

Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock

January 21, 2019

Abstract

Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs specialise reactive design contracts with failures traces, as present in languages like CSP and Circus. A failure trace consists of a sequence of events and a refusal set. It intuitively represents a quiescent observation, where certain events have previously occurred, and others are currently being accepted. Following the UTP book, we add an observational variable to represent refusal sets, and healthiness conditions that ensure their well-formedness. Using these, we also specialise our theory of reactive relations with operators to characterise both completed and quiescent interactions, and an accompanying equational theory. We use these to define the core operators — including assignment, event occurrence, and external choice — and specialise our proof strategy to support these. We also demonstrate a link with the CSP failures-divergences semantic model.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Stateful-Failure Core Types	2
2.1	SFRD Alphabet	3
2.2	Basic laws	3
2.3	Unrestriction laws	3
3	Stateful-Failure Reactive Relations	5
3.1	Healthiness Conditions	5
3.2	Closure Properties	6
3.3	Introduction laws	12
3.4	Weakest Precondition	13
3.5	Trace Substitution	14
3.6	Initial Interaction	15
3.7	Enabled Events	17
3.8	Completed Trace Interaction	19
3.9	Downward closure of refusals	21
3.10	Renaming	23
4	Stateful-Failure Healthiness Conditions	25
5	Definitions	25
5.1	Healthiness condition properties	26
5.2	CSP theories	37
5.3	Algebraic laws	38

6	Stateful-Failure Reactive Contracts	38
7	External Choice	40
7.1	Definitions and syntax	40
7.2	Basic laws	41
7.3	Algebraic laws	41
7.4	Reactive design calculations	41
7.5	Productivity and Guardedness	49
7.6	Algebraic laws	50
8	Stateful-Failure Programs	53
8.1	Conditionals	53
8.2	Guarded commands	53
8.3	Alternation	54
8.4	While Loops	54
8.5	Iteration Construction	54
8.6	Assignment	57
8.7	Assignment with update	58
8.8	State abstraction	59
8.9	Assumptions	59
8.10	Guards	60
8.11	Basic events	64
8.12	Event prefix	65
8.13	Guarded external choice	68
8.14	Input prefix	68
8.15	Renaming	70
8.16	Algebraic laws	70
9	Recursion in Stateful-Failures	72
9.1	Fixed-points	72
9.2	Example action expansion	74
10	Linking to the Failures-Divergences Model	74
10.1	Failures-Divergences Semantics	74
10.2	Circus Operators	76
10.3	Deadlock Freedom	82
11	Meta-theory for Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs	82

1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [1] of an specialisation of stateful reactive designs with refusal information, as present in languages like Circus [2].

2 Stateful-Failure Core Types

```
theory utp-sfrd-core
  imports UTP-Reactive-Designs.utp-rea-designs
begin
```

2.1 SFRD Alphabet

alphabet $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ csp-vars} = (\varphi \text{ list}, \sigma) \text{ rsp-vars} +$
 $\text{ref} :: \varphi \text{ set}$

The following two locale interpretations are a technicality to improve the behaviour of the automatic tactics. They enable (re)interpretation of state spaces in order to remove any occurrences of lens types, replacing them by tuple types after the tactics *pred-simp* and *rel-simp* are applied. Eventually, it would be desirable to automate preform these interpretations automatically as part of the **alphabet** command.

type-synonym $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} = (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ csp-vars}$
type-synonym $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} = (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp hrel}$
type-synonym $\varphi \text{ csp} = (\text{unit}, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}$
type-synonym $\varphi \text{ process} = \varphi \text{ csp hrel}$

There is some slight imprecision with the translations, in that we don't bother to check if the trace event type and refusal set event types are the same. Essentially this is because its very difficult to construct processes where this would be the case. However, it may be better to add a proper ML print translation in the future.

translations

$(\text{type}) (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \leq (\text{type}) (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ csp-vars}$
 $(\text{type}) (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \leq (\text{type}) (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp hrel}$
 $(\text{type}) \varphi \text{ process} \leq (\text{type}) (\text{unit}, \varphi) \text{ action}$

notation $\text{csp-vars.more}_L (\Sigma_C)$

declare $\text{des-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits del}]$
declare $\text{rp-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits del}]$
declare $\text{des-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits del}]$
declare $\text{rsp-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits del}]$
declare $\text{rsp-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits}]$
declare $\text{rp-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits}]$
declare $\text{des-vars.splits} [\text{alpha-splits}]$

2.2 Basic laws

lemma *R2c-tr-ext*: $R2c (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{~}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{~}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *circus-alpha-bij-lens*:

$\text{bij-lens} (\{\$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait', \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref, \$ref'\}_\alpha :: - \implies ('s, 'e) \text{ st-csp} \times ('s, 'e) \text{ st-csp})$
by (*unfold-locales, lens-simp+*)

2.3 Unrestriction laws

lemma *pre-unrest-ref* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# \text{pre}_R(P)$
by (*simp add: pre_R-def unrest*)

lemma *peri-unrest-ref* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# \text{peri}_R(P)$
by (*simp add: peri_R-def unrest*)

lemma *post-unrest-ref* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# \text{post}_R(P)$
by (*simp add: post_R-def unrest*)

lemma *cmt-unrest-ref* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# cmt_R(P)$
 by (*simp add: cmt_R-def unrest*)

lemma *st-lift-unrest-ref'* [*unrest*]: $\$ref' \# [b]_{S<}$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-ref-unrest* [*unrest*]:
 $\llbracket \$ref \# P; \$ref \# Q \rrbracket \implies \$ref \# (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
 by (*simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest*)

lemma *R1-ref-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# R1(P)$
 by (*simp add: R1-def unrest*)

lemma *R2c-ref-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# R2c(P)$
 by (*simp add: R2c-def unrest*)

lemma *R1-ref'-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ref' \# P \implies \$ref' \# R1(P)$
 by (*simp add: R1-def unrest*)

lemma *R2c-ref'-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ref' \# P \implies \$ref' \# R2c(P)$
 by (*simp add: R2c-def unrest*)

lemma *R2s-notin-ref'*: $R2s(\lceil \ll x \gg \rceil_{S<} \notin_u \$ref') = (\lceil \ll x \gg \rceil_{S<} \notin_u \$ref')$
 by (*pred-auto*)

lemma *unrest-circus-alpha*:
 fixes $P :: ('e, 't) \text{ action}$
 assumes
 $\$ok \# P \ \$ok' \# P \ \$wait \# P \ \$wait' \# P \ \$tr \# P$
 $\$tr' \# P \ \$st \# P \ \$st' \# P \ \$ref \# P \ \$ref' \# P$
 shows $\Sigma \# P$
 by (*rule bij-lens-unrest-all[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens], simp add: unrest assms*)

lemma *unrest-all-circus-vars*:
 fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$
 assumes $\$ok \# P \ \$ok' \# P \ \$wait \# P \ \$wait' \# P \ \$ref \# P \ \Sigma \# r' \ \Sigma \# s \ \Sigma \# s' \ \Sigma \# t \ \Sigma \# t'$
 shows $\Sigma \# [\$ref' \mapsto_s r', \$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \uparrow P$
 using *assms*
 by (*simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens*)
 (*simp add: unrest usubst closure*)

lemma *unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st'*:
 fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$
 assumes $\$ok \# P \ \$ok' \# P \ \$wait \# P \ \$wait' \# P \ \$ref \# P \ \$ref' \# P \ \Sigma \# s \ \Sigma \# s' \ \Sigma \# t \ \Sigma \# t'$
 shows $\Sigma \# [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \uparrow P$
 using *assms*
 by (*simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens*)
 (*simp add: unrest usubst closure*)

lemma *unrest-all-circus-vars-st*:
 fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$
 assumes $\$ok \# P \ \$ok' \# P \ \$wait \# P \ \$wait' \# P \ \$ref \# P \ \$ref' \# P \ \$st' \# P \ \Sigma \# s \ \Sigma \# t \ \Sigma \# t'$
 shows $\Sigma \# [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \uparrow P$
 using *assms*

by (simp add: bij-lens-unrest-all-eq[OF circus-alpha-bij-lens] unrest-plus-split plus-vwb-lens)
(simp add: unrest usubst closure)

lemma *unrest-any-circus-var*:

fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$

assumes $\$ok \# P \$ok' \# P \$wait \# P \$wait' \# P \$ref \# P \$ref' \# P \Sigma \# s \Sigma \# s' \Sigma \# t \Sigma \# t'$

shows $x \# [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$st' \mapsto_s s', \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \uparrow P$

by (simp add: unrest-all-var unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' assms)

lemma *unrest-any-circus-var-st*:

fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$

assumes $\$ok \# P \$ok' \# P \$wait \# P \$wait' \# P \$ref \# P \$ref' \# P \$st' \# P \Sigma \# s \Sigma \# t \Sigma \# t'$

shows $x \# [\$st \mapsto_s s, \$tr \mapsto_s t, \$tr' \mapsto_s t'] \uparrow P$

by (simp add: unrest-all-var unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms)

end

3 Stateful-Failure Reactive Relations

theory *utp-sfrd-rel*

imports *utp-sfrd-core*

begin

3.1 Healthiness Conditions

CSP Reactive Relations

definition $CRR :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$ **where**

[upred-defs]: $CRR(P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RR(P))$

lemma *CRR-idem*: $CRR(CRR(P)) = CRR(P)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Idempotent-CRR* [closure]: *Idempotent CRR*

by (simp add: CRR-idem Idempotent-def)

lemma *Continuous-CRR* [closure]: *Continuous CRR*

by (rel-blast)

lemma *CRR-intro*:

assumes $\$ref \# P$ is *RR*

shows P is *CRR*

by (simp add: CRR-def Healthy-def, simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest)

lemma *CRR-form*: $CRR(P) = (\exists \{\$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait', \$ref\} \cdot (\exists tt_0 \cdot P[\langle \rangle / \$tr][\langle \langle tt_0 \rangle \rangle / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle \langle tt_0 \rangle \rangle))$

by (rel-auto; fastforce)

lemma *CRR-seqr-form*:

$CRR(P) ;; CRR(Q) =$

$(\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((\exists \{\$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait', \$ref\} \cdot P)[\langle \rangle / \$tr][\langle \langle tt_1 \rangle \rangle / \$tr'] ;;$

$(\exists \{\$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait', \$ref\} \cdot Q)[\langle \rangle / \$tr][\langle \langle tt_2 \rangle \rangle / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle \langle tt_1 \rangle \rangle \hat{^}_u \langle \langle tt_2 \rangle \rangle))$

by (simp add: CRR-form, rel-auto; fastforce)

CSP Reactive Conditions

definition $CRC :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: CRC(P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RC(P))$

lemma $CRC\text{-}intro$:

assumes $\$ref \# P$ P *is* RC

shows P *is* CRC

by (*simp add: CRC-def Healthy-def, simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest*)

lemma $CRC\text{-}intro'$:

assumes P *is* CRR P *is* RC

shows P *is* CRC

by (*metis CRC-def CRR-def Healthy-def RC-implies-RR assms*)

lemma $ref\text{-}unrest\text{-}RR$ [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \Longrightarrow \$ref \# RR\ P$

by (*rel-auto, blast+*)

lemma $ref\text{-}unrest\text{-}RC1$ [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \Longrightarrow \$ref \# RC1\ P$

by (*rel-auto, blast+*)

lemma $ref\text{-}unrest\text{-}RC$ [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \Longrightarrow \$ref \# RC\ P$

by (*simp add: RC-R2-def ref-unrest-RC1 ref-unrest-RR*)

lemma $RR\text{-}ex\text{-}ref$: $RR (\exists \$ref \cdot RR\ P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RR\ P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $RC1\text{-}ex\text{-}ref$: $RC1 (\exists \$ref \cdot RC1\ P) = (\exists \$ref \cdot RC1\ P)$

by (*rel-auto, meson dual-order.trans*)

lemma $ex\text{-}ref'\text{-}RR\text{-}closed$ [*closure*]:

assumes P *is* RR

shows $(\exists \$ref' \cdot P)$ *is* RR

proof –

have $RR (\exists \$ref' \cdot RR(P)) = (\exists \$ref' \cdot RR(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)

qed

lemma $CRC\text{-}idem$: $CRC(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)$

apply (*simp add: CRC-def ex-unrest unrest*)

apply (*simp add: RC-def RR-ex-ref*)

apply (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def RC1-RR-closed RC1-ex-ref RR-ex-ref RR-idem*)

done

lemma $Idempotent\text{-}CRC$ [*closure*]: *Idempotent* CRC

by (*simp add: CRC-idem Idempotent-def*)

3.2 Closure Properties

lemma $CRR\text{-}implies\text{-}RR$ [*closure*]:

assumes P *is* CRR

shows P *is* RR

proof –

have $RR(CRR(P)) = CRR(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

```

thus ?thesis
  by (metis Healthy-def' assms)
qed

lemma CRC-intro'':
  assumes  $P$  is CRR  $P$  is RC1
  shows  $P$  is CRC
  by (simp add: CRC-intro' CRR-implies-RR RC-intro' assms)

lemma CRC-implies-RR [closure]:
  assumes  $P$  is CRC
  shows  $P$  is RR
proof –
  have  $RR(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)$ 
    by (rel-auto)
    (metis (no-types, lifting) Prefix-Order.prefixE Prefix-Order.prefixI append.assoc append-minus)+
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed

lemma CRC-implies-RC [closure]:
  assumes  $P$  is CRC
  shows  $P$  is RC
proof –
  have  $RC1(CRC(P)) = CRC(P)$ 
    by (rel-auto, meson dual-order.trans)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: CRC-implies-RR Healthy-if RC1-def RC-intro assms)
qed

lemma CRR-unrest-ref [unrest]:  $P$  is CRR  $\implies$   $\$ref \# P$ 
  by (metis CRR-def CRR-implies-RR Healthy-def in-var-uvar ref-vwb-lens unrest-as-exists)

lemma CRC-implies-CRR [closure]:
  assumes  $P$  is CRC
  shows  $P$  is CRR
  apply (rule CRR-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: unrest assms closure)
  apply (metis CRC-def CRC-implies-RC Healthy-def assms in-var-uvar ref-vwb-lens unrest-as-exists)
done

lemma unrest-ref'-neg-RC [unrest]:
  assumes  $P$  is RR  $P$  is RC
  shows  $\$ref' \# P$ 
proof –
  have  $P = (\neg_r \neg_r P)$ 
    by (simp add: closure rpred assms)
  also have  $\dots = (\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r)$ 
    by (metis Healthy-if RC1-def RC-implies-RC1 assms(2) calculation)
  also have  $\$ref' \# \dots$ 
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma rea-true-CRR [closure]:  $true_r$  is CRR

```

by (rel-auto)

lemma *rea-true-CRC* [closure]: *true_r is CRC*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *false-CRR* [closure]: *false is CRR*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *false-CRC* [closure]: *false is CRC*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *st-pred-CRR* [closure]: *[P]_{S<} is CRR*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *st-post-unrest-ref'* [unrest]: *\$ref' # [b]_{S>}*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *st-post-CRR* [closure]: *[b]_{S>} is CRR*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *st-cond-CRC* [closure]: *[P]_{S<} is CRC*
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *rea-rename-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes *P is CRR*
 shows *P(f)_r is CRR*
proof –
 have *\$ref # (CRR P)(f)_r*
 by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
 by (rule-tac CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
qed

lemma *st-subst-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes *P is CRR*
 shows *(σ †_S P) is CRR*
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)

lemma *st-subst-CRC-closed* [closure]:
 assumes *P is CRC*
 shows *(σ †_S P) is CRC*
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest)

lemma *conj-CRC-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is CRC}; Q \text{ is CRC} \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is CRC}$
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)

lemma *disj-CRC-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is CRC}; Q \text{ is CRC} \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is CRC}$
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)

lemma *st-cond-left-impl-CRC-closed* [closure]:
 $P \text{ is CRC} \implies ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \text{ is CRC}$
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)

lemma *unrest-ref-map-st* [*unrest*]: $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# P \oplus_r map-st_L[a]$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *unrest-ref'-map-st* [*unrest*]: $\$ref' \# P \implies \$ref' \# P \oplus_r map-st_L[a]$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *unrest-ref-rdes-frame-ext* [*unrest*]:
 $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# a:[P]_r^+$
by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *unrest-ref'-rdes-frame-ext* [*unrest*]:
 $\$ref' \# P \implies \$ref' \# a:[P]_r^+$
by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *map-st-ext-CRR-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes P is CRR
shows $P \oplus_r map-st_L[a]$ is CRR
by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest assms*)

lemma *map-st-ext-CRC-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes P is CRC
shows $P \oplus_r map-st_L[a]$ is CRC
by (*rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest assms*)

lemma *rdes-frame-ext-CRR-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes P is CRR
shows $a:[P]_r^+$ is CRR
by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest assms*)

lemma *USUP-CRC-closed* [*closure*]: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; \bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i \text{ is CRC} \rrbracket \implies (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P\ i) \text{ is CRC}$
by (*rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure*)

lemma *UINF-CRR-closed* [*closure*]: $\llbracket \bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i \text{ is CRR} \rrbracket \implies (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P\ i) \text{ is CRR}$
by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure*)

lemma *cond-CRC-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes P is CRC Q is CRC
shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$ is CRC
by (*rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest*)

lemma *shEx-CRR-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes $\bigwedge x. P\ x$ is CRR
shows $(\exists x \cdot P(x))$ is CRR
proof –
have $CRR(\exists x \cdot CRR(P(x))) = (\exists x \cdot CRR(P(x)))$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus ?thesis
by (*metis Healthy-def assms shEx-cong*)
qed

lemma *USUP-ind-CRR-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. P\ i$ is CRR
shows $(\bigsqcup i \cdot P(i))$ is CRR
by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: assms unrest closure*)

lemma *UINF-ind-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $\bigwedge i. P\ i\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 shows $(\bigcap i. P(i))\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *assms unrest closure*)

lemma *cond-tt-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $P\ \text{is}\ CRR\ Q\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 shows $P \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright Q\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest assms closure*)

lemma *rea-implies-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ CRR; Q\ \text{is}\ CRR \rrbracket \implies (P \Rightarrow_r Q)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (simp-all add: *CRR-intro closure unrest*)

lemma *conj-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ CRR; Q\ \text{is}\ CRR \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (simp-all add: *CRR-intro closure unrest*)

lemma *disj-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ CRR; Q\ \text{is}\ CRR \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest closure*)

lemma *rea-not-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 $P\ \text{is}\ CRR \implies (\neg_r P)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 using *false-CRR rea-implies-CRR-closed* by fastforce

lemma *disj-R1-closed* [closure]: $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ R1; Q\ \text{is}\ R1 \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q)\ \text{is}\ R1$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *st-cond-R1-closed* [closure]: $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ R1; Q\ \text{is}\ R1 \rrbracket \implies (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)\ \text{is}\ R1$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *cond-st-RR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $P\ \text{is}\ RR\ Q\ \text{is}\ RR$
 shows $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)\ \text{is}\ RR$
 apply (rule *RR-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest closure assms*, simp add: *Healthy-def R2c-condr*)
 apply (simp add: *Healthy-if assms RR-implies-R2c*)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done

lemma *cond-st-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ CRR; Q\ \text{is}\ CRR \rrbracket \implies (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (simp-all add: *CRR-intro closure unrest*)

lemma *seq-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $P\ \text{is}\ CRR\ Q\ \text{is}\ RR$
 shows $(P ;; Q)\ \text{is}\ CRR$
 by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest assms closure*)

lemma *wp-rea-CRC* [closure]: $\llbracket P\ \text{is}\ CRR; Q\ \text{is}\ RC \rrbracket \implies P\ wp_r\ Q\ \text{is}\ CRC$
 by (rule *CRC-intro*, simp-all add: *unrest closure*)

lemma *USUP-ind-CRC-closed* [closure]:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge i. P\ i\ \text{is}\ CRC \rrbracket \implies (\bigcap i. P\ i)\ \text{is}\ CRC$

by (metis CRC-implies-CRR CRC-implies-RC USUP-ind-CRR-closed USUP-ind-RC-closed false-CRR
rea-not-CRR-closed wp-rea-CRC wp-rea-RC-false)

lemma *tr-extend-seqr-lit* [rdes]:

fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$

assumes $\$ok \# P \$wait \# P \$ref \# P$

shows $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \ll a \gg \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) ;; P = P[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \ll a \gg \rangle / \$tr]$

using *assms* by (rel-auto, meson)

lemma *tr-assign-comp* [rdes]:

fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$

assumes $\$ok \# P \$wait \# P \$ref \# P$

shows $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [\langle \sigma \rangle_a]_s) ;; P = [\sigma]_{s\sigma} \dagger P$

using *assms* by (rel-auto, meson)

lemma *RR-msubst-tt*: $RR((P \ t)[[t \rightarrow \&tt]]) = (RR \ (P \ t))[[t \rightarrow \&tt]]$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *RR-msubst-ref'*: $RR((P \ r)[[r \rightarrow \$ref']]) = (RR \ (P \ r))[[r \rightarrow \$ref']]$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *msubst-tt-RR* [closure]: $[[\bigwedge t. P \ t \text{ is } RR]] \implies (P \ t)[[t \rightarrow \&tt]] \text{ is } RR$

by (simp add: Healthy-def RR-msubst-tt)

lemma *msubst-ref'-RR* [closure]: $[[\bigwedge r. P \ r \text{ is } RR]] \implies (P \ r)[[r \rightarrow \$ref']] \text{ is } RR$

by (simp add: Healthy-def RR-msubst-ref')

lemma *conj-less-tr-RR-closed* [closure]:

assumes $P \text{ is } CRR$

shows $(P \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr') \text{ is } CRR$

proof –

have $CRR(CRR(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr') = (CRR(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$

apply (rel-auto, blast+)

using *less-le* apply *fastforce*+

done

thus ?thesis

by (metis Healthy-def *assms*)

qed

lemma *R4-CRR-closed* [closure]: $P \text{ is } CRR \implies R_4(P) \text{ is } CRR$

by (simp add: R4-def conj-less-tr-RR-closed)

lemma *R5-CRR-closed* [closure]:

assumes $P \text{ is } CRR$

shows $R_5(P) \text{ is } CRR$

proof –

have $R_5(CRR(P)) \text{ is } CRR$

by (rel-auto; blast)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: *assms* Healthy-if)

qed

lemma *conj-eq-tr-RR-closed* [closure]:

assumes $P \text{ is } CRR$

shows $(P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr) \text{ is } CRR$

proof –

have $CRR(CRR(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr) = (CRR(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr)$
 by $(rel-auto, blast+)$
 thus $?thesis$
 by $(metis Healthy-def assms)$

qed

lemma *all-ref-CRC-closed* [closure]:

P is CRC $\implies (\forall \$ref \cdot P)$ is CRC
 by $(simp\ add: CRC-implies-CRR\ CRR-unrest-ref\ all-unrest)$

lemma *ex-ref-CRR-closed* [closure]:

P is CRR $\implies (\exists \$ref \cdot P)$ is CRR
 by $(simp\ add: CRR-unrest-ref\ ex-unrest)$

lemma *ex-st'-CRR-closed* [closure]:

P is CRR $\implies (\exists \$st' \cdot P)$ is CRR
 by $(rule\ CRR-intro, simp-all\ add: closure\ unrest)$

lemma *ex-ref'-CRR-closed* [closure]:

P is CRR $\implies (\exists \$ref' \cdot P)$ is CRR
 using $CRR-implies-RR\ CRR-intro\ CRR-unrest-ref\ ex-ref'-RR-closed\ out-in-indep\ unrest-ex-diff$ by
blast

3.3 Introduction laws

Extensionality principles for introducing refinement and equality of Circus reactive relations. It is necessary only to consider a subset of the variables that are present.

