

Mathematical Toolkit for Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster

Pedro Ribeiro

Frank Zeyda

April 20, 2018

Abstract

This document describes our mathematical toolkit for Isabelle/UTP, which provides a foundational collection of definition, theorems, and proof facilities. This includes extensions to existing HOL libraries, such as for list and partial functions, and also new type definitions, theorems, and Isabelle/HOL commands.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Lists: extra functions and properties	3
2.1	Useful Abbreviations	4
2.2	List Lookup	4
2.3	Extra List Theorems	4
2.3.1	Map	4
2.3.2	Sorted Lists	4
2.3.3	List Update	7
2.3.4	Drop While and Take While	7
2.3.5	Last and But Last	8
2.3.6	Prefixes and Strict Prefixes	9
2.3.7	Lexicographic Order	12
2.4	Distributed Concatenation	13
2.5	List Domain and Range	14
2.6	Extracting List Elements	14
2.7	Filtering a list according to a set	16
2.8	Minus on lists	16
3	Infinite Sequences	18
4	Finite Sets: extra functions and properties	24
5	Countable Sets: Extra functions and properties	29
5.1	Extra syntax	29
5.2	Countable set functions	29
6	Map Type: extra functions and properties	35
6.1	Functional Relations	35
6.2	Graphing Maps	36
6.3	Map Application	38

6.4	Map Membership	38
6.5	Preimage	38
6.6	Minus operation for maps	39
6.7	Map Bind	39
6.8	Range Restriction	39
6.9	Map Inverse and Identity	40
6.10	Merging of compatible maps	47
6.11	Conversion between lists and maps	48
6.12	Map Comprehension	49
6.13	Sorted lists from maps	49
6.14	Extra map lemmas	50
7	Alternative List Lexicographic Order	50
8	Partial Functions	51
8.1	Partial function type and operations	51
8.2	Algebraic laws	53
8.3	Membership, application, and update	54
8.4	Domain laws	55
8.5	Range laws	56
8.6	Domain restriction laws	56
8.7	Range restriction laws	57
8.8	Graph laws	58
8.9	Entries	58
8.10	Summation	58
9	Finite Functions	59
9.1	Finite function type and operations	59
9.2	Algebraic laws	61
9.3	Membership, application, and update	62
9.4	Domain laws	63
9.5	Range laws	64
9.6	Domain restriction laws	64
9.7	Range restriction laws	64
9.8	Graph laws	65
10	Infinity Supplement	65
10.1	Type class <i>infinite</i>	65
10.2	Infinity Theorems	65
10.3	Instantiations	67
11	Positive Subtypes	67
11.1	Type Definition	68
11.2	Operators	68
11.3	Instantiations	68
11.4	Theorems	70
11.5	Transfer to Reals	70

12 Recall Undeclarations	71
12.1 ML File Import	71
12.2 Outer Commands	71
13 Injection Universes	72
14 Trace Algebras	72
14.1 Ordered Semigroups	73
14.2 Monoid Subclasses	73
14.3 Trace Algebras	73
14.4 Models	77
15 Meta-theory for UTP Toolkit	78

1 Introduction

This document contains the description of our mathematical toolkit for Isabelle/UTP [2, 4, 5, 8], a mechanisation of Hoare and He’s *Unifying Theories of Programming* [6, 1]. The toolkit provides a foundational collection of additional HOL theorems, new abstract types, and proof facilities, upon which Isabelle/UTP depends. In brief, the toolkit contains the following principal items:

- additional laws and functions for the list, map (partial functions), countable set, and finite set types;
- type definitions for partial and finite functions, together with additional functions and laws derived from the Z mathematical toolkit [7];
- positive subtypes of existing types;
- trace algebras, which underlie generalised reactive processes in UTP [3];
- infinite sequences;
- injection universes;
- the “total recall” package, which allows us to precisely control overriding of existing syntax annotations.

A few other theories exist that add smaller utilities and additional laws.

2 Lists: extra functions and properties

```

theory List-Extra
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Sublist
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
  HOL-Library.Prefix-Order
begin

```

2.1 Useful Abbreviations

abbreviation $list\text{-}sum\ xs \equiv foldr\ (op\ +)\ xs\ 0$

2.2 List Lookup

The following variant of the standard nth function returns \perp if the index is out of range.

primrec

$nth\text{-}el :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ option\ (-\langle-\rangle_l\ [90,\ 0]\ 91)$

where

$\llbracket \langle i \rangle_l = None$

$\mid (x \# xs) \langle i \rangle_l = (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow Some\ x \mid Suc\ j \Rightarrow xs\ \langle j \rangle_l)$

lemma $nth\text{-}el\text{-}appendl[simp]: i < length\ xs \Longrightarrow (xs\ @\ ys) \langle i \rangle_l = xs \langle i \rangle_l$

apply $(induct\ xs\ arbitrary:\ i)$

apply $simp$

apply $(case\text{-}tac\ i)$

apply $simp\text{-}all$

done

lemma $nth\text{-}el\text{-}appendr[simp]: length\ xs \leq i \Longrightarrow (xs\ @\ ys) \langle i \rangle_l = ys \langle i - length\ xs \rangle_l$

apply $(induct\ xs\ arbitrary:\ i)$

apply $simp$

apply $(case\text{-}tac\ i)$

apply $simp\text{-}all$

done

2.3 Extra List Theorems

2.3.1 Map

lemma $map\text{-}nth\text{-}Cons\text{-}atLeastLessThan:$

$map\ (nth\ (x \# xs))\ [Suc\ m..<n] = map\ (nth\ xs)\ [m..<n - 1]$

proof $-$

have $nth\ xs = nth\ (x \# xs) \circ Suc$

by $auto$

hence $map\ (nth\ xs)\ [m..<n - 1] = map\ (nth\ (x \# xs) \circ Suc)\ [m..<n - 1]$

by $simp$

also have $\dots = map\ (nth\ (x \# xs))\ (map\ Suc\ [m..<n - 1])$

by $simp$

also have $\dots = map\ (nth\ (x \# xs))\ [Suc\ m..<n]$

by $(metis\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}1\ le\ 0\text{-}eq\ length\text{-}upt\ list.\text{simps}(8)\ list.\text{size}(3)\ map\text{-}Suc\text{-}upt\ not\text{-}less\ upt\ 0)$

finally show $?thesis\ ..$

qed

2.3.2 Sorted Lists

lemma $sorted\text{-}last\ [simp]: \llbracket x \in set\ xs; sorted\ xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \leq last\ xs$

apply $(induct\ xs)$

apply $(auto)$

apply $(metis\ last\text{-}in\text{-}set\ sorted\text{-}Cons)+$

done

lemma $sorted\text{-}map: \llbracket sorted\ xs; mono\ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sorted\ (map\ f\ xs)$

by $(simp\ add:\ monoD\ sorted\text{-}equals\text{-}nth\text{-}mono)$

```

lemma sorted-distinct [intro]:  $\llbracket \text{sorted } (xs); \text{distinct}(xs) \rrbracket \implies (\forall i < \text{length } xs - 1. xs[i] < xs[i + 1])$ 
  apply (induct xs)
  apply (auto)
  apply (metis Suc-mono distinct.simps(2) length-Cons lessI less-SucI less-le nth-Cons-Suc nth-eq-iff-index-eq
sorted-equals-nth-mono)
done

```

Is the given list a permutation of the given set?

definition is-sorted-list-of-set :: ('a::ord) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
is-sorted-list-of-set A xs = $((\forall i < \text{length}(xs) - 1. xs[i] < xs[i + 1])) \wedge \text{set}(xs) = A$)

```

lemma sorted-is-sorted-list-of-set:
  assumes is-sorted-list-of-set A xs
  shows sorted(xs) and distinct(xs)
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: A)
  show sorted []
    by auto
next
  show distinct []
    by auto
next
  fix A :: 'a set
  case (Cons x xs) note hyps = this
  assume isl: is-sorted-list-of-set A (x # xs)
  hence srt:  $(\forall i < \text{length } xs - \text{Suc } 0. xs[i] < xs[\text{Suc } i])$ 
    using less-diff-conv by (auto simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
  with hyps(1) have srt_d: sorted xs
    by (simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
  with isl show sorted (x # xs)
    apply (auto simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
    apply (metis length-pos-if-in-set less-imp-le nth-Cons-0 sorted.simps sorted-many sorted-single)
  done
  from srt hyps(2) have distinct xs
    by (simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
  with isl show distinct (x # xs)
proof -
  have  $(\forall n. \neg n < \text{length } (x \# xs) - 1 \vee (x \# xs)[n] < (x \# xs)[n + 1]) \wedge \text{set } (x \# xs) = A$ 
    by (meson is-sorted-list-of-set A (x # xs) is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis Nat.add-0-right One-nat-def distinct xs sorted (x # xs) add-Suc-right diff-Suc-Suc
diff-zero distinct.simps(2) insert-iff length-pos-if-in-set linorder-not-less list.set(2) list.simps(1) list.simps(3)
list.size(3) list.size(4) not-less-iff-gr-or-eq nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc sorted.cases)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma is-sorted-list-of-set-alt-def:
  is-sorted-list-of-set A xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  sorted (xs)  $\wedge$  distinct (xs)  $\wedge$  set(xs) = A
  apply (auto intro: sorted-is-sorted-list-of-set)
  apply (auto simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def)
  apply (metis Nat.add-0-right One-nat-def add-Suc-right sorted-distinct)
  done

```

definition sorted-list-of-set-alt :: ('a::ord) set \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
sorted-list-of-set-alt A =
(if (A = {}) then [] else (THE xs. is-sorted-list-of-set A xs))

lemma *is-sorted-list-of-set*:

finite A \implies *is-sorted-list-of-set A* (*sorted-list-of-set A*)

apply (*simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-def*)

apply (*metis One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc-right sorted-distinct sorted-list-of-set*)

done

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-other-def*:

finite A \implies *sorted-list-of-set(A)* = (*THE xs. sorted(xs) \wedge distinct(xs) \wedge set xs = A*)

apply (*rule sym*)

apply (*rule the-equality*)

apply (*auto*)

apply (*simp add: sorted-distinct-set-unique*)

done

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-alt* [*simp*]:

finite A \implies *sorted-list-of-set-alt(A)* = *sorted-list-of-set(A)*

apply (*rule sym*)

apply (*auto simp add: sorted-list-of-set-alt-def is-sorted-list-of-set-alt-def sorted-list-of-set-other-def*)

done

Sorting lists according to a relation

definition *is-sorted-list-of-set-by* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-sorted-list-of-set-by R A xs = ($(\forall i < \text{length}(xs) - 1. (xs!i, xs!(i + 1)) \in R) \wedge \text{set}(xs) = A$)

definition *sorted-list-of-set-by* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a list* **where**

sorted-list-of-set-by R A = (*THE xs. is-sorted-list-of-set-by R A xs*)

definition *fin-set-lexord* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a set rel* **where**

fin-set-lexord R = $\{(A, B). \text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } B \wedge$

$(\exists xs\ ys. \text{is-sorted-list-of-set-by } R\ A\ xs \wedge \text{is-sorted-list-of-set-by } R\ B\ ys$
 $\wedge (xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } R)\}$

lemma *is-sorted-list-of-set-by-mono*:

$\llbracket R \subseteq S; \text{is-sorted-list-of-set-by } R\ A\ xs \rrbracket \implies \text{is-sorted-list-of-set-by } S\ A\ xs$

by (*auto simp add: is-sorted-list-of-set-by-def*)

lemma *lexord-mono'*:

$\llbracket (\bigwedge x\ y. f\ x\ y \longrightarrow g\ x\ y); (xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } \{(x, y). f\ x\ y\} \rrbracket \implies (xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } \{(x, y). g\ x\ y\}$

by (*metis case-prodD case-prodI lexord-take-index-conv mem-Collect-eq*)

lemma *fin-set-lexord-mono* [*mono*]:

$(\bigwedge x\ y. f\ x\ y \longrightarrow g\ x\ y) \implies (xs, ys) \in \text{fin-set-lexord } \{(x, y). f\ x\ y\} \longrightarrow (xs, ys) \in \text{fin-set-lexord } \{(x, y). g\ x\ y\}$

proof

assume

fin: $(xs, ys) \in \text{fin-set-lexord } \{(x, y). f\ x\ y\}$ **and**

hyp: $(\bigwedge x\ y. f\ x\ y \longrightarrow g\ x\ y)$

from *fin* **have** *finite xs finite ys*

using *fin-set-lexord-def* **by** *fastforce+*

with *fin hyp* **show** $(xs, ys) \in \text{fin-set-lexord } \{(x, y). g\ x\ y\}$

apply (*auto simp add: fin-set-lexord-def*)

apply (*rename-tac xs' ys'*)

```

  apply (rule-tac x=xs' in exI)
  apply (auto)
  apply (metis case-prodD case-prodI is-sorted-list-of-set-by-def mem-Collect-eq)
  apply (metis case-prodD case-prodI is-sorted-list-of-set-by-def lexord-mono' mem-Collect-eq)
  done
qed

```

definition *distincts* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a list set **where**
distincts A = {xs \in lists A. *distinct*(xs)}

lemma *tl-element*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{set } xs; x \neq \text{hd}(xs) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{set}(\text{tl}(xs))$
by (metis in-set-insert insert-Nil list.collapse list.distinct(2) set-ConsD)

2.3.3 List Update

lemma *listsum-update*:
fixes *xs* :: 'a::ring list
assumes *i* < length *xs*
shows list-sum (xs[i := v]) = list-sum xs - xs ! i + v
using *assms* **proof** (induct xs arbitrary: i)
 case Nil
 then show ?case **by** (simp)
next
 case (Cons a xs)
 then show ?case
proof (cases i)
 case 0
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: add.commute)
next
 case (Suc i')
 with Cons show ?thesis
 by (auto)
qed
qed

2.3.4 Drop While and Take While

lemma *dropWhile-sorted-le-above*:
 $\llbracket \text{sorted } xs; x \in \text{set } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda x. x \leq n) xs) \rrbracket \implies x > n$
apply (induct xs)
apply (auto)
apply (rename-tac a xs)
apply (case-tac a \leq n)
apply (simp-all)
using sorted-Cons **apply** blast
apply (meson dual-order.trans not-less sorted-Cons)
done

lemma *set-dropWhile-le*:
 $\text{sorted } xs \implies \text{set } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda x. x \leq n) xs) = \{x \in \text{set } xs. x > n\}$
apply (induct xs)
apply (simp)
apply (rename-tac x xs)
apply (subgoal-tac sorted xs)

```

  apply (simp)
  apply (safe)
    apply (simp-all)
  apply (meson not-less order-trans sorted-Cons)
  using sorted-Cons apply auto
done

```

```

lemma set-takeWhile-less-sorted:
   $\llbracket \text{sorted } I; x \in \text{set } I; x < n \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{set } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x < n) I)$ 
proof (induct I arbitrary: x)
  case Nil thus ?case
    by (simp)
next
  case (Cons a I) thus ?case
    by (auto, (meson le-less-trans sorted-Cons)+)
qed

```

```

lemma nth-le-takeWhile-ord:  $\llbracket \text{sorted } xs; i \geq \text{length } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x \leq n) xs); i < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $n \leq xs ! i$ 
  apply (induct xs arbitrary: i, auto)
  apply (rename-tac x xs i)
  apply (case-tac x  $\leq n$ )
  apply (auto simp add: sorted-Cons)
  apply (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 le-less-linear le-less-trans less-imp-le list.size(4) nth-mem
set-ConsD)
  done

```

```

lemma length-takeWhile-less:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{set } xs; \neg P a \rrbracket \implies \text{length } (\text{takeWhile } P xs) < \text{length } xs$ 
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-takeWhile-le nat-neq-iff not-less set-takeWhileD takeWhile-nth)

```

```

lemma nth-length-takeWhile-less:
   $\llbracket \text{sorted } xs; \text{distinct } xs; (\exists a \in \text{set } xs. a \geq n) \rrbracket \implies xs ! \text{length } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x < n) xs) \geq n$ 
  apply (induct xs, auto)
  using sorted-Cons apply blast
  done

```

2.3.5 Last and But Last

```

lemma length-gt-zero-butlast-concat:
  assumes length ys > 0
  shows butlast (xs @ ys) = xs @ (butlast ys)
  using assms by (metis butlast-append length-greater-0-conv)

```

```

lemma length-eq-zero-butlast-concat:
  assumes length ys = 0
  shows butlast (xs @ ys) = butlast xs
  using assms by (metis append-Nil2 length-0-conv)

```

```

lemma butlast-single-element:
  shows butlast [e] = []
  by (metis butlast.simps(2))

```

```

lemma last-single-element:
  shows last [e] = e
  by (metis last.simps)

```


lemma *length-zero-last-concat*:
assumes *length t = 0*
shows *last (s @ t) = last s*
by (*metis append-Nil2 assms length-0-conv*)

lemma *length-gt-zero-last-concat*:
assumes *length t > 0*
shows *last (s @ t) = last t*
by (*metis assms last-append length-greater-0-conv*)

2.3.6 Prefixes and Strict Prefixes

lemma *prefix-length-eq*:
 $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; \text{prefix } xs \text{ } ys \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$
by (*metis not-equal-is-parallel parallel-def*)

lemma *prefix-Cons-elim* [*elim*]:
assumes *prefix (x # xs) ys*
obtains *ys' where ys = x # ys' prefix xs ys'*
using *assms*
by (*metis append-Cons prefix-def*)

lemma *prefix-map-inj*:
 $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \text{ (set } xs \cup \text{set } ys); \text{prefix (map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ (map } f \text{ } ys) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prefix } xs \text{ } ys$
apply (*induct xs arbitrary:ys*)
apply (*simp-all*)
apply (*erule prefix-Cons-elim*)
apply (*auto*)
apply (*metis image-insert insertI1 insert-Diff-if singletonE*)
done

lemma *prefix-map-inj-eq* [*simp*]:
 $\text{inj-on } f \text{ (set } xs \cup \text{set } ys) \implies$
 $\text{prefix (map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ (map } f \text{ } ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{prefix } xs \text{ } ys$
by (*metis map-prefixI prefix-map-inj*)

lemma *strict-prefix-Cons-elim* [*elim*]:
assumes *strict-prefix (x # xs) ys*
obtains *ys' where ys = x # ys' strict-prefix xs ys'*
using *assms*
by (*metis Sublist.strict-prefixE' Sublist.strict-prefixI' append-Cons*)

lemma *strict-prefix-map-inj*:
 $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \text{ (set } xs \cup \text{set } ys); \text{strict-prefix (map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ (map } f \text{ } ys) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{strict-prefix } xs \text{ } ys$
apply (*induct xs arbitrary:ys*)
apply (*auto*)
using *prefix-bot.bot.not-eq-extremum* **apply** *fastforce*
apply (*erule strict-prefix-Cons-elim*)
apply (*auto*)
apply (*metis (hide-lams, full-types) image-insert insertI1 insert-Diff-if singletonE*)
done

lemma *strict-prefix-map-inj-eq* [*simp*]:

$\text{inj-on } f \text{ (set } xs \cup \text{set } ys) \implies$
 $\text{strict-prefix (map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ (map } f \text{ } ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{strict-prefix } xs \text{ } ys$
by (metis inj-on-map-eq-map map-prefixI prefix-map-inj prefix-order.less-le)

lemma prefix-drop:
 $\llbracket \text{drop (length } xs) \text{ } ys = zs; \text{prefix } xs \text{ } ys \rrbracket$
 $\implies ys = xs @ zs$
by (metis append-eq-conv-conj prefix-def)

lemma list-append-prefixD [dest]: $x @ y \leq z \implies x \leq z$
using append-prefixD less-eq-list-def **by** blast

lemma prefix-not-empty:
assumes strict-prefix $xs \text{ } ys$ **and** $xs \neq []$
shows $ys \neq []$
using Sublist.strict-prefix-simps(1) assms(1) **by** blast

lemma prefix-not-empty-length-gt-zero:
assumes strict-prefix $xs \text{ } ys$ **and** $xs \neq []$
shows $\text{length } ys > 0$
using assms prefix-not-empty **by** auto

lemma butlast-prefix-suffix-not-empty:
assumes strict-prefix (butlast xs) ys
shows $ys \neq []$
using assms prefix-not-empty-length-gt-zero **by** fastforce

lemma prefix-and-concat-prefix-is-concat-prefix:
assumes prefix $s \text{ } t$ prefix (e @ t) u
shows prefix (e @ s) u
using Sublist.same-prefix-prefix assms(1) assms(2) prefix-order.dual-order.trans **by** blast

lemma prefix-eq-exists:
 $\text{prefix } s \text{ } t \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs . s @ xs = t)$
using Sublist.prefixE Sublist.prefixI **by** blast

lemma strict-prefix-eq-exists:
 $\text{strict-prefix } s \text{ } t \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs . s @ xs = t \wedge (\text{length } xs) > 0)$
using prefix-def strict-prefix-def **by** auto

lemma butlast-strict-prefix-eq-butlast:
assumes $\text{length } s = \text{length } t$ **and** strict-prefix (butlast s) t
shows strict-prefix (butlast s) $t \longleftrightarrow (\text{butlast } s) = (\text{butlast } t)$
by (metis append-butlast-last-id append-eq-append-conv assms(1) assms(2) length-0-conv length-butlast strict-prefix-eq-exists)

lemma butlast-eq-if-eq-length-and-prefix:
assumes $\text{length } s > 0$ $\text{length } z > 0$
 $\text{length } s = \text{length } z$ strict-prefix (butlast s) t strict-prefix (butlast z) t
shows (butlast s) = (butlast z)
using assms **by** (auto simp add:strict-prefix-eq-exists)

lemma prefix-imp-length-lteq:
assumes prefix $s \text{ } t$
shows $\text{length } s \leq \text{length } t$

```

using assms by (simp add: Sublist.prefix-length-le)

lemma prefix-imp-length-not-gt:
  assumes prefix s t
  shows  $\neg$  length t < length s
  using assms by (simp add: Sublist.prefix-length-le leD)

lemma prefix-and-eq-length-imp-eq-list:
  assumes prefix s t and length t = length s
  shows s=t
  using assms by (simp add: prefix-length-eq)

lemma butlast-prefix-imp-length-not-gt:
  assumes length s > 0 strict-prefix (butlast s) t
  shows  $\neg$  (length t < length s)
  using assms prefix-length-less by fastforce

lemma length-not-gt-iff-eq-length:
  assumes length s > 0 and strict-prefix (butlast s) t
  shows ( $\neg$  (length s < length t)) = (length s = length t)
proof -
  have ( $\neg$  (length s < length t)) = ((length t < length s)  $\vee$  (length s = length t))
    by (metis not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
  also have ... = (length s = length t)
    using assms
    by (simp add: butlast-prefix-imp-length-not-gt)

  finally show ?thesis .
qed

Greatest common prefix

fun gcp :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  gcp [] ys = [] |
  gcp (x # xs) (y # ys) = (if (x = y) then x # gcp xs ys else []) |
  gcp _ - = []

lemma gcp-right [simp]: gcp xs [] = []
  by (induct xs, auto)

lemma gcp-append [simp]: gcp (xs @ ys) (xs @ zs) = xs @ gcp ys zs
  by (induct xs, auto)

lemma gcp-lb1: prefix (gcp xs ys) xs
  apply (induct xs arbitrary: ys, auto)
  apply (case-tac ys, auto)
  done

lemma gcp-lb2: prefix (gcp xs ys) ys
  apply (induct ys arbitrary: xs, auto)
  apply (case-tac xs, auto)
  done

interpretation prefix-semilattice: semilattice-inf gcp prefix strict-prefix
proof
  fix xs ys :: 'a list

```

```

show prefix (gcp xs ys) xs
  by (induct xs arbitrary: ys, auto, case-tac ys, auto)
show prefix (gcp xs ys) ys
  by (induct ys arbitrary: xs, auto, case-tac xs, auto)
next
fix xs ys zs :: 'a list
assume prefix xs ys prefix xs zs
thus prefix xs (gcp ys zs)
  by (simp add: prefix-def, auto)
qed

```

2.3.7 Lexicographic Order

```

lemma lexord-append:
  assumes (xs1 @ ys1, xs2 @ ys2) ∈ lexord R length(xs1) = length(xs2)
  shows (xs1, xs2) ∈ lexord R ∨ (xs1 = xs2 ∧ (ys1, ys2) ∈ lexord R)
using assms
proof (induct xs2 arbitrary: xs1)
  case (Cons x2 xs2') note hyps = this
  from hyps(3) obtain x1 xs1' where xs1: xs1 = x1 # xs1' length(xs1') = length(xs2')
  by (auto, metis Suc-length-conv)
  with hyps(2) have xcases: (x1, x2) ∈ R ∨ (xs1' @ ys1, xs2' @ ys2) ∈ lexord R
  by (auto)
  show ?case
  proof (cases (x1, x2) ∈ R)
    case True with xs1 show ?thesis
      by (auto)
  next
    case False
    with xcases have (xs1' @ ys1, xs2' @ ys2) ∈ lexord R
      by (auto)
    with hyps(1) xs1 have dichot: (xs1', xs2') ∈ lexord R ∨ (xs1' = xs2' ∧ (ys1, ys2) ∈ lexord R)
      by (auto)
    have x1 = x2
      using False hyps(2) xs1(1) by auto
    with dichot xs1 show ?thesis
      by (simp)
  qed
next
case Nil thus ?case
  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma strict-prefix-lexord-rel:
  strict-prefix xs ys ⇒ (xs, ys) ∈ lexord R
  by (metis Sublist.strict-prefixE' lexord-append-rightI)

```

```

lemma strict-prefix-lexord-left:
  assumes trans R (xs, ys) ∈ lexord R strict-prefix xs' xs
  shows (xs', ys) ∈ lexord R
  by (metis assms lexord-trans strict-prefix-lexord-rel)

```

```

lemma prefix-lexord-right:
  assumes trans R (xs, ys) ∈ lexord R strict-prefix ys ys'
  shows (xs, ys') ∈ lexord R
  by (metis assms lexord-trans strict-prefix-lexord-rel)

```

```

lemma lexord-eq-length:
  assumes  $(xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } R \text{ length } xs = \text{length } ys$ 
  shows  $\exists i. (xs!i, ys!i) \in R \wedge i < \text{length } xs \wedge (\forall j < i. xs!j = ys!j)$ 
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: ys)
  case (Cons x xs) note hyps = this
  then obtain y ys' where ys: ys = y # ys' length ys' = length xs
    by (metis Suc-length-conv)
  show ?case
  proof (cases (x, y) ∈ R)
    case True with ys show ?thesis
      by (rule-tac x=0 in exI, simp)
  next
    case False
    with ys hyps(2) have xy: x = y (xs, ys') ∈ lexord R
      by auto
    with hyps(1,3) ys obtain i where  $(xs!i, ys!i) \in R \ i < \text{length } xs \ (\forall j < i. xs!j = ys!j)$ 
      by force
    with xy ys show ?thesis
      apply (rule-tac x=Suc i in exI)
      apply (auto simp add: less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
    done
  qed
next
  case Nil thus ?case by (auto)
qed

lemma lexord-intro-elems:
  assumes  $\text{length } xs > i \ \text{length } ys > i \ (xs!i, ys!i) \in R \ \forall j < i. xs!j = ys!j$ 
  shows  $(xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } R$ 
using assms proof (induct i arbitrary: xs ys)
  case 0 thus ?case
    by (auto, metis lexord-cons-cons list.exhaust nth-Cons-0)
next
  case (Suc i) note hyps = this
  then obtain x' y' xs' ys' where  $xs = x' \# xs' \ ys = y' \# ys'$ 
    by (metis Suc-length-conv Suc-lessE)
  moreover with hyps(5) have  $\forall j < i. xs'!j = ys'!j$ 
    by (auto)
  ultimately show ?case using hyps
    by (auto)
qed

```

2.4 Distributed Concatenation

definition *uncurry* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c)$ **where**
[simp]: uncurry f = $(\lambda(x, y). f\ x\ y)$

definition *dist-concat* ::
 $'a \text{ list set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set}$ (*infixr* $\hat{\ } 100$) **where**
dist-concat ls1 ls2 = $(\text{uncurry } (op\ @))\ ' (ls1 \times ls2)$

lemma *dist-concat-left-empty* [*simp*]:
 $\{\} \hat{\ } ys = \{\}$
by (*simp add: dist-concat-def*)

lemma *dist-concat-right-empty* [simp]:

$$xs \frown \{\} = \{\}$$

by (simp add: dist-concat-def)

lemma *dist-concat-insert* [simp]:

$$\text{insert } l \text{ } ls1 \frown ls2 = ((op @ l) ' (ls2)) \cup (ls1 \frown ls2)$$

by (auto simp add: dist-concat-def)

2.5 List Domain and Range

abbreviation *seq-dom* :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat set (*dom_l*) **where**

$$\text{seq-dom } xs \equiv \{0..<\text{length } xs\}$$

abbreviation *seq-ran* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set (*ran_l*) **where**

$$\text{seq-ran } xs \equiv \text{set } xs$$

2.6 Extracting List Elements

definition *seq-extract* :: nat set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list (**infix** \upharpoonright_l 80) **where**

$$\text{seq-extract } A \text{ } xs = \text{nths } xs \text{ } A$$

lemma *seq-extract-Nil* [simp]: $A \upharpoonright_l [] = []$

by (simp add: seq-extract-def)

lemma *seq-extract-Cons*:

$$A \upharpoonright_l (x \# xs) = (\text{if } 0 \in A \text{ then } [x] \text{ else } []) @ \{j. \text{Suc } j \in A\} \upharpoonright_l xs$$

by (simp add: seq-extract-def nths-Cons)

lemma *seq-extract-empty* [simp]: $\{\} \upharpoonright_l xs = []$

by (simp add: seq-extract-def)

lemma *seq-extract-ident* [simp]: $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \upharpoonright_l xs = xs$

unfolding list-eq-iff-nth-eq

by (auto simp add: seq-extract-def length-nths atLeast0LessThan)

lemma *seq-extract-split*:

assumes $i \leq \text{length } xs$

shows $\{0..<i\} \upharpoonright_l xs @ \{i..<\text{length } xs\} \upharpoonright_l xs = xs$

using *assms*

proof (induct *xs* arbitrary: *i*)

case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: seq-extract-def)

next

case (Cons *x xs*) note *hyp* = *this*

have $\{j. \text{Suc } j < i\} = \{0..<i-1\}$

by (auto)

moreover have $\{j. i \leq \text{Suc } j \wedge j < \text{length } xs\} = \{i-1..<\text{length } xs\}$

by (auto)

ultimately show ?case

using *hyp* by (force simp add: seq-extract-def nths-Cons)

qed

lemma *seq-extract-append*:

$$A \upharpoonright_l (xs @ ys) = (A \upharpoonright_l xs) @ (\{j. j + \text{length } xs \in A\} \upharpoonright_l ys)$$

by (simp add: seq-extract-def nths-append)

lemma *seq-extract-range*: $A \upharpoonright_l xs = (A \cap \text{dom}_l(xs)) \upharpoonright_l xs$

```

  apply (auto simp add: seq-extract-def nth-def)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastLessThan-iff filter-cong in-set-zip nth-mem set-upt)
done

```

```

lemma seq-extract-out-of-range:
   $A \cap \text{dom}_l(xs) = \{\} \implies A \upharpoonright_l xs = []$ 
  by (metis seq-extract-def seq-extract-range nth-empty)

```

```

lemma seq-extract-length [simp]:
  length (A  $\upharpoonright_l$  xs) = card (A  $\cap$  doml(xs))
proof -
  have {i. i < length(xs)  $\wedge$  i  $\in$  A} = (A  $\cap$  {0..

```

```

lemma seq-extract-Cons-atLeastLessThan:
  assumes m < n
  shows {m.. $<n$ }  $\upharpoonright_l$  (x # xs) = (if (m = 0) then x # ({0.. $<n-1$ }  $\upharpoonright_l$  xs) else {m-1.. $<n-1$ }  $\upharpoonright_l$  xs)
proof -
  have {j. Suc j < n} = {0.. $<n - \text{Suc } 0$ }
    by (auto)
  moreover have {j. m  $\leq$  Suc j  $\wedge$  Suc j < n} = {m - Suc 0.. $<n - \text{Suc } 0$ }
    by (auto)

  ultimately show ?thesis using assms
    by (auto simp add: seq-extract-Cons)
qed

```

```

lemma seq-extract-singleton:
  assumes i < length xs
  shows {i}  $\upharpoonright_l$  xs = [xs ! i]
  using assms
  apply (induct xs arbitrary: i)
  apply (auto simp add: seq-extract-Cons)
  apply (rename-tac xs i)
  apply (subgoal-tac {j. Suc j = i} = {i - 1})
  apply (auto)
done

```

```

lemma seq-extract-as-map:
  assumes m < n n  $\leq$  length xs
  shows {m.. $<n$ }  $\upharpoonright_l$  xs = map (nth xs) [m.. $<n$ ]
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: m n)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  have [m.. $<n$ ] = m # [m+1.. $<n$ ]
    using Cons.premis(1) upt-eq-Cons-conv by blast
  moreover have map (nth (x # xs)) [Suc m.. $<n$ ] = map (nth xs) [m.. $<n-1$ ]
    by (simp add: map-nth-Cons-atLeastLessThan)
  ultimately show ?case
    using Cons upt-rec
    by (auto simp add: seq-extract-Cons-atLeastLessThan)

```

qed

lemma *seq-append-as-extract*:

$xs = ys @ zs \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq \text{length}(xs). ys = \{0..<i\} \upharpoonright_l xs \wedge zs = \{i..<\text{length}(xs)\} \upharpoonright_l xs)$

proof

assume $xs = ys @ zs$

moreover have $ys = \{0..<\text{length } ys\} \upharpoonright_l (ys @ zs)$

by (*simp add: seq-extract-append*)

moreover have $zs = \{\text{length } ys..<\text{length } ys + \text{length } zs\} \upharpoonright_l (ys @ zs)$

proof –

have $\{\text{length } ys..<\text{length } ys + \text{length } zs\} \cap \{0..<\text{length } ys\} = \{\}$

by *auto*

moreover have $s1: \{j. j < \text{length } zs\} = \{0..<\text{length } zs\}$

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: seq-extract-append seq-extract-out-of-range*)

qed

ultimately show $(\exists i \leq \text{length}(xs). ys = \{0..<i\} \upharpoonright_l xs \wedge zs = \{i..<\text{length}(xs)\} \upharpoonright_l xs)$

by (*rule-tac x=length ys in exI, auto*)

next

assume $\exists i \leq \text{length } xs. ys = \{0..<i\} \upharpoonright_l xs \wedge zs = \{i..<\text{length } xs\} \upharpoonright_l xs$

thus $xs = ys @ zs$

by (*auto simp add: seq-extract-split*)

qed

2.7 Filtering a list according to a set

definition *seq-filter* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a list (*infix* \upharpoonright_l 80) **where**

seq-filter $xs\ A = \text{filter } (\lambda x. x \in A)\ xs$

lemma *seq-filter-Cons-in* [*simp*]:

$x \in cs \Longrightarrow (x \# xs) \upharpoonright_l cs = x \# (xs \upharpoonright_l cs)$

by (*simp add: seq-filter-def*)

lemma *seq-filter-Cons-out* [*simp*]:

$x \notin cs \Longrightarrow (x \# xs) \upharpoonright_l cs = (xs \upharpoonright_l cs)$

by (*simp add: seq-filter-def*)

lemma *seq-filter-Nil* [*simp*]: $[] \upharpoonright_l A = []$

by (*simp add: seq-filter-def*)

lemma *seq-filter-empty* [*simp*]: $xs \upharpoonright_l \{\} = []$

by (*simp add: seq-filter-def*)

lemma *seq-filter-append*: $(xs @ ys) \upharpoonright_l A = (xs \upharpoonright_l A) @ (ys \upharpoonright_l A)$

by (*simp add: seq-filter-def*)

2.8 Minus on lists

instantiation *list* :: (*type*) *minus*

begin

We define list minus so that if the second list is not a prefix of the first, then an arbitrary list longer than the combined length is produced. Thus we can always determined from the output whether the minus is defined or not.

definition $xs - ys = (\text{if } (\text{prefix } ys\ xs) \text{ then } \text{drop } (\text{length } ys)\ xs \text{ else } [])$

instance ..
end

lemma *minus-cancel* [simp]: $xs - xs = []$
by (simp add: minus-list-def)

lemma *append-minus* [simp]: $(xs @ ys) - xs = ys$
by (simp add: minus-list-def)

lemma *minus-right-nil* [simp]: $xs - [] = xs$
by (simp add: minus-list-def)

lemma *list-concat-minus-list-concat*: $(s @ t) - (s @ z) = t - z$
by (simp add: minus-list-def)

lemma *length-minus-list*: $y \leq x \implies \text{length}(x - y) = \text{length}(x) - \text{length}(y)$
by (simp add: less-eq-list-def minus-list-def)

lemma *map-list-minus*:
 $xs \leq ys \implies \text{map } f (ys - xs) = \text{map } f ys - \text{map } f xs$
by (simp add: drop-map less-eq-list-def map-prefixI minus-list-def)

lemma *list-minus-first-tl* [simp]:
 $[x] \leq xs \implies (xs - [x]) = \text{tl } xs$
by (metis Prefix-Order.prefixE append.left-neutral append-minus list.sel(3) not-Cons-self2 tl-append2)

Extra lemmas about *prefix* and *strict-prefix*

lemma *prefix-concat-minus*:
assumes *prefix xs ys*
shows $xs @ (ys - xs) = ys$
using *assms* **by** (metis minus-list-def prefix-drop)

lemma *prefix-minus-concat*:
assumes *prefix s t*
shows $(t - s) @ z = (t @ z) - s$
using *assms* **by** (simp add: Sublist.prefix-length-le minus-list-def)

lemma *strict-prefix-minus-not-empty*:
assumes *strict-prefix xs ys*
shows $ys - xs \neq []$
using *assms* **by** (metis append-Nil2 prefix-concat-minus strict-prefix-def)

lemma *strict-prefix-diff-minus*:
assumes *prefix xs ys* **and** $xs \neq ys$
shows $(ys - xs) \neq []$
using *assms* **by** (simp add: strict-prefix-minus-not-empty)

lemma *length-tl-list-minus-butlast-gt-zero*:
assumes $\text{length } s < \text{length } t$ **and** *strict-prefix (butlast s) t* **and** $\text{length } s > 0$
shows $\text{length } (\text{tl } (t - (\text{butlast } s))) > 0$
using *assms*
by (metis Nitpick.size-list-simp(2) butlast-snoc hd-Cons-tl length-butlast length-greater-0-conv length-tl less-trans nat-neq-iff strict-prefix-minus-not-empty prefix-order.dual-order.strict-implies-order prefix-concat-minus)

```

lemma list-minus-butlast-eq-butlast-list:
  assumes  $\text{length } t = \text{length } s$  and strict-prefix (butlast  $s$ )  $t$ 
  shows  $t - (\text{butlast } s) = [\text{last } t]$ 
  using assms
  by (metis append-butlast-last-id append-eq-append-conv butlast.simps(1) length-butlast less-numeral-extra(3)
list.size(3) prefix-order.dual-order.strict-implies-order prefix-concat-minus prefix-length-less)

lemma butlast-strict-prefix-length-lt-imp-last-tl-minus-butlast-eq-last:
  assumes  $\text{length } s > 0$  strict-prefix (butlast  $s$ )  $t$   $\text{length } s < \text{length } t$ 
  shows  $\text{last } (\text{tl } (t - (\text{butlast } s))) = (\text{last } t)$ 
  using assms by (metis last-append last-tl length-tl-list-minus-butlast-gt-zero less-numeral-extra(3)
list.size(3) append-minus strict-prefix-eq-exists)

lemma tl-list-minus-butlast-not-empty:
  assumes strict-prefix (butlast  $s$ )  $t$  and  $\text{length } s > 0$  and  $\text{length } t > \text{length } s$ 
  shows  $\text{tl } (t - (\text{butlast } s)) \neq []$ 
  using assms length-tl-list-minus-butlast-gt-zero by fastforce

lemma tl-list-minus-butlast-empty:
  assumes strict-prefix (butlast  $s$ )  $t$  and  $\text{length } s > 0$  and  $\text{length } t = \text{length } s$ 
  shows  $\text{tl } (t - (\text{butlast } s)) = []$ 
  using assms by (simp add: list-minus-butlast-eq-butlast-list)

lemma concat-minus-list-concat-butlast-eq-list-minus-butlast:
  assumes prefix (butlast  $u$ )  $s$ 
  shows  $(t @ s) - (t @ (\text{butlast } u)) = s - (\text{butlast } u)$ 
  using assms by (metis append-assoc prefix-concat-minus append-minus)

lemma tl-list-minus-butlast-eq-empty:
  assumes strict-prefix (butlast  $s$ )  $t$  and  $\text{length } s = \text{length } t$ 
  shows  $\text{tl } (t - (\text{butlast } s)) = []$ 
  using assms by (metis list.sel(3) list-minus-butlast-eq-butlast-list)

lemma prefix-length-tl-minus:
  assumes strict-prefix  $s$   $t$ 
  shows  $\text{length } (\text{tl } (t - s)) = (\text{length } (t - s)) - 1$ 
  by (auto)

lemma length-list-minus:
  assumes strict-prefix  $s$   $t$ 
  shows  $\text{length}(t - s) = \text{length}(t) - \text{length}(s)$ 
  using assms by (simp add: minus-list-def prefix-order.dual-order.strict-implies-order)

end

