

# Generalised Reactive Processes in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster

Samuel Canham

September 5, 2018

## Abstract

Hoare and He’s UTP theory of reactive processes provides a unifying foundation for the semantics of process calculi and reactive programming. A reactive process is a form of UTP relation which can refer to both state variables and also a trace history of events. In their original presentation, a trace was modelled solely by a discrete sequence of events. Here, we generalise the trace model using “trace algebra”, which characterises traces abstractly using cancellative monoids, and thus enables application of the theory to a wider family of computational models, including hybrid computation. We recast the reactive healthiness conditions in this setting, and prove all the associated distributivity laws. We tackle parallel composition of reactive processes using the “parallel-by-merge” scheme from UTP. We also identify the associated theory of “reactive relations”, and use it to define generic reactive laws, a Hoare logic, and a weakest precondition calculus.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Reactive Processes Core Definitions</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Alphabet and Signature . . . . .	2
1.2	Reactive Lemmas . . . . .	4
1.3	Trace contribution lens . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Reactive Healthiness Conditions</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	R1: Events cannot be undone . . . . .	5
2.2	R2: No dependence upon trace history . . . . .	9
2.3	R3: No activity while predecessor is waiting . . . . .	17
2.4	R4: The trace strictly increases . . . . .	18
2.5	R5: The trace does not increase . . . . .	19
2.6	RP laws . . . . .	20
2.7	UTP theories . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Reactive Parallel-by-Merge</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Reactive Relations</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	Healthiness Conditions . . . . .	26
4.2	Reactive relational operators . . . . .	27
4.3	Unrestriction and substitution laws . . . . .	28
4.4	Closure laws . . . . .	29
4.5	Reactive relational calculus . . . . .	34
4.6	UTP theory . . . . .	39
4.7	Instantaneous Reactive Relations . . . . .	40

<b>5</b>	<b>Reactive Conditions</b>	<b>40</b>
5.1	Healthiness Conditions . . . . .	40
5.2	Closure laws . . . . .	41
<b>6</b>	<b>Reactive Programs</b>	<b>43</b>
6.1	Stateful reactive alphabet . . . . .	43
6.2	State Lifting . . . . .	45
6.3	Reactive Program Operators . . . . .	46
6.3.1	State Substitution . . . . .	46
6.3.2	Assignment . . . . .	47
6.3.3	Conditional . . . . .	48
6.3.4	Assumptions . . . . .	49
6.3.5	State Abstraction . . . . .	50
6.3.6	Reactive Frames and Extensions . . . . .	50
6.4	Stateful Reactive specifications . . . . .	53
<b>7</b>	<b>Reactive Weakest Preconditions</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Reactive Hoare Logic</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Meta-theory for Generalised Reactive Processes</b>	<b>60</b>

# 1 Reactive Processes Core Definitions

```

theory utp-rea-core
imports
  UTP-Toolkit.Trace-Algebra
  UTP.utp-concurrency
  UTP-Designs.utp-designs
begin recall-syntax

```

## 1.1 Alphabet and Signature

The alphabet of reactive processes contains a boolean variable *wait*, which denotes whether a process is exhibiting an intermediate observation. It also has the variable *tr* which denotes the trace history of a process. The type parameter *t* represents the trace model being used, which must form a trace algebra [4], and thus provides the theory of “generalised reactive processes” [4]. The reactive process alphabet also extends the design alphabet, and thus includes the *ok* variable. For more information on these, see the UTP book [5], or the associated tutorial [2].

```

alphabet 't::trace rp-vars = des-vars +
  wait :: bool
  tr   :: 't

type-synonym ('t, 'α) rp = ('t, 'α) rp-vars-scheme des

type-synonym ('t,'α,'β) rel-rp = (('t,'α) rp, ('t,'β) rp) urel
type-synonym ('t,'α) hrel-rp = ('t,'α) rp hrel

translations
  (type) ('t,'α) rp <= (type) ('t, 'α) rp-vars-scheme des
  (type) ('t,'α) rp <= (type) ('t, 'α) rp-vars-ext des

```

$(type) ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \leq (type) (('t, 'α) rp, ('γ, 'β) rp) urel$   
 $(type) ('t, 'α) hrel-rp \leq (type) ('t, 'α) rp hrel$

As for designs, we set up various types to represent reactive processes. The main types to be used are  $(t, \alpha, \beta) rel-rp$  and  $(t, \alpha) hrel-rp$ , which correspond to heterogeneous/homogeneous reactive processes whose trace model is  $t$  and alphabet types are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We also set up some useful syntax translations for these.

**notation**  $rp-vars-child-lens_a (\Sigma_r)$

**notation**  $rp-vars-child-lens (\Sigma_R)$

**syntax**

$-svid-rea-alpha :: svid (\Sigma_R)$

**translations**

$-svid-rea-alpha \Rightarrow CONST rp-vars-child-lens$

Lens  $\Sigma_R$  exists because reactive alphabets are extensible.  $\Sigma_R$  points to the portion of the alphabet / state space that is neither *ok*, *wait*, or *tr*.

**declare**  $rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits]$

**declare**  $rp-vars.defs [lens-defs]$

**declare**  $zero-list-def [upred-defs]$

**declare**  $plus-list-def [upred-defs]$

**declare**  $prefixE [elim]$

The two locale interpretations below are a technicality to improve automatic proof support via the predicate and relational tactics. This is to enable the (re-)interpretation of state spaces to remove any occurrences of lens types after the proof tactics *pred-simp* and *rel-simp*, or any of their derivatives have been applied. Eventually, it would be desirable to automate both interpretations as part of a custom outer command for defining alphabets.

**interpretation**  $rp-vars:$

$lens-interp \lambda(ok, r). (ok, wait_v r, tr_v r, more r)$

**apply**  $(unfold-locales)$

**apply**  $(rule injI)$

**apply**  $(clarsimp)$

**done**

**interpretation**  $rp-vars-rel: lens-interp \lambda(ok, ok', r, r').$

$(ok, ok', wait_v r, wait_v r', tr_v r, tr_v r', more r, more r')$

**apply**  $(unfold-locales)$

**apply**  $(rule injI)$

**apply**  $(clarsimp)$

**done**

**abbreviation**  $wait-f :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp$

**where**  $wait-f R \equiv R \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket$

**abbreviation**  $wait-t :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp$

**where**  $wait-t R \equiv R \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket$

**syntax**

$-wait-f :: logic \Rightarrow logic (-f [1000] 1000)$

$-wait-t :: logic \Rightarrow logic (-t [1000] 1000)$

**translations**

$P_f \equiv \text{CONST usubst } (\text{CONST subst-upd } \text{CONST id } (\text{CONST ivar } \text{CONST wait}) \text{ false}) P$   
 $P_t \equiv \text{CONST usubst } (\text{CONST subst-upd } \text{CONST id } (\text{CONST ivar } \text{CONST wait}) \text{ true}) P$

**abbreviation** *lift-rea* ::  $- \Rightarrow - ([\cdot]_R)$  **where**  
 $[P]_R \equiv P \oplus_p (\Sigma_R \times_L \Sigma_R)$

**abbreviation** *drop-rea* ::  $(\text{'t}::\text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha, \text{'}\beta) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (\text{'}\alpha, \text{'}\beta) \text{ urel } ([\cdot]_R)$  **where**  
 $[P]_R \equiv P \upharpoonright_e (\Sigma_R \times_L \Sigma_R)$

**abbreviation** *rea-pre-lift* ::  $- \Rightarrow - ([\cdot]_{R<})$  **where**  $[n]_{R<} \equiv [[n]_{<}]_R$

## 1.2 Reactive Lemmas

**lemma** *unrest-ok-lift-rea* [*unrest*]:

$\$ok \# [P]_R \$ok' \# [P]_R$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)+

**lemma** *unrest-wait-lift-rea* [*unrest*]:

$\$wait \# [P]_R \$wait' \# [P]_R$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)+

**lemma** *unrest-tr-lift-rea* [*unrest*]:

$\$tr \# [P]_R \$tr' \# [P]_R$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)+

**lemma** *wait-tr-bij-lemma*: *bij-lens* ( $wait_a +_L tr_a +_L \Sigma_r$ )  
**by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp add: lens-defs*)

**lemma** *des-lens-equiv-wait-tr-rest*:  $\Sigma_D \approx_L wait +_L tr +_L \Sigma_R$

**proof** –

**have**  $wait +_L tr +_L \Sigma_R = (wait_a +_L tr_a +_L \Sigma_r) ;_L \Sigma_D$   
**by** (*simp add: plus-lens-distr wait-def tr-def rp-vars-child-lens-def*)  
**also have**  $\dots \approx_L 1_L ;_L \Sigma_D$   
**using** *lens-equiv-via-bij wait-tr-bij-lemma* **by** *auto*  
**also have**  $\dots = \Sigma_D$   
**by** (*simp*)  
**finally show** *?thesis*  
**using** *lens-equiv-sym* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-lens-bij*: *bij-lens* ( $ok +_L wait +_L tr +_L \Sigma_R$ )

**proof** –

**have**  $ok +_L wait +_L tr +_L \Sigma_R \approx_L ok +_L \Sigma_D$   
**using** *des-lens-equiv-wait-tr-rest des-vars-indeps lens-equiv-sym lens-plus-eq-right* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $\dots \approx_L 1_L$   
**using** *bij-lens-equiv-id* [*of*  $ok +_L \Sigma_D$ ] **by** (*simp add: ok-des-bij-lens*)  
**finally show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: bij-lens-equiv-id*)

**qed**

**lemma** *seqr-wait-true* [*usubst*]:  $(P ;; Q)_t = (P_t ;; Q)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *seqr-wait-false* [*usubst*]:  $(P ;; Q)_f = (P_f ;; Q)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

### 1.3 Trace contribution lens

The following lens represents the portion of the state-space that is the difference between  $tr'$  and  $tr$ , that is the contribution that a process is making to the trace history.

**definition**  $tcontr :: 't::trace \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp (tt)$  **where**

[*lens-defs*]:  
 $tcontr = (\text{ lens-get } = (\lambda s. \text{ get } (\$tr')_v s - \text{ get } (\$tr)_v s) ,$   
 $\text{ lens-put } = (\lambda s v. \text{ put } (\$tr')_v s (\text{ get } (\$tr)_v s + v)) \text{ })$

**definition**  $itrace :: 't::trace \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp (it)$  **where**

[*lens-defs*]:  
 $itrace = (\text{ lens-get } = \text{ get } (\$tr)_v ,$   
 $\text{ lens-put } = (\lambda s v. \text{ put } (\$tr')_v (\text{ put } (\$tr)_v s v) v) \text{ })$

**lemma**  $tcontr\text{-}mwb\text{-}lens$  [*simp*]:  $mwb\text{-}lens\ tt$

**by** (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: lens-defs prod.case-eq-if*)

**lemma**  $itrace\text{-}mwb\text{-}lens$  [*simp*]:  $mwb\text{-}lens\ it$

**by** (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: lens-defs prod.case-eq-if*)

**syntax**

$\text{-svid-}tcontr :: \text{svid } (tt)$

$\text{-svid-}itrace :: \text{svid } (it)$

**translations**

$\text{-svid-}tcontr == \text{CONST } tcontr$

$\text{-svid-}itrace == \text{CONST } itrace$

**lemma**  $tcontr\text{-}alt\text{-}def: \&tt = (\$tr' - \$tr)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma**  $tcontr\text{-}alt\text{-}def': \text{utp-expr.var } tt = (\$tr' - \$tr)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma**  $tt\text{-}indeps$  [*simp*]:

**assumes**  $x \bowtie (\$tr)_v \ x \bowtie (\$tr')_v$

**shows**  $x \bowtie tt \ tt \bowtie x$

**using** *assms*

**by** (*unfold lens-indep-def*, *safe*, *simp-all add: tcontr-def*, (*metis lens-indep-get var-update-out*)+)

**end**

## 2 Reactive Healthiness Conditions

**theory** *utp-rea-healths*

**imports** *utp-rea-core*

**begin**

### 2.1 R1: Events cannot be undone

**definition**  $R1 :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{rel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) \text{rel-rp}$  **where**

$R1\text{-def}$  [*upred-defs*]:  $R1\ (P) = (P \wedge (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

**lemma**  $R1\text{-idem}: R1(R1(P)) = R1(P)$

by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-Idempotent* [closure]: *Idempotent R1*  
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def R1-idem*)

**lemma** *R1-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R1(P) \sqsubseteq R1(Q)$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-Monotonic*: *Monotonic R1*  
by (*simp add: mono-def R1-mono*)

**lemma** *R1-Continuous*: *Continuous R1*  
by (*auto simp add: Continuous-def, rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-unrest* [unrest]:  $\llbracket x \bowtie \text{in-var } tr; x \bowtie \text{out-var } tr; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# R1(P)$   
by (*simp add: R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym*)

**lemma** *R1-false*:  $R1(\text{false}) = \text{false}$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-conj*:  $R1(P \wedge Q) = (R1(P) \wedge R1(Q))$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *conj-R1-closed-1* [closure]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } R1$   
by (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *conj-R1-closed-2* [closure]:  $Q \text{ is } R1 \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } R1$   
by (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R1-disj*:  $R1(P \vee Q) = (R1(P) \vee R1(Q))$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *disj-R1-closed* [closure]:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is } R1$   
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1-def utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2*)

**lemma** *R1-impl*:  $R1(P \Rightarrow Q) = ((\neg R1(\neg P)) \Rightarrow R1(Q))$   
by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-inf*:  $R1(P \sqcap Q) = (R1(P) \sqcap R1(Q))$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-USUP*:  
 $R1(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot R1(P(i)))$   
by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-Sup* [closure]:  $\llbracket \bigwedge P. P \in A \implies P \text{ is } R1; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \bigsqcap A \text{ is } R1$   
using *R1-Continuous* by (*auto simp add: Continuous-def Healthy-def*)

**lemma** *R1-UINF*:  
assumes  $A \neq \{\}$   
shows  $R1(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot R1(P(i)))$   
using *assms* by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-UINF-ind*:  
 $R1(\bigsqcup i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \cdot R1(P(i)))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *UINF-ind-R1-closed* [*closure*]:

$\llbracket \bigwedge i. P(i) \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies (\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *UINF-R1-closed* [*closure*]:

$\llbracket \bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies (\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i) \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *tr-ext-conj-R1* [*closure*]:

$\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u e \wedge P \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-auto*, *simp add: Prefix-Order.prefixI*)

**lemma** *tr-id-conj-R1* [*closure*]:

$\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge P \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-extend-conj*:  $R1(P \wedge Q) = (R1(P) \wedge Q)$

**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-extend-conj'*:  $R1(P \wedge Q) = (P \wedge R1(Q))$

**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-cond*:  $R1(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R1(Q))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-cond'*:  $R1(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R1(P) \triangleleft R1(b) \triangleright R1(Q))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-negate-R1*:  $R1(\neg R1(P)) = R1(\neg P)$

**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-wait-true* [*usubst*]:  $(R1 \ P)_t = R1(P)_t$

**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-wait-false* [*usubst*]:  $(R1 \ P)_f = R1(P)_f$

**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-wait'-true* [*usubst*]:  $(R1 \ P) \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket = R1(P \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-wait'-false* [*usubst*]:  $(R1 \ P) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket = R1(P \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-wait-false-closed* [*closure*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-wait'-false-closed* [*closure*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies P \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-skip*:  $R1(II) = II$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *skip-is-R1* [*closure*]:  $II \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *subst-R1*:  $\llbracket \$tr \# \sigma; \$tr' \# \sigma \rrbracket \implies \sigma \dagger (R1\ P) = R1(\sigma \dagger P)$   
**by** (*simp add: R1-def usubst*)

**lemma** *subst-R1-closed* [*closure*]:  $\llbracket \$tr \# \sigma; \$tr' \# \sigma; P\ is\ R1 \rrbracket \implies \sigma \dagger P\ is\ R1$   
**by** (*metis Healthy-def subst-R1*)

**lemma** *R1-by-refinement*:  
 $P\ is\ R1 \iff ((\$tr \leq_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq P)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R1-trace-extension* [*closure*]:  
 $\$tr' \geq_u \$tr \wedge_e e\ is\ R1$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *tr-le-trans*:  
 $((\$tr \leq_u \$tr') ;; (\$tr \leq_u \$tr')) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr')$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-seqr*:  
 $R1(R1(P) ;; R1(Q)) = (R1(P) ;; R1(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-seqr-closure* [*closure*]:  
**assumes**  $P\ is\ R1\ Q\ is\ R1$   
**shows**  $(P ;; Q)\ is\ R1$   
**using** *assms unfolding R1-by-refinement*  
**by** (*metis seqr-mono tr-le-trans*)

**lemma** *R1-power* [*closure*]:  $P\ is\ R1 \implies P^n\ is\ R1$   
**by** (*induct n, simp-all add: upred-semiring.power-Suc closure*)

**lemma** *R1-true-comp* [*simp*]:  $(R1(true) ;; R1(true)) = R1(true)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-ok'-true*:  $(R1(P))^t = R1(P^t)$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-ok'-false*:  $(R1(P))^f = R1(P^f)$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-ok-true*:  $(R1(P))\llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket = R1(P\llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket)$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-ok-false*:  $(R1(P))\llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket = R1(P\llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket)$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *seqr-R1-true-right*:  $((P ;; R1(true)) \vee P) = (P ;; (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *conj-R1-true-right*:  $(P ;; R1(true) \wedge Q ;; R1(true)) ;; R1(true) = (P ;; R1(true) \wedge Q ;; R1(true))$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*) **using** *dual-order.trans* **by** *blast+*

**lemma** *R1-extend-conj-unrest*:  $\llbracket \$tr \# Q; \$tr' \# Q \rrbracket \implies R1(P \wedge Q) = (R1(P) \wedge Q)$



by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-extend-conj-unrest'*:  $\llbracket \$tr \# P; \$tr' \# P \rrbracket \implies R1(P \wedge Q) = (P \wedge R1(Q))$   
by *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R1-tr'-eq-tr*:  $R1(\$tr' =_u \$tr) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)$   
by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-tr-less-tr'*:  $R1(\$tr <_u \$tr') = (\$tr <_u \$tr')$   
by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *tr-strict-prefix-R1-closed [closure]*:  $\$tr <_u \$tr'$  is *R1*  
by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-H2-commute*:  $R1(H2(P)) = H2(R1(P))$   
by (*simp add: H2-split R1-def usubst, rel-auto*)

## 2.2 R2: No dependence upon trace history

There are various ways of expressing *R2*, which are enumerated below.

