lens-defs]: in\alpha = fst_L
definition out\alpha :: ('\beta \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta) where
[lens-defs]: out\alpha = snd_L
lemma in\alpha-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens in\alpha
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: in\alpha-def)
lemma out\alpha-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens out\alpha
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: out\alpha-def)
lemma var-in-alpha [simp]: x; L in\alpha = ivar x
  by (simp add: fst-lens-def in\alpha-def in-var-def)
lemma var-out-alpha [simp]: x ;_L out\alpha = ovar x
  by (simp add: out\alpha-def out-var-def snd-lens-def)
lemma drop-pre-inv [simp]: \llbracket out\alpha \sharp p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \lceil \lfloor p \rfloor_{<} \rceil_{<} = p
  by (pred\text{-}simp)
lemma usubst-lookup-ivar-unrest [usubst]:
  in\alpha \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle_s (ivar \ x) = \$x
  by (rel\text{-}simp, metis fstI)
lemma usubst-lookup-ovar-unrest [usubst]:
  out\alpha \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle_s \ (ovar \ x) = \$x
  by (rel\text{-}simp, metis sndI)
lemma out-alpha-in-indep [simp]:
  out\alpha \bowtie in\text{-}var \ x \ in\text{-}var \ x \bowtie out\alpha
  by (simp-all add: in-var-def out \alpha-def lens-indep-def fst-lens-def snd-lens-def lens-comp-def)
lemma in-alpha-out-indep [simp]:
  in\alpha \bowtie out\text{-}var \ x \ out\text{-}var \ x \bowtie in\alpha
  by (simp-all add: in-var-def in\alpha-def lens-indep-def fst-lens-def lens-comp-def)
The following two functions lift a predicate substitution to a relational one.
abbreviation usubst-rel-lift :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\beta) usubst ([-]<sub>s</sub>) where
[\sigma]_s \equiv \sigma \oplus_s in\alpha
abbreviation usubst-rel-drop :: ('\alpha \times '\alpha) usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst (|-|_s) where
|\sigma|_s \equiv \sigma \upharpoonright_s in\alpha
```

The alphabet of a relation then consists wholly of the input and output portions.

lemma alpha-in-out:

```
\Sigma \approx_L in\alpha +_L out\alpha
by (simp add: fst-snd-id-lens in\alpha-def lens-equiv-reft out\alpha-def)
```

13.2 Relational Types and Operators

We create type synonyms for conditions (which are simply predicates) – i.e. relations without dashed variables –, alphabetised relations where the input and output alphabet can be different, and finally homogeneous relations.

```
type-synonym '\alpha cond = '\alpha upred
type-synonym ('\alpha, '\beta) rel = ('\alpha × '\beta) upred
type-synonym '\alpha hrel = ('\alpha × '\alpha) upred
type-synonym ('\alpha, '\alpha) hexpr = ('\alpha, '\alpha × '\alpha) uexpr
```

translations

```
(type) ('\alpha, '\beta) rel \le (type) ('\alpha \times '\beta) upred
```

We set up some overloaded constants for sequential composition and the identity in case we want to overload their definitions later.

consts

```
useq :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \text{ (infixr };; 71)
uskip :: 'a (II)
```

We define a specialised version of the conditional where the condition can refer only to undashed variables, as is usually the case in programs, but not universally in UTP models. We implement this by lifting the condition predicate into the relational state-space with construction $\lceil b \rceil_{<}$.

abbreviation

```
rcond :: ('\alpha, '\beta) \ rel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ cond \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) \ rel \Rightarrow ('\alpha, '\beta) \ rel \Rightarrow ((\beta - \triangleleft - \triangleright_r / -) \ [52,0,53] \ 52)
where (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q) \equiv (P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{<} \triangleright Q)
```

Sequential composition is heterogeneous, and simply requires that the output alphabet of the first matches then input alphabet of the second. We define it by lifting HOL's built-in relational composition operator (op O). Since this returns a set, the definition states that the state binding b is an element of this set.

```
lift-definition seqr::('\alpha, '\beta) rel \Rightarrow ('\beta, '\gamma) rel \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\gamma) upred is \lambda \ P \ Q \ b. b \in (\{p, P \ p\} \ O \ \{q, Q \ q\}).
```

adhoc-overloading

```
useq seqr
```

We also set up a homogeneous sequential composition operator, and versions of *true* and *false* that are explicitly typed by a homogeneous alphabet.

```
abbreviation seqh :: '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ (infixr ;;_h 71) where seqh \ P \ Q \equiv (P \ ;; \ Q) abbreviation truer :: '\alpha \ hrel \ (true_h) where truer \equiv true abbreviation falser :: '\alpha \ hrel \ (false_h) where falser \equiv false
```

We define the relational converse operator as an alphabet extrusion on the bijective lens $swap_L$ that swaps the elements of the product state-space.

```
abbreviation conv-r :: ('a, '\alpha \times '\beta) uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta \times '\alpha) uexpr (- [999] 999) where conv-r e \equiv e \oplus_p swap_L
```

Assignment is defined using substitutions, where latter defines what each variable should map to. The definition of the operator identifies the after state binding, b', with the substitution function applied to the before state binding b.

```
lift-definition assigns-r :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (\langle - \rangle_a) is \lambda \sigma (b, b'). b' = \sigma(b).
```

Relational identity, or skip, is then simply an assignment with the identity substitution: it simply identifies all variables.

```
definition skip-r :: '\alpha \ hrel \ \mathbf{where} [urel-defs]: skip-r = assigns-r \ id
```

adhoc-overloading

uskip skip-r

We set up iterated sequential composition which iterates an indexed predicate over the elements of a list.

```
definition seqr-iter :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b hrel) \Rightarrow 'b hrel where [urel-defs]: seqr-iter xs P = foldr (\lambda i Q. P(i) ;; Q) xs II
```

A singleton assignment simply applies a singleton substitution function, and similarly for a double assignment.

```
abbreviation assign-r::('t\Longrightarrow'\alpha)\Rightarrow('t,\ '\alpha)\ uexpr\Rightarrow'\alpha\ hrel where assign-r\ x\ v\equiv\langle[x\mapsto_s\ v]\rangle_a
```

```
abbreviation assign-2-r ::
```

```
('t1 \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow ('t2 \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow ('t1, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('t2, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel where assign-2-r x \ y \ u \ v \equiv assigns-r [x \mapsto_s u, \ y \mapsto_s v]
```

We also define the alphabetised skip operator that identifies all input and output variables in the given alphabet lens. All other variables are unrestricted. We also set up syntax for it.

```
definition skip\text{-}ra :: ('\beta, '\alpha) \ lens \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ \mathbf{where} [urel\text{-}defs]: skip\text{-}ra \ v = (\$v' =_u \$v)
```

Similarly, we define the alphabetised assignment operator.

```
definition assigns-ra :: '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow ('\beta, '\alpha) lens \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (\langle - \rangle_-) where \langle \sigma \rangle_a = (\lceil \sigma \rceil_s \dagger skip\text{-ra } a)
```

Assumptions (c^{\top}) and assertions (c_{\perp}) are encoded as conditionals. An assumption behaves like skip if the condition is true, and otherwise behaves like *false* (miracle). An assertion is the same, but yields true, which is an abort.

```
definition rassume :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (-^{\top} [999] 999) where [urel-defs]: rassume c = II \triangleleft c \triangleright_r false
```

```
definition rassert :: '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (-_{\perp} [999] 999) where [urel-defs]: rassert c = II \triangleleft c \triangleright_r true
```

A test is like a precondition, except that it identifies to the postcondition, and is thus a refinement of II. It forms the basis for Kleene Algebra with Tests [7, 1] (KAT), which embeds a Boolean algebra into a Kleene algebra to represent conditions.

```
definition lift-test :: '\alpha cond \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (\lceil - \rceil_t) where [urel-defs]: \lceil b \rceil_t = (\lceil b \rceil_< \wedge II)
```

We define two variants of while loops based on strongest and weakest fixed points. The former is false for an infinite loop, and the latter is true.

```
definition while :: '\alpha cond \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (while ^{\top} - do - od) where [urel-defs]: while ^{\top} b do P od = (\nu X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
```

abbreviation while-top :: ' α cond \Rightarrow ' α hrel \Rightarrow ' α hrel (while - do - od) where while b do P od \equiv while $^{\top}$ b do P od

```
definition while-bot :: '\alpha cond \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (while _{\perp} - do - od) where [urel-defs]: while _{\perp} b do P od = (\mu X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
```

While loops with invariant decoration (cf. [1]).

```
definition while-inv :: '\alpha cond \Rightarrow '\alpha cond \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (while - invr - do - od) where [urel-defs]: while b invr p do S od = while b do S od
```

We implement a poor man's version of alphabet restriction that hides a variable within a relation.

```
definition rel-var-res :: '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (infix \upharpoonright_{\alpha} 80) where [urel-defs]: P \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x = (\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P)
```

We next describe frames and antiframes with the help of lenses. A frame states that P defines the behaviour of all variables not in a, and all those in a remain the same. An antiframe describes the converse: all variables in a are specified by P, and all other variables remain the same. For more information please see [8].

```
definition frame :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ where [urel-defs]: frame a <math>P = (skip - ra \ a \land P)
```

```
definition antiframe :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel where [urel-defs]: antiframe a \ P = (II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} a \land P)
```

13.3 Syntax Translations

syntax

```
— Iterated sequential composition
-segr-iter :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow '\sigma \ hrel \Rightarrow '\sigma \ hrel \ ((3;; -: -\cdot/ -) \ [0, \ 0, \ 10] \ 10)
— Single and multiple assignement
                      :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ ('(-') := '(-'))
-assignment
-assignment
                      :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ (infixr := 72)
— Indexed assignment
-assignment\text{-}upd :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \text{ (infixr } [-] := 72)
— Substitution constructor
-mk-usubst
                    :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow '\alpha \ usubst

    Alphabetised skip

-skip-ra
                   :: salpha \Rightarrow logic (II_{-})
— Frame
              :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (-: [-] [64,0] 80)
-frame
-antiframe :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (-:[-] [64,0] 80)
```

translations

```
projection : l \cdot P \Rightarrow (CONST \ seqr-iter) \ l \ (\lambda x. \ P)
```

```
-mk-usubst \sigma (-svid-unit x) v \rightleftharpoons \sigma(\&x \mapsto_s v)

-mk-usubst \sigma (-svid-list x xs) (-uexprs v vs) \rightleftharpoons (-mk-usubst (\sigma(\&x \mapsto_s v)) xs vs)

-assignment xs vs => CONST assigns-r (-mk-usubst (CONST id) xs vs)

x := v <= CONST assigns-r (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) (CONST svar x) v)

x := v <= CONST assigns-r (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) xv)

x,y := u,v <= CONST assigns-r (CONST subst-upd (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) (CONST svar x) v) (CONST svar y) v)

— Indexed assignment uses the overloaded collection update function uvpd.

x := v => x := \&x(k \mapsto v)_u

-skip-ra v \rightleftharpoons CONST skip-ra v

-frame x := v \mapsto_v CONST frame x := v \mapsto_v CONST antiframe x := v \mapsto_v CONST and x := v \mapsto_v CONST and
```

The following code sets up pretty-printing for homogeneous relational expressions. We cannot do this via the "translations" command as we only want the rule to apply when the input and output alphabet types are the same. The code has to deconstruct a $('a, '\alpha)$ uexpr type, determine that it is relational (product alphabet), and then checks if the types alpha and beta are the same. If they are, the type is printed as a hexpr. Otherwise, we have no match. We then set up a regular translation for the hrel type that uses this.

```
print-translation \langle let | let \rangle fun tr' ctx [a] , Const (@\{type-syntax\ prod\},-) $alpha $beta] = if (alpha = beta) then Syntax.const @\{type-syntax\ hexpr\} $a $alpha else\ raise\ Match; in [(@\{type-syntax\ uexpr\},tr')] end \rangle \rangle translations (type)' \alpha \ hrel <= (type) \ (bool,\ ' \alpha) \ hexpr
```

13.4 Relation Properties

We describe some properties of relations, including functional and injective relations.

```
definition ufunctional :: ('a, 'b) rel \Rightarrow bool where [urel-defs]: ufunctional R \longleftrightarrow II \sqsubseteq R^- ;; R definition uinj :: ('a, 'b) rel \Rightarrow bool where [urel-defs]: uinj R \longleftrightarrow II \sqsubseteq R ;; R^-
— Configuration for UTP tactics (see utp-tactics).
```

update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms — Reread rep-eq theorems.

13.5 Unrestriction Laws

```
lemma unrest-iuvar [unrest]: out\alpha \sharp \$x
by (metis fst-snd-lens-indep lift-pre-var out\alpha-def unrest-aext-indep)
lemma unrest-ouvar [unrest]: in\alpha \sharp \$x'
by (metis in\alpha-def lift-post-var snd-fst-lens-indep unrest-aext-indep)
```

```
lemma unrest-semir-undash [unrest]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  assumes x \sharp P
  shows x \sharp P :: Q
  using assms by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-semir-dash [unrest]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  assumes x' \sharp Q
  shows x' \sharp P ;; Q
  using assms by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-cond [unrest]:
  \llbracket x \sharp P; x \sharp b; x \sharp Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-in\alpha-var [unrest]:
  \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ in\alpha\ \sharp\ (P::('a,('\alpha\times'\beta))\ uexpr)\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x\ \sharp\ P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-out\alpha-var [unrest]:
  \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ out\alpha\ \sharp\ (P::('a,('\alpha\times'\beta))\ uexpr)\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x'\ \sharp\ P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-pre-out\alpha [unrest]: out\alpha \sharp [b]_{<}
  by (transfer, auto simp add: out\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-post-in\alpha [unrest]: in\alpha \sharp [b]>
  by (transfer, auto simp add: in\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-pre-in-var [unrest]:
  x \sharp p1 \Longrightarrow \$x \sharp \lceil p1 \rceil <
  by (transfer, simp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ unrest\text{-}post\text{-}out\text{-}var\ [unrest]:
  x \sharp p1 \Longrightarrow \$x' \sharp \lceil p1 \rceil_{>}
  by (transfer, simp)
lemma unrest-convr-out \alpha [unrest]:
  in\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow out\alpha \sharp p^-
  by (transfer, auto simp add: lens-defs)
lemma unrest-convr-in\alpha [unrest]:
  out\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow in\alpha \sharp p^{-}
  by (transfer, auto simp add: lens-defs)
lemma unrest-in-rel-var-res [unrest]:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \$x \sharp (P \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x)
  by (simp add: rel-var-res-def unrest)
lemma unrest-out-rel-var-res [unrest]:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \$x' \sharp (P \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x)
  by (simp add: rel-var-res-def unrest)
```

13.6 Substitution laws

```
lemma subst-seq-left [usubst]:
  out\alpha \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \uparrow (P ;; Q) = (\sigma \uparrow P) ;; Q
  by (rel-simp, (metis (no-types, lifting) Pair-inject surjective-pairing)+)
lemma subst-seq-right [usubst]:
  in\alpha \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q) = P ;; (\sigma \dagger Q)
  by (rel-simp, (metis (no-types, lifting) Pair-inject surjective-pairing)+)
```

The following laws support substitution in heterogeneous relations for polymorphically typed literal expressions. These cannot be supported more generically due to limitations in HOL's type system. The laws are presented in a slightly strange way so as to be as general as possible.

```
lemma bool-seqr-laws [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (bool \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s true) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \llbracket true / \$x \rrbracket ;; Q)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s false) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \llbracket false / \$x \rrbracket ;; Q)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s true) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q[true/\$x'])
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s false) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q[false/\$x'])
     by (rel-auto)+
lemma zero-one-seqr-laws [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s \theta) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \llbracket \theta / \$x \rrbracket ;; Q)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s 1) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \llbracket 1/\$x \rrbracket ;; Q)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s \theta) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q \llbracket \theta / \$x' \rrbracket)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s 1) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q[1/\$x'])
     by (rel-auto)+
lemma numeral-seqr-laws [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s numeral n) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P[[numeral n/\$x]] ;; Q)
     \bigwedge P Q \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s numeral n) \dagger (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger (P ;; Q[numeral n/\$x'])
  by (rel-auto)+
lemma usubst-condr [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \triangleleft \sigma \dagger b \triangleright \sigma \dagger Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-skip-r [usubst]:
  out\alpha \sharp \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger II = \langle |\sigma|_s \rangle_a
  by (rel-simp, (metis (mono-tags, lifting) prod.sel(1) sndI surjective-pairing)+)
lemma usubst-upd-in-comp [usubst]:
  \sigma(\&in\alpha:x\mapsto_s v) = \sigma(\$x\mapsto_s v)
  by (simp add: fst-lens-def in\alpha-def in-var-def)
lemma usubst-upd-out-comp [usubst]:
  \sigma(\&out\alpha:x\mapsto_s v) = \sigma(\$x'\mapsto_s v)
  by (simp add: out\alpha-def out-var-def snd-lens-def)
lemma subst-lift-upd [usubst]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
```

```
shows \lceil \sigma(x \mapsto_s v) \rceil_s = \lceil \sigma \rceil_s (\$x \mapsto_s \lceil v \rceil_<)
  by (simp add: alpha usubst, simp add: fst-lens-def in\alpha-def in-var-def)
lemma subst-drop-upd [usubst]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows [\sigma(\$x \mapsto_s v)]_s = [\sigma]_s(x \mapsto_s [v]_<)
  by pred-simp
lemma subst-lift-pre [usubst]: [\sigma]_s \dagger [b]_< = [\sigma \dagger b]_<
  by (metis apply-subst-ext fst-vwb-lens in\alpha-def)
lemma unrest-usubst-lift-in [unrest]:
  x \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$x \sharp \lceil P \rceil_s
  by pred-simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ unrest\textit{-}usubst\textit{-}lift\textit{-}out\ [unrest]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows x' \sharp [P]_s
  by pred-simp
13.7
             Alphabet laws
lemma aext-cond [alpha]:
  (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \oplus_p a = ((P \oplus_p a) \triangleleft (b \oplus_p a) \triangleright (Q \oplus_p a))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma aext-seq [alpha]:
  \textit{wb-lens } a \Longrightarrow ((P \; ;; \; Q) \; \oplus_p \; (a \times_L \; a)) = ((P \; \oplus_p \; (a \times_L \; a)) \; ;; \; (Q \; \oplus_p \; (a \times_L \; a)))
```

13.8 Relational unrestriction

by (rel-simp, metis wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)

Relational unrestriction states that a variable is unchanged by a relation. Eventually I'd also like to have it state that the relation also does not depend on the variable's initial value, but I'm not sure how to state that yet. For now we represent this by the parametric healthiness condition RID.