```

3 Infinite Sequences

```

theory Sequence
imports
  Real
  List-Extra
  HOL-Library.Sublist
  HOL-Library.Nat-Bijection
begin

```

typedef 'a seq = UNIV :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) set
by (auto)

setup-lifting type-definition-seq

definition ssubstr :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a seq \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
ssubstr i j xs = map (Rep-seq xs) [i..

lift-definition nth-seq :: 'a seq \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a (infixl !_s 100)
is $\lambda f i. f i$.

abbreviation sinit :: nat \Rightarrow 'a seq \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
sinit i xs \equiv ssubstr 0 i xs

lemma sinit-len [simp]:
length (sinit i xs) = i
by (simp add: ssubstr-def)

lemma sinit-0 [simp]: sinit 0 xs = []
by (simp add: ssubstr-def)

lemma prefix-upt-0 [intro]:
 $i \leq j \implies \text{prefix } [0..*i*] [0..*j*]$
by (induct i, auto, metis append-prefixD le0 prefix-order.lift-Suc-mono-le prefix-order.order-refl upt-Suc)

lemma sinit-prefix:
 $i \leq j \implies \text{prefix } (\text{sinit } i \text{ xs}) (\text{sinit } j \text{ xs})$
by (auto intro: map-prefixI simp add: ssubstr-def)

lemma sinit-strict-prefix:
 $i < j \implies \text{strict-prefix } (\text{sinit } i \text{ xs}) (\text{sinit } j \text{ xs})$
by (metis sinit-len sinit-prefix le-less nat-neq-iff prefix-order.dual-order.strict-iff-order)

lemma nth-sinit:
 $i < n \implies \text{sinit } n \text{ xs} ! i = \text{xs} !_s i$
apply (auto simp add: ssubstr-def)
apply (transfer, auto)
done

lemma sinit-append-split:
assumes $i < j$
shows $\text{sinit } j \text{ xs} = \text{sinit } i \text{ xs} @ \text{ssubstr } i \text{ j xs}$
proof –
have $[0..*i*] @ [i..*j*] = [0..*j*]$
by (metis assms le0 le-add-diff-inverse le-less upt-add-eq-append)
thus ?thesis
by (auto simp add: ssubstr-def, transfer, simp add: map-append[THEN sym])
qed

lemma sinit-linear-asym-lemma1:
assumes $\text{asym } R \ i < j \ (\text{sinit } i \text{ xs}, \text{sinit } i \text{ ys}) \in \text{lexord } R \ (\text{sinit } j \text{ ys}, \text{sinit } j \text{ xs}) \in \text{lexord } R$
shows False
proof –
have $\text{sinit-}xs: \text{sinit } j \text{ xs} = \text{sinit } i \text{ xs} @ \text{ssubstr } i \text{ j xs}$

```

  by (metis assms(2) sinit-append-split)
have sinit-ys: sinit j ys = sinit i ys @ ssubstr i j ys
  by (metis assms(2) sinit-append-split)
from sinit-xs sinit-ys assms(4)
have (sinit i ys, sinit i xs) ∈ lexord R ∨ (sinit i ys = sinit i xs ∧ (ssubstr i j ys, ssubstr i j xs) ∈
lexord R)
  by (auto dest: lexord-append)
with assms lexord-asymmetric show False
  by (force)
qed

```

```

lemma sinit-linear-asy-lemma2:
  assumes asym R (sinit i xs, sinit i ys) ∈ lexord R (sinit j ys, sinit j xs) ∈ lexord R
  shows False
proof (cases i j rule: linorder-cases)
  case less with assms show ?thesis
    by (auto dest: sinit-linear-asy-lemma1)
next
  case equal with assms show ?thesis
    by (simp add: lexord-asymmetric)
next
  case greater with assms show ?thesis
    by (auto dest: sinit-linear-asy-lemma1)
qed

```

```

lemma range-ext:
  assumes ∀ i :: nat. ∀ x ∈ {0..<i}. f(x) = g(x)
  shows f = g
proof (rule ext)
  fix x :: nat
  obtain i :: nat where i > x
    by (metis lessI)
  with assms show f(x) = g(x)
    by (auto)
qed

```

```

lemma sinit-ext:
  (∀ i. sinit i xs = sinit i ys) ⇒ xs = ys
  by (simp add: ssubstr-def, transfer, auto intro: range-ext)

```

```

definition seq-lexord :: 'a rel ⇒ ('a seq) rel where
seq-lexord R = {(xs, ys). (∃ i. (sinit i xs, sinit i ys) ∈ lexord R)}

```

```

lemma seq-lexord-irreflexive:
  ∀ x. (x, x) ∉ R ⇒ (xs, xs) ∉ seq-lexord R
  by (auto dest: lexord-irreflexive simp add: irrefl-def seq-lexord-def)

```

```

lemma seq-lexord-irrefl:
  irrefl R ⇒ irrefl (seq-lexord R)
  by (simp add: irrefl-def seq-lexord-irreflexive)

```

```

lemma seq-lexord-transitive:
  assumes trans R
  shows trans (seq-lexord R)
unfolding seq-lexord-def

```

```

proof (rule transI, clarify)
  fix xs ys zs :: 'a seq and m n :: nat
  assume las: (sinit m xs, sinit m ys) ∈ lexord R (sinit n ys, sinit n zs) ∈ lexord R
  hence inz: m > 0
  using gr0I by force
  from las(1) obtain i where sinitm: (sinit m xs!i, sinit m ys!i) ∈ R i < m ∀ j < i. sinit m xs!j =
sinit m ys!j
  using lexord-eq-length by force
  from las(2) obtain j where sinitn: (sinit n ys!j, sinit n zs!j) ∈ R j < n ∀ k < j. sinit n ys!k = sinit
n zs!k
  using lexord-eq-length by force
  show ∃ i. (sinit i xs, sinit i zs) ∈ lexord R
proof (cases i ≤ j)
  case True note lt = this
  with sinitm sinitn have (sinit n xs!i, sinit n zs!i) ∈ R
  by (metis assms le-eq-less-or-eq le-less-trans nth-sinit transD)
  moreover from lt sinitm sinitn have ∀ j < i. sinit m xs!j = sinit m zs!j
  by (metis less-le-trans less-trans nth-sinit)
  ultimately have (sinit n xs, sinit n zs) ∈ lexord R using sinitm(2) sinitn(2) lt
  apply (rule-tac lexord-intro-elems)
  apply (auto)
  apply (metis less-le-trans less-trans nth-sinit)
  done
  thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  then have ge: i > j by auto
  with assms sinitm sinitn have (sinit n xs!j, sinit n zs!j) ∈ R
  by (metis less-trans nth-sinit)
  moreover from ge sinitm sinitn have ∀ k < j. sinit m xs!k = sinit m zs!k
  by (metis dual-order.strict-trans nth-sinit)
  ultimately have (sinit n xs, sinit n zs) ∈ lexord R using sinitm(2) sinitn(2) ge
  apply (rule-tac lexord-intro-elems)
  apply (auto)
  apply (metis less-trans nth-sinit)
  done
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma seq-lexord-trans:

$\llbracket (xs, ys) \in \text{seq-lexord } R; (ys, zs) \in \text{seq-lexord } R; \text{trans } R \rrbracket \implies (xs, zs) \in \text{seq-lexord } R$
by (meson seq-lexord-transitive transE)

lemma seq-lexord-antisym:

$\llbracket \text{asym } R; (a, b) \in \text{seq-lexord } R \rrbracket \implies (b, a) \notin \text{seq-lexord } R$
by (auto dest: sinit-linear-asym-lemma2 simp add: seq-lexord-def)

lemma seq-lexord-asym:

assumes asym R
shows asym (seq-lexord R)
by (meson assms asym.simps seq-lexord-antisym seq-lexord-irrefl)

lemma seq-lexord-total:

assumes total R

```

shows total (seq-lexord R)
using assms by (auto simp add: total-on-def seq-lexord-def, meson lexord-linear sinit-ext)

lemma seq-lexord-strict-linear-order:
  assumes strict-linear-order R
  shows strict-linear-order (seq-lexord R)
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: strict-linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
    intro: seq-lexord-transitive seq-lexord-irrefl seq-lexord-total)

lemma seq-lexord-linear:
  assumes  $(\forall a b. (a,b) \in R \vee a = b \vee (b,a) \in R)$ 
  shows  $(x,y) \in \text{seq-lexord } R \vee x = y \vee (y,x) \in \text{seq-lexord } R$ 
proof –
  have total R
    using assms total-on-def by blast
  hence total (seq-lexord R)
    using seq-lexord-total by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: total-on-def)
qed

instantiation seq :: (ord) ord
begin

definition less-seq :: 'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
less-seq xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow (xs, ys) \in \text{seq-lexord } \{(xs, ys). xs < ys\}$ 

definition less-eq-seq :: 'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
less-eq-seq xs ys =  $(xs = ys \vee xs < ys)$ 

instance ..

end

instance seq :: (order) order
proof
  fix xs :: 'a seq
  show  $xs \leq xs$  by (simp add: less-eq-seq-def)
next
  fix xs ys zs :: 'a seq
  assume  $xs \leq ys$  and  $ys \leq zs$ 
  then show  $xs \leq zs$ 
    by (force dest: seq-lexord-trans simp add: less-eq-seq-def less-seq-def trans-def)
next
  fix xs ys :: 'a seq
  assume  $xs \leq ys$  and  $ys \leq xs$ 
  then show  $xs = ys$ 
    apply (auto simp add: less-eq-seq-def less-seq-def)
    apply (rule seq-lexord-irreflexive [THEN notE])
    defer
    apply (rule seq-lexord-trans)
    apply (auto intro: transI)
  done
next

```

```

fix xs ys :: 'a seq
show xs < ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs  $\leq$  ys  $\wedge \neg$  ys  $\leq$  xs
  apply (auto simp add: less-seq-def less-eq-seq-def)
  defer
  apply (rule seq-lexord-irreflexive [THEN notE])
  apply auto
  apply (rule seq-lexord-irreflexive [THEN notE])
  defer
  apply (rule seq-lexord-trans)
  apply (auto intro: transI)
  apply (simp add: seq-lexord-irreflexive)
done
qed

instance seq :: (linorder) linorder
proof
  fix xs ys :: 'a seq
  have (xs, ys)  $\in$  seq-lexord  $\{(u, v). u < v\} \vee$  xs = ys  $\vee$  (ys, xs)  $\in$  seq-lexord  $\{(u, v). u < v\}$ 
    by (rule seq-lexord-linear) auto
  then show xs  $\leq$  ys  $\vee$  ys  $\leq$  xs
    by (auto simp add: less-eq-seq-def less-seq-def)
qed

lemma seq-lexord-mono [mono]:
  ( $\bigwedge x y. f x y \longrightarrow g x y$ )  $\implies$  (xs, ys)  $\in$  seq-lexord  $\{(x, y). f x y\} \longrightarrow$  (xs, ys)  $\in$  seq-lexord  $\{(x, y). g x y\}$ 
  apply (auto simp add: seq-lexord-def)
  apply (metis case-prodD case-prodI lexord-take-index-conv mem-Collect-eq)
done

fun insort-rel :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
insort-rel R x [] = [x] |
insort-rel R x (y # ys) = (if (x = y  $\vee$  (x, y)  $\in$  R) then x # y # ys else y # insort-rel R x ys)

inductive sorted-rel :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
Nil-rel [iff]: sorted-rel R [] |
Cons-rel:  $\forall y \in \text{set } xs. (x = y \vee (x, y) \in R) \implies \text{sorted-rel } R xs \implies \text{sorted-rel } R (x \# xs)$ 

definition list-of-set :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
list-of-set R = folding.F (insort-rel R) []

lift-definition seq-inj :: 'a seq seq  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq is
 $\lambda f i. f \text{ (fst (prod-decode } i)) \text{ (snd (prod-decode } i))}$  .

lift-definition seq-proj :: 'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq seq is
 $\lambda f i j. f \text{ (prod-encode } (i, j))$  .

lemma seq-inj-inverse: seq-proj (seq-inj x) = x
  by (transfer, simp)

lemma seq-proj-inverse: seq-inj (seq-proj x) = x
  by (transfer, simp)

lemma seq-inj: inj seq-inj
  by (metis injI seq-inj-inverse)

```

```

lemma seq-inj-surj: bij seq-inj
  apply (rule bijI)
  apply (auto simp add: seq-inj)
  apply (metis rangeI seq-proj-inverse)
done
end

```

4 Finite Sets: extra functions and properties

```

theory FSet-Extra
imports
  ~~/src/HOL/Library/FSet
  ~~/src/HOL/Library/Countable-Set-Type
begin

```

```

setup-lifting type-definition-fset

```

```

notation fempty ( $\{\}$ )
notation fset ( $\langle \cdot \rangle_f$ )
notation fminus (infixl  $-_f$  65)

```

```

syntax
  -FinFset :: args => 'a fset    ( $\{\{(-)\}\}$ )

```

```

translations
   $\{x, xs\} == \text{CONST } \text{finsert } x \ \{\{xs\}\}$ 
   $\{x\} == \text{CONST } \text{finsert } x \ \{\}$ 

```

```

term fBall

```

```

syntax
  -fBall :: pttrn => 'a fset => bool => bool (( $\exists \forall$  -| $\in$ |-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
  -fBex  :: pttrn => 'a fset => bool => bool (( $\exists \exists$  -| $\in$ |-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)

```

```

translations
   $\forall x | \in A. P == \text{CONST } \text{fBall } A \ (\%x. P)$ 
   $\exists x | \in A. P == \text{CONST } \text{fBex } A \ (\%x. P)$ 

```

```

definition FUnion :: 'a fset fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset ( $\bigcup_f$  [90] 90) where
FUnion xs = Abs-fset ( $\bigcup x \in \langle xs \rangle_f. \langle x \rangle_f$ )

```

```

definition FInter :: 'a fset fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset ( $\bigcap_f$  [90] 90) where
FInter xs = Abs-fset ( $\bigcap x \in \langle xs \rangle_f. \langle x \rangle_f$ )

```

Finite power set

```

definition FinPow :: 'a fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset fset where
FinPow xs = Abs-fset (Abs-fset ' Pow  $\langle xs \rangle_f$ )

```

Set of all finite subsets of a set

```

definition Fow :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset set where
Fow A =  $\{x. \langle x \rangle_f \subseteq A\}$ 

```

```

declare Abs-fset-inverse [simp]

```


lemma *fset-intro*:

$fset\ x = fset\ y \implies x = y$
by (*simp add:fset-inject*)

lemma *fset-elim*:

$\llbracket x = y; fset\ x = fset\ y \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by (*auto*)

lemma *fmember-intro*:

$\llbracket x \in fset(xs) \rrbracket \implies x \in xs$
by (*metis fmember.rep-eq*)

lemma *fmember-elim*:

$\llbracket x \in xs; x \in fset(xs) \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by (*metis fmember.rep-eq*)

lemma *fnmember-intro* [*intro*]:

$\llbracket x \notin fset(xs) \rrbracket \implies x \notin xs$
by (*metis fmember.rep-eq*)

lemma *fnmember-elim* [*elim*]:

$\llbracket x \notin xs; x \notin fset(xs) \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by (*metis fmember.rep-eq*)

lemma *fsubset-intro* [*intro*]:

$\langle xs \rangle_f \subseteq \langle ys \rangle_f \implies xs \subseteq ys$
by (*metis less-eq-fset.rep-eq*)

lemma *fsubset-elim* [*elim*]:

$\llbracket xs \subseteq ys; \langle xs \rangle_f \subseteq \langle ys \rangle_f \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by (*metis less-eq-fset.rep-eq*)

lemma *fBall-intro* [*intro*]:

$Ball\ \langle A \rangle_f\ P \implies fBall\ A\ P$
by (*metis (poly-guards-query) fBallI fmember.rep-eq*)

lemma *fBall-elim* [*elim*]:

$\llbracket fBall\ A\ P; Ball\ \langle A \rangle_f\ P \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$
by (*metis fBallE fmember.rep-eq*)

lift-definition *finset* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a fset **is** set ..

context *linorder*

begin

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-inj*:

$\llbracket finite\ xs; finite\ ys; sorted-list-of-set\ xs = sorted-list-of-set\ ys \rrbracket$
 $\implies xs = ys$

apply (*simp add:sorted-list-of-set-def*)

apply (*induct xs rule:finite-induct*)

apply (*induct ys rule:finite-induct*)

apply (*simp-all*)

apply (*metis finite.insertI insert-not-empty sorted-list-of-set-def sorted-list-of-set-empty sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff*)

apply (*metis finite.insertI finite-list set-remdups set-sort sorted-list-of-set-def sorted-list-of-set-sort-remdups*)

done

definition *flist* :: 'a fset \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
flist *xs* = *sorted-list-of-set* (*fset* *xs*)

lemma *flist-inj*: *inj flist*
apply (*simp add:flist-def inj-on-def*)
apply (*clarify*)
apply (*rename-tac x y*)
apply (*subgoal-tac fset x = fset y*)
apply (*simp add:fset-inject*)
apply (*rule sorted-list-of-set-inj, simp-all*)
done

lemma *flist-props* [*simp*]:
sorted (*flist* *xs*)
distinct (*flist* *xs*)
by (*simp-all add:flist-def*)

lemma *flist-empty* [*simp*]:
flist $\{\}$ = $\{\}$
by (*simp add:flist-def*)

lemma *flist-inv* [*simp*]: *finset* (*flist* *xs*) = *xs*
by (*simp add:finset-def flist-def fset-inverse*)

lemma *flist-set* [*simp*]: *set* (*flist* *xs*) = *fset* *xs*
by (*simp add:finset-def flist-def fset-inverse*)

lemma *fset-inv* [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{sorted } xs; \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{flist } (\text{finset } xs) = xs$
apply (*simp add:finset-def flist-def fset-inverse*)
apply (*metis local.sorted-list-of-set-sort-remdups local.sorted-sort-id remdups-id-iff-distinct*)
done

lemma *fcard-flist*:
fcard *xs* = *length* (*flist* *xs*)
apply (*simp add:fcard-def*)
apply (*fold flist-set*)
apply (*unfold distinct-card[OF flist-props(2)]*)
apply (*rule refl*)
done

lemma *flist-nth*:
i < *fcard* *vs* \Longrightarrow *flist* *vs* ! *i* \in *vs*
apply (*simp add: fmember-def flist-def fcard-def*)
apply (*metis distinct-card finite-fset nth-mem sorted-list-of-set*)
done

definition *fmax* :: 'a fset \Rightarrow 'a **where**
fmax *xs* = (if (*xs* = $\{\}$) then *undefined* else *last* (*flist* *xs*))

end

definition *flists* :: 'a fset \Rightarrow 'a list set **where**
flists *A* = {*xs*. *distinct* *xs* \wedge *finset* *xs* = *A*}