**definition** *R2a* ::  $(t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*:  $R2a(P) = (\bigcap s \cdot P \llbracket \langle s \rangle, (\langle s \rangle + (\$tr' - \$tr)) / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket)$

**definition** *R2a'* ::  $(t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*:  $R2a' P = (R2a(P) \triangleleft R1(true) \triangleright P)$

**definition** *R2s* ::  $(t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*:  $R2s(P) = (P \llbracket 0 / \$tr \rrbracket (\$tr' - \$tr) / \$tr')$

**definition** *R2* ::  $(t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*:  $R2(P) = R1(R2s(P))$

**definition** *R2c* ::  $(t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*:  $R2c(P) = (R2s(P) \triangleleft R1(true) \triangleright P)$

*R2a* and *R2s* are the standard definitions from the UTP book [5]. An issue with these forms is that their definition depends upon *R1* also being satisfied [4], since otherwise the trace minus operator is not well defined. We overcome this with our own version, *R2c*, which applies *R2s* if *R1* holds, and otherwise has no effect. This latter healthiness condition can therefore be reasoned about independently of *R1*, which is useful in some circumstances.

**lemma** *unrest-ok-R2s* [*unrest*]:  $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R2s(P)$   
by (*simp add: R2s-def unrest*)

**lemma** *unrest-ok'-R2s* [*unrest*]:  $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R2s(P)$   
by (*simp add: R2s-def unrest*)

**lemma** *unrest-ok-R2c* [*unrest*]:  $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R2c(P)$   
by (*simp add: R2c-def unrest*)

**lemma** *unrest-ok'-R2c* [*unrest*]:  $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R2c(P)$   
by (*simp add: R2c-def unrest*)

**lemma** *R2s-unrest* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket vwb\text{-lens } x; x \bowtie in\text{-var } tr; x \bowtie out\text{-var } tr; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# R2s(P)$   
by (*simp add: R2s-def unrest usubst lens-indep-sym*)

**lemma** *R2s-subst-wait-true* [usubst]:  
 $(R2s(P))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket = R2s(P\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2s-subst-wait'-true* [usubst]:  
 $(R2s(P))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket = R2s(P\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2-subst-wait-true* [usubst]:  
 $(R2(P))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket = R2(P\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-def R1-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2-subst-wait'-true* [usubst]:  
 $(R2(P))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket = R2(P\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-def R1-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2-subst-wait-false* [usubst]:  
 $(R2(P))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket = R2(P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-def R1-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2-subst-wait'-false* [usubst]:  
 $(R2(P))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket = R2(P\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-def R1-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2c-R2s-absorb*:  $R2c(R2s(P)) = R2s(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2a-R2s*:  $R2a(R2s(P)) = R2s(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-R2a*:  $R2s(R2a(P)) = R2a(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2a-equiv-R2s*:  $P \text{ is } R2a \iff P \text{ is } R2s$   
**by** (*metis Healthy-def' R2a-R2s R2s-R2a*)

**lemma** *R2a-idem*:  $R2a(R2a(P)) = R2a(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2a'-idem*:  $R2a'(R2a'(P)) = R2a'(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2a-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R2a(P) \sqsubseteq R2a(Q)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R2a'-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R2a'(P) \sqsubseteq R2a'(Q)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R2a'-weakening*:  $R2a'(P) \sqsubseteq P$   
**apply** (*rel-simp*)  
**apply** (*rename-tac ok wait tr more ok' wait' tr' more'*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=tr in exI*)  
**apply** (*simp add: diff-add-cancel-left'*)  
**done**

**lemma** *R2s-idem*:  $R2s(R2s(P)) = R2s(P)$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-idem*:  $R2(R2(P)) = R2(P)$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R2(P) \sqsubseteq R2(Q)$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-implies-R1* [*closure*]:  $P \text{ is } R2 \implies P \text{ is } R1$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R2c-Continuous*: *Continuous*  $R2c$   
**by** (*rel-simp*)

**lemma** *R2c-lit*:  $R2c(\ll x \gg) = \ll x \gg$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *tr-strict-prefix-R2c-closed* [*closure*]:  $\$tr <_u \$tr' \text{ is } R2c$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-conj*:  $R2s(P \wedge Q) = (R2s(P) \wedge R2s(Q))$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-conj*:  $R2(P \wedge Q) = (R2(P) \wedge R2(Q))$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-disj*:  $R2s(P \vee Q) = (R2s(P) \vee R2s(Q))$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R2s-USUP*:  
 $R2s(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot R2s(P(i)))$   
**by** (*simp add: R2s-def usubst*)

**lemma** *R2c-USUP*:  
 $R2c(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot R2c(P(i)))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-UINF*:  
 $R2s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot R2s(P(i)))$   
**by** (*simp add: R2s-def usubst*)

**lemma** *R2c-UINF*:  
 $R2c(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot R2c(P(i)))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-disj*:  $R2(P \vee Q) = (R2(P) \vee R2(Q))$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-not*:  $R2s(\neg P) = (\neg R2s(P))$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R2s-condr*:  $R2s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R2s(P) \triangleleft R2s(b) \triangleright R2s(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-condr*:  $R2(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R2(P) \triangleleft R2(b) \triangleright R2(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-condr'*:  $R2(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R2(P) \triangleleft R2s(b) \triangleright R2(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-ok*:  $R2s(\$ok) = \$ok$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-ok'*:  $R2s(\$ok') = \$ok'$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-wait*:  $R2s(\$wait) = \$wait$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-wait'*:  $R2s(\$wait') = \$wait'$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-true*:  $R2s(true) = true$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R2s-false*:  $R2s(false) = false$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *true-is-R2s*:  
*true is R2s*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R2s-true*)

**lemma** *R2s-lift-rea*:  $R2s(\lceil P \rceil_R) = \lceil P \rceil_R$   
**by** (*simp add: R2s-def usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2c-lift-rea*:  $R2c(\lceil P \rceil_R) = \lceil P \rceil_R$   
**by** (*simp add: R2c-def R2s-lift-rea cond-idem usubst unrest*)

**lemma** *R2c-true*:  $R2c(true) = true$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-false*:  $R2c(false) = false$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-and*:  $R2c(P \wedge Q) = (R2c(P) \wedge R2c(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *conj-R2c-closed [closure]*:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } R2c$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R2c-and*)

**lemma** *R2c-disj*:  $R2c(P \vee Q) = (R2c(P) \vee R2c(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-inf*:  $R2c(P \sqcap Q) = (R2c(P) \sqcap R2c(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-not*:  $R2c(\neg P) = (\neg R2c(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-ok*:  $R2c(\$ok) = (\$ok)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-ok'*:  $R2c(\$ok') = (\$ok')$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-wait*:  $R2c(\$wait) = \$wait$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-wait'*:  $R2c(\$wait') = \$wait'$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-wait'-true* [*usubst*]:  $(R2c\ P)[[true/\$wait']] = R2c(P[[true/\$wait']])$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-wait'-false* [*usubst*]:  $(R2c\ P)[[false/\$wait']] = R2c(P[[false/\$wait']])$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-tr'-minus-tr*:  $R2c(\$tr' =_u \$tr) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *R2c-tr'-ge-tr*:  $R2c(\$tr' \geq_u \$tr) = (\$tr' \geq_u \$tr)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-tr-less-tr'*:  $R2c(\$tr <_u \$tr') = (\$tr <_u \$tr')$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-condr*:  $R2c(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R2c(P) \triangleleft R2c(b) \triangleright R2c(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-shAll*:  $R2c(\forall x \cdot P\ x) = (\forall x \cdot R2c(P\ x))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-impl*:  $R2c(P \Rightarrow Q) = (R2c(P) \Rightarrow R2c(Q))$   
**by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *R2c-and* *R2c-not* *double-negation* *impl-alt-def* *not-conj-deMorgans*)

**lemma** *R2c-skip-r*:  $R2c(II) = II$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $R2c(II) = R2c(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} tr)$   
**by** (*subst skip-r-unfold*[*of tr*], *simp-all*)  
**also have**  $\dots = (R2c(\$tr' =_u \$tr) \wedge II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} tr)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2c-and*, *simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest cond-idem*)  
**also have**  $\dots = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge II \upharpoonright_{\alpha} tr)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2c-tr'-minus-tr*)  
**finally show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*subst skip-r-unfold*[*of tr*], *simp-all*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R1-R2c-commute*:  $R1(R2c(P)) = R2c(R1(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-R2c-is-R2*:  $R1(R2c(P)) = R2(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-R2s-R2c*:  $R1(R2s(P)) = R1(R2c(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-R2s-tr-wait*:  
 $R1(R2s(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$wait')$   
**apply** *rel-auto* **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *R1-R2s-tr'-eq-tr*:  
 $R1(R2s(\$tr' =_u \$tr)) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr)$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *R1-R2s-tr'-extend-tr*:  
 $\llbracket \$tr \# v; \$tr' \# v \rrbracket \implies R1(R2s(\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u v)) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \hat{\ }_u v)$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*)  
**apply** (*metis append-minus*)  
**apply** (*simp add: Prefix-Order.prefixI*)  
**done**

**lemma** *R2-tr-prefix*:  $R2(\$tr \leq_u \$tr') = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr')$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-form*:  
 $R2(P) = (\exists tt_0 \cdot P[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \llbracket tt_0 \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*, *metis trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left trace-class.le-iff-add*)

**lemma** *R2-subst-tr*:  
**assumes** *P is R2*  
**shows**  $[\$tr \mapsto_s tr_0, \$tr' \mapsto_s tr_0 + t] \dagger P = [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s t] \dagger P$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $[\$tr \mapsto_s tr_0, \$tr' \mapsto_s tr_0 + t] \dagger R2 P = [\$tr \mapsto_s 0, \$tr' \mapsto_s t] \dagger R2 P$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R2-seqr-form*:  
**shows**  $(R2(P) ;; R2(Q)) =$   
 $(\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket / \$tr']) ;; (Q[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket / \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr + \llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket + \llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket))$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(R2(P) ;; R2(Q)) = (\exists tr_0 \cdot (R2(P))[\llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$tr'] ;; (R2(Q))[\llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket / \$tr'])$   
**by** (*subst seqr-middle[of tr], simp-all*)  
**also have** ... =  
 $(\exists tr_0 \cdot \exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket / \$tr'] \wedge \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket =_u \$tr + \llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket) ;;$   
 $(Q[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket + \llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket)))$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-form usubst unrest uquant-lift, rel-blast*)  
**also have** ... =  
 $(\exists tr_0 \cdot \exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot ((\llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket =_u \$tr + \llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket \wedge P[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket / \$tr']) ;;$   
 $(Q[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket / \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket + \llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket)))$   
**by** (*simp add: conj-comm*)  
**also have** ... =  
 $(\exists tt_1 \cdot \exists tt_2 \cdot \exists tr_0 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket / \$tr']) ;; (Q[0/\$tr][\llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket / \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket =_u \$tr + \llbracket tt_1 \rrbracket \wedge \$tr' =_u \llbracket tr_0 \rrbracket + \llbracket tt_2 \rrbracket)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)  
**also have** ... =

$(\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; (Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge (\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot \langle tr_0 \rangle =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle \wedge \$tr' =_u \langle tr_0 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle))$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 also have ... =  
 $(\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; (Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle))$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 finally show ?thesis .  
 qed

**lemma** *R2-seqr-form'*:  
 assumes *P is R2 Q is R2*  
 shows  $P \;; Q =$   
 $(\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot ((P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; (Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle))$   
 using *R2-seqr-form[of P Q]* by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

**lemma** *R2-seqr-form''*:  
 assumes *P is R2 Q is R2*  
 shows  $P \;; Q =$   
 $(\exists \text{ } (tt_1, tt_2) \cdot ((P[0, \langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr, \$tr'] \;; (Q[0, \langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr, \$tr'])))$   
 $\wedge (\$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle))$   
 by (subst *R2-seqr-form'*, simp-all add: assms, rel-auto)

**lemma** *R2-tr-middle*:  
 assumes *P is R2 Q is R2*  
 shows  $(\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot (P[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr]) \wedge \langle tr_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr') = (P \;; Q)$   
**proof** –  
 have  $(P \;; Q) = (\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot (P[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr]))$   
 by (simp add: seqr-middle)  
 also have ... =  $(\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot ((R2 \text{ } P)[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; (R2 \text{ } Q)[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr]))$   
 by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)  
 also have ... =  $(\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot ((R2 \text{ } P)[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; (R2 \text{ } Q)[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr]) \wedge \langle tr_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr')$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 also have ... =  $(\exists \text{ } tr_0 \cdot (P[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[\langle tr_0 \rangle / \$tr]) \wedge \langle tr_0 \rangle \leq_u \$tr')$   
 by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)  
 finally show ?thesis ..  
 qed

**lemma** *R2-seqr-distribute*:  
 fixes  $P :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}$  and  $Q :: ('t, 'β, 'γ) \text{ rel-rp}$   
 shows  $R2(R2(P) \;; R2(Q)) = (R2(P) \;; R2(Q))$   
**proof** –  
 have  $R2(R2(P) \;; R2(Q)) =$   
 $((\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot (P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr'])(\$tr' - \$tr)/\$tr')$   
 $\wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr)$   
 by (simp add: *R2-seqr-form*, simp add: *R2s-def usubst unrest*, rel-auto)  
 also have ... =  
 $((\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot (P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr'])(\langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle)/\$tr')$   
 $\wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr)$   
 by (subst subst-eq-replace, simp)  
 also have ... =  
 $((\exists \text{ } tt_1 \cdot \exists \text{ } tt_2 \cdot (P[0/\$tr][\langle tt_1 \rangle / \$tr'] \;; Q[0/\$tr][\langle tt_2 \rangle / \$tr']$   
 $\wedge \$tr' - \$tr =_u \langle tt_1 \rangle + \langle tt_2 \rangle) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr)$   
 by (rel-auto)

**also have** ... =  
 $(\exists \ tt_1 \cdot \exists \ tt_2 \cdot (P[0/\$tr][\ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr'] \ ;\ ;\ Q[0/\$tr][\ll tt_2 \gg / \$tr'])$   
 $\wedge (\$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg + \ll tt_2 \gg \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr))$   
**by** *pred-auto*  
**also have** ... =  
 $((\exists \ tt_1 \cdot \exists \ tt_2 \cdot (P[0/\$tr][\ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr'] \ ;\ ;\ Q[0/\$tr][\ll tt_2 \gg / \$tr'])$   
 $\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \ll tt_1 \gg + \ll tt_2 \gg))$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\bigwedge \ tt_1 \ tt_2. (((\$tr' - \$tr =_u \ll tt_1 \gg + \ll tt_2 \gg) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$tr) :: ('t, ' \alpha, ' \gamma) \ rel\text{-}rp)$   
 $= (\$tr' =_u \$tr + \ll tt_1 \gg + \ll tt_2 \gg)$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*)  
**apply** (*metis add.assoc diff-add-cancel-left'*)  
**apply** (*simp add: add.assoc*)  
**apply** (*meson le-add order-trans*)  
**done**  
**thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**  
**also have** ... = ( $R2(P) \ ;\ ;\ R2(Q)$ )  
**by** (*simp add: R2-seqr-form*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

**lemma** *R2-seqr-closure* [*closure*]:  
**assumes** *P is R2 Q is R2*  
**shows** ( $P \ ;\ ;\ Q$ ) *is R2*  
**by** (*metis Healthy-def' R2-seqr-distribute assms(1) assms(2)*)

**lemma** *false-R2* [*closure*]: *false is R2*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-R2-commute*:  
 $R1(R2(P)) = R2(R1(P))$   
**by** *pred-auto*

**lemma** *R2-R1-form*:  $R2(R1(P)) = R1(R2s(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-H1-commute*:  
 $R2s(H1(P)) = H1(R2s(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2s-H2-commute*:  
 $R2s(H2(P)) = H2(R2s(P))$   
**by** (*simp add: H2-split R2s-def usubst*)

**lemma** *R2-R1-seq-drop-left*:  
 $R2(R1(P) \ ;\ ;\ R1(Q)) = R2(P \ ;\ ;\ R1(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-idem*:  $R2c(R2c(P)) = R2c(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent R2c*  
**by** (*simp add: Idempotent-def R2c-idem*)



**lemma** *R2c-Monotonic* [closure]: *Monotonic R2c*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-H2-commute*:  $R2c(H2(P)) = H2(R2c(P))$   
**by** (*simp add: H2-split R2c-disj R2c-def R2s-def usubst, rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-seq*:  $R2c(R2(P) ;; R2(Q)) = (R2(P) ;; R2(Q))$   
**by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute R2c-idem*)

**lemma** *R2-R2c-def*:  $R2(P) = R1(R2c(P))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2-comp-def*:  $R2 = R1 \circ R2c$   
**by** (*auto simp add: R2-R2c-def*)

**lemma** *R2c-R1-seq*:  $R2c(R1(R2c(P)) ;; R1(R2c(Q))) = (R1(R2c(P)) ;; R1(R2c(Q)))$   
**using** *R2c-seq[of P Q]* **by** (*simp add: R2-R2c-def*)

**lemma** *R1-R2c-seqr-distribute*:  
**fixes**  $P :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{rel-rp}$  **and**  $Q :: ('t, 'β, 'γ) \text{rel-rp}$   
**assumes**  $P \text{ is } R1 \ P \text{ is } R2c \ Q \text{ is } R1 \ Q \text{ is } R2c$   
**shows**  $R1(R2c(P ;; Q)) = P ;; Q$   
**by** (*metis Healthy-if R1-seqr R2c-R1-seq assms*)