```
definition RID :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel where RID \ x \ P = ((\exists \ \$x \cdot \exists \ \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \ \$x) declare RID-def \ [urel-defs]

lemma RID-dem : mwb-lens \ x \Longrightarrow RID(x)(RID(x)(P)) = RID(x)(P) by (rel-auto)

lemma RID-mono : P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RID(x)(P) \sqsubseteq RID(x)(Q) by (rel-auto)

lemma RID-skip-r : vwb-lens \ x \Longrightarrow RID(x)(II) = II apply (rel-auto) using vwb-lens.put-eq by fastforce

lemma RID-disj : RID(x)(P \lor Q) = (RID(x)(P) \lor RID(x)(Q))
```

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma RID-conj:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow RID(x)(RID(x)(P) \land RID(x)(Q)) = (RID(x)(P) \land RID(x)(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RID-assigns-r-diff:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ x\ \sharp\ \sigma\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow RID(x)(\langle\sigma\rangle_a) = \langle\sigma\rangle_a
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (metis vwb-lens.put-eq)
  apply (metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ RID\text{-}assign\text{-}r\text{-}same:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow RID(x)(x := v) = II
  apply (rel-auto)
  using vwb-lens.put-eq apply fastforce
done
lemma RID-seq-left:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows RID(x)(RID(x)(P) ;; Q) = (RID(x)(P) ;; RID(x)(Q))
proof -
  have RID(x)(RID(x)(P) ;; Q) = ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) ;; Q) \land \$x'
=_{u} \$x
    by (simp add: RID-def usubst)
  also from assms have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land (\exists \$x \cdot \$x' =_u \$x)) ;; (\exists \$x' \cdot Q)) \land \$x' =_u \$x)
\$x)
    by (rel-auto)
  also from assms have ... = (((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) :; (\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q)) \land \$x' =_u \$x)
    apply (rel-auto)
    apply (metis vwb-lens.put-eq)
    apply (metis mwb-lens.put-put vwb-lens-mwb)
  done
 \textbf{also from} \ \textit{assms} \ \textbf{have} \ ... = ((((\exists \ \$x \cdot \exists \ \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) \ ;; \ (\exists \ \$x \cdot \exists \ \$x' \cdot Q)) \land \$x' =_u \$x)
    by (rel-simp, metis (full-types) mwb-lens.put-put vwb-lens-def wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
  also have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) ;; ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \land \$x' =_u \$x)) \land \$x' =_u \$x))
\$x)
    \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rel\text{-}simp}, \mathit{fastforce})
  also have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x);; ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \land \$x' =_u \$x)))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (RID(x)(P) ;; RID(x)(Q))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RID-seq-right:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows RID(x)(P ;; RID(x)(Q)) = (RID(x)(P) ;; RID(x)(Q))
proof -
  have RID(x)(P;;RID(x)(Q)) = ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P;;((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \wedge \$x' =_u \$x)) \wedge \$x'
    by (simp add: RID-def usubst)
  also from assms have ... = (((\exists \$x \cdot P) ;; (\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \land (\exists \$x' \cdot \$x' =_u \$x)) \land \$x' =_u
$x)
```

```
by (rel-auto)
  also from assms have ... = (((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) ;; (\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q)) \land \$x' =_u \$x)
    apply (rel-auto)
    apply (metis vwb-lens.put-eq)
    apply (metis mwb-lens.put-put vwb-lens-mwb)
  done
 also from assms have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) ;; (\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q)) \land \$x' =_u \$x)
   by (rel-simp robust, metis (full-types) mwb-lens.put-put vwb-lens-def wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
  also have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) ;; ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \land \$x' =_u \$x)) \land \$x' =_u \$x))
\$x)
    by (rel-simp, fastforce)
  also have ... = ((((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot P) \land \$x' =_u \$x) ;; ((\exists \$x \cdot \exists \$x' \cdot Q) \land \$x' =_u \$x)))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (RID(x)(P) ;; RID(x)(Q))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
definition unrest-relation :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow bool \ (infix \sharp\sharp \ 20)
where (x \sharp \sharp P) \longleftrightarrow (P = RID(x)(P))
declare unrest-relation-def [urel-defs]
lemma skip-r-runrest [unrest]:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow x \sharp \sharp II
  by (simp add: RID-skip-r unrest-relation-def)
lemma assigns-r-runrest:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ x\ \sharp\ \sigma\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x\ \sharp\sharp\ \langle\sigma\rangle_a
  by (simp add: RID-assigns-r-diff unrest-relation-def)
lemma seq-r-runrest [unrest]:
  assumes vwb-lens x x \sharp \sharp P x \sharp \sharp Q
  shows x \sharp \sharp (P ;; Q)
  \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis RID-seq-left assms unrest-relation-def})
lemma false-runrest [unrest]: x ## false
  bv (rel-auto)
lemma and-runrest [unrest]: \llbracket vwb\text{-lens } x; x \sharp \sharp P; x \sharp \sharp Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \sharp \sharp (P \land Q)
  by (metis RID-conj unrest-relation-def)
lemma or-runrest [unrest]: [x \sharp \sharp P; x \sharp \sharp Q] \implies x \sharp \sharp (P \vee Q)
  by (simp add: RID-disj unrest-relation-def)
           Relational alphabet extension
13.9
lift-definition rel-alpha-ext :: '\beta hrel \Rightarrow ('\beta \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (infix \oplus_R 65)
is \lambda P x (b1, b2). P (get_x b1, get_x b2) \wedge (\forall b. b1 \oplus_L b \text{ on } x = b2 \oplus_L b \text{ on } x).
lemma rel-alpha-ext-alt-def:
  assumes vwb-lens y x +_L y \approx_L 1_L x \bowtie y
  shows P \oplus_R x = (P \oplus_p (x \times_L x) \land \$y' =_u \$y)
  apply (rel-auto robust, simp-all add: lens-override-def)
  apply (metis lens-indep-get lens-indep-sym)
```

```
apply (metis vwb-lens-def wb-lens.get-put wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-get)
done
```

end

14 Meta-level substitution

```
theory utp-meta-subst
imports utp-rel utp-event
begin
```

Meta substitution substituted a HOL variable in a UTP expression for another UTP expression. It is analogous to UTP substitution, but acts on functions.

```
lift-definition msubst :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr) \Rightarrow ('b, '\alpha) \ uexpr \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \ uexpr
is \lambda F v b. F (v b) b.
```

```
update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms — Reread rep-eq theorems.
syntax
  -msubst :: logic \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic ((-\llbracket - \rightarrow -\rrbracket) [990, 0, 0] 991)
translations
  -msubst\ P\ x\ v == CONST\ msubst\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)\ v
lemma msubst-true [usubst]: true[x \rightarrow v] = true
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-false [usubst]: false [x \rightarrow v] = false
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-lit [usubst]: \ll x \gg [x \rightarrow v] = v
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-lit-2-1 [usubst]: \ll x \gg \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow (u,v)_u \rrbracket = u
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-lit-2-2 [usubst]: \ll y \gg \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow (u,v)_u \rrbracket = v
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-lit' [usubst]: \ll y \gg [x \rightarrow v] = \ll y \gg
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-lit'-2 [usubst]: \ll z \gg \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket = \ll z \gg
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-uop [usubst]: (uop <math>f(v|x))[x \rightarrow u] = uop f((v|x)[x \rightarrow u])
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{msubst-uop-2} \ [\mathit{usubst}] : (\mathit{uop} \ f \ (v \ x \ y)) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow u \rrbracket = \mathit{uop} \ f \ ((v \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow u \rrbracket)
  by (pred-simp, pred-simp)
lemma msubst-bop [usubst]: (bop f (v x) (w x))[x \rightarrow u] = bop f ((v x)[x \rightarrow u]) ((w x)[x \rightarrow u])
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ msubst-bop-2 \ \lceil usubst \rceil \colon (bop \ f \ (v \ x \ y) \ (w \ x \ y)) \lceil (x,y) \rightarrow u \rceil \rceil = bop \ f \ ((v \ x \ y) \lceil (x,y) \rightarrow u \rceil) \ ((w \ x \ y) \rceil \lceil (x,y) \rightarrow u \rceil)
y)\llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow u \rrbracket )
  by (pred-simp, pred-simp)
lemma msubst-not [usubst]: (\neg P(x))[x \rightarrow v] = (\neg ((P x)[x \rightarrow v]))
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-not-2 [usubst]: (\neg P x y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket = (\neg ((P x y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket))
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma msubst-disj [usubst]: (P(x) \lor Q(x)) \llbracket x \to v \rrbracket = ((P(x)) \llbracket x \to v \rrbracket \lor (Q(x)) \llbracket x \to v \rrbracket)
   by (pred-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{msubst-disj-2} \ [\mathit{usubst}] : (P \ x \ y \ \lor \ Q \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket = ((P \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket \ \lor \ (Q \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket)
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma msubst-conj [usubst]: (P(x) \land Q(x))[x \rightarrow v] = ((P(x))[x \rightarrow v] \land (Q(x))[x \rightarrow v])
  by (pred-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{msubst-conj-2} \ [\mathit{usubst}]: (P \ x \ y \land Q \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket = ((P \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket \land (Q \ x \ y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow v \rrbracket)
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma msubst-implies [usubst]:
   (P x \Rightarrow Q x)\llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket = ((P x)\llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket \Rightarrow (Q x)\llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-implies-2 [usubst]:
   (P \ x \ y \Rightarrow Q \ x \ y)\llbracket(x,y) \rightarrow v\rrbracket = ((P \ x \ y)\llbracket(x,y) \rightarrow v\rrbracket \Rightarrow (Q \ x \ y)\llbracket(x,y) \rightarrow v\rrbracket)
   by (pred-auto)+
lemma msubst-shAll [usubst]:
   (\forall x \cdot P x y)[\![y \rightarrow v]\!] = (\forall x \cdot (P x y)[\![y \rightarrow v]\!])
  by (pred-auto)
lemma msubst-shAll-2 [usubst]:
   (\forall x \cdot P \ x \ y \ z) \llbracket (y,z) \rightarrow v \rrbracket = (\forall x \cdot (P \ x \ y \ z) \llbracket (y,z) \rightarrow v \rrbracket)
  by (pred-auto)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ msubst\text{-}event\ [usubst]:
   (c \cdot v \ x)_u \llbracket x \rightarrow u \rrbracket = (c \cdot (v \ x) \llbracket x \rightarrow u \rrbracket)_u
  by (pred-simp)
lemma msubst-event-2 [usubst]:
   (c \cdot v \times y)_u \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow u \rrbracket = (c \cdot (v \times y) \llbracket (x,y) \rightarrow u \rrbracket)_u
   by (pred\text{-}simp)+
lemma msubst-var [usubst]:
   (utp\text{-}expr.var\ x)[y\rightarrow u] = utp\text{-}expr.var\ x
   by (pred-simp)
lemma msubst-var-2 [usubst]:
   (utp\text{-}expr.var\ x)[(y,z)\rightarrow u] = utp\text{-}expr.var\ x
  by (pred\text{-}simp)+
\mathbf{lemma} \ msubst-seq \ [usubst]: \ (P(x) \ ;; \ Q(x)) \llbracket x \to \ll v \gg \rrbracket \ = \ ((P(x)) \llbracket x \to \ll v \gg \rrbracket \ ;; \ (Q(x)) \llbracket x \to \ll v \gg \rrbracket)
```

```
by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma msubst-unrest [unrest]: \llbracket \bigwedge v. \ x \ \sharp \ P(v); \ x \ \sharp \ k \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ P(v) \llbracket v \to k \rrbracket
   by (pred-auto)
```

end

UTP Deduction Tactic 15

```
theory utp-deduct
\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{utp-pred}
begin
named-theorems uintro
named-theorems uelim
{\bf named\text{-}theorems}\ udest
lemma utrueI [uintro]: [true]_e b
  by (pred-auto)
lemma uopI [uintro]: f ([\![x]\!]_e b) \Longrightarrow [\![uop\ f\ x]\!]_e b
  by (pred-auto)
lemma bopI [uintro]: f([\![x]\!]_e b)([\![y]\!]_e b) \Longrightarrow [\![bop\ f\ x\ y]\!]_e b
   by (pred-auto)
lemma tropI [uintro]: f([\![x]\!]_e b)([\![y]\!]_e b)([\![z]\!]_e b) \Longrightarrow [\![trop\ f\ x\ y\ z]\!]_e b
  by (pred-auto)
lemma uconjI [uintro]: \llbracket p \rrbracket_e b; \llbracket q \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket p \land q \rrbracket_e b
  by (pred-auto)
lemma uconjE [uelim]: [[p \land q]]_eb; [[p]]_eb; [[q]]_eb ]] \Longrightarrow P ]] \Longrightarrow P
  by (pred-auto)
lemma uimpI [uintro]: [[[p]_e b \Longrightarrow [[q]_e b]] \Longrightarrow [[p \Rightarrow q]_e b
  by (pred-auto)
lemma uimpE \ [elim]: \llbracket \ \llbracket p \Rightarrow q \rrbracket_e b; (\llbracket p \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket q \rrbracket_e b) \Longrightarrow P \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
  by (pred-auto)
lemma ushAllI [uintro]: \llbracket \bigwedge x. \llbracket p(x) \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket \forall x \cdot p(x) \rrbracket_e b
  by pred-auto
lemma ushExI \ [uintro]: \llbracket \llbracket p(x) \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \implies \llbracket \exists \ x \cdot p(x) \rrbracket_e b
  by pred-auto
lemma udeduct-tautI [uintro]: \llbracket \bigwedge b. \llbracket p \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \implies 'p'
   using taut.rep-eq by blast
lemma udeduct-refineI [uintro]: \llbracket \bigwedge b. \llbracket q \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket p \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p \sqsubseteq q
  by pred-auto
lemma udeduct-eqI [uintro]: \llbracket \bigwedge b. \llbracket p \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket q \rrbracket_e b; \bigwedge b. \llbracket q \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket p \rrbracket_e b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p = q
  by (pred-auto)
```

Some of the following lemmas help backward reasoning with bindings

lemma conj-implies: $\llbracket \llbracket P \land Q \rrbracket_e \ b \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \land \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b$ by pred-auto

lemma conj-implies2: $[\![P]\!]_e \ b \wedge [\![Q]\!]_e \ b \]\!] \Longrightarrow [\![P \wedge Q]\!]_e \ b$ by pred-auto

lemma disj-eq: $\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \lor \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket P \lor Q \rrbracket_e \ b$ by pred-auto

lemma disj-eq2: $\llbracket P \lor Q \rrbracket_e \ b \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \lor \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b$ by pred-auto

lemma conj-eq-subst: ($\llbracket P \wedge Q \rrbracket_e \ b \wedge \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b$) = ($\llbracket R \wedge Q \rrbracket_e \ b \wedge \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b$) by pred-auto

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ conj\text{-}imp\text{-}subst\text{: } (\llbracket P \land Q \rrbracket_e \ b \land (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \longrightarrow (\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b))) = (\llbracket R \land Q \rrbracket_e \ b \land (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \longrightarrow (\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b))) \\ \mathbf{by} \ pred\text{-}auto \\ \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{disj-imp-subst:} \ (\llbracket Q \land (P \lor S) \rrbracket_e \ b \land (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \longrightarrow (\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b))) = (\llbracket Q \land (R \lor S) \rrbracket_e \ b \land (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b \longrightarrow (\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b))) \\ \textbf{by} \ \textit{pred-auto} \end{array}$