```

lemma flists-nonempty:  $\exists xs. xs \in flists\ A$ 
  apply (simp add: flists-def)
  apply (metis Abs-fset-cases Abs-fset-inverse finite-distinct-list finite-fset finset.rep-eq)
  done

lemma flists-elem-uniq:  $\llbracket x \in flists\ A; x \in flists\ B \rrbracket \implies A = B$ 
  by (simp add: flists-def)

definition flist-arb :: 'a fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
flist-arb A = (SOME xs. xs  $\in$  flists A)

lemma flist-arb-distinct [simp]: distinct (flist-arb A)
  by (metis (mono-tags) flist-arb-def flists-def flists-nonempty mem-Collect-eq someI-ex)

lemma flist-arb-inv [simp]: finset (flist-arb A) = A
  by (metis (mono-tags) flist-arb-def flists-def flists-nonempty mem-Collect-eq someI-ex)

lemma flist-arb-inj:
  inj flist-arb
  by (metis flist-arb-inv injI)

lemma flist-arb-lists: flist-arb 'Fow A  $\subseteq$  lists A
  apply (auto)
  using Fow-def finset.rep-eq apply fastforce
  done

lemma countable-Fow:
  fixes A :: 'a set
  assumes countable A
  shows countable (Fow A)
proof –
  from assms obtain to-nat-list :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where inj-on to-nat-list (lists A)
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  apply (simp add: countable-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=to-nat-list  $\circ$  flist-arb in exI)
  apply (rule comp-inj-on)
  apply (metis flist-arb-inv inj-on-def)
  apply (simp add: flist-arb-lists subset-inj-on)
  done
qed

definition flub :: 'a fset set  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset where
flub A t = (if ( $\forall a \in A. a \subseteq t$ ) then Abs-fset ( $\bigcup x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f$ ) else t)

lemma finite-Union-subsets:
 $\llbracket \forall a \in A. a \subseteq b; \text{finite } b \rrbracket \implies \text{finite } (\bigcup A)$ 
  by (metis Sup-le-iff finite-subset)

lemma finite-UN-subsets:
 $\llbracket \forall a \in A. B\ a \subseteq b; \text{finite } b \rrbracket \implies \text{finite } (\bigcup a \in A. B\ a)$ 
  by (metis UN-subset-iff finite-subset)

lemma flub-rep-eq:
 $\langle flub\ A\ t \rangle_f = (\text{if } (\forall a \in A. a \subseteq t) \text{ then } (\bigcup x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f) \text{ else } \langle t \rangle_f)$ 

```

apply (subgoal-tac (if ($\forall a \in A. a \sqsubseteq t$) then $(\bigcup x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f)$ else $\langle t \rangle_f$) $\in \{x. \text{finite } x\}$)
apply (auto simp add: flub-def)
apply (rule finite-UN-subsets[of - $\langle t \rangle_f$])
apply (auto)
done

definition fglb :: 'a fset set \Rightarrow 'a fset \Rightarrow 'a fset **where**
 fglb A t = (if ($A = \{\}$) then t else Abs-fset ($\bigcap x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f$))

lemma fglb-rep-eq:

$\langle \text{fglb } A \ t \rangle_f = (\text{if } (A = \{\}) \text{ then } \langle t \rangle_f \text{ else } (\bigcap x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f))$
apply (subgoal-tac (if ($A = \{\}$) then $\langle t \rangle_f$ else $(\bigcap x \in A. \langle x \rangle_f)$) $\in \{x. \text{finite } x\}$)
apply (metis Abs-fset-inverse fglb-def)
apply (auto)
apply (metis finite-INT finite-fset)
done

lemma FinPow-rep-eq [simp]:

$\text{fset } (\text{FinPow } xs) = \{ys. ys \sqsubseteq xs\}$
apply (subgoal-tac finite (Abs-fset 'Pow $\langle xs \rangle_f$))
apply (auto simp add: fmember-def FinPow-def)
apply (rename-tac x' y')
apply (subgoal-tac finite x')
apply (auto)
apply (metis finite-fset finite-subset)
apply (metis (full-types) Pow-iff fset-inverse imageI less-eq-fset.rep-eq)
done

lemma FUnion-rep-eq [simp]:

$\langle \bigcup_f xs \rangle_f = (\bigcup x \in \langle xs \rangle_f. \langle x \rangle_f)$
by (simp add: FUnion-def)

lemma FInter-rep-eq [simp]:

$xs \neq \{\} \implies \langle \bigcap_f xs \rangle_f = (\bigcap x \in \langle xs \rangle_f. \langle x \rangle_f)$
apply (simp add: FInter-def)
apply (subgoal-tac finite ($\bigcap x \in \langle xs \rangle_f. \langle x \rangle_f$))
apply (simp)
apply (metis (poly-guards-query) bot-fset.rep-eq fglb-rep-eq finite-fset fset-inverse)
done

lemma FUnion-empty [simp]:

$\bigcup_f \{\} = \{\}$
by (auto simp add: FUnion-def fmember-def)

lemma FinPow-member [simp]:

$xs \sqsubseteq \text{FinPow } xs$
by (auto simp add: fmember-def)

lemma FUnion-FinPow [simp]:

$\bigcup_f (\text{FinPow } x) = x$
by (auto simp add: fmember-def less-eq-fset-def)

lemma Fow-mem [iff]: $x \in \text{Fow } A \longleftrightarrow \langle x \rangle_f \subseteq A$

by (auto simp add: Fow-def)

lemma *Fow-UNIV* [*simp*]: *Fow UNIV = UNIV*
by (*simp add:Fow-def*)

lift-definition *FMax* :: (*'a::linorder*) *fset* \Rightarrow *'a is Max* .

end

5 Countable Sets: Extra functions and properties

theory *Countable-Set-Extra*
imports
HOL-Library.Countable-Set-Type
Sequence
FSet-Extra
HOL-Library.Bit
begin

5.1 Extra syntax

notation *cempty* ($\{\}_c$)
notation *cin* (**infix** \in_c 50)
notation *cUn* (**infixl** \cup_c 65)
notation *cInt* (**infixl** \cap_c 70)
notation *cDiff* (**infixl** $-_c$ 65)
notation *cUnion* (\bigcup_c [900] 900)
notation *cimage* (**infixr** $'_c$ 90)

abbreviation *csubseq* :: *'a cset* \Rightarrow *'a cset* \Rightarrow *bool* ($(-/ \subseteq_c -)$ [51, 51] 50)
where $A \subseteq_c B \equiv A \leq B$

abbreviation *csubset* :: *'a cset* \Rightarrow *'a cset* \Rightarrow *bool* ($(-/ \subset_c -)$ [51, 51] 50)
where $A \subset_c B \equiv A < B$

5.2 Countable set functions

setup-lifting *type-definition-cset*

lift-definition *cnin* :: *'a* \Rightarrow *'a cset* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \notin_c 50) **is** *op* \notin .

definition *cBall* :: *'a cset* \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
cBall *A* *P* = ($\forall x. x \in_c A \longrightarrow P x$)

definition *cBex* :: *'a cset* \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
cBex *A* *P* = ($\exists x. x \in_c A \longrightarrow P x$)

declare *cBall-def* [*mono,simp*]
declare *cBex-def* [*mono,simp*]

syntax

-cBall :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *'a cset* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* ($(\exists \forall -\in_c - / -)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-cBex :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *'a cset* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* ($(\exists \exists -\in_c - / -)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)

translations

$\forall x \in_c A. P == \text{CONST } cBall \ A \ (\%x. P)$
 $\exists x \in_c A. P == \text{CONST } cBex \ A \ (\%x. P)$

definition *cset-Collect* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a cset **where**
cset-Collect = (acset o Collect)

lift-definition *cset-Coll* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a cset **is** $\lambda A P. \{x \in A. P x\}$
by (auto)

lemma *cset-Coll-equiv*: *cset-Coll* A P = *cset-Collect* ($\lambda x. x \in_c A \wedge P x$)
by (simp add: *cset-Collect-def cset-Coll-def cin-def*)

declare *cset-Collect-def* [simp]

syntax

-cColl :: pttrn \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a cset ((1{-./-}c))

translations

$\{x . P\}_c \rightleftharpoons (CONST \text{ cset-Collect}) (\lambda x . P)$

syntax (xsymbols)

-cCollect :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a cset \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a cset ((1{- \in_c / -./-}c))

translations

$\{x \in_c A. P\}_c \Rightarrow CONST \text{ cset-Coll } A (\lambda x. P)$

lemma *cset-CollectI*: P (a :: 'a::countable) $\Longrightarrow a \in_c \{x. P x\}_c$
by (simp add: cin-def)

lemma *cset-CollI*: $\llbracket a \in_c A; P a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in_c \{x \in_c A. P x\}_c$
by (simp add: cin.rep-eq cset-Coll.rep-eq)

lemma *cset-CollectD*: (a :: 'a::countable) $\in_c \{x. P x\}_c \Longrightarrow P a$
by (simp add: cin-def)

lemma *cset-Collect-cong*: ($\bigwedge x. P x = Q x$) $\Longrightarrow \{x. P x\}_c = \{x. Q x\}_c$
by simp

— Avoid eta-contraction for robust pretty-printing.

print-translation (

[Syntax-Trans.preserve-binder-abs-tr'
@{const-syntax cset-Collect} @{syntax-const -cColl}]

)

lift-definition *cset-set* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a cset **is** set
using countable-finite **by** blast

lemma *countable-finite-power*:

countable(A) \Longrightarrow countable $\{B. B \subseteq A \wedge \text{finite}(B)\}$
by (metis Collect-conj-eq Int-commute countable-Collect-finite-subset)

lift-definition *cINTER* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b cset) \Rightarrow 'b cset **is**
 $\lambda A f. \text{if } (A = \{\}) \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } INTER A f$
by (auto)

definition *cInter* :: 'a cset cset \Rightarrow 'a cset (\bigcap_c - [900] 900) **where**
 $\bigcap_c A = cINTER A id$

lift-definition *cfinite* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow bool **is** *finite* .
lift-definition *cInfinite* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow bool **is** *infinite* .
lift-definition *clist* :: 'a::linorder cset \Rightarrow 'a list **is** *sorted-list-of-set* .
lift-definition *ccard* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow nat **is** *card* .
lift-definition *cPow* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow 'a cset cset **is** $\lambda A. \{B. B \subseteq_c A \wedge cfinite(B)\}$
proof –
 fix A
 have $\{B :: 'a \text{ cset}. B \subseteq_c A \wedge cfinite B\} = acset \text{ ' } \{B :: 'a \text{ set}. B \subseteq rcset A \wedge finite B\}$
 apply (auto simp add: cfinite.rep-eq cin-def less-eq-cset-def countable-finite)
 using image-iff **apply** fastforce
 done

 moreover have countable $\{B :: 'a \text{ set}. B \subseteq rcset A \wedge finite B\}$
 by (auto intro: countable-finite-power)

 ultimately show countable $\{B. B \subseteq_c A \wedge cfinite B\}$
 by simp
qed

definition *CCollect* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool option) \Rightarrow 'a cset option **where**
CCollect p = (if (None \notin range p) then Some (cset-Collect (the \circ p)) else None)

definition *cset-mapM* :: 'a option cset \Rightarrow 'a cset option **where**
cset-mapM A = (if (None \in_c A) then None else Some (the 'c A))

lemma *cset-mapM-Some-image* [simp]:
cset-mapM (cimage Some A) = Some A
apply (auto simp add: cset-mapM-def)
apply (metis cimage-cinsert cinsertI1 option.sel set-cinsert)
done

definition *CCollect-ext* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b option) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool option) \Rightarrow 'b cset option **where**
CCollect-ext f p = do { *xs* \leftarrow CCollect p; *cset-mapM* (f 'c *xs*) }

lemma *the-Some-image* [simp]:
the ' Some ' *xs* = *xs*
by (auto simp add: image-iff)

lemma *CCollect-ext-Some* [simp]:
CCollect-ext Some *xs* = CCollect *xs*
apply (case-tac CCollect *xs*)
apply (auto simp add: CCollect-ext-def)
done

lift-definition *list-of-cset* :: 'a :: linorder cset \Rightarrow 'a list **is** *sorted-list-of-set* .

lift-definition *fset-cset* :: 'a fset \Rightarrow 'a cset **is** *id*
using uncountable-infinite **by** auto

definition *cset-count* :: 'a cset \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat **where**
cset-count A =
(if (finite (rcset A))
then (SOME f::'a \Rightarrow nat. inj-on f (rcset A))
else (SOME f::'a \Rightarrow nat. bij-betw f (rcset A) UNIV))

```

lemma cset-count-inj-seq:
  inj-on (cset-count A) (rcset A)
proof (cases finite (rcset A))
  case True note fin = this
  obtain count :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where count-inj: inj-on count (rcset A)
    by (metis countable-def mem-Collect-eq rcset)
  with fin show ?thesis
    by (metis (poly-guards-query) cset-count-def someI-ex)
next
  case False note inf = this
  obtain count :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where count-bij: bij-betw count (rcset A) UNIV
    by (metis countableE-infinite inf mem-Collect-eq rcset)
  with inf have bij-betw (cset-count A) (rcset A) UNIV
    by (metis (poly-guards-query) cset-count-def someI-ex)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on)
qed

lemma cset-count-infinite-bij:
  assumes infinite (rcset A)
  shows bij-betw (cset-count A) (rcset A) UNIV
proof -
  from assms obtain count :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where count-bij: bij-betw count (rcset A) UNIV
    by (metis countableE-infinite mem-Collect-eq rcset)
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (metis (poly-guards-query) cset-count-def someI-ex)
qed

definition cset-seq :: 'a cset  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\rightarrow$  'a) where
  cset-seq A i = (if (i  $\in$  range (cset-count A)  $\wedge$  inv-into (rcset A) (cset-count A) i  $\in_c$  A)
    then Some (inv-into (rcset A) (cset-count A) i)
    else None)

lemma cset-seq-ran: ran (cset-seq A) = rcset(A)
  apply (auto simp add: ran-def cset-seq-def cin.rep-eq)
  apply (metis cset-count-inj-seq inv-into-f-f rangeI)
done

lemma cset-seq-inj: inj cset-seq
proof (rule injI)
  fix A B :: 'a cset
  assume cset-seq A = cset-seq B
  thus A = B
    by (metis cset-seq-ran rcset-inverse)
qed

lift-definition cset2seq :: 'a cset  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq
is ( $\lambda$  A i. if (i  $\in$  cset-count A  $\wedge$  rcset A) then inv-into (rcset A) (cset-count A) i else (SOME x. x  $\in_c$  A)) .

lemma range-cset2seq:
  A  $\neq \{\}_c \implies$  range (Rep-seq (cset2seq A)) = rcset A
  by (force intro: someI2 simp add: cset2seq.rep-eq cset-count-inj-seq bot-cset.rep-eq cin.rep-eq)

```


lemma *infinite-cset-count-surj*: $\text{infinite } (\text{rcset } A) \implies \text{surj } (\text{cset-count } A)$
using *bij-betw-imp-surj cset-count-infinite-bij* **by** *auto*

lemma *cset2seq-inj*:
inj-on cset2seq $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$
apply (*rule inj-onI*)
apply (*simp*)
apply (*metis range-cset2seq rcset-inject*)
done

lift-definition *nat-seq2set* :: $\text{nat seq} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ **is**
 $\lambda f. \text{prod-encode } \{(x, f x) \mid x. \text{True}\}.$

lemma *inj-nat-seq2set*: *inj nat-seq2set*
proof (*rule injI, transfer*)
fix *f g*
assume $\text{prod-encode } \{(x, f x) \mid x. \text{True}\} = \text{prod-encode } \{(x, g x) \mid x. \text{True}\}$
hence $\{(x, f x) \mid x. \text{True}\} = \{(x, g x) \mid x. \text{True}\}$
by (*simp add: inj-image-eq-iff[OF inj-prod-encode]*)
thus $f = g$
by (*auto simp add: set-eq-iff*)
qed

lift-definition *bit-seq-of-nat-set* :: $\text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bit seq}$
is $\lambda A i. \text{if } (i \in A) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0.$

lemma *bit-seq-of-nat-set-inj*: *inj bit-seq-of-nat-set*
apply (*rule injI*)
apply (*transfer, auto*)
apply (*metis bit.distinct(1)*)
apply (*meson zero-neq-one*)
done

lemma *bit-seq-of-nat-cset-bij*: *bij bit-seq-of-nat-set*
apply (*rule bijI*)
apply (*fact bit-seq-of-nat-set-inj*)
apply (*auto simp add: image-def*)
apply (*transfer*)
apply (*rename-tac x*)
apply (*rule-tac x={i. x i = 1} in exI*)
apply (*auto*)
done

This function is a partial injection from countable sets of natural sets to natural sets. When used with the Schroeder-Bernstein theorem, it can be used to conjure a total bijection between these two types.

definition *nat-set-cset-collapse* :: $\text{nat set cset} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ **where**
 $\text{nat-set-cset-collapse} = \text{inv bit-seq-of-nat-set} \circ \text{seq-inj} \circ \text{cset2seq} \circ (\lambda A. (\text{bit-seq-of-nat-set } {}_c A))$

lemma *nat-set-cset-collapse-inj*: *inj-on nat-set-cset-collapse* $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$
proof –
have $\text{op } {}_c \text{ bit-seq-of-nat-set } \{(A. A \neq \{\}_c) \subseteq \{(A. A \neq \{\}_c)\}$
by (*auto simp add: cimage.rep-eq*)
thus *?thesis*
apply (*simp add: nat-set-cset-collapse-def*)

```

    apply (rule comp-inj-on)
    apply (meson bit-seq-of-nat-set-inj cset.inj-map injD inj-onI)
    apply (rule comp-inj-on)
    apply (metis cset2seq-inj subset-inj-on)
    apply (rule comp-inj-on)
    apply (rule subset-inj-on)
    apply (rule seq-inj)
    apply (simp)
    apply (meson UNIV-I bij-imp-bij-inv bij-is-inj bit-seq-of-nat-cset-bij subsetI subset-inj-on)
  done
qed

lemma inj-csingle:
  inj csingle
  by (auto intro: injI simp add: cinsert-def bot-cset.rep-eq)

lemma range-csingle:
  range csingle  $\subseteq$   $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$ 
  by (auto)

lift-definition csets :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a cset set is
 $\lambda A. \{B. B \subseteq A \wedge \text{countable } B\}$  by auto

lemma csets-finite: finite A  $\implies$  finite (csets A)
  by (auto simp add: csets-def)

lemma csets-infinite: infinite A  $\implies$  infinite (csets A)
  by (auto simp add: csets-def, metis csets.abs-eq csets.rep-eq finite-countable-subset finite-imageI)

lemma csets-UNIV:
  csets (UNIV :: 'a set) = (UNIV :: 'a cset set)
  by (auto simp add: csets-def, metis image-iff rcset rcset-inverse)

lemma infinite-nempty-cset:
  assumes infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)
  shows infinite ( $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\} :: 'a \text{ cset set}$ )
proof -
  have infinite (UNIV :: 'a cset set)
    by (metis assms csets-UNIV csets-infinite)
  hence infinite ((UNIV :: 'a cset set) -  $\{\{\}_c\}$ )
    by (rule infinite-remove)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto)
qed

lemma nat-set-cset-partial-bij:
  obtains f :: nat set cset  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where bij-betw f  $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$  UNIV
  using Schroeder-Bernstein[OF nat-set-cset-collapse-inj, of UNIV csingle, simplified, OF inj-csingle
range-csingle]
  by (auto)

lemma nat-set-cset-bij:
  obtains f :: nat set cset  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where bij f
proof -
  obtain g :: nat set cset  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where bij-betw g  $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$  UNIV

```