**lemma** *R2-R1-true*:  
 $R2(R1(true)) = R1(true)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2-R1-form R2s-true*)

**lemma** *R1-true-R2* [closure]:  $R1(true) \text{ is } R2$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma*:  
 $R1(R2s(R1(\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)) = R1(R2s((\neg P) ;; R1 true))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-healthy-R2s*:  $P \text{ is } R2c \implies R1(R2s(P)) = R1(P)$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c*)

## 2.3 R3: No activity while predecessor is waiting

**definition**  $R3 :: ('t::trace, 'α) \text{hrel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{hrel-rp}$  **where**  
 $[upred-defs]: R3(P) = (H \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

**lemma** *R3-idem*:  $R3(R3(P)) = R3(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R3-Idempotent* [closure]: *Idempotent R3*  
**by** (*simp add: Idempotent-def R3-idem*)

**lemma** *R3-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies R3(P) \sqsubseteq R3(Q)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R3-Monotonic*: *Monotonic R3*  
**by** (*simp add: mono-def R3-mono*)

**lemma** *R3-Continuous*: *Continuous R3*

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-conj*:  $R3(P \wedge Q) = (R3(P) \wedge R3(Q))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-disj*:  $R3(P \vee Q) = (R3(P) \vee R3(Q))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-USUP*:  
assumes  $A \neq \{\}$   
shows  $R3(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot R3(P(i)))$   
using *assms* by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-UINF*:  
assumes  $A \neq \{\}$   
shows  $R3(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot R3(P(i)))$   
using *assms* by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-condr*:  $R3(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3(Q))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-skipr*:  $R3(II) = II$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-form*:  $R3(P) = ((\$wait \wedge II) \vee (\neg \$wait \wedge P))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *wait-R3*:  
 $(\$wait \wedge R3(P)) = (II \wedge \$wait')$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *nwait-R3*:  
 $(\neg \$wait \wedge R3(P)) = (\neg \$wait \wedge P)$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-semir-form*:  
 $(R3(P) ;; R3(Q)) = R3(P ;; R3(Q))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R3-semir-closure*:  
assumes  $P$  is *R3*  $Q$  is *R3*  
shows  $(P ;; Q)$  is *R3*  
using *assms*  
by (metis *Healthy-def' R3-semir-form*)

**lemma** *R1-R3-commute*:  $R1(R3(P)) = R3(R1(P))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R2-R3-commute*:  $R2(R3(P)) = R3(R2(P))$   
apply (rel-auto)  
using *minus-zero-eq* apply *blast+*  
done

## 2.4 R4: The trace strictly increases

**definition**  $R4 :: ('t::trace, 'a, 'b) \text{rel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a, 'b) \text{rel-rp}$  where

[upred-defs]:  $R_4(P) = (P \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$

**lemma** *R4-implies-R1* [closure]:  $P \text{ is } R_4 \implies P \text{ is } R1$   
**using** *less-iff* **by** *rel-blast*

**lemma** *R4-iff-refine*:  
 $P \text{ is } R_4 \iff (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq P$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R4-idem*:  $R_4 (R_4 P) = R_4 P$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R4-false*:  $R_4(\text{false}) = \text{false}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R4-conj*:  $R_4(P \wedge Q) = (R_4(P) \wedge R_4(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R4-disj*:  $R_4(P \vee Q) = (R_4(P) \vee R_4(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R4-is-R4* [closure]:  $R_4(P) \text{ is } R_4$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *false-R4* [closure]:  $\text{false} \text{ is } R_4$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *UINF-R4-closed* [closure]:  
 $\llbracket \bigwedge i. P \text{ is } R_4 \rrbracket \implies (\bigwedge i. P \text{ is } R_4)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *conj-R4-closed* [closure]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R_4; Q \text{ is } R_4 \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } R_4$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R4-conj*)

**lemma** *disj-R4-closed* [closure]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R_4; Q \text{ is } R_4 \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is } R_4$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R4-disj*)

**lemma** *seq-R4-closed-1* [closure]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R_4; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies (P ;; Q) \text{ is } R_4$   
**using** *less-le-trans* **by** *rel-blast*

**lemma** *seq-R4-closed-2* [closure]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R_4 \rrbracket \implies (P ;; Q) \text{ is } R_4$   
**using** *le-less-trans* **by** *rel-blast*

## 2.5 R5: The trace does not increase

**definition** *R5* ::  $(t::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$  **where**  
[upred-defs]:  $R5(P) = (P \wedge \$tr =_u \$tr')$

**lemma** *R5-implies-R1* [closure]:  $P \text{ is } R5 \implies P \text{ is } R1$   
**using** *eq-iff* **by** *rel-blast*

**lemma** *R5-iff-refine*:

$P \text{ is } R5 \longleftrightarrow (\$tr =_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq P$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R5-conj*:  $R5(P \wedge Q) = (R5(P) \wedge R5(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R5-disj*:  $R5(P \vee Q) = (R5(P) \vee R5(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R4-R5*:  $R4(R5 P) = false$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R5-R4*:  $R5(R4 P) = false$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *UINF-R5-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket \bigwedge i. P \text{ is } R5 \rrbracket \implies (\bigwedge i. P \text{ is } R5)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *conj-R5-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R5; Q \text{ is } R5 \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } R5$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R5-conj*)

**lemma** *disj-R5-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R5; Q \text{ is } R5 \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is } R5$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def R5-disj*)

**lemma** *seq-R5-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R5; Q \text{ is } R5 \rrbracket \implies (P ;; Q) \text{ is } R5$   
**by** (*rel-auto, metis*)

## 2.6 RP laws

**definition** *RP-def* [*upred-defs*]:  $RP(P) = R1(R2c(R3(P)))$

**lemma** *RP-comp-def*:  $RP = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3$   
**by** (*auto simp add: RP-def*)

**lemma** *RP-alt-def*:  $RP(P) = R1(R2(R3(P)))$   
**by** (*metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-idem RP-def*)

**lemma** *RP-intro*:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } R1; P \text{ is } R2; P \text{ is } R3 \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is } RP$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def' RP-alt-def*)

**lemma** *RP-idem*:  $RP(RP(P)) = RP(P)$   
**by** (*simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R2-idem R3-idem RP-def*)

**lemma** *RP-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent* *RP*  
**by** (*simp add: Idempotent-def RP-idem*)

**lemma** *RP-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies RP(P) \sqsubseteq RP(Q)$   
**by** (*simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3-mono RP-def*)

**lemma** *RP-Monotonic*: *Monotonic* *RP*  
**by** (*simp add: mono-def RP-mono*)

**lemma** *RP-Continuous: Continuous RP*

by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3-Continuous RP-comp-def)

**lemma** *RP-skip:*

$RP(II) = II$

by (simp add: R1-skip R2c-skip-r R3-skip RP-def)

**lemma** *RP-skip-closure:*

$II$  is  $RP$

by (simp add: Healthy-def' RP-skip)

**lemma** *RP-seq-closure:*

assumes  $P$  is  $RP$   $Q$  is  $RP$

shows  $(P ;; Q)$  is  $RP$

**proof** (rule *RP-intro*)

show  $(P ;; Q)$  is  $R1$

by (metis Healthy-def R1-seqr RP-def assms)

thus  $(P ;; Q)$  is  $R2$

by (metis Healthy-def' R2-R2c-def R2c-R1-seq RP-def assms)

show  $(P ;; Q)$  is  $R3$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-idem R3-semir-form RP-def assms)

qed

## 2.7 UTP theories

**typeddecl**  $REA$

**abbreviation**  $REA \equiv UTHY(REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

**overloading**

$rea-hcond == utp-hcond :: (REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) \text{ uthy } \Rightarrow (('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp) \text{ health}$

$rea-unit == utp-unit :: (REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) \text{ uthy } \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$

**begin**

**definition**  $rea-hcond :: (REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) \text{ uthy } \Rightarrow (('t, 'α) rp \times ('t, 'α) rp) \text{ health}$

**where** [upred-defs]:  $rea-hcond \ T = RP$

**definition**  $rea-unit :: (REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp) \text{ uthy } \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$

**where** [upred-defs]:  $rea-unit \ T = II$

**end**

**interpretation**  $rea-utp-theory$ :  $utp-theory \ UTHY(REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

**rewrites**  $carrier \ (uthy-order \ REA) = \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H$

by (simp-all add:  $rea-hcond-def \ utp-theory-def \ RP-idem$ )

**interpretation**  $rea-utp-theory-mono$ :  $utp-theory-continuous \ UTHY(REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

**rewrites**  $carrier \ (uthy-order \ REA) = \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H$

by (unfold-locales, simp-all add:  $RP-Continuous \ rea-hcond-def$ )

**interpretation**  $rea-utp-theory-rel$ :  $utp-theory-unital \ UTHY(REA, ('t::trace, 'α) rp)$

**rewrites**  $carrier \ (uthy-order \ REA) = \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H$

by (unfold-locales, simp-all add:  $rea-hcond-def \ rea-unit-def \ RP-seq-closure \ RP-skip-closure$ )

**lemma**  $rea-top$ :  $\top_{REA} = (\$wait \wedge II)$

**proof** –

have  $\top_{REA} = RP(false)$

by (simp add:  $rea-utp-theory-mono.health-top$ , simp add:  $rea-hcond-def$ )

also have  $\dots = (\$wait \wedge II)$

by (*rel-auto*, *metis minus-zero-eq*)  
 finally show ?thesis .  
 qed

lemma *rea-top-left-zero*:

assumes *P is RP*  
 shows  $(\top_{REA} ;; P) = \top_{REA}$   
 proof –  
 have  $(\top_{REA} ;; P) = ((\$wait \wedge II) ;; R3(P))$   
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-idem RP-def assms rea-top*)  
 also have  $\dots = (\$wait \wedge R3(P))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)  
 also have  $\dots = (\$wait \wedge II)$   
 by (*metis R3-skipr wait-R3*)  
 also have  $\dots = \top_{REA}$   
 by (*simp add: rea-top*)  
 finally show ?thesis .  
 qed

lemma *rea-bottom*:  $\perp_{REA} = R1(\$wait \Rightarrow II)$

proof –  
 have  $\perp_{REA} = RP(true)$   
 by (*simp add: rea-utp-theory-mono.healthy-bottom, simp add: rea-hcond-def*)  
 also have  $\dots = R1(\$wait \Rightarrow II)$   
 by (*rel-auto, metis minus-zero-eq*)  
 finally show ?thesis .  
 qed

end

### 3 Reactive Parallel-by-Merge

theory *utp-rea-parallel*  
 imports *utp-rea-healths*  
 begin

We show closure of parallel by merge under the reactive healthiness conditions by means of suitable restrictions on the merge predicate [4]. We first define healthiness conditions for *R1* and *R2* merge predicates.

**definition** *R1m* ::  $('t :: trace, 'a) \text{ rp merge} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a) \text{ rp merge}$   
 where [upred-defs]:  $R1m(M) = (M \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr')$

**definition** *R1m'* ::  $('t :: trace, 'a) \text{ rp merge} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a) \text{ rp merge}$   
 where [upred-defs]:  $R1m'(M) = (M \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$0-tr \wedge \$tr_{<} \leq_u \$1-tr)$

A merge predicate can access the history through *tr*, as usual, but also through *0.tr* and *1.tr*. Thus we have to remove the latter two histories as well to satisfy *R2* for the overall construction.

**definition** *R2m* ::  $('t :: trace, 'a) \text{ rp merge} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a) \text{ rp merge}$   
 where [upred-defs]:  $R2m(M) = R1m(M \llbracket 0, (\$tr' - \$tr_{<}), (\$0-tr - \$tr_{<}), (\$1-tr - \$tr_{<}) / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0-tr, \$1-tr \rrbracket)$

**definition** *R2m'* ::  $('t :: trace, 'a) \text{ rp merge} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a) \text{ rp merge}$   
 where [upred-defs]:  $R2m'(M) = R1m'(M \llbracket 0, (\$tr' - \$tr_{<}), (\$0-tr - \$tr_{<}), (\$1-tr - \$tr_{<}) / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0-tr, \$1-tr \rrbracket)$

**definition**  $R2cm :: ('t :: trace, 'α) \text{rp merge} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{rp merge}$   
**where**  $[upred-defs]: R2cm(M) = M \llbracket 0, (\$tr' - \$tr_<), (\$0 - tr - \$tr_<), (\$1 - tr - \$tr_<) / \$tr_<, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr \rrbracket$   
 $\triangleleft \$tr_< \leq_u \$tr' \triangleright M$

**lemma**  $R2m'$ -form:

$R2m'(M) =$   
 $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot M \llbracket 0, \ll tt_p \gg, \ll tt_0 \gg, \ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr_<, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr \rrbracket$   
 $\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_< + \ll tt_p \gg$   
 $\wedge \$0 - tr =_u \$tr_< + \ll tt_0 \gg$   
 $\wedge \$1 - tr =_u \$tr_< + \ll tt_1 \gg)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*, *metis diff-add-cancel-left'*)

**lemma**  $R1m$ -idem:  $R1m(R1m(P)) = R1m(P)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma**  $R1m$ -seq-lemma:  $R1m(R1m(M) ;; R1(P)) = R1m(M) ;; R1(P)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma**  $R1m$ -seq [closure]:

**assumes**  $M$  is  $R1m$   $P$  is  $R1$

**shows**  $M ;; P$  is  $R1m$

**proof** –

**from** *assms* **have**  $R1m(M ;; P) = R1m(R1m(M) ;; R1(P))$

**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if*)

**also have**  $\dots = R1m(M) ;; R1(P)$

**by** (*simp add: R1m-seq-lemma*)

**also have**  $\dots = M ;; P$

**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

**finally show** *?thesis*

**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def*)

**qed**

**lemma**  $R2m$ -idem:  $R2m(R2m(P)) = R2m(P)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma**  $R2m$ -seq-lemma:  $R2m'(R2m'(M) ;; R2(P)) = R2m'(M) ;; R2(P)$

**apply** (*simp add: R2m'-form R2-form*)

**apply** (*rel-auto*)

**apply** (*metis (no-types, lifting) add.assoc*)

**done**

**lemma**  $R2m'$ -seq [closure]:

**assumes**  $M$  is  $R2m'$   $P$  is  $R2$

**shows**  $M ;; P$  is  $R2m'$

**by** (*metis Healthy-def' R2m-seq-lemma assms(1) assms(2)*)

**lemma**  $R1$ -par-by-merge [closure]:

$M$  is  $R1m \implies (P \parallel_M Q)$  is  $R1$

**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma**  $R2$ - $R2m'$ -pbm:  $R2(P \parallel_M Q) = (R2(P) \parallel_{R2m'(M)} R2(Q))$

**proof** –

**have**  $(R2(P) \parallel_{R2m'(M)} R2(Q)) = ((R2(P) \parallel_s R2(Q)) ;;$

$(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot M \llbracket 0, \ll tt_p \gg, \ll tt_0 \gg, \ll tt_1 \gg / \$tr_<, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr \rrbracket$   
 $\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_< + \ll tt_p \gg$

$\wedge \$0 - tr =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_0 \rangle$   
 $\wedge \$1 - tr =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_1 \rangle$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def R2m'-form*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot ((R2(P) \parallel_s R2(Q)) \;; (M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr]$   
 $\wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_p \rangle$   
 $\wedge \$0 - tr =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_0 \rangle$   
 $\wedge \$1 - tr =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_1 \rangle)))$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot (((R2(P) \parallel_s R2(Q)) \wedge \$0 - tr' =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_0 \rangle \wedge \$1 - tr' =_u$   
 $\$tr_{<} + \langle tt_1 \rangle) \;;$   
 $(M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} +$   
 $\langle tt_p \rangle)))$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot (((R2(P) \parallel_s R2(Q)) \wedge \$0 - tr' =_u \$tr_{<} + \langle tt_0 \rangle \wedge \$1 - tr' =_u$   
 $\$tr_{<} + \langle tt_1 \rangle) \;;$   
 $(M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr]) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} +$   
 $\langle tt_p \rangle)$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot (((R2(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_0 \rangle) \parallel_s (R2(Q) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr +$   
 $\langle tt_1 \rangle)) \;;$   
 $(M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr]) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} +$   
 $\langle tt_p \rangle)$

by (*rel-auto, blast, metis le-add trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot (( (\exists tt_0' \cdot P[0, \langle tt_0' \rangle] / \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_0' \rangle) \wedge$   
 $\$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_0 \rangle)$   
 $\parallel_s ((\exists tt_1' \cdot Q[0, \langle tt_1' \rangle] / \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1' \rangle) \wedge \$tr' =_u$   
 $\$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle)) \;;$   
 $(M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr]) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} +$   
 $\langle tt_p \rangle)$

by (*simp add: R2-form usubst*)

also have ... =  $(\exists (tt_p, tt_0, tt_1) \cdot (( (P[0, \langle tt_0 \rangle] / \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_0 \rangle)$   
 $\parallel_s (Q[0, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr, \$tr'] \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr + \langle tt_1 \rangle)) \;;$   
 $(M[0, \langle tt_p \rangle, \langle tt_0 \rangle, \langle tt_1 \rangle] / \$tr_{<}, \$tr', \$0 - tr, \$1 - tr]) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr_{<} +$   
 $\langle tt_p \rangle)$

by (*rel-auto, metis left-cancel-monoid-class.add-left-imp-eq, blast*)

also have ... =  $R2(P \parallel_M Q)$

by (*rel-auto, blast, metis diff-add-cancel-left'*)

finally show ?thesis ..

qed

**lemma** *R2m-R2m'-pbm*:  $(R2(P) \parallel_{R2m(M)} R2(Q)) = (R2(P) \parallel_{R2m'(M)} R2(Q))$

by (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *R2-par-by-merge [closure]*:

assumes *P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m*

shows  $(P \parallel_M Q)$  is *R2*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R2-R2m'-pbm R2m-R2m'-pbm assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)*)

**lemma** *R2-par-by-merge' [closure]*:

assumes *P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m'*

shows  $(P \parallel_M Q)$  is *R2*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R2-R2m'-pbm assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)*)

**lemma** *R1m-skip-merge*:  $R1m(skip_m) = skip_m$

by (*rel-auto*)



**lemma** *R1m-disj*:  $R1m(P \vee Q) = (R1m(P) \vee R1m(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1m-conj*:  $R1m(P \wedge Q) = (R1m(P) \wedge R1m(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2m-skip-merge*:  $R2m(skip_m) = skip_m$   
**apply** (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *R2m-disj*:  $R2m(P \vee Q) = (R2m(P) \vee R2m(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2m-conj*:  $R2m(P \wedge Q) = (R2m(P) \wedge R2m(Q))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**definition** *R3m* ::  $(t :: trace, 'a) \rightarrow rp \rightarrow merge \Rightarrow (t, 'a) \rightarrow rp \rightarrow merge$  **where**  
 $[upred-defs]: R3m(M) = skip_m \triangleleft \$wait_{<} \triangleright M$

**lemma** *R3-par-by-merge*:

**assumes**

*P is R3 Q is R3 M is R3m*

**shows**  $(P \parallel_M Q) \text{ is } R3$

**proof** –

**have**  $(P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

**by** (*metis cond-L6 cond-var-split in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens*)

**also have**  $\dots = (((R3 P) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \parallel (R3m M) \llbracket true/\$wait_{<} \rrbracket (R3 Q) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

**by** (*subst-tac, simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

**also have**  $\dots = ((II \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \parallel skip_m \llbracket true/\$wait_{<} \rrbracket II \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

**by** (*simp add: R3-def R3m-def usubst*)

**also have**  $\dots = ((II \parallel skip_m II) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

**by** (*subst-tac*)

**also have**  $\dots = (II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

**by** (*simp add: cond-var-subst-left par-by-merge-skip*)

**also have**  $\dots = R3(P \parallel_M Q)$

**by** (*simp add: R3-def*)

**finally show** *?thesis*

**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def*)

**qed**

**lemma** *SymMerge-R1-true* [*closure*]:

$M \text{ is } SymMerge \implies M ;; R1(true) \text{ is } SymMerge$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**end**

## 4 Reactive Relations

**theory** *utp-rea-rel*

**imports**

*utp-rea-healths*

*UTP-KAT.utp-kleene*

**begin**

This theory defines a reactive relational calculus for  $R1$ - $R2$  predicates as an extension of the standard alphabetised predicate calculus. This enables us to formally characterise relational programs that refer to both state variables and a trace history. For more details on reactive relations, please see the associated journal paper [3].