Simplifications on value equality

lemma uexpr-eq: ($[[e_0]]_e$ $b = [[e_1]]_e$ b) = $[[e_0 =_u e_1]]_e$ b **by** pred-auto

lemma uexpr-trans: $([\![P \land e_0 =_u e_1]\!]_e \ b \land ([\![P]\!]_e \ b \longrightarrow [\![e_1 =_u e_2]\!]_e \ b)) = ([\![P \land e_0 =_u e_2]\!]_e \ b \land ([\![P]\!]_e \ b \longrightarrow [\![e_1 =_u e_2]\!]_e \ b))$ **by** (pred-auto)

lemma uexpr-trans2: ($[\![P \land Q \land e_0 =_u e_1]\!]_e \ b \land ([\![Q]\!]_e \ b \longrightarrow [\![e_1 =_u e_2]\!]_e \ b)$) = ($[\![P \land Q \land e_0 =_u e_2]\!]_e \ b$) by (pred-auto)

lemma uequality: $\llbracket (\llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket P \wedge R \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket P \wedge Q \rrbracket_e \ b$ by pred-auto

lemma $ueqe1: \llbracket \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \Longrightarrow (\llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\llbracket P \wedge R \rrbracket_e \ b \Longrightarrow \llbracket P \wedge Q \rrbracket_e \ b)$ by pred-auto

lemma ueqe2: $(\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \Longrightarrow (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b) \land \llbracket Q \land P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \land P \rrbracket_e \ b)$ $(\llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \Longrightarrow (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b))$ by pred-auto

lemma ueqe3: $\llbracket \llbracket P \rrbracket_e \ b \Longrightarrow (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e \ b) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\llbracket R \wedge P \rrbracket_e \ b = \llbracket Q \wedge P \rrbracket_e \ b)$ by pred-auto

The following allows simplifying the equality if $P \Rightarrow Q = R$

lemma ueqe3-imp3: $(\bigwedge b. \llbracket P \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow (\llbracket Q \rrbracket_e b = \llbracket R \rrbracket_e b)) \Longrightarrow ((P \land Q) = (P \land R))$

by pred-auto

lemma ueqe3-imp2:
$$\llbracket (\bigwedge b. \llbracket P0 \land P1 \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket Q \rrbracket_e b \Longrightarrow \llbracket R \rrbracket_e b = \llbracket S \rrbracket_e b) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ((P0 \land P1 \land (Q \Rightarrow R)) = (P0 \land P1 \land (Q \Rightarrow S)))$$
 by $pred$ -auto

The following can introduce the binding notation into predicates

lemma conj-bind-dist:
$$[P \land Q]_e \ b = ([P]_e \ b \land [Q]_e \ b)$$
 by $pred-auto$

lemma disj-bind-dist:
$$[P \lor Q]_e \ b = ([P]_e \ b \lor [Q]_e \ b)$$
 by $pred-auto$

lemma imp-bind-dist:
$$[\![P\Rightarrow Q]\!]_e\ b=([\![P]\!]_e\ b\longrightarrow [\![Q]\!]_e\ b)$$
 by pred-auto end

16 Relational Calculus Laws

```
theory utp-rel-laws
imports utp-rel
begin
```

16.1 Conditional Laws

lemma comp-cond-left-distr:
$$((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; R) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r (Q ;; R))$$
 by $(rel-auto)$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ cond\text{-}seq\text{-}left\text{-}distr:$

$$out\alpha \ \sharp \ b \Longrightarrow ((P \lhd b \rhd Q) \ ;; \ R) = ((P \ ;; \ R) \lhd b \rhd (Q \ ;; \ R))$$
 by $(rel\text{-}auto)$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ cond\text{-}seq\text{-}right\text{-}distr$:

$$in\alpha \ \sharp \ b \Longrightarrow (P \ ;; \ (Q \triangleleft b \rhd R)) = ((P \ ;; \ Q) \triangleleft b \rhd (P \ ;; \ R))$$
 by $(rel-auto)$

lemma cond-mono:

$$\llbracket P_1 \sqsubseteq P_2; \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright Q_1) \sqsubseteq (P_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright Q_2)$$
 by $(rel-auto)$

lemma cond-monotonic:

```
\llbracket mono\ P;\ mono\ Q\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow mono\ (\lambda\ X.\ P\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright Q\ X) by (simp\ add:\ mono\ def,\ rel\ blast)
```

16.2 Precondition and Postcondition Laws

theorem precond-equiv:

$$P = (P ;; true) \longleftrightarrow (out\alpha \sharp P)$$

by $(rel-auto)$

theorem postcond-equiv:

$$P = (true ;; P) \longleftrightarrow (in\alpha \sharp P)$$

by $(rel-auto)$

```
lemma precond-right-unit: out \alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow (p ;; true) = p
  by (metis precond-equiv)
lemma postcond-left-unit: in\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow (true ;; p) = p
  by (metis postcond-equiv)
theorem precond-left-zero:
  assumes out\alpha \sharp p \not = false
  shows (true ;; p) = true
  using assms by (rel-auto)
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{feasibile-iff-true-right-zero}:
  P :: true = true \longleftrightarrow \exists out \alpha \cdot P'
  by (rel-auto)
16.3
           Sequential Composition Laws
lemma seqr-assoc: P : (Q : R) = (P : Q) : R
  by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-left-unit [simp]:
  II ;; P = P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-right-unit [simp]:
  P ;; II = P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-left-zero [simp]:
  false ;; P = false
  by pred-auto
lemma seqr-right-zero [simp]:
  P\ ;; \mathit{false}\ = \mathit{false}
  by pred-auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ impl\text{-}seqr\text{-}mono: \ \llbracket \ `P \Rightarrow Q`; \ `R \Rightarrow S` \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow `(P \ ;; \ R) \Rightarrow (Q \ ;; \ S)`
  by (pred-blast)
lemma segr-mono:
  \llbracket P_1 \sqsubseteq P_2; \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P_1 \ ;; \ Q_1) \sqsubseteq (P_2 \ ;; \ Q_2)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma segr-monotonic:
  \llbracket mono\ P;\ mono\ Q\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow mono\ (\lambda\ X.\ P\ X\ ;;\ Q\ X)
  by (simp add: mono-def, rel-blast)
lemma seqr-exists-left:
  ((\exists \$x \cdot P) ;; Q) = (\exists \$x \cdot (P ;; Q))
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ seqr\text{-}exists\text{-}right:
  (P ;; (\exists \$x' \cdot Q)) = (\exists \$x' \cdot (P ;; Q))
  by (rel-auto)
```

85

lemma seqr-or-distl:

```
((P \lor Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; R) \lor (Q ;; R))
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ segr-or-distr:
  (P ;; (Q \lor R)) = ((P ;; Q) \lor (P ;; R))
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ seqr-and\text{-}distr\text{-}ufunc:
  ufunctional P \Longrightarrow (P ;; (Q \land R)) = ((P ;; Q) \land (P ;; R))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-and-distl-uinj:
  uinj R \Longrightarrow ((P \land Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; R) \land (Q ;; R))
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{seqr}\text{-}\mathit{unfold}\colon
  (P ;; Q) = (\exists v \cdot P[\langle v \rangle / \Sigma]) \land Q[\langle v \rangle / \Sigma])
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ seqr\text{-}middle :
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows (P ;; Q) = (\exists v \cdot P[\![ \ll v \gg / \$x' ]\!] ;; Q[\![ \ll v \gg / \$x]\!])
  using assms
 apply (rel-auto robust)
 apply (rename-tac \ xa \ P \ Q \ a \ b \ y)
 apply (rule-tac x=get_{xa} y in exI)
 apply (rule-tac x=y in exI)
 apply (simp)
done
lemma seqr-left-one-point:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows ((P \land \$x' =_u \ll v \gg) ;; Q) = (P[\![\ll v \gg /\$x']\!] ;; Q[\![\ll v \gg /\$x]\!])
 using assms
  by (rel-auto, metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
lemma segr-right-one-point:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows (P ;; (\$x =_u \ll v \gg \land Q)) = (P[\![\ll v \gg /\$x']\!] ;; Q[\![\ll v \gg /\$x]\!])
  using assms
  by (rel-auto, metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
lemma seqr-left-one-point-true:
  assumes vwb-lens x
 shows ((P \land \$x') ;; Q) = (P[true/\$x'] ;; Q[true/\$x])
 by (metis assms seqr-left-one-point true-alt-def upred-eq-true)
lemma seqr-left-one-point-false:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows ((P \land \neg \$x') ;; Q) = (P \llbracket false/\$x' \rrbracket ;; Q \llbracket false/\$x \rrbracket)
 by (metis assms false-alt-def seqr-left-one-point upred-eq-false)
lemma segr-right-one-point-true:
  assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P ;; (\$x \land Q)) = (P[[true/\$x']] ;; Q[[true/\$x]])
```

```
by (metis assms seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def upred-eq-true)
lemma segr-right-one-point-false:
  assumes vwb-lens x
  shows (P :: (\neg \$x \land Q)) = (P \llbracket false / \$x ' \rrbracket :: Q \llbracket false / \$x \rrbracket)
 by (metis assms false-alt-def segr-right-one-point upred-eq-false)
{f lemma} seqr-insert-ident-left:
  assumes vwb-lens x \ \$x' \ \sharp \ P \ \$x \ \sharp \ Q
 shows ((\$x' =_u \$x \land P) ;; Q) = (P ;; Q)
  using assms
  by (rel-simp, meson vwb-lens-wb wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
lemma seqr-insert-ident-right:
  assumes vwb-lens x \ x' \ \sharp P \ x \ \sharp Q
 shows (P ;; (\$x' =_u \$x \land Q)) = (P ;; Q)
 using assms
  by (rel-simp, metis (no-types, hide-lams) vwb-lens-def wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-qet)
lemma seq-var-ident-lift:
  assumes vwb-lens x \ x' \ p \ x \ \ Q
  shows ((\$x' =_u \$x \land P) ;; (\$x' =_u \$x \land Q)) = (\$x' =_u \$x \land (P ;; Q))
  using assms apply (rel-auto)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) vwb-lens-wb wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
lemma segr-bool-split:
  assumes vwb-lens x
 shows P :: Q = (P[true/\$x'] :: Q[true/\$x] \lor P[false/\$x'] :: Q[false/\$x])
  using assms
 by (subst segr-middle[of x], simp-all add: true-alt-def false-alt-def)
lemma cond-inter-var-split:
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (P \triangleleft \$x' \triangleright Q);; R = (P \llbracket true/\$x' \rrbracket;; R \llbracket true/\$x \rrbracket \lor Q \llbracket false/\$x' \rrbracket;; R \llbracket false/\$x \rrbracket)
  have (P \triangleleft \$x' \triangleright Q) :: R = ((\$x' \land P) :: R \lor (\neg \$x' \land Q) :: R)
   by (simp add: cond-def segr-or-distl)
  also have ... = ((P \land \$x') ;; R \lor (Q \land \neg \$x') ;; R)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = (P[true/\$x'] ;; R[true/\$x] \lor Q[false/\$x'] ;; R[false/\$x])
   by (simp add: seqr-left-one-point-true seqr-left-one-point-false assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem seqr-pre-transfer: in\alpha \sharp q \Longrightarrow ((P \land q) ;; R) = (P ;; (q^- \land R))
 by (rel-auto)
theorem seqr-pre-transfer':
  ((P \wedge \lceil q \rceil_{>}) ;; R) = (P ;; (\lceil q \rceil_{<} \wedge R))
 by (rel-auto)
theorem segr-post-out: in\alpha \sharp r \Longrightarrow (P ;; (Q \land r)) = ((P ;; Q) \land r)
 by (rel-blast)
```

lemma seqr-post-var-out:

```
fixes x :: (bool \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 shows (P :; (Q \land \$x')) = ((P :; Q) \land \$x')
 by (rel-auto)
theorem seqr-post-transfer: out \alpha \ \sharp \ q \Longrightarrow (P \ ;; \ (q \land R)) = ((P \land q^-) \ ;; \ R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-pre-out: out\alpha \sharp p \Longrightarrow ((p \land Q) ;; R) = (p \land (Q ;; R))
 by (rel-blast)
lemma seqr-pre-var-out:
 fixes x :: (bool \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
 shows ((\$x \land P) ;; Q) = (\$x \land (P ;; Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-true-lemma:
 (P = (\neg ((\neg P) ;; \mathit{true}))) = (P = (P ;; \mathit{true}))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma seqr-to-conj: \llbracket out\alpha \ \sharp \ P; \ in\alpha \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \ ;; \ Q) = (P \land Q)
 by (metis postcond-left-unit seqr-pre-out utp-pred-laws.inf-top.right-neutral)
lemma shEx-lift-seq-1 [uquant-lift]:
 ((\exists x \cdot P x) ;; Q) = (\exists x \cdot (P x ;; Q))
 by pred-auto
lemma shEx-lift-seq-2 [uquant-lift]:
  (P ;; (\exists x \cdot Q x)) = (\exists x \cdot (P ;; Q x))
 by pred-auto
16.4
        Iterated Sequential Composition Laws
lemma iter-seqr-nil [simp]: (;; i : [] \cdot P(i)) = II
 by (simp add: seqr-iter-def)
lemma iter-seqr-cons [simp]: (;; i : (x \# xs) \cdot P(i)) = P(x) ;; (;; i : xs \cdot P(i))
 by (simp add: seqr-iter-def)
16.5
         Quantale Laws
by (transfer, auto)
by (transfer, auto)
lemma seq-UINF-distl: P :: (\bigcap Q \in A \cdot F(Q)) = (\bigcap Q \in A \cdot P :: F(Q))
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect seq-Sup-distl)
lemma seq-UINF-distl': P :: (   Q \cdot F(Q) ) = (   Q \cdot P :: F(Q) )
 by (metis UINF-mem-UNIV seq-UINF-distl)
lemma seq-UINF-distr: (\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P)) ;; Q = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P)) ;; Q
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect seq-Sup-distr)
lemma seq-UINF-distr': (\bigcap P \cdot F(P)) ;; Q = (\bigcap P \cdot F(P) ;; Q)
```

```
by (metis UINF-mem-UNIV seq-UINF-distr)
lemma seq-SUP-distl: P :: (\bigcap i \in A. \ Q(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A. \ P :: Q(i))
  by (metis image-image seq-Sup-distl)
lemma seq-SUP-distr: ( \bigcap i \in A. \ P(i)) :; \ Q = ( \bigcap i \in A. \ P(i) :; \ Q)
  by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
16.6
            Skip Laws
lemma cond-skip: out\alpha \sharp b \Longrightarrow (b \wedge II) = (II \wedge b^{-})
  by (rel-auto)
lemma pre-skip-post: (\lceil b \rceil_{<} \land II) = (II \land \lceil b \rceil_{>})
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-var:
  fixes x :: (bool \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows (\$x \land II) = (II \land \$x')
  by (rel-auto)
{f lemma} skip-r-unfold:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow II = (\$x' =_u \$x \land II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x)
  by (rel-simp, metis mwb-lens.put-put vwb-lens-mwb vwb-lens-wb wb-lens.get-put)
\mathbf{lemma}\ skip\text{-}r\text{-}alpha\text{-}eq:
  II = (\$\Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-ra-unfold:
  II_{x;y} = (\$x' =_u \$x \land II_y)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-res-as-ra:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens \ y; \ x +_L \ y \approx_L 1_L; \ x \bowtie y \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x = II_y
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) lens-indep-def)
  apply (metis vwb-lens.put-eq)
done
16.7
            Assignment Laws
lemma assigns-subst [usubst]:
  [\sigma]_s \dagger \langle \varrho \rangle_a = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_a
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-r-comp: (\langle \sigma \rangle_a ;; P) = (\lceil \sigma \rceil_s \dagger P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-r-feasible:
  (\langle \sigma \rangle_a ;; true) = true
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assign-subst [usubst]:
  \llbracket \ mwb\text{-}lens \ x; \ mwb\text{-}lens \ y \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket \$x \mapsto_s \lceil u \rceil_{<} \rrbracket \dagger (y := v) = (x, \ y := u, \ [x \mapsto_s u] \dagger v)
```

by (rel-auto)

```
lemma assigns-idem: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (x,x:=u,v)=(x:=v)
  by (simp add: usubst)
lemma assigns-comp: (\langle f \rangle_a ;; \langle g \rangle_a) = \langle g \circ f \rangle_a
  by (simp add: assigns-r-comp usubst)
lemma assigns-r-conv:
  bij f \Longrightarrow \langle f \rangle_a^- = \langle inv f \rangle_a
  by (rel-auto, simp-all add: bij-is-inj bij-is-surj surj-f-inv-f)
\mathbf{lemma}\ as sign-pred-transfer:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  assumes x \sharp b \ out \alpha \sharp b
  shows (b \land x := v) = (x := v \land b^{-})
  using assms by (rel-blast)
lemma assign-r-comp: x := u : P = P[[u] < /x]
  by (simp add: assigns-r-comp usubst)
lemma assign-test: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (x := \ll u \gg ;; x := \ll v \gg) = (x := \ll v \gg)
  by (simp add: assigns-comp subst-upd-comp subst-lit usubst-upd-idem)
lemma assign-twice: [\![mwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ x\ \sharp\ f\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow (x:=e:;;\ x:=f) = (x:=f)
  by (simp add: assigns-comp usubst)
lemma assign-commute:
  assumes x \bowtie y \ x \ \sharp \ f \ y \ \sharp \ e
  shows (x := e ;; y := f) = (y := f ;; x := e)
  using assms
  by (rel-simp, simp-all add: lens-indep-comm)
lemma assign-cond:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  assumes out\alpha \ \sharp \ b
  shows (x := e ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q)) = ((x := e ;; P) \triangleleft (b \llbracket [e]_{<} / \$x \rrbracket) \triangleright (x := e ;; Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assign-rcond:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows (x := e :; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q)) = ((x := e :; P) \triangleleft (b \llbracket e/x \rrbracket) \triangleright_r (x := e :; Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assign-r-alt-def:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows x := v = II \llbracket \lceil v \rceil < /\$x \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-r-ufunc: ufunctional \langle f \rangle_a
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-r-uinj: inj f \Longrightarrow uinj \langle f \rangle_a
  by (rel-simp, simp add: inj-eq)
lemma assigns-r-swap-uinj:
```

```
\llbracket vwb\text{-}lens \ x; \ vwb\text{-}lens \ y; \ x\bowtie y \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow uinj \ (x,y:=\&y,\&x)
  using assigns-r-uinj swap-usubst-inj by auto
lemma assign-unfold:
  vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow (x := v) = (\$x' =_u \lceil v \rceil < \land II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} x)
  apply (rel-auto, auto simp add: comp-def)
 using vwb-lens.put-eq by fastforce
16.8
          Converse Laws
lemma convr-invol [simp]: p^{--} = p
 by pred-auto
lemma lit\text{-}convr [simp]: \ll v \gg^- = \ll v \gg
 by pred-auto
lemma uivar-convr [simp]:
 fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows (\$x)^- = \$x'
 by pred-auto
lemma uovar-convr [simp]:
  fixes x :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows (\$x')^- = \$x
 by pred-auto
lemma uop\text{-}convr\ [simp]:\ (uop\ f\ u)^- = uop\ f\ (u^-)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma bop-convr [simp]: (bop f u v)^- = bop f (u^-) (v^-)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma eq-convr [simp]: (p =_u q)^- = (p^- =_u q^-)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma not-convr [simp]: (\neg p)^- = (\neg p^-)
  by (pred-auto)
lemma disj-convr [simp]: (p \lor q)^- = (q^- \lor p^-)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma conj-convr [simp]: (p \land q)^- = (q^- \land p^-)
 by (pred-auto)
lemma seqr-convr [simp]: (p ;; q)^- = (q^- ;; p^-)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma pre-convr [simp]: \lceil p \rceil_{<}^- = \lceil p \rceil_{>}
 by (rel-auto)
lemma post-convr [simp]: \lceil p \rceil > ^- = \lceil p \rceil <
 by (rel-auto)
```