lemma *CRR-refine-ext*:

assumes
 P is CRR Q is CRR
 $\bigwedge t\ s\ s'\ r'. P[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref'] \sqsubseteq Q[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$

proof –

have $\bigwedge t\ s\ s'\ r'. (CRR\ P)[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 $\sqsubseteq (CRR\ Q)[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 using *assms* by $(simp\ add: Healthy-if)$
 hence $CRR\ P \sqsubseteq CRR\ Q$
 by $(rel-auto)$
 thus $?thesis$
 by $(metis Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))$

qed

lemma *CRR-eq-ext*:

assumes
 P is CRR Q is CRR
 $\bigwedge t\ s\ s'\ r'. P[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref'] = Q[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 shows $P = Q$

proof –

have $\bigwedge t\ s\ s'\ r'. (CRR\ P)[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 $= (CRR\ Q)[\langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle r' \rangle / \$tr, \$tr', \$st, \$st', \$ref']$
 using *assms* by $(simp\ add: Healthy-if)$
 hence $CRR\ P = CRR\ Q$
 by $(rel-auto)$
 thus $?thesis$

by (*metis Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2)*)
qed

lemma *CRR-refine-impl-prop*:

assumes *P* is CRR *Q* is CRR

$\bigwedge t s s' r'. 'Q[\llbracket \langle r' \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle / \$ref', \$st, \$st', \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket]' \implies 'P[\llbracket \langle r' \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle / \$ref', \$st, \$st', \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket]'$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$

by (*rule CRR-refine-ext, simp-all add: assms closure unrest usubst*)
(*rule refine-prop-intro, simp-all add: unrest unrest-all-circus-vars closure assms*)

3.4 Weakest Precondition

lemma *nil-least [simp]*:

$\langle \rangle \leq_u x = \text{true}$ **by** *rel-auto*

lemma *minus-nil [simp]*:

$xs - \langle \rangle = xs$ **by** *rel-auto*

lemma *wp-rea-circus-lemma-1*:

assumes *P* is CRR $\$ref' \# P$

shows $out\alpha \# P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket]$

proof –

have $out\alpha \# (CRR (\exists \$ref' \cdot P))[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket]$
by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2) ex-unrest*)

qed

lemma *wp-rea-circus-lemma-2*:

assumes *P* is CRR

shows $in\alpha \# P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket]$

proof –

have $in\alpha \# (CRR P)[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket]$
by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms ex-unrest*)

qed

The meaning of reactive weakest precondition for Circus. $P \text{ wp}_r Q$ means that, whenever P terminates in a state s_0 having done the interaction trace t_0 , which is a prefix of the overall trace, then Q must be satisfied. This in particular means that the remainder of the trace after t_0 must not be a divergent behaviour of Q .

lemma *wp-rea-circus-form*:

assumes *P* is CRR $\$ref' \# P$ *Q* is CRC

shows $(P \text{ wp}_r Q) = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket] \Rightarrow_r Q[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket])$

proof –

have $(P \text{ wp}_r Q) = (\neg_r (\exists t_0 \cdot P[\llbracket \langle t_0 \rangle / \$tr' \rrbracket] ;; (\neg_r Q)[\llbracket \langle t_0 \rangle / \$tr \rrbracket] \wedge \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr'))$

by (*simp-all add: wp-rea-def R2-tr-middle closure assms*)

also have $\dots = (\neg_r (\exists (s_0, t_0) \cdot P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket] ;; (\neg_r Q)[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket] \wedge \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr'))$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = (\neg_r (\exists (s_0, t_0) \cdot P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket] \wedge (\neg_r Q)[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket] \wedge \langle t_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr'))$

by (*simp add: segr-to-conj add: wp-rea-circus-lemma-1 wp-rea-circus-lemma-2 assms closure conj-assoc*)

also have $\dots = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st', \$tr' \rrbracket] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r Q)[\llbracket \langle s_0 \rangle, \langle t_0 \rangle / \$st, \$tr \rrbracket] \vee \neg_r$

$\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \tr'
 by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr'] \vee \neg_r (\neg_r RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr] \vee \neg_r \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr')$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)
 also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \neg_r P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr'] \vee (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr] \vee \neg_r \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr')$
 by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr])$
 by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r Q[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr])$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma wp-rea-circus-form-alt:

assumes P is CRR $\$ref' \# P$ Q is CRC

shows $(P \text{ wp}_r Q) = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, (\&tt - \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket) / \$st, \$tr, \$tr']))$

proof –

have $(P \text{ wp}_r Q) = R2(P \text{ wp}_r Q)$

by (simp add: CRC-implies-RR CRR-implies-RR Healthy-if RR-implies-R2 assms wp-rea-R2-closed)

also have ... = $R2(\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge (RR P)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr])$

by (simp add: wp-rea-circus-form assms closure Healthy-if)

also have ... = $(\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket \wedge (RR P)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket))$

by (simp add: R2-form, rel-auto)

also have ... = $(\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket \wedge (RR P)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket tt_0 - tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st, \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket))$

by (rel-auto)

also have ... = $(\exists tt_0 \cdot (\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge (RR P)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r (RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, (\&tt - \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket) / \$st, \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket))$

by (rel-auto, (metis list-concat-minus-list-concat)+)

also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, tr_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge (RR P)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r R1((RR Q)[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, (\&tt - \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket) / \$st, \$tr, \$tr']))$

by (rel-auto, blast+)

also have ... = $(\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, (\&tt - \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket) / \$st, \$tr, \$tr']))$

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms closure)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma wp-rea-circus-form-alt:

assumes P is CRR $\$ref' \# P$ Q is CRC

shows $(P \text{ wp}_r Q) = (\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \$tr \hat{=} \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket \leq_u \$tr' \wedge P[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket / \$st', \$tr, \$tr'] \Rightarrow_r R1(Q[\llbracket s_0 \rrbracket, \langle \rangle, (\&tt - \llbracket t_0 \rrbracket) / \$st, \$tr, \$tr']))$

oops

3.5 Trace Substitution

definition trace-subst $(-\llbracket _ \rrbracket_t [999, 0] 999)$

where $[upred-defs]: P[\llbracket v \rrbracket]_t = (P[\llbracket (\&tt - \lceil v \rceil_{S<}) / \&tt \rrbracket] \wedge \$tr + \lceil v \rceil_{S<} \leq_u \$tr')$

lemma *unrest-trace-subst* [*unrest*]:
 $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t$
by (*simp add: trace-subst-def lens-indep-sym unrest*)

lemma *trace-subst-RR-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes *P is RR*

shows $P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t$ *is RR*

proof –

have $(RR \ P) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t$ *is RR*

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono*)

apply (*metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left*)

using *le-add order-trans* **apply** *blast*

done

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *trace-subst-CRR-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes *P is CRR*

shows $P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t$ *is CRR*

by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest*)

lemma *tsubst-nil* [*usubst*]:

assumes *P is CRR*

shows $P \llbracket \langle \rangle \rrbracket_t = P$

proof –

have $(CRR \ P) \llbracket \langle \rangle \rrbracket_t = CRR \ P$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *tsubst-false* [*usubst*]: $false \llbracket y \rrbracket_t = false$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *cond-rea-tt-subst* [*usubst*]:

$(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *tsubst-conj* [*usubst*]: $(P \wedge Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t \wedge Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *tsubst-disj* [*usubst*]: $(P \vee Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t \vee Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-subst-R1-closed* [*closure*]: $P \llbracket v \rrbracket_t$ *is R1*

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *le-add order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *tsubst-UINF-ind* [*usubst*]: $(\prod i \cdot P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t = (\prod i \cdot (P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

3.6 Initial Interaction

definition *rea-init* :: $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('t :: \text{trace}, 's) \text{ uexpr} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rsp } (\mathcal{I}'(-, -))$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t) = (\lceil s \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr + \lceil t \rceil_{S<} \leq_u \$tr')$

$\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is a predicate stating that, if the initial state satisfies state predicate s , then the trace t is an initial trace.

lemma *unrest-rea-init* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v \rrbracket \implies x \# \mathcal{I}(s, t)$
by (*simp add: rea-init-def unrest lens-indep-sym*)

lemma *rea-init-R1* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is *R1*

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *dual-order.trans le-add* **by** *blast*

lemma *rea-init-R2c* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is *R2c*

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono*)

apply (*metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left*)

done

lemma *rea-init-R2* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is *R2*

by (*metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 rea-init-R1 rea-init-R2c*)

lemma *csp-init-RR* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is *RR*

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*metis diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-left-mono*)

apply (*metis le-add minus-cancel-le trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left*)

apply (*metis le-add less-le less-le-trans*)

done

lemma *csp-init-CRR* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is *CRR*

by (*rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure*)

lemma *rea-init-impl-st* [*closure*]: $(\mathcal{I}(b, t) \Rightarrow_r [c]_{s<})$ is *RC*

apply (*rule RC-intro*)

apply (*simp add: closure*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

using *order-trans* **by** *auto*

lemma *rea-init-RC1*:

$\neg_r \mathcal{I}(P, t)$ is *RC1*

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *init-acts-empty* [*rpred*]: $\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle) = \text{true}_r$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-not-init* [*rpred*]:

$(\neg_r \mathcal{I}(P, \langle \rangle)) = \mathcal{I}(\neg P, \langle \rangle)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-init-conj* [*rpred*]:

$(\mathcal{I}(P, t) \wedge \mathcal{I}(Q, t)) = \mathcal{I}(P \wedge Q, t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-init-empty-trace* [*rpred*]: $\mathcal{I}(s, \langle \rangle) = [s]_{s<}$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-init-disj-same* [*rpred*]: $(\mathcal{I}(s_1, t) \vee \mathcal{I}(s_2, t)) = \mathcal{I}(s_1 \vee s_2, t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-init-impl-same* [*rpred*]: $(\mathcal{I}(s_1, t) \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(s_2, t)) = (\mathcal{I}(s_1, t) \Rightarrow_r [s_2]_{S<})$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *dual-order.trans le-add* **by** *blast+*

lemma *tsubst-st-cond* [*usubst*]: $[P]_{S<}[t]_t = \mathcal{I}(P, t)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *tsubst-rea-init* [*usubst*]: $(\mathcal{I}(s, x))[[y]]_t = \mathcal{I}(s, y+x)$
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*metis add.assoc diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-le-imp-le-left trace-class.add-left-mono*)
apply (*metis add.assoc diff-add-cancel-left' le-add trace-class.add-le-imp-le-left trace-class.add-left-mono*) +
done

lemma *tsubst-rea-not* [*usubst*]: $(\neg_r P)[v]_t = ((\neg_r P[v]_t) \wedge \mathcal{I}(\text{true}, v))$
apply (*rel-auto*)
using *le-add order-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *tsubst-true* [*usubst*]: $\text{true}_r[v]_t = \mathcal{I}(\text{true}, v)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R4-csp-init* [*rpred*]: $R4(\mathcal{I}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs)) = \mathcal{I}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs)$
using *less-list-def* **by** (*rel-blast*)

lemma *R5-csp-init* [*rpred*]: $R5(\mathcal{I}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs)) = \text{false}$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R4-trace-subst* [*rpred*]:
 $R4(P[[\text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs]]_t) = P[[\text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs]]_t$
using *le-imp-less-or-eq* **by** (*rel-blast*)

lemma *R5-trace-subst* [*rpred*]:
 $R5(P[[\text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs]]_t) = \text{false}$
by (*rel-auto*)

3.7 Enabled Events

definition *csp-enable* :: $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('e \text{ list}, 's) \text{ uexpr} \Rightarrow ('e \text{ set}, 's) \text{ uexpr} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action } (\mathcal{E}'(-, -, -))$
where
 $[upred-defs]: \mathcal{E}(s, t, E) = ([s]_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{~}_u [t]_{S<} \wedge (\forall e \in [E]_{S<} \cdot \ll e \gg \notin_u \$ref'))$

Predicate $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$ states that, if the initial state satisfies predicate s , then t is a possible (failure) trace, such that the events in the set E are enabled after the given interaction.

lemma *csp-enable-R1-closed* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$ is *R1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-R2-closed* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$ is *R2c*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-RR* [*closure*]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$ is *CRR*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *tsubst-csp-enable* [*usubst*]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t_2, e)[[t_1]]_t = \mathcal{E}(s, t_1 \hat{~}_u t_2, e)$
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*metis append.assoc less-eq-list-def prefix-concat-minus*)
apply (*simp add: list-concat-minus-list-concat*)
done

lemma *csp-enable-unrests* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \bowtie (\$ref')_v \rrbracket \implies x \# \mathcal{E}(s, t, e)$
by (*simp add: csp-enable-def R1-def lens-indep-sym unrest*)

lemma *st-unrest-csp-enable* [*unrest*]: $\llbracket \&\mathbf{v} \# s; \&\mathbf{v} \# t; \&\mathbf{v} \# E \rrbracket \implies \$st \# \mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$

by (*simp add: csp-enable-def unrest*)

lemma *csp-enable-tr'-eq-tr* [*rpred*]:

$\mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, r) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright false = \mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, r)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-st-pred* [*rpred*]:

$([s_1]_{S<} \wedge \mathcal{E}(s_2, t, E)) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \wedge s_2, t, E)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-conj* [*rpred*]:

$(\mathcal{E}(s, t, E_1) \wedge \mathcal{E}(s, t, E_2)) = \mathcal{E}(s, t, E_1 \cup_u E_2)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-cond* [*rpred*]:

$\mathcal{E}(s_1, t_1, E_1) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathcal{E}(s_2, t_2, E_2) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright s_2, t_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright t_2, E_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright E_2)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-rea-assm* [*rpred*]:

$[b]^\top_r ;; \mathcal{E}(s, t, E) = \mathcal{E}(b \wedge s, t, E)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-tr-empty*: $\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{v\}_u) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [v]_{S<} \notin_u \$ref')$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-nothing*: $\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *msubst-nil-csp-enable* [*usubst*]:

$\mathcal{E}(s(x), t(x), E(x)) \llbracket x \rightarrow \langle \rangle \rrbracket = \mathcal{E}(s(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow \langle \rangle \rrbracket, t(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow \langle \rangle \rrbracket, E(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow \langle \rangle \rrbracket)$

by (*pred-auto*)

lemma *msubst-csp-enable* [*usubst*]:

$\mathcal{E}(s(x), t(x), E(x)) \llbracket x \rightarrow [v]_{S\leftarrow} \rrbracket = \mathcal{E}(s(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket, t(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket, E(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-false* [*rpred*]: $\mathcal{E}(false, t, E) = false$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *conj-csp-enable* [*rpred*]: $(\mathcal{E}(b_1, t, E_1) \wedge \mathcal{E}(b_2, t, E_2)) = \mathcal{E}(b_1 \wedge b_2, t, E_1 \cup_u E_2)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *USUP-csp-enable* [*rpred*]:

$(\bigsqcup x \cdot \mathcal{E}(s, t, A(x))) = \mathcal{E}(s, t, (\bigvee x \cdot A(x)))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R4-csp-enable-nil* [*rpred*]:

$R4(\mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, E)) = false$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R5-csp-enable-nil* [*rpred*]:

$$R5(\mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, E)) = \mathcal{E}(s, \langle \rangle, E)$$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R4-csp-enable-Cons* [*rpred*]:

$$R4(\mathcal{E}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs, E)) = \mathcal{E}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs, E)$$

by (*rel-auto*, *simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI'*)

lemma *R5-csp-enable-Cons* [*rpred*]:

$$R5(\mathcal{E}(s, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs, E)) = \text{false}$$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rel-aext-csp-enable* [*alpha*]:

$$\text{vwb-lens } a \implies \mathcal{E}(s, t, E) \oplus_r \text{map-st}_L[a] = \mathcal{E}(s \oplus_p a, t \oplus_p a, E \oplus_p a)$$

by (*rel-auto*)

3.8 Completed Trace Interaction

definition *csp-do* :: '*s upred* \Rightarrow ('*s* \Rightarrow '*s*) \Rightarrow ('*e list*, '*s*) *uexpr* \Rightarrow ('*s*, '*e*) *action* ($\Phi'(-, -)$) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $\Phi(s, \sigma, t) = ([s]_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{_} _ [t]_{S<} \wedge [\langle \sigma \rangle_a]_S)$

Predicate $\Phi(s, \sigma, t)$ states that if the initial state satisfies *s*, and the trace *t* is performed, then afterwards the state update σ is executed.

lemma *unrest-csp-do* [*unrest*]:

$$\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \bowtie (\$st')_v \rrbracket \implies x \# \Phi(s, \sigma, t)$$

by (*simp-all add: csp-do-def alpha-in-var alpha-out-var prod-as-plus unrest lens-indep-sym*)

lemma *csp-do-CRR* [*closure*]: $\Phi(s, \sigma, t)$ is CRR

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-R4-closed* [*closure*]:

$$\Phi(b, \sigma, \text{bop Cons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ is } R4$$

by (*rel-auto*, *simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI'*)

lemma *st-pred-conj-csp-do* [*rpred*]:

$$([b]_{S<} \wedge \Phi(s, \sigma, t)) = \Phi(b \wedge s, \sigma, t)$$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *trea-subst-csp-do* [*usubst*]:

$$(\Phi(s, \sigma, t_2)) \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket_t = \Phi(s, \sigma, t_1 \hat{_} _ t_2)$$

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*metis append.assoc less-eq-list-def prefix-concat-minus*)

apply (*simp add: list-concat-minus-list-concat*)

done

lemma *st-subst-csp-do* [*usubst*]:

$$[\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \Phi(s, \varrho, t) = \Phi(\sigma \dagger s, \varrho \circ \sigma, \sigma \dagger t)$$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-init-do* [*rpred*]: $(\mathcal{I}(s1, t) \wedge \Phi(s2, \sigma, t)) = \Phi(s1 \wedge s2, \sigma, t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-false* [*rpred*]: $\Phi(\text{false}, s, t) = \text{false}$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-assign* [*rpred*]:

assumes *P* is *CRR*

shows $\Phi(s, \sigma, t) ;; P = ([s]_{S<} \wedge ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P))\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

proof –

have $\Phi(s, \sigma, t) ;; CRR(P) = ([s]_{S<} \wedge ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger CRR(P)))\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

by (*rel-blast*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *subst-state-csp-enable* [*usubst*]:

$[\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathcal{E}(s, t_2, e) = \mathcal{E}(\sigma \dagger s, \sigma \dagger t_2, \sigma \dagger e)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-assign-enable* [*rpred*]:

$\Phi(s_1, \sigma, t_1) ;; \mathcal{E}(s_2, t_2, e) = \mathcal{E}(s_1 \wedge \sigma \dagger s_2, t_1 \hat{\wedge}_u(\sigma \dagger t_2), (\sigma \dagger e))$

by (*simp add: rpred closure usubst*)

lemma *csp-do-assign-do* [*rpred*]:

$\Phi(s_1, \sigma, t_1) ;; \Phi(s_2, \varrho, t_2) = \Phi(s_1 \wedge (\sigma \dagger s_2), \varrho \circ \sigma, t_1 \hat{\wedge}_u(\sigma \dagger t_2))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-cond* [*rpred*]:

$\Phi(s_1, \sigma, t_1) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \Phi(s_2, \varrho, t_2) = \Phi(s_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright s_2, \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright_s \varrho, t_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright t_2)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rea-assm-csp-do* [*rpred*]:

$[b]^\top_r ;; \Phi(s, \sigma, t) = \Phi(b \wedge s, \sigma, t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-do-skip* [*rpred*]:

assumes *P* is *CRR*

shows $\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, t) ;; P = P\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

proof –

have $\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, t) ;; CRR(P) = (CRR P)\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *wp-rea-csp-do-lemma*:

fixes *P* :: (*'σ*, *'φ*) *action*

assumes *\$ok* $\# P$ *\$wait* $\# P$ *\$ref* $\# P$

shows $([\langle \sigma \rangle_a]_S \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\wedge}_u [t]_{S<}) ;; P = ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P)\llbracket \$tr \hat{\wedge}_u [t]_{S<}/\$tr \rrbracket$

using *assms* **by** (*rel-auto, meson*)

lemma *wp-rea-csp-do* [*wp*]:

fixes *P* :: (*'σ*, *'φ*) *action*

assumes *P* is *CRR*

shows $\Phi(s, \sigma, t) \text{ wp}_r P = (\mathcal{I}(s, t) \Rightarrow_r ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P))\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

proof –

have $\Phi(s, \sigma, t) \text{ wp}_r CRR(P) = (\mathcal{I}(s, t) \Rightarrow_r ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger CRR(P)))\llbracket t \rrbracket_t$

by (*rel-blast*)

thus *?thesis*

by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed

lemma *csp-do-power-Suc* [rpred]:
 $\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, t) \wedge (\text{Suc } i) = \Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, \text{iter}[\text{Suc } i](t))$
 by (induct i, (rel-auto)+)

lemma *csp-power-do-comp* [rpred]:
 assumes *P* is CRR
 shows $\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, t) \wedge i \;; P = \Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, \text{iter}[i](t)) \;; P$
 apply (cases i)
 apply (simp-all add: rpred usubst assms closure)
 done

lemma *wp-rea-csp-do-skip* [wp]:
 fixes *Q* :: ('σ, 'φ) action
 assumes *P* is CRR
 shows $\Phi(s, \text{id}, t) \text{ wp}_r P = (\mathcal{I}(s, t) \Rightarrow_r P \llbracket t \rrbracket_t)$
proof –
 have $\Phi(s, \text{id}, t) \text{ wp}_r P = \Phi(s, \text{id}, t) \text{ wp}_r P$
 by (simp add: skip-r-def)
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: wp assms usubst alpha)
 qed

lemma *msubst-csp-do* [usubst]:
 $\Phi(s(x), \sigma, t(x)) \llbracket x \rightarrow [v]_{S \leftarrow} \rrbracket = \Phi(s(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket, \sigma, t(x) \llbracket x \rightarrow v \rrbracket)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *rea-frame-ext-csp-do* [frame]:
 $\text{vwb-lens } a \Longrightarrow a : [\Phi(s, \sigma, t)]_r^+ = \Phi(s \oplus_p a, \sigma \oplus_s a, t \oplus_p a)$
 by (rel-auto)

3.9 Downward closure of refusals

We define downward closure of the pericondition by the following healthiness condition

definition *CDC* :: ('s, 'e) action \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) action **where**
[upred-defs]: $\text{CDC}(P) = (\exists \text{ref}_0 \cdot P \llbracket \llbracket \text{ref}_0 \rrbracket / \$\text{ref}' \rrbracket \wedge \$\text{ref}' \subseteq_u \llbracket \text{ref}_0 \rrbracket)$

lemma *CDC-idem*: $\text{CDC}(\text{CDC}(P)) = \text{CDC}(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *CDC-RR-commute*: $\text{CDC}(\text{RR}(P)) = \text{RR}(\text{CDC}(P))$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *CDC-RR-closed* [closure]: *P* is RR \Longrightarrow *CDC*(*P*) is RR
 by (metis CDC-RR-commute Healthy-def)

lemma *CDC-CRR-commute*: $\text{CDC}(\text{CRR } P) = \text{CRR}(\text{CDC } P)$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *CDC-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes *P* is CRR
 shows *CDC*(*P*) is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp add: CDC-def unrest assms closure, simp add: unrest assms closure)

lemma *CDC-unrest* [unrest]: $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; (\$ref')_v \bowtie x; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# \text{CDC}(P)$
by (simp add: CDC-def unrest usubst lens-indep-sym)

lemma *CDC-R4-commute*: $\text{CDC}(R4(P)) = R4(\text{CDC}(P))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *R4-CDC-closed* [closure]: $P \text{ is CDC} \implies R4(P) \text{ is CDC}$
by (simp add: CDC-R4-commute Healthy-def)

lemma *CDC-R5-commute*: $\text{CDC}(R5(P)) = R5(\text{CDC}(P))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *R5-CDC-closed* [closure]: $P \text{ is CDC} \implies R5(P) \text{ is CDC}$
by (simp add: CDC-R5-commute Healthy-def)

lemma *rea-true-CDC* [closure]: $\text{true}_r \text{ is CDC}$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *false-CDC* [closure]: $\text{false} \text{ is CDC}$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *CDC-UNIF-closed* [closure]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P \ i \text{ is CDC}$
shows $(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot P \ i) \text{ is CDC}$
using *assms* **by** (rel-blast)

lemma *CDC-disj-closed* [closure]:
assumes $P \text{ is CDC } Q \text{ is CDC}$
shows $(P \vee Q) \text{ is CDC}$

proof –
have $\text{CDC}(P \vee Q) = (\text{CDC}(P) \vee \text{CDC}(Q))$
by (rel-auto)
thus ?thesis
by (metis Healthy-def assms(1) assms(2))
qed

lemma *CDC-USUP-closed* [closure]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P \ i \text{ is CDC}$
shows $(\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot P \ i) \text{ is CDC}$
using *assms* **by** (rel-blast)

lemma *CDC-conj-closed* [closure]:
assumes $P \text{ is CDC } Q \text{ is CDC}$
shows $(P \wedge Q) \text{ is CDC}$
using *assms* **by** (rel-auto, blast, meson)

lemma *CDC-rea-impl* [rpred]:
 $\$ref' \# P \implies \text{CDC}(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (P \Rightarrow_r \text{CDC}(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *rea-impl-CDC-closed* [closure]:
assumes $\$ref' \# P \ Q \text{ is CDC}$
shows $(P \Rightarrow_r Q) \text{ is CDC}$
using *assms* **by** (simp add: CDC-rea-impl Healthy-def)

lemma *seq-CDC-closed* [closure]:
 assumes Q is CDC
 shows $(P ;; Q)$ is CDC
proof –
 have $CDC(P ;; Q) = P ;; CDC(Q)$
 by (*rel-blast*)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)
qed

lemma *st-subst-CDC-closed* [closure]:
 assumes P is CDC
 shows $(\sigma \uparrow_S P)$ is CDC
proof –
 have $(\sigma \uparrow_S CDC\ P)$ is CDC
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)
qed

lemma *rea-st-cond-CDC* [closure]: $[g]_{S<}$ is CDC
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *csp-enable-CDC* [closure]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)$ is CDC
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *state-srea-CDC-closed* [closure]:
 assumes P is CDC
 shows $state\ 'a \cdot P$ is CDC
proof –
 have $state\ 'a \cdot CDC(P)$ is CDC
 by (*rel-blast*)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)
qed