#### 4.1 Healthiness Conditions

**definition**  $RR :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp$  **where**  
 $[upred-defs]: RR(P) = (\exists \{ \$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait' \} \cdot R2(P))$

**lemma**  $RR-idem: RR(RR(P)) = RR(P)$   
**by** ( $rel-auto$ )

**lemma**  $RR-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent\ RR$   
**by** ( $simp\ add: Idempotent-def\ RR-idem$ )

**lemma**  $RR-Continuous [closure]: Continuous\ RR$   
**by** ( $rel-blast$ )

**lemma**  $R1-RR: R1(RR(P)) = RR(P)$   
**by** ( $rel-auto$ )

**lemma**  $R2c-RR: R2c(RR(P)) = RR(P)$   
**by** ( $rel-auto$ )

**lemma**  $RR-implies-R1 [closure]: P\ is\ RR \Longrightarrow P\ is\ R1$   
**by** ( $metis\ Healthy-def\ R1-RR$ )

**lemma**  $RR-implies-R2c: P\ is\ RR \Longrightarrow P\ is\ R2c$   
**by** ( $metis\ Healthy-def\ R2c-RR$ )

**lemma**  $RR-implies-R2 [closure]: P\ is\ RR \Longrightarrow P\ is\ R2$   
**by** ( $metis\ Healthy-def\ R1-RR\ R2-R2c-def\ R2c-RR$ )

**lemma**  $RR-intro:$   
**assumes**  $\$ok \# P\ \$ok' \# P\ \$wait \# P\ \$wait' \# P\ P\ is\ R1\ P\ is\ R2c$   
**shows**  $P\ is\ RR$   
**by** ( $simp\ add: RR-def\ Healthy-def\ ex-plus\ R2-R2c-def, simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms\ ex-unrest$ )

**lemma**  $RR-R2-intro:$   
**assumes**  $\$ok \# P\ \$ok' \# P\ \$wait \# P\ \$wait' \# P\ P\ is\ R2$   
**shows**  $P\ is\ RR$   
**by** ( $simp\ add: RR-def\ Healthy-def\ ex-plus, simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms\ ex-unrest$ )

**lemma**  $RR-unrests [unrest]:$   
**assumes**  $P\ is\ RR$   
**shows**  $\$ok \# P\ \$ok' \# P\ \$wait \# P\ \$wait' \# P$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\$ok \# RR(P)\ \$ok' \# RR(P)\ \$wait \# RR(P)\ \$wait' \# RR(P)$   
**by** ( $simp-all\ add: RR-def\ ex-plus\ unrest$ )  
**thus**  $\$ok \# P\ \$ok' \# P\ \$wait \# P\ \$wait' \# P$   
**by** ( $simp-all\ add: assms\ Healthy-if$ )  
**qed**

**lemma**  $RR-refine-intro:$

**assumes**  $P$  is  $RR$   $Q$  is  $RR \wedge t. P \llbracket 0, \langle t \rangle / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq Q \llbracket 0, \langle t \rangle / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket$   
**shows**  $P \sqsubseteq Q$   
**proof** –  
 have  $\bigwedge t. (RR\ P) \llbracket 0, \langle t \rangle / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq (RR\ Q) \llbracket 0, \langle t \rangle / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket$   
   by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
 hence  $RR(P) \sqsubseteq RR(Q)$   
   by (*rel-auto*)  
 thus ?thesis  
   by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R4-RR-closed* [*closure*]:  
**assumes**  $P$  is  $RR$   
**shows**  $R4(P)$  is  $RR$   
**proof** –  
 have  $R4(RR(P))$  is  $RR$   
   by (*rel-blast*)  
 thus ?thesis  
   by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R5-RR-closed* [*closure*]:  
**assumes**  $P$  is  $RR$   
**shows**  $R5(P)$  is  $RR$   
**proof** –  
 have  $R5(RR(P))$  is  $RR$   
   using *minus-zero-eq* by *rel-auto*  
 thus ?thesis  
   by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

## 4.2 Reactive relational operators

**named-theorems** *rpred*

**abbreviation** *rea-true* ::  $('t::trace, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp* (*true<sub>r</sub>*) **where**  
*true<sub>r</sub>*  $\equiv R1(true)$

**definition** *rea-not* ::  $('t::trace, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $(\neg_r - [40] 40)$   
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $(\neg_r P) = R1(\neg P)$

**definition** *rea-diff* ::  $('t::trace, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp* (**infixl**  $\neg_r$  65)  
**where** [*upred-defs*]: *rea-diff*  $P\ Q = (P \wedge \neg_r Q)$

**definition** *rea-impl* ::  
 $('t::trace, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp* (**infixr**  $\Rightarrow_r$  25)  
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (\neg_r P \vee Q)$

**definition** *rea-lift* ::  $('t::trace, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β)$  *rel-rp* ( $[-]_r$ )  
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $[P]_r = R1(P)$

**definition** *rea-skip* ::  $('t::trace, 'α)$  *hrel-rp* ( $II_r$ )  
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $II_r = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \$\Sigma_R' =_u \$\Sigma_R)$

**definition** *rea-assert* ::  $('t::trace, 'α)$  *hrel-rp*  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'α)$  *hrel-rp*  $(\{-\}_r)$   
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $\{b\}_r = (II_r \vee \neg_r b)$

Convert from one trace algebra to another using renamer functions, which are a kind of monoid homomorphism.

```

locale renamer =
  fixes  $f :: 'a::trace \Rightarrow 'b::trace$ 
  assumes
    injective:  $\text{inj } f$  and
    add:  $f (x + y) = f x + f y$ 
begin
  lemma zero:  $f 0 = 0$ 
    by (metis add add.right-neutral add-monoid-diff-cancel-left)

  lemma monotonic:  $\text{mono } f$ 
    by (metis add monoI trace-class.le-iff-add)

  lemma minus:  $x \leq y \implies f (y - x) = f(y) - f(x)$ 
    by (metis add diff-add-cancel-left' trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left)
end

```

```

declare renamer.add [simp]
declare renamer.zero [simp]
declare renamer.minus [simp]

```

```

lemma renamer-id:  $\text{renamer id}$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all)

```

```

lemma renamer-comp:  $\llbracket \text{renamer } f; \text{renamer } g \rrbracket \implies \text{renamer } (f \circ g)$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: inj-comp renamer.injective)

```

```

lemma renamer-map:  $\text{inj } f \implies \text{renamer } (\text{map } f)$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: plus-list-def)

```

```

definition rea-rename ::  $(t_1::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-}rp \Rightarrow (t_1 \Rightarrow t_2) \Rightarrow (t_2::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-}rp ((-)|-)_r [999, 0]$ 
  999) where
  [upred-defs]:  $\text{rea-rename } P f = R2((\$tr' =_u 0 \wedge \$\Sigma_R' =_u \$\Sigma_R) ;; P ;; (\$tr' =_u \text{uop } f \$tr \wedge \$\Sigma_R' =_u \$\Sigma_R))$ 

```

Trace contribution substitution: make a substitution for the trace contribution lens  $tt$ , and apply  $R1$  to make the resulting predicate healthy again.

```

definition rea-subst ::  $(t::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-}rp \Rightarrow (t, (t, 'a) \text{ rp}) \text{ hexpr} \Rightarrow (t, 'a) \text{ hrel-}rp (-|)_r [999, 0]$ 
  999)
where [upred-defs]:  $P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = R1(P \llbracket v / \&tt \rrbracket)$ 

```

### 4.3 Unrestriction and substitution laws

```

lemma rea-true-unrest [unrest]:
   $\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v \rrbracket \implies x \# \text{true}_r$ 
  by (simp add: R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym)

```

```

lemma rea-not-unrest [unrest]:
   $\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# \neg_r P$ 
  by (simp add: rea-not-def R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym)

```

```

lemma rea-impl-unrest [unrest]:
   $\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \implies x \# (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$ 
  by (simp add: rea-impl-def unrest)

```

**lemma** *rea-true-usubst* [*usubst*]:

$\llbracket \$tr \# \sigma; \$tr' \# \sigma \rrbracket \implies \sigma \dagger true_r = true_r$   
**by** (*simp add: R1-def usubst*)

**lemma** *rea-not-usubst* [*usubst*]:

$\llbracket \$tr \# \sigma; \$tr' \# \sigma \rrbracket \implies \sigma \dagger (\neg_r P) = (\neg_r \sigma \dagger P)$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def R1-def usubst*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-usubst* [*usubst*]:

$\llbracket \$tr \# \sigma; \$tr' \# \sigma \rrbracket \implies \sigma \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (\sigma \dagger P \Rightarrow_r \sigma \dagger Q)$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-impl-def usubst R1-def*)

**lemma** *rea-true-usubst-tt* [*usubst*]:

$R1(true) \llbracket e/\&tt \rrbracket = true$   
**by** (*rel-simp*)

**lemma** *unrests-rea-rename* [*unrest*]:

$\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
 $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
 $\$wait \# P \implies \$wait \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
 $\$wait' \# P \implies \$wait' \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
**by** (*simp-all add: rea-rename-def R2-def unrest*)

**lemma** *unrest-rea-subst* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x; x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \# v; x \# P \rrbracket \implies x \# P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-subst-def R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym*)

**lemma** *rea-substs* [*usubst*]:

$true_r \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = true_r$   $true \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = true_r$   $false \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = false$   
 $(\neg_r P) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (\neg_r P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   $(P \wedge Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r \wedge Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   $(P \vee Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r \vee Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
 $(P \Rightarrow_r Q) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (P \llbracket v \rrbracket_r \Rightarrow_r Q \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
**by** (*rel-auto+*)

**lemma** *rea-substs-lattice* [*usubst*]:

$(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (\bigcap i \cdot (P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
 $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot (P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
 $(\bigcup i \cdot P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (\bigcup i \cdot (P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*) $+$

**lemma** *rea-subst-USUP-set* [*usubst*]:

$A \neq \{\} \implies (\bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r = (\bigcup i \in A \cdot (P(i)) \llbracket v \rrbracket_r)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*) $+$

#### 4.4 Closure laws

**lemma** *rea-lift-R1* [*closure*]:  $[P]_r$  is *R1*

**by** (*rel-simp*)

**lemma** *R1-rea-not*:  $R1(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r P)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-rea-not'*:  $R1(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R1(P))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-rea-not*:  $R2c(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R2c(P))$

by *rel-auto*

**lemma** *RR-rea-not*:  $RR(\neg_r RR(P)) = (\neg_r RR(P))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-rea-impl*:  $R1(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (P \Rightarrow_r R1(Q))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-rea-impl'*:  $R1(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (R1(P) \Rightarrow_r R1(Q))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-rea-impl*:  $R2c(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = (R2c(P) \Rightarrow_r R2c(Q))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *RR-rea-impl*:  $RR(RR(P) \Rightarrow_r RR(Q)) = (RR(P) \Rightarrow_r RR(Q))$   
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-true-R1* [*closure*]: *true<sub>r</sub>* is *R1*  
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-true-R2c* [*closure*]: *true<sub>r</sub>* is *R2c*  
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-true-RR* [*closure*]: *true<sub>r</sub>* is *RR*  
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-not-R1* [*closure*]:  $\neg_r P$  is *R1*  
 by (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-not-R2c* [*closure*]:  $P$  is *R2c*  $\implies \neg_r P$  is *R2c*  
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def rea-not-def R1-R2c-commute*[*THEN sym*] *R2c-not*)

**lemma** *rea-not-R2-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $P$  is *R2*  $\implies (\neg_r P)$  is *R2*  
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def' R1-rea-not' R2-R2c-def R2c-rea-not*)

**lemma** *rea-no-RR* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies (\neg_r P)$  is *RR*  
 by (*metis Healthy-def' RR-rea-not*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-R1* [*closure*]:  
 $Q$  is *R1*  $\implies (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$  is *R1*  
 by (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-R2c* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$  is *R2c*  
 by (*simp add: rea-impl-def Healthy-def rea-not-def R1-R2c-commute*[*THEN sym*] *R2c-not R2c-disj*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-R2* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R2; Q \text{ is } R2 \rrbracket \implies (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$  is *R2*  
 by (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-RR* [*closure*]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$  is *RR*  
 by (*metis Healthy-def' RR-rea-impl*)

**lemma** *conj-RR [closure]*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) \text{ is } RR$

**by** (*meson RR-implies-R1 RR-implies-R2c RR-intro RR-unrests(1-4) conj-R1-closed-1 conj-R2c-closed unrest-conj*)

**lemma** *disj-RR [closure]*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is } RR$

**by** (*metis Healthy-def' R1-RR R1-idem R1-rea-not' RR-rea-impl RR-rea-not disj-comm double-negation rea-impl-def rea-not-def*)

**lemma** *USUP-mem-RR-closed [closure]*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P \ i \text{ is } RR \ A \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } RR$

**proof** –

**have** 1:  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R1-UNIF, simp-all add: Healthy-if assms closure cong: USUP-cong*)

**have** 2:  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } R2c$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R2c-UNIF, simp-all add: Healthy-if assms RR-implies-R2c closure cong: USUP-cong*)

**show** *?thesis*

**using** 1 2 **by** (*rule-tac RR-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *USUP-ind-RR-closed [closure]*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is } RR$

**shows**  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } RR$

**using** *USUP-mem-RR-closed[of UNIV P]* **by** (*simp add: assms*)

**lemma** *UNIF-mem-RR-closed [closure]*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P \ i \text{ is } RR$

**shows**  $(\bigsqcap_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } RR$

**proof** –

**have** 1:  $(\bigsqcap_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R1-USUP, simp add: Healthy-if RR-implies-R1 assms cong: UNIF-cong*)

**have** 2:  $(\bigsqcap_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } R2c$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R2c-USUP, simp add: Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c assms cong: UNIF-cong*)

**show** *?thesis*

**using** 1 2 **by** (*rule-tac RR-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *UNIF-ind-RR-closed [closure]*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is } RR$

**shows**  $(\bigsqcap_{i \in A} P(i)) \text{ is } RR$

**by** (*simp add: assms closure*)

**lemma** *USUP-elem-RR [closure]*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is } RR \ A \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P \ i) \text{ is } RR$

**proof** –

**have** 1:  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P \ i) \text{ is } R1$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R1-UNIF, simp-all add: Healthy-if assms closure*)

**have** 2:  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P \ i) \text{ is } R2c$

**by** (*unfold Healthy-def, subst R2c-UNIF, simp-all add: Healthy-if assms RR-implies-R2c closure*)

**show** *?thesis*

using 1 2 by (rule-tac RR-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms)  
qed

**lemma** seq-RR-closed [closure]:  
 assumes  $P$  is RR  $Q$  is RR  
 shows  $P ;; Q$  is RR  
 unfolding Healthy-def  
 by (simp add: RR-def Healthy-if assms closure RR-implies-R2 ex-unrest unrest)

**lemma** power-Suc-RR-closed [closure]:  
 $P$  is RR  $\implies P ;; P \hat{~} i$  is RR  
 by (induct i, simp-all add: closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)

**lemma** seqr-iter-RR-closed [closure]:  
 $\llbracket I \neq []; \bigwedge i. i \in \text{set}(I) \implies P(i) \text{ is RR} \rrbracket \implies (;; i : I \cdot P(i)) \text{ is RR}$   
 apply (induct I, simp-all)  
 apply (rename-tac i I)  
 apply (case-tac I)  
 apply (simp-all add: seq-RR-closed)  
 done