16.9 Assertion and Assumption Laws

lemma assume-twice: $(b^{\top} ;; c^{\top}) = (b \wedge c)^{\top}$

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma assert-twice: (b_{\perp} ;; c_{\perp}) = (b \wedge c)_{\perp}
  by (rel-auto)
lemma frame-disj: (x: \llbracket P \rrbracket \lor x: \llbracket Q \rrbracket) = x: \llbracket P \lor Q \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
lemma frame-conj: (x: \llbracket P \rrbracket \land x: \llbracket Q \rrbracket) = x: \llbracket P \land Q \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
lemma frame-seq:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens \ x; \ \$x' \ \sharp \ P; \ \$x \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \implies (x:\llbracket P \rrbracket \ ;; \ x:\llbracket Q \rrbracket) = x:\llbracket P \ ;; \ Q \rrbracket
  by (rel-simp, metis vwb-lens-def wb-lens-weak weak-lens.put-get)
lemma antiframe-to-frame:
  \llbracket x \bowtie y; x +_L y = 1_L \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x:[P] = y:\llbracket P \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto, metis lens-indep-def, metis lens-indep-def surj-pair)
16.10
              While Loop Laws
theorem while-unfold:
  while b do P od = ((P ;; while b do P od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
proof -
  have m:mono (\lambda X. (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (auto intro: monoI seqr-mono cond-mono)
  have (while b do P od) = (\nu X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (simp add: while-def)
  also have ... = ((P :; (\nu X \cdot (P :; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (subst lfp-unfold, simp-all add: m)
  also have ... = ((P ;; while b do P od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (simp add: while-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem while-false: while false do P od = II
  by (subst while-unfold, simp add: aext-false)
theorem while-true: while true do P od = false
  apply (simp add: while-def alpha)
  apply (rule antisym)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
  apply (simp)
done
theorem while-bot-unfold:
  while_{\perp} \ b \ do \ P \ od = ((P \ ;; \ while_{\perp} \ b \ do \ P \ od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
proof -
  have m:mono (\lambda X. (P :: X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (auto intro: monoI segr-mono cond-mono)
  have (while_{\perp} \ b \ do \ P \ od) = (\mu \ X \cdot (P \ ;; \ X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (simp add: while-bot-def)
  also have ... = ((P :; (\mu X \cdot (P :; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
    by (subst gfp-unfold, simp-all add: m)
  also have ... = ((P ;; while_{\perp} b do P od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_r II)
```

```
by (simp add: while-bot-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem while-bot-false: while \bot false do P od = II
 by (simp add: while-bot-def mu-const alpha)
theorem while-bot-true: while \perp true do P od = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X)
 by (simp add: while-bot-def alpha)
An infinite loop with a feasible body corresponds to a program error (non-termination).
theorem while-infinite: P :: true_h = true \implies while_1 true do P od = true
 apply (simp add: while-bot-true)
 apply (rule antisym)
 apply (simp)
 apply (rule gfp-upperbound)
 apply (simp)
done
16.11
          Algebraic Properties
interpretation upred-semiring: semiring-1
 where times = seqr and one = skip - r and zero = false_h and plus = Lattices.sup
 by (unfold-locales, (rel-auto)+)
We introduce the power syntax derived from semirings
abbreviation upower :: '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (infixr \hat{} 80) where
upower\ P\ n \equiv upred\text{-}semiring.power\ P\ n
translations
 P \hat{i} \le CONST power.power II op ;; P i
 P \hat{i} \le (CONST power.power II op ;; P) i
Set up transfer tactic for powers
lemma upower-rep-eq:
  [P \ \hat{} \ i]_e = (\lambda \ b. \ b \in (\{p. \ [P]_e \ p\} \ \hat{} \ i))
proof (induct i arbitrary: P)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (auto, rel-auto)
next
 case (Suc\ i)
 show ?case
   by (simp add: Suc seqr.rep-eq relpow-commute)
qed
lemma upower-rep-eq-alt:
 [power.power \langle id \rangle_a \ op \ ;; P \ i]_e = (\lambda b. \ b \in (\{p. \ [P]_e \ p\} \ \hat{\ } i))
 by (metis skip-r-def upower-rep-eq)
update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms
lemma Sup-power-expand:
 fixes P :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: complete - lattice
 shows P(\theta) \cap (\prod i. P(i+1)) = (\prod i. P(i))
```

```
proof -
 \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{UNIV} = \mathit{insert}\ (0{::}\mathit{nat})\ \{1..\}
 moreover have (\prod i. P(i)) = \prod (P 'UNIV)
   by (blast)
 moreover have \bigcap (P 'insert 0 {1..}) = P(0) \bigcap SUPREMUM {1..} P
   by (simp)
 moreover have SUPREMUM \{1..\} P = (\prod i. P(i+1))
   by (simp add: atLeast-Suc-greaterThan)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp only:)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Sup-upto-Suc: (\bigcap i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ P \hat{i}) = (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.\ P \hat{i}) \cap P \hat{i}
proof -
 have (\prod i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ P \hat{\ }i) = (\prod i \in insert\ (Suc\ n)\ \{0..n\}.\ P \hat{\ }i)
   by (simp add: atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
 also have ... = P \hat{\ } Suc \ n \sqcap (\prod i \in \{0..n\}. \ P \hat{\ } i)
   by (simp)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Lattices.sup-commute)
qed
The following two proofs are adapted from the AFP entry Kleene Algebra. See also [2, 1].
lemma upower-inductl: Q \sqsubseteq (P :; Q \sqcap R) \Longrightarrow Q \sqsubseteq P \hat{\ } n :; R
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by (auto)
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
   by (auto, metis (no-types, hide-lams) dual-order trans order-refl segr-assoc segr-mono)
qed
lemma upower-inductr:
 assumes Q \sqsubseteq (R \sqcap Q ;; P)
 shows Q \sqsubseteq R ; ; (P \hat{n})
using assms proof (induct \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 have R :: P \hat{\ } Suc \ n = (R :: P \hat{\ } n) :: P
   by (metis segr-assoc upred-semiring.power-Suc2)
 also have Q :: P \sqsubseteq ...
   using Suc.hyps assms seqr-mono by auto
 also have Q \sqsubseteq ...
   using assms by auto
 finally show ?case.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SUP-atLeastAtMost-first}\colon
 fixes P :: nat \Rightarrow 'a::complete-lattice
 assumes m < n
```

```
by (metis SUP-insert assms atLeastAtMost-insertL)
lemma upower-seqr-iter: P \cap n = (:; Q : replicate \ n \ P \cdot Q)
 by (induct \ n, \ simp-all)
16.11.1 Kleene Star
definition ustar :: '\alpha hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (-* [999] 999) where
P^* = (\prod i \in \{\theta..\} \cdot P^*i)
lemma ustar-rep-eq:
  [P^*]_e = (\lambda b. \ b \in (\{p. \ [P]_e \ p\}^*))
 by (simp add: ustar-def, rel-auto, simp-all add: relpow-imp-rtrancl rtrancl-imp-relpow)
update-uexpr-rep-eq-thms
16.11.2
            Omega
definition uomega :: '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ (-\omega \ [999] \ 999) where
P^{\omega} = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X)
        Relation Algebra Laws
16.12
theorem RA1: (P ;; (Q ;; R)) = ((P ;; Q) ;; R)
 using seqr-assoc by auto
theorem RA2: (P ;; II) = P (II ;; P) = P
 by simp-all
theorem RA3: P^{--} = P
 by simp
theorem RA4: (P ;; Q)^{-} = (Q^{-} ;; P^{-})
 by simp
theorem RA5: (P \lor Q)^{-} = (P^{-} \lor Q^{-})
 by (rel-auto)
theorem RA6: ((P \lor Q) ;; R) = (P;;R \lor Q;;R)
 using seqr-or-distl by blast
theorem RA7: ((P^-;; (\neg (P;; Q))) \lor (\neg Q)) = (\neg Q)
 by (rel-auto)
          Kleene Algebra Laws
16.13
theorem ustar-unfoldl: P^* \sqsubseteq II \sqcap P;; P^*
 by (rel-simp, simp add: rtrancl-into-trancl2 trancl-into-rtrancl)
```

```
theorem ustar-unfoldl: P^* \sqsubseteq II \sqcap P;; P^*
by (rel-simp, simp add: rtrancl-into-trancl2 trancl-into-rtrancl)
theorem ustar-inductl:
assumes Q \sqsubseteq (R \sqcap P ;; Q)
shows Q \sqsubseteq P^* ;; R
proof —
have P^* ;; R = (\prod i. P \hat{i} ;; R)
by (simp add: ustar-def UINF-as-Sup-collect' seq-SUP-distr)
also have Q \sqsubseteq ...
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-least assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-commute upower-inductl)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem ustar-inductr:
  assumes Q \sqsubseteq (R \sqcap Q ;; P)
  shows Q \sqsubseteq R ;; P^*
proof -
  have R :: P^* = (   i. R :: P \hat{i})
    by (simp add: ustar-def UINF-as-Sup-collect' seq-SUP-distl)
  also have Q \sqsubseteq ...
    by (meson SUP-least assms upower-inductr)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
16.14
              Omega Algebra Laws
\mathbf{lemma}\ uomega	ext{-}induct:
  P \, \, ; ; \, P^\omega \, \sqsubseteq \, P^\omega
  by (simp add: uomega-def, metis eq-refl gfp-unfold monoI seqr-mono)
end
16.15
              Relational Hoare calculus
theory utp-hoare
imports utp-rel
begin
named-theorems hoare
definition hoare-r::'\alpha \ cond \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ cond \Rightarrow bool (\{-\}-\{-\}_u) where
\{p\}Q\{r\}_u = ((\lceil p \rceil_{<} \Rightarrow \lceil r \rceil_{>}) \sqsubseteq Q)
declare hoare-r-def [upred-defs]
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{hoare-r-conj}\ [\textit{hoare}] \colon \llbracket\ \{\!\{p\}\!\}\,Q\{\!\{r\}\!\}_u;\ \{\!\{p\}\!\}\,Q\{\!\{s\}\!\}_u\ \rrbracket \implies \{\!\{p\}\!\}\,Q\{\!\{r\land s\}\!\}_u
  by rel-auto
lemma hoare-r-conseq [hoare]: \llbracket p_1 \Rightarrow p_2; \llbracket p_2 \end{bmatrix} S \llbracket q_2 \rrbracket_u; q_2 \Rightarrow q_1, \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \llbracket p_1 \rrbracket S \llbracket q_1 \rrbracket_u
  by rel-auto
lemma assigns-hoare-r [hoare]: 'p \Rightarrow \sigma \dagger q' \Longrightarrow \{p\} \langle \sigma \rangle_a \{q\}_u
  by rel-auto
lemma skip-hoare-r [hoare]: \{p\}II\{p\}_u
  by rel-auto
lemma seq-hoare-r [hoare]: [\![ \{p\} Q_1 \{s\}_u ; \{s\} Q_2 \{r\}_u ]\!] \Longrightarrow \{p\} Q_1 ;; Q_2 \{r\}_u
lemma cond-hoare-r [hoare]: [\![ \{b \land p\} S \{q\}_u : \{\neg b \land p\} T \{q\}_u ]\!] \Longrightarrow \{\![p\} S \triangleleft b \triangleright_r T \{\![q\}_u ]\!]
  by rel-auto
lemma while-hoare-r [hoare]:
  assumes \{p \land b\}S\{p\}_u
```

```
shows \{p\} while b do S od \{\neg b \land p\}_u
  using assms
  by (simp add: while-def hoare-r-def, rule-tac lfp-lowerbound) (rel-auto)
lemma while-invr-hoare-r [hoare]:
  assumes \{p \land b\} S \{p\}_u \text{ 'pre} \Rightarrow p' \text{ '}(\neg b \land p) \Rightarrow post'
 shows \{pre\} while b invr p do S od \{post\}_u
 by (metis assms hoare-r-conseq while-hoare-r while-inv-def)
end
16.16
           Weakest precondition calculus
theory utp-wp
imports utp-hoare
begin
A very quick implementation of wp – more laws still needed!
named-theorems wp
method wp\text{-}tac = (simp \ add: \ wp)
consts
  uwp :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c  (infix wp 60)
definition wp-upred :: ('\alpha, '\beta) rel \Rightarrow '\beta cond \Rightarrow '\alpha cond where
wp-upred Q r = |\neg (Q ;; (\neg \lceil r \rceil <)) :: ('\alpha, '\beta) rel|_{<}
adhoc-overloading
  uwp wp-upred
declare wp-upred-def [urel-defs]
theorem wp-assigns-r [wp]:
  \langle \sigma \rangle_a \ wp \ r = \sigma \dagger r
  by rel-auto
theorem wp-skip-r [wp]:
  II wp r = r
 \mathbf{by} rel-auto
theorem wp-true [wp]:
 r \neq true \implies true \ wp \ r = false
 by rel-auto
theorem wp-conj [wp]:
  P wp (q \wedge r) = (P wp q \wedge P wp r)
 \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{rel-auto}
theorem wp-seq-r [wp]: (P :; Q) wp r = P wp (Q wp r)
 by rel-auto
theorem wp-cond [wp]: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q) wp r = ((b \Rightarrow P \ wp \ r) \land ((\neg b) \Rightarrow Q \ wp \ r))
 by rel-auto
theorem wp-hoare-link:
```

 $\{p\} Q \{r\}_u \longleftrightarrow (Q \ wp \ r \sqsubseteq p)$

by rel-auto

If two programs have the same weakest precondition for any postcondition then the programs are the same.

```
theorem wp-eq-intro: \llbracket \bigwedge r. \ P \ wp \ r = Q \ wp \ r \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P = Q by (rel-auto robust, fastforce+) end
```

17 UTP Theories

theory utp-theory imports utp-rel-laws begin

Closure laws for theories

named-theorems closure

17.1 Complete lattice of predicates

```
definition upred-lattice :: ('\alpha upred) gorder (\mathcal{P}) where upred-lattice = (| carrier = UNIV, eq = (op =), le = op \sqsubseteq |)
```

 \mathcal{P} is the complete lattice of alphabetised predicates. All other theories will be defined relative to it.