```

    using nat-set-cset-partial-bij by blast
moreover obtain h :: nat set cset  $\Rightarrow$  nat set cset where bij-betw h UNIV  $\{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$ 
proof -
  have infinite (UNIV :: nat set cset set)
    by (metis Finite-Set.finite-set csets-UNIV csets-infinite infinite-UNIV-char-0)
  then obtain h' :: nat set cset  $\Rightarrow$  nat set cset where bij-betw h' UNIV (UNIV -  $\{\{\}_c\}$ )
    using infinite-imp-bij-betw[of UNIV :: nat set cset set  $\{\}_c$ ] by auto
  moreover have (UNIV :: nat set cset set) -  $\{\{\}_c\} = \{A. A \neq \{\}_c\}$ 
    by (auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that by (auto)
qed
ultimately have bij (g o h)
  using bij-betw-trans by blast
with that show ?thesis
  by (auto)
qed

```

definition *nat-set-cset-bij* = (SOME f :: nat set cset \Rightarrow nat set. bij f)

lemma *bij-nat-set-cset-bij*:
bij nat-set-cset-bij
 by (metis nat-set-cset-bij nat-set-cset-bij-def someI-ex)

lemma *inj-on-image-csets*:
inj-on f A \Longrightarrow inj-on (op 'c f) (csets A)
 by (fastforce simp add: inj-on-def cimage-def cin-def csets-def)

lemma *image-csets-surj*:
 $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \text{ } A; f \text{ ' } A = B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{op 'c } f \text{ ' csets } A = \text{csets } B$
 apply (auto simp add: cimage-def csets-def image-mono map-fun-def)
 apply (simp add: image-comp)
 apply (auto simp add: image-Collect)
 apply (erule subset-imageE)
 apply (auto)
 apply (metis countable-image rcset-inverse rcset-to-rcset subset-inj-on the-inv-into-onto)
 done

lemma *bij-betw-image-csets*:
bij-betw f A B \Longrightarrow bij-betw (op 'c f) (csets A) (csets B)
 by (simp add: bij-betw-def inj-on-image-csets image-csets-surj)
 end

6 Map Type: extra functions and properties

```

theory Map-Extra
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Countable-Set
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

```

6.1 Functional Relations

definition *functional* :: ('a * 'b) set \Rightarrow bool where

functional $g = \text{inj-on fst } g$

definition *functional-list* :: ('a * 'b) list \Rightarrow bool **where**
functional-list xs = (\forall x y z. ListMem (x,y) xs \wedge ListMem (x,z) xs \longrightarrow y = z)

lemma *functional-insert* [simp]: *functional* (insert (x,y) g) \longleftrightarrow (g "{x} \subseteq {y}" \wedge *functional* g)
 by (auto simp add: functional-def inj-on-def image-def)

lemma *functional-list-nil* [simp]: *functional-list* []
 by (simp add: functional-list-def ListMem-iff)

lemma *functional-list*: *functional-list* xs \longleftrightarrow *functional* (set xs)
 apply (induct xs)
 apply (simp add: functional-def)
 apply (simp add: functional-def functional-list-def ListMem-iff)
 apply (safe)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 apply (force)
 done

6.2 Graphing Maps

definition *map-graph* :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) set **where**
map-graph f = {(x,y) | x y. f x = Some y}

definition *graph-map* :: ('a * 'b) set \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) **where**
graph-map g = (λ x. if (x \in fst 'g) then Some (SOME y. (x,y) \in g) else None)

definition *graph-map'* :: ('a \times 'b) set \rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) **where**
graph-map' R = (if (functional R) then Some (graph-map R) else None)

lemma *map-graph-mem-equiv*: (x, y) \in *map-graph* f \longleftrightarrow f(x) = Some y
 by (simp add: map-graph-def)

lemma *map-graph-functional* [simp]: *functional* (*map-graph* f)
 by (simp add: functional-def map-graph-def inj-on-def)

lemma *map-graph-countable* [simp]: countable (dom f) \implies countable (*map-graph* f)
 apply (auto simp add: map-graph-def countable-def)
 apply (rename-tac f')
 apply (rule-tac x=f' \circ fst in exI)
 apply (auto simp add: inj-on-def dom-def)
 apply fastforce
 done

lemma *map-graph-inv* [simp]: *graph-map* (*map-graph* f) = f
 by (auto intro!: ext simp add: map-graph-def graph-map-def image-def)

lemma *graph-map-empty* [simp]: *graph-map* {} = empty
 by (simp add: graph-map-def)

lemma *graph-map-insert* [simp]: $\llbracket \text{functional } g; g^{-1}\{x\} \subseteq \{y\} \rrbracket \implies \text{graph-map } (\text{insert } (x,y) \ g) = (\text{graph-map } g)(x \mapsto y)$
by (rule ext, auto simp add:graph-map-def)

lemma *dom-map-graph*: $\text{dom } f = \text{Domain}(\text{map-graph } f)$
by (simp add: map-graph-def dom-def image-def)

lemma *ran-map-graph*: $\text{ran } f = \text{Range}(\text{map-graph } f)$
by (simp add: map-graph-def ran-def image-def)

lemma *ran-map-add-subset*:
 $\text{ran } (x ++ y) \subseteq (\text{ran } x) \cup (\text{ran } y)$
by (auto simp add:ran-def)

lemma *finite-dom-graph*: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } f) \implies \text{finite } (\text{map-graph } f)$
by (metis dom-map-graph finite-imageD fst-eq-Domain functional-def map-graph-functional)

lemma *finite-dom-ran* [simp]: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } f) \implies \text{finite } (\text{ran } f)$
by (metis finite-Range finite-dom-graph ran-map-graph)

lemma *graph-map-inv* [simp]: $\text{functional } g \implies \text{map-graph } (\text{graph-map } g) = g$
apply (auto simp add:map-graph-def graph-map-def functional-def)
apply (metis (lifting, no-types) image-iff option.distinct(1) option.inject someI surjective-pairing)
apply (simp add:inj-on-def)
apply (metis fst-conv snd-conv some-equality)
apply (metis (lifting) fst-conv image-iff)
done

lemma *graph-map-dom*: $\text{dom } (\text{graph-map } R) = \text{fst} \circ R$
by (simp add: graph-map-def dom-def)

lemma *graph-map-countable-dom*: $\text{countable } R \implies \text{countable } (\text{dom } (\text{graph-map } R))$
by (simp add: graph-map-dom)

lemma *countable-ran*:
assumes countable (dom f)
shows countable (ran f)
proof –
have countable (map-graph f)
by (simp add: assms)
then have countable (Range(map-graph f))
by (simp add: Range-snd)
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: ran-map-graph)
qed

lemma *map-graph-inv'* [simp]:
 $\text{graph-map}' (\text{map-graph } f) = \text{Some } f$
by (simp add: graph-map'-def)

lemma *map-graph-inj*:
inj map-graph
by (metis injI map-graph-inv)

lemma *map-eq-graph*: $f = g \longleftrightarrow \text{map-graph } f = \text{map-graph } g$
by (*auto simp add: inj-eq map-graph-inj*)

lemma *map-le-graph*: $f \subseteq_m g \longleftrightarrow \text{map-graph } f \subseteq \text{map-graph } g$
by (*force simp add: map-le-def map-graph-def*)

lemma *map-graph-comp*: $\text{map-graph } (g \circ_m f) = (\text{map-graph } f) \circ (\text{map-graph } g)$
apply (*auto simp add: map-comp-def map-graph-def relcomp-unfold*)
apply (*rename-tac a b*)
apply (*case-tac f a, auto*)
done

6.3 Map Application

definition *map-apply* :: $('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ $(-'(-)_{\text{m}} [999, 0] 999)$ **where**
map-apply = $(\lambda f x. \text{the } (f x))$

6.4 Map Membership

fun *map-member* :: $'a \times 'b \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*infix* \in_m 50) **where**
 $(k, v) \in_m m \longleftrightarrow m(k) = \text{Some}(v)$

lemma *map-ext*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in_m A \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in_m B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A = B$
by (*rule ext, auto, metis not-Some-eq*)

lemma *map-member-alt-def*:
 $(x, y) \in_m A \longleftrightarrow (x \in \text{dom } A \wedge A(x)_m = y)$
by (*auto simp add: map-apply-def*)

lemma *map-le-member*:
 $f \subseteq_m g \longleftrightarrow (\forall x y. (x, y) \in_m f \longrightarrow (x, y) \in_m g)$
by (*force simp add: map-le-def*)

6.5 Preimage

definition *preimage* :: $('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
preimage $f B = \{x \in \text{dom}(f). \text{the}(f(x)) \in B\}$

lemma *preimage-range*: $\text{preimage } f (\text{ran } f) = \text{dom } f$
by (*auto simp add: preimage-def ran-def*)

lemma *dom-preimage*: $\text{dom } (m \circ_m f) = \text{preimage } f (\text{dom } m)$
apply (*auto simp add: dom-def preimage-def*)
apply (*meson map-comp-Some-iff*)
apply (*metis map-comp-def option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1)*)
done

lemma *countable-preimage*:
 $\llbracket \text{countable } A; \text{inj-on } f (\text{preimage } f A) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{countable } (\text{preimage } f A)$
apply (*auto simp add: countable-def*)
apply (*rename-tac g*)
apply (*rule-tac x=g \circ the \circ f in exI*)
apply (*rule inj-onI*)
apply (*drule inj-onD*)
apply (*auto simp add: preimage-def inj-onD*)

done

6.6 Minus operation for maps

definition *map-minus* :: ($'a \rightarrow 'b$) \Rightarrow ($'a \rightarrow 'b$) \Rightarrow ($'a \rightarrow 'b$) (**infixl** $--$ 100)
where *map-minus* $f\ g = (\lambda\ x.\ \text{if } (f\ x = g\ x)\ \text{then } \text{None}\ \text{else } f\ x)$

lemma *map-minus-apply* [*simp*]: $y \in \text{dom}(f -- g) \Rightarrow (f -- g)(y)_m = f(y)_m$
by (*auto simp add: map-minus-def dom-def map-apply-def*)

lemma *map-member-plus*:
 $(x, y) \in_m f ++ g \iff ((x \notin \text{dom}(g) \wedge (x, y) \in_m f) \vee (x, y) \in_m g)$
by (*auto simp add: map-add-Some-iff*)

lemma *map-member-minus*:
 $(x, y) \in_m f -- g \iff (x, y) \in_m f \wedge (\neg (x, y) \in_m g)$
by (*auto simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *map-minus-plus-commute*:
 $\text{dom}(g) \cap \text{dom}(h) = \{\} \Rightarrow (f -- g) ++ h = (f ++ h) -- g$
apply (*rule map-ext*)
apply (*auto simp add: map-member-plus map-member-minus simp del: map-member.simps*)
apply (*auto simp add: map-member-alt-def*)
done

lemma *map-graph-minus*: $\text{map-graph } (f -- g) = \text{map-graph } f - \text{map-graph } g$
by (*auto simp add: map-minus-def map-graph-def, (meson option.distinct(1))+*)

lemma *map-minus-common-subset*:
 $\llbracket h \subseteq_m f; h \subseteq_m g \rrbracket \Rightarrow (f -- h = g -- h) = (f = g)$
by (*auto simp add: map-eq-graph map-graph-minus map-le-graph*)

6.7 Map Bind

Create some extra intro/elim rules to help dealing with proof about option bind.

lemma *option-bindSomeE* [*elim!*]:
 $\llbracket X >>= F = \text{Some}(v); \bigwedge x. \llbracket X = \text{Some}(x); F(x) = \text{Some}(v) \rrbracket \Rightarrow P \rrbracket \Rightarrow P$
by (*case-tac X, auto*)

lemma *option-bindSomeI* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket X = \text{Some}(x); F(x) = \text{Some}(y) \rrbracket \Rightarrow X >>= F = \text{Some}(y)$
by (*simp*)

lemma *ifSomeE* [*elim*]: $\llbracket (\text{if } c \text{ then } \text{Some}(x) \text{ else } \text{None}) = \text{Some}(y); \llbracket c; x = y \rrbracket \Rightarrow P \rrbracket \Rightarrow P$
by (*case-tac c, auto*)

6.8 Range Restriction

A range restriction operator; only domain restriction is provided in HOL.

definition *ran-restrict-map* :: ($'a \rightarrow 'b$) \Rightarrow $'b\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'b$ (\neg [111,110] 110) **where**
 $\text{ran-restrict-map } f\ B = (\lambda x.\ \text{do } \{ v <- f(x); \text{if } (v \in B) \text{ then } \text{Some}(v) \text{ else } \text{None} \})$

lemma *ran-restrict-empty* [*simp*]: $f \upharpoonright \{\} = \text{Map.empty}$
by (*simp add: ran-restrict-map-def*)

lemma *ran-restrict-ran* [simp]: $f \upharpoonright_{\text{ran}(f)} = f$
apply (auto simp add:ran-restrict-map-def ran-def)
apply (rule ext)
apply (case-tac $f(x)$, auto)
done

lemma *ran-ran-restrict* [simp]: $\text{ran}(f \upharpoonright_B) = \text{ran}(f) \cap B$
by (auto intro!:option-bindSomeI simp add:ran-restrict-map-def ran-def)

lemma *dom-ran-restrict*: $\text{dom}(f \upharpoonright_B) \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$
by (auto simp add:ran-restrict-map-def dom-def)

lemma *ran-restrict-finite-dom* [intro]:
 $\text{finite}(\text{dom}(f)) \implies \text{finite}(\text{dom}(f \upharpoonright_B))$
by (metis finite-subset dom-ran-restrict)

lemma *dom-Some* [simp]: $\text{dom}(\text{Some} \circ f) = \text{UNIV}$
by (auto)

lemma *dom-left-map-add* [simp]: $x \in \text{dom } g \implies (f ++ g) x = g x$
by (auto simp add:map-add-def dom-def)

lemma *dom-right-map-add* [simp]: $\llbracket x \notin \text{dom } g; x \in \text{dom } f \rrbracket \implies (f ++ g) x = f x$
by (auto simp add:map-add-def dom-def)

lemma *map-add-restrict*:
 $f ++ g = (f \upharpoonright_{(- \text{dom } g)}) ++ g$
by (rule ext, auto simp add: map-add-def restrict-map-def)

6.9 Map Inverse and Identity

definition *map-inv* :: $('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $\text{map-inv } f \equiv \lambda y. \text{if } (y \in \text{ran } f) \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{SOME } x. f x = y) \text{ else } \text{None}$

definition *map-id-on* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $\text{map-id-on } xs \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } (x \in xs) \text{ then } \text{Some } x \text{ else } \text{None}$

lemma *map-id-on-in* [simp]:
 $x \in xs \implies \text{map-id-on } xs x = \text{Some } x$
by (simp add:map-id-on-def)

lemma *map-id-on-out* [simp]:
 $x \notin xs \implies \text{map-id-on } xs x = \text{None}$
by (simp add:map-id-on-def)

lemma *map-id-dom* [simp]: $\text{dom}(\text{map-id-on } xs) = xs$
by (simp add:dom-def map-id-on-def)

lemma *map-id-ran* [simp]: $\text{ran}(\text{map-id-on } xs) = xs$
by (force simp add:ran-def map-id-on-def)

lemma *map-id-on-UNIV* [simp]: $\text{map-id-on } \text{UNIV} = \text{Some}$
by (simp add:map-id-on-def)


```

lemma map-id-on-inj [simp]:
  inj-on (map-id-on xs) xs
  by (simp add:inj-on-def)

lemma map-inv-empty [simp]: map-inv empty = empty
  by (simp add:map-inv-def)

lemma map-inv-id [simp]:
  map-inv (map-id-on xs) = map-id-on xs
  by (force simp add:map-inv-def map-id-on-def ran-def)

lemma map-inv-Some [simp]: map-inv Some = Some
  by (simp add:map-inv-def ran-def)

lemma map-inv-f-f [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \text{ (dom } f); f\ x = \text{Some } y \rrbracket \implies \text{map-inv } f\ y = \text{Some } x$ 
  apply (auto simp add: map-inv-def)
  apply (rule some-equality)
  apply (auto simp add:inj-on-def dom-def ran-def)
  done

lemma dom-map-inv [simp]:
  dom (map-inv f) = ran f
  by (auto simp add:map-inv-def)

lemma ran-map-inv [simp]:
  inj-on f (dom f)  $\implies$  ran (map-inv f) = dom f
  apply (auto simp add:map-inv-def ran-def)
  apply (rename-tac a b)
  apply (rule-tac x=a in exI)
  apply (force intro:someI)
  apply (rename-tac x y)
  apply (rule-tac x=y in exI)
  apply (auto)
  apply (rule some-equality, simp-all)
  apply (auto simp add:inj-on-def dom-def)
  done

lemma dom-image-ran: f ‘ dom f = Some ‘ ran f
  by (auto simp add:dom-def ran-def image-def)

lemma inj-map-inv [intro]:
  inj-on f (dom f)  $\implies$  inj-on (map-inv f) (ran f)
  apply (auto simp add:map-inv-def inj-on-def dom-def ran-def)
  apply (rename-tac x y u v)
  apply (rule-tac P= $\lambda$  xa. f xa = Some x in some-equality)
  apply (auto)
  apply (metis (mono-tags) option.sel someI)
  done

lemma inj-map-bij: inj-on f (dom f)  $\implies$  bij-betw f (dom f) (Some ‘ ran f)
  by (auto simp add:inj-on-def dom-def ran-def image-def bij-betw-def)

lemma map-inv-map-inv [simp]:
  assumes inj-on f (dom f)

```

```

shows map-inv (map-inv f) = f
proof -

from assms have inj-on (map-inv f) (ran f)
  by auto

thus ?thesis
  apply (rule-tac ext)
  apply (rename-tac x)
  apply (case-tac  $\exists y. \text{map-inv } f \ y = \text{Some } x$ )
  apply (auto)[1]
  apply (simp add:map-inv-def)
  apply (rename-tac x y)
  apply (case-tac  $y \in \text{ran } f, \text{simp-all}$ )
  apply (auto)
  apply (rule someI2-ex)
  apply (simp add:ran-def)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis assms dom-image-ran dom-map-inv image-iff map-add-dom-app-simps(2) map-add-dom-app-simps(3)
ran-map-inv)
  done
qed

lemma map-self-adjoin-complete [intro]:
  assumes dom f  $\cap$  ran f = {} inj-on f (dom f)
  shows inj-on (map-inv f ++ f) (dom f  $\cup$  ran f)
  apply (rule inj-onI)
  apply (insert assms)
  apply (rename-tac x y)
  apply (case-tac  $x \in \text{dom } f$ )
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac  $y \in \text{dom } f$ )
  apply (simp add:inj-on-def)
  apply (case-tac  $y \in \text{ran } f$ )
  apply (subgoal-tac  $y \in \text{dom } (\text{map-inv } f)$ )
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis Int-iff domD empty-iff ranI ran-map-inv)
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac  $y \in \text{dom } f$ )
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac  $y \in \text{ran } f$ )
  apply (subgoal-tac  $y \in \text{dom } (\text{map-inv } f)$ )
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis Int-iff domD empty-iff ranI ran-map-inv)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis Int-iff domD empty-iff ranI ran-map-inv)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis (lifting) inj-map-inv inj-on-contrad)
  done

lemma inj-completed-map [intro]:
   $\llbracket \text{dom } f = \text{ran } f; \text{inj-on } f (\text{dom } f) \rrbracket \implies \text{inj } (\text{Some } ++ f)$ 
  apply (drule inj-map-bij)

```

```

apply (auto simp add:bij-betw-def)
apply (auto simp add:inj-on-def)[1]
apply (rename-tac x y)
apply (case-tac x  $\in$  dom f)
  apply (simp)
apply (case-tac y  $\in$  dom f)
  apply (simp)
apply (simp add:ran-def)
apply (case-tac y  $\in$  dom f)
apply (auto intro:ranI)
done

```

```

lemma bij-completed-map [intro]:
   $\llbracket \text{dom } f = \text{ran } f; \text{inj-on } f (\text{dom } f) \rrbracket \implies$ 
    bij-betw (Some ++ f) UNIV (range Some)
apply (auto intro: inj-completed-map simp add:bij-betw-def)
apply (rename-tac x)
apply (case-tac x  $\in$  dom f)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis domD rangeI)
apply (simp)
apply (simp add:image-def)
apply (metis (full-types) dom-image-ran dom-left-map-add image-iff map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
done

```

```

lemma bij-map-Some:
  bij-betw f a (Some ' b)  $\implies$  bij-betw (the  $\circ$  f) a b
apply (simp add:bij-betw-def)
apply (safe)
  apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) comp-inj-on-iff f-the-inv-into-f inj-on-inverseI option.sel)
  apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) comp-apply image-iff option.sel)
apply (metis imageI image-comp option.sel)
done

```

```

lemma ran-map-add [simp]:
   $m'(\text{dom } m \cap \text{dom } n) = n'(\text{dom } m \cap \text{dom } n) \implies$ 
    ran(m++n) = ran n  $\cup$  ran m
apply (auto simp add:ran-def)
apply (metis map-add-find-right)
apply (rename-tac x a)
apply (case-tac a  $\in$  dom n)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists$  b. n b = Some x)
  apply (auto)
  apply (rename-tac x a b y)
  apply (rule-tac x=b in exI)
  apply (simp)
apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) IntI domI image-iff)
apply (metis (full-types) map-add-None map-add-dom-app-simps(1) map-add-dom-app-simps(3) not-None-eq)
done

```