3.10 Renaming

abbreviation *pre-image* $f\ B \equiv \{x. f(x) \in B\}$

definition *csp-rename* :: $(s, 'e)\ action \Rightarrow ('e \Rightarrow 'f) \Rightarrow (s, 'f)\ action\ ((-)\Downarrow)_c\ [999, 0]\ 999)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: P\Downarrow_c = R2((\$tr' =_u \langle \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) ;; P ;; (\$tr' =_u map_u \ll f \gg \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st \wedge$
 $uop\ (pre-image\ f)\ \$ref' \subseteq_u \$ref))$

lemma *csp-rename-CRR-closed* [closure]:
 assumes P is CRR
 shows $P\Downarrow_c$ is CRR
proof –
 have $(CRR\ P)\Downarrow_c$ is CRR
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus ?thesis **by** (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)
qed

lemma *csp-rename-disj* [*rpred*]: $(P \vee Q)\Downarrow_c = (P\Downarrow_c \vee Q\Downarrow_c)$
 by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *csp-rename-UINF-ind* [rpred]: $(\prod i \cdot P\ i)(\downarrow f)_c = (\prod i \cdot (P\ i)(\downarrow f)_c)$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *csp-rename-UINF-mem* [rpred]: $(\prod i \in A \cdot P\ i)(\downarrow f)_c = (\prod i \in A \cdot (P\ i)(\downarrow f)_c)$
 by (rel-blast)

Renaming distributes through conjunction only when both sides are downward closed

lemma *csp-rename-conj* [rpred]:
 assumes *inj f P is CRR Q is CRR P is CDC Q is CDC*
 shows $(P \wedge Q)(\downarrow f)_c = (P(\downarrow f)_c \wedge Q(\downarrow f)_c)$
proof –
 from *assms(1)* have $((CDC\ (CRR\ P)) \wedge (CDC\ (CRR\ Q)))(\downarrow f)_c = ((CDC\ (CRR\ P))(\downarrow f)_c \wedge (CDC\ (CRR\ Q))(\downarrow f)_c)$
 apply (rel-auto)
 apply blast
 apply blast
 apply (meson order-refl order-trans)
 done
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: *assms Healthy-if*)
qed

lemma *csp-rename-seq* [rpred]:
 assumes *P is CRR Q is CRR*
 shows $(P ;; Q)(\downarrow f)_c = P(\downarrow f)_c ;; Q(\downarrow f)_c$
 oops

lemma *csp-rename-R4* [rpred]:
 $(R4(P))(\downarrow f)_c = R4(P(\downarrow f)_c)$
 apply (rel-auto, blast)
 using less-le apply fastforce
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Prefix-Order.Nil-prefix append-Nil2 diff-add-cancel-left' less-le list.simps(8) plus-list-def)
 done

lemma *csp-rename-R5* [rpred]:
 $(R5(P))(\downarrow f)_c = R5(P(\downarrow f)_c)$
 apply (rel-auto, blast)
 using less-le apply fastforce
 done

lemma *csp-rename-do* [rpred]: $\Phi(s, \sigma, t)(\downarrow f)_c = \Phi(s, \sigma, \text{map}_u \ll f \gg t)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *csp-rename-enable* [rpred]: $\mathcal{E}(s, t, E)(\downarrow f)_c = \mathcal{E}(s, \text{map}_u \ll f \gg t, \text{uop}(\text{image } f)\ E)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *st'-unrest-csp-rename* [unrest]: $\$st' \# P \Longrightarrow \$st' \# P(\downarrow f)_c$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *ref'-unrest-csp-rename* [unrest]: $\$ref' \# P \Longrightarrow \$ref' \# P(\downarrow f)_c$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *csp-rename-CDC-closed* [closure]:
 $P \text{ is CDC} \Longrightarrow P(\downarrow f)_c \text{ is CDC}$

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *csp-do-CDC* [*closure*]: $\Phi(s, \sigma, t)$ is CDC

by (*rel-auto*)

end

4 Stateful-Failure Healthiness Conditions

theory *utp-sfrd-healths*

imports *utp-sfrd-rel*

begin

5 Definitions

We here define extra healthiness conditions for stateful-failure reactive designs.

abbreviation *CSP1* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$

where $CSP1(P) \equiv RD1(P)$

abbreviation *CSP2* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$

where $CSP2(P) \equiv RD2(P)$

abbreviation *CSP* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$

where $CSP(P) \equiv SRD(P)$

definition *STOP* :: $\varphi \text{ process}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $STOP = CSP1(\$ok' \wedge R3c(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$

definition *SKIP* :: $\varphi \text{ process}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $SKIP = \mathbf{R}_s(\exists \$ref \cdot CSP1(II))$

definition *Stop* :: $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$

definition *Skip* :: $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \$st' =_u \$st))$

definition *CSP3* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $CSP3(P) = (Skip \;; \; P)$

definition *CSP4* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $CSP4(P) = (P \;; \; Skip)$

definition *NCSP* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$ **where**

[*upred-defs*]: $NCSP = CSP3 \circ CSP4 \circ CSP$

Productive and normal processes

abbreviation *PCSP* $\equiv Productive \circ NCSP$

Instantaneous and normal processes

abbreviation *ICSP* $\equiv ISRD1 \circ NCSP$

5.1 Healthiness condition properties

SKIP is the same as *Skip*, and *STOP* is the same as *Stop*, when we consider stateless CSP processes. This is because any reference to the *st* variable degenerates when the alphabet type coerces its type to be empty. We therefore need not consider *SKIP* and *STOP* actions.

theorem *SKIP-is-Skip* [simp]: $SKIP = Skip$

by (rel-auto)

theorem *STOP-is-Stop* [simp]: $STOP = Stop$

by (rel-auto)

theorem *Skip-UTP-form*: $Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(\exists \$ref \cdot CSP1(II))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Skip-is-CSP* [closure]:

Skip is CSP

by (simp add: Skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma *Skip-RHS-tri-design*:

$Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st)))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Skip-RHS-tri-design'* [rdes-def]:

$Skip = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash (false \diamond \Phi(true, id, \langle \rangle)))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Skip-frame* [frame]: $vwb\text{-}lens\ a \implies a:[Skip]_R^+ = Skip$

by (rdes-eq)

lemma *Stop-is-CSP* [closure]:

Stop is CSP

by (simp add: Stop-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma *Stop-RHS-tri-design*: $Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \diamond false)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Stop-RHS-rdes-def* [rdes-def]: $Stop = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u) \diamond false)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-Skip* [rdes]: $pre_R(Skip) = true_r$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-Skip* [rdes]: $peri_R(Skip) = false$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-Skip* [rdes]: $post_R(Skip) = \Phi(true, id, \langle \rangle)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *Productive-Stop* [closure]:

Stop is Productive

by (simp add: Stop-RHS-tri-design Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)

lemma *Skip-left-lemma*:

assumes *P is CSP*

shows $Skip \;;\ P = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R\ P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot cmt_R\ P))$

proof –

have $Skip \;; P =$

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) \; wp_r \; pre_R \; P \vdash$
 $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) \;; \; peri_R \; P \diamond$
 $(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) \;; \; post_R \; P)$

by (*simp add: SRD-composition-wp alpha rdes closure wp assms rpred C1, rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R \; P) \vdash$

$(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \$st' =_u \$st) \;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright cmt_R \; P))$

by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \; \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R \; P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot cmt_R \; P))$

by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \; \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest:*

assumes P is CSP $\$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$

shows $Skip \;; P = P$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: Skip-left-lemma*)

(*metis SRD-reactive-design-alt all-unrest cmt-unrest-ref cmt-wait-false ex-unrest pre-unrest-ref pre-wait-false*)

lemma *CSP3-intro:*

$\llbracket P \text{ is CSP}; \$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is CSP3}$

by (*simp add: CSP3-def Healthy-def' Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest*)

lemma *ref-unrest-RHS-design:*

assumes $\$ref \# P \; \$ref \# Q_1 \; \$ref \# Q_2$

shows $\$ref \# (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \; f$

by (*simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def unrest usubst assms*)

lemma *CSP3-SRD-intro:*

assumes P is CSP $\$ref \# pre_R(P) \; \$ref \# peri_R(P) \; \$ref \# post_R(P)$

shows P is CSP3

proof –

have $P: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1) wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt[THEN sym]*)

have $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))$ is CSP3

by (*rule CSP3-intro, simp add: assms P, simp add: ref-unrest-RHS-design assms*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: P*)

qed

lemma *Skip-unrest-ref [unrest]:* $\$ref \# Skip \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: Skip-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest*)

lemma *Skip-unrest-ref' [unrest]:* $\$ref' \# Skip \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: Skip-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest*)

lemma *CSP3-iff:*

assumes P is CSP

shows $P \text{ is CSP3} \iff (\$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$

proof

assume 1: P is CSP3

have $\$ref \# (Skip \;; P) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: usubst unrest*)

with 1 show $\$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*metis CSP3-def Healthy-def*)
next
assume $1:\$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
show P is CSP3
by (*simp add: 1 CSP3-intro assms*)
qed

lemma *CSP3-unrest-ref* [*unrest*]:
assumes P is CSP P is CSP3
shows $\$ref \# pre_R(P) \ \$ref \# peri_R(P) \ \$ref \# post_R(P)$
proof –
have $a: (\$ref \# P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
using *CSP3-iff assms* **by** *blast*
from a **show** $\$ref \# pre_R(P)$
by (*rel-blast*)
from a **show** $\$ref \# peri_R(P)$
by (*rel-blast*)
from a **show** $\$ref \# post_R(P)$
by (*rel-blast*)
qed

lemma *CSP3-rdes*:
assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
shows $CSP3(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot P) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot Q) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot R))$
by (*simp add: CSP3-def Skip-left-lemma closure assms rdes, rel-auto*)

lemma *CSP3-form*:
assumes P is CSP
shows $CSP3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\exists \$ref \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: CSP3-def Skip-left-lemma assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *CSP3-Skip* [*closure*]:
 $Skip$ is CSP3
by (*rule CSP3-intro, simp add: Skip-is-CSP, simp add: Skip-def unrest*)

lemma *CSP3-Stop* [*closure*]:
 $Stop$ is CSP3
by (*rule CSP3-intro, simp add: Stop-is-CSP, simp add: Stop-def unrest*)

lemma *CSP3-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent CSP3*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-Skip CSP3-def Healthy-if Idempotent-def seqr-assoc*)

lemma *CSP3-Continuous*: *Continuous CSP3*
by (*simp add: Continuous-def CSP3-def seq-Sup-distl*)

lemma *Skip-right-lemma*:
assumes P is CSP
shows $P ;; Skip = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R P)))$
proof –
have $P ;; Skip = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \diamond post_R P ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$st))$
by (*simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure assms wp rdes rpred, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false \vdash ((cmt_R P ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \llbracket II \rrbracket_D)) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R P ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait \wedge \$st'))$

$=_u \$st))))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\text{cmt}_R P ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait \wedge \$st' =_u \$st))))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *Skip-right-tri-lemma:*

assumes P is CSP
 shows $P ;; \text{Skip} = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{post}_R P)))$
proof –
 have $((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)) = ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{post}_R P))$
 by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: Skip-right-lemma[OF assms])
 qed

lemma *CSP4-intro:*

assumes P is CSP $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1(\text{true}) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))$
 $\$st' \# (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \$ref' \# (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket$
 shows P is CSP4
proof –
 have $\text{CSP4}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)))$
 by (simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-lemma assms(1))
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket))$
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def assms(2) rpred closure cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket)))$
 by (simp add: usubst unrest)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (\text{pre}_R P \vdash ((\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket))$
 by (simp add: ex-unrest assms)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (\text{pre}_R P \vdash \text{cmt}_R P)$
 by (simp add: cond-var-split)
 also have ... = P
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1))
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def')
 qed

lemma *CSP4-RC-intro:*

assumes P is CSP $\text{pre}_R(P)$ is RC
 $\$st' \# (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \$ref' \# (\text{cmt}_R P) \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket$
 shows P is CSP4
proof –
 have $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1(\text{true}) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-seqr-closure assms(2) rea-not-R1 rea-not-false rea-not-not wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: CSP4-intro assms)
 qed

lemma *CSP4-rdes*:

assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
shows $CSP_4(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot R)))$
by (*simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-lemma closure assms rdes, rel-auto, blast+*)

lemma *CSP4-form*:

assumes P is CSP
shows $CSP_4(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot \text{post}_R P)))$
by (*simp add: CSP4-def Skip-right-tri-lemma assms*)

lemma *Skip-srdes-right-unit*:

$(\text{Skip} :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ action}) ;; II_R = \text{Skip}$
by (*rdes-simp*)

lemma *Skip-srdes-left-unit*:

$II_R ;; (\text{Skip} :: ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ action}) = \text{Skip}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3*: $RD3(CSP_4(P)) = CSP_4(P)$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP4-def RD3-def Skip-srdes-right-unit seqr-assoc*)

lemma *CSP4-implies-RD3*: P is $CSP_4 \implies P$ is $RD3$

by (*metis CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3 Healthy-def*)

lemma *CSP4-tri-intro*:

assumes P is CSP $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1(\text{true}) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P) \$ref' \# \text{post}_R(P)$
shows P is CSP_4
using *assms*
by (*rule-tac CSP4-intro, simp-all add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst cmt_R-def*)

lemma *CSP4-NSRD-intro*:

assumes P is $NSRD$ $\$ref' \# \text{post}_R(P)$
shows P is CSP_4
by (*simp add: CSP4-tri-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri assms*)

lemma *CSP3-commutes-CSP4*: $CSP_3(CSP_4(P)) = CSP_4(CSP_3(P))$

by (*simp add: CSP3-def CSP4-def seqr-assoc*)

lemma *NCSP-implies-CSP [closure]*: P is $NCSP \implies P$ is CSP

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-def NCSP-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure Skip-is-CSP comp-apply*)

lemma *NCSP-elim [RD-elim]*:

$\llbracket X \text{ is } NCSP; P(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(X) \vdash \text{peri}_R(X) \diamond \text{post}_R(X))) \rrbracket \implies P(X)$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure*)

lemma *NCSP-implies-CSP3 [closure]*:

P is $NCSP \implies P$ is CSP_3
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-def Healthy-def' NCSP-def Skip-is-CSP Skip-left-unit-ref-unrest Skip-unrest-ref comp-apply seqr-assoc*)

lemma *NCSP-implies-CSP4 [closure]*:

P is $NCSP \implies P$ is CSP_4
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-commutes-CSP4 Healthy-def NCSP-def NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-CSP3 comp-apply*)

lemma *NCSP-implies-RD3* [closure]: P is NCSP $\implies P$ is RD3
 by (metis *CSP3-commutes-CSP4* *CSP4-right-subsumes-RD3* *Healthy-def* *NCSP-def* *comp-apply*)

lemma *NCSP-implies-NSRD* [closure]: P is NCSP $\implies P$ is NSRD
 by (simp add: *NCSP-implies-CSP* *NCSP-implies-RD3* *SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD*)

lemma *NCSP-subset-implies-CSP* [closure]:
 $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H \implies A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP} \rrbracket_H$
 using *NCSP-implies-CSP* by blast

lemma *NCSP-subset-implies-NSRD* [closure]:
 $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H \implies A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NSRD} \rrbracket_H$
 using *NCSP-implies-NSRD* by blast

lemma *CSP-Healthy-subset-member*: $\llbracket P \in A; A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP} \rrbracket_H \rrbracket \implies P$ is CSP
 by (simp add: *is-Healthy-subset-member*)

lemma *CSP3-Healthy-subset-member*: $\llbracket P \in A; A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP3} \rrbracket_H \rrbracket \implies P$ is CSP3
 by (simp add: *is-Healthy-subset-member*)

lemma *CSP4-Healthy-subset-member*: $\llbracket P \in A; A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP4} \rrbracket_H \rrbracket \implies P$ is CSP4
 by (simp add: *is-Healthy-subset-member*)

lemma *NCSP-Healthy-subset-member*: $\llbracket P \in A; A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H \rrbracket \implies P$ is NCSP
 by (simp add: *is-Healthy-subset-member*)

lemma *NCSP-intro*:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP3 P is CSP4
 shows P is NCSP
 by (metis *Healthy-def* *NCSP-def* *assms* *comp-eq-dest-lhs*)

lemma *Skip-left-unit*: P is NCSP $\implies \text{Skip} ;; P = P$
 by (metis (full-types) *CSP3-def* *Healthy-if* *NCSP-implies-CSP3*)

lemma *Skip-right-unit*: P is NCSP $\implies P ;; \text{Skip} = P$
 by (metis (full-types) *CSP4-def* *Healthy-if* *NCSP-implies-CSP4*)

lemma *NCSP-NSRD-intro*:
 assumes P is NSRD $\$ref \# pre_R(P) \$ref \# peri_R(P) \$ref \# post_R(P) \$ref' \# post_R(P)$
 shows P is NCSP
 by (simp add: *CSP3-SRD-intro* *CSP4-NSRD-intro* *NCSP-intro* *NSRD-is-SRD* *assms*)

lemma *CSP4-neg-pre-unit*:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$
 by (simp add: *CSP4-implies-RD3* *NSRD-neg-pre-unit* *SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD* *assms(1)* *assms(2)*)

lemma *NSRD-CSP4-intro*:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows P is NSRD
 by (simp add: *CSP4-implies-RD3* *SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD* *assms(1)* *assms(2)*)

lemma *NCSP-form*:
 $\text{NCSP } P = \mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r pre_R(P)) wp_r false) \vdash ((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists$

$\$ref' \cdot post_R(P))$

proof –

have $NCSP\ P = CSP3\ (CSP4\ (NSRD\ P))$

by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) $CSP4\text{-def}$ $NCSP\text{-def}$ $NSRD\text{-alt-def}$ $RA1$ $RD3\text{-def}$ $Skip\text{-srdes-left-unit}$ $o\text{-apply}$)

also

have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s\ ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r\ pre_R\ (NSRD\ P))\ wp_r\ false) \vdash$
 $(\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R\ (NSRD\ P)) \diamond$
 $(\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R\ (NSRD\ P)))$

by (simp add: $CSP3\text{-form}$ $CSP4\text{-form}$ $closure\ unrest\ rdes$, $rel\text{-auto}$)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s\ ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r\ pre_R(P))\ wp_r\ false) \vdash ((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref \cdot \exists$
 $\$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))$

by (simp add: $NSRD\text{-form}$ $rdes\ closure$, $rel\text{-blast}$)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma $CSP4\text{-st'-unrest-peri}$ [unrest]:

assumes P is CSP P is $CSP4$

shows $\$st' \not\# peri_R(P)$

by (simp add: $NSRD\text{-}CSP4\text{-intro}$ $NSRD\text{-st'-unrest-peri}\ assms$)

lemma $CSP4\text{-healthy-form}$:

assumes P is CSP P is $CSP4$

shows $P = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))$

proof –

have $P = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R\ P) \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot cmt_R\ P)))$

by (metis $CSP4\text{-def}$ $Healthy\text{-def}$ $Skip\text{-right-lemma}\ assms(1)$ $assms(2)$)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot cmt_R\ P) \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright (\exists \$ref' \cdot$
 $cmt_R\ P) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)))$

by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) $subst\text{-wait'-left-subst}$ $subst\text{-wait'-right-subst}$ $wait'\text{-cond-def}$)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))$

by (simp add: $wait'\text{-cond-def}$ $usubst\ peri_R\text{-def}$ $post_R\text{-def}$ $cmt_R\text{-def}$ $unrest$)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma $CSP4\text{-ref'-unrest-pre}$ [unrest]:

assumes P is CSP P is $CSP4$

shows $\$ref' \not\# pre_R(P)$

proof –

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))$

using $CSP4\text{-healthy-form}\ assms(1)$ $assms(2)$ by fastforce

also have ... = $(\neg_r\ pre_R\ P)\ wp_r\ false$

by (simp add: $rea\text{-pre-RHS-design}$ $wp\text{-rea-def}$ $usubst\ unrest$

$CSP4\text{-neg-pre-unit}$ $R1\text{-rea-not}$ $R2c\text{-preR}$ $R2c\text{-rea-not}\ assms$)

also have $\$ref' \not\# \dots$

by (simp add: $wp\text{-rea-def}\ unrest$)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma $NCSP\text{-set-unrest-pre-wait'}$:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket NCSP \rrbracket_H$

shows $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$wait' \not\# pre_R(P)$

proof –

fix P

assume $P \in A$

hence P is NSRD
 using *NCSP-implies-NSRD* *assms* **by** *auto*
 thus $\$wait' \# pre_R(P)$
 using *NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st'*:
 assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H$ $A \subseteq \llbracket CSP4 \rrbracket_H$
 shows $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$st' \# pre_R(P)$
proof –
 fix P
 assume $P \in A$
 hence P is NSRD
 using *NSRD-CSP4-intro* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *blast*
 thus $\$st' \# pre_R(P)$
 using *NSRD-st'-unrest-pre* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSP4-ref'-unrest-post* [*unrest*]:
 assumes P is CSP P is CSP4
 shows $\$ref' \# post_R(P)$
proof –
 have $post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(P))))))$
 using *CSP4-healthy-form* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) wp_r false \Rightarrow_r (\exists \$ref' \cdot post_R P)))$
 by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def*)
 also have $\$ref' \# \dots$
 by (*simp add: R1-def R2c-def wp-rea-def unrest*)
 finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *CSP3-Chaos* [*closure*]: *Chaos* is CSP3
 by (*simp add: Chaos-def, rule CSP3-intro, simp-all add: RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *CSP4-Chaos* [*closure*]: *Chaos* is CSP4
 by (*rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest*)

lemma *NCSP-Chaos* [*closure*]: *Chaos* is NCSP
 by (*simp add: NCSP-intro closure*)

lemma *CSP3-Miracle* [*closure*]: *Miracle* is CSP3
 by (*simp add: Miracle-def, rule CSP3-intro, simp-all add: RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *CSP4-Miracle* [*closure*]: *Miracle* is CSP4
 by (*rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest*)

lemma *NCSP-Miracle* [*closure*]: *Miracle* is NCSP
 by (*simp add: NCSP-intro closure*)