**lemma** cond-tt-RR-closed [closure]:  
 assumes  $P$  is RR  $Q$  is RR  
 shows  $P \triangleleft \$tr' =_u \$tr \triangleright Q$  is RR  
 apply (rule RR-intro)  
 apply (simp-all add: unrest assms)  
 apply (simp-all add: Healthy-def)  
 apply (simp-all add: R1-cond R2c-condr Healthy-if assms RR-implies-R2c closure R2c-tr'-minus-tr)  
 done

**lemma** rea-skip-RR [closure]:  
 $II_r$  is RR  
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast

**lemma** tr'-eq-tr-RR-closed [closure]:  $\$tr' =_u \$tr$  is RR  
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by auto

**lemma** inf-RR-closed [closure]:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is RR}; Q \text{ is RR} \rrbracket \implies P \sqcap Q \text{ is RR}$   
 by (simp add: disj-RR uinf-or)

**lemma** conj-tr-strict-RR-closed [closure]:  
 assumes  $P$  is RR  
 shows  $(P \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$  is RR  
**proof** –  
 have  $RR(RR(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr') = (RR(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 thus ?thesis  
 by (metis Healthy-def assms)  
 qed

**lemma** rea-assert-RR-closed [closure]:  
 assumes  $b$  is RR  
 shows  $\{b\}_r$  is RR  
 by (simp add: closure assms rea-assert-def)



```

lemma upower-RR-closed [closure]:
   $\llbracket i > 0; P \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies P \wedge i \text{ is } RR$ 
  apply (induct i, simp-all)
  apply (rename-tac i)
  apply (case-tac i = 0)
  apply (simp-all add: closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  done

lemma seq-power-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR
  shows  $(P \wedge i) ;; Q \text{ is } RR$ 
  by (metis assms neg0-conv seq-RR-closed seqr-left-unit upower-RR-closed upred-semiring.power-0)

lemma ustar-right-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR
  shows  $P ;; Q^* \text{ is } RR$ 
proof –
  have  $P ;; Q^* = P ;; (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..\} \cdot Q \wedge i)$ 
    by (simp add: ustar-def)
  also have  $\dots = P ;; (II \sqcap (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot Q \wedge i))$ 
    by (metis One-nat-def UINF-atLeast-first upred-semiring.power-0)
  also have  $\dots = (P \vee P ;; (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot Q \wedge i))$ 
    by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distr)
  also have  $\dots \text{ is } RR$ 
  proof –
    have  $(\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot Q \wedge i) \text{ is } RR$ 
      by (rule UINF-mem-Continuous-closed, simp-all add: assms closure)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: assms closure)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma ustar-left-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR
  shows  $P^* ;; Q \text{ is } RR$ 
proof –
  have  $P^* ;; Q = (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..\} \cdot P \wedge i) ;; Q$ 
    by (simp add: ustar-def)
  also have  $\dots = (II \sqcap (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot P \wedge i)) ;; Q$ 
    by (metis One-nat-def UINF-atLeast-first upred-semiring.power-0)
  also have  $\dots = (Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot P \wedge i) ;; Q)$ 
    by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
  also have  $\dots \text{ is } RR$ 
  proof –
    have  $(\bigsqcap i \in \{1..\} \cdot P \wedge i) \text{ is } RR$ 
      by (rule UINF-mem-Continuous-closed, simp-all add: assms closure)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: assms closure)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma uplus-RR-closed [closure]:  $P \text{ is } RR \implies P^+ \text{ is } RR$ 

```

by (simp add: uplus-def ustar-right-RR-closed)

**lemma** trace-ext-prefix-RR [closure]:

$\llbracket \$tr \# e; \$ok \# e; \$wait \# e; out\alpha \# e \rrbracket \implies \$tr \hat{\ }_u e \leq_u \$tr' \text{ is } RR$

apply (rel-auto)

apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Prefix-Order.same-prefix-prefix less-eq-list-def prefix-concat-minus zero-list-def)

apply (metis append-minus list-append-prefixD minus-cancel-le order-refl)

done

**lemma** rea-subst-R1-closed [closure]:  $P\llbracket v \rrbracket_r$  is R1

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** R5-comp [rpred]:

assumes  $P$  is RR  $Q$  is RR

shows  $R5(P ;; Q) = R5(P) ;; R5(Q)$

proof –

have  $R5(RR(P) ;; RR(Q)) = R5(RR(P)) ;; R5(RR(Q))$

by (rel-auto; force)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

qed

**lemma** R4-comp [rpred]:

assumes  $P$  is R4  $Q$  is RR

shows  $R4(P ;; Q) = P ;; Q$

proof –

have  $R4(R4(P) ;; RR(Q)) = R4(P) ;; RR(Q)$

by (rel-auto, blast)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

qed

**lemma** rea-rename-RR-closed [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is RR

shows  $P\llbracket f \rrbracket_r$  is RR

proof –

have  $(RR P)\llbracket f \rrbracket_r$  is RR

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)

qed

## 4.5 Reactive relational calculus

**lemma** rea-skip-unit [rpred]:

assumes  $P$  is RR

shows  $P ;; II_r = P II_r ;; P = P$

proof –

have 1:  $RR(P) ;; II_r = RR(P)$

by (rel-auto)

have 2:  $II_r ;; RR(P) = RR(P)$

by (rel-auto)

from 1 2 show  $P ;; II_r = P II_r ;; P = P$

by (simp-all add: Healthy-if assms)

qed

**lemma** *rea-true-conj* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes** *P is R1*  
**shows**  $(\text{true}_r \wedge P) = P \ (P \wedge \text{true}_r) = P$   
**using** *assms*  
**by** (*simp-all add: Healthy-def R1-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute*)

**lemma** *rea-true-disj* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes** *P is R1*  
**shows**  $(\text{true}_r \vee P) = \text{true}_r \ (P \vee \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*metis Healthy-def R1-disj disj-comm true-disj-zero*)

**lemma** *rea-not-not* [*rpred*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (\neg_r \neg_r P) = P$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def R1-negate-R1 Healthy-if*)

**lemma** *rea-not-rea-true* [*simp*]:  $(\neg_r \text{true}_r) = \text{false}$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def R1-negate-R1 R1-false*)

**lemma** *rea-not-false* [*simp*]:  $(\neg_r \text{false}) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def*)

**lemma** *rea-true-impl* [*rpred*]:  
 $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (\text{true}_r \Rightarrow_r P) = P$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def rea-impl-def R1-negate-R1 R1-false Healthy-if*)

**lemma** *rea-true-impl'* [*rpred*]:  
 $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (\text{true} \Rightarrow_r P) = P$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-not-def rea-impl-def R1-negate-R1 R1-false Healthy-if*)

**lemma** *rea-false-impl* [*rpred*]:  
 $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (\text{false} \Rightarrow_r P) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-impl-def rpred Healthy-if*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-true* [*simp*]:  $(P \Rightarrow_r \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-false* [*simp*]:  $(P \Rightarrow_r \text{false}) = (\neg_r P)$   
**by** (*rel-simp*)

**lemma** *rea-imp-refl* [*rpred*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (P \Rightarrow_r P) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-conj* [*rpred*]:  
 $(P \Rightarrow_r Q \Rightarrow_r R) = ((P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow_r R)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-mp* [*rpred*]:  
 $(P \wedge (P \Rightarrow_r Q)) = (P \wedge Q)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-conj-combine* [*rpred*]:  
 $((P \Rightarrow_r Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow_r R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q \wedge R)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-impl-alt-def*:  
**assumes** *Q is R1*

**shows**  $(P \Rightarrow_r Q) = R1(P \Rightarrow Q)$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(P \Rightarrow_r R1(Q)) = R1(P \Rightarrow Q)$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** *(simp add: assms Healthy-if)*  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-impl-disj*:  
 $(P \Rightarrow_r Q \vee R) = (Q \vee (P \Rightarrow_r R))$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-true* [simp]:  $(\neg_r \text{true}) = \text{false}$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-demorgan1* [simp]:  
 $(\neg_r (P \wedge Q)) = (\neg_r P \vee \neg_r Q)$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-demorgan2* [simp]:  
 $(\neg_r (P \vee Q)) = (\neg_r P \wedge \neg_r Q)$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-or* [rpred]:  
 $P \text{ is } R1 \Longrightarrow (P \vee \neg_r P) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** *(rel-blast)*

**lemma** *rea-not-and* [simp]:  
 $(P \wedge \neg_r P) = \text{false}$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *truer-bottom-rpred* [rpred]:  $P \text{ is } RR \Longrightarrow R1(\text{true}) \sqsubseteq P$   
**by** *(metis Healthy-def R1-RR R1-mono utp-pred-laws.top-greatest)*

**lemma** *rea-not-INFIMUM* [simp]:  
 $(\neg_r (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} Q(i))) = (\bigcap_{i \in A} \neg_r Q(i))$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-USUP* [simp]:  
 $(\neg_r (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} Q(i))) = (\bigcap_{i \in A} \neg_r Q(i))$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-SUPREMUM* [simp]:  
 $A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\neg_r (\bigcap_{i \in A} Q(i))) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} \neg_r Q(i))$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *rea-not-UNIF* [simp]:  
 $A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\neg_r (\bigcap_{i \in A} Q(i))) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} \neg_r Q(i))$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *USUP-mem-rea-true* [simp]:  $A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *USUP-ind-rea-true* [simp]:  $(\bigsqcup_{i \in A} \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** *(rel-auto)*

**lemma** *UINF-ind-rea-true* [rpred]:  $A \neq \{\}$   $\implies (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *UINF-rea-impl*:  $(\bigcap P \in A \cdot F(P) \Rightarrow_r G(P)) = ((\bigcup P \in A \cdot F(P)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap P \in A \cdot G(P)))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-not-shEx* [rpred]:  $(\neg_r \text{shEx } P) = (\text{shAll } (\lambda x. \neg_r P x))$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-assert-true*:  
 $\{\text{true}_r\}_r = \text{II}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-false-true*:  
 $\{\text{false}\}_r = \text{true}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-id* [rpred]:  
**assumes**  $P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $P(\downarrow \text{id})_r = P$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(RR P)(\downarrow \text{id})_r = RR P$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)  
**thus** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-rename-comp* [rpred]:  
**assumes** *renamer*  $f$  *renamer*  $g$   $P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $P(\downarrow g \circ f)_r = P(\downarrow g)_r(\downarrow f)_r$   
**oops**

**lemma** *rea-rename-false* [rpred]:  $\text{false}(\downarrow f)_r = \text{false}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-disj* [rpred]:  
 $(P \vee Q)(\downarrow f)_r = (P(\downarrow f)_r \vee Q(\downarrow f)_r)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-UINF-ind* [rpred]:  
 $(\bigcap i \cdot P i)(\downarrow f)_r = (\bigcap i \cdot (P i)(\downarrow f)_r)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-UINF-mem* [rpred]:  
 $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P i)(\downarrow f)_r = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot (P i)(\downarrow f)_r)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-conj* [rpred]:  
**assumes** *renamer*  $f$   $P$  is *RR*  $Q$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $(P \wedge Q)(\downarrow f)_r = (P(\downarrow f)_r \wedge Q(\downarrow f)_r)$   
**proof** –  
**interpret** *ren*: *renamer*  $f$  **by** (*simp add: assms*)  
**have**  $(RR P \wedge RR Q)(\downarrow f)_r = ((RR P)(\downarrow f)_r \wedge (RR Q)(\downarrow f)_r)$   
**using** *injD[OF ren.injective]*  
**by** (*rel-auto; blast*)

**thus** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-rename-USUP-ind* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes** *renamer f*  $\bigwedge i. P\ i\ is\ RR$   
**shows**  $(\bigsqcup i \cdot P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = (\bigsqcup i \cdot (P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r)$   
**proof** –  
**interpret** *ren: renamer f* **by** (*simp add: assms*)  
**have**  $(\bigsqcup i \cdot RR(P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = (\bigsqcup i \cdot (RR\ (P\ i))(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r)$   
**using** *injD[OF ren.injective]*  
**by** (*rel-auto, blast, metis (mono-tags, hide-lams)*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms cong: USUP-all-cong*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-rename-USUP-mem* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes** *renamer f*  $A \neq \{\}$   $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i\ is\ RR$   
**shows**  $(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r)$   
**proof** –  
**interpret** *ren: renamer f* **by** (*simp add: assms*)  
**have**  $(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot RR(P\ i)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot (RR\ (P\ i))(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r)$   
**using** *injD[OF ren.injective] assms(2)*  
**by** (*rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, hide-lams)*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms cong: USUP-cong*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-rename-skip-rea* [*rpred*]: *renamer f*  $\implies II_r(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = II_r$   
**using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-seq* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes** *renamer f*  $P\ is\ RR\ Q\ is\ RR$   
**shows**  $(P\ ;;\ Q)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = P(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r\ ;;\ Q(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r$   
**proof** –  
**interpret** *ren: renamer f* **by** (*simp add: assms*)  
**from** *assms(1)* **have**  $(RR(P)\ ;;\ RR(Q))(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = (RR\ P)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r\ ;;\ (RR\ Q)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)  
*(metis (no-types, lifting) diff-add-cancel-left' le-add minus-assoc mono-def ren.minus ren.monotonic trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left trace-class.add-left-mono)+*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**declare** *R4-idem* [*rpred*]  
**declare** *R4-false* [*rpred*]  
**declare** *R4-conj* [*rpred*]  
**declare** *R4-disj* [*rpred*]

**declare** *R4-R5* [*rpred*]  
**declare** *R5-R4* [*rpred*]

**declare** *R5-conj* [*rpred*]  
**declare** *R5-disj* [*rpred*]

**lemma** *R4-USUP* [*rpred*]:  $I \neq \{\} \implies R4(\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot R4(P(i)))$

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R5-USUP* [rpred]:  $I \neq \{\} \implies R5(\bigsqcup_{i \in I} P(i)) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} R5(P(i)))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R4-UINF* [rpred]:  $R4(\bigsqcap_{i \in I} P(i)) = (\bigsqcap_{i \in I} R4(P(i)))$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *R5-UINF* [rpred]:  $R5(\bigsqcap_{i \in I} P(i)) = (\bigsqcap_{i \in I} R5(P(i)))$   
by (rel-auto)

## 4.6 UTP theory

We create a UTP theory of reactive relations which in particular provides Kleene star theorems  
typedecl *RREL*

**abbreviation** *RREL*  $\equiv$  *UTHY*(*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp)

**overloading**

*rrel-hcond* == *utp-hcond* :: (*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp) *uthy*  $\Rightarrow$  (('t,'α) rp  $\times$  ('t,'α) rp) *health*

*rrel-unit* == *utp-unit* :: (*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp) *uthy*  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'α) *hrel-rp*

**begin**

**definition** *rrel-hcond* :: (*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp) *uthy*  $\Rightarrow$  (('t,'α) rp  $\times$  ('t,'α) rp) *health* **where**

[upred-defs]: *rrel-hcond* *T* = *RR*

**definition** *rrel-unit* :: (*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp) *uthy*  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'α) *hrel-rp* **where**

[upred-defs]: *rrel-unit* *T* = *II<sub>r</sub>*

**end**

**interpretation** *rrel-thy*: *utp-theory-kleene* *UTHY*(*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp)

**rewrites**  $\bigwedge P. P \in \text{carrier } (\text{uthy-order } RREL) \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } RR$

**and** *P* is  $\mathcal{H}_{RREL} \longleftrightarrow P$  is *RR*

**and** *carrier* (*uthy-order* *RREL*)  $\rightarrow$  *carrier* (*uthy-order* *RREL*)  $\equiv \llbracket RR \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RR \rrbracket_H$

**and**  $\llbracket \mathcal{H}_{RREL} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{H}_{RREL} \rrbracket_H \equiv \llbracket RR \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RR \rrbracket_H$

**and**  $\top_{RREL} = \text{false}$

**and**  $\mathcal{II}_{RREL} = II_r$

**and** *le* (*uthy-order* *RREL*) = ( $\sqsubseteq$ )

**proof** –

**interpret** *lat*: *utp-theory-continuous* *UTHY*(*RREL*, ('t::trace,'α) rp)

by (*unfold-locale*, *simp-all* add: *rrel-hcond-def* *rrel-unit-def* *closure* *Healthy-if* *rpred*)

**show** 1:  $\top_{RREL} = (\text{false} :: ('t,'α) \text{ hrel-rp})$

by (*metis* *Healthy-if* *lat.healthy-top* *rea-no-RR* *rea-not-rea-true* *rea-true-RR* *rrel-hcond-def*)

**thus** *utp-theory-kleene* *UTHY*(*RREL*, ('t,'α) rp)

by (*unfold-locale*, *simp-all* add: *rrel-hcond-def* *rrel-unit-def* *closure* *Healthy-if* *rpred*)

**qed** (*simp-all* add: *rrel-hcond-def* *rrel-unit-def* *closure* *Healthy-if* *rpred*)

**declare** *rrel-thy.top-healthy* [*simp del*]

**declare** *rrel-thy.bottom-healthy* [*simp del*]

**abbreviation** *rea-star* ::  $- \Rightarrow -$  ( $^{-\star r}$  [999] 999) **where**

$P^{\star r} \equiv P \star_{RREL}$

The supernova tactic explodes conjectures using the Kleene star laws and relational calculus

**method** *supernova* = ((*safe intro!*: *rrel-thy.Star-inductr* *rrel-thy.Star-inductl*, *simp-all* add: *closure*) ;  
*rel-auto*)[1]

## 4.7 Instantaneous Reactive Relations

Instantaneous Reactive Relations, where the trace stays the same.