```
interpretation upred-lattice: complete-lattice \mathcal{P}
proof (unfold-locales, simp-all add: upred-lattice-def)
 \mathbf{fix} \ A :: '\alpha \ upred \ set
 show \exists s. is-lub (|carrier = UNIV, eq = op =, le = op \sqsubseteq) s A
   apply (rule-tac \ x= \bigsqcup A \ in \ exI)
   apply (rule least-UpperI)
   apply (auto intro: Inf-greatest simp add: Inf-lower Upper-def)
 show \exists i. is-glb (|carrier = UNIV, eq = op =, le = op \sqsubseteq) i A
   apply (rule greatest-LowerI)
   apply (auto intro: Sup-least simp add: Sup-upper Lower-def)
 done
\mathbf{qed}
lemma upred-weak-complete-lattice [simp]: weak-complete-lattice \mathcal{P}
 by (simp add: upred-lattice.weak.weak-complete-lattice-axioms)
lemma upred-lattice-eq [simp]:
 op :=_{\mathcal{D}} = op =
 by (simp add: upred-lattice-def)
lemma upred-lattice-le [simp]:
 le \mathcal{P} P Q = (P \sqsubseteq Q)
 by (simp add: upred-lattice-def)
lemma upred-lattice-carrier [simp]:
  carrier \mathcal{P} = UNIV
 by (simp add: upred-lattice-def)
```

17.2 Healthiness conditions

```
type-synonym '\alpha health = '\alpha upred \Rightarrow '\alpha upred
definition
  Healthy::'\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ health \Rightarrow bool \ (infix \ is \ 30)
where P is H \equiv (H P = P)
lemma Healthy-def': P is H \longleftrightarrow (HP = P)
  unfolding Healthy-def by auto
lemma Healthy-if: P is H \Longrightarrow (HP = P)
  unfolding Healthy-def by auto
declare Healthy-def' [upred-defs]
abbreviation Healthy-carrier :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow '\alpha upred set (\llbracket - \rrbracket_H)
where \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \equiv \{P. \ P \ is \ H\}
lemma Healthy-carrier-image:
  A \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H \Longrightarrow \mathcal{H} 'A = A
    by (auto simp add: image-def, (metis Healthy-if mem-Collect-eq subsetCE)+)
lemma Healthy-carrier-Collect: A \subseteq \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \Longrightarrow A = \{H(P) \mid P. \ P \in A\}
  by (simp add: Healthy-carrier-image Setcompr-eq-image)
lemma Healthy-func:
  \llbracket F \in \llbracket \mathcal{H}_1 \rrbracket_H \to \llbracket \mathcal{H}_2 \rrbracket_H; P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2(F(P)) = F(P)
  using Healthy-if by blast
lemma Healthy-apply-closed:
  assumes F \in [\![H]\!]_H \to [\![H]\!]_H P is H
  shows F(P) is H
  using assms(1) assms(2) by auto
lemma Healthy-set-image-member:
  \llbracket P \in F 'A; \bigwedge x. F x \text{ is } H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } H
  by blast
lemma Healthy-SUPREMUM:
  A \subseteq \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \Longrightarrow SUPREMUM \ A \ H = \prod \ A
  by (drule Healthy-carrier-image, presburger)
lemma Healthy-INFIMUM:
  A \subseteq \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \Longrightarrow INFIMUM \ A \ H = | \ | \ A
  by (drule Healthy-carrier-image, presburger)
lemma Healthy-nu [closure]:
  assumes mono F F \in [\![id]\!]_H \to [\![H]\!]_H
  shows \nu F is H
  by (metis (mono-tags) Healthy-def Healthy-func assms eq-id-iff lfp-unfold)
lemma Healthy-mu [closure]:
  assumes mono F F \in [id]_H \to [H]_H
  shows \mu F is H
  by (metis (mono-tags) Healthy-def Healthy-func assms eq-id-iff gfp-unfold)
```

```
lemma Healthy-subset-member: [A \subseteq [H]_H; P \in A] \implies H(P) = P
 by (meson Ball-Collect Healthy-if)
lemma is-Healthy-subset-member: [A \subseteq [H]_H; P \in A] \implies P is H
 by blast
          Properties of healthiness conditions
17.3
definition Idempotent :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  Idempotent(H) \longleftrightarrow (\forall P. H(H(P)) = H(P))
abbreviation Monotonic :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  Monotonic(H) \equiv mono H
definition IMH :: '\alpha \ health \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  IMH(H) \longleftrightarrow Idempotent(H) \land Monotonic(H)
definition Antitone :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  Antitone(H) \longleftrightarrow (\forall P Q. Q \sqsubseteq P \longrightarrow (H(P) \sqsubseteq H(Q)))
definition Conjunctive :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  Conjunctive(H) \longleftrightarrow (\exists Q. \forall P. H(P) = (P \land Q))
definition Functional Conjunctive :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  Functional Conjunctive(H) \longleftrightarrow (\exists F. \forall P. H(P) = (P \land F(P)) \land Monotonic(F))
definition WeakConjunctive :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  WeakConjunctive(H) \longleftrightarrow (\forall P. \exists Q. H(P) = (P \land Q))
definition Disjunctuous :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  [upred-defs]: Disjunctuous H = (\forall P Q. H(P \sqcap Q) = (H(P) \sqcap H(Q)))
definition Continuous :: '\alpha health \Rightarrow bool where
  [upred-defs]: Continuous H = (\forall A. A \neq \{\} \longrightarrow H (\bigcap A) = \bigcap (H A)
lemma Healthy-Idempotent [closure]:
  Idempotent H \Longrightarrow H(P) is H
  by (simp add: Healthy-def Idempotent-def)
lemma Healthy-range: Idempotent H \Longrightarrow range H = [\![H]\!]_H
  by (auto simp add: image-def Healthy-if Healthy-Idempotent, metis Healthy-if)
lemma Idempotent-id [simp]: Idempotent id
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def)
lemma Idempotent-comp [intro]:
  \llbracket Idempotent f; Idempotent g; f \circ g = g \circ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Idempotent (f \circ g)
 by (auto simp add: Idempotent-def comp-def, metis)
lemma Idempotent-image: Idempotent f \Longrightarrow f' f' A = f' A
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Idempotent-def image-cong image-image)
lemma Monotonic-id [simp]: Monotonic id
  by (simp \ add: monoI)
```

```
lemma Monotonic-comp [intro]:
 \llbracket Monotonic f; Monotonic g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Monotonic (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: mono-def)
lemma Conjuctive-Idempotent:
 Conjunctive(H) \Longrightarrow Idempotent(H)
 by (auto simp add: Conjunctive-def Idempotent-def)
lemma Conjunctive-Monotonic:
 Conjunctive(H) \Longrightarrow Monotonic(H)
 unfolding Conjunctive-def mono-def
 using dual-order.trans by fastforce
lemma Conjunctive-conj:
 assumes Conjunctive(HC)
 shows HC(P \wedge Q) = (HC(P) \wedge Q)
 using assms unfolding Conjunctive-def
 by (metis utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc utp-pred-laws.inf.commute)
lemma Conjunctive-distr-conj:
 assumes Conjunctive(HC)
 shows HC(P \wedge Q) = (HC(P) \wedge HC(Q))
 using assms unfolding Conjunctive-def
 by (metis Conjunctive-conj assms utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc utp-pred-laws.inf-right-idem)
lemma Conjunctive-distr-disj:
 assumes Conjunctive(HC)
 shows HC(P \vee Q) = (HC(P) \vee HC(Q))
 using assms unfolding Conjunctive-def
 using utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2 by fastforce
lemma Conjunctive-distr-cond:
 assumes Conjunctive(HC)
 shows HC(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (HC(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright HC(Q))
 using assms unfolding Conjunctive-def
 by (metis cond-conj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
{\bf lemma}\ Functional Conjunctive-Monotonic:
 FunctionalConjunctive(H) \Longrightarrow Monotonic(H)
 unfolding Functional Conjunctive-def by (metis mono-def utp-pred-laws.inf-mono)
lemma WeakConjunctive-Refinement:
 assumes WeakConjunctive(HC)
 shows P \sqsubseteq HC(P)
 using assms unfolding WeakConjunctive-def by (metis utp-pred-laws.inf.cobounded1)
lemma WeakCojunctive-Healthy-Refinement:
 assumes WeakConjunctive(HC) and P is HC
 shows HC(P) \sqsubseteq P
 using assms unfolding WeakConjunctive-def Healthy-def by simp
lemma WeakConjunctive-implies-WeakConjunctive:
 Conjunctive(H) \Longrightarrow WeakConjunctive(H)
 unfolding WeakConjunctive-def Conjunctive-def by pred-auto
```

```
declare Conjunctive-def [upred-defs]
declare mono-def [upred-defs]
lemma Disjunctuous-Monotonic: Disjunctuous H \Longrightarrow Monotonic H
 by (metis Disjunctuous-def mono-def semilattice-sup-class.le-iff-sup)
lemma ContinuousD [dest]: \mathbb{I} Continuous H; A \neq \{\} \mathbb{I} \Longrightarrow H (\bigcap A) = (\bigcap P \in A. H(P))
 by (simp add: Continuous-def)
lemma Continuous-Disjunctous: Continuous H \Longrightarrow Disjunctuous H
 apply (auto simp add: Continuous-def Disjunctuous-def)
 apply (rename-tac\ P\ Q)
 apply (drule-tac \ x=\{P,Q\} \ \mathbf{in} \ spec)
 apply (simp)
done
lemma Continuous-Monotonic [closure]: Continuous H \Longrightarrow Monotonic H
 by (simp add: Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-Monotonic)
lemma Continuous-comp [intro]:
  \llbracket Continuous f; Continuous g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Continuous (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: Continuous-def)
Closure laws derived from continuity
lemma Sup-Continuous-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket Continuous\ H; \land i.\ i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i)\ is\ H;\ A \neq \{\}\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\bigcap\ i \in A.\ P(i))\ is\ H
  by (drule ContinuousD[of H P 'A], simp add: UINF-mem-UNIV[THEN sym] UINF-as-Sup[THEN
sym])
    (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' SUP-cong image-image)
lemma UINF-mem-Continuous-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket Continuous\ H; \land i.\ i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i)\ is\ H;\ A \neq \{\}\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\bigcap\ i \in A \cdot P(i))\ is\ H
 by (simp add: Sup-Continuous-closed UINF-as-Sup-collect)
lemma UINF-mem-Continuous-closed-pair [closure]:
 assumes Continuous H \land i j. (i, j) \in A \Longrightarrow P i j \text{ is } H A \neq \{\}
 shows ( (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) is H
proof -
 have ( (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = ( (x \in A \cdot P \ (fst \ x) \ (snd \ x))
   by (rel-auto)
 also have ... is H
   by (metis\ (mono-tags)\ UINF-mem-Continuous-closed\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ prod.collapse)
 finally show ?thesis.
ged
lemma UINF-mem-Continuous-closed-triple [closure]:
 assumes Continuous H \land i j k. (i, j, k) \in A \Longrightarrow P i j k is H \land A \neq \{\}
 shows ( (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) is H
proof -
 have (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap x \in A \cdot P \ (fst \ x) \ (fst \ (snd \ x)) \ (snd \ (snd \ x)))
   by (rel-auto)
 also have ... is H
   by (metis (mono-tags) UINF-mem-Continuous-closed assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) prod.collapse)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma UINF-Continuous-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket Continuous\ H; \land i.\ P(i)\ is\ H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\bigcap\ i\cdot P(i))\ is\ H
 using UINF-mem-Continuous-closed[of H UNIV P]
 by (simp add: UINF-mem-UNIV)
All continuous functions are also Scott-continuous
lemma sup-continuous-Continuous [closure]: Continuous F \Longrightarrow sup\text{-continuous } F
 by (simp add: Continuous-def sup-continuous-def)
lemma Healthy-fixed-points [simp]: fps \mathcal{P} H = [\![H]\!]_H
 by (simp add: fps-def upred-lattice-def Healthy-def)
lemma USUP-healthy: A \subseteq \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \Longrightarrow (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot F(H(P)))
 by (rule USUP-cong, simp add: Healthy-subset-member)
lemma UINF-healthy: A \subseteq \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \Longrightarrow (\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(H(P)))
 by (rule UINF-cong, simp add: Healthy-subset-member)
lemma upred-lattice-Idempotent [simp]: Idem_{\mathcal{D}} H = Idempotent H
  using upred-lattice.weak-partial-order-axioms by (auto simp add: idempotent-def Idempotent-def)
lemma upred-lattice-Monotonic [simp]: Mono_{\mathcal{D}} H = Monotonic H
  using upred-lattice.weak-partial-order-axioms by (auto simp add: isotone-def mono-def)
```

17.4 UTP theories hierarchy

```
typedef ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy = UNIV :: unit set by auto
```

We create a unitary parametric type to represent UTP theories. These are merely tags and contain no data other than to help the type-system resolve polymorphic definitions. The two parameters denote the name of the UTP theory – as a unique type – and the minimal alphabet that the UTP theory requires. We will then use Isabelle's ad-hoc overloading mechanism to associate theory constructs, like healthiness conditions and units, with each of these types. This will allow the type system to retrieve definitions based on a particular theory context.

```
definition uthy :: ('a, 'b) \ uthy \ \mathbf{where}
uthy = Abs\text{-}uthy \ ()
\mathbf{lemma} \ uthy\text{-}eq \ [intro]:
\mathbf{fixes} \ x \ y :: ('a, 'b) \ uthy
\mathbf{shows} \ x = y
\mathbf{by} \ (cases \ x, \ cases \ y, \ simp)
\mathbf{syntax}
-UTHY :: type \Rightarrow type \Rightarrow logic \ (UTHY'(-, -'))
\mathbf{translations}
UTHY('T, '\alpha) == CONST \ uthy :: ('T, '\alpha) \ uthy
```

We set up polymorphic constants to denote the healthiness conditions associated with a UTP theory. Unfortunately we can currently only characterise UTP theories of homogeneous relations; this is due to restrictions in the instantiation of Isabelle's polymorphic constants which apparently cannot specialise types in this way.

```
consts
```

```
utp	ext{-}hcond :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) \ uthy \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) \ health \ (\mathcal{H}_1)
\textbf{definition} \ utp	ext{-}order :: ('\alpha \times '\alpha) \ health \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ gorder \ \textbf{where}
utp	ext{-}order \ H = (| \ carrier = \{P.\ P \ is \ H\}, \ eq = (op =), \ le = op \sqsubseteq |)
```

abbreviation uthy-order $T \equiv utp$ -order \mathcal{H}_T

Constant *utp-order* obtains the order structure associated with a UTP theory. Its carrier is the set of healthy predicates, equality is HOL equality, and the order is refinement.

```
lemma utp-order-carrier [simp]:
  carrier\ (utp\text{-}order\ H) = [\![H]\!]_H
 by (simp add: utp-order-def)
lemma utp-order-eq [simp]:
  eq (utp\text{-}order T) = op =
 by (simp add: utp-order-def)
lemma utp-order-le [simp]:
  le (utp\text{-}order T) = op \sqsubseteq
 by (simp add: utp-order-def)
lemma utp-partial-order: partial-order (utp-order T)
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: utp-order-def)
lemma utp-weak-partial-order: weak-partial-order (utp-order T)
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: utp-order-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ mono\text{-}Monotone\text{-}utp\text{-}order:
  mono\ f \Longrightarrow Monotone\ (utp-order\ T)\ f
  apply (auto simp add: isotone-def)
 apply (metis partial-order-def utp-partial-order)
 apply (metis monoD)
done
lemma isotone-utp-orderI: Monotonic H \Longrightarrow isotone (utp-order X) (utp-order Y) H
  by (auto simp add: mono-def isotone-def utp-weak-partial-order)
lemma Mono-utp-orderI:
  \llbracket \bigwedge P \ Q. \ \llbracket \ P \sqsubseteq Q; \ P \ is \ H; \ Q \ is \ H \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow F(P) \sqsubseteq F(Q) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Mono_{utn-order} \ H \ F
 by (auto simp add: isotone-def utp-weak-partial-order)
The UTP order can equivalently be characterised as the fixed point lattice, fpl.
lemma utp-order-fpl: utp-order H = fpl \mathcal{P} H
  by (auto simp add: utp-order-def upred-lattice-def fps-def Healthy-def)
definition uth-eq :: (T_1, \alpha) uthy \Rightarrow (T_2, \alpha) uthy \Rightarrow bool (infix \approx_T 50) where
T_1 \approx_T T_2 \longleftrightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{H}_{T_1} \rrbracket_H = \llbracket \mathcal{H}_{T_2} \rrbracket_H
lemma uth-eq-refl: T \approx_T T
  by (simp add: uth-eq-def)
lemma uth-eq-sym: T_1 \approx_T T_2 \longleftrightarrow T_2 \approx_T T_1
 by (auto simp add: uth-eq-def)
```

```
lemma uth-eq-trans: \llbracket T_1 \approx_T T_2; T_2 \approx_T T_3 \rrbracket \implies T_1 \approx_T T_3
by (auto\ simp\ add:\ uth-eq-def)
definition uthy-plus :: ('T_1, '\alpha)\ uthy \Rightarrow ('T_2, '\alpha)\ uthy \Rightarrow ('T_1 \times 'T_2, '\alpha)\ uthy\ (infixl +_T 65) where uthy-plus T_1\ T_2 = uthy
overloading prod-hcond == utp-hcond :: ('T_1 \times 'T_2, '\alpha)\ uthy \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha)\ health begin
```

The healthiness condition of a relation is simply identity, since every alphabetised relation is healthy.

```
definition prod-hcond :: ('T_1 \times 'T_2, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) upred \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) upred where prod-hcond T = \mathcal{H}_{UTHY('T_1, '\alpha)} \circ \mathcal{H}_{UTHY('T_2, '\alpha)}
```

end

17.5 UTP theory hierarchy

We next define a hierarchy of locales that characterise different classes of UTP theory. Minimally we require that a UTP theory's healthiness condition is idempotent.

```
locale utp\text{-}theory = fixes \mathcal{T} :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) \ uthy \ (structure) assumes HCond\text{-}Idem : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(P)) = \mathcal{H}(P) begin lemma uthy\text{-}simp :  uthy = \mathcal{T} by blast
```

A UTP theory fixes \mathcal{T} , the structural element denoting the UTP theory. All constants associated with UTP theories can then be resolved by the type system.

```
lemma HCond-Idempotent [closure,intro]: Idempotent H
by (simp add: Idempotent-def HCond-Idem)
sublocale partial-order uthy-order T
by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: utp-order-def)
end
```

Theory summation is commutative provided the healthiness conditions commute.