lemma *NCSP-seqr-closure* [*closure*]:
 assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows $P ;; Q$ is NCSP
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-def' NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-CSP3 NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-intro SRD-seqr-closure assms*(1) *assms*(2) *seqr-assoc*)

lemma *CSP4-Skip* [closure]: *Skip is CSP4*
apply (rule *CSP4-intro*, simp-all add: *Skip-is-CSP*)
apply (simp-all add: *Skip-def* *rea-pre-RHS-design* *rea-cmt-RHS-design* *usubst* *unrest* *R2c-true*)
done

lemma *NCSP-Skip* [closure]: *Skip is NCSP*
by (metis *CSP3-Skip* *CSP4-Skip* *Healthy-def* *NCSP-def* *Skip-is-CSP* *comp-apply*)

lemma *CSP4-Stop* [closure]: *Stop is CSP4*
apply (rule *CSP4-intro*, simp-all add: *Stop-is-CSP*)
apply (simp-all add: *Stop-def* *rea-pre-RHS-design* *rea-cmt-RHS-design* *usubst* *unrest* *R2c-true*)
done

lemma *NCSP-Stop* [closure]: *Stop is NCSP*
by (metis *CSP3-Stop* *CSP4-Stop* *Healthy-def* *NCSP-def* *Stop-is-CSP* *comp-apply*)

lemma *CSP4-Idempotent*: *Idempotent CSP4*
by (metis (no-types, lifting) *CSP3-Skip* *CSP3-def* *CSP4-def* *Healthy-if* *Idempotent-def* *segr-assoc*)

lemma *CSP4-Continuous*: *Continuous CSP4*
by (simp add: *Continuous-def* *CSP4-def* *seq-Sup-distr*)

lemma *rdes-frame-ext-NCSP-closed* [closure]:
assumes *vwb-lens* *a* *P* *is NCSP*
shows $a:[P]_R^+$ *is NCSP*
by (metis (no-types, lifting) *CSP3-def* *CSP4-def* *Healthy-intro* *NCSP-Skip* *NCSP-implies-NSRD* *NCSP-intro* *NSRD-is-SRD* *Skip-frame* *Skip-left-unit* *Skip-right-unit* *assms(1)* *assms(2)* *rdes-frame-ext-NSRD-closed* *seq-srea-frame*)

lemma *preR-Stop* [rdes]: $pre_R(Stop) = true_r$
by (simp add: *Stop-def* *Stop-is-CSP* *rea-pre-RHS-design* *unrest* *usubst* *R2c-true*)

lemma *periR-Stop* [rdes]: $peri_R(Stop) = \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u)$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-Stop* [rdes]: $post_R(Stop) = false$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *cmtR-Stop* [rdes]: $cmt_R(Stop) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *NCSP-Idempotent* [closure]: *Idempotent NCSP*
by (clarsimp simp add: *NCSP-def* *Idempotent-def*)
(metis (no-types, hide-lams) *CSP3-Idempotent* *CSP3-def* *CSP4-Idempotent* *CSP4-def* *Healthy-def* *Idempotent-def* *SRD-idem* *SRD-segr-closure* *Skip-is-CSP* *segr-assoc*)

lemma *NCSP-Continuous* [closure]: *Continuous NCSP*
by (simp add: *CSP3-Continuous* *CSP4-Continuous* *Continuous-comp* *NCSP-def* *SRD-Continuous*)

lemma *preR-CRR* [closure]: P *is NCSP* $\implies pre_R(P)$ *is CRR*
by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *closure* *unrest*)

lemma *periR-CRR* [closure]: P *is NCSP* $\implies peri_R(P)$ *is CRR*
by (rule *CRR-intro*, simp-all add: *closure* *unrest*)

lemma *postR-CRR* [closure]: P is NCSP \implies $\text{post}_R(P)$ is CRR
 by (rule CRR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)

lemma *NCSP-rdes-intro* [closure]:
 assumes P is CRC Q is CRR R is CRR
 $\$st' \# Q \$ref' \# R$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
 apply (rule CSP3-SRD-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
 apply (rule CSP4-tri-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) CRC-implies-RC R1-seqr-closure assms(1) rea-not-R1 rea-not-false
 rea-not-not wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
 done

lemma *NCSP-preR-CRC* [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP
 shows $\text{pre}_R(P)$ is CRC
 by (rule CRC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms unrest)

lemma *CSP3-Sup-closure* [closure]:
 $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP3} \rrbracket_H \implies (\bigwedge A)$ is CSP3
 apply (auto simp add: CSP3-def Healthy-def seq-Sup-distl)
 apply (rule cong[of Sup])
 apply (simp)
 using image-iff apply force
 done

lemma *CSP4-Sup-closure* [closure]:
 $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{CSP4} \rrbracket_H \implies (\bigwedge A)$ is CSP4
 apply (auto simp add: CSP4-def Healthy-def seq-Sup-distr)
 apply (rule cong[of Sup])
 apply (simp)
 using image-iff apply force
 done

lemma *NCSP-Sup-closure* [closure]: $\llbracket A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (\bigwedge A)$ is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-intro, simp-all add: closure)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Ball-Collect CSP3-Sup-closure NCSP-implies-CSP3)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Ball-Collect CSP4-Sup-closure NCSP-implies-CSP4)
 done

lemma *NCSP-SUP-closure* [closure]: $\llbracket \bigwedge i. P(i) \text{ is NCSP}; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (\bigwedge i \in A. P(i))$ is NCSP
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect NCSP-Sup-closure image-iff image-is-empty)

lemma *PCSP-implies-NCSP* [closure]:
 assumes P is PCSP
 shows P is NCSP

proof –

have $P = \text{Productive}(\text{NCSP}(\text{NCSP } P))$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def' Idempotent-def NCSP-Idempotent assms comp-apply)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P)) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false}) \vdash$

$(\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(NCSP P)) \diamond$
 $((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(NCSP P)) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$
 by (simp add: NCSP-form Productive-RHS-design-form unrest closure)
 also have ... is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
 apply (rule CRC-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest ex-unrest all-unrest closure)
 done
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *PCSP-elim* [RD-elim]:
 assumes X is PCSP P ($\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R X) \vdash peri_R X \diamond (R4(post_R X))))$
 shows $P X$
 by (metis *R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP Productive-form assms comp-apply*)

lemma *ICSP-implies-NCSP* [closure]:

assumes P is ICSP
 shows P is NCSP

proof –

have $P = ISRD1(NCSP(NCSP P))$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) *Healthy-def' Idempotent-def NCSP-Idempotent assms comp-apply*)
 also have ... = $ISRD1 (\mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r pre_R(NCSP P)) wp_r false) \vdash$
 $(\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(NCSP P)) \diamond$
 $(\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(NCSP P))))$
 by (simp add: NCSP-form)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((\forall \$ref \cdot (\neg_r pre_R(NCSP P)) wp_r false) \vdash$
 $false \diamond$
 $((\exists \$ref \cdot \exists \$ref' \cdot post_R(NCSP P)) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$
 by (simp-all add: *ISRD1-RHS-design-form closure rdes unrest*)
 also have ... is NCSP
 apply (rule NCSP-rdes-intro)
 apply (rule CRC-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest ex-unrest all-unrest closure)
 done
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *ICSP-implies-ISRD* [closure]:

assumes P is ICSP
 shows P is ISRD

by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) *Healthy-def ICSP-implies-NCSP ISRD-def NCSP-implies-ISRD assms comp-apply*)

lemma *ICSP-elim* [RD-elim]:

assumes X is ICSP P ($\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R X) \vdash false \diamond (post_R X \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr)))$
 shows $P X$

by (metis *Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP ICSP-implies-NCSP ISRD1-form assms comp-apply*)

lemma *ICSP-Stop-right-zero-lemma*:

$(P \wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) ;; true_r = true_r \implies (P \wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *ICSP-Stop-right-zero*:

assumes P is ICSP $pre_R(P) = true_r post_R(P) ;; true_r = true_r$

shows $P \;; \text{Stop} = \text{Stop}$
proof –
from $\text{assms}(3)$ **have** $1: (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr) \;; \text{true}_r = \text{true}_r$
by (rel-auto , metis (full-types , hide-lams) $\text{dual-order.antisym}$ order-refl)
show $?thesis$
by (rdes-simp $\text{cls: assms}(1)$, $\text{simp add: csp-enable-nothing}$ $\text{assms}(2)$ $\text{ICSP-Stop-right-zero-lemma}[OF$
 $1])$
qed

lemma ICSP-intro : $\llbracket P \text{ is NCSP}; P \text{ is ISRD1} \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is ICSP}$
using Healthy-comp **by** blast

lemma seq-ICSP-closed [closure]:
assumes $P \text{ is ICSP}$ $Q \text{ is ICSP}$
shows $P \;; Q \text{ is ICSP}$
by (meson ICSP-implies-ISRD ICSP-implies-NCSP ICSP-intro $\text{ISRD-implies-ISRD1}$ NCSP-seqr-closure
 assms seq-ISRD-closed)

lemma Miracle-ICSP [closure]: Miracle is ICSP
by (rule ICSP-intro , simp add: closure , $\text{simp add: ISRD1-rdes-intro}$ rdes-def closure)

5.2 CSP theories

typeddecl TCSP

abbreviation $\text{TCSP} \equiv \text{UTHY}(\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp})$

overloading

$\text{tcsp-hcond} == \text{utp-hcond} :: (\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ uthy} \Rightarrow ((' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$
 $\text{tcsp-unit} == \text{utp-unit} :: (\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ uthy} \Rightarrow (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ action}$

begin

definition $\text{tcsp-hcond} :: (\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ uthy} \Rightarrow ((' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp} \times (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ health}$ **where**
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: \text{tcsp-hcond } T = \text{NCSP}$

definition $\text{tcsp-unit} :: (\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp}) \text{ uthy} \Rightarrow (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ action}$ **where**
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: \text{tcsp-unit } T = \text{Skip}$

end

interpretation csp-theory : $\text{utp-theory-kleene } \text{UTHY}(\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp})$

rewrites $\bigwedge P. P \in \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \text{TCSP}) \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is NCSP}$

and $P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{\text{TCSP}} \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is NCSP}$

and $\mathcal{IL}_{\text{TCSP}} = \text{Skip}$

and $\top_{\text{TCSP}} = \text{Miracle}$

and $\text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \text{TCSP}) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \text{TCSP}) \equiv \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H$

and $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } \text{TCSP}) \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H$

and $\text{le } (\text{uthy-order } \text{TCSP}) = (\sqsubseteq)$

proof –

interpret $\text{lat: utp-theory-continuous } \text{UTHY}(\text{TCSP}, ('\sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp})$

by (unfold-locales , $\text{simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def}$ closure Healthy-if)

show $1: \top_{\text{TCSP}} = (\text{Miracle} :: (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ action})$

by (metis NCSP-Miracle NCSP-implies-CSP lat.top-healthy $\text{lat.utp-theory-continuous-axioms}$ $\text{srdes-theory-continuous.1}$
 tcsp-hcond-def $\text{upred-semiring.add-commute}$ $\text{utp-theory-continuous.meet-top}$)

thus $\text{utp-theory-kleene } \text{UTHY}(\text{TCSP}, (' \sigma, '\varphi) \text{ st-csp})$

by (unfold-locales , $\text{simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def}$ tcsp-unit-def Skip-left-unit Skip-right-unit closure
 Healthy-if Miracle-left-zero)

qed ($\text{simp-all add: tcsp-hcond-def}$ tcsp-unit-def closure Healthy-if)

declare *csp-theory.top-healthy* [simp del]
declare *csp-theory.bottom-healthy* [simp del]

abbreviation *TestC* (*test_C*) **where**
test_C *P* \equiv *utest TCSP P*

abbreviation *StarC* :: (*'σ*, *'φ*) *action* \Rightarrow (*'σ*, *'φ*) *action* (*-^{*C}* [999] 999) **where**
StarC P \equiv *P★_{TCSP}*

lemma *csp-bottom-Chaos*: $\perp_{TCSP} = \text{Chaos}$
using *NCSP-Chaos NCSP-implies-CSP* **by** *auto*

lemma *csp-top-Miracle*: $\top_{TCSP} = \text{Miracle}$
by (*simp add: csp-theory.healthy-top csp-theory.utp-theory-mono-axioms utp-theory-mono.healthy-top*)

5.3 Algebraic laws

lemma *Stop-left-zero*:
assumes *P* *is CSP*
shows *Stop* ;; *P* = *Stop*
by (*simp add: NSRD-seq-post-false assms NCSP-implies-NSRD NCSP-Stop postR-Stop*)

end

6 Stateful-Failure Reactive Contracts

theory *utp-sfrd-contracts*
imports *utp-sfrd-healths*
begin

definition *mk-CRD* :: *'s upred* \Rightarrow (*'e list* \Rightarrow *'e set* \Rightarrow *'s upred*) \Rightarrow (*'e list* \Rightarrow *'s hrel*) \Rightarrow (*'s*, *'e*) *action*
where

[*rdes-def*]: *mk-CRD P Q R* = $\mathbf{R}_s([P]_{S<} \vdash [Q \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket [r \rightarrow \$ref \ ']\ \diamond [R(x)]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket)$

syntax

-ref-var :: *logic*
-mk-CRD :: *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* (*[-/* \vdash *-/* $|$ *-]*_{*C*})

parse-translation \ll

let

fun ref-var-tr [] = *Syntax.free refs*
 $|$ *ref-var-tr -* = *raise Match*;

in

[*@*{*syntax-const -ref-var*}, *K ref-var-tr*]

end

\gg

translations

$[P \vdash Q \mid R]_C \Rightarrow \text{CONST } mk-CRD \ P \ (\lambda \text{-trace-var } \text{-ref-var. } Q) \ (\lambda \text{-trace-var. } R)$
 $[P \vdash Q \mid R]_C \Leftarrow \text{CONST } mk-CRD \ P \ (\lambda \ x \ r. Q) \ (\lambda \ y. R)$

lemma *CSP-mk-CRD [closure]*: $[P \vdash Q \text{ trace refs} \mid R(\text{trace})]_C$ *is CSP*
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def closure unrest*)

lemma *preR-mk-CRD* [rdes]: $\text{pre}_R([P \vdash Q \text{ trace refs} \mid R(\text{trace})]_C) = [P]_{S<}$
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre rea-st-cond-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-mk-CRD* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R([P \vdash Q \text{ trace refs} \mid R(\text{trace})]_C) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r ([Q \text{ trace refs}]_{S<})) \llbracket (\text{trace}, \text{refs}) \rightarrow (\&tt, \$r) \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj, rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-mk-CRD* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R([P \vdash Q \text{ trace refs} \mid R(\text{trace})]_C) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r ([R(\text{trace})]_S)) \llbracket \text{trace} \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj, rel-auto*)

Refinement introduction law for contracts

lemma *CRD-contract-refine*:

assumes
 $Q \text{ is CSP } '[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R Q'$
 $'[P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R Q \Rightarrow [P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket t \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket'$
 $'[P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R Q \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket'$
shows $[P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3(\text{trace})]_C \sqsubseteq Q$
proof –
have $[P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3(\text{trace})]_C \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q))$
using *assms by (simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1)*)
qed

lemma *CRD-contract-refine'*:

assumes
 $Q \text{ is CSP } '[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R Q'$
 $[P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket t \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R Q)$
 $[P_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R Q)$
shows $[P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3(\text{trace})]_C \sqsubseteq Q$
using *assms by (rule-tac CRD-contract-refine, simp-all add: refBy-order)*

lemma *CRD-refine-CRD*:

assumes
 $'[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow ([Q_1]_{S<} :: ('e, 's) \text{ action})'$
 $([P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket) \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge [Q_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket :: ('e, 's) \text{ action})$
 $[P_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge [Q_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket :: ('e, 's) \text{ action})$
shows $([P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3 \text{ trace}]_C :: ('e, 's) \text{ action}) \sqsubseteq [Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid Q_3 \text{ trace}]_C$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+*)

lemma *CRD-refine-rdes*:

assumes
 $'[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1'$
 $([P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket) \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_2)$
 $[P_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_3)$
shows $([P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3 \text{ trace}]_C :: ('e, 's) \text{ action}) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule-tac sdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+*)

lemma *CRD-refine-rdes'*:

assumes

Q_2 is RR
 Q_3 is RR
 $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1 \text{'}$
 $\bigwedge t. ([P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket) \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_2 \llbracket \langle, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)$
 $\bigwedge t. [P_3 \ t]_{S'} \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_3 \llbracket \langle, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)$
shows $([P_1 \vdash P_2 \text{ trace refs} \mid P_3 \text{ trace}]_C :: ('e, 's) \text{ action}) \sqsubseteq$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
proof (*simp add: mk-CRD-def, rule srdes-tri-refine-intro*)
show $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow Q_1 \text{'}$ **by** (*fact assms(3)*)

have $\bigwedge t. ([P_2 \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket) \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge (RR \ Q_2) \llbracket \langle, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)$
by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)
hence $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge RR(Q_2) \Rightarrow [P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket \text{'}$
by (*rel-simp; meson*)
thus $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow [P_2 \ x \ r]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket r \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket \text{'}$
by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

have $\bigwedge t. [P_3 \ t]_{S'} \sqsubseteq ([P_1]_{S<} \wedge (RR \ Q_3) \llbracket \langle, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)$
by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)
hence $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge (RR \ Q_3) \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_{S'} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \text{'}$
by (*rel-simp; meson*)
thus $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_{S'} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \text{'}$
by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)
qed
end

7 External Choice

theory *utp-sfrd-extchoice*

imports

utp-sfrd-healths

utp-sfrd-rel

begin

7.1 Definitions and syntax

definition *ExtChoice* ::

$(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action set} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action}$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \text{ExtChoice } A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot cmt_R(P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\bigsqcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R(P))))$

syntax

$-ExtChoice :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((\exists \square - \in - \cdot / -) [0, 0, 10] \ 10)$
 $-ExtChoice-simp :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ ((\exists \square - \cdot / -) [0, 10] \ 10)$

translations

$\square P \in A \cdot B \quad \Rightarrow \text{CONST } ExtChoice \ ((\lambda P. B) \ 'A)$
 $\square P \cdot B \quad \Rightarrow \text{CONST } ExtChoice \ (\text{CONST range } (\lambda P. B))$

definition *extChoice* ::

$(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action}$ (**infixl** \square 59) **where**
 $[upred-defs]: P \square Q \equiv ExtChoice \ \{P, Q\}$

Small external choice as an indexed big external choice.

lemma *extChoice-alt-def*:

$P \sqcap Q = (\Box i :: nat \in \{0,1\} \cdot P \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright Q)$
 by (*simp add: extChoice-def ExtChoice-def*)

7.2 Basic laws

7.3 Algebraic laws

lemma *ExtChoice-empty*: $ExtChoice \ \{\} = Stop$
 by (*simp add: ExtChoice-def cond-def Stop-def*)

lemma *ExtChoice-single*:

$P \text{ is CSP} \implies ExtChoice \ \{P\} = P$
 by (*simp add: ExtChoice-def usup-and uinf-or SRD-reactive-design-alt*)

7.4 Reactive design calculations

lemma *ExtChoice-rdes*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. \$ok' \nmid P(i) \ A \neq \{\}$

shows $(\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash ((\Box i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\Box i \in A \cdot Q(i))))$

proof –

have $(\Box i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \ i \vdash Q \ i))) \vdash$
 $((\Box i \in A \cdot cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \ i \vdash Q \ i)))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\Box i \in A \cdot cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \ i \vdash Q \ i))))$

by (*simp add: ExtChoice-def*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))))$

by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $R1(R2c$
 $((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $R1(R2c$
 $((\Box i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\Box i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (*simp add: R2c-UINF R2c-cond R1-cond R1-idem R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem R1-UINF asms R1-USUP R2c-USUP*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s((\Box i \in A \cdot R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $cmt_s \dagger$
 $((\Box i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\Box i \in A \cdot (cmt_s \dagger (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c rdes-export-cmt*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot R1 (R2c (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $cmt_s \dagger$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i)))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (simp add: usubst)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot R1 (R2c (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (simp add: rdes-export-cmt)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((R1(R2c(\sqcup i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (simp add: not-UINF R1-UINF R2c-UINF assms)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((R2c(\sqcup i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (simp add: R1-design-R1-pre)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s (((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (pre_s \dagger P(i)))) \vdash$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-R2c-pre)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s (([\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false] \dagger (\sqcup i \in A \cdot P(i))) \vdash$
 $((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))))$

proof –

from assms have $\bigwedge i. pre_s \dagger P(i) = [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false] \dagger P(i)$

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: usubst)

qed

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow Q(i))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot (P(i) \Rightarrow$
 $Q(i))))$

by (simp add: rdes-export-pre not-UINF)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot Q(i))))$

by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto, blast+)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma ExtChoice-tri-rdes:

assumes $\bigwedge i. \$ok' \nmid P_1(i) \ A \neq \{\}$

shows $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash (((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i))) \diamond (\sqcap i \in A \cdot$
 $P_3(i))))$

proof –

have $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash ((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond$
 $P_3(i))))$

by (simp add: ExtChoice-rdes assms)

also

have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash ((\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \triangleleft \$wait' \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond$