**abbreviation**  $Instant :: ('t::trace, 'α) hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) hrel-rp$  **where**  
 $Instant(P) \equiv RID(tr)(P)$

**lemma** *skip-rea-Instant* [closure]:  $II_r$  is *Instant*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**end**

## 5 Reactive Conditions

**theory** *utp-rea-cond*  
**imports** *utp-rea-rel*  
**begin**

### 5.1 Healthiness Conditions

**definition**  $RC1 :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp$  **where**  
[upred-defs]:  $RC1(P) = (\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r)$

**definition**  $RC :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α, 'β) rel-rp$  **where**  
[upred-defs]:  $RC = RC1 \circ RR$

**lemma** *RC-intro*:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; ((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r) = P) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } RC$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def RC-def*)

**lemma** *RC-intro'*:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; P \text{ is } RC1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } RC$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def RC-def*)

**lemma** *RC1-idem*:  $RC1(RC1(P)) = RC1(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto, (blast intro: dual-order.trans)+*)

**lemma** *RC1-mono*:  $P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RC1(P) \sqsubseteq RC1(Q)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *RC1-prop*:  
**assumes**  $P \text{ is } RC1$   
**shows**  $(\neg_r P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = (\neg_r P)$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r (RC1 P))$   
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**also have**  $\dots = (\neg_r P) ;; R1 \text{ true}$   
**by** (*simp add: RC1-def rpred closure*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* ..  
**qed**

**lemma** *R2-RC*:  $R2 (RC P) = RC P$

**proof** –  
**have**  $\neg_r RR P \text{ is } RR$   
**by** (*metis (no-types) Healthy-Idempotent RR-Idempotent RR-rea-not*)  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis (no-types) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2-R2c-def RC1-def RC-def RR-implies-R1 RR-implies-R2c comp-apply rea-not-R2-closed rea-true-R1 rea-true-R2c*)  
**qed**



**lemma** *RC-R2-def*:  $RC = RC1 \circ RR$   
 by (auto simp add: RC-def fun-eq-iff R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R2c-is-R2)

**lemma** *RC-implies-R2*:  $P \text{ is } RC \implies P \text{ is } R2$   
 by (metis Healthy-def' R2-RC)

**lemma** *RC-ex-ok-wait*:  $(\exists \{ \$ok, \$ok', \$wait, \$wait' \} \cdot RC\ P) = RC\ P$   
 by (rel-auto)

An important property of reactive conditions is they are monotonic with respect to the trace. That is,  $P$  with a shorter trace is refined by  $P$  with a longer trace.

**lemma** *RC-prefix-refine*:  
 assumes  $P \text{ is } RC\ s \leq t$   
 shows  $P \llbracket 0, \ll s \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq P \llbracket 0, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket$   
**proof** –  
 from *assms*(2) have  $(RC\ P) \llbracket 0, \ll s \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket \sqsubseteq (RC\ P) \llbracket 0, \ll t \gg / \$tr, \$tr' \rrbracket$   
 apply (rel-auto)  
 using *dual-order.trans* apply blast  
 done  
 thus ?thesis  
 by (simp only: *assms*(1) Healthy-if)  
**qed**

## 5.2 Closure laws

**lemma** *RC-implies-RR [closure]*:  
 assumes  $P \text{ is } RC$   
 shows  $P \text{ is } RR$   
 by (metis Healthy-def RC-ex-ok-wait RC-implies-R2 RR-def *assms*)

**lemma** *RC-implies-RC1*:  $P \text{ is } RC \implies P \text{ is } RC1$   
 by (metis Healthy-def RC-R2-def RC-implies-RR comp-eq-dest-lhs)

**lemma** *RC1-trace-ext-prefix*:  
 $out\alpha \nmid e \implies RC1(\neg_r \$tr \hat{\wedge}_u e \leq_u \$tr') = (\neg_r \$tr \hat{\wedge}_u e \leq_u \$tr')$   
 by (rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) *dual-order.trans*)

**lemma** *RC1-conj [rpred]*:  $RC1(P \wedge Q) = (RC1(P) \wedge RC1(Q))$   
 by (rel-blast)

**lemma** *conj-RC1-closed [closure]*:  
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } RC1; Q \text{ is } RC1 \rrbracket \implies P \wedge Q \text{ is } RC1$   
 by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-conj)

**lemma** *disj-RC1-closed [closure]*:  
 assumes  $P \text{ is } RC1\ Q \text{ is } RC1$   
 shows  $(P \vee Q) \text{ is } RC1$

**proof** –  
 have  $1: RC1(RC1(P) \vee RC1(Q)) = (RC1(P) \vee RC1(Q))$   
 apply (rel-auto) using *dual-order.trans* by blast+  
 show ?thesis  
 by (metis (no-types) Healthy-def 1 *assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *conj-RC-closed* [closure]:  
 assumes  $P$  is RC  $Q$  is RC  
 shows  $(P \wedge Q)$  is RC  
 by (metis Healthy-def RC-R2-def RC-implies-RR assms comp-apply conj-RC1-closed conj-RR)

**lemma** *rea-true-RC* [closure]:  $\text{true}_r$  is RC  
 by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *false-RC* [closure]:  $\text{false}$  is RC  
 by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *disj-RC-closed* [closure]:  $\llbracket P \text{ is RC}; Q \text{ is RC} \rrbracket \implies (P \vee Q) \text{ is RC}$   
 by (metis Healthy-def RC-R2-def RC-implies-RR comp-apply disj-RC1-closed disj-RR)

**lemma** *UINF-mem-RC1-closed* [closure]:  
 assumes  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is RC1}$   
 shows  $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i) \text{ is RC1}$   
**proof** –  
 have  $1: \text{RC1}(\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i))$   
 by (rel-auto, meson order.trans)  
 show ?thesis  
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 Healthy-def' UINF-all-cong UINF-alt-def assms)  
**qed**

**lemma** *UINF-mem-RC-closed* [closure]:  
 assumes  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is RC}$   
 shows  $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i) \text{ is RC}$   
**proof** –  
 have  $\text{RC}(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i) = (\text{RC1} \circ \text{RR})(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i)$   
 by (simp add: RC-def)  
 also have  $\dots = \text{RC1}(\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{RR}(P \ i))$   
 by (rel-blast)  
 also have  $\dots = \text{RC1}(\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i))$   
 by (simp add: Healthy-if RC-implies-RR RC-implies-RC1 assms)  
 also have  $\dots = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i))$   
 by (rel-auto, meson order.trans)  
 also have  $\dots = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot P \ i)$   
 by (simp add: Healthy-if RC-implies-RC1 assms)  
 finally show ?thesis  
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)  
**qed**

**lemma** *UINF-ind-RC-closed* [closure]:  
 assumes  $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is RC}$   
 shows  $(\bigcap i \cdot P \ i) \text{ is RC}$   
 by (metis (no-types) UINF-as-Sup-collect' UINF-as-Sup-image UINF-mem-RC-closed assms)

**lemma** *USUP-mem-RC1-closed* [closure]:  
 assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P \ i \text{ is RC1 } A \neq \{\}$   
 shows  $(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P \ i) \text{ is RC1}$   
**proof** –  
 have  $\text{RC1}(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P \ i) = \text{RC1}(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i))$   
 by (simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) cong: USUP-cong)  
 also from assms(2) have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \text{RC1}(P \ i))$   
 using dual-order.trans by (rel-blast)

```

also have ... = ( $\sqcup_{i \in A} P\ i$ )
  by (simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) cong: USUP-cong)
finally show ?thesis
  using Healthy-def by blast
qed

lemma USUP-mem-RC-closed [closure]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P\ i$  is RC  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows ( $\sqcup_{i \in A} P\ i$ ) is RC
  by (rule RC-intro', simp-all add: closure assms RC-implies-RC1)

lemma USUP-ind-RC-closed [closure]:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge i. P\ i \text{ is RC} \rrbracket \implies (\sqcup i. P\ i)$  is RC
  by (metis UNIV-not-empty USUP-mem-RC-closed USUP-mem-UNIV)

lemma neg-trace-ext-prefix-RC [closure]:
   $\llbracket \$tr \# e; \$ok \# e; \$wait \# e; out\alpha \# e \rrbracket \implies \neg_r \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr'$  is RC
  by (rule RC-intro, simp add: closure, metis RC1-def RC1-trace-ext-prefix)

lemma RC1-unrest:
   $\llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x; x \bowtie tr \rrbracket \implies \$x' \# RC1(P)$ 
  by (simp add: RC1-def unrest)

lemma RC-unrest-dashed [unrest]:
   $\llbracket P \text{ is RC}; mwb\text{-}lens\ x; x \bowtie tr \rrbracket \implies \$x' \# P$ 
  by (metis Healthy-if RC1-unrest RC-implies-RC1)

lemma RC1-RR-closed [closure]:  $P$  is RR  $\implies RC1(P)$  is RR
  by (simp add: RC1-def closure)

end

```

## 6 Reactive Programs

```

theory utp-rea-prog
  imports utp-rea-cond
begin

```

### 6.1 Stateful reactive alphabet

$R3$  as presented in the UTP book and related publications is not sensitive to state, although reactive programs often need this property. Thus it is necessary to use a modification of  $R3$  from Butterfield et al. [1] that explicitly states that intermediate waiting states do not propagate final state variables. In order to do this we need an additional observational variable that captures the program state that we call  $st$ . Upon this foundation, we can define operators for reactive programs [3].

```

alphabet 's rsp-vars = 't rp-vars +
  st :: 's

declare rsp-vars.defs [lens-defs]

type-synonym ('s,'t,' $\alpha$ ) rsp = ('t, ('s, ' $\alpha$ ) rsp-vars-scheme) rp
type-synonym ('s,'t,' $\alpha$ ,' $\beta$ ) rel-rsp = (('s,'t,' $\alpha$ ) rsp, ('s,'t,' $\beta$ ) rsp) urel
type-synonym ('s,'t,' $\alpha$ ) hrel-rsp = ('s,'t,' $\alpha$ ) rsp hrel

```

**type-synonym**  $(s, t) \text{ rdes} = (s, t, \text{unit}) \text{ hrel-rsp}$

**translations**

$(\text{type}) (s, t, \alpha) \text{ rsp} \leq (\text{type}) (t, (s, \alpha) \text{ rsp-vars-ext}) \text{ rp}$   
 $(\text{type}) (s, t, \alpha) \text{ rsp} \leq (\text{type}) (t, (s, \alpha) \text{ rsp-vars-scheme}) \text{ rp}$   
 $(\text{type}) (s, t, \text{unit}) \text{ rsp} \leq (\text{type}) (t, s \text{ rsp-vars}) \text{ rp}$   
 $(\text{type}) (s, t, \alpha, \beta) \text{ rel-rsp} \leq (\text{type}) ((s, t, \alpha) \text{ rsp}, (s1, t1, \beta) \text{ rsp}) \text{ urel}$   
 $(\text{type}) (s, t, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \leq (\text{type}) (s, t, \alpha) \text{ rsp hrel}$   
 $(\text{type}) (s, t) \text{ rdes} \leq (\text{type}) (s, t, \text{unit}) \text{ hrel-rsp}$

**notation**  $\text{rsp-vars-child-lens}_a (\Sigma_s)$

**notation**  $\text{rsp-vars-child-lens} (\Sigma_S)$

**syntax**

$\text{-svid-st-alpha} :: \text{svid} (\Sigma_S)$

**translations**

$\text{-svid-st-alpha} \Rightarrow \text{CONST} \text{ rsp-vars-child-lens}$

**lemma**  $\text{st-bij-lemma}: \text{bij-lens} (st_a +_L \Sigma_s)$

**by**  $(\text{unfold-locales}, \text{auto simp add: lens-defs})$

**lemma**  $\text{rea-lens-equiv-st-rest}: \Sigma_R \approx_L st +_L \Sigma_S$

**proof** –

**have**  $st +_L \Sigma_S = (st_a +_L \Sigma_s) ;_L \Sigma_R$   
**by**  $(\text{simp add: plus-lens-distr st-def rsp-vars-child-lens-def})$   
**also have**  $\dots \approx_L 1_L ;_L \Sigma_R$   
**using**  $\text{lens-equiv-via-bij st-bij-lemma}$  **by**  $\text{auto}$   
**also have**  $\dots = \Sigma_R$   
**by**  $(\text{simp})$   
**finally show**  $?thesis$   
**using**  $\text{lens-equiv-sym}$  **by**  $\text{blast}$

**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{srea-lens-bij}: \text{bij-lens} (ok +_L \text{wait} +_L \text{tr} +_L st +_L \Sigma_S)$

**proof** –

**have**  $ok +_L \text{wait} +_L \text{tr} +_L st +_L \Sigma_S \approx_L ok +_L \text{wait} +_L \text{tr} +_L \Sigma_R$   
**by**  $(\text{auto intro!: lens-plus-cong, rule lens-equiv-sym, simp add: rea-lens-equiv-st-rest})$   
**also have**  $\dots \approx_L 1_L$   
**using**  $\text{bij-lens-equiv-id[of } ok +_L \text{wait} +_L \text{tr} +_L \Sigma_R]$  **by**  $(\text{simp add: rea-lens-bij})$   
**finally show**  $?thesis$   
**by**  $(\text{simp add: bij-lens-equiv-id})$

**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{st-qual-alpha} [\alpha]: x ;_L \text{fst}_L ;_L st \times_L st = (\$st:x)_v$

**by**  $(\text{metis (no-types, hide-lams) in-var-def in-var-prod-lens lens-comp-assoc st-vwb-lens vwb-lens-wb})$

**interpretation**  $\text{alphabet-state}$ :

$\text{lens-interp } \lambda(ok, \text{wait}, \text{tr}, r). (ok, \text{wait}, \text{tr}, st_v r, \text{more } r)$   
**apply**  $(\text{unfold-locales})$   
**apply**  $(\text{rule injI})$   
**apply**  $(\text{clarsimp})$   
**done**

**interpretation**  $\text{alphabet-state-rel}: \text{lens-interp } \lambda(ok, ok', \text{wait}, \text{wait}', \text{tr}, \text{tr}', r, r').$

```

(ok, ok', wait, wait', tr, tr', stv r, stv r', more r, more r')
apply (unfold-locales)
apply (rule injI)
apply (clarsimp)
done

```

```

lemma unrest-st'-neg-RC [unrest]:
  assumes P is RR P is RC
  shows $st' \# P
proof -
  have P = ( $\neg_r \neg_r$  P)
    by (simp add: closure rpred assms)
  also have ... = ( $\neg_r$  ( $\neg_r$  P) ;; truer)
    by (metis Healthy-if RC1-def RC-implies-RC1 assms(2) calculation)
  also have $st' \# ...
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma ex-st'-RR-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RR
  shows ( $\exists$  $st' \cdot P) is RR
proof -
  have RR ( $\exists$  $st' \cdot RR(P)) = ( $\exists$  $st' \cdot RR(P))
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed

```

```

lemma unrest-st'-R4 [unrest]:
  $st' \# P  $\implies$  $st' \# R4(P)
  by (rel-auto)

```

```

lemma unrest-st'-R5 [unrest]:
  $st' \# P  $\implies$  $st' \# R5(P)
  by (rel-auto)

```

## 6.2 State Lifting

```

abbreviation lift-state-rel ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil_S$ )
where  $\lceil P \rceil_S \equiv P \oplus_p (st \times_L st)$ 

```

```

abbreviation drop-state-rel ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_S$ )
where  $\lfloor P \rfloor_S \equiv P \upharpoonright_e (st \times_L st)$ 

```

```

abbreviation lift-state-pre ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil_{S<}$ )
where  $\lceil p \rceil_{S<} \equiv \lceil \lceil p \rceil \rceil_{S<}$ 

```

```

abbreviation drop-state-pre ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{S<}$ )
where  $\lfloor p \rfloor_{S<} \equiv \lfloor \lfloor p \rfloor \rfloor_{S<}$ 

```

```

abbreviation lift-state-post ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil_{S>}$ )
where  $\lceil p \rceil_{S>} \equiv \lceil \lceil p \rceil \rceil_{S>}$ 

```

```

abbreviation drop-state-post ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{S>}$ )
where  $\lfloor p \rfloor_{S>} \equiv \lfloor \lfloor p \rfloor \rfloor_{S>}$ 

```

**lemma** *st-unrest-state-pre* [unrest]:  $\&\mathbf{v} \# s \implies \$st \# \lceil s \rceil_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st'-unrest-st-lift-pred* [unrest]:  
 $\$st' \# \lceil a \rceil_{S<}$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *out-alpha-unrest-st-lift-pre* [unrest]:  
 $out\alpha \# \lceil a \rceil_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R1-st'-unrest* [unrest]:  $\$st' \# P \implies \$st' \# R1(P)$   
**by** (*simp add: R1-def unrest*)

**lemma** *R2c-st'-unrest* [unrest]:  $\$st' \# P \implies \$st' \# R2c(P)$   
**by** (*simp add: R2c-def unrest*)

**lemma** *unrest-st-rea-rename* [unrest]:  
 $\$st \# P \implies \$st \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
 $\$st' \# P \implies \$st' \# P(\lfloor f \rfloor)_r$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)<sup>+</sup>

**lemma** *st-lift-R1-true-right*:  $\lceil b \rceil_{S<} ;; R1(true) = \lceil b \rceil_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R2c-lift-state-pre*:  $R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) = \lceil b \rceil_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

## 6.3 Reactive Program Operators

### 6.3.1 State Substitution

Lifting substitutions on the reactive state

**definition** *usubst-st-lift* ::  
 $'s \text{ usubst} \Rightarrow ((\lceil s, 't :: trace, ' \alpha \rceil \text{ rsp} \times (\lceil s, 't, ' \beta \rceil \text{ rsp})) \text{ usubst } (\lceil - \rceil_{S\sigma}))$  **where**  
 $[upred-defs]: \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} = \lceil \sigma \oplus_s st \rceil_s$

**abbreviation** *st-subst* ::  $'s \text{ usubst} \Rightarrow (\lceil s, 't :: trace, ' \alpha, ' \beta \rceil \text{ rel-rsp} \Rightarrow (\lceil s, 't, ' \alpha, ' \beta \rceil \text{ rel-rsp } (\mathbf{infixr} \dagger_S 80))$   
**where**  
 $\sigma \dagger_S P \equiv \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$

**translations**

$$\sigma \dagger_S P <= \lceil \sigma \oplus_s st \rceil_s \dagger P$$

$$\sigma \dagger_S P <= \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$$

**lemma** *st-lift-lemma*:  
 $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} = \sigma \oplus_s (fst_L ;_L (st \times_L st))$   
**by** (*auto simp add: upred-defs lens-defs prod.case-eq-if*)