```

lemma ran-maplets [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{ran } [xs \mapsto] ys = \text{set } ys$ 
  by (induct rule:list-induct2, simp-all)

```

```

lemma inj-map-add:

```

$\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \text{ (dom } f); \text{inj-on } g \text{ (dom } g); \text{ran } f \cap \text{ran } g = \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (f ++ g) \text{ (dom } f \cup \text{dom } g)$
apply (auto simp add:inj-on-def)
apply (metis (full-types) disjoint-iff-not-equal domI dom-left-map-add map-add-dom-app-simps(3)
 ranI)
apply (metis domI)
apply (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal ranI)
apply (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal domIff map-add-Some-iff ranI)
apply (metis domI)
done

lemma map-inv-add [simp]:
assumes inj-on f (dom f) inj-on g (dom g)
 $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g = \{\} \text{ran } f \cap \text{ran } g = \{\}$
shows map-inv $(f ++ g) = \text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g$
proof (rule ext)

from assms **have** minj: inj-on $(f ++ g)$ (dom $(f ++ g)$)
by (simp, metis inj-map-add sup-commute)

fix x
have $x \in \text{ran } g \implies \text{map-inv } (f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
proof –

assume ran: $x \in \text{ran } g$
then obtain y **where** dom: $g \ y = \text{Some } x \ y \in \text{dom } g$
by (auto simp add:ran-def)

hence $(f ++ g) \ y = \text{Some } x$
by simp

with assms minj ran dom **show** map-inv $(f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
by simp

qed

moreover have $\llbracket x \notin \text{ran } g; x \in \text{ran } f \rrbracket \implies \text{map-inv } (f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
proof –

assume ran: $x \notin \text{ran } g \ x \in \text{ran } f$
with assms **obtain** y **where** dom: $f \ y = \text{Some } x \ y \in \text{dom } f \ y \notin \text{dom } g$
by (auto simp add:ran-def)

with ran **have** $(f ++ g) \ y = \text{Some } x$
by (simp)

with assms minj ran dom **show** map-inv $(f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
by simp

qed

moreover from assms minj **have** $\llbracket x \notin \text{ran } g; x \notin \text{ran } f \rrbracket \implies \text{map-inv } (f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f$
 $++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
apply (auto simp add:map-inv-def ran-def map-add-def)
apply (metis dom-left-map-add map-add-def map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
done

ultimately show map-inv $(f ++ g) \ x = (\text{map-inv } f ++ \text{map-inv } g) \ x$
apply (case-tac $x \in \text{ran } g$)

```

    apply (simp)
    apply (case-tac x ∈ ran f)
    apply (simp-all)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma map-add-lookup [simp]:
  x ∉ dom f ⇒ ([x ↦ y] ++ f) x = Some y
  by (simp add:map-add-def dom-def)

```

```

lemma map-add-Some: Some ++ f = map-id-on (− dom f) ++ f
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (rename-tac x)
  apply (case-tac x ∈ dom f)
  apply (simp-all)
  done

```

```

lemma distinct-map-dom:
  x ∉ set xs ⇒ x ∉ dom [xs [↦] ys]
  by (simp add:dom-def)

```

```

lemma distinct-map-ran:
  [| distinct xs; y ∉ set ys; length xs = length ys |] ⇒
  y ∉ ran ([xs [↦] ys])
  apply (simp add:map-upds-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac distinct (map fst (rev (zip xs ys))))
  apply (simp add:ran-distinct)
  apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) image-iff set-zip-rightD surjective-pairing)
  apply (simp add:zip-rev[THEN sym])
  done

```

```

lemma maplets-lookup[rule-format,dest]:
  [| length xs = length ys; distinct xs |] ⇒
  ∀ y. [xs [↦] ys] x = Some y ⟶ y ∈ set ys
  by (induct rule:list-induct2, auto)

```

```

lemma maplets-distinct-inj [intro]:
  [| length xs = length ys; distinct xs; distinct ys; set xs ∩ set ys = {} |] ⇒
  inj-on [xs [↦] ys] (set xs)
  apply (induct rule:list-induct2)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule inj-onI)
  apply (rename-tac x xs y ys xa ya)
  apply (case-tac xa = x)
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac xa = y)
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac ya = x)
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp add:inj-on-def)
  apply (auto)
  apply (rename-tac x xs y ys xa)
  apply (case-tac xa = y)

```

```

  apply (simp)
  apply (metis maplets-lookup)
done

```

```

lemma map-inv-maplet[simp]: map-inv [x ↦ y] = [y ↦ x]
  by (auto simp add:map-inv-def)

```

```

lemma map-inv-maplets [simp]:
  [| length xs = length ys; distinct xs; distinct ys; set xs ∩ set ys = {} |] ⇒
  map-inv [xs [↦] ys] = [ys [↦] xs]
  apply (induct rule:list-induct2)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (rename-tac x xs y ys)
  apply (subgoal-tac map-inv ([xs [↦] ys] ++ [x ↦ y]) = map-inv [xs [↦] ys] ++ map-inv [x ↦ y])
  apply (simp)
  apply (rule map-inv-add)
  apply (auto)
done

```

```

lemma maplets-lookup-nth [rule-format,simp]:
  [| length xs = length ys; distinct xs |] ⇒
  ∀ i < length ys. [xs [↦] ys] (xs ! i) = Some (ys ! i)
  apply (induct rule:list-induct2)
  apply (auto)
  apply (rename-tac x xs y ys i)
  apply (case-tac i)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (metis nth-mem)
  apply (rename-tac x xs y ys i)
  apply (case-tac i)
  apply (auto)
done

```

```

theorem the-Some[simp]: the ∘ Some = id
  by (simp add:comp-def id-def)

```

```

theorem inv-map-inv:
  [| inj-on f (dom f); ran f = dom f |]
  ⇒ inv (the ∘ (Some ++ f)) = the ∘ map-inv (Some ++ f)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (simp add:map-add-Some)
  apply (simp add:inv-def)
  apply (rename-tac x)
  apply (case-tac ∃ y. f y = Some x)
  apply (erule exE)
  apply (rename-tac x y)
  apply (subgoal-tac x ∈ ran f)
  apply (subgoal-tac y ∈ dom f)
  apply (simp)
  apply (rule some-equality)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) domD domI dom-left-map-add inj-on-contrad map-add-Some
  map-add-dom-app-simps(3) option.sel)
  apply (simp add:dom-def)
  apply (metis ranI)

```

```

apply (simp)
apply (rename-tac x)
apply (subgoal-tac x  $\notin$  ran f)
apply (simp)
apply (rule some-equality)
apply (simp)
apply (metis domD dom-left-map-add map-add-Some map-add-dom-app-simps(3) option.sel)
apply (metis dom-image-ran image-iff)
done

```

lemma *map-comp-dom*: $\text{dom } (g \circ_m f) \subseteq \text{dom } f$
by (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono dom-def map-comp-simps(1))

lemma *map-comp-assoc*: $f \circ_m (g \circ_m h) = f \circ_m g \circ_m h$

proof

```

fix x
show (f  $\circ_m$  (g  $\circ_m$  h)) x = (f  $\circ_m$  g  $\circ_m$  h) x
proof (cases h x)
  case None thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: map-comp-def)
next
  case (Some y) thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: map-comp-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma *map-comp-runit* [simp]: $f \circ_m \text{Some} = f$
by (simp add: map-comp-def)

lemma *map-comp-lunit* [simp]: $\text{Some} \circ_m f = f$

proof

```

fix x
show (Some  $\circ_m$  f) x = f x
proof (cases f x)
  case None thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: map-comp-def)
next
  case (Some y) thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: map-comp-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma *map-comp-apply* [simp]: $(f \circ_m g) x = g(x) >>= f$
by (auto simp add: map-comp-def option.case-eq-if)

6.10 Merging of compatible maps

definition *comp-map* :: $('a \multimap 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \multimap 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \parallel_m 60) **where**
comp-map f g = $(\forall x \in \text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g). \text{the}(f(x)) = \text{the}(g(x)))$

lemma *comp-map-unit*: $\text{Map.empty} \parallel_m f$
by (simp add: comp-map-def)

lemma *comp-map-refl*: $f \parallel_m f$
by (simp add: comp-map-def)

lemma *comp-map-sym*: $f \parallel_m g \implies g \parallel_m f$
by (*simp add: comp-map-def*)

definition *merge* :: $('a \multimap 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \multimap 'b$ **where**
merge *fs* =
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } (\exists f \in fs. x \in \text{dom}(f)) \text{ then } (THE y. \forall f \in fs. x \in \text{dom}(f) \longrightarrow f(x) = y) \text{ else None})$

lemma *merge-empty*: *merge* $\{\}$ = *Map.empty*
by (*simp add: merge-def*)

lemma *merge-singleton*: *merge* $\{f\}$ = *f*
apply (*auto intro!: ext simp add: merge-def*)
using *option.collapse* **apply** *fastforce*
done

6.11 Conversion between lists and maps

definition *map-of-list* :: $'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \multimap 'a)$ **where**
map-of-list *xs* = $(\lambda i. \text{if } (i < \text{length } xs) \text{ then } \text{Some } (xs[i]) \text{ else None})$

lemma *map-of-list-nil* [*simp*]: *map-of-list* \square = *Map.empty*
by (*simp add: map-of-list-def*)

lemma *dom-map-of-list* [*simp*]: $\text{dom } (\text{map-of-list } xs) = \{0..<\text{length } xs\}$
by (*auto simp add: map-of-list-def dom-def*)

lemma *ran-map-of-list* [*simp*]: $\text{ran } (\text{map-of-list } xs) = \text{set } xs$
apply (*simp add: ran-def map-of-list-def*)
apply (*safe*)
apply (*force*)
apply (*meson in-set-conv-nth*)
done

definition *list-of-map* :: $(\text{nat} \multimap 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
list-of-map *f* = $(\text{if } (f = \text{Map.empty}) \text{ then } \square \text{ else } \text{map } (the \circ f) [0..<\text{Suc}(\text{GREATEST } x. x \in \text{dom } f)])$

lemma *list-of-map-empty* [*simp*]: *list-of-map* *Map.empty* = \square
by (*simp add: list-of-map-def*)

definition *list-of-map'* :: $(\text{nat} \multimap 'a) \multimap 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
list-of-map' *f* = $(\text{if } (\exists n. \text{dom } f = \{0..<n\}) \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{list-of-map } f) \text{ else None})$

lemma *map-of-list-inv* [*simp*]: *list-of-map* (*map-of-list* *xs*) = *xs*

proof (*cases* *xs* = \square)

case *True* **thus** *?thesis* **by** (*simp*)

next

case *False*

moreover **hence** $(\text{GREATEST } x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{map-of-list } xs)) = \text{length } xs - 1$

by (*auto intro: Greatest-equality*)

moreover **from** *False* **have** *map-of-list* *xs* \neq *Map.empty*

by (*metis ran-empty ran-map-of-list set-empty*)

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: list-of-map-def map-of-list-def nth-equalityI*)

qed

6.12 Map Comprehension

Map comprehension simply converts a relation built through set comprehension into a map.

syntax

$\text{-Mapcompr} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{idts} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'b \quad ((1[- \mapsto - \mid /-./ -]))$

translations

$\text{-Mapcompr } F \ G \ xs \ P == \text{CONST graph-map } \{(F, G) \mid xs. P\}$

lemma map-compr-eta:

$[x \mapsto y \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in_m f] = f$

apply (rule ext)

apply (auto simp add: graph-map-def)

apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Domain.DomainI fst-eq-Domain mem-Collect-eq old.prod.case option.distinct(1) option.expand option.sel)

done

lemma map-compr-simple:

$[x \mapsto F \ x \ y \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in_m f] = (\lambda x. \text{do } \{ y \leftarrow f(x); \text{Some}(F \ x \ y) \})$

apply (rule ext)

apply (auto simp add: graph-map-def image-Collect)

done

lemma map-compr-dom-simple [simp]:

$\text{dom } [x \mapsto f \ x \mid x. P \ x] = \{x. P \ x\}$

by (force simp add: graph-map-dom image-Collect)

lemma map-compr-ran-simple [simp]:

$\text{ran } [x \mapsto f \ x \mid x. P \ x] = \{f \ x \mid x. P \ x\}$

apply (auto simp add: graph-map-def ran-def)

apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fst-eqD image-eqI mem-Collect-eq someI)

done

lemma map-compr-eval-simple [simp]:

$[x \mapsto f \ x \mid x. P \ x] \ x = (\text{if } (P \ x) \text{ then } \text{Some } (f \ x) \text{ else } \text{None})$

by (auto simp add: graph-map-def image-Collect)

6.13 Sorted lists from maps

definition sorted-list-of-map $:: ('a::\text{linorder} \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ list where}$
 $\text{sorted-list-of-map } f = \text{map } (\lambda k. (k, \text{the } (f \ k))) (\text{sorted-list-of-set}(\text{dom}(f)))$

lemma sorted-list-of-map-empty [simp]:

$\text{sorted-list-of-map Map.empty} = []$

by (simp add: sorted-list-of-map-def)

lemma sorted-list-of-map-inv:

assumes $\text{finite}(\text{dom}(f))$

shows $\text{map-of } (\text{sorted-list-of-map } f) = f$

proof –

obtain A **where** $\text{finite } A \ A = \text{dom}(f)$

by (simp add: assms)

thus ?thesis

proof (induct A rule: finite-induct)

case empty thus ?thesis

```

    by (simp add: sorted-list-of-map-def, metis dom-empty empty-iff map-le-antisym map-le-def)
next
case (insert x A) thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: sorted-list-of-map-def, metis finite-insert map-of-map-keys sorted-list-of-set)
qed
qed

declare map-member.simps [simp del]

```

6.14 Extra map lemmas

lemma *map-eqI*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{dom } f = \text{dom } g; \forall x \in \text{dom}(f). \text{the}(f\ x) = \text{the}(g\ x) \rrbracket \implies f = g$ 
by (metis domIff map-le-antisym map-le-def option.expand)

```

lemma *map-restrict-dom-compl*: $f \restriction (- \text{dom } f) = \text{Map.empty}$

```

by (metis dom-eq-empty-conv dom-restrict inf-compl-bot)

```

lemma *restrict-map-neg-disj*:

```

 $\text{dom}(f) \cap A = \{\} \implies f \restriction (- A) = f$ 
by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def, rule ext, auto, metis disjoint-iff-not-equal domIff)

```

lemma *map-plus-restrict-dist*: $(f ++ g) \restriction A = (f \restriction A) ++ (g \restriction A)$

```

by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def map-add-def)

```

lemma *map-plus-eq-left*:

```

assumes  $f ++ h = g ++ h$ 
shows  $(f \restriction (- \text{dom } h)) = (g \restriction (- \text{dom } h))$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $h \restriction (- \text{dom } h) = \text{Map.empty}$ 
  by (metis Compl-disjoint dom-eq-empty-conv dom-restrict)
then have  $f2: f \restriction (- \text{dom } h) = (f ++ h) \restriction (- \text{dom } h)$ 
  by (simp add: map-plus-restrict-dist)
have  $h \restriction (- \text{dom } h) = \text{Map.empty}$ 
  by (metis (no-types) Compl-disjoint dom-eq-empty-conv dom-restrict)
then show ?thesis
  using f2 assms by (simp add: map-plus-restrict-dist)

```

qed

lemma *map-add-split*:

```

 $\text{dom}(f) = A \cup B \implies (f \restriction A) ++ (f \restriction B) = f$ 
by (rule ext, auto simp add: map-add-def restrict-map-def option.case-eq-if)

```

lemma *map-le-via-restrict*:

```

 $f \subseteq_m g \iff g \restriction \text{dom}(f) = f$ 
by (auto simp add: map-le-def restrict-map-def dom-def fun-eq-iff)

```

end

7 Alternative List Lexicographic Order

theory *List-Lexord-Alt*

imports *Main*

begin

Since we can't instantiate the order class twice for lists, and we want prefix as the default order for the UTP we here add syntax for the lexicographic order relation.

definition *list-lex-less* :: 'a::linorder list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool (**infix** <_l 50)
where $xs <_l ys \longleftrightarrow (xs, ys) \in \text{lexord } \{(u, v). u < v\}$

lemma *list-lex-less-neq* [simp]: $x <_l y \implies x \neq y$
apply (simp add: list-lex-less-def)
apply (meson case-prodD less-irrefl lexord-irreflexive mem-Collect-eq)
done

lemma *not-less-Nil* [simp]: $\neg x <_l []$
by (simp add: list-lex-less-def)

lemma *Nil-less-Cons* [simp]: $[] <_l a \# x$
by (simp add: list-lex-less-def)

lemma *Cons-less-Cons* [simp]: $a \# x <_l b \# y \longleftrightarrow a < b \vee a = b \wedge x <_l y$
by (simp add: list-lex-less-def)
end

8 Partial Functions

theory *Partial-Fun*
imports *Map-Extra*
begin

I'm not completely satisfied with partial functions as provided by Map.thy, since they don't have a unique type and so we can't instantiate classes, make use of adhoc-overloading etc. Consequently I've created a new type and derived the laws.