$P_3(i)))$
 by (*simp add: conj-comm*)
 also
 have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash (((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) \diamond (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))))$
 by (*simp add: cond-conj wait'-cond-def*)
 also
 have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash (((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P_2(i)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_2(i))) \diamond (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_3(i))))$
 by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *ExtChoice-tri-rdes'* [*rdes-def*]:
 assumes $\bigwedge i . \$ok' \# P_1(i) \ A \neq \{\}$
 shows $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P_1(i)) \vdash (((\sqcup i \in A \cdot R5(P_2(i))) \vee (\sqcap i \in A \cdot R4(P_2(i)))) \diamond (\sqcap i \in A \cdot P_3(i))))$
 by (*simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes assms, rel-auto, simp-all add: less-le assms*)

lemma *ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def* [*rdes-def*]:
 assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H$
 shows $ExtChoice \ A = \mathbf{R}_s ((\sqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R \ P) \vdash (((\sqcup P \in A \cdot peri_R \ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap P \in A \cdot peri_R \ P)) \diamond (\sqcap P \in A \cdot post_R \ P)))$
proof –
 have $((\sqcup P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\sqcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)) =$
 $((\sqcup P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)) \diamond (\sqcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 also have ... = $((\sqcup P \in A \cdot peri_R \ P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\sqcap P \in A \cdot peri_R \ P)) \diamond (\sqcap P \in A \cdot post_R \ P)$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (*simp add: ExtChoice-def*)
 qed

lemma *extChoice-rdes*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_1$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)))$
proof –
 have $(\sqcap i::nat \in \{0, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s (P_1 \vdash P_2) \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s (Q_1 \vdash Q_2)) = (\sqcap i::nat \in \{0, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \vdash P_2) \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright (Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))$
 by (*simp only: RHS-cond R2c-lit*)
 also have ... = $(\sqcap i::nat \in \{0, 1\} \cdot \mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \triangleleft \ll i = 0 \gg \triangleright Q_2)))$
 by (*simp add: design-condr*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)))$
 by (*subst ExtChoice-rdes, simp-all add: assms unrest uinf-or usup-and*)
 finally show ?thesis by (*simp add: extChoice-alt-def*)
 qed

lemma *extChoice-tri-rdes*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_1$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))$
proof –
 have $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \diamond P_3 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (P_2 \diamond P_3 \vee Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: extChoice-rdes assms)
 also
 have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((P_2 \diamond P_3 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \triangleleft \$wait' \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \diamond P_3 \vee Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: conj-comm)
 also
 have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash$
 $((P_2 \diamond P_3 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \diamond P_3 \vee Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) \diamond (P_2 \diamond P_3 \vee Q_2 \diamond Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: cond-conj wait'-cond-def)
 also
 have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma extChoice-rdes-def:
 assumes P_1 is RR Q_1 is RR
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (((P_2 \wedge Q_2) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))$
 by (subst extChoice-tri-rdes, simp-all add: assms unrest)

lemma extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def]:
 assumes P_1 is RR Q_1 is RR
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash ((R5(P_2 \wedge Q_2) \vee R4(P_2 \vee Q_2)) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: extChoice-rdes-def assms, rel-auto, simp-all add: less-le)

lemma CSP-ExtChoice [closure]:
 ExtChoice A is CSP
 by (simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma CSP-extChoice [closure]:
 $P \sqcap Q$ is CSP
 by (simp add: CSP-ExtChoice extChoice-def)

lemma preR-ExtChoice [rdes]:
 assumes $A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H$
 shows $pre_R(ExtChoice A) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))$
 proof –
 have $pre_R(ExtChoice A) = (R1 (R2c ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R P))))$
 by (simp add: ExtChoice-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also from assms have ... = $(R1 (R2c (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot (pre_R(CSP(P)))))$
 by (metis USUP-healthy)
 also from assms have ... = $(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot (pre_R(CSP(P))))$
 by (rel-auto)
 also from assms have ... = $(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot (pre_R(P)))$
 by (metis USUP-healthy)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma preR-ExtChoice-ind [rdes]:
 assumes $A \neq \{\} \wedge P. P \in A \implies F(P)$ is CSP
 shows $pre_R(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot pre_R(F(P)))$
 using assms by (subst preR-ExtChoice, auto)

lemma *periR-ExtChoice* [rdes]:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket NCSP \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{peri}_R(\text{ExtChoice } A) = ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)))$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(\text{ExtChoice } A) = \text{peri}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \vdash ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P))))$

by (*simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def assms closure*)

also have $\dots = \text{peri}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \vdash ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P)) \diamond (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P))))$

by (*simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym]*)

also have $\dots = R1\ (R2c\ ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))))$

proof –

have $(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot [\$ok \mapsto_s \text{true}, \$ok' \mapsto_s \text{true}, \$wait \mapsto_s \text{false}, \$wait' \mapsto_s \text{true}] \dagger \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P))$

by (*rule USUP-cong, simp add: closure usubst unrest assms*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design Healthy-Idempotent SRD-Idempotent usubst unrest assms*)

qed

also have $\dots = R1\ ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P)))$

by (*simp add: R2c-rea-impl R2c-condr R2c-UINF R2c-preR R2c-periR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-USUP closure*)

also have $\dots = (((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))))$

by (*simp add: R1-rea-impl R1-cond R1-USUP R1-UINF assms Healthy-if closure, rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))))$

by (*simp add: UINF-rea-impl[THEN sym]*)

also have $\dots = (((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(NCSP\ P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(NCSP\ P))))$

by (*simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre closure assms unrest*)

also have $\dots = (((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright ((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P))))$

by (*simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym] USUP-healthy[OF assms(1), THEN sym]*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *periR-ExtChoice'*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket NCSP \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{peri}_R(\text{ExtChoice } A) = (R5((\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P))) \vee (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot R4(\text{peri}_R(P))))$

using *assms*(2)
by (*simp add: periR-ExtChoice assms*(1), *rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-ExtChoice-ind* [*rdes*]:
assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies F(P)$ *is NCSP* $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{peri}_R(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot F(P)) = ((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(F P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(F P))) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr$
 $\triangleright (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(F P))$
using *assms* **by** (*subst periR-ExtChoice*, *auto simp add: closure unrest*)

lemma *periR-ExtChoice-ind'*:
assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies F(P)$ *is NCSP* $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{peri}_R(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (R5((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(F P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(F P))) \vee (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot R4(\text{peri}_R(F P))))$
using *assms* **by** (*subst periR-ExtChoice'*, *auto simp add: closure unrest*)

lemma *postR-ExtChoice* [*rdes*]:
assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{post}_R(\text{ExtChoice } A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R P)$
proof –
have $\text{post}_R(\text{ExtChoice } A) = \text{post}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R P) \vdash$
 $((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R P)) \diamond$
 $(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R P)))$
by (*simp add: ExtChoice-tri-rdes-def closure assms*)

also have $\dots = \text{post}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P)) \vdash$
 $((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R P)) \diamond$
 $(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P))))$
by (*simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms*(1), *THEN sym*] *USUP-healthy[OF assms*(1), *THEN sym*])

also have $\dots = R1(R2c((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P))))$
proof –
have $(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot [\$ok \mapsto_s \text{true}, \$ok' \mapsto_s \text{true}, \$wait \mapsto_s \text{false}, \$wait' \mapsto_s \text{false}] \dagger \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P))$
 $= (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P))$
by (*rule USUP-cong*, *simp add: usubst closure unrest assms*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design Healthy-Idempotent SRD-Idempotent usubst unrest assms*)

qed
also have $\dots = R1((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P)))$
by (*simp add: R2c-rea-impl R2c-condr R2c-UINF R2c-preR R2c-postR*
R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-USUP closure)

also from *assms*(2) **have** $\dots = ((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P)))$
by (*simp add: R1-rea-impl R1-cond R1-USUP R1-UINF assms Healthy-if closure*)

also have $\dots = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(\text{NCSP } P) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P))$
by (*simp add: UINF-rea-impl*)

also have $\dots = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(\text{NCSP } P))$
by (*simp add: SRD-post-under-pre closure assms unrest*)

finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: UINF-healthy[OF assms*(1), *THEN sym*] *USUP-healthy[OF assms*(1), *THEN sym*])

qed

lemma *postR-ExtChoice-ind* [*rdes*]:
assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies F(P)$ *is NCSP* $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{post}_R(\bigwedge P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(F(P)))$
using *assms* **by** (*subst postR-ExtChoice*, *auto simp add: closure unrest*)

lemma *preR-extChoice*:

assumes P is CSP Q is CSP $\$wait' \# pre_R(P) \$wait' \# pre_R(Q)$
shows $pre_R(P \sqcap Q) = (pre_R(P) \wedge pre_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: extChoice-def preR-ExtChoice assms usup-and*)

lemma *preR-extChoice' [rdes]*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $pre_R(P \sqcap Q) = (pre_R(P) \wedge pre_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: preR-extChoice closure assms unrest*)

lemma *periR-extChoice [rdes]*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $peri_R(P \sqcap Q) = ((pre_R(P) \wedge pre_R(Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \wedge peri_R(Q)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (peri_R(P) \vee peri_R(Q)))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: extChoice-def, subst periR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: usup-and uinf-or*)

lemma *postR-extChoice [rdes]*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $post_R(P \sqcap Q) = (post_R(P) \vee post_R(Q))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: extChoice-def, subst postR-ExtChoice, auto simp add: usup-and uinf-or*)

lemma *ExtChoice-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies F(P) = G(P)$
shows $(\sqcap P \in A \cdot F(P)) = (\sqcap P \in A \cdot G(P))$
using *assms image-cong* **by** *force*

lemma *ref-unrest-ExtChoice*:

assumes
 $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$ref \# pre_R(P)$
 $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$ref \# cmt_R(P)$
shows $\$ref \# (ExtChoice A) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket$
proof –
have $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$ref \# pre_R(P \llbracket 0 / \$tr \rrbracket)$
using *assms* **by** (*rel-blast*)
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest*)

qed

lemma *CSP4-ExtChoice*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket NCSP \rrbracket_H$
shows *ExtChoice A is CSP4*
proof (*cases A = {}*)
case *True* **thus** *?thesis*
by (*simp add: ExtChoice-empty Healthy-def CSP4-def, simp add: Skip-is-CSP Stop-left-zero*)

next

case *False*
have $1: (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R (ExtChoice A)) ;;_h R1 \text{ true}) = pre_R (ExtChoice A)$
proof –
have $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true} = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$
by (*simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms*)
thus *?thesis*
apply (*simp add: False preR-ExtChoice closure NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait' assms not-UINF seq-UINF-distr not-USUP*)

```

    apply (rule USUP-cong)
    apply (simp add: rpred assms closure)
  done
qed
have 2: $st' \# peri_R (ExtChoice A)
proof -
  have a:  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$st' \# pre_R(P)$ 
    by (simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-st'-unrest-pre assms)
  have b:  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$st' \# peri_R(P)$ 
    by (simp add: NCSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-st'-unrest-peri assms)
  from a b show ?thesis
    apply (subst periR-ExtChoice)
    apply (simp-all add: assms closure unrest CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st' NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait'
False)
  done
qed
have 3: $ref' \# post_R (ExtChoice A)
proof -
  have a:  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$ref' \# pre_R(P)$ 
    by (simp add: CSP4-ref'-unrest-pre CSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-Healthy-subset-member
NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-subset-implies-CSP assms)
  have b:  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$ref' \# post_R(P)$ 
    by (simp add: CSP4-ref'-unrest-post CSP-Healthy-subset-member NCSP-Healthy-subset-member
NCSP-implies-CSP4 NCSP-subset-implies-CSP assms)
  from a b show ?thesis
    by (subst postR-ExtChoice, simp-all add: assms CSP4-set-unrest-pre-st' NCSP-set-unrest-pre-wait'
unrest False)
qed
show ?thesis
  by (rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: 1 2 3 assms closure)
  (metis 1 R1-seqr-closure rea-not-R1 rea-not-not rea-true-R1)
qed

lemma CSP4-extChoice [closure]:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
  shows P  $\square$  Q is CSP4
  by (simp add: extChoice-def, rule CSP4-ExtChoice, simp-all add: assms)

lemma NCSP-ExtChoice [closure]:
  assumes A  $\subseteq \llbracket NCSP \rrbracket_H$ 
  shows ExtChoice A is NCSP
proof (cases A = {})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty closure)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule NCSP-intro)
    from assms have cls: A  $\subseteq \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H$  A  $\subseteq \llbracket CSP3 \rrbracket_H$  A  $\subseteq \llbracket CSP4 \rrbracket_H$ 
    using NCSP-implies-CSP NCSP-implies-CSP3 NCSP-implies-CSP4 by blast+
    have wu:  $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$wait' \# pre_R(P)$ 
    using NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre assms by force
    show 1: ExtChoice A is CSP
      by (metis (mono-tags) Ball-Collect CSP-ExtChoice NCSP-implies-CSP assms)
    from cls show ExtChoice A is CSP3

```


by (rule-tac CSP3-SRD-intro, simp-all add: CSP-Healthy-subset-member CSP3-Healthy-subset-member
closure rdes unrest wu assms 1 False)
from cls show ExtChoice A is CSP4
by (simp add: CSP4-ExtChoice assms)
qed
qed

lemma ExtChoice-NCSP-closed [closure]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P(i)$ is NCSP
shows $(\bigwedge i \in I. P(i))$ is NCSP
by (simp add: NCSP-ExtChoice assms image-subset-iff)

lemma NCSP-extChoice [closure]:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $P \sqcap Q$ is NCSP
by (simp add: NCSP-ExtChoice assms extChoice-def)

7.5 Productivity and Guardedness

lemma Productive-ExtChoice [closure]:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NCSP} \rrbracket_H$ $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{Productive} \rrbracket_H$
shows ExtChoice A is Productive

proof –

have 1: $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \$wait' \# pre_R(P)$
using NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre assms(2) by blast
show ?thesis

proof (rule Productive-intro, simp-all add: assms closure rdes 1 unrest)

have $((\bigwedge P \in A. pre_R P) \wedge (\bigwedge P \in A. post_R P)) =$
 $((\bigwedge P \in A. pre_R P) \wedge (\bigwedge P \in A. (pre_R P \wedge post_R P)))$
by (rel-auto)

moreover have $(\bigwedge P \in A. (pre_R P \wedge post_R P)) = (\bigwedge P \in A. ((pre_R P \wedge post_R P) \wedge \$tr <_u$
 $\$tr'))$

by (rule UINF-cong, metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Ball-Collect NCSP-implies-CSP Productive-post-refines-tr-increase
assms utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)

ultimately show $(\$tr' >_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq ((\bigwedge P \in A. pre_R P) \wedge (\bigwedge P \in A. post_R P))$
by (rel-auto)

qed
qed

lemma Productive-extChoice [closure]:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP P is Productive Q is Productive
shows $P \sqcap Q$ is Productive
by (simp add: extChoice-def Productive-ExtChoice assms)

lemma ExtChoice-Guarded [closure]:
assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \text{Guarded } P$
shows Guarded $(\lambda X. \bigwedge P \in A. P(X))$

proof (rule GuardedI)

fix X n

have $\bigwedge Y. ((\bigwedge P \in A. P Y) \wedge gvirt(n+1)) = ((\bigwedge P \in A. (P Y \wedge gvirt(n+1))) \wedge gvirt(n+1))$

proof –

fix Y

let ?lhs = $((\bigwedge P \in A. P Y) \wedge gvirt(n+1))$ and ?rhs = $((\bigwedge P \in A. (P Y \wedge gvirt(n+1))) \wedge gvirt(n+1))$

have $a: ?lhs \llbracket \text{false} / \$ok \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{false} / \$ok \rrbracket$

by (rel-auto)

```

have b: ?lhs[true/$ok][true/$wait] = ?rhs[true/$ok][true/$wait]
  by (rel-auto)
have c: ?lhs[true/$ok][false/$wait] = ?rhs[true/$ok][false/$wait]
  by (simp add: ExtChoice-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def usubst unrest,
rel-blast)
show ?lhs = ?rhs
  using a b c
  by (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait], simp-all)
qed
moreover have ((□P∈A · (P X ∧ gvirt(n+1))) ∧ gvirt(n+1)) = ((□P∈A · (P (X ∧ gvirt(n)) ∧
gvirt(n+1))) ∧ gvirt(n+1))
proof -
have (□P∈A · (P X ∧ gvirt(n+1))) = (□P∈A · (P (X ∧ gvirt(n)) ∧ gvirt(n+1)))
proof (rule ExtChoice-cong)
fix P assume P ∈ A
thus (P X ∧ gvirt(n+1)) = (P (X ∧ gvirt(n)) ∧ gvirt(n+1))
  using Guarded-def assms by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately show ((□P∈A · P X) ∧ gvirt(n+1)) = ((□P∈A · (P (X ∧ gvirt(n)))) ∧ gvirt(n+1))
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma extChoice-Guarded [closure]:
  assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
  shows Guarded (λ X. P(X) □ Q(X))
proof -
have Guarded (λ X. □F∈{P,Q} · F(X))
  by (rule ExtChoice-Guarded, auto simp add: assms)
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: extChoice-def)
qed

```

7.6 Algebraic laws

```

lemma extChoice-comm:
  P □ Q = Q □ P
  by (unfold extChoice-def, simp add: insert-commute)

```

```

lemma extChoice-idem:
  P is CSP ⇒ P □ P = P
  by (unfold extChoice-def, simp add: ExtChoice-single)

```

```

lemma extChoice-assoc:
  assumes P is CSP Q is CSP R is CSP
  shows P □ Q □ R = P □ (Q □ R)
proof -
have P □ Q □ R = Rs(preR(P) ⊢ cmtR(P)) □ Rs(preR(Q) ⊢ cmtR(Q)) □ Rs(preR(R) ⊢ cmtR(R))
  by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1) assms(2) assms(3))
also have ... =
  Rs (((preR P ∧ preR Q) ∧ preR R) ⊢
    (((cmtR P ∧ cmtR Q) < $tr' =u $tr ∧ $wait' > (cmtR P ∨ cmtR Q) ∧ cmtR R)
    < $tr' =u $tr ∧ $wait' >
    ((cmtR P ∧ cmtR Q) < $tr' =u $tr ∧ $wait' > (cmtR P ∨ cmtR Q) ∨ cmtR R)))
  by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)

```

also have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s (((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q) \wedge pre_R R) \vdash$
 $((cmt_R P \wedge cmt_R Q) \wedge cmt_R R)$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $((cmt_R P \vee cmt_R Q) \vee cmt_R R)))$
by (*rule cong*[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], *simp*, *rel-auto*)
also have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q \wedge pre_R R) \vdash$
 $((cmt_R P \wedge (cmt_R Q \wedge cmt_R R))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(cmt_R P \vee (cmt_R Q \vee cmt_R R))))$
by (*simp add: conj-assoc disj-assoc*)
also have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q \wedge pre_R R) \vdash$
 $((cmt_R P \wedge (cmt_R Q \wedge cmt_R R) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R Q \vee cmt_R R))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(cmt_R P \vee (cmt_R Q \wedge cmt_R R) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R Q \vee cmt_R R))))$
by (*rule cong*[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], *simp*, *rel-auto*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \sqcap (\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(R) \vdash cmt_R(R)))$
by (*simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest*)
also have ... = $P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R)$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *extChoice-Stop*:

assumes Q is CSP
shows $Stop \sqcap Q = Q$
using *assms*

proof –

have $Stop \sqcap Q = \mathbf{R}_s (true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: Stop-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (pre_R Q \vdash (((\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait') \wedge cmt_R Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \vee cmt_R Q)))$
by (*simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (pre_R Q \vdash (cmt_R Q \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright cmt_R Q))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def eq-upred-sym neg-conj-cancel1 utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (pre_R Q \vdash cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: cond-idem*)
also have ... = Q
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-design-alt assms*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *extChoice-Chaos*:

assumes Q is CSP
shows $Chaos \sqcap Q = Chaos$

proof –

have $Chaos \sqcap Q = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash true) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash (cmt_R Q \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright true))$
by (*simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash true)$
by (*rule cong*[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], *simp*, *rel-auto*)
also have ... = $Chaos$

by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma extChoice-Dist:

assumes P is CSP $S \subseteq \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H S \neq \{\}$
 shows $P \sqcap (\bigsqcup S) = (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} P \sqcap Q)$

proof –

let ?S1 = $pre_R \text{ ` } S$ and ?S2 = $cmt_R \text{ ` } S$
 have $P \sqcap (\bigsqcup S) = P \sqcap (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)))$
 by (simp add: SRD-as-reactive-design[THEN sym] Healthy-SUPRENUM UINF-as-Sup-collect assms)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} pre_R(Q) \vdash (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} cmt_R(Q)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-USUP SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P) \wedge (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} pre_R(Q))) \vdash$
 $((cmt_R(P) \wedge (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} cmt_R(Q)))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright$
 $(cmt_R(P) \vee (\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} cmt_R(Q))))$
 by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q) \vdash$
 $(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} (cmt_R P \wedge cmt_R Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R P \vee cmt_R Q)))$
 by (simp add: conj-USUP-dist conj-UINF-dist disj-UINF-dist cond-UINF-dist assms)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} \mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge pre_R Q) \vdash$
 $((cmt_R P \wedge cmt_R Q) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait' \triangleright (cmt_R P \vee cmt_R Q))))$
 by (simp add: assms RHS-design-USUP)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash cmt_R(Q)))$
 by (simp add: extChoice-rdes unrest)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} P \sqcap CSP(Q))$
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect, metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-design
 assms(1))
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup_{Q \in S} P \sqcap Q)$
 by (rule SUP-cong, simp-all add: Healthy-subset-member[OF assms(2)])
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma extChoice-dist:

assumes P is CSP Q is CSP R is CSP
 shows $P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R) = (P \sqcap Q) \sqcap (P \sqcap R)$
 using assms extChoice-Dist[of $P \{Q, R\}$] by simp

lemma ExtChoice-seq-distr:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P i$ is PCSP Q is NCSP
 shows $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P i) ;; Q = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P i ;; Q)$

proof (cases $A = \{\}$)

case True

then show ?thesis

by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty NCSP-implies-CSP Stop-left-zero assms(2))

next

case False

show ?thesis

proof –

have 1: $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P i) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} (\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P i)) \vdash peri_R(P i) \diamond (R4(post_R(P i)))))$
 (is ?X = ?Y)

by (rule ExtChoice-cong, metis (no-types, hide-lams) R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP
 Productive-form assms(1) comp-apply)

have 2: $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P i ;; Q) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} (\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P i)) \vdash peri_R(P i) \diamond (R4(post_R(P i))))) ;; Q)$

```

    (is ?X = ?Y)
  by (rule ExtChoice-cong, metis (no-types, hide-lams) R4-def Healthy-if NCSP-implies-CSP PCSP-implies-NCSP
    Productive-form assms(1) comp-apply)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 1 2, rdes-eq cls: assms False cong: ExtChoice-cong USUP-cong)
qed
qed

lemma extChoice-seq-distr:
  assumes P is PCSP Q is PCSP R is NCSP
  shows (P  $\square$  Q) ;; R = (P ;; R  $\square$  Q ;; R)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)

lemma extChoice-seq-distl:
  assumes P is ICSP Q is ICSP R is NCSP
  shows P ;; (Q  $\square$  R) = (P ;; Q  $\square$  P ;; R)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)

lemma extchoice-StateInvR-refine:
  assumes
    P is NCSP Q is NCSP
     $\text{inv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq P \text{ inv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq Q$ 
  shows  $\text{inv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq P \square Q$ 
proof -
  have 1:
     $\text{pre}_R P \sqsubseteq [b]_{S<} [b]_{S>} \sqsubseteq ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R P)$ 
     $\text{pre}_R Q \sqsubseteq [b]_{S<} [b]_{S>} \sqsubseteq ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R Q)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CRR-implies-RR NCSP-implies-CSP RHS-tri-design-refine SRD-reactive-tri-design
    StateInvR-def assms periR-RR postR-RR preR-CRR rea-st-cond-RR rea-true-RR refBy-order st-post-CRR)+
  show ?thesis
    by (rdes-refine-split cls: assms(1-2), simp-all add: 1 closure assms truer-bottom-rpred utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib1)
qed

end

```

8 Stateful-Failure Programs

```

theory utp-sfrd-prog
  imports
    UTP.utp-full
    utp-sfrd-extchoice
begin

```

8.1 Conditionals

```

lemma NCSP-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
  shows  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$  is NCSP
  by (rule NCSP-NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes assms unrest)

```

8.2 Guarded commands

```

lemma GuardedCommR-NCSP-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NCSP

```

shows $g \rightarrow_R P$ is NCSP
 by (simp add: gcnd-def closure assms)

8.3 Alternation

lemma *AlternateR-NCSP-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P(i)$ is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows $(\text{if}_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ else } Q \text{ fi})$ is NCSP
proof (cases $A = \{\}$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
 by (simp add: assms)
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis
 by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
qed

lemma *AlternateR-list-NCSP-closed* [closure]:
 assumes $\bigwedge b P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies P$ is NCSP Q is NCSP
 shows $(\text{AlternateR-list } A \ Q)$ is NCSP
 apply (simp add: AlternateR-list-def)
 apply (rule AlternateR-NCSP-closed)
 apply (auto simp add: assms)
 apply (metis assms(1) eq-snd-iff nth-mem)
 done

8.4 While Loops

lemma *NSRD-coerce-NCSP*:
 P is NSRD $\implies \text{Skip} ;; P ;; \text{Skip}$ is NCSP
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) CSP3-Skip CSP3-def CSP4-def Healthy-def NCSP-Skip NCSP-implies-CSP
 NCSP-intro NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-seqr-closure)

definition *WhileC* :: $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$ (*while_C* - do - od) **where**
 [rdes-def]: $\text{while}_C \ b \ \text{do } P \ \text{od} = \text{Skip} ;; \text{while}_R \ b \ \text{do } P \ \text{od} ;; \text{Skip}$

lemma *WhileC-NCSP-closed* [closure]:
 assumes P is NCSP P is Productive
 shows $\text{while}_C \ b \ \text{do } P \ \text{od}$ is NCSP
 by (simp add: WhileC-def NSRD-coerce-NCSP assms closure)

lemma *WhileC-false*:
 P is NCSP $\implies \text{WhileC false } P = \text{Skip}$
 by (simp add: NCSP-implies-NSRD Skip-srdes-left-unit WhileC-def WhileR-false)

8.5 Iteration Construction

definition *IterateC* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}) \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$
where [upred-defs, ndes-simp]: $\text{IterateC } A \ g \ P = \text{while}_C \ (\bigvee i \in A \cdot g(i)) \ \text{do } (\text{if}_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ fi})$
 od

lemma *IterateC-IterateR-def*: $\text{IterateC } A \ g \ P = \text{Skip} ;; \text{IterateR } A \ g \ P ;; \text{Skip}$
 by (simp add: IterateC-def IterateR-def WhileC-def)

definition *IterateC-list* :: $('s \text{ upred} \times ('s, 'e) \text{ action}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$ **where**