```
lemma uthy-plus-comm:
assumes \mathcal{H}_{T_1} \circ \mathcal{H}_{T_2} = \mathcal{H}_{T_2} \circ \mathcal{H}_{T_1}
shows T_1 +_T T_2 \approx_T T_2 +_T T_1

proof -
have T_1 = uthy T_2 = uthy
by blast +
thus ?thesis
using assms by (simp \ add: \ uth-eq-def prod-hcond-def)
qed

lemma uthy-plus-assoc: T_1 +_T (T_2 +_T T_3) \approx_T (T_1 +_T T_2) +_T T_3
by (simp \ add: \ uth-eq-def prod-hcond-def comp-def)
```

lemma uthy-plus-idem: utp-theory $T \Longrightarrow T +_T T \approx_T T$

```
by (simp add: uth-eq-def prod-hcond-def Healthy-def utp-theory. HCond-Idem utp-theory. uthy-simp)
```

locale utp-theory-lattice = utp-theory \mathcal{T} + complete-lattice uthy-order \mathcal{T} for \mathcal{T} :: (' \mathcal{T} , ' α) uthy (structure)

The healthiness conditions of a UTP theory lattice form a complete lattice, and allows us to make use of complete lattice results from HOL-Algebra, such as the Knaster-Tarski theorem. We can also retrieve lattice operators as below.

```
abbreviation utp-top (\top_1)
where utp-top \mathcal{T} \equiv top \ (uthy-order \ \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-bottom (\perp_1)
where utp-bottom \mathcal{T} \equiv bottom \ (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-join (infixl \sqcup 1 65) where
utp-join \mathcal{T} \equiv join (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-meet (infixl \sqcap 70) where
utp\text{-}meet \ \mathcal{T} \equiv meet \ (uthy\text{-}order \ \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-sup (| 1- [90] 90) where
utp-sup \mathcal{T} \equiv Lattice.sup (uthy-order <math>\mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-inf (\bigcap_{1}- [90] 90) where
utp-inf \mathcal{T} \equiv Lattice.inf (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-gfp (\nu_1) where
utp-gfp \mathcal{T} \equiv GFP (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
abbreviation utp-lfp (\mu_1) where
utp-lfp \mathcal{T} \equiv LFP (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
syntax
  -tmu :: logic \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\mu_1 - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
  -tnu :: logic \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\cdot} - [0, 10] \ 10)
notation gfp(\mu)
notation lfp (\nu)
translations
  \nu_T X \cdot P == CONST \ utp-lfp \ T \ (\lambda \ X. \ P)
  \mu_T X \cdot P == CONST \ utp-gfp \ T \ (\lambda \ X. \ P)
lemma upred-lattice-inf:
  Lattice.inf \mathcal{P} A = \prod A
```

 $\textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Sup-least Sup-upper UNIV-I antisym-conv subset I upred-lattice.weak.inf-greatest upred-lattice.weak.inf-lower upred-lattice-carrier upred-lattice-le)}$

We can then derive a number of properties about these operators, as below.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{context} \ \textit{utp-theory-lattice} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

```
lemma LFP-healthy-comp: \mu F = \mu (F \circ \mathcal{H})

proof –

have \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land F P \sqsubseteq P\} = \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land F (\mathcal{H} P) \sqsubseteq P\}

by (auto simp add: Healthy-def)
```

```
thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: LFP-def)
 lemma GFP-healthy-comp: \nu F = \nu (F \circ \mathcal{H})
 proof -
   have \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land P \sqsubseteq F P\} = \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land P \sqsubseteq F (\mathcal{H} P)\}
     by (auto simp add: Healthy-def)
   thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: GFP-def)
 qed
 lemma top-healthy [closure]: \top is \mathcal{H}
   using weak.top-closed by auto
 lemma bottom-healthy [closure]: \perp is \mathcal{H}
   using weak.bottom-closed by auto
 lemma utp-top: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow P \sqsubseteq \top
   using weak.top-higher by auto
 lemma utp-bottom: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow \bot \sqsubseteq P
   using weak.bottom-lower by auto
end
lemma upred-top: \top_{\mathcal{P}} = false
 using ball-UNIV greatest-def by fastforce
lemma upred-bottom: <math>\perp_{\mathcal{D}} = true
 by fastforce
One way of obtaining a complete lattice is showing that the healthiness conditions are monotone,
which the below locale characterises.
locale \ utp-theory-mono = utp-theory +
 assumes HCond-Mono [closure,intro]: Monotonic \mathcal{H}
sublocale utp-theory-mono \subseteq utp-theory-lattice
proof -
We can then use the Knaster-Tarski theorem to obtain a complete lattice, and thus provide all
the usual properties.
 interpret weak-complete-lattice fpl \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}
   by (rule Knaster-Tarski, auto simp add: upred-lattice.weak.weak-complete-lattice-axioms)
 have complete-lattice (fpl \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H})
   by (unfold-locales, simp add: fps-def sup-exists, (blast intro: sup-exists inf-exists)+)
 hence complete-lattice (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
   by (simp add: utp-order-def, simp add: upred-lattice-def)
 thus utp-theory-lattice \mathcal{T}
   by (simp add: utp-theory-axioms utp-theory-lattice-def)
qed
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{context} \ \textit{utp-theory-mono} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

In a monotone theory, the top and bottom can always be obtained by applying the healthiness condition to the predicate top and bottom, respectively.

```
lemma healthy-top: \top = \mathcal{H}(false)
proof -
 have \top = \top_{\mathit{fpl}\ \mathcal{P}\ \mathcal{H}}
   by (simp add: utp-order-fpl)
  also have ... = \mathcal{H} \top_{\mathcal{P}}
   using Knaster-Tarski-idem-extremes(1)[of \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}]
   by (simp add: HCond-Idempotent HCond-Mono)
  also have ... = \mathcal{H} false
   by (simp add: upred-top)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma healthy-bottom: \bot = \mathcal{H}(true)
proof -
 have \perp = \perp_{fpl \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}}
   by (simp add: utp-order-fpl)
  also have ... = \mathcal{H} \perp_{\mathcal{P}}
   using Knaster-Tarski-idem-extremes(2)[of \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}]
   by (simp add: HCond-Idempotent HCond-Mono)
  also have ... = \mathcal{H} true
   by (simp add: upred-bottom)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma healthy-inf:
  assumes A \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H
 shows \prod A = \mathcal{H} (\prod A)
proof -
  have 1: weak-complete-lattice (uthy-order \mathcal{T})
   by (simp add: weak.weak-complete-lattice-axioms)
 have 2: Mono_{uthy-order} \tau \mathcal{H}
   by (simp add: HCond-Mono isotone-utp-orderI)
  have 3: Idem_{uthy-order} \tau \mathcal{H}
   by (simp add: HCond-Idem idempotent-def)
  show ?thesis
   using Knaster-Tarski-idem-inf-eq[OF upred-weak-complete-lattice, of \mathcal{H}]
     by (simp, metis HCond-Idempotent HCond-Mono assms partial-object.simps(3) upred-lattice-def
upred-lattice-inf utp-order-def)
qed
end
locale \ utp-theory-continuous = utp-theory +
 assumes HCond\text{-}Cont\ [closure,intro]: Continuous\ \mathcal{H}
sublocale utp-theory-continuous \subseteq utp-theory-mono
proof
  show Monotonic \mathcal{H}
   by (simp add: Continuous-Monotonic HCond-Cont)
qed
```

```
context utp-theory-continuous
begin
 lemma healthy-inf-cont:
   assumes A \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H \ A \neq \{\}
   shows \prod A = \prod A
 proof -
   have \prod A = \prod (\mathcal{H}'A)
     using Continuous-def HCond-Cont assms(1) assms(2) healthy-inf by auto
   also have ... = \prod A
     by (unfold\ Healthy\text{-}carrier\text{-}image[OF\ assms(1)],\ simp)
   finally show ?thesis.
 lemma healthy-inf-def:
   assumes A \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H
   shows \bigcap A = (if (A = \{\}) then \top else (\bigcap A))
   using assms healthy-inf-cont weak.weak-inf-empty by auto
  lemma healthy-meet-cont:
   assumes P is \mathcal{H} Q is \mathcal{H}
   shows P \sqcap Q = P \sqcap Q
   using healthy-inf-cont[of \{P, Q\}] assms
   by (simp add: Healthy-if meet-def)
 lemma meet-is-healthy [closure]:
   assumes P is \mathcal{H} Q is \mathcal{H}
   shows P \sqcap Q is \mathcal{H}
   by (metis Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def HCond-Cont Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2))
 lemma meet-bottom [simp]:
   assumes P is \mathcal{H}
   shows P \sqcap \bot = \bot
     by (simp add: assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-absorb2 utp-bottom)
 lemma meet-top [simp]:
   assumes P is \mathcal{H}
   shows P \sqcap T = P
     by (simp add: assms semilattice-sup-class.sup-absorb1 utp-top)
The UTP theory lfp operator can be rewritten to the alphabetised predicate lfp when in a
continuous context.
  theorem utp-lfp-def:
   assumes Monotonic F F \in [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H \to [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H
   shows \mu F = (\mu X \cdot F(\mathcal{H}(X)))
  proof (rule antisym)
   have ne: \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land F P \sqsubseteq P\} \neq \{\}
   proof -
     have F \top \sqsubseteq \top
       using assms(2) utp-top weak.top-closed by force
     thus ?thesis
       by (auto, rule-tac x=T in exI, auto simp add: top-healthy)
   show \mu F \subseteq (\mu X \cdot F (\mathcal{H} X))
```

```
proof -
    have \bigcap \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land F(P) \sqsubseteq P\} \sqsubseteq \bigcap \{P. F(\mathcal{H}(P)) \sqsubseteq P\}
      have 1: \bigwedge P. F(\mathcal{H}(P)) = \mathcal{H}(F(\mathcal{H}(P)))
        by (metis HCond-Idem Healthy-def assms(2) funcset-mem mem-Collect-eq)
      show ?thesis
      proof (rule Sup-least, auto)
        \mathbf{fix} P
        assume a: F(\mathcal{H} P) \sqsubseteq P
        hence F: (F (\mathcal{H} P)) \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{H} P)
          by (metis 1 HCond-Mono mono-def)
        show \bigcap \{P. (P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}) \land F P \sqsubseteq P\} \sqsubseteq P
        proof (rule Sup-upper2[of F (\mathcal{H} P)])
          show F (\mathcal{H} P) \in \{P. (P is \mathcal{H}) \land F P \sqsubseteq P\}
          proof (auto)
            show F(\mathcal{H} P) is \mathcal{H}
              by (metis 1 Healthy-def)
            show F(F(\mathcal{H} P)) \sqsubseteq F(\mathcal{H} P)
              using F mono-def assms(1) by blast
          show F(\mathcal{H} P) \sqsubseteq P
            by (simp \ add: \ a)
        qed
      qed
    qed
    with ne show ?thesis
      by (simp add: LFP-def gfp-def, subst healthy-inf-cont, auto simp add: lfp-def)
  from ne show (\mu \ X \cdot F \ (\mathcal{H} \ X)) \sqsubseteq \mu \ F
    apply (simp add: LFP-def gfp-def, subst healthy-inf-cont, auto simp add: lfp-def)
    apply (rule Sup-least)
    apply (auto simp add: Healthy-def Sup-upper)
  done
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

In another direction, we can also characterise UTP theories that are relational. Minimally this requires that the healthiness condition is closed under sequential composition.

```
locale utp-theory-rel = utp-theory + assumes Healthy-Sequence [closure]: \llbracket P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}; Q \text{ is } \mathcal{H} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P ;; Q) \text{ is } \mathcal{H} locale utp-theory-cont-rel = utp-theory-continuous + utp-theory-rel begin lemma seq-cont-Sup-distl: assumes P \text{ is } \mathcal{H} A \subseteq \llbracket \mathcal{H} \rrbracket_H A \neq \{\} shows P ;; (\sqcap A) = \sqcap \{P ;; Q \mid Q. Q \in A \} proof – have \{P ;; Q \mid Q. Q \in A \} \subseteq \llbracket \mathcal{H} \rrbracket_H using Healthy-Sequence assms(1) assms(2) by (auto) thus ?thesis by (simp add: healthy-inf-cont seq-Sup-distl setcompr-eq-image assms)
```

```
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma seq\text{-}cont\text{-}Sup\text{-}distr:
   assumes Q is \mathcal{H} A \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H A \neq \{\}
   shows (\![ ]\!] A) ;; Q = [\![ ]\!] \{P :; Q \mid P.\ P \in A \}
proof -
have \{P :; Q \mid P.\ P \in A \} \subseteq [\![\mathcal{H}]\!]_H
   using Healthy\text{-}Sequence\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ by\ (auto)
thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ healthy\text{-}inf\text{-}cont\ seq\text{-}Sup\text{-}distr\ setcompr\text{-}eq\text{-}image\ assms})
qed
```

end

There also exist UTP theories with units, and the following operator is a theory specific operator for them.

consts

```
utp\text{-}unit :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) \ uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel (\mathcal{II}_1)
```

Not all theories have both a left and a right unit (e.g. H1-H2 designs) and so we split up the locale into two cases.

```
locale utp-theory-left-unital =
  utp-theory-rel +
  assumes Healthy-Left-Unit [closure]: II is H
  and Left-Unit: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{II}; P) = P
locale utp-theory-right-unital =
  utp-theory-rel +
  assumes Healthy-Right-Unit [closure]: II is H
  and Right-Unit: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow (P ;; \mathcal{II}) = P
locale \ utp-theory-unital =
  utp-theory-rel +
  assumes Healthy-Unit [closure]: II is H
  and Unit-Left: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{II} ;; P) = P
  and Unit-Right: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow (P :: \mathcal{II}) = P
locale \ utp-theory-mono-unital = utp-theory-mono + utp-theory-unital
definition utp-star (-\star1 [999] 999) where
utp\text{-}star \ \mathcal{T} \ P = (\nu_{\mathcal{T}} \ (\lambda \ X. \ (P \ ;; \ X) \ \sqcap_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{II}_{\mathcal{T}}))
definition utp-omega (-\omega_1 [999] 999) where
utp\text{-}omega \ \mathcal{T} \ P = (\mu_{\mathcal{T}} \ (\lambda \ X. \ (P \ ;; \ X)))
{f locale}\ utp\mbox{-}pre\mbox{-}left\mbox{-}quantale = utp\mbox{-}theory\mbox{-}continuous + utp\mbox{-}theory\mbox{-}left\mbox{-}unital
begin
  lemma star-healthy [closure]: P \star is \mathcal{H}
    by (metis mem-Collect-eq utp-order-carrier utp-star-def weak. GFP-closed)
  lemma star-unfold: P is \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow P\star = (P;;P\star) \sqcap \mathcal{II}
    apply (simp add: utp-star-def healthy-meet-cont)
    apply (subst GFP-unfold)
    apply (rule Mono-utp-orderI)
```

```
apply (simp add: healthy-meet-cont closure semilattice-sup-class.le-supI1 seqr-mono) apply (auto intro: funcsetI) apply (simp add: Healthy-Left-Unit Healthy-Sequence healthy-meet-cont meet-is-healthy) using Healthy-Left-Unit Healthy-Sequence healthy-meet-cont weak.GFP-closed apply auto done
```

end

```
sublocale utp-theory-unital \subseteq utp-theory-left-unital
```

 $\textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: Healthy-Unit \ Unit-Left \ Healthy-Sequence \ utp-theory-rel-def \ utp-theory-axioms \ utp-theory-rel-axioms-def \ utp-theory-left-unital-axioms-def \ utp-theory-left-unital-def)$

```
sublocale utp-theory-unital \subseteq utp-theory-right-unital
```

 $\textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: Healthy-Unit \ Unit-Right \ Healthy-Sequence \ utp-theory-rel-def \ utp-theory-axioms \ utp-theory-rel-axioms-def \ utp-theory-right-unital-axioms-def \ utp-theory-right-unital-def)$

17.6 Theory of relations

We can exemplify the creation of a UTP theory with the theory of relations, a trivial theory.

```
typedecl REL abbreviation REL \equiv UTHY(REL, '\alpha)
```

We declare the type REL to be the tag for this theory. We need know nothing about this type (other than it's non-empty), since it is merely a name. We also create the corresponding constant to refer to the theory. Then we can use it to instantiate the relevant polymorphic constants.

overloading

```
rel\text{-}hcond == utp\text{-}hcond :: (REL, '\alpha) \ uthy \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) \ health \ rel\text{-}unit == utp\text{-}unit :: (REL, '\alpha) \ uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha \ hrel \ begin
```

The healthiness condition of a relation is simply identity, since every alphabetised relation is healthy.

```
definition rel-hcond :: (REL, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) upred \Rightarrow ('\alpha \times '\alpha) upred where rel-hcond T = id
```

The unit of the theory is simply the relational unit.

```
definition rel-unit :: (REL, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel where rel-unit T = II end
```

Finally we can show that relations are a monotone and unital theory using a locale interpretation, which requires that we prove all the relevant properties. It's convenient to rewrite some of the theorems so that the provisos are more UTP like; e.g. that the carrier is the set of healthy predicates.

```
interpretation rel-theory: utp-theory-mono-unital REL rewrites carrier (uthy-order REL) = [id]_H by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: rel-hcond-def rel-unit-def Healthy-def)
```

We can then, for instance, determine what the top and bottom of our new theory is.

```
lemma REL-top: \top_{REL} = false
by (simp add: rel-theory.healthy-top, simp add: rel-hcond-def)
```

```
lemma REL-bottom: \perp_{REL} = true
 by (simp add: rel-theory.healthy-bottom, simp add: rel-hcond-def)
```

A number of theorems have been exported, such at the fixed point unfolding laws.

thm rel-theory. GFP-unfold

17.7 Theory links

We can also describe links between theories, such a Galois connections and retractions, using the following notation.