**lemma** *unrest-st-lift* [unrest]:  
**fixes**  $x :: 'a \implies (\lceil s, 't :: trace, ' \alpha \rceil \text{ rsp} \times (\lceil s, 't, ' \alpha \rceil \text{ rsp})$   
**assumes**  $x \bowtie (\$st)_v$   
**shows**  $x \# \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} (\mathbf{is} \text{ ?}P)$   
**by** (*simp add: st-lift-lemma*)

(metis assms in-var-def in-var-prod-lens lens-comp-left-id st-vwb-lens unrest-subst-alpha-ext vwb-lens-wb)

**lemma** *id-st-subst* [usubst]:

$\lceil id \rceil_{S\sigma} = id$   
**by** (*pred-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-comp* [usubst]:

$\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \circ \lceil \varrho \rceil_{S\sigma} = \lceil \sigma \circ \varrho \rceil_{S\sigma}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**definition** *lift-cond-srea* ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil_{S\leftarrow}$ ) **where**

[upred-defs]:  $\lceil b \rceil_{S\leftarrow} = \lceil b \rceil_{S<}$

**lemma** *unrest-lift-cond-srea* [unrest]:

$x \# \lceil b \rceil_{S<} \implies x \# \lceil b \rceil_{S\leftarrow}$   
**by** (*simp add: lift-cond-srea-def*)

**lemma** *st-subst-RR-closed* [closure]:

**assumes** *P is RR*  
**shows**  $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$  *is RR*

**proof** –

**have**  $RR(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger RR(P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger RR(P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis Healthy-def assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *subst-lift-cond-srea* [usubst]:  $\sigma \dagger_S \lceil P \rceil_{S\leftarrow} = \lceil \sigma \dagger P \rceil_{S\leftarrow}$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-rea-not* [usubst]:  $\sigma \dagger_S (\neg_r P) = (\neg_r \sigma \dagger_S P)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-seq* [usubst]:  $\sigma \dagger_S (P ;; Q) = \sigma \dagger_S P ;; Q$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-RC-closed* [closure]:

**assumes** *P is RC*

**shows**  $\sigma \dagger_S P$  *is RC*

**apply** (*rule RC-intro, simp add: closure assms*)

**apply** (*simp add: st-subst-rea-not[THEN sym] st-subst-seq[THEN sym]*)

**apply** (*metis Healthy-if RC1-def RC-implies-RC1 assms*)

**done**

### 6.3.2 Assignment

**definition** *rea-assigns* ::  $(s \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (s, t::trace, \alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\langle \cdot \rangle_r)$  **where**

[upred-defs]:  $\langle \sigma \rangle_r = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \wedge \$\Sigma_S' =_u \$\Sigma_S)$

**syntax**

*-assign-rea* :: *svids*  $\Rightarrow$  *uexprs*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* ( $\langle \cdot \rangle_r :=_r \langle \cdot \rangle_r$ )

*-assign-rea* :: *svids*  $\Rightarrow$  *uexprs*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* (**infixr**  $:=_r$  62)

**translations**

*-assign-rea* *xs vs*  $\Rightarrow$  *CONST* *rea-assigns* (*-mk-usubst* (*CONST id*) *xs vs*)

*-assign-rea* *x v*  $\Leftarrow$  *CONST* *rea-assigns* (*CONST subst-upd* (*CONST id*) *x v*)

$-assign-rea\ x\ v\ \leq\ -assign-rea\ (-spvar\ x)\ v$   
 $x, y :=_r u, v\ \leq\ CONST\ rea-assigns\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ id)\ (CONST\ svar\ x)\ u)\ (CONST\ svar\ y)\ v)$

**lemma** *rea-assigns-RR-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $\langle \sigma \rangle_r$  is *RR*  
**apply** (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *st-subst-assigns-rea* [*usubst*]:  
 $\sigma \upharpoonright_S \langle \varrho \rangle_r = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-rea-skip* [*usubst*]:  
 $\sigma \upharpoonright_S II_r = \langle \sigma \rangle_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-assigns-comp* [*rpred*]:  
**assumes**  $P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $\langle \sigma \rangle_r \;;\ P = \sigma \upharpoonright_S P$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\langle \sigma \rangle_r \;;\ (RR\ P) = \sigma \upharpoonright_S (RR\ P)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis Healthy-def assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-assigns-rename* [*rpred*]:  
 $renamer\ f \implies \langle \sigma \rangle_r \llbracket f \rrbracket_r = \langle \sigma \rangle_r$   
**using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *rel-auto*

**lemma** *st-subst-RR* [*closure*]:  
**assumes**  $P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $(\sigma \upharpoonright_S P)$  is *RR*  
**proof** –  
**have**  $(\sigma \upharpoonright_S RR(P))$  is *RR*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *rea-assigns-st-subst* [*usubst*]:  
 $\lceil \sigma \oplus_s st \rceil_s \upharpoonright \langle \varrho \rangle_r = \langle \varrho \circ \sigma \rangle_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

### 6.3.3 Conditional

We guard the reactive conditional condition so that it can't be simplified by alphabet laws unless explicitly simplified.

**abbreviation** *cond-srea* ::  
 $(s', t :: trace, \alpha, \beta)\ rel-rsp \implies$   
 $s' upred \implies$   
 $(s', t, \alpha, \beta)\ rel-rsp \implies$   
 $(s', t, \alpha, \beta)\ rel-rsp$  **where**  
 $cond-srea\ P\ b\ Q \equiv P \triangleleft [b]_{S \leftarrow} \triangleright Q$



**syntax**

$\text{-cond-srea} :: \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{uexp} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ ((\exists \cdot \triangleleft \cdot \triangleright_R / \cdot) \ [52,0,53] \ 52)$

**translations**

$\text{-cond-srea} \ P \ b \ Q == \text{CONST cond-srea} \ P \ b \ Q$

**lemma** *st-cond-assigns* [rpred]:

$\langle \sigma \rangle_r \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_r = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright_s \varrho \rangle_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *cond-srea-RR-closed* [closure]:

**assumes**  $P \text{ is } RR \ Q \text{ is } RR$

**shows**  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } RR$

**proof** –

**have**  $RR(RR(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R RR(Q)) = RR(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R RR(Q)$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2)*)

**qed**

**lemma** *cond-srea-RC1-closed*:

**assumes**  $P \text{ is } RC1 \ Q \text{ is } RC1$

**shows**  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } RC1$

**proof** –

**have**  $RC1(RC1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R RC1(Q)) = RC1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R RC1(Q)$

**using** *dual-order.trans* **by** (*rel-blast*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis Healthy-def' assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *cond-srea-RC-closed* [closure]:

**assumes**  $P \text{ is } RC \ Q \text{ is } RC$

**shows**  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } RC$

**by** (*rule RC-intro', simp-all add: closure cond-srea-RC1-closed RC-implies-RC1 assms*)

**lemma** *R4-cond* [rpred]:  $R4(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = (R4(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R4(Q))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *R5-cond* [rpred]:  $R5(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = (R5(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R5(Q))$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-rename-cond* [rpred]:  $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r = P(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q(\llbracket f \rrbracket)_r$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

### 6.3.4 Assumptions

**definition** *rea-assume* ::  $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp } ([\cdot]^\top_r)$  **where**  
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: [b]^\top_r = (II_r \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{false})$

**lemma** *rea-assume-RR* [closure]:  $[b]^\top_r \text{ is } RR$

**by** (*simp add: rea-assume-def closure*)

**lemma** *rea-assume-false* [rpred]:  $[\text{false}]^\top_r = \text{false}$

**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-assume-true* [rpred]:  $[\text{true}]^\top_r = II_r$

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *rea-assume-comp* [rpred]:  $[b]^\top_r \mathrel{;;} [c]^\top_r = [b \wedge c]^\top_r$   
by (rel-auto)

### 6.3.5 State Abstraction

We introduce state abstraction by creating some lens functors that allow us to lift a lens on the state-space to one on the whole stateful reactive alphabet.

**definition**  $lmap_R :: ('a \implies 'b) \Rightarrow ('t::trace, 'a) \text{ } rp \implies ('t, 'b) \text{ } rp$  **where**  
[lens-defs]:  $lmap_R = lmap_D \circ lmap[rp\text{-}vars]$

**definition**  $map\text{-}rsp\text{-}st ::$   
 $('σ \Rightarrow 'τ) \Rightarrow$   
 $('σ, 'α) \text{ } rsp\text{-}vars\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow ('τ, 'α) \text{ } rsp\text{-}vars\text{-}scheme$  **where**  
[lens-defs]:  $map\text{-}rsp\text{-}st \text{ } f = (\lambda r. \langle st_v = f(st_v \text{ } r), \dots = rsp\text{-}vars.more \text{ } r \rangle)$

**definition**  $map\text{-}st\text{-}lens ::$   
 $('σ \implies 'ψ) \Rightarrow$   
 $((('σ, 'τ::trace, 'α) \text{ } rsp \implies ('ψ, 'τ::trace, 'α) \text{ } rsp) \text{ } (map'\text{-}st_L) \text{ } \textbf{where}$   
[lens-defs]:  
 $map\text{-}st\text{-}lens \text{ } l = lmap_R \text{ } \langle$   
 $\text{ } lens\text{-}get = map\text{-}rsp\text{-}st \text{ } (get_l),$   
 $\text{ } lens\text{-}put = map\text{-}rsp\text{-}st \text{ } o \text{ } (put_l) \text{ } o \text{ } rsp\text{-}vars.st_v \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}set\text{-}vwb$  [simp]:  $vwb\text{-}lens \text{ } X \implies vwb\text{-}lens \text{ } (map\text{-}st_L \text{ } X)$   
**apply** (unfold-locales, simp-all add: lens-defs)  
**apply** (metis des-vars.surjective rp-vars.surjective rsp-vars.surjective)+  
**done**

**syntax**

-map-st-lens ::  $logic \Rightarrow salpha \text{ } (map'\text{-}st_L[-])$

**translations**

-map-st-lens  $a \Rightarrow CONST \text{ } map\text{-}st\text{-}lens \text{ } a$

**abbreviation**  $abs\text{-}st_L \equiv (map\text{-}st_L \text{ } 0_L) \times_L (map\text{-}st_L \text{ } 0_L)$

**abbreviation**  $abs\text{-}st \text{ } (\langle - \rangle_S)$  **where**

$abs\text{-}st \text{ } P \equiv P \mid_e abs\text{-}st_L$

**lemma** *rea-impl-aext-st* [alpha]:  
 $(P \Rightarrow_r Q) \oplus_r map\text{-}st_L[a] = (P \oplus_r map\text{-}st_L[a] \Rightarrow_r Q \oplus_r map\text{-}st_L[a])$   
by (rel-auto)

**lemma** *rea-true-ext-st* [alpha]:

$true_r \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L = true_r$

by (rel-auto)

### 6.3.6 Reactive Frames and Extensions

**definition**  $rea\text{-}frame :: ('α \implies 'β) \Rightarrow ('β, 't::trace, 'r) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp \Rightarrow ('β, 't, 'r) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp$  **where**  
[upred-defs]:  $rea\text{-}frame \text{ } x \text{ } P = frame \text{ } (ok +_L wait +_L tr +_L (x ;_L st) +_L \Sigma_S) \text{ } P$

**definition**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext :: ('α \implies 'β) \Rightarrow ('α, 't::trace, 'r) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp \Rightarrow ('β, 't, 'r) \text{ } hrel\text{-}rsp$  **where**

[upred-defs]:  $\text{rea-frame-ext } a \ P = \text{rea-frame } a \ (P \oplus_r \text{map-st}_L[a])$

#### **syntax**

-*rea-frame*     ::  $\text{salpha} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (-:[-]_r \ [99,0] \ 100)$   
-*rea-frame-ext* ::  $\text{salpha} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (-:[-]_r^+ \ [99,0] \ 100)$

#### **translations**

-*rea-frame*  $x \ P \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{rea-frame } x \ P$   
-*rea-frame*  $(\text{-salphaset } (\text{-salphamk } x)) \ P \leq \text{CONST } \text{rea-frame } x \ P$   
-*rea-frame-ext*  $x \ P \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{rea-frame-ext } x \ P$   
-*rea-frame-ext*  $(\text{-salphaset } (\text{-salphamk } x)) \ P \leq \text{CONST } \text{rea-frame-ext } x \ P$

**lemma** *rea-frame-R1-closed* [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is  $R1$   
shows  $x:[P]_r$  is  $R1$

**proof** –

have  $R1(x:[R1 \ P]_r) = x:[R1 \ P]_r$   
by (*rel-auto*)  
thus ?thesis  
by (*metis Healthy-if Healthy-intro assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-frame-R2-closed* [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is  $R2$   
shows  $x:[P]_r$  is  $R2$

**proof** –

have  $R2(x:[R2 \ P]_r) = x:[R2 \ P]_r$   
by (*rel-auto*)  
thus ?thesis  
by (*metis Healthy-if Healthy-intro assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-frame-RR-closed* [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is  $RR$   
shows  $x:[P]_r$  is  $RR$

**proof** –

have  $RR(x:[RR \ P]_r) = x:[RR \ P]_r$   
by (*rel-auto*)  
thus ?thesis  
by (*metis Healthy-if Healthy-intro assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-aext-R1* [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is  $R1$   
shows  $\text{rel-aext } P \ (\text{map-st}_L \ x)$  is  $R1$

**proof** –

have  $\text{rel-aext } (R1 \ P) \ (\text{map-st}_L \ x)$  is  $R1$   
by (*rel-auto*)  
thus ?thesis  
by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-aext-R2* [closure]:

assumes  $P$  is  $R2$   
shows  $\text{rel-aext } P \ (\text{map-st}_L \ x)$  is  $R2$

**proof** –

**have**  $rel\text{-}aext\ (R2\ P)\ (map\text{-}st_L\ x)\ is\ R2$   
  **by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$   
  **thus**  $?thesis$   
  **by**  $(simp\ add:\ Healthy\text{-}if\ assms)$

**qed**

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}aext\text{-}RR\ [closure]:$

**assumes**  $P\ is\ RR$   
  **shows**  $rel\text{-}aext\ P\ (map\text{-}st_L\ x)\ is\ RR$

**proof** –

**have**  $rel\text{-}aext\ (RR\ P)\ (map\text{-}st_L\ x)\ is\ RR$   
  **by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$   
  **thus**  $?thesis$   
  **by**  $(simp\ add:\ Healthy\text{-}if\ assms)$

**qed**

**lemma**  $true\text{-}rea\text{-}map\text{-}st\ [alpha]:\ (R1\ true\ \oplus_r\ map\text{-}st_L[a]) = R1\ true$

**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}R1\text{-}closed\ [closure]:$

$P\ is\ R1 \implies x:[P]_r^+ \ is\ R1$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}def\ closure)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}R2\text{-}closed\ [closure]:$

$P\ is\ R2 \implies x:[P]_r^+ \ is\ R2$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}def\ closure)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}RR\text{-}closed\ [closure]:$

$P\ is\ RR \implies x:[P]_r^+ \ is\ RR$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}def\ closure)$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}aext\text{-}st\text{-}Instant\text{-}closed\ [closure]:$

$P\ is\ Instant \implies rel\text{-}aext\ P\ (map\text{-}st_L\ x)\ is\ Instant$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}false\ [frame]:$

$x:[false]_r^+ = false$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}skip\ [frame]:$

$vwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies x:[II]_r^+ = II_r$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}assigns\ [frame]:$

$vwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies x:[\langle\sigma\rangle_r]_r^+ = \langle\sigma \oplus_s x\rangle_r$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}cond\ [frame]:$

$x:[P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q]_r^+ = x:[P]_r^+ \triangleleft (b \oplus_p x) \triangleright_R x:[Q]_r^+$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$

**lemma**  $rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}seq\ [frame]:$

$vwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies x:[P ;; Q]_r^+ = x:[P]_r^+ ;; x:[Q]_r^+$   
**apply**  $(simp\ add:\ rea\text{-}frame\text{-}ext\text{-}def\ rea\text{-}frame\text{-}def\ alpha\ frame)$

**apply** (*subst frame-seq*)  
**apply** (*simp-all add: plus-vwb-lens closure*)  
**apply** (*rel-auto*) +  
**done**

**lemma** *rea-frame-ext-subst-indep* [*usubst*]:

**assumes**  $x \bowtie y \Sigma \# v P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $\sigma(y \mapsto_s v) \uparrow_S x:[P]_r^+ = (\sigma \uparrow_S x:[P]_r^+) ;; y :=_r v$

**proof** –

**from** *assms(1-2)* **have**  $\sigma(y \mapsto_s v) \uparrow_S x:[RR P]_r^+ = (\sigma \uparrow_S x:[RR P]_r^+) ;; y :=_r v$   
**by** (*rel-auto, (metis (no-types, lifting) lens-indep.lens-put-comm lens-indep-get)*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-frame-ext-subst-within* [*usubst*]:

**assumes** *vwb-lens*  $x$  *vwb-lens*  $y \Sigma \# v P$  is *RR*  
**shows**  $\sigma(x:y \mapsto_s v) \uparrow_S x:[P]_r^+ = (\sigma \uparrow_S x:[y :=_r (v \downarrow_e x) ;; P]_r^+)$

**proof** –

**from** *assms(1,3)* **have**  $\sigma(x:y \mapsto_s v) \uparrow_S x:[RR P]_r^+ = (\sigma \uparrow_S x:[y :=_r (v \downarrow_e x) ;; RR(P)]_r^+)$   
**by** (*rel-auto, metis+*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

**qed**

**lemma** *rea-frame-ext-UINF-ind* [*frame*]:

$a:[\sqcap x \cdot P x]_r^+ = (\sqcap x \cdot a:[P x]_r^+)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-frame-ext-UINF-mem* [*frame*]:

$a:[\sqcap x \in A \cdot P x]_r^+ = (\sqcap x \in A \cdot a:[P x]_r^+)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

## 6.4 Stateful Reactive specifications

**definition** *rea-st-rel* ::  $'s \text{ hrel} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rsp } ([\cdot]_S)$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*: *rea-st-rel*  $b = ([b]_S \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr)$