[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:

$\text{IterateC-list } xs = \text{IterateC } \{0..<\text{length } xs\} (\lambda i. \text{map fst } xs ! i) (\lambda i. \text{map snd } xs ! i)$

syntax

-iter-C :: pttm \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (do_C - \in - · - \rightarrow - od)
 -iter-gcommC :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (do_C/ - /od)

translations

-iter-C x A g P \Rightarrow CONST IterateC A ($\lambda x. g$) ($\lambda x. P$)
 -iter-C x A g P \Leftarrow CONST IterateC A ($\lambda x. g$) ($\lambda x'. P$)
 -iter-gcommC cs \rightarrow CONST IterateC-list cs
 -iter-gcommC (-gcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST IterateC-list cs

lemma IterateC-NCSP-closed [closure]:

assumes

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow P(i) \text{ is NCSP}$

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow P(i) \text{ is Productive}$

shows do_C $i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i)$ od is NCSP

by (simp add: IterateC-IterateR-def IterateR-NSRD-closed NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-coerce-NCSP
 assms(1) assms(2))

lemma IterateC-list-NCSP-closed [closure]:

assumes

$\bigwedge b P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \Rightarrow P \text{ is NCSP}$

$\bigwedge b P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \Rightarrow P \text{ is Productive}$

shows IterateC-list A is NCSP

apply (simp add: IterateC-list-def, rule IterateC-NCSP-closed)

apply (metis assms atLeastLessThan-iff nth-map nth-mem prod.collapse)+

done

lemma IterateC-list-alt-def:

$\text{IterateC-list } xs = \text{while}_C (\bigvee b \in \text{set}(\text{map fst } xs) \cdot b) \text{ do AlternateR-list } xs \text{ Chaos od}$

proof –

have $(\bigvee i \in \{0..<\text{length}(xs)\} \cdot (\text{map fst } xs) ! i) = (\bigvee b \in \text{set}(\text{map fst } xs) \cdot b)$

by (rel-auto, metis nth-mem prod.collapse, metis fst-conv in-set-conv-nth nth-map)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: IterateC-list-def IterateC-def AlternateR-list-def)

qed

lemma IterateC-empty:

do_C $i \in \{\}$ · $g(i) \rightarrow P(i)$ od = Skip

by (simp add: IterateC-IterateR-def IterateR-empty closure Skip-srdes-left-unit)

lemma IterateC-singleton:

assumes P k is NCSP P k is Productive

shows do_C $i \in \{k\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i)$ od = while_C $g(k)$ do P(k) od (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

by (simp add: IterateC-IterateR-def IterateR-singleton NCSP-implies-NSRD WhileC-def assms)

lemma IterateC-outer-refine-intro:

assumes $I \neq \{\}$ $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow P i \text{ is NCSP}$ $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow P i \text{ is Productive}$

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow S \sqsubseteq (b i \rightarrow_R P i ;; S)$ S is NCSP

$S \sqsubseteq [\neg (\bigwedge i \in I \cdot b i)]^\top_R$

shows $S \sqsubseteq \text{do}_C i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i)$ od

proof –

have $S \sqsubseteq \text{do}_R i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i)$ od

by (simp add: IterateR-outer-refine-intro NCSP-implies-NSRD assms)
 thus ?thesis
 unfolding IterateC-IterateR-def
 by (metis (full-types) Skip-left-unit Skip-right-unit assms(5) urel-dioid.mult-isol urel-dioid.mult-isor)
 qed

lemma IterateC-outer-refine-init-intro:

assumes
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i \text{ is NCSP}$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i \text{ is Productive}$
 $S \text{ is NCSP } I \text{ is NCSP}$
 $S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg (\bigcap i \in A \cdot b\ i)]^\top_R$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies S \sqsubseteq S ;; b\ i \rightarrow_R P\ i$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies S \sqsubseteq I ;; b\ i \rightarrow_R P\ i$
 shows $S \sqsubseteq I ;; do_C\ i \in A \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od}$
proof (cases $A = \{\}$)
 case True
 with assms(5) show ?thesis
 by (simp add: IterateC-empty assms closure Skip-right-unit AssumeR-true NSRD-right-unit)
 next
 case False
 have $S \sqsubseteq I ;; do_R\ i \in A \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od}$
 by (simp add: IterateR-outer-refine-init-intro NCSP-implies-NSRD assms False)
 thus ?thesis
 unfolding IterateC-IterateR-def
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) RA1 Skip-right-unit assms(3) assms(4) urel-dioid.mult-isor)
 qed

lemma IterateC-list-outer-refine-intro:

assumes
 $A \neq []$ $S \text{ is NCSP}$
 $\bigwedge b\ P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies P \text{ is NCSP}$
 $\bigwedge b\ P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies P \text{ is Productive}$
 $\bigwedge b\ P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies S \sqsubseteq (b \rightarrow_R P ;; S)$
 $S \sqsubseteq [\neg (\bigcap (b, P) \in \text{set } A \cdot b)]^\top_R$
 shows $S \sqsubseteq \text{IterateC-list } A$
proof –
 have $(\bigcap i \in \{0..<\text{length}(A)\} \cdot (\text{map fst } A) ! i) = (\bigcap (b, P) \in \text{set } A \cdot b)$
 by (rel-auto, metis nth-mem prod.exhaust-sel, metis fst-conv in-set-conv-nth nth-map)
 thus ?thesis
 apply (simp add: IterateC-list-def)
 apply (rule IterateC-outer-refine-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
 apply (metis assms(3) nth-mem prod.collapse)
 apply (metis assms(4) nth-mem prod.collapse)
 done
 qed

lemma IterateC-list-outer-refine-init-intro:

assumes
 $S \text{ is NCSP } I \text{ is NCSP}$
 $\bigwedge b\ P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies P \text{ is NCSP}$
 $\bigwedge b\ P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies P \text{ is Productive}$
 $S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg (\bigcap (b, P) \in \text{set } A \cdot b)]^\top_R$


```

 $\bigwedge b P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies S \sqsubseteq S ;; b \rightarrow_R P$ 
 $\bigwedge b P. (b, P) \in \text{set } A \implies S \sqsubseteq I ;; b \rightarrow_R P$ 
shows  $S \sqsubseteq I ;; \text{IterateC-list } A$ 
proof -
  have  $(\bigcap i \in \{0..<\text{length}(A)\} \cdot (\text{map fst } A) ! i) = (\bigcap (b, P) \in \text{set } A \cdot b)$ 
  by (rel-auto, metis nth-mem prod.exhaust-sel, metis fst-conv in-set-conv-nth nth-map)
thus ?thesis
  apply (simp add: IterateC-list-def)
  apply (rule IterateC-outer-refine-init-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
  apply (metis assms(3) nth-mem prod.collapse)
  apply (metis assms(4) nth-mem prod.collapse)
  done
qed

```

8.6 Assignment

definition *AssignsCSP* :: $'\sigma \text{ usubst} \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \varphi) \text{ action } (\langle \cdot \rangle_C)$ **where**
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: \text{AssignsCSP } \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S))$

syntax

```

-assigns-csp :: svids  $\Rightarrow$  uexprs  $\Rightarrow$  logic  $(\langle \cdot \rangle :=_C \langle \cdot \rangle)$ 
-assigns-csp :: svids  $\Rightarrow$  uexprs  $\Rightarrow$  logic (infixr :=C 64)

```

translations

```

-assigns-csp xs vs => CONST AssignsCSP (-mk-usubst (CONST id) xs vs)
-assigns-csp x v <= CONST AssignsCSP (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) x v)
-assigns-csp x v <= -assigns-csp (-svar x) v
x,y :=C u,v <= CONST AssignsCSP (CONST subst-upd (CONST subst-upd (CONST id) (CONST svar x) u) (CONST svar y) v)

```

lemma *preR-AssignsCSP* [rdes]: $\text{pre}_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = \text{true}_r$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-AssignsCSP* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = \text{false}$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-AssignsCSP* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_C) = \Phi(\text{true}, \sigma, \langle \rangle)$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *AssignsCSP-rdes-def* [rdes-def]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \Phi(\text{true}, \sigma, \langle \rangle))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *AssignsCSP-CSP* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C$ is CSP
by (simp add: AssignsCSP-def RHS-tri-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma *AssignsCSP-CSP3* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C$ is CSP3
by (rule CSP3-intro, simp add: closure, rel-auto)

lemma *AssignsCSP-CSP4* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C$ is CSP4
by (rule CSP4-intro, simp add: closure, rel-auto+)

lemma *AssignsCSP-NCSP* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C$ is NCSP
by (simp add: AssignsCSP-CSP AssignsCSP-CSP3 AssignsCSP-CSP4 NCSP-intro)

lemma *AssignsCSP-ICSP* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_C$ is ICSP

apply (rule ICSP-intro, simp add: closure, simp add: rdes-def)
apply (rule ISRD1-rdes-intro)
apply (simp-all add: closure)
apply (rel-auto)

done

lemma *AssignsCSP-as-AssignsR*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ; \text{Skip} = \langle \sigma \rangle_C$

by (rdes-eq)

lemma *AssignC-init-refine-intro*:

assumes

$vwb\text{-}lens\ x\ \$st:x\ \# P_2\ \$st:x\ \# P_3$

P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q is NCSP

$\mathbf{R}_s([\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq (x :=_C \ll k \gg) ; Q$

by (simp add: AssignsCSP-as-AssignsR[THEN sym] assms segr-assoc Skip-left-unit AssignR-init-refine-intro closure)

lemma *AssignsCSP-refines-sinv*:

assumes $\sigma \uparrow b$

shows $sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq \langle \sigma \rangle_C$

apply (rdes-refine-split)

apply (simp-all)

apply (metis rea-st-cond-true st-cond-conj utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb-iff2 utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left)

using assms **apply** (rel-auto)

done

8.7 Assignment with update

There are different collections that we would like to assign to, but they all have different types and perhaps more importantly different conditions on the update being well defined. For example, for a list well-definedness equates to the index being less than the length etc. Thus we here set up a polymorphic constant for CSP assignment updates that can be specialised to different types.

definition *AssignCSP-update* ::

$(f \Rightarrow 'k\ set) \Rightarrow (f \Rightarrow 'k \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'f) \Rightarrow (f \Rightarrow 'f) \Rightarrow$

$(k, 'f) \Rightarrow ('v, 'f) \Rightarrow ('f, 'f) \Rightarrow ('f, 'f) \Rightarrow$

[upred-defs, rdes-def]: *AssignCSP-update* domf updatef $x\ k\ v =$

$\mathbf{R}_s([k \in_u uop\ domf\ (\&x)]_{S<} \vdash false \diamond \Phi(true, [x \mapsto_s trop\ updatef\ (\&x)\ k\ v], \langle \rangle))$

All different assignment updates have the same syntax; the type resolves which implementation to use.

syntax

$\text{-csp-assign-upd} :: \text{svid} \Rightarrow \text{uexp} \Rightarrow \text{uexp} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (-[-] :=_C - [61, 0, 62] \ 62)$

translations

$\text{-csp-assign-upd}\ x\ k\ v == \text{CONST AssignCSP-update (CONST udom) (CONST uupd)}\ x\ k\ v$

lemma *AssignCSP-update-CSP* [closure]:

AssignCSP-update domf updatef $x\ k\ v$ is CSP

by (simp add: AssignCSP-update-def RHS-tri-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma *preR-AssignCSP-update* [rdes]:

$pre_R(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) = [k \in_u \text{uop domf } (\&x)]_{S<}$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-AssignCSP-update [rdes]*:
 $peri_R(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) = [k \notin_u \text{uop domf } (\&x)]_{S<}$
by (*rel-simp*)

lemma *post-AssignCSP-update [rdes]*:
 $post_R(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) =$
 $(\Phi(\text{true}, [x \mapsto_s \text{trop updatef } (\&x) \ k \ v], \langle \rangle) \triangleleft (k \in_u \text{uop domf } (\&x)) \triangleright_R R1(\text{true}))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *AssignCSP-update-NCSP [closure]*:
 $(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) \text{ is NCSP}$

proof (*rule NCSP-intro*)
show $(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) \text{ is CSP}$
by (*simp add: closure*)
show $(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) \text{ is CSP3}$
by (*rule CSP3-SRD-intro, simp-all add: csp-do-def closure rdes unrest*)
show $(\text{AssignCSP-update domf updatef } x \ k \ v) \text{ is CSP4}$
by (*rule CSP4-tri-intro, simp-all add: csp-do-def closure rdes unrest, rel-auto*)
qed

8.8 State abstraction

lemma *ref-unrest-abs-st [unrest]*:
 $\$ref \# P \implies \$ref \# \langle P \rangle_S$
 $\$ref' \# P \implies \$ref' \# \langle P \rangle_S$
by (*rel-simp*)⁺

lemma *NCSP-state-srea [closure]*: $P \text{ is NCSP} \implies \text{state } 'a \cdot P \text{ is NCSP}$
apply (*rule NCSP-NSRD-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: closure rdes*)
apply (*simp-all add: state-srea-def unrest closure*)
done

8.9 Assumptions

definition *AssumeCircus* ($[-]_C$) **where**
 $[rdes\text{-def}] : [b]_C = b \rightarrow_R \text{Skip}$

lemma *AssumeCircus-NCSP [closure]*: $[b]_C \text{ is NCSP}$
by (*simp add: AssumeCircus-def GuardedCommR-NCSP-closed NCSP-Skip*)

lemma *AssumeCircus-AssumeR*: $\text{Skip} ;; [b]^\top_R = [b]_C [b]^\top_R ;; \text{Skip} = [b]_C$
by (*rdes-eq*)⁺

lemma *AssumeR-comp-AssumeCircus*: $P \text{ is NCSP} \implies P ;; [b]^\top_R = P ;; [b]_C$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) AssumeCircus-AssumeR(1) RA1 Skip-right-unit*)

lemma *gcmd-AssumeCircus*:
 $P \text{ is NCSP} \implies b \rightarrow_R P = [b]_C ;; P$
by (*simp add: AssumeCircus-def NCSP-implies-NSRD Skip-left-unit gcmd-seq-distr*)

lemma *rdes-assume-pre-refine*:
assumes $P \text{ is NCSP}$

shows $P \sqsubseteq [b]_C ;; P$
 by (rdes-refine cls: assms)

8.10 Guards

definition *GuardCSP* ::

' σ cond \Rightarrow
 (' σ , ' φ) action \Rightarrow
 (' σ , ' φ) action **where**

[upred-defs]: *GuardCSP* $g A = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R(A)) \vdash ((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{cmt}_R(A)) \vee (\lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$

syntax

-*GuardCSP* :: *uexp* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* (**infixr** $\&_u$ 60)

translations

-*GuardCSP* $b P == \text{CONST } \text{GuardCSP } b P$

lemma *Guard-tri-design*:

$g \&_u P = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P) \vdash (\text{peri}_R(P) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(P)))$

proof –

have $(\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{cmt}_R P \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait') = (\text{peri}_R(P) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus ?thesis **by** (*simp add: GuardCSP-def*)

qed

lemma *csp-do-cond-conj*:

assumes P is CRR

shows $(\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \wedge P) = \Phi(b, id, \langle \rangle) ;; P$

proof –

have $(\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{CRR}(P)) = \Phi(b, id, \langle \rangle) ;; \text{CRR}(P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus ?thesis

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *Guard-rdes-def* [*rdes-def*]:

assumes P is RR Q is CRR R is CRR

shows $g \&_u \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(g, \langle \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((\Phi(g, id, \langle \rangle) ;; Q) \vee \mathcal{E}(\neg g, \langle \rangle, \{ \}_u)) \diamond (\Phi(g, id, \langle \rangle) ;; R))$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $\mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((P \Rightarrow_r Q) \triangleleft \lceil g \rceil_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow_r R)))$

by (*simp add: Guard-tri-design rdes assms closure*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(g, \langle \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge Q) \vee \mathcal{E}(\neg g, \langle \rangle, \{ \}_u)) \diamond (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge R))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(g, \langle \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash ((\Phi(g, id, \langle \rangle) ;; Q) \vee \mathcal{E}(\neg g, \langle \rangle, \{ \}_u)) \diamond (\Phi(g, id, \langle \rangle) ;; R))$

by (*simp add: assms(2) assms(3) csp-do-cond-conj*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *Guard-rdes-def'*:

assumes $\$ok' \nmid P$

shows $g \&_u (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge Q \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$

proof –

have $g \&_u (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \text{cmt}_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q)) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'})$
by (*simp add: GuardCSP-def*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge R1(R2c(\text{cmt}_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q))) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash R1(R2c(\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge R1(R2c(\text{cmt}_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash R1(R2c(\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (\text{cmt}_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-disj R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-idem*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (\text{cmt}_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2c*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash \text{cmt}_s \dagger (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (\text{cmt}_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: rdes-export-cmt*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash \text{cmt}_s \dagger (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: usubst*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(R2c(\text{pre}_s \dagger P))) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: rdes-export-cmt*)
also from *assms* **have** $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r (\text{pre}_s \dagger P)) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_s \dagger P) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: rdes-export-pre*)
also from *assms* **have** $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also from *assms* **have** $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q) \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*simp add: rdes-export-pre*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (\lceil g \rceil_{S<} \wedge Q \vee \lceil \neg g \rceil_{S<} \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *CSP-Guard [closure]: $b \&_u P$ is CSP*

by (*simp add: GuardCSP-def, rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest*)

lemma *preR-Guard [rdes]: P is CSP $\implies \text{pre}_R(b \&_u P) = (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P)$*

by (*simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-preR R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl R1-preR Healthy-if, rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-Guard [rdes]:*

assumes *P is NCSP*

shows $\text{peri}_R(b \&_u P) = (\text{peri}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{\}_u))$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(b \&_u P) = ((\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P) \Rightarrow_r (\text{peri}_R P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)))$

by (*simp add: assms Guard-tri-design rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-not R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-periR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-condr closure*)

Healthy-if R1-cond R1-tr'-eq-tr
also have ... = $((pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) \triangleleft [b]_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have ... = $(peri_R P \triangleleft [b]_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$
by (*simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre add: unrest closure assms*)
finally show ?thesis
by *rel-auto*
qed

lemma *postR-Guard [rdes]*:
assumes *P is NCSP*
shows $post_R(b \&_u P) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge post_R P)$
proof –
have $post_R(b \&_u P) = (([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \Rightarrow_r ([b]_{S<} \wedge post_R P))$
by (*simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-rea-not R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-tr'-minus-tr R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-condR R1-rea-impl R1-extend-conj' R1-post-SRD closure assms*)
also have ... = $([b]_{S<} \wedge (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have ... = $([b]_{S<} \wedge post_R P)$
by (*simp add: SRD-post-under-pre add: unrest closure assms*)
also have ... = $([b]_{S<} \wedge post_R P)$
by (*metis CSP-Guard R1-extend-conj R1-post-SRD calculation rea-st-cond-def*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *CSP3-Guard [closure]*:
assumes *P is CSP P is CSP3*
shows $b \&_u P$ is CSP3
proof –
from *assms* **have** $1:\$ref \# P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: CSP-Guard CSP3-iff*)
hence $\$ref \# pre_R (P\llbracket 0/\$tr \rrbracket) \ \$ref \# pre_R P \ \$ref \# cmt_R P$
by (*pred-blast*) +
hence $\$ref \# (b \&_u P)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: CSP3-iff GuardCSP-def RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def design-def unrest usubst*)
thus ?thesis
by (*metis CSP3-intro CSP-Guard*)
qed

lemma *CSP4-Guard [closure]*:
assumes *P is NCSP*
shows $b \&_u P$ is CSP4
proof (*rule CSP4-tri-intro[OF CSP-Guard]*)
show $(\neg_r pre_R (b \&_u P)) ;; R1 \text{ true} = (\neg_r pre_R (b \&_u P))$
proof –
have $a:(\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = (\neg_r pre_R P)$
by (*simp add: CSP4-neg-pre-unit assms closure*)
have $(\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)) ;; R1 \text{ true} = (\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P))$
proof –
have $1:(\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge (\neg_r pre_R P))$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have $2:... = ([b]_{S<} \wedge ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true}))$
by (*simp add: a*)

also have $\exists \dots = (\neg_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P)) \;; R1 \text{ true}$
by *(rel-auto)*
finally show *?thesis ..*
qed
thus *?thesis*
by *(simp add: preR-Guard periR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)*
qed
show $\$st' \# peri_R (b \&_u P)$
by *(simp add: preR-Guard periR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)*
show $\$ref' \# post_R (b \&_u P)$
by *(simp add: preR-Guard postR-Guard NSRD-CSP4-intro closure assms unrest)*
qed

lemma *NCSP-Guard [closure]:*
assumes *P is NCSP*
shows *b &_u P is NCSP*
proof –
have *P is CSP*
using *NCSP-implies-CSP assms by blast*
then show *?thesis*
by *(metis (no-types) CSP3-Guard CSP3-commutes-CSP4 CSP4-Guard CSP4-Idempotent CSP-Guard Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def NCSP-def assms comp-apply)*
qed

lemma *Productive-Guard [closure]:*
assumes *P is CSP P is Productive \$wait' \# pre_R(P)*
shows *b &_u P is Productive*
proof –
have $b \&_u P = b \&_u \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by *(metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2))*
also have $\dots =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s (([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \vdash$
 $((pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) \triangleleft [b]_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond ([b]_{S<} \wedge (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u$
 $\$tr)))$
by *(simp add: Guard-tri-design rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design unrest assms*
usubst R1-preR Healthy-if R1-rea-impl R1-peri-SRD R1-extend-conj' R2c-preR R2c-not R2c-rea-impl
R2c-periR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' R1-tr-less-tr')
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s (([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r pre_R P) \vdash (peri_R P \triangleleft [b]_{S<} \triangleright (\$tr' =_u \$tr)) \diamond (([b]_{S<} \wedge post_R P)$
 $\wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
by *(rel-auto)*
also have $\dots = Productive(b \&_u P)$
by *(simp add: Productive-def Guard-tri-design RHS-tri-design-par unrest)*
finally show *?thesis*
by *(simp add: Healthy-def')*
qed

lemma *Guard-refines-sinv:*
assumes *P is NCSP $sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq P$*
shows *$sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq g \&_u P$*
proof –
from *assms*
have $\mathbf{R}_s([b]_{S<} \vdash R1 \text{ true} \diamond [b]_{S>}) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))$
by *(simp add: rdes-def NCSP-implies-CSP SRD-reactive-tri-design)*

```

thus ?thesis
  apply (simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine' closure unrest assms)
  apply (safe)
  apply (rdes-refine cls: assms(1))
  done
qed

```

8.11 Basic events

definition $do_u ::$

$(\sigma, \sigma') \text{ uexpr} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \sigma') \text{ action}$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: do_u \ e = ((\sigma' =_u \sigma \wedge \lceil e \rceil_{S<} \notin_u \sigma'_{ref}) \triangleleft \sigma' \triangleright (\sigma' =_u \sigma \wedge \lceil e \rceil_{S<} \wedge \sigma' =_u \sigma))$

definition $DoCSP :: (\sigma, \sigma') \text{ uexpr} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \sigma') \text{ action}$ (do_C) **where**

$[upred-defs]: DoCSP \ a = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash do_u \ a)$

lemma $R1-DoAct: R1(do_u(a)) = do_u(a)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma $R2c-DoAct: R2c(do_u(a)) = do_u(a)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma $DoCSP-alt-def: do_C(a) = R3h(CSP1(\sigma' \wedge do_u(a)))$

apply (simp add: DoCSP-def RHS-def design-def impl-alt-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R2c-disj