```
definition mk-conn (- \Leftarrow\langle -,-\rangle \Rightarrow - [90,0,0,91] 91) where
H1 \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H2 \equiv ( orderA = utp-order H1, orderB = utp-order H2, lower = \mathcal{H}_2, upper = \mathcal{H}_1 )
abbreviation mk\text{-}conn' (- \leftarrow \lambda -,-\rangle - [90,0,0,91] 91) where
T1 \leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \rightarrow T2 \equiv \mathcal{H}_{T1} \leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{T2}
lemma mk-conn-orderA [simp]: \mathcal{X}_{H1} \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H2 = utp-order H1
  by (simp\ add:mk\text{-}conn\text{-}def)
lemma mk-conn-orderB [simp]: \mathcal{Y}_{H1} \Leftarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \Rightarrow H2 = utp-order H2
  by (simp add:mk-conn-def)
lemma mk-conn-lower [simp]: \pi_{*H1} \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H2 = \mathcal{H}_1
  by (simp \ add: \ mk\text{-}conn\text{-}def)
lemma mk-conn-upper [simp]: \pi^*_{H1} \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H2 = \mathcal{H}_2
  by (simp add: mk-conn-def)
lemma galois-comp: (H_2 \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4 \rangle \Rightarrow H_3) \circ_q (H_1 \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H_2) = H_1 \Leftarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_3, \mathcal{H}_4 \circ \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow H_3
  by (simp add: comp-galcon-def mk-conn-def)
Example Galois connection / retract: Existential quantification
lemma Idempotent-ex: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow Idempotent (ex x)
  by (simp add: Idempotent-def exists-twice)
lemma Monotonic-ex: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow Monotonic (ex x)
  by (simp add: mono-def ex-mono)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{ex-closed-unrest}\colon
   vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow \llbracket ex \ x \rrbracket_H = \{P. \ x \ \sharp \ P\}
  by (simp add: Healthy-def unrest-as-exists)
Any theory can be composed with an existential quantification to produce a Galois connection
```

```
theorem ex-retract:
```

```
assumes vwb-lens x Idempotent H ex x \circ H = H \circ ex x
  shows retract ((ex\ x\circ H) \Leftarrow \langle ex\ x,\ H\rangle \Rightarrow H)
proof (unfold-locales, simp-all)
  show H \in \llbracket ex \ x \circ H \rrbracket_H \to \llbracket H \rrbracket_H
    using Healthy-Idempotent assms by blast
  from assms(1) assms(3)[THEN sym] show ex \ x \in [\![H]\!]_H \to [\![ex \ x \circ H]\!]_H
    by (simp add: Pi-iff Healthy-def fun-eq-iff exists-twice)
  \mathbf{fix} \ P \ Q
  assume P is (ex \ x \circ H) Q is H
```

```
thus (HP \sqsubseteq Q) = (P \sqsubseteq (\exists \ x \cdot Q))
by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ Healthy-Idempotent\ Healthy-if\ assms\ comp-apply\ dual-order.trans\ ex-weakens\ utp-pred-laws.ex-mono\ vwb-lens-wb)
next
fix P
assume P\ is\ (ex\ x \circ H)
thus (\exists\ x \cdot HP) \sqsubseteq P
by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-def)
qed
corollary ex\text{-}retract\text{-}id\text{:}
assumes vwb\text{-}lens\ x
shows retract\ (ex\ x \Leftarrow \langle ex\ x,\ id\rangle \Rightarrow id)
using assms\ ex\text{-}retract[where H=id] by (auto)
end
```

18 Concurrent Programming

```
theory utp-concurrency
imports
utp-rel
utp-tactics
utp-theory
begin
```

In this theory we describe the UTP scheme for concurrency, parallel-by-merge, which provides a general parallel operator parametrised by a "merge predicate" that explains how to merge the after states of the composed predicates. It can thus be applied to many languages and concurrency schemes, with this theory providing a number of generic laws. The operator is explained in more detail in Chapter 7 of the UTP book [5].

18.1 Variable Renamings

In parallel-by-merge constructions, a merge predicate defines the behaviour following execution of of parallel processes, $P \parallel Q$, as a relation that merges the output of P and Q. In order to achieve this we need to separate the variable values output from P and Q, and in addition the variable values before execution. The following three constructs do these separations. The initial state-space before execution is α , the final state-space after the first parallel process is β_0 , and the final state-space for the second is β_1 . These three functions lift variables on these three state-spaces, respectively.

```
definition pre-uvar :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \Longrightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta_0 \times '\beta_1) where [upred-defs]: pre-uvar \ x = x \ ;_L \ fst_L

definition left-uvar :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta_0) \Longrightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta_0 \times '\beta_1) where [upred-defs]: left-uvar \ x = x \ ;_L \ fst_L \ ;_L \ snd_L

definition right-uvar :: ('a \Longrightarrow '\beta_1) \Longrightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha \times '\beta_0 \times '\beta_1) where [upred-defs]: right-uvar \ x = x \ ;_L \ snd_L \ ;_L \ snd_L

We set up syntax for the three variable classes using a subscript <, 0-x, and 1-x, respectively. syntax

-svarpre :: svid \Longrightarrow svid \ (-<[999] \ 999)
-svarleft :: svid \Longrightarrow svid \ (0--[999] \ 999)
```

```
-svarright :: svid \Rightarrow svid (1 -- [999] 999)
translations
  -svarpre \ x == CONST \ pre-uvar \ x
  -svarleft \ x == CONST \ left-uvar \ x
  -svarright x == CONST \ right-uvar \ x
  -svarpre \Sigma <= CONST pre-uvar 1<sub>L</sub>
  -svarleft \Sigma <= CONST left-uvar 1<sub>L</sub>
  -svarright \Sigma <= CONST \ right-uvar 1_L
We proved behavedness closure properties about the lenses.
 lemma left-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens x \implies vwb-lens (left-uvar x)
 by (simp add: left-uvar-def)
lemma right-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow vwb-lens (right-uvar x)
 by (simp add: right-uvar-def)
lemma pre-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow vwb-lens (pre-uvar x)
 by (simp add: pre-uvar-def)
lemma left-uvar-mwb [simp]: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow mwb-lens (left-uvar x)
 by (simp add: left-uvar-def)
lemma right-uvar-mwb [simp]: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow mwb-lens (right-uvar x)
 by (simp add: right-uvar-def)
lemma pre-uvar-mwb [simp]: mwb-lens x \Longrightarrow mwb-lens (pre-uvar x)
 by (simp add: pre-uvar-def)
We prove various independence laws about the variable classes.
lemma left-uvar-indep-right-uvar [simp]:
 left-uvar x \bowtie right-uvar y
 by (simp add: left-uvar-def right-uvar-def lens-comp-assoc[THEN sym])
lemma left-uvar-indep-pre-uvar [simp]:
 left-uvar x \bowtie pre-uvar y
 by (simp add: left-uvar-def pre-uvar-def)
lemma left-uvar-indep-left-uvar [simp]:
 x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow left\text{-}uvar \ x \bowtie left\text{-}uvar \ y
 by (simp add: left-uvar-def)
lemma right-uvar-indep-left-uvar [simp]:
  right-uvar x \bowtie left-uvar y
 by (simp add: lens-indep-sym)
lemma right-uvar-indep-pre-uvar [simp]:
  right-uvar x \bowtie pre-uvar y
 by (simp add: right-uvar-def pre-uvar-def)
lemma right-uvar-indep-right-uvar [simp]:
 x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow right\text{-}uvar \ x \bowtie right\text{-}uvar \ y
 by (simp add: right-uvar-def)
lemma pre-uvar-indep-left-uvar [simp]:
```

```
pre-uvar x \bowtie left-uvar y

by (simp \ add: lens-indep-sym)

lemma pre-uvar-indep-right-uvar [simp]:

pre-uvar x \bowtie right-uvar y

by (simp \ add: lens-indep-sym)

lemma pre-uvar-indep-pre-uvar [simp]:

x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow pre-uvar x \bowtie pre-uvar y

by (simp \ add: pre-uvar-def)
```

18.2 Merge Predicates

A merge predicate is a relation whose input has three parts: the prior variables, the output variables of the left predicate, and the output of the right predicate.

```
type-synonym '\alpha merge = ('\alpha \times ('\alpha \times '\alpha), '\alpha) rel
```

skip is the merge predicate which ignores the output of both parallel predicates

```
definition skip_m :: '\alpha \text{ merge where} [upred\text{-}defs]: skip_m = (\$\Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma_{\leq})
```

swap is a predicate that the swaps the left and right indices; it is used to specify commutativity of the parallel operator

— TODO: There is an ambiguity below due to list assignment and tuples.

```
definition swap_m :: ('\alpha \times '\beta \times '\beta, '\alpha \times '\beta \times '\beta) \text{ rel where } [upred-defs]: swap_m = (\theta - \Sigma, 1 - \Sigma := \& 1 - \Sigma, \& \theta - \Sigma)
```

A symmetric merge is one for which swapping the order of the merged concurrent predicates has no effect. We represent this by the following healthiness condition that states that $swap_m$ is a left-unit.

```
abbreviation SymMerge :: '\alpha \ merge \Rightarrow '\alpha \ merge \ \mathbf{where} SymMerge(M) \equiv (swap_m \ ; ; \ M)
```

18.3 Separating Simulations

U0 and U1 are relations modify the variables of the input state-space such that they become indexed with 0 and 1, respectively.

```
definition U0:: ('\beta_0, '\alpha \times '\beta_0 \times '\beta_1) rel where [upred\text{-}defs]: U0 = (\$0 - \Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma)

definition U1:: ('\beta_1, '\alpha \times '\beta_0 \times '\beta_1) rel where [upred\text{-}defs]: U1 = (\$1 - \Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma)

lemma U0\text{-}swap: (U0 ;; swap_m) = U1
by (rel\text{-}auto)

lemma U1\text{-}swap: (U1 ;; swap_m) = U0
by (rel\text{-}auto)
```

As shown below, separating simulations can also be expressed using the following two alphabet extrusions

```
definition U\theta\alpha where [upred-defs]: U\theta\alpha = (1_L \times_L out\text{-}var fst_L)
```

```
definition U1\alpha where [upred-defs]: U1\alpha = (1_L \times_L out\text{-}var snd_L)
We then create the following intuitive syntax for separating simulations.
abbreviation U0-alpha-lift ([-]_0) where [P]_0 \equiv P \oplus_p U0\alpha
abbreviation U1-alpha-lift (\lceil - \rceil_1) where \lceil P \rceil_1 \equiv P \oplus_p U1\alpha
[P]_0 is predicate P where all variables are indexed by 0, and [P]_1 is where all variables are
indexed by 1. We can thus equivalently express separating simulations using alphabet extrusion.
lemma U0-as-alpha: (P ;; U0) = \lceil P \rceil_0
 by (rel-auto)
lemma U1-as-alpha: (P ;; U1) = \lceil P \rceil_1
  by (rel-auto)
lemma U0\alpha-vwb-lens [simp]: vwb-lens U0\alpha
  by (simp add: U0\alpha-def id-vwb-lens prod-vwb-lens)
lemma U1\alpha-vwb-lens [simp]: vwb-lens U1\alpha
  by (simp add: U1\alpha-def id-vwb-lens prod-vwb-lens)
lemma U\theta\alpha-indep-right-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow U\theta\alpha \bowtie out-var (right-uvar x)
  by (force intro: plus-pres-lens-indep fst-snd-lens-indep lens-indep-left-comp
            simp\ add: U0\alpha-def right-uvar-def out-var-def prod-as-plus lens-comp-assoc[THEN sym])
lemma U1\alpha-indep-left-uvar [simp]: vwb-lens x \Longrightarrow U1\alpha \bowtie out-var (left-uvar x)
  by (force intro: plus-pres-lens-indep fst-snd-lens-indep lens-indep-left-comp
            simp\ add:\ U1\alpha-def left-uvar-def out-var-def prod-as-plus lens-comp-assoc[THEN sym])
lemma U0-alpha-lift-bool-subst [usubst]:
  \sigma(\$0-x'\mapsto_s true) \dagger \lceil P \rceil_0 = \sigma \dagger \lceil P[true/\$x'] \rceil_0
  \sigma(\$0-x'\mapsto_s false) \dagger \lceil P \rceil_0 = \sigma \dagger \lceil P \llbracket false/\$x' \rrbracket \rceil_0
  by (pred-auto+)
lemma U1-alpha-lift-bool-subst [usubst]:
  \sigma(\$1-x'\mapsto_s true) \dagger \lceil P \rceil_1 = \sigma \dagger \lceil P \llbracket true/\$x' \rrbracket \rceil_1
  \sigma(\$1-x'\mapsto_s false) \dagger \lceil P \rceil_1 = \sigma \dagger \lceil P \lceil false/\$x' \rceil \rceil_1
  by (pred-auto+)
lemma U0-alpha-out-var [alpha]: [\$x']_0 = \$0-x'
 by (rel-auto)
lemma U1-alpha-out-var [alpha]: [\$x']_1 = \$1-x'
  by (rel-auto)
lemma U0-skip [alpha]: [II]_0 = (\$0-\Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma U1-skip [alpha]: [II]_1 = (\$1-\Sigma' =_u \$\Sigma)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma U0-seqr [alpha]: \lceil P :: Q \rceil_0 = P :: \lceil Q \rceil_0
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma U1-seqr [alpha]: \lceil P \ ;; \ Q \rceil_1 = P \ ;; \ \lceil Q \rceil_1

by (rel-auto)

lemma U0\alpha-comp-in-var [alpha]: (in\text{-}var\ x)\ ;_L\ U0\alpha = in\text{-}var\ x

by (simp\ add:\ U0\alpha-def alpha-in-var in-var-prod-lens pre-uvar-def)

lemma U0\alpha-comp-out-var [alpha]: (out\text{-}var\ x)\ ;_L\ U0\alpha = out\text{-}var\ (left\text{-}uvar\ x)

by (simp\ add:\ U0\alpha-def alpha-out-var id-wb-lens left-uvar-def out-var-prod-lens)

lemma U1\alpha-comp-in-var [alpha]: (in\text{-}var\ x)\ ;_L\ U1\alpha = in\text{-}var\ x

by (simp\ add:\ U1\alpha-def alpha-in-var in-var-prod-lens pre-uvar-def)

lemma U1\alpha-comp-out-var [alpha]: (out\text{-}var\ x)\ ;_L\ U1\alpha = out\text{-}var\ (right\text{-}uvar\ x)

by (simp\ add:\ U1\alpha-def alpha-out-var id-wb-lens right-uvar-def out-var-prod-lens)
```

18.4 Parallel Operators

We implement the following useful abbreviation for separating of two parallel processes and copying of the before variables, all to act as input to the merge predicate.

```
abbreviation par-sep (infixl \parallel_s 85) where P \parallel_s Q \equiv (P ;; U0) \land (Q ;; U1) \land \$\Sigma_{<}' =_u \$\Sigma
```

The following implementation of parallel by merge is less general than the book version, in that it does not properly partition the alphabet into two disjoint segments. We could actually achieve this specifying lenses into the larger alphabet, but this would complicate the definition of programs. May reconsider later.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ par\text{-}by\text{-}merge \ \ (-\parallel_- - [85,0,86] \ 85) \\ \textbf{where} \ [upred\text{-}defs] : P \parallel_M Q = (P \parallel_s Q \ ;; M) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ par\text{-}by\text{-}merge\text{-}alt\text{-}def : P \parallel_M Q = (\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$\Sigma_{<} \ ' =_u \$\Sigma) \ ;; M \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add : par\text{-}by\text{-}merge\text{-}def \ U0\text{-}as\text{-}alpha \ U1\text{-}as\text{-}alpha) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ shEx\text{-}pbm\text{-}left : ((\exists \ x \cdot P \ x) \parallel_M Q) = (\exists \ x \cdot (P \ x \parallel_M Q)) \\ \textbf{by} \ (rel\text{-}auto) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ shEx\text{-}pbm\text{-}right : (P \parallel_M (\exists \ x \cdot Q \ x)) = (\exists \ x \cdot (P \parallel_M Q \ x)) \\ \textbf{by} \ (rel\text{-}auto) \\ \end{array}
```

18.5 Substitution laws

Substitution is a little tricky because when we push the expression through the composition operator the alphabet of the expression must also change. Consequently for now we only support literal substitution, though this could be generalised with suitable alphabet coercsions. We need quite a number of variants to support this which are below.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ U0\text{-}seq\text{-}subst: \ (P \ ;; \ U0) \llbracket \ll v \gg /\$0 - x' \rrbracket \ = \ (P \llbracket \ll v \gg /\$x' \rrbracket \ ;; \ U0) \\ \mathbf{by} \ (rel\text{-}auto) \\ \\ \mathbf{lemma} \ \ U1\text{-}seq\text{-}subst: \ (P \ ;; \ U1) \llbracket \ll v \gg /\$1 - x' \rrbracket \ = \ (P \llbracket \ll v \gg /\$x' \rrbracket \ ;; \ U1) \\ \mathbf{by} \ (rel\text{-}auto) \\ \\ \mathbf{lemma} \ \ lit\text{-}pbm\text{-}subst \ [usubst]: \\ \mathbf{fixes} \ \ x :: \ (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha) \\ \mathbf{shows} \\ \end{array}
```