**definition** *rea-st-rel'* ::  $'s \text{ hrel} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rsp } ([\cdot]_S')$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*: *rea-st-rel'*  $b = R1([\cdot]_S)$

**definition** *rea-st-cond* ::  $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rsp } ([\cdot]_{S<})$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*: *rea-st-cond*  $b = R1([\cdot]_{S<})$

**definition** *rea-st-post* ::  $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rsp } ([\cdot]_{S>})$  **where**  
*[upred-defs]*: *rea-st-post*  $b = R1([\cdot]_{S>})$

**lemma** *lift-state-pre-unrest* [*unrest*]:  $x \bowtie (\$st)_v \Longrightarrow x \# [P]_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-simp, simp add: lens-indep-def*)

**lemma** *rea-st-rel-unrest* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v; x \bowtie (\$st')_v \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# [P]_{S<}$   
**by** (*simp add: add: rea-st-cond-def R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-unrest* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket x \bowtie (\$tr)_v; x \bowtie (\$tr')_v; x \bowtie (\$st)_v \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# [P]_{S<}$

**by** (*simp add: add: rea-st-cond-def R1-def unrest lens-indep-sym*)

**lemma** *subst-st-cond* [*usubst*]:  $[\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger [P]_{S<} = [\sigma \dagger P]_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-R1* [*closure*]:  $[b]_{S<}$  is *R1*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-R2c* [*closure*]:  $[b]_{S<}$  is *R2c*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-rel-RR* [*closure*]:  $[P]_S$  is *RR*  
**using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-rel'-RR* [*closure*]:  $[P]_{S'}$  is *RR*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-post-RR* [*closure*]:  $[b]_{S>}$  is *RR*  
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-subst-rel* [*usubst*]:  
 $\sigma \dagger_S [P]_S = [[\sigma]_s \dagger P]_S$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-cond* [*rpred*]:  
 $[P \triangleleft b \triangleright_r Q]_S = [P]_S \triangleleft b \triangleright_R [Q]_S$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-false* [*rpred*]:  $[false]_S = false$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-skip* [*rpred*]:  
 $[II]_S = (II_r :: ('s, 't::trace) rdes)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-seq* [*rpred*]:  
 $[P ;; Q]_S = [P]_S ;; [Q]_S$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-conj* [*rpred*]:  
 $[P \wedge Q]_S = ([P]_S \wedge [Q]_S)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-RR* [*closure*]:  $[b]_{S<}$  is *RR*  
**by** (*rule RR-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-RC* [*closure*]:  $[b]_{S<}$  is *RC*  
**by** (*rule RC-intro, simp add: closure, rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-true* [*rpred*]:  $[true]_{S<} = true_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *rea-st-cond-false* [*rpred*]:  $[false]_{S<} = false$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-cond-not* [*rpred*]:  $(\neg_r [P]_{S<}) = [\neg P]_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-cond-conj* [*rpred*]:  $([P]_{S<} \wedge [Q]_{S<}) = [P \wedge Q]_{S<}$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *st-rel-assigns* [*rpred*]:  
 $[\langle \sigma \rangle_a]_S = (\langle \sigma \rangle_r :: (' \alpha, 't::trace) rdes)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *cond-st-distr*:  $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) ;; R = (P ;; R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q ;; R)$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *cond-st-miracle* [*rpred*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R false = ([b]_{S<} \wedge P)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *cond-st-true* [*rpred*]:  $P \triangleleft true \triangleright_R Q = P$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *cond-st-false* [*rpred*]:  $P \triangleleft false \triangleright_R Q = Q$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *st-cond-true-or* [*rpred*]:  $P \text{ is } R1 \implies (R1 \text{ true } \triangleleft b \triangleright_R P) = ([b]_{S<} \vee P)$   
**by** (*rel-blast*)

**lemma** *st-cond-left-impl-RC-closed* [*closure*]:  
 $P \text{ is } RC \implies ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \text{ is } RC$   
**by** (*simp add: rea-impl-def rpred closure*)

**end**

## 7 Reactive Weakest Preconditions

**theory** *utp-rea-wp*  
**imports** *utp-rea-prog*  
**begin**

Here, we create a weakest precondition calculus for reactive relations, using the recast boolean algebra and relational operators. Please see our journal paper [3] for more information.

**definition** *wp-rea* ::  
 $('t::trace, ' \alpha) hrel\text{-}rp \Rightarrow$   
 $('t, ' \alpha) hrel\text{-}rp \Rightarrow$   
 $('t, ' \alpha) hrel\text{-}rp \text{ (infix } wp_r \text{ } 60)$   
**where** [*upred-defs*]:  $P wp_r Q = (\neg_r P ;; (\neg_r Q))$

**lemma** *in-var-unrest-wp-rea* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \$x \# P; tr \bowtie x \rrbracket \implies \$x \# (P wp_r Q)$   
**by** (*simp add: wp-rea-def unrest R1-def rea-not-def*)

**lemma** *out-var-unrest-wp-rea* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \$x' \# Q; tr \bowtie x \rrbracket \implies \$x' \# (P wp_r Q)$   
**by** (*simp add: wp-rea-def unrest R1-def rea-not-def*)

**lemma** *wp-rea-R1* [*closure*]:  $P wp_r Q \text{ is } R1$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *wp-rea-RR-closed* [*closure*]:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies P wp_r Q \text{ is } RR$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def closure)

**lemma** wp-rea-impl-lemma:

$((P \text{ wp}_r Q) \Rightarrow_r (R1(P) ;; R1(Q \Rightarrow_r R))) = ((P \text{ wp}_r Q) \Rightarrow_r (R1(P) ;; R1(R)))$   
 by (rel-auto, blast)

**lemma** wpR-impl-post-spec:

assumes  $P$  is  $RR$

shows  $(P \text{ wp}_r Q_1 \Rightarrow_r (P ;; (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))) = (P ;; (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))$

by (simp add: R1-seqr-closure RR-implies-R1 assms rea-impl-def rea-not-R1 rea-not-not seqr-or-distr wp-rea-def)

**lemma** wpR-R1-right [wp]:

$P \text{ wp}_r R1(Q) = P \text{ wp}_r Q$

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** wp-rea-true [wp]:  $P \text{ wp}_r \text{true} = \text{true}_r$

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** wp-rea-conj [wp]:  $P \text{ wp}_r (Q \wedge R) = (P \text{ wp}_r Q \wedge P \text{ wp}_r R)$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def seqr-or-distr)

**lemma** wp-rea-USUP-mem [wp]:

$A \neq \{\} \implies P \text{ wp}_r (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} Q(i)) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in A} P \text{ wp}_r Q(i))$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def seq-UNIF-distl)

**lemma** wp-rea-Inf-pre [wp]:

$P \text{ wp}_r (\bigsqcup_{i \in \{0..n::\text{nat}\}} Q(i)) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in \{0..n\}} P \text{ wp}_r Q(i))$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def seq-SUP-distl)

**lemma** wp-rea-div [wp]:

$(\neg_r P ;; \text{true}_r) = \text{true}_r \implies \text{true}_r \text{ wp}_r P = \text{false}$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred, rel-blast)

**lemma** wp-rea-st-cond-div [wp]:

$P \neq \text{true} \implies \text{true}_r \text{ wp}_r [P]_{S<} = \text{false}$

by (rel-auto)

**lemma** wp-rea-cond [wp]:

$\text{out}\alpha \nmid b \implies (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \text{ wp}_r R = P \text{ wp}_r R \triangleleft b \triangleright Q \text{ wp}_r R$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def cond-seq-left-distr, rel-auto)

**lemma** wp-rea-RC-false [wp]:

$P \text{ is } RC \implies (\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{false} = P$

by (metis Healthy-if RC1-def RC-implies-RC1 rea-not-false wp-rea-def)

**lemma** wp-rea-seq [wp]:

assumes  $Q$  is  $R1$

shows  $(P ;; Q) \text{ wp}_r R = P \text{ wp}_r (Q \text{ wp}_r R)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

**proof** –

have ?rhs =  $R1 (\neg P ;; R1 (Q ;; R1 (\neg R)))$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def rea-not-def R1-negate-R1 assms)

also have ... =  $R1 (\neg P ;; (Q ;; R1 (\neg R)))$

by (metis Healthy-if R1-seqr assms)

also have ... =  $R1 (\neg (P ;; Q) ;; R1 (\neg R))$



by (simp add: segr-assoc)  
 finally show ?thesis  
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rea-not-def)  
 qed

**lemma** wp-rea-skip [wp]:  
 assumes  $Q$  is  $R1$   
 shows  $II\ wp_r\ Q = Q$   
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms Healthy-if)

**lemma** wp-rea-rea-skip [wp]:  
 assumes  $Q$  is  $RR$   
 shows  $II_r\ wp_r\ Q = Q$   
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred closure assms Healthy-if)

**lemma** power-wp-rea-RR-closed [closure]:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ is } RR; P \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies R \hat{\ } i\ wp_r\ P \text{ is } RR$   
 by (induct i, simp-all add: wp closure)

**lemma** wp-rea-rea-assigns [wp]:  
 assumes  $P$  is  $RR$   
 shows  $\langle \sigma \rangle_r\ wp_r\ P = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$   
**proof** –  
 have  $\langle \sigma \rangle_r\ wp_r\ (RR\ P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (RR\ P)$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 thus ?thesis  
 by (metis Healthy-def assms)  
 qed

**lemma** wp-rea-miracle [wp]:  $false\ wp_r\ Q = true_r$   
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def)

**lemma** wp-rea-disj [wp]:  $(P \vee Q)\ wp_r\ R = (P\ wp_r\ R \wedge Q\ wp_r\ R)$   
 by (rel-blast)

**lemma** wp-rea-UINF [wp]:  
 assumes  $A \neq \{\}$   
 shows  $(\bigcap x \in A \cdot P(x))\ wp_r\ Q = (\bigcap x \in A \cdot P(x)\ wp_r\ Q)$   
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rea-not-def seq-UINF-distr not-UINF R1-UINF assms)

**lemma** wp-rea-choice [wp]:  
 $(P \sqcap Q)\ wp_r\ R = (P\ wp_r\ R \wedge Q\ wp_r\ R)$   
 by (rel-blast)

**lemma** wp-rea-UINF-ind [wp]:  
 $(\bigcap i \cdot P(i))\ wp_r\ Q = (\bigcap i \cdot P(i)\ wp_r\ Q)$   
 by (simp add: wp-rea-def rea-not-def seq-UINF-distr' not-UINF-ind R1-UINF-ind)

**lemma** rea-assume-wp [wp]:  
 assumes  $P$  is  $RC$   
 shows  $([b]^\top_r\ wp_r\ P) = ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P)$   
**proof** –  
 have  $([b]^\top_r\ wp_r\ RC\ P) = ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r RC\ P)$   
 by (rel-auto)  
 thus ?thesis

by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)  
qed

lemma *rea-star-wp* [wp]:

assumes *P* is *RR* *Q* is *RR*

shows  $P^{*r} \text{ wp}_r Q = (\bigsqcup i \cdot P \hat{~} i \text{ wp}_r Q)$

proof –

have  $P^{*r} \text{ wp}_r Q = (Q \wedge P^+ \text{ wp}_r Q)$

by (simp add: assms rrel-thy.Star-alt-def wp-rea-choice wp-rea-rea-skip)

also have ... =  $(II \text{ wp}_r Q \wedge (\bigsqcup i \cdot P \hat{~} \text{Suc } i \text{ wp}_r Q))$

by (simp add: uplus-power-def wp closure assms)

also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup i \cdot P \hat{~} i \text{ wp}_r Q)$

proof –

have  $P^* \text{ wp}_r Q = P^{*r} \text{ wp}_r Q$

by (metis (no-types) RA1 assms(2) rea-no-RR rea-skip-unit(2) rrel-thy.Star-def wp-rea-def)

then show ?thesis

by (simp add: calculation ustar-def wp-rea-UINF-ind)

qed

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *wp-rea-R2-closed* [closure]:

$\llbracket P \text{ is } R2; Q \text{ is } R2 \rrbracket \implies P \text{ wp}_r Q \text{ is } R2$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def closure)

lemma *wp-rea-false-RC1'*:  $P \text{ is } R2 \implies RC1(P \text{ wp}_r \text{false}) = P \text{ wp}_r \text{false}$

by (simp add: wp-rea-def RC1-def closure rpred seqr-assoc)

lemma *wp-rea-false-RC1*:  $P \text{ is } R2 \implies P \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \text{ is } RC1$

by (simp add: Healthy-def wp-rea-false-RC1')

lemma *wp-rea-false-RR*:

$\llbracket \$ok \# P; \$wait \# P; P \text{ is } R2 \rrbracket \implies P \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \text{ is } RR$

by (rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest closure)

lemma *wp-rea-false-RC*:

$\llbracket \$ok \# P; \$wait \# P; P \text{ is } R2 \rrbracket \implies P \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \text{ is } RC$

by (rule RC-intro', simp-all add: wp-rea-false-RC1 wp-rea-false-RR)

lemma *wp-rea-RC1*:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RC \rrbracket \implies P \text{ wp}_r Q \text{ is } RC1$

by (rule Healthy-intro, simp add: wp-rea-def RC1-def rpred closure seqr-assoc RC1-prop RC-implies-RC1)

lemma *wp-rea-RC* [closure]:  $\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; Q \text{ is } RC \rrbracket \implies P \text{ wp}_r Q \text{ is } RC$

by (rule RC-intro', simp-all add: wp-rea-RC1 closure)

lemma *wpR-power-RC-closed* [closure]:

assumes *P* is *RR* *Q* is *RC*

shows  $P \hat{~} i \text{ wp}_r Q \text{ is } RC$

by (metis RC-implies-RR RR-implies-R1 assms power.power-eq-if power-Suc-RR-closed wp-rea-RC wp-rea-skip)

end

## 8 Reactive Hoare Logic

**theory** *utp-rea-hoare*  
**imports** *utp-rea-prog*  
**begin**

**definition** *hoare-rp* ::  $'\alpha \text{ upred} \Rightarrow (' \alpha, \text{real pos}) \text{ rdes} \Rightarrow ' \alpha \text{ upred} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (\{\!\!-\!\!\} / - / \{\!\!-\!\!\}_r)$  **where**  
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: \text{hoare-rp } p \ Q \ r = (([p]_{S<} \Rightarrow [r]_{S>}) \sqsubseteq Q)$

**lemma** *hoare-rp-conseq*:  
 $\llbracket 'p \Rightarrow p'; 'q' \Rightarrow q'; \{\!\!p'\!\!\} S \{\!\!q'\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} S \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *hoare-rp-weaken*:  
 $\llbracket 'p \Rightarrow p'; \{\!\!p'\!\!\} S \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} S \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *hoare-rp-strengthen*:  
 $\llbracket 'q' \Rightarrow q'; \{\!\!p\!\!\} S \{\!\!q'\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} S \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *false-pre-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  $\{\!\!false\!\!\} P \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *true-post-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  $\{\!\!p\!\!\} Q \{\!\!true\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *miracle-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  $\{\!\!p\!\!\} false \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *assigns-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  $'p \Rightarrow \sigma \dagger q' \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} \langle \sigma \rangle_r \{\!\!q\!\!\}_r$   
**by** *rel-auto*

**lemma** *skip-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  $\{\!\!p\!\!\} II_r \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r$   
**by** *rel-auto*

**lemma** *seq-hoare-rp*:  $\llbracket \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_1 \{\!\!s\!\!\}_r ; \{\!\!s\!\!\} Q_2 \{\!\!r\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_1 ;; Q_2 \{\!\!r\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *seq-est-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\llbracket \{\!\!true\!\!\} Q_1 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r ; \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_2 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!true\!\!\} Q_1 ;; Q_2 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *seq-inv-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\llbracket \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_1 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r ; \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_2 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q_1 ;; Q_2 \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *cond-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\llbracket \{\!\!b \wedge p\!\!\} P \{\!\!r\!\!\}_r ; \{\!\!\neg b \wedge p\!\!\} Q \{\!\!r\!\!\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \{\!\!r\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *repeat-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\{\!\!p\!\!\} Q \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r \Longrightarrow \{\!\!p\!\!\} Q \hat{\ } n \{\!\!p\!\!\}_r$   
**by** (*induct n, rel-auto+*)

**lemma** *UINF-ind-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:

$\llbracket \bigwedge i. \{p\} Q(i) \{r\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{p\} \sqcap i \cdot Q(i) \{r\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *star-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\{p\} Q \{p\}_r \Longrightarrow \{p\} Q^* \{p\}_r$   
**by** (*simp add: ustar-def hoare-safe*)

**lemma** *conj-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\llbracket \{p_1\} Q_1 \{r_1\}_r; \{p_2\} Q_2 \{r_2\}_r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \{p_1 \wedge p_2\} Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \{r_1 \wedge r_2\}_r$   
**by** (*rel-auto*)

**lemma** *iter-hoare-rp* [*hoare-safe*]:  
 $\{I\} P \{I\}_r \Longrightarrow \{I\} P^{*r} \{I\}_r$   
**by** (*simp add: star-hoare-rp utp-star-def rrel-unit-def seq-inv-hoare-rp skip-hoare-rp*)

**end**

## 9 Meta-theory for Generalised Reactive Processes

**theory** *utp-reactive*  
**imports**  
*utp-rea-core*  
*utp-rea-healths*  
*utp-rea-parallel*  
*utp-rea-rel*  
*utp-rea-cond*  
*utp-rea-prog*  
*utp-rea-wp*  
*utp-rea-hoare*  
**begin end**

## References

- [1] A. Butterfield, P. Gancarski, and J. Woodcock. State visibility and communication in unifying theories of programming. *Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering*, 0:47–54, 2009.
- [2] A. Cavalcanti and J. Woodcock. A tutorial introduction to CSP in unifying theories of programming. In *Refinement Techniques in Software Engineering*, volume 3167 of *LNCS*, pages 220–268. Springer, 2006.
- [3] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233>.
- [4] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of time with generalised reactive processes. *Information Processing Letters*, 135:47–52, July 2018. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10213>.
- [5] T. Hoare and J. He. *Unifying Theories of Programming*. Prentice-Hall, 1998.