$R2c-not \ R2c-ok \ R2c-ok' \ R2c-and \ R2c-DoAct \ R1-disj \ R1-extend-conj' \ R1-DoAct$)

apply (rel-auto)

done

lemma $DoAct-unrests \ [unrest]:$

$\sigma' \not\# do_u(a) \ \sigma' \not\# do_u(a)$

by (pred-auto)+

lemma $DoCSP-RHS-tri \ [rdes-def]:$

$do_C(a) = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \diamond \Phi(true, id, \langle a \rangle)))$

by (simp add: DoCSP-def do_u-def wait'-cond-def, rel-auto)

lemma $CSP-DoCSP \ [closure]: do_C(a) \text{ is } CSP$

by (simp add: DoCSP-def do_u-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)

lemma $preR-DoCSP \ [rdes]: pre_R(do_C(a)) = true_r$

by (simp add: DoCSP-def rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst R2c-true)

lemma $periR-DoCSP \ [rdes]: peri_R(do_C(a)) = \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma $postR-DoCSP \ [rdes]: post_R(do_C(a)) = \Phi(true, id, \langle a \rangle)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma $CSP3-DoCSP \ [closure]: do_C(a) \text{ is } CSP3$

by (rule CSP3-intro[OF CSP-DoCSP])

(simp add: DoCSP-def do_u-def RHS-def design-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def unrest usubst)

lemma $CSP4-DoCSP \ [closure]: do_C(a) \text{ is } CSP4$

by (rule CSP4-tri-intro[OF CSP-DoCSP], simp-all add: preR-DoCSP periR-DoCSP postR-DoCSP)

unrest)

lemma *NCSP-DoCSP* [closure]: *do_C(a)* is *NCSP*

by (*metis CSP3-DoCSP CSP4-DoCSP CSP-DoCSP Healthy-def NCSP-def comp-apply*)

lemma *Productive-DoCSP* [closure]:

(*do_C a* :: (*'σ, 'ψ*) action) is *Productive*

proof –

have (($\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, \langle a \rangle) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$) :: (*'σ, 'ψ*) action)
= ($\Phi(\text{true}, \text{id}, \langle a \rangle)$)

by (*rel-auto, simp add: Prefix-Order.strict-prefixI'*)

hence *Productive*(*do_C a*) = *do_C a*

by (*simp add: Productive-RHS-design-form DoCSP-RHS-tri unrest*)

thus ?thesis

by (*simp add: Healthy-def*)

qed

lemma *PCSP-DoCSP* [closure]:

(*do_C a* :: (*'σ, 'ψ*) action) is *PCSP*

by (*simp add: Healthy-comp NCSP-DoCSP Productive-DoCSP*)

lemma *wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma*:

fixes *P* :: (*'σ, 'φ*) action

assumes *\$ok* $\#$ *P* *\$wait* $\#$ *P*

shows ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st$) ;; *P* = ($\exists \$ref \cdot P[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]$)

using *assms*

by (*rel-auto, meson*)

lemma *wp-rea-DoCSP*:

assumes *P* is *NCSP*

shows ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st$) *wp_r* *pre_R* *P* =

($\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P)[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]$)

by (*simp add: wp-rea-def wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma unrest usubst ex-unrest assms closure*)

lemma *wp-rea-DoCSP-alt*:

assumes *P* is *NCSP*

shows ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st$) *wp_r* *pre_R* *P* =

($\$tr' \geq_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle \Rightarrow_r (\text{pre}_R P)[\$tr \hat{\ }_u \langle \lceil a \rceil_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]$)

by (*simp add: wp-rea-DoCSP assms rea-not-def R1-def usubst unrest, rel-auto*)

lemma *DoCSP-refine-sinv*: *sinv_R(b)* \sqsubseteq *do_C(a)*

by (*rdes-refine*)

8.12 Event prefix

definition *PrefixCSP* ::

(*'φ, 'σ*) *uexpr* \Rightarrow

(*'σ, 'φ*) action \Rightarrow

(*'σ, 'φ*) action (*-* \rightarrow_C - [81, 80] 80) **where**

[*upred-defs*]: *PrefixCSP a P* = (*do_C(a)* ;; *CSP(P)*)

abbreviation *OutputCSP c v P* \equiv *PrefixCSP (c.v)_u P*

lemma *CSP-PrefixCSP* [closure]: *PrefixCSP a P* is *CSP*

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def closure*)

lemma *CSP3-PrefixCSP* [closure]:

PrefixCSP a P is CSP3

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *CSP3-DoCSP CSP3-def Healthy-def PrefixCSP-def seqr-assoc*)

lemma *CSP4-PrefixCSP* [closure]:

assumes *P is CSP P is CSP4*

shows *PrefixCSP a P is CSP4*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *CSP4-def Healthy-def PrefixCSP-def assms(1) assms(2) seqr-assoc*)

lemma *NCSP-PrefixCSP* [closure]:

assumes *P is NCSP*

shows *PrefixCSP a P is NCSP*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *CSP3-PrefixCSP CSP3-commutes-CSP4 CSP4-Idempotent CSP4-PrefixCSP CSP-PrefixCSP Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def NCSP-def NCSP-implies-CSP assms comp-apply*)

lemma *Productive-PrefixCSP* [closure]: *P is NCSP \implies PrefixCSP a P is Productive*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if NCSP-DoCSP NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-is-SRD PrefixCSP-def Productive-DoCSP Productive-seq-1*)

lemma *PCSP-PrefixCSP* [closure]: *P is NCSP \implies PrefixCSP a P is PCSP*

by (*simp add: Healthy-comp NCSP-PrefixCSP Productive-PrefixCSP*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-Guarded* [closure]: *Guarded (PrefixCSP a)*

proof –

have *PrefixCSP a = ($\lambda X. do_C(a) ;; CSP(X)$)*

by (*simp add: fun-eq-iff PrefixCSP-def*)

thus *?thesis*

using *Guarded-if-Productive NCSP-DoCSP NCSP-implies-NSRD Productive-DoCSP* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *PrefixCSP-type* [closure]: *PrefixCSP a $\in \llbracket H \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket CSP \rrbracket_H$*

using *CSP-PrefixCSP* **by** *blast*

lemma *PrefixCSP-Continuous* [closure]: *Continuous (PrefixCSP a)*

by (*simp add: Continuous-def PrefixCSP-def ContinuousD[OF SRD-Continuous] seq-Sup-distl*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-RHS-tri-lemma1*:

R1 (R2s ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge [II]_R$)) = ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge [II]_R$)

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-RHS-tri-lemma2*:

fixes *P :: (' σ , ' φ) action*

assumes *$\$ok \nmid P \$wait \nmid P$*

shows (*($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st$) $\wedge \neg \$wait'$*) ;; *P = ($\exists \$ref \cdot P[\$tr \hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]$)*

using *assms*

by (*rel-auto, meson, fastforce*)

lemma *tr-extend-seqr*:

fixes *P :: (' σ , ' φ) action*

assumes *$\$ok \nmid P \$wait \nmid P \$ref \nmid P$*

shows (*($\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle \wedge \$st' =_u \$st$)*) ;; *P = P[\\$tr $\hat{^}_u \langle [a]_{S<} \rangle / \$tr]$*

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma assms unrest ex-unrest*)

lemma *trace-ext-R1-closed* [closure]: *P is R1 \implies P[\\$tr $\hat{^}_u e / \$tr]$* *is R1*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *preR-PrefixCSP-NCSP* [rdes]:

assumes *P* is NCSP

shows $\text{pre}_R(\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P) = (\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (\text{pre}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def assms closure rdes rpred Healthy-if wp usubst unrest*)

lemma *periR-PrefixCSP* [rdes]:

assumes *P* is NCSP

shows $\text{peri}_R(\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P) = (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee (\text{peri}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P) = \text{peri}_R(\text{do}_C a \ ; \ P)$

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def closure assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = ((\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \Rightarrow_r \$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [a]_{S<} \notin_u \$ref' \vee \text{peri}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: assms NSRD-CSP4-intro csp-enable-tr-empty closure rdes unrest ex-unrest usubst rpred wp*)

also have $\dots = (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee ((\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee ((\text{pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee (\text{peri}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms closure unrest*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *postR-PrefixCSP* [rdes]:

assumes *P* is NCSP

shows $\text{post}_R(\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P) = (\text{post}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t$

proof –

have $\text{post}_R(\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P) = ((\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (\text{pre}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def assms Healthy-if*)

(*simp add: assms Healthy-if wp closure rdes rpred wp-rea-DoCSP-lemma unrest ex-unrest usubst*)

also have $\dots = (\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \wedge (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \wedge (\text{post}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest*)

also have $\dots = (\text{post}_R P) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *PrefixCSP-RHS-tri*:

assumes *P* is NCSP

shows $\text{PrefixCSP } a \ P = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r \text{pre}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee \text{peri}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \diamond \text{post}_R P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def Healthy-if unrest assms closure NSRD-composition-wp rdes rpred usubst wp*)

For prefix, we can chose whether to propagate the assumptions or not, hence there are two laws.

lemma *PrefixCSP-rdes-def-1* [rdes-def]:

assumes *P* is CRC *Q* is CRR *R* is CRR

$\$st' \# Q \ \$ref' \# R$

shows $\text{PrefixCSP } a \ (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee Q \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \diamond R \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

apply (*subst PrefixCSP-RHS-tri*)

apply (*rule NCSP-rdes-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: assms rdes closure*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

lemma *PrefixCSP-rdes-def-2*:

assumes *P is CRC Q is CRR R is CRR*

$\$st' \# Q \$ref' \# R$

shows $PrefixCSP\ a\ (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\mathcal{I}(true, \langle a \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \vdash (\mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{a\}_u) \vee (P \wedge Q) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t) \diamond (P \wedge R) \llbracket \langle a \rangle \rrbracket_t)$

apply (*subst PrefixCSP-RHS-tri*)

apply (*rule NCSP-rdes-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: assms rdes closure*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

8.13 Guarded external choice

abbreviation *GuardedChoiceCSP* :: $'\vartheta\ set \Rightarrow (' \vartheta \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action) \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action$ **where**
GuardedChoiceCSP A P $\equiv (\Box\ x \in A \cdot PrefixCSP\ \llbracket x \rrbracket\ (P(x)))$

syntax

-GuardedChoiceCSP :: $logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\Box - \in - \rightarrow -\ [0,0,85]\ 86)$

translations

$\Box\ x \in A \rightarrow P == CONST\ GuardedChoiceCSP\ A\ (\lambda\ x.\ P)$

lemma *GuardedChoiceCSP [rdes-def]*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. P(x)$ *is NCSP A* $\neq \{\}$

shows $(\Box\ x \in A \rightarrow P(x)) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s\ ((\bigcup\ x \in A \cdot \mathcal{I}(true, \langle \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket_t)) \Rightarrow_r pre_R\ (P\ x) \llbracket \langle \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket_t \rrbracket_t) \vdash$
 $((\bigcup\ x \in A \cdot \mathcal{E}(true, \langle \rangle, \{\llbracket x \rrbracket\}_u)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigcap\ x \in A \cdot peri_R\ (P\ x) \llbracket \langle \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket_t \rrbracket_t)) \diamond$
 $(\bigcap\ x \in A \cdot post_R\ (P\ x) \llbracket \langle \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket_t \rrbracket_t))$

by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-RHS-tri assms ExtChoice-tri-rdes closure unrest, rel-auto*)

8.14 Input prefix

definition *InputCSP* ::

$('a, ' \vartheta)\ chan \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ' \sigma\ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action) \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action$ **where**

[upred-defs]: *InputCSP c A P* $= (\Box\ x \in UNIV \cdot A(x) \ \&_u\ PrefixCSP\ (c \cdot \llbracket x \rrbracket)_u\ (P\ x))$

definition *InputVarCSP* :: $('a, ' \vartheta)\ chan \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ' \sigma) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ' \sigma\ upred) \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action$ **where**

[upred-defs, rdes-def]: *InputVarCSP c x A* $= InputCSP\ c\ A\ (\lambda\ v.\ \langle [x \mapsto_s \llbracket v \rrbracket \rrbracket_C] \rangle_C)$

definition *do_I* ::

$('a, ' \vartheta)\ chan \Rightarrow$

$('a \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ st-csp) \Rightarrow$

$('a \Rightarrow (' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action) \Rightarrow$

$(' \sigma, ' \vartheta)\ action$ **where**

do_I c x P $=$ ($\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \{e : \langle \delta_u(c) \rangle \mid P(e) \cdot (c \cdot \langle \llbracket e \rrbracket \rrbracket_u) \} \cap_u \$ref' =_u \{\}_u$

$\triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright$

$((\$tr' - \$tr) \in_u \{e : \langle \delta_u(c) \rangle \mid P(e) \cdot \langle (c \cdot \langle \llbracket e \rrbracket \rrbracket_u) \} \wedge (c \cdot \$x')_u =_u last_u(\$tr'))$)

lemma *InputCSP-CSP [closure]*: *InputCSP c A P* *is CSP*

by (*simp add: CSP-ExtChoice InputCSP-def*)

lemma *InputCSP-NCSP [closure]*: $\llbracket \bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP} \rrbracket \implies \text{InputCSP } c \ A \ P \text{ is NCSP}$
apply (*simp add: InputCSP-def*)
apply (*rule NCSP-ExtChoice*)
apply (*simp add: NCSP-Guard NCSP-PrefixCSP image-Collect-subsetI top-set-def*)
done

lemma *Productive-InputCSP [closure]*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP} \rrbracket \implies \text{InputCSP } x \ A \ P \text{ is Productive}$
by (*auto simp add: InputCSP-def unrest closure intro: Productive-ExtChoice*)

lemma *preR-InputCSP [rdes]*:
assumes $\bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $\text{pre}_R(\text{InputCSP } a \ A \ P) = (\bigsqcup v \cdot [A(v)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (\text{pre}_R(P(v))) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
by (*simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms alpha usubst unrest*)

lemma *periR-InputCSP [rdes]*:
assumes $\bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $\text{peri}_R(\text{InputCSP } a \ A \ P) =$
 $(\bigsqcup x \cdot [A(x)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u))$
 $\triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright$
 $(\bigsqcap x \cdot [A(x)]_{S<} \wedge (\text{peri}_R(P \ x)) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
by (*simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-InputCSP [rdes]*:
assumes $\bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $\text{post}_R(\text{InputCSP } a \ A \ P) =$
 $(\bigsqcap x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(P \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: InputCSP-def rdes closure assms usubst unrest*)

lemma *InputCSP-rdes-def [rdes-def]*:
assumes $\bigwedge v. P(v) \text{ is CRC} \wedge v. Q(v) \text{ is CRR} \wedge v. R(v) \text{ is CRR}$
 $\bigwedge v. \$st' \nmid Q(v) \wedge v. \$ref' \nmid R(v)$
shows $\text{InputCSP } a \ A \ (\lambda v. \mathbf{R}_s(P(v) \vdash Q(v) \diamond R(v))) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup v \cdot ([A(v)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle) \Rightarrow_r (P \ v)) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
 $\vdash (((\bigsqcup x \cdot R5([A(x)]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u))))$
 \vee
 $(\bigsqcap x \cdot [A(x)]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \wedge Q \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t))$
 $\diamond (\bigsqcap x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \wedge R \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t))$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –

have $1: \text{pre}_R(?lhs) = (\bigsqcup v \cdot [A \ v]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{I}(\text{true}, \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle) \Rightarrow_r P \ v) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll v \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t$ (**is** *- = ?A*)
by (*simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms conj-comm closure*)
have $2: \text{peri}_R(?lhs) = (\bigsqcup x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \mathcal{E}(\text{true}, \langle \rangle, \{(a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u\}_u)) \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright (\bigsqcap x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \Rightarrow_r Q \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
by (*simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms closure*)
have $3: \text{post}_R(?lhs) = (\bigsqcap x \cdot [A \ x]_{S<} \wedge (P \ x \Rightarrow_r R \ x) \llbracket \langle (a \cdot \ll x \gg)_u \rangle \rrbracket_t)$
by (*simp add: rdes NCSP-rdes-intro assms closure*)
have $?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(?A \vdash ?B \diamond ?C)$
by (*subst SRD-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym], simp-all add: closure 1 2 3*)
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by (*rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

8.15 Renaming

definition *RenameCSP* :: ('s, 'e) action \Rightarrow ('e \Rightarrow 'f) \Rightarrow ('s, 'f) action $((-)\llbracket - \rrbracket_C [999, 0] 999)$ **where** [upred-defs]: *RenameCSP* *Pf* = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\text{peri}_R(P))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c) \diamond ((\text{post}_R(P))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c))$

lemma *RenameCSP-rdes-def* [rdes-def]:

assumes *P* is CRC *Q* is CRR *R* is CRR

shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))\llbracket f \rrbracket_C = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P)\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash Q\llbracket f \rrbracket_c \diamond R\llbracket f \rrbracket_c)$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P)\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash (P \Rightarrow_r Q)\llbracket f \rrbracket_c \diamond (P \Rightarrow_r R)\llbracket f \rrbracket_c)$

by (simp add: *RenameCSP-def* rdes closure assms)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{CRC}(P))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash (\text{CRC}(P) \Rightarrow_r \text{CRR}(Q))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c \diamond (\text{CRC}(P) \Rightarrow_r \text{CRR}(R))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c)$

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{CRC}(P))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash (\text{CRR}(Q))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c \diamond (\text{CRR}(R))\llbracket f \rrbracket_c)$

by (rel-auto, (metis order-refl)+)

also have ... = ?rhs

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *RenameCSP-pre-CRC-closed*:

assumes *P* is CRR

shows $\neg_r (\neg_r P)\llbracket f \rrbracket_c ;; R1 \text{ true is CRC}$

apply (rule CRC-intro')

apply (simp add: unrest closure assms)

apply (simp add: Healthy-def, simp add: RC1-def rpred closure CRC-idem assms segr-assoc)

done

lemma *RenameCSP-NCSP-closed* [closure]:

assumes *P* is NCSP

shows $P\llbracket f \rrbracket_C$ is NCSP

by (simp add: *RenameCSP-def* *RenameCSP-pre-CRC-closed* closure assms unrest)

lemma *csp-rename-false* [rpred]:

$\text{false}\llbracket f \rrbracket_c = \text{false}$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *umap-nil* [simp]: $\text{map}_u f \langle \rangle = \langle \rangle$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *rename-Skip*: $\text{Skip}\llbracket f \rrbracket_C = \text{Skip}$

by (rdes-eq)

lemma *rename-Chaos*: $\text{Chaos}\llbracket f \rrbracket_C = \text{Chaos}$

by (rdes-eq-split; rel-simp; force)

lemma *rename-Miracle*: $\text{Miracle}\llbracket f \rrbracket_C = \text{Miracle}$

by (rdes-eq)

lemma *rename-DoCSP*: $(\text{do}_C(a))\llbracket f \rrbracket_C = \text{do}_C(\llbracket f \rrbracket_C(a)_a)$

by (rdes-eq)

8.16 Algebraic laws

lemma *AssignCSP-conditional*:

assumes *vwb-lens* x
shows $x :=_C e \triangleleft b \triangleright_R x :=_C f = x :=_C (e \triangleleft b \triangleright f)$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

lemma *AssignsCSP-id*: $\langle id \rangle_C = Skip$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *Guard-comp*:
 $g \&_u h \&_u P = (g \wedge h) \&_u P$
by (*rule antisym, rel-blast, rel-blast*)

lemma *Guard-false* [*simp*]: $false \&_u P = Stop$
by (*simp add: GuardCSP-def Stop-def rpred closure alpha R1-design-R1-pre*)

lemma *Guard-true* [*simp*]:
 $P \text{ is CSP} \implies true \&_u P = P$
by (*simp add: GuardCSP-def alpha SRD-reactive-design-alt closure rpred*)

lemma *Guard-conditional*:
assumes $P \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $b \&_u P = P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Stop$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

lemma *Guard-expansion*:
 $(g_1 \vee g_2) \&_u P = (g_1 \&_u P) \sqcap (g_2 \&_u P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *Conditional-as-Guard*:
assumes $P \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = b \&_u P \sqcap (\neg b) \&_u Q$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms; simp add: le-less*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-dist*:
 $PrefixCSP\ a\ (P \sqcap Q) = (PrefixCSP\ a\ P) \sqcap (PrefixCSP\ a\ Q)$
using *Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def PrefixCSP-Continuous* **by** *auto*

lemma *DoCSP-is-Prefix*:
 $do_C(a) = PrefixCSP\ a\ Skip$
by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def Healthy-if closure, metis CSP4-DoCSP CSP4-def Healthy-def*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-seq*:
assumes $P \text{ is CSP } Q \text{ is CSP}$
shows $(PrefixCSP\ a\ P) ;; Q = (PrefixCSP\ a\ (P ;; Q))$
by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def seqr-assoc Healthy-if assms closure*)

lemma *PrefixCSP-extChoice-dist*:
assumes $P \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP } R \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $((a \rightarrow_C P) \sqcap (b \rightarrow_C Q)) ;; R = (a \rightarrow_C P ;; R) \sqcap (b \rightarrow_C Q ;; R)$
by (*simp add: PCSP-PrefixCSP assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) extChoice-seq-distr*)

lemma *GuardedChoiceCSP-dist*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P(i) \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP}$
shows $\sqcap x \in A \rightarrow P(x) ;; Q = \sqcap x \in A \rightarrow (P(x) ;; Q)$
by (*simp add: ExtChoice-seq-distr PrefixCSP-seq closure assms cong: ExtChoice-cong*)

Alternation can be re-expressed as an external choice when the guards are disjoint

```

declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes [rdes-def]
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes' [rdes-def del]

declare extChoice-rdes-def [rdes-def]
declare extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def del]

lemma AlternateR-as-ExtChoice:
  assumes
     $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P(i) \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP}$ 
     $\bigwedge i j. \llbracket i \in A; j \in A; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies (g \ i \wedge g \ j) = \text{false}$ 
  shows  $(\text{if}_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ else } Q \text{ fi}) =$ 
     $(\Box i \in A \cdot g(i) \ \&_u \ P(i)) \Box (\bigwedge i \in A \cdot \neg g(i)) \ \&_u \ Q$ 
proof (cases  $A = \{\}$ )
  case True
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: ExtChoice-empty extChoice-Stop closure assms)
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis

  proof –
    have  $1: (\Box i \in A \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i) = (\Box i \in A \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P \ i) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P \ i) \diamond \text{post}_R(P \ i)))$ 
      by (rule UINF-cong, simp add: NCSP-implies-CSP SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
    have  $2: (\Box i \in A \cdot g(i) \ \&_u \ P(i)) = (\Box i \in A \cdot g(i) \ \&_u \ \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P \ i) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P \ i) \diamond \text{post}_R(P \ i)))$ 
      by (rule ExtChoice-cong, simp add: NCSP-implies-NSRD NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design
assms(1))
    from assms(3) show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def 1 2)
    (rdes-eq cls: assms(1-2)_simps: False cong: UINF-cong ExtChoice-cong)
  qed
qed

declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes [rdes-def del]
declare ExtChoice-tri-rdes' [rdes-def]

declare extChoice-rdes-def [rdes-def del]
declare extChoice-rdes-def' [rdes-def]

end

```

9 Recursion in Stateful-Failures

```

theory utp-sfrd-recursion
  imports utp-sfrd-contracts utp-sfrd-prog
begin

```

9.1 Fixed-points

The CSP weakest fixed-point is obtained simply by precomposing the body with the CSP healthiness condition.

abbreviation *mu-CSP* :: $((\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action}) \Rightarrow (\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action } (\mu_C)$ **where**
 $\mu_C \ F \equiv \mu \ (F \circ \text{CSP})$

```

syntax
  -mu-CSP :: pttrn  $\Rightarrow$  logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic  $(\mu_C \ - \ - \ [0, 10] \ 10)$ 

```


translations

$\mu_C X \cdot P == \text{CONST } \mu\text{-CSP } (\lambda X. P)$

lemma *mu-CSP-equiv*:

assumes *Monotonic F* $F \in \llbracket \text{CSP} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{CSP} \rrbracket_H$
shows $(\mu_R F) = (\mu_C F)$
by (*simp add: srd-mu-equiv assms comp-def*)

lemma *mu-CSP-unfold*:

$P \text{ is CSP} \implies (\mu_C X \cdot P ;; X) = P ;; (\mu_C X \cdot P ;; X)$
apply (*subst gfp-unfold*)
apply (*simp-all add: closure Healthy-if*)
done

lemma *mu-csp-expand* [*rdes*]: $(\mu_C ((;;) Q)) = (\mu X \cdot Q ;; \text{CSP } X)$

by (*simp add: comp-def*)

lemma *mu-csp-basic-refine*:

assumes
 $P \text{ is CSP } Q \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is Productive } \text{pre}_R(P) = \text{true}_r \text{pre}_R(Q) = \text{true}_r$
 $\text{peri}_R P \sqsubseteq \text{peri}_R Q$
 $\text{peri}_R P \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R Q ;; \text{peri}_R P$
shows $P \sqsubseteq (\mu_C X \cdot Q ;; X)$

proof (*rule SRD-refine-intro', simp-all add: closure usubst alpha rpred rdes unrest wp seq-UINF-distr assms*)

show $\text{peri}_R P \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcap i \cdot \text{post}_R Q \wedge i ;; \text{peri}_R Q)$

proof (*rule UINF-refines'*)

fix i

show $\text{peri}_R P \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R Q \wedge i ;; \text{peri}_R Q$

proof (*induct i*)

case 0

then show ?case **by** (*simp add: assms*)

next

case (*Suc i*)

then show ?case

by (*meson assms(6) assms(7) semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff upower-inductl*)

qed

qed

qed

lemma *CRD-mu-basic-refine*:

fixes $P :: 'e \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ set} \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}$

assumes

$Q \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is Productive } \text{pre}_R(Q) = \text{true}_r$

$[P \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket (t, r) \rightarrow (\&tt, \$ref')_u \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \text{peri}_R Q$

$[P \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket (t, r) \rightarrow (\&tt, \$ref')_u \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R Q ;;_h [P \ t \ r]_{S<} \llbracket (t, r) \rightarrow (\&tt, \$ref')_u \rrbracket$

shows $[\text{true} \vdash P \text{ trace refs} \mid R]_C \sqsubseteq (\mu_C X \cdot Q ;; X)$

proof (*rule mu-csp-basic-refine, simp-all add: msubst-pair assms closure alpha rdes rpred Healthy-if R1-false*)

show $[P \text{ trace refs}]_{S<} \llbracket \text{trace} \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket \text{refs} \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \text{peri}_R Q$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: msubst-pair*)

show $[P \text{ trace refs}]_{S<} \llbracket \text{trace} \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket \text{refs} \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R Q ;; [P \text{ trace refs}]_{S<} \llbracket \text{trace} \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \llbracket \text{refs} \rightarrow \$ref' \rrbracket$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: msubst-pair*)

qed

9.2 Example action expansion

lemma *mu-example1*: $(\mu X \cdot \ll a \gg \rightarrow_C X) = (\bigcap i \cdot do_C(\ll a \gg) \wedge (i+1)) \;; \text{Miracle}$
by (*simp add: PrefixCSP-def mu-csp-form-1 closure*)

lemma *preR-mu-example1* [*rdes*]: $pre_R(\mu X \cdot \ll a \gg \rightarrow_C X) = true_r$
by (*simp add: mu-example1 rdes closure unrest wp*)

lemma *periR-mu-example1* [*rdes*]:
 $peri_R(\mu X \cdot \ll a \gg \rightarrow_C X) = (\bigcap i \cdot \mathcal{E}(true, iter[i](\langle \ll a \gg \rangle), \{\ll a \gg\}_u))$
by (*simp add: mu-example1 rdes rpred closure unrest wp seq-UINF-distr alpha usubst*)

lemma *postR-mu-example1* [*rdes*]:
 $post_R(\mu X \cdot \ll a \gg \rightarrow_C X) = false$
by (*simp add: mu-example1 rdes closure unrest wp*)

end

10 Linking to the Failures-Divergences Model

theory *utp-sfrd-fdsem*
imports *utp-sfrd-recursion*
begin

10.1 Failures-Divergences Semantics

The following functions play a similar role to those in Roscoe's CSP semantics, and are calculated from the Circus reactive design semantics. A major difference is that these three functions account for state. Each divergence, trace, and failure is subject to an initial state. Moreover, the traces are terminating traces, and therefore also provide a final state following the given interaction. A more subtle difference from the Roscoe semantics is that the set of traces do not include the divergences. The same semantic information is present, but we construct a direct analogy with the pre-, peri- and postconditions of our reactive designs.

definition *divergences* :: $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ list set } (dv[-] - [0, 100] \ 100)$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $divergences \ P \ s = \{t \mid t. \neg_r pre_R(P)\llbracket \langle s \rangle, \langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle / \$st, \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \}$

definition *traces* :: $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow (\varphi \text{ list} \times \sigma) \text{ set } (tr[-] - [0, 100] \ 100)$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $traces \ P \ s = \{(t, s') \mid t \ s'. \ (pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(P))\llbracket \langle s \rangle, \langle s' \rangle, \langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle / \$st, \$st', \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \}$

definition *failures* :: $(\sigma, \varphi) \text{ action} \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow (\varphi \text{ list} \times \sigma \text{ set}) \text{ set } (fl[-] - [0, 100] \ 100)$ **where**
[upred-defs]: $failures \ P \ s = \{(t, r) \mid t \ r. \ (pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(P))\llbracket \langle r \rangle, \langle s \rangle, \langle \rangle, \langle t \rangle / \$ref', \$st, \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \}$

lemma *trace-divergence-disj*:
assumes *P is NCSP* $(t, s') \in tr[P]s \ t \in dv[P]s$
shows *False*
using *assms(2,3)*
by (*simp add: traces-def divergences-def, rdes-simp cls:assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-refine-divergences*:
assumes *P is NCSP* *Q is NCSP* $\bigwedge s. dv[P]s \subseteq dv[Q]s$
shows $pre_R(P) \sqsubseteq pre_R(Q)$
proof (*rule CRR-refine-impl-prop, simp-all add: assms closure usubst unrest*)
fix *t s*
assume *a*: $[\$st \mapsto_s \langle s \rangle, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \langle t \rangle] \dagger pre_R \ Q$

with a show $['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P$
proof (*rule-tac ccontr*)
from $assms(\mathcal{J})[of\ s]$ **have** $b: t \in dv[P]s \implies t \in dv[Q]s$
by (*auto*)
assume $\neg ['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P$
hence $\neg ['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger CRC(pre_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms closure Healthy-if*)
hence $['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r CRC(pre_R P))$
by (*rel-auto*)
hence $['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms closure Healthy-if*)
with a b show *False*
by (*rel-auto*)
qed
qed

lemma *preR-eq-divergences*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. dv[P]s = dv[Q]s$
shows $pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q)$
by (*metis assms dual-order.antisym order-refl preR-refine-divergences*)

lemma *periR-refine-failures*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. fl[Q]s \subseteq fl[P]s$
shows $(pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(P)) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(Q) \wedge peri_R(Q))$

proof (*rule CRR-refine-impl-prop, simp-all add: assms closure unrest subst-unrest-3*)

fix $t\ s\ r'$
assume $a: ['\$ref' \mapsto_s \ll r' \gg, \$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (pre_R Q \wedge peri_R Q)$
from $assms(\mathcal{J})[of\ s]$ **have** $b: (t, r') \in fl[Q]s \implies (t, r') \in fl[P]s$
by (*auto*)
with a show $['\$ref' \mapsto_s \ll r' \gg, \$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (pre_R P \wedge peri_R P)$
by (*simp add: failures-def*)
qed

lemma *periR-eq-failures*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. fl[P]s = fl[Q]s$
shows $(pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(P)) = (pre_R(Q) \wedge peri_R(Q))$
by (*metis (full-types) assms dual-order.antisym order-refl periR-refine-failures*)

lemma *postR-refine-traces*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. tr[Q]s \subseteq tr[P]s$
shows $(pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(Q) \wedge post_R(Q))$

proof (*rule CRR-refine-impl-prop, simp-all add: assms closure unrest subst-unrest-5*)

fix $t\ s\ s'$
assume $a: ['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (pre_R Q \wedge post_R Q)$
from $assms(\mathcal{J})[of\ s]$ **have** $b: (t, s') \in tr[Q]s \implies (t, s') \in tr[P]s$
by (*auto*)
with a show $['\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (pre_R P \wedge post_R P)$
by (*simp add: traces-def*)
qed

lemma *postR-eq-traces*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. tr[P]s = tr[Q]s$
shows $(pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(P)) = (pre_R(Q) \wedge post_R(Q))$
by (*metis assms dual-order.antisym order-refl postR-refine-traces*)

lemma *circus-fd-refine-intro*:

assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP $\wedge s. dv\llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq dv\llbracket P \rrbracket s \wedge s. fl\llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq fl\llbracket P \rrbracket s \wedge s. tr\llbracket Q \rrbracket s \subseteq tr\llbracket P \rrbracket s$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$

proof (*rule SRD-refine-intro'*, *simp-all add: closure assms*)

show $a: 'pre_R P \Rightarrow pre_R Q'$

using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) preR-refine-divergences refBy-order* **by** *blast*

show $peri_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R P \wedge peri_R Q)$

proof –

have $peri_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R Q \wedge peri_R Q)$

by (*metis (no-types) assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) periR-refine-failures utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*metis a refBy-order utp-pred-laws.inf.order-iff utp-pred-laws.inf-assoc*)

qed

show $post_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R P \wedge post_R Q)$

proof –

have $post_R P \sqsubseteq (pre_R Q \wedge post_R Q)$

by (*meson assms(1) assms(2) assms(5) postR-refine-traces utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*metis a refBy-order utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb-iff1 utp-pred-laws.inf-assoc*)

qed

qed

10.2 Circus Operators

lemma *traces-Skip*:

$tr\llbracket Skip \rrbracket s = \{([], s)\}$

by (*simp add: traces-def rdes alpha closure, rel-simp*)

lemma *failures-Skip*:

$fl\llbracket Skip \rrbracket s = \{\}$

by (*simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *divergences-Skip*:

$dv\llbracket Skip \rrbracket s = \{\}$

by (*simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *traces-Stop*:

$tr\llbracket Stop \rrbracket s = \{\}$

by (*simp add: traces-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *failures-Stop*:

$fl\llbracket Stop \rrbracket s = \{([], E) \mid E. True\}$

by (*simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc, rel-auto*)

lemma *divergences-Stop*:

$dv\llbracket Stop \rrbracket s = \{\}$

by (*simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *traces-AssignsCSP*:

$tr\llbracket \langle \sigma \rangle_C \rrbracket s = \{([], \sigma(s))\}$

by (*simp add: traces-def rdes closure usubst alpha, rel-auto*)

lemma *failures-AssignsCSP*:

$fl\llbracket \langle \sigma \rangle_C \rrbracket s = \{\}$

by (*simp add: failures-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *divergences-AssignsCSP*:
 $dv\llbracket \langle \sigma \rangle_C \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*simp add: divergences-def, rdes-calc*)

lemma *failures-Miracle*: $fl\llbracket Miracle \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*simp add: failures-def rdes closure usubst*)

lemma *divergences-Miracle*: $dv\llbracket Miracle \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*simp add: divergences-def rdes closure usubst*)

lemma *failures-Chaos*: $fl\llbracket Chaos \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*simp add: failures-def rdes, rel-auto*)

lemma *divergences-Chaos*: $dv\llbracket Chaos \rrbracket s = UNIV$
by (*simp add: divergences-def rdes, rel-auto*)

lemma *traces-Chaos*: $tr\llbracket Chaos \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*simp add: traces-def rdes closure usubst*)

lemma *divergences-cond*:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $dv\llbracket P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \rrbracket s = (if\ (\llbracket b \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } dv\llbracket P \rrbracket s \text{ else } dv\llbracket Q \rrbracket s)$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *traces-cond*:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $tr\llbracket P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \rrbracket s = (if\ (\llbracket b \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } tr\llbracket P \rrbracket s \text{ else } tr\llbracket Q \rrbracket s)$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *failures-cond*:
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $fl\llbracket P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \rrbracket s = (if\ (\llbracket b \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } fl\llbracket P \rrbracket s \text{ else } fl\llbracket Q \rrbracket s)$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def failures-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *divergences-guard*:
assumes P is NCSP
shows $dv\llbracket g \&_u P \rrbracket s = (if\ (\llbracket g \rrbracket_e s) \text{ then } dv\llbracket g \&_u P \rrbracket s \text{ else } \{\})$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms, simp add: divergences-def traces-def rdes closure rpred assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *traces-do*: $tr\llbracket do_C(e) \rrbracket s = \{(\llbracket e \rrbracket_e s, s)\}$
by (*rdes-simp, simp add: traces-def rdes closure rpred, rel-auto*)

lemma *failures-do*: $fl\llbracket do_C(e) \rrbracket s = \{([], E) \mid E. \llbracket e \rrbracket_e s \notin E\}$
by (*rdes-simp, simp add: failures-def rdes closure rpred usubst, rel-auto*)

lemma *divergences-do*: $dv\llbracket do_C(e) \rrbracket s = \{\}$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *divergences-seq*:
fixes $P :: ('s, 'e)$ action
assumes P is NCSP Q is NCSP
shows $dv\llbracket P ;; Q \rrbracket s = dv\llbracket P \rrbracket s \cup \{t_1 @ t_2 \mid t_1 \ t_2 \ s_0. (t_1, s_0) \in tr\llbracket P \rrbracket s \wedge t_2 \in dv\llbracket Q \rrbracket s_0\}$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
oops

lemma *traces-seq*:

fixes $P :: ('s, 'e) \text{ action}$

assumes $P \text{ is NCSP } Q \text{ is NCSP}$

shows $tr[P ;; Q]_s =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ (t_1 @ t_2, s') \mid t_1 \ t_2 \ s_0 \ s'. (t_1, s_0) \in tr[P]_s \wedge (t_2, s') \in tr[Q]_{s_0} \\ & \quad \wedge (t_1 @ t_2) \notin dv[P]_s \\ & \quad \wedge (\forall (t, s_1) \in tr[P]_s. t \leq t_1 @ t_2 \longrightarrow (t_1 @ t_2) - t \notin dv[Q]_{s_1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof

show $?lhs \subseteq ?rhs$

proof (*rdes-expand cls: assms, simp add: traces-def divergences-def rdes closure assms rdes-def unrest rpred usubst, auto*)

fix $t :: 'e \text{ list}$ **and** $s' :: 's$

let $? \sigma = [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg]$

assume

$a1: '? \sigma \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R Q)'$ **and**

$a2: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P'$ **and**

$a3: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (post_R P \text{ wr } pre_R Q)'$

from $a1$ **have** $'? \sigma \dagger (\exists tr_0 \cdot ((post_R P)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr'] ;; (post_R Q)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr]) \wedge \ll tr_0 \gg \leq_u \$tr')'$
by (*simp add: R2-tr-middle assms closure*)

then obtain tr_0 **where** $p1: '? \sigma \dagger ((post_R P)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr'] ;; (post_R Q)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr])'$ **and** $tr_0: tr_0$

$\leq t$

apply (*simp add: usubst*)

apply (*erule taut-shEx-elim*)

apply (*simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' closure unrest assms*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

from $p1$ **have** $'? \sigma \dagger (\exists st_0 \cdot (post_R P)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr'][\ll st_0 \gg / \$st'] ;; (post_R Q)[\ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr][\ll st_0 \gg / \$st])'$
by (*simp add: seqr-middle[of st, THEN sym]*)

then obtain s_0 **where** $'? \sigma \dagger ((post_R P)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll tr_0 \gg / \$st', \$tr'] ;; (post_R Q)[\ll s_0 \gg, \ll tr_0 \gg / \$st, \$tr])'$

apply (*simp add: usubst*)

apply (*erule taut-shEx-elim*)

apply (*simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' closure unrest assms*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

hence $'(([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P) ;;$
 $([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R Q))'$

by (*rel-auto*)

hence $'(([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P) \wedge$
 $([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R Q))'$

by (*simp add: seqr-to-conj unrest-any-circus-var assms closure unrest*)

hence $postP: '([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P)'$ **and**

$postQ': '([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R Q)'$

by (*rel-auto*)**+**

from $postQ'$ **have** $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg + (\ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg)] \dagger post_R Q'$

using tr_0 **by** (*rel-auto*)

hence $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'$

by (*simp add: R2-subst-tr closure assms*)

hence $postQ: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - tr_0 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'$

by (*rel-auto*)

have $preP: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P'$

proof $-$

have $(pre_R P)[0, \ll tr_0 \gg / \$tr, \$tr'] \subseteq (pre_R P)[0, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr']$

by (*simp add: RC-prefix-refine closure assms tr0*)

hence $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \sqsubseteq [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P$
 by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: taut-refine-impl a2)
 qed

have preQ: $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

proof -

from postP a3 have $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

apply (simp add: wp-rea-def)

apply (rel-auto)

using tr0 apply blast+

done

hence $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll tr_0 \gg + (\ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg)] \dagger pre_R Q$

by (rel-auto)

hence $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll tr_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

by (simp add: R2-subst-tr closure assms)

thus ?thesis

by (rel-auto)

qed

from a2 have ndiv: $\neg [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R P)$

by (rel-auto)

have t-minus-tr0: $tr_0 @ (t - tr_0) = t$

using append-minus tr0 by blast

from a3

have wpr: $\bigwedge t_0 s_1.$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \implies$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P \implies$

$t_0 \leq t \implies [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R Q) \implies False$

proof -

fix t0 s1

assume b:

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P$

$t_0 \leq t$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R Q)$

from a3 have c: $\forall (s_0, t_0) \cdot \ll t_0 \gg \leq_u \ll t \gg$

$\wedge [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P$

$\implies [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg - \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

by (simp add: wp-rea-circus-form-alt[of post_R P pre_R Q] closure assms unrest usubst)
 (rel-simp)

from c b(2-4) show False

by (rel-auto)

qed

show $\exists t_1 t_2.$

$t = t_1 @ t_2 \wedge$

$(\exists s_0. [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger post_R P' \wedge \\
& '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q \wedge \\
& [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q' \wedge \\
& \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R P)' \wedge \\
& (\forall t_0 s_1. '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \wedge \\
& \quad [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P' \longrightarrow \\
& \quad t_0 \leq t_1 @ t_2 \longrightarrow \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t_0 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r \\
& pre_R Q)') \\
& \text{apply (rule-tac } x=tr_0 \text{ in } exI) \\
& \text{apply (rule-tac } x=(t - tr_0) \text{ in } exI) \\
& \text{apply (auto)} \\
& \text{using } tr_0 \text{ apply auto[1]} \\
& \text{apply (rule-tac } x=s_0 \text{ in } exI) \\
& \text{apply (auto intro:wpr simp add: taut-conj preP preQ postP postQ ndiv wpr t-minus-tr0)} \\
& \text{done} \\
& \text{qed}
\end{aligned}$$

show ?rhs \subseteq ?lhs

proof (rdes-expand cls: assms, simp add: traces-def divergences-def rdes closure assms rdes-def unrest rpred usubst, auto)

fix $t_1 t_2 :: 'e \text{ list}$ **and** $s_0 s' :: 's$

assume

$a1: \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R P)'$ **and**
 $a2: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger pre_R P'$ **and**
 $a3: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg] \dagger post_R P'$ **and**
 $a4: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'$ **and**
 $a5: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'$ **and**
 $a6: \forall t s_1. '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P \wedge$
 $\quad [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R P' \longrightarrow$
 $\quad t \leq t_1 @ t_2 \longrightarrow \neg '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t \gg] \dagger (\neg_r pre_R Q)'$

from $a1$ **have** $preP: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (pre_R P)'$

by (simp add: taut-not unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms closure unrest, rel-auto)

have $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q'$

proof –

have $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q =$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q$

by rel-auto

also have $\dots = [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger post_R Q$

by (simp add: R2-subst-tr assms closure, rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis **using** $a5$

by (rel-auto)

qed

with $a3$

have $postPQ: '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R Q)'$

by (rel-auto, meson Prefix-Order.prefixI)

have $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'$

proof –

have $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q =$

$[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 \gg + \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

by rel-auto

also have $\dots = [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_0 \gg] \dagger [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q$

by (simp add: R2-subst-tr assms closure)
 finally show ?thesis using a4
 by (rel-auto)
 qed

from a6
 have a6': $\bigwedge t s_1. \llbracket t \leq t_1 @ t_2; '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger pre_R P'; '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t \gg] \dagger post_R P' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'$
 apply (subst (asm) taut-not)
 apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st assms closure unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done

have wpR: $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)'$
 proof –
 have $\bigwedge s_1 t_0. \llbracket t_0 \leq t_1 @ t_2; '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P' \rrbracket$
 $\implies '[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'$
 proof –
 fix s1 t0
 assume c: $t_0 \leq t_1 @ t_2$ $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger post_R P'$

 have preP': $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P'$
 proof –
 have $(pre_R P) \llbracket 0, \ll t_0 \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq (pre_R P) \llbracket 0, \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket$
 by (simp add: RC-prefix-refine closure assms c)
 hence $[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \sqsubseteq [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R P$
 by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: taut-refine-impl preP)
 qed

with c a3 preP a6' [of t0 s1] show $'[\$st \mapsto_s \ll s_1 \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll (t_1 @ t_2) - t_0 \gg] \dagger pre_R Q'$
 by (simp)
 qed

thus ?thesis
 apply (simp-all add: wp-rea-circus-form-alt assms closure unrest usubst rea-impl-alt-def)
 apply (simp add: R1-def usubst tcontr-alt-def)
 apply (auto intro!: taut-shAll-intro-2)
 apply (rule taut-impl-intro)
 apply (simp add: unrest-all-circus-vars-st-st' unrest closure assms)
 apply (rel-simp)
 done

qed
 show $'([\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger pre_R P \wedge$
 $\quad [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)) \wedge$
 $\quad [\$st \mapsto_s \ll s \gg, \$st' \mapsto_s \ll s' \gg, \$tr \mapsto_s \langle \rangle, \$tr' \mapsto_s \ll t_1 @ t_2 \gg] \dagger (post_R P ;; post_R Q)'$
 by (auto simp add: taut-conj preP postPQ wpR)
 qed
 qed

lemma *Cons-minus* [*simp*]: $(a \# t) - [a] = t$
by (*metis append-Cons append-Nil append-minus*)

lemma *traces-prefix*:
assumes *P* is NCSP
shows $tr\llbracket a \gg \rightarrow_C P\rrbracket s = \{(a \# t, s') \mid t s'. (t, s') \in tr\llbracket P \rrbracket s\}$
apply (*auto simp add: PrefixCSP-def traces-seq traces-do divergences-do lit.rep-eq assms closure Healthy-if trace-divergence-disj*)
apply (*meson assms trace-divergence-disj*)
done

10.3 Deadlock Freedom

The following is a specification for deadlock free actions. In any intermediate observation, there must be at least one enabled event.

definition *CDF* :: (s, e) action **where**
 $[rdes-def]: CDF = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash (\bigcap (s, t, E, e) \cdot \mathcal{E}(\llbracket s \gg, \llbracket t \gg, \llbracket insert\ e\ E \gg))) \diamond true_r)$

lemma *CDF-NCSP* [*closure*]: *CDF* is NCSP
apply (*simp add: CDF-def*)
apply (*rule NCSP-rdes-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: closure unrest*)
done

lemma *CDF-seq-idem*: $CDF ;; CDF = CDF$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *CDF-refine-intro*: $CDF \sqsubseteq P \implies CDF \sqsubseteq (CDF ;; P)$
by (*metis CDF-seq-idem urel-dioid.mult-isol*)

lemma *Skip-deadlock-free*: $CDF \sqsubseteq Skip$
by (*rdes-refine*)

lemma *CDF-ext-st* [*alpha*]: $CDF \oplus_p abs-st_L = CDF$
by (*rdes-eq*)

end

11 Meta-theory for Stateful-Failure Reactive Designs

theory *utp-sf-rdes*
imports
utp-sfrd-core
utp-sfrd-rel
utp-sfrd-healths
utp-sfrd-contracts
utp-sfrd-extchoice
utp-sfrd-prog
utp-sfrd-recursion
utp-sfrd-fdsem
begin end

References

- [1] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [2] M. V. M. Oliveira. *Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science - University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.