```
\bigwedge \ P \ Q \ M \ \sigma. \ \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s \ll v \gg) \ \dagger \ (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \ \dagger \ ((P[\![\ll v \gg /\$x]\!]) \ \parallel_{M[\![\ll v \gg /\$x < [\!]\!]} \ (Q[\![\ll v \gg /\$x]\!]))
    \bigwedge \ P \ Q \ M \ \sigma. \ \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s \ll v \gg) \ \dagger \ (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \ \dagger \ (P \parallel_{M \| \ll v \gg / \$ x' \|} \ Q)
  by (rel-auto)+
lemma bool-pbm-subst [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
     \bigwedge \ P \ Q \ M \ \sigma. \ \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s \mathit{false}) \dagger \ (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \dagger \ ((P[\![\mathit{false}/\$x]\!]) \parallel_{M[\![\mathit{false}/\$x_<]\!]} \ (Q[\![\mathit{false}/\$x]\!])) 
    \bigwedge P Q M \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s false) \dagger (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \parallel_{M \llbracket false/\$x' \rrbracket} Q)
    by (rel-auto)+
lemma zero-one-pbm-subst [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
    \bigwedge\ P\ Q\ M\ \sigma.\ \sigma(\$x\mapsto_s 1)\ \dagger\ (P\ \|_M\ Q) = \sigma\ \dagger\ ((P\llbracket 1/\$x\rrbracket)\ \|_{M\llbracket 1/\$x<\rrbracket}\ (Q\llbracket 1/\$x\rrbracket))
    \bigwedge P Q M \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s \theta) \dagger (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \parallel_{M \llbracket \theta / \$x' \rrbracket} Q)
    \bigwedge \ P \ Q \ M \ \sigma. \ \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s 1) \dagger (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \parallel_{M \llbracket 1/\$x' \rrbracket} \ Q)
  by (rel-auto)+
lemma numeral-pbm-subst [usubst]:
  fixes x :: (-\Longrightarrow '\alpha)
  shows
      \bigwedge \ P \ Q \ M \ \sigma. \ \sigma(\$x \mapsto_s \ numeral \ n) \ \dagger \ (P \parallel_M \ Q) = \sigma \ \dagger \ ((P[\![ numeral \ n/\$x]\!]) \ \parallel_{M[\![ numeral \ n/\$x_<]\!]} ) 
(Q[numeral\ n/\$x])
    \bigwedge P Q M \sigma. \sigma(\$x' \mapsto_s numeral n) \dagger (P \parallel_M Q) = \sigma \dagger (P \parallel_{M \lceil numeral n/\$x' \rceil} Q)
  by (rel-auto)+
18.6
           Parallel-by-merge laws
lemma par-by-merge-false [simp]:
  P \parallel_{false} Q = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma par-by-merge-left-false [simp]:
  false \parallel_M Q = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma par-by-merge-right-false [simp]:
  P \parallel_M false = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma par-by-merge-seq-add: (P \parallel_M Q) ;; R = (P \parallel_M ;; R Q)
  by (simp add: par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
A skip parallel-by-merge yields a skip whenever the parallel predicates are both feasible.
lemma par-by-merge-skip:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ ;;\ true = true\ Q\ ;;\ true = true
  shows P \parallel_{skip_m} Q = II
  using assms by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma skip-merge-swap: swap_m;; skip_m = skip_m
    by (rel-auto)
Parallel-by-merge commutes when the merge predicate is unchanged by swap
lemma par-by-merge-commute-swap:
    shows P \parallel_M Q = Q \parallel_{swap_m ;; M} P
proof -
    \mathbf{have} \ Q \parallel_{swap_m} ;; \ M \ P = ((((Q \ ;; \ U0) \ \land \ (P \ ;; \ U1) \ \land \ \$\Sigma_{<} \ ' =_u \ \$\Sigma) \ ;; \ swap_m) \ ;; \ M)
         by (simp add: par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
     also have ... = ((Q : Supprox U0 : Supprox
         by (rel-auto)
     also have ... = ((Q :; U1) \land (P :; U0) \land \$\Sigma < ' =_u \$\Sigma) :; M)
         by (simp add: U0-swap U1-swap)
     also have ... = P \parallel_M Q
         by (simp add: par-by-merge-def utp-pred-laws.inf.left-commute)
     finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma par-by-merge-commute:
    assumes M is SymMerge
    shows P \parallel_M Q = Q \parallel_M P
    by (metis Healthy-if assms par-by-merge-commute-swap)
lemma par-by-merge-mono-1:
     assumes P_1 \sqsubseteq P_2
     shows P_1 \parallel_M Q \sqsubseteq P_2 \parallel_M Q
     using assms by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ par-by\text{-}merge\text{-}mono\text{-}2\colon
     assumes Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_2
    shows (P \parallel_M Q_1) \sqsubseteq (P \parallel_M Q_2)
     using assms by (rel-blast)
end
```

19 Relational operational semantics

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}rel\text{-}opsem \\ \textbf{imports} \ utp\text{-}rel\text{-}laws \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

This theory uses the laws of relational calculus to create a basic operational semantics. It is based on Chapter 10 of the UTP book [5].

```
fun trel :: '\alpha \ usubst \times '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow '\alpha \ usubst \times '\alpha \ hrel \Rightarrow bool \ (infix \to_u 85) where (\sigma, P) \to_u (\varrho, Q) \longleftrightarrow (\langle \sigma \rangle_a \; ;; P) \sqsubseteq (\langle \varrho \rangle_a \; ;; Q)
```

```
by (simp add: assigns-comp)
lemma assign-trel:
  (\sigma, x := v) \rightarrow_u (\sigma(x \mapsto_s \sigma \dagger v), II)
 by (simp add: assigns-comp subst-upd-comp)
lemma seq-trel:
  assumes (\sigma, P) \rightarrow_u (\varrho, Q)
 shows (\sigma, P ;; R) \rightarrow_u (\varrho, Q ;; R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms order-refl seqr-assoc seqr-mono trel.simps)
\mathbf{lemma}\ seq\text{-}skip\text{-}trel\text{:}
  (\sigma, II ;; P) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, P)
 by simp
lemma nondet-left-trel:
 (\sigma, P \sqcap Q) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) disj-comm disj-upred-def semilattice-sup-class.sup.absorb-iff1 semilattice-sup-class.sup.l
segr-or-distr trel.simps)
lemma nondet-right-trel:
  (\sigma, P \sqcap Q) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, Q)
 by (simp add: seqr-mono)
lemma rcond-true-trel:
 assumes \sigma \dagger b = true
 shows (\sigma, P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, P)
 using assms
 by (simp add: assigns-r-comp usubst aext-true cond-unit-T)
lemma rcond-false-trel:
 assumes \sigma \dagger b = false
 shows (\sigma, P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, Q)
  using assms
 by (simp add: assigns-r-comp usubst aext-false cond-unit-F)
lemma while-true-trel:
  assumes \sigma \dagger b = true
  shows (\sigma, while \ b \ do \ P \ od) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, P \ ;; while \ b \ do \ P \ od)
 by (metis assms rcond-true-trel while-unfold)
lemma while-false-trel:
 assumes \sigma \dagger b = false
 shows (\sigma, while b do P od) \rightarrow_u (\sigma, II)
 by (metis assms rcond-false-trel while-unfold)
declare trel.simps [simp del]
end
          Variable blocks
```

19.1

theory utp-local imports utp-theory begin

Local variables are represented as lenses whose view type is a list of values. A variable therefore

effectively records the stack of values that variable has had, if any. This allows us to denote variable scopes using assignments that push and pop this stack to add or delete a particular local variable.

```
type-synonym ('a, '\alpha) lvar = ('a \ list \implies '\alpha)
```

Different UTP theories have different assignment operators; consequently in order to generically characterise variable blocks we need to abstractly characterise assignments. We first create two polymorphic constants that characterise the underlying program state model of a UTP theory.

consts

```
pvar :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\beta \Longrightarrow '\alpha (v<sub>1</sub>)
pvar-assigns :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\beta usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel (\langle - \rangle_1)
```

pvar is a lens from the program state, β , to the overall global state α , which also contains none user-space information, such as observational variables. pvar-assigns takes as parameter a UTP theory and returns an assignment operator which maps a substitution over the program state to a homogeneous relation on the global state. We now set up some syntax translations for these operators.

syntax

```
-svid-pvar :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow svid (\mathbf{v}_1)
-thy-asgn :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic (infixr ::=1 72)
```

translations

```
-svid-pvar T => CONST pvar T
-thy-asgn T xs vs => CONST pvar-assigns T (-mk-usubst (CONST id) xs vs)
```

Next, we define constants to represent the top most variable on the local variable stack, and the remainder after this. We define these in terms of the list lens, and so for each another lens is produced.

```
definition top\text{-}var :: ('a::two, '\alpha) \ lvar \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow '\alpha) \ \mathbf{where} [upred\text{-}defs]: top\text{-}var \ x = (list\text{-}lens \ 0 \ ;_L \ x)
```

The remainder of the local variable stack (the tail)

```
definition rest-var :: ('a::two, '\alpha) lvar \Rightarrow ('a list \Longrightarrow '\alpha) where [upred-defs]: rest-var x = (tl-lens ;<sub>L</sub> x)
```

We can show that the top variable is a mainly well-behaved lense, and that the top most variable lens is independent of the rest of the stack.

translations

```
-top\text{-}var\ x == CONST\ top\text{-}var\ x
-rest\text{-}var\ x == CONST\ rest\text{-}var\ x
```

With operators to represent local variables, assignments, and stack manipulation defined, we can go about defining variable blocks themselves.

```
definition var-begin :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta) lvar \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel where [urel-defs]: var-begin T = x ::=_T \langle \langle undefined \rangle \rangle \rangle_u \& x

definition var-end :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow ('a, '\beta) lvar \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel where [urel-defs]: var-end T = (x ::=_T tail_u(\& x))
```

var-begin takes as parameters a UTP theory and a local variable, and uses the theory assignment operator to push and undefined value onto the variable stack. var-end removes the top most variable from the stack in a similar way.

```
definition var\text{-}vlet :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) \text{ } uthy \Rightarrow ('a, '\alpha) \text{ } lvar \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ } hrel \text{ } \mathbf{where} [urel\text{-}defs]: var\text{-}vlet \text{ } T \text{ } x = ((\$x \neq_u \langle \rangle) \land \mathcal{II}_T)
```

Next we set up the typical UTP variable block syntax, though with a suitable subscript index to represent the UTP theory parameter.

syntax

translations

```
 \begin{array}{lll} -var\text{-}begin \ T \ x & == CONST \ var\text{-}begin \ T \ x \\ -var\text{-}begin\text{-}asn \ T \ x \ e => var \ _T \ x \ ;; \ @x ::=_T \ e \\ -var\text{-}end \ T \ x & == CONST \ var\text{-}end \ T \ x \\ -var\text{-}vlet \ T \ x & == CONST \ var\text{-}vlet \ T \ x \\ var \ _T \ x \cdot P => var \ _T \ x \ ;; \ ((\lambda \ x. \ P) \ (CONST \ top\text{-}var \ x)) \ ;; \ end \ _T \ x \\ var \ _T \ x \cdot P => var \ _T \ x \ ;; \ ((\lambda \ x. \ P) \ (CONST \ top\text{-}var \ x)) \ ;; \ end \ _T \ x \\ \end{array}
```

In order to substantiate standard variable block laws, we need some underlying laws about assignments, which is the purpose of the following locales.

```
locale utp-prog-var = utp-theory \mathcal{T} for \mathcal{T} :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) uthy (structure) + fixes \mathcal{V}\mathcal{T} :: '\beta itself assumes pvar-uvar: vwb-lens (\mathbf{v} :: '\beta \Longrightarrow '\alpha) and Healthy-pvar-assigns [closure]: \langle \sigma :: '\beta usubst \rangle is \mathcal{H} and pvar-assigns-comp: (\langle \sigma \rangle ;; \langle \varrho \rangle) = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle
```

We require that (1) the user-space variable is a very well-behaved lens, (2) that the assignment operator is healthy, and (3) that composing two assignments is equivalent to composing their substitutions. The next locale extends this with a left unit.

```
locale utp-local-var = utp-prog-var \ \mathcal{T} \ V + utp-theory-left-unital \mathcal{T} for \mathcal{T} :: ('\mathcal{T}, '\alpha) \ uthy (structure) and V :: '\beta \ itself + assumes pvar-assign-unit: \langle id :: '\beta \ usubst \rangle = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} begin
```

If a left unit exists then an assignment with an identity substitution should yield the identity relation, as the above assumption requires. With these laws available, we can prove the main laws of variable blocks.

```
lemma var-begin-healthy [closure]:
 fixes x :: ('a, '\beta) \ lvar
 shows var x is \mathcal{H}
 by (simp add: var-begin-def Healthy-pvar-assigns)
lemma var-end-healthy [closure]:
  fixes x :: ('a, '\beta) \ lvar
  shows end x is \mathcal{H}
 by (simp add: var-end-def Healthy-pvar-assigns)
The beginning and end of a variable block are both healthy theory elements.
lemma var-open-close:
  fixes x :: ('a, '\beta) \ lvar
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (var x :: end x) = II
 \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: var-begin-def \ var-end-def \ sh Ex-lift-seq-1 \ Healthy-pvar-assigns \ pvar-assigns-comp \ pvar-assign-unit
usubst assms)
Opening and then immediately closing a variable blocks yields a skip.
lemma var-open-close-commute:
  fixes x :: ('a, '\beta) \ lvar and y :: ('b, '\beta) \ lvar
 assumes vwb-lens x vwb-lens y x \bowtie y
 shows (var x :: end y) = (end y :: var x)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ var\text{-}begin\text{-}def\ var\text{-}end\text{-}def\ shEx\text{-}lift\text{-}seq\text{-}1\ shEx\text{-}lift\text{-}seq\text{-}2
               Healthy-pvar-assigns pvar-assigns-comp
               assms usubst unrest lens-indep-sym, simp add: assms usubst-upd-comm)
The beginning and end of variable blocks from different variables commute.
lemma var-block-vacuous:
  fixes x :: ('a::two, '\beta) \ lvar
 assumes vwb-lens x
 shows (var \ x \cdot \mathcal{II}) = \mathcal{II}
 by (simp add: Left-Unit assms var-end-healthy var-open-close)
A variable block with a skip inside results in a skip.
end
Example instantiation for the theory of relations
overloading
  rel-pvar == pvar :: (REL, '\alpha) \ uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha \Longrightarrow '\alpha
  rel-pvar-assigns == pvar-assigns :: (REL, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel
begin
  definition rel-pvar :: (REL, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha \Longrightarrow '\alpha where
```

```
interpretation rel-local-var: utp-local-var UTHY(REL, '\alpha) TYPE('\alpha) proof –
```

definition rel-pvar-assigns :: (REL, '\alpha) uthy \Rightarrow '\alpha usubst \Rightarrow '\alpha hrel where

interpret vw: vwb-lens pvar REL :: ' $\alpha \implies '\alpha$

[upred-defs]: rel-pvar-assigns $T \sigma = \langle \sigma \rangle_a$

[upred-defs]: rel-pvar $T = 1_L$

end

```
by (simp add: rel-pvar-def id-vwb-lens) show utp-local-var TYPE('\alpha) UTHY(REL, '\alpha) proof show \wedge \sigma::'lpha \Rightarrow 'lpha. \langle \sigma \rangle_{REL} is \mathcal{H}_{REL} by (simp add: rel-pvar-assigns-def rel-hcond-def Healthy-def) show \wedge (\sigma::'lpha \Rightarrow 'lpha) \varrho. \langle \sigma \rangle_{UTHY(REL, 'lpha)};; \langle \varrho \rangle_{REL} = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_{REL} by (simp add: rel-pvar-assigns-def assigns-comp) show \langle id::'lpha \Rightarrow 'lpha \rangle_{UTHY(REL, 'lpha)} = \mathcal{II}_{REL} by (simp add: rel-pvar-assigns-def rel-unit-def skip-r-def) qed qed end
```

20 Meta-theory for the Standard Core

```
theory utp
imports
 utp-var
 utp-expr
  utp-unrest
 utp-subst
  utp	ext{-}meta	ext{-}subst
 utp\text{-}alphabet
  utp-lift
 utp-pred
 utp-pred-laws
  utp-recursion
  utp-deduct
  utp-rel
  utp-rel-laws
  utp-tactics
  utp-hoare
 utp-wp
  utp-theory
  utp-concurrency
  utp-rel-opsem
 utp-local
 utp-event
begin end
```

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, V. Gomes, and G. Struth. Building program construction and verification tools from algebraic principles. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 28(2):265–293, 2015.
- [2] A. Armstrong and G. Struth. Automated reasoning in higher-order regular algebra. In *RAMiCS 2012*, volume 7560 of *LNCS*. Springer, September 2012.
- [3] A. Feliachi, M.-C. Gaudel, and B. Wolff. Unifying theories in Isabelle/HOL. In *UTP 2010*, volume 6445 of *LNCS*, pages 188–206. Springer, 2010.

- [4] A. Feliachi, M.-C. Gaudel, and B. Wolff. Isabelle/Circus: a process specification and verification environment. In *VSTTE 2012*, volume 7152 of *LNCS*, pages 243–260. Springer, 2012.
- [5] T. Hoare and J. He. Unifying Theories of Programming. Prentice-Hall, 1998.
- [6] B. Huffman and O. Kunčar. Lifting and transfer: A modular design for quotients in Isabelle/HOL. In *CPP*, volume 8307 of *LNCS*, pages 131–146. Springer, 2013.
- [7] D. Kozen. Kleene algebra with tests. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS), 19(3):427–443, 1997.
- [8] C. Morgan. Programming from Specifications. Prentice-Hall, London, UK, 1990.
- [9] M. Oliveira, A. Cavalcanti, and J. Woodcock. Unifying theories in ProofPower-Z. In *UTP* 2006, volume 4010 of *LNCS*, pages 123–140. Springer, 2007.
- [10] M. V. M. Oliveira. Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.