8.1 Partial function type and operations

typedef ('a, 'b) pfun = UNIV :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) set ..

setup-lifting *type-definition-pfun*

lift-definition *pfun-app* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b (-'(-)'_p [999,0] 999) **is**
 $\lambda f x. \text{if } (x \in \text{dom } f) \text{ then the } (f x) \text{ else undefined} .$

lift-definition *pfun-upd* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun
is $\lambda f k v. f(k := \text{Some } v) .$

lift-definition *pdom* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow 'a set **is** dom .

lift-definition *pran* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow 'b set **is** ran .

lift-definition *pfun-comp* :: ('b, 'c) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) pfun (**infixl** \circ_p 55) **is** map-comp .

lift-definition *pfun-member* :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \in_p 50) **is** $op \in_m$.

lift-definition *pId-on* :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'a) pfun **is** $\lambda A x. \text{if } (x \in A) \text{ then Some } x \text{ else None} .$

abbreviation *pId* :: ('a, 'a) pfun **where**
pId \equiv pId-on UNIV

lift-definition *pdom-res* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun (**infixl** \triangleleft_p 85)
is $\lambda A f. \text{restrict-map } f \ A$.

lift-definition *pran-res* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun (**infixl** \triangleright_p 85)
is *ran-restrict-map* .

lift-definition *pfun-graph* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) set **is** *map-graph* .

lift-definition *graph-pfun* :: ('a \times 'b) set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun **is** *graph-map* .

lift-definition *pfun-entries* :: 'k set \Rightarrow ('k \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('k, 'v) pfun **is**
 $\lambda d \ f \ x. \text{if } (x \in d) \text{ then } \text{Some } (f \ x) \text{ else } \text{None}$.

definition *pcard* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow nat
where *pcard* *f* = *card* (*pdom* *f*)

instantiation *pfun* :: (type, type) zero
begin
lift-definition *zero-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun **is** *Map.empty* .
instance ..
end

abbreviation *pempty* :: ('a, 'b) pfun ($\{\}_p$)
where *pempty* $\equiv 0$

instantiation *pfun* :: (type, type) plus
begin
lift-definition *plus-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun **is** *op ++* .
instance ..
end

instantiation *pfun* :: (type, type) minus
begin
lift-definition *minus-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun **is** *op --* .
instance ..
end

instance *pfun* :: (type, type) monoid-add
by (*intro-classes*, (*transfer*, *auto*)+)

instantiation *pfun* :: (type, type) inf
begin
lift-definition *inf-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun **is**
 $\lambda f \ g \ x. \text{if } (x \in \text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g) \wedge f(x) = g(x)) \text{ then } f(x) \text{ else } \text{None}$.
instance ..
end

abbreviation *pfun-inter* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun (**infixl** \cap_p 80)
where *pfun-inter* $\equiv \text{inf}$

instantiation *pfun* :: (type, type) order
begin
lift-definition *less-eq-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow bool **is**
 $\lambda f \ g. f \subseteq_m g$.

lift-definition *less-pfun* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow bool **is**
 $\lambda f g. f \subseteq_m g \wedge f \neq g$.

instance
by (intro-classes, (transfer, auto intro: map-le-trans simp add: map-le-antisym)+)
end

abbreviation *pfun-subset* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \subseteq_p 50)
where *pfun-subset* \equiv *less*

abbreviation *pfun-subset-eq* :: ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \subseteq_p 50)
where *pfun-subset-eq* \equiv *less-eq*

instance *pfun* :: (type, type) semilattice-inf
by (intro-classes, (transfer, auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def)+)

lemma *pfun-subset-eq-least* [simp]:
 $\{\}_p \subseteq_p f$
by (transfer, auto)

syntax
 $-PfunUpd :: [('a, 'b) pfun, maplets] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \ (-'(-)_p [900,0]900)$
 $-Pfun :: maplets \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pfun \ \ ((1\{-\}_p))$

translations
 $-PfunUpd\ m\ (-Maplets\ xy\ ms) == -PfunUpd\ (-PfunUpd\ m\ xy)\ ms$
 $-PfunUpd\ m\ (-maplet\ x\ y) == CONST\ pfun-upd\ m\ x\ y$
 $-Pfun\ ms ==> -PfunUpd\ (CONST\ pempty)\ ms$
 $-Pfun\ (-Maplets\ ms1\ ms2) <= -PfunUpd\ (-Pfun\ ms1)\ ms2$
 $-Pfun\ ms <= -PfunUpd\ (CONST\ pempty)\ ms$

8.2 Algebraic laws

lemma *pfun-comp-assoc*: $f \circ_p (g \circ_p h) = (f \circ_p g) \circ_p h$
by (transfer, simp add: map-comp-assoc)

lemma *pfun-comp-left-id* [simp]: $pId \circ_p f = f$
by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pfun-comp-right-id* [simp]: $f \circ_p pId = f$
by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pfun-override-dist-comp*:
 $(f + g) \circ_p h = (f \circ_p h) + (g \circ_p h)$
apply (transfer)
apply (rule ext)
apply (auto simp add: map-add-def)
apply (rename-tac f g h x)
apply (case-tac h x)
apply (auto)
apply (rename-tac f g h x y)
apply (case-tac g y)
apply (auto)
done

lemma *pfun-minus-unit* [simp]:
fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) pfun$

shows $f - 0 = f$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *pfun-minus-zero* [*simp*]:
fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ pfun}$
shows $0 - f = 0$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *pfun-minus-self* [*simp*]:
fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ pfun}$
shows $f - f = 0$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *pfun-minus-plus-commute*:
 $\text{pdom}(g) \cap \text{pdom}(h) = \{\} \implies (f - g) + h = (f + h) - g$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-minus-plus-commute*)

lemma *pfun-plus-minus*:
 $f \subseteq_p g \implies (g - f) + f = g$
by (*transfer*, *rule ext*, *auto simp add: map-le-def map-minus-def map-add-def option.case-eq-if*)

lemma *pfun-minus-common-subset*:
 $\llbracket h \subseteq_p f; h \subseteq_p g \rrbracket \implies (f - h = g - h) = (f = g)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-minus-common-subset*)

lemma *pfun-minus-plus*:
 $\text{pdom}(f) \cap \text{pdom}(g) = \{\} \implies (f + g) - g = f$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-add-def map-minus-def option.case-eq-if*, *rule ext*, *auto*)
(*metis Int-commute domIff insert-disjoint(1) insert-dom*)

8.3 Membership, application, and update

lemma *pfun-ext*: $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in_p f \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in_p g \rrbracket \implies f = g$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-ext*)

lemma *pfun-member-alt-def*:
 $(x, y) \in_p f \longleftrightarrow (x \in \text{pdom } f \wedge f(x)_p = y)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-member-alt-def map-apply-def*)

lemma *pfun-member-plus*:
 $(x, y) \in_p f + g \longleftrightarrow ((x \notin \text{pdom}(g) \wedge (x, y) \in_p f) \vee (x, y) \in_p g)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-member-plus*)

lemma *pfun-member-minus*:
 $(x, y) \in_p f - g \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in_p f \wedge (\neg (x, y) \in_p g)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: map-member-minus*)

lemma *pfun-app-upd-1* [*simp*]: $x = y \implies (f(x \mapsto v)_p)(y)_p = v$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-app-upd-2* [*simp*]: $x \neq y \implies (f(x \mapsto v)_p)(y)_p = f(y)_p$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-upd-add* [*simp*]: $f + g(x \mapsto v)_p = (f + g)(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-upd-twice* [*simp*]: $f(x \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_p = f(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-upd-comm*:
assumes $x \neq y$
shows $f(y \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_p = f(x \mapsto v, y \mapsto u)_p$
using *assms* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pfun-upd-comm-linorder* [*simp*]:
fixes $x\ y :: 'a :: \text{linorder}$
assumes $x < y$
shows $f(y \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_p = f(x \mapsto v, y \mapsto u)_p$
using *assms* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pfun-app-minus* [*simp*]: $x \notin \text{pdom } g \implies (f - g)(x)_p = f(x)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *pfun-app-empty* [*simp*]: $\{\}_p(x)_p = \text{undefined}$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-app-not-in-dom*:
 $x \notin \text{pdom}(f) \implies f(x)_p = \text{undefined}$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pfun-upd-minus* [*simp*]:
 $x \notin \text{pdom } g \implies (f - g)(x \mapsto v)_p = (f(x \mapsto v)_p - g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-minus-def*)

lemma *pdom-member-minus-iff* [*simp*]:
 $x \notin \text{pdom } g \implies x \in \text{pdom}(f - g) \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{pdom}(f)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: domIff map-minus-def*)

lemma *psubseteq-pfun-upd1* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_p g; x \notin \text{pdom}(g) \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_p g(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def*)

lemma *psubseteq-pfun-upd2* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_p g; x \notin \text{pdom}(f) \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_p g(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def*)

lemma *psubseteq-pfun-upd3* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_p g; g(x)_p = v \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_p g(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def*)

lemma *psubseteq-dom-subset*:
 $f \subseteq_p g \implies \text{pdom}(f) \subseteq \text{pdom}(g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def*)

lemma *psubseteq-ran-subset*:
 $f \subseteq_p g \implies \text{pran}(f) \subseteq \text{pran}(g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-le-def dom-def ran-def, fastforce*)

8.4 Domain laws

lemma *pdom-zero* [*simp*]: $\text{pdom } 0 = \{\}$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pdom-pId-on* [simp]: $pdom (pId-on A) = A$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-plus* [simp]: $pdom (f + g) = pdom f \cup pdom g$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-inter*: $pdom (f \cap_p g) \subseteq pdom f \cap pdom g$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: dom-def)

lemma *pdom-comp* [simp]: $pdom (g \circ_p f) = pdom (f \triangleright_p pdom g)$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: ran-restrict-map-def)

lemma *pdom-upd* [simp]: $pdom (f(k \mapsto v)_p) = insert k (pdom f)$
 by (transfer, simp)

lemma *pdom-pdom-res* [simp]: $pdom (A \triangleleft_p f) = A \cap pdom(f)$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-graph-pfun* [simp]: $pdom (graph-pfun R) = Domain R$
 by (transfer, simp add: Domain-fst graph-map-dom)

lemma *pdom-pran-res-finite* [simp]:
 $finite (pdom f) \implies finite (pdom (f \triangleright_p A))$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-pfun-graph-finite* [simp]:
 $finite (pdom f) \implies finite (pfun-graph f)$
 by (transfer, simp add: finite-dom-graph)

8.5 Range laws

lemma *pran-zero* [simp]: $pran 0 = \{\}$
 by (transfer, simp)

lemma *pran-pId-on* [simp]: $pran (pId-on A) = A$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: ran-def)

lemma *pran-upd* [simp]: $pran (f(k \mapsto v)_p) = insert v (pran ((-\{k\}) \triangleleft_p f))$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: ran-def restrict-map-def)

lemma *pran-pran-res* [simp]: $pran (f \triangleright_p A) = pran(f) \cap A$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pran-comp* [simp]: $pran (g \circ_p f) = pran (pran f \triangleleft_p g)$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: ran-def restrict-map-def)

lemma *pran-finite* [simp]: $finite (pdom f) \implies finite (pran f)$
 by (transfer, auto)

8.6 Domain restriction laws

lemma *pdom-res-zero* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_p \{\} = \{\}_p$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-res-empty* [simp]:

$(\{\} \triangleleft_p f) = \{\}_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pdom-res-UNIV* [*simp*]: $UNIV \triangleleft_p f = f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pdom-res-alt-def*: $A \triangleleft_p f = f \circ_p pId\text{-on } A$
by (*transfer*, *rule ext*, *auto simp add: restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pdom-res-upd-in* [*simp*]:
 $k \in A \implies A \triangleleft_p f(k \mapsto v)_p = (A \triangleleft_p f)(k \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pdom-res-upd-out* [*simp*]:
 $k \notin A \implies A \triangleleft_p f(k \mapsto v)_p = A \triangleleft_p f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *pfun-pdom-antires-upd* [*simp*]:
 $k \in A \implies ((- A) \triangleleft_p m)(k \mapsto v)_p = ((- (A - \{k\})) \triangleleft_p m)(k \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *pdom-antires-insert-notin* [*simp*]:
 $k \notin pdom(f) \implies (- \text{insert } k A) \triangleleft_p f = (- A) \triangleleft_p f$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pdom-res-override* [*simp*]: $A \triangleleft_p (f + g) = (A \triangleleft_p f) + (A \triangleleft_p g)$
by (*simp add: pdom-res-alt-def pfun-override-dist-comp*)

lemma *pdom-res-minus* [*simp*]: $A \triangleleft_p (f - g) = (A \triangleleft_p f) - g$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: map-minus-def restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pdom-res-swap*: $(A \triangleleft_p f) \triangleright_p B = A \triangleleft_p (f \triangleright_p B)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: restrict-map-def ran-restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pdom-res-twice* [*simp*]: $A \triangleleft_p (B \triangleleft_p f) = (A \cap B) \triangleleft_p f$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: Int-commute*)

lemma *pdom-res-comp* [*simp*]: $A \triangleleft_p (g \circ_p f) = g \circ_p (A \triangleleft_p f)$
by (*simp add: pdom-res-alt-def pfun-comp-assoc*)

lemma *pdom-res-apply* [*simp*]:
 $x \in A \implies (A \triangleleft_p f)(x)_p = f(x)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

8.7 Range restriction laws

lemma *pran-res-zero* [*simp*]: $\{\}_p \triangleright_p A = \{\}_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: ran-restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pran-res-upd-1* [*simp*]: $v \in A \implies f(x \mapsto v)_p \triangleright_p A = (f \triangleright_p A)(x \mapsto v)_p$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: ran-restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pran-res-upd-2* [*simp*]: $v \notin A \implies f(x \mapsto v)_p \triangleright_p A = ((- \{x\}) \triangleleft_p f) \triangleright_p A$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: ran-restrict-map-def*)

lemma *pran-res-alt-def*: $f \triangleright_p A = pId\text{-on } A \circ_p f$

by (transfer, rule ext, auto simp add: ran-restrict-map-def)

lemma pran-res-override: $(f + g) \triangleright_p A \subseteq_p (f \triangleright_p A) + (g \triangleright_p A)$
 apply (transfer, auto simp add: map-add-def ran-restrict-map-def map-le-def)
 apply (rename-tac f g A a y x)
 apply (case-tac g a)
 apply (auto)
 done

8.8 Graph laws

lemma pfun-graph-inv: $\text{graph-pfun } (\text{pfun-graph } f) = f$
 by (transfer, simp)

lemma pfun-graph-zero: $\text{pfun-graph } 0 = \{\}$
 by (transfer, simp add: map-graph-def)

lemma pfun-graph-pId-on: $\text{pfun-graph } (\text{pId-on } A) = \text{Id-on } A$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: map-graph-def)

lemma pfun-graph-minus: $\text{pfun-graph } (f - g) = \text{pfun-graph } f - \text{pfun-graph } g$
 by (transfer, simp add: map-graph-minus)

lemma pfun-graph-inter: $\text{pfun-graph } (f \cap_p g) = \text{pfun-graph } f \cap \text{pfun-graph } g$
 apply (transfer, auto simp add: map-graph-def)
 apply (metis option.discI)+
 done

8.9 Entries

lemma pfun-entries-empty [simp]: $\text{pfun-entries } \{\} f = \{\}_p$
 by (transfer, simp)

lemma pfun-entries-apply-1 [simp]:
 $x \in d \implies (\text{pfun-entries } d f)(x)_p = f x$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma pfun-entries-apply-2 [simp]:
 $x \notin d \implies (\text{pfun-entries } d f)(x)_p = \text{undefined}$
 by (transfer, auto)

8.10 Summation

definition pfun-sum :: $(k, 'v::\text{comm-monoid-add}) \text{ pfun} \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
 $\text{pfun-sum } f = \text{sum } (\text{pfun-app } f) (\text{pdom } f)$

lemma pfun-sum-empty [simp]: $\text{pfun-sum } \{\}_p = 0$
 by (simp add: pfun-sum-def)

lemma pfun-sum-upd-1:
 assumes finite(pdom(m)) $k \notin \text{pdom}(m)$
 shows $\text{pfun-sum } (m(k \mapsto v)_p) = \text{pfun-sum } m + v$
 by (simp-all add: pfun-sum-def assms, metis add commute assms(2) pfun-app-upd-2 sum.cong)

lemma pfun-sums-upd-2:
 assumes finite(pdom(m))

```

  shows pfun-sum (m(k ↦ v)p) = pfun-sum ((- {k}) ◁p m) + v
proof (cases k ∉ pdom(m))
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: pfun-sum-upd-1 assms)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    using assms pfun-sum-upd-1[of ((- {k}) ◁p m) k v]
    by (simp add: pfun-sum-upd-1)
qed

```

```

lemma pfun-sum-dom-res-insert [simp]:
  assumes x ∈ pdom f x ∉ A finite A
  shows pfun-sum ((insert x A) ◁p f) = f(x)p + pfun-sum (A ◁p f)
  using assms by (simp add: pfun-sum-def)

```

```

lemma pfun-sum-pdom-res:
  fixes f :: ('a, 'b :: ab-group-add) pfun
  assumes finite(pdom f)
  shows pfun-sum (A ◁p f) = pfun-sum f - (pfun-sum ((- A) ◁p f))
proof -
  have 1: A ∩ pdom(f) = pdom(f) - (pdom(f) - A)
    by (auto)
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: pfun-sum-def)
    apply (subst 1)
    apply (subst sum-diff)
    apply (auto simp add: sum-diff Diff-subset Int-commute boolean-algebra-class.diff-eq assms)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma pfun-sum-pdom-antires [simp]:
  fixes f :: ('a, 'b :: ab-group-add) pfun
  assumes finite(pdom f)
  shows pfun-sum ((- A) ◁p f) = pfun-sum f - pfun-sum (A ◁p f)
  by (subst pfun-sum-pdom-res, simp-all add: assms)

```

Hide implementation details for partial functions

```

lifting-update pfun.lifting
lifting-forget pfun.lifting

```

end

9 Finite Functions

```

theory Finite-Fun
imports Map-Extra Partial-Fun FSet-Extra
begin

```

9.1 Finite function type and operations

```

typedef ('a, 'b) ffun = {f :: ('a, 'b) pfun. finite(pdom(f))}
morphisms pfun-of Abs-pfun
by (rule-tac x={}_p in exI, auto)

```

setup-lifting *type-definition-ffun*

lift-definition *ffun-app* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b (-'(-)')_f [999,0] 999) **is** *pfun-app* .

lift-definition *ffun-upd* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun **is** *pfun-upd* **by** *simp*

lift-definition *fdom* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow 'a **set** **is** *pdom* .

lift-definition *fran* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow 'b **set** **is** *pran* .

lift-definition *ffun-comp* :: ('b, 'c) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) ffun (**infixl** \circ_f 55) **is** *pfun-comp* **by** *auto*

lift-definition *ffun-member* :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \in_f 50) **is** *op* \in_p .

lift-definition *fdom-res* :: 'a **set** \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun (**infixl** \triangleleft_f 85)
is *pdom-res* **by** *simp*

lift-definition *fran-res* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow 'b **set** \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun (**infixl** \triangleright_f 85)
is *pran-res* **by** *simp*

lift-definition *ffun-graph* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) **set** **is** *pfun-graph* .

lift-definition *graph-ffun* :: ('a \times 'b) **set** \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun **is**
 $\lambda R.$ if (finite (Domain R)) then *graph-pfun* R else *pempty*
by (*simp add: finite-Domain*)

instantiation *ffun* :: (type, type) *zero*

begin

lift-definition *zero-ffun* :: ('a, 'b) ffun **is** 0 **by** *simp*

instance ..

end

abbreviation *fempty* :: ('a, 'b) ffun ($\{\}_f$)

where *fempty* \equiv 0

instantiation *ffun* :: (type, type) *plus*

begin

lift-definition *plus-ffun* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun **is** *op* + **by** *simp*

instance ..

end

instantiation *ffun* :: (type, type) *minus*

begin

lift-definition *minus-ffun* :: ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ffun **is** *op* -

by (*metis finite-Diff finite-Domain pdom-graph-pfun pdom-pfun-graph-finite pfun-graph-inv pfun-graph-minus*)

instance ..

end

instance *ffun* :: (type, type) *monoid-add*

by (*intro-classes*, (*transfer*, *simp add: add.assoc*)+)

instantiation *ffun* :: (type, type) *inf*

begin

lift-definition $\text{inf-ffun} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$ **is** inf
 by (*meson finite-Int infinite-super pdom-inter*)

instance ..
end

abbreviation $\text{ffun-inter} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$ (**infixl** \cap_f 80)
 where $\text{ffun-inter} \equiv \text{inf}$

instantiation $\text{ffun} :: (\text{type}, \text{type}) \text{order}$
begin
lift-definition $\text{less-eq-ffun} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **is**
 $\lambda f g. f \subseteq_p g$.
lift-definition $\text{less-ffun} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **is**
 $\lambda f g. f < g$.
instance
 by (*intro-classes, (transfer, auto)+*)
end

abbreviation $\text{ffun-subset} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \subset_f 50)
 where $\text{ffun-subset} \equiv \text{less}$

abbreviation $\text{ffun-subset-eq} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \subseteq_f 50)
 where $\text{ffun-subset-eq} \equiv \text{less-eq}$

instance $\text{ffun} :: (\text{type}, \text{type}) \text{semilattice-inf}$
 by (*intro-classes, (transfer, auto)+*)

lemma $\text{ffun-subset-eq-least}$ [*simp*]:
 $\{\}_f \subseteq_f f$
 by (*transfer, auto*)

syntax
 $\text{-FfunUpd} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ffun}, \text{maplets}] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$ ($\text{-}'(-)'_f$ [900,0]900)
 $\text{-Ffun} :: \text{maplets} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$ ($((1\{-\}_f))$)

translations
 $\text{-FfunUpd } m \text{ (-Maplets } xy \text{ } ms) == \text{-FfunUpd } (\text{-FfunUpd } m \text{ } xy) \text{ } ms$
 $\text{-FfunUpd } m \text{ (-maplet } x \text{ } y) == \text{CONST } \text{ffun-upd } m \text{ } x \text{ } y$
 $\text{-Ffun } ms \Rightarrow \text{-FfunUpd } (\text{CONST } \text{fempty}) \text{ } ms$
 $\text{-Ffun } (\text{-Maplets } ms1 \text{ } ms2) \leq \text{-FfunUpd } (\text{-Ffun } ms1) \text{ } ms2$
 $\text{-Ffun } ms \leq \text{-FfunUpd } (\text{CONST } \text{fempty}) \text{ } ms$

9.2 Algebraic laws

lemma $\text{ffun-comp-assoc}: f \circ_f (g \circ_f h) = (f \circ_f g) \circ_f h$
 by (*transfer, simp add: pfun-comp-assoc*)

lemma $\text{pfun-override-dist-comp}$:
 $(f + g) \circ_f h = (f \circ_f h) + (g \circ_f h)$
 by (*transfer, simp add: pfun-override-dist-comp*)

lemma ffun-minus-unit [*simp*]:
fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$
shows $f - 0 = f$
 by (*transfer, simp*)

lemma *ffun-minus-zero* [*simp*]:

fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$
 shows $0 - f = 0$
 by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-minus-self* [*simp*]:

fixes $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ffun}$
 shows $f - f = 0$
 by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-minus-plus-commute*:

$\text{fdom}(g) \cap \text{fdom}(h) = \{\} \implies (f - g) + h = (f + h) - g$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-minus-plus-commute*)

lemma *ffun-plus-minus*:

$f \subseteq_f g \implies (g - f) + f = g$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-plus-minus*)

lemma *ffun-minus-common-subset*:

$\llbracket h \subseteq_f f; h \subseteq_f g \rrbracket \implies (f - h = g - h) = (f = g)$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-minus-common-subset*)

lemma *ffun-minus-plus*:

$\text{fdom}(f) \cap \text{fdom}(g) = \{\} \implies (f + g) - g = f$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-minus-plus*)

9.3 Membership, application, and update

lemma *ffun-ext*: $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in_f f \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in_f g \rrbracket \implies f = g$

by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-ext*)

lemma *ffun-member-alt-def*:

$(x, y) \in_f f \longleftrightarrow (x \in \text{fdom } f \wedge f(x)_f = y)$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-member-alt-def*)

lemma *ffun-member-plus*:

$(x, y) \in_f f + g \longleftrightarrow ((x \notin \text{fdom}(g) \wedge (x, y) \in_f f) \vee (x, y) \in_f g)$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-member-plus*)

lemma *ffun-member-minus*:

$(x, y) \in_f f - g \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in_f f \wedge (\neg (x, y) \in_f g)$
 by (*transfer*, *simp* add: *pfun-member-minus*)

lemma *ffun-app-upd-1* [*simp*]: $x = y \implies (f(x \mapsto v)_f)(y)_f = v$

by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-app-upd-2* [*simp*]: $x \neq y \implies (f(x \mapsto v)_f)(y)_f = f(y)_f$

by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-upd-add* [*simp*]: $f + g(x \mapsto v)_f = (f + g)(x \mapsto v)_f$

by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-upd-twice* [*simp*]: $f(x \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_f = f(x \mapsto v)_f$

by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *ffun-upd-comm*:

assumes $x \neq y$
shows $f(y \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_f = f(x \mapsto v, y \mapsto u)_f$
using *assms* **by** (*transfer*, *simp add: pfun-upd-comm*)

lemma *ffun-upd-comm-linorder* [*simp*]:
fixes $x\ y :: 'a :: \text{linorder}$
assumes $x < y$
shows $f(y \mapsto u, x \mapsto v)_f = f(x \mapsto v, y \mapsto u)_f$
using *assms* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *ffun-app-minus* [*simp*]: $x \notin \text{fdom } g \implies (f - g)(x)_f = f(x)_f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *ffun-upd-minus* [*simp*]:
 $x \notin \text{fdom } g \implies (f - g)(x \mapsto v)_f = (f(x \mapsto v)_f - g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *fdom-member-minus-iff* [*simp*]:
 $x \notin \text{fdom } g \implies x \in \text{fdom}(f - g) \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{fdom}(f)$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *fsubseq-ffun-upd1* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_f g; x \notin \text{fdom}(g) \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_f g(x \mapsto v)_f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *fsubseq-ffun-upd2* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_f g; x \notin \text{fdom}(f) \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_f g(x \mapsto v)_f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *psubseq-pfun-upd3* [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket f \subseteq_f g; g(x)_f = v \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_f g(x \mapsto v)_f$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *fsubseq-dom-subset*:
 $f \subseteq_f g \implies \text{fdom}(f) \subseteq \text{fdom}(g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add: psubseq-dom-subset*)

lemma *fsubseq-ran-subset*:
 $f \subseteq_f g \implies \text{ran}(f) \subseteq \text{ran}(g)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add: psubseq-ran-subset*)

9.4 Domain laws

lemma *fdom-zero* [*simp*]: $\text{fdom } 0 = \{\}$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *fdom-plus* [*simp*]: $\text{fdom } (f + g) = \text{fdom } f \cup \text{fdom } g$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *fdom-inter*: $\text{fdom } (f \cap_f g) \subseteq \text{fdom } f \cap \text{fdom } g$
by (*transfer*, *meson pdom-inter*)

lemma *fdom-comp* [*simp*]: $\text{fdom } (g \circ_f f) = \text{fdom } (f \triangleright_f \text{fdom } g)$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *fdom-upd* [*simp*]: $\text{fdom } (f(k \mapsto v)_f) = \text{insert } k (\text{fdom } f)$

by (transfer, simp)

lemma *fdom-fdom-res* [simp]: $\text{fdom } (A \triangleleft_f f) = A \cap \text{fdom}(f)$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fdom-graph-ffun* [simp]:
 $\text{finite } (\text{Domain } R) \implies \text{fdom } (\text{graph-ffun } R) = \text{Domain } R$
 by (transfer, simp add: Domain-fst graph-map-dom)

9.5 Range laws

lemma *fran-zero* [simp]: $\text{fran } 0 = \{\}$
 by (transfer, simp)

lemma *fran-upd* [simp]: $\text{fran } (f(k \mapsto v)_f) = \text{insert } v (\text{fran } ((-\{k\}) \triangleleft_f f))$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fran-fran-res* [simp]: $\text{fran } (f \triangleright_f A) = \text{fran}(f) \cap A$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fran-comp* [simp]: $\text{fran } (g \circ_f f) = \text{fran } (\text{fran } f \triangleleft_f g)$
 by (transfer, auto)

9.6 Domain restriction laws

lemma *fdom-res-zero* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_f \{\}_f = \{\}_f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-res-upd-in* [simp]:
 $k \in A \implies A \triangleleft_f f(k \mapsto v)_f = (A \triangleleft_f f)(k \mapsto v)_f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *pdom-res-upd-out* [simp]:
 $k \notin A \implies A \triangleleft_f f(k \mapsto v)_f = A \triangleleft_f f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fdom-res-override* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_f (f + g) = (A \triangleleft_f f) + (A \triangleleft_f g)$
 by (metis fdom-res.rep-eq pdom-res-override pfun-of-inject plus-ffun.rep-eq)

lemma *fdom-res-minus* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_f (f - g) = (A \triangleleft_f f) - g$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fdom-res-swap*: $(A \triangleleft_f f) \triangleright_f B = A \triangleleft_f (f \triangleright_f B)$
 by (transfer, simp add: pdom-res-swap)

lemma *fdom-res-twice* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_f (B \triangleleft_f f) = (A \cap B) \triangleleft_f f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fdom-res-comp* [simp]: $A \triangleleft_f (g \circ_f f) = g \circ_f (A \triangleleft_f f)$
 by (transfer, simp)

9.7 Range restriction laws

lemma *fran-res-zero* [simp]: $\{\}_f \triangleright_f A = \{\}_f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fran-res-upd-1* [simp]: $v \in A \implies f(x \mapsto v)_f \triangleright_f A = (f \triangleright_f A)(x \mapsto v)_f$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fran-res-upd-2* [simp]: $v \notin A \implies f(x \mapsto v)_f \triangleright_f A = ((-\{x\}) \triangleleft_f f) \triangleright_f A$
 by (transfer, auto)

lemma *fran-res-override*: $(f + g) \triangleright_f A \subseteq_f (f \triangleright_f A) + (g \triangleright_f A)$
 by (transfer, simp add: pran-res-override)

9.8 Graph laws

lemma *ffun-graph-inv*: $\text{graph-ffun } (\text{ffun-graph } f) = f$
 by (transfer, auto simp add: pfun-graph-inv finite-Domain)

lemma *ffun-graph-zero*: $\text{ffun-graph } 0 = \{\}$
 by (transfer, simp add: pfun-graph-zero)

lemma *ffun-graph-minus*: $\text{ffun-graph } (f - g) = \text{ffun-graph } f - \text{ffun-graph } g$
 by (transfer, simp add: pfun-graph-minus)

lemma *ffun-graph-inter*: $\text{ffun-graph } (f \cap_f g) = \text{ffun-graph } f \cap \text{ffun-graph } g$
 by (transfer, simp add: pfun-graph-inter)

Hide implementation details for finite functions

lifting-update *ffun.lifting*

lifting-forget *ffun.lifting*

end

10 Infinity Supplement

theory *Infinity*

imports *Main Real*

\sim /src/HOL/Library/Infinite-Set

Optics.Two

begin

This theory introduces a type class *infinite* that guarantees that the underlying universe of the type is infinite. It also provides useful theorems to prove infinity of the universes for various HOL types.

10.1 Type class *infinite*

The type class postulates that the universe (carrier) of a type is infinite.

class *infinite* =
assumes *infinite-UNIV* [simp]: *infinite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)

10.2 Infinity Theorems

Useful theorems to prove that a type's *UNIV* is infinite.

Note that *infinite-UNIV-nat* is already a simplification rule by default.

lemmas *infinite-UNIV-int* [simp]

theorem *infinite-UNIV-real* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: real set)
 by (rule *infinite-UNIV-char-0*)

theorem *infinite-UNIV-fun1* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'a set) \implies
 card (UNIV :: 'b set) $\neq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
infinite (UNIV :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (erule *finite-fun-UNIVD1*)
 apply (assumption)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-fun2* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'b set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (erule *finite-fun-UNIVD2*)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-set* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'a set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: 'a set set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (simp add: *Finite-Set.finite-set*)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-prod1* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'a set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: ('a \times 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (simp add: *finite-prod*)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-prod2* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'b set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: ('a \times 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (simp add: *finite-prod*)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-sum1* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'a set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: ('a + 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (simp)
 done

theorem *infinite-UNIV-sum2* [simp]:
infinite (UNIV :: 'b set) \implies
infinite (UNIV :: ('a + 'b) set)
 apply (erule *contrapos-nn*)
 apply (simp)
 done

```

theorem infinite-UNIV-list [simp]:
  infinite (UNIV :: 'a list set)
    apply (rule infinite-UNIV-listI)
    done

theorem infinite-UNIV-option [simp]:
  infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)  $\implies$ 
  infinite (UNIV :: 'a option set)
    apply (erule contrapos-nn)
    apply (simp)
    done

theorem infinite-image [intro]:
  infinite A  $\implies$  inj-on f A  $\implies$  infinite (f ' A)
    apply (metis finite-imageD)
    done

theorem infinite-transfer :
  infinite B  $\implies$  B  $\subseteq$  f ' A  $\implies$  infinite A
    using infinite-super
    apply (blast)
    done

```

10.3 Instantiations

The instantiations for product and sum types have stronger caveats than in principle needed. Namely, it would be sufficient for one type of a product or sum to be infinite. A corresponding rule, however, cannot be formulated using type classes. Generally, classes are not entirely adequate for the purpose of deriving the infinity of HOL types, which is perhaps why a class such as *infinite* was omitted from the Isabelle/HOL library.

```

instance nat :: infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance int :: infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance real :: infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance fun :: (type, infinite) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance set :: (infinite) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance prod :: (infinite, infinite) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance sum :: (infinite, infinite) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance list :: (type) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)
instance option :: (infinite) infinite by (intro-classes, simp)

subclass (in infinite) two by (intro-classes, auto)

end

```

11 Positive Subtypes

```

theory Positive
imports
  Infinity
  HOL-Library.Countable
begin

```

11.1 Type Definition

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a::{zero, linorder} pos = {x::'a. x ≥ 0}
  apply (rule-tac x = 0 in exI)
  apply (clarsimp)
done
```

```
syntax
  -type-pos :: type ⇒ type (-+ [999] 999)
```

```
translations
  (type) 'a+ == (type) 'a pos
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-pos
```

```
type-synonym preal = real pos
```

11.2 Operators

```
lift-definition mk-pos :: 'a::{zero, linorder} ⇒ 'a pos is
λ n. if (n ≥ 0) then n else 0 by auto
```

```
lift-definition real-of-pos :: real pos ⇒ real is id .
```

```
declare [[coercion real-of-pos]]
```

11.3 Instantiations

```
instantiation pos :: ({zero, linorder}) zero
begin
  lift-definition zero-pos :: 'a pos
    is 0 :: 'a ..
  instance ..
end
```

```
instantiation pos :: ({zero, linorder}) linorder
begin
  lift-definition less-eq-pos :: 'a pos ⇒ 'a pos ⇒ bool
    is op ≤ :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool .
  lift-definition less-pos :: 'a pos ⇒ 'a pos ⇒ bool
    is op < :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool .
  instance
    apply (intro-classes; transfer)
    apply (auto)
  done
end
```

```
instance pos :: ({zero, linorder, no-top}) no-top
  apply (intro-classes)
  apply (transfer)
  apply (clarsimp)
  apply (meson gt-ex less-imp-le order.strict-trans1)
done
```

```
instance pos :: ({zero, linorder, no-top}) infinite
  apply (intro-classes)
```

```

apply (rule notI)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall x::'a \text{ pos. } x \leq \text{Max UNIV}$ )
using gt-ex leD apply (blast)
apply (simp)
done

instantiation pos :: (linordered-semidom) linordered-semidom
begin
  lift-definition one-pos :: 'a pos
    is 1 :: 'a by (simp)
  lift-definition plus-pos :: 'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos
    is op + by (simp)
  lift-definition minus-pos :: 'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos
    is  $\lambda x y. \text{if } y \leq x \text{ then } x - y \text{ else } 0$ 
    by (simp add: add-le-imp-le-diff)
  lift-definition times-pos :: 'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos
    is op * by (simp)
instance
  apply (intro-classes; transfer; simp?)
    apply (simp add: add.assoc)
    apply (simp add: add.commute)
    apply (safe; clarsimp?) [1]
    apply (simp add: diff-diff-add)
    apply (metis add-le-cancel-left le-add-diff-inverse)
    apply (simp add: add.commute add-le-imp-le-diff)
    apply (metis add-increasing2 antisym linear)
    apply (simp add: mult.assoc)
    apply (simp add: mult.commute)
    apply (simp add: comm-semiring-class.distrib)
    apply (simp add: mult-strict-left-mono)
    apply (safe; clarsimp?) [1]
    apply (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
    apply (simp add: mult-left-mono)
  using mult-left-le-imp-le apply (fastforce)
  apply (simp add: distrib-left)
  done
end

instantiation pos :: (linordered-field) semidom-divide
begin
  lift-definition divide-pos :: 'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos
    is op div by (simp)
instance
  apply (intro-classes; transfer)
  apply (simp-all)
  done
end

instantiation pos :: (linordered-field) inverse
begin
  lift-definition inverse-pos :: 'a pos  $\Rightarrow$  'a pos
    is inverse by (simp)
  instance ..
end

```

lemma *pos-positive* [simp]: $0 \leq (x::'a::\{\text{zero}, \text{linorder}\} \text{ pos})$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

11.4 Theorems

lemma *mk-pos-zero* [simp]: $\text{mk-pos } 0 = 0$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *mk-pos-one* [simp]: $\text{mk-pos } 1 = 1$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *mk-pos-leq*:
 $\llbracket 0 \leq x; x \leq y \rrbracket \implies \text{mk-pos } x \leq \text{mk-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *mk-pos-less*:
 $\llbracket 0 \leq x; x < y \rrbracket \implies \text{mk-pos } x < \text{mk-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *real-of-pos* [simp]: $x \geq 0 \implies \text{real-of-pos } (\text{mk-pos } x) = x$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *mk-pos-real-of-pos* [simp]: $\text{mk-pos } (\text{real-of-pos } x) = x$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

11.5 Transfer to Reals

named-theorems *pos-transfer*

lemma *real-of-pos-0* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } 0 = 0$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *real-of-pos-1* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } 1 = 1$
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *real-op-pos-plus* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } (x + y) = \text{real-of-pos } x + \text{real-of-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *real-op-pos-minus* [pos-transfer]:
 $x \geq y \implies \text{real-of-pos } (x - y) = \text{real-of-pos } x - \text{real-of-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *real-op-pos-mult* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } (x * y) = \text{real-of-pos } x * \text{real-of-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *real-op-pos-div* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } (x / y) = \text{real-of-pos } x / \text{real-of-pos } y$
by (*transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *real-of-pos-numeral* [pos-transfer]:
 $\text{real-of-pos } (\text{numeral } n) = \text{numeral } n$
by (*induct* *n*, *simp-all* *only*: *numeral.simps pos-transfer*)

```

lemma real-of-pos-eq-transfer [pos-transfer]:
   $x = y \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-pos } x = \text{real-of-pos } y$ 
by (transfer, auto)

lemma real-of-pos-less-eq-transfer [pos-transfer]:
   $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-pos } x \leq \text{real-of-pos } y$ 
by (transfer, auto)

lemma real-of-pos-less-transfer [pos-transfer]:
   $x < y \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-pos } x < \text{real-of-pos } y$ 
by (transfer, auto)

end

```

12 Recall Undeclarations

```

theory Total-Recall
imports Main
keywords
  purge-syntax :: thy-decl and
  purge-notation :: thy-decl and
  recall-syntax :: thy-decl
begin

```

12.1 ML File Import

ML-file *Total-Recall.ML*

12.2 Outer Commands

```

ML (
  val - =
    Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword purge-syntax}
    purge raw syntax clauses
    ((Parse.syntax-mode -- Scan.repeat1 Parse.const-decl) >>
      (Toplevel.theory o (fn (mode, args) =>
        (TotalRecall.record-no-syntax mode args) o
        (Sign.del-syntax-cmd mode args))));

  val - =
    Outer-Syntax.local-theory @{command-keyword purge-notation}
    purge concrete syntax for constants / fixed variables
    ((Parse.syntax-mode -- Parse.and-list1 (Parse.const -- Parse.mixedfix)) >>
      (fn (mode, args) =>
        (Local-Theory.background-theory
          (TotalRecall.record-no-notation mode args)) o
          (Specification.notation-cmd false mode args)));

  val - =
    Outer-Syntax.command @{command-keyword recall-syntax}
    recall undeclarations of all purged items
    (Scan.succeed (Toplevel.theory TotalRecall.execute-all))
)
end

```

13 Injection Universes

```

theory Injection-Universe
  imports
    HOL-Library.Countable
    Optics.Lenses
begin

```

An injection universe shows how one type $'a$ can be injected into another type, $'u$. They are applied in UTP to provide local variables which require that we can injection a variety of different datatypes into a unified stack type.

```

record ('a, 'u) inj-univ =
  to-univ :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'u (to-univ)

```

```

locale inj-univ =
  fixes I :: ('a, 'u) inj-univ (structure)
  assumes inj-to-univ: inj to-univ
begin

```

```

definition from-univ :: 'u  $\Rightarrow$  'a (from-univ) where
from-univ = inv to-univ

```

```

lemma to-univ-inv [simp]: from-univ (to-univ x) = x
  by (simp add: from-univ-def inv-f-f inj-to-univ)

```

Lens-based view on universe injection and projection.

```

definition to-univ-lens :: 'a  $\Longrightarrow$  'u (to-univL) where
to-univ-lens = ( $\llbracket$  lens-get = from-univ, lens-put = ( $\lambda$  s v. to-univ v)  $\rrbracket$ )

```

```

lemma mwb-to-univ-lens [simp]:
  mwb-lens to-univ-lens
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: to-univ-lens-def)

```

end

Example universe based on natural numbers. Any countable type can be injected into it.

```

definition nat-inj-univ :: ('a::countable, nat) inj-univ ( $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{N}}$ ) where
nat-inj-univ = ( $\llbracket$  to-univ = to-nat  $\rrbracket$ )

```

```

lemma nat-inj-univ: inj-univ nat-inj-univ
  by (unfold-locales, simp add: nat-inj-univ-def)

```

end

14 Trace Algebras

```

theory Trace-Algebra
  imports
    List-Extra
    Positive
begin

```

Trace algebras provide a useful way in the UTP of characterising different notions of trace history. They can characterise notions as diverse as discrete event sequences and piecewise

continuous functions, as employed by hybrid systems. For more information, please see our journal publication [3].

14.1 Ordered Semigroups

```

class ordered-semigroup = semigroup-add + order +
  assumes add-left-mono:  $a \leq b \implies c + a \leq c + b$ 
  and add-right-mono:  $a \leq b \implies a + c \leq b + c$ 
begin

lemma add-mono:
   $a \leq b \implies c \leq d \implies a + c \leq b + d$ 
  using local.add-left-mono local.add-right-mono local.order.trans by blast

end

```

14.2 Monoid Subclasses

```

class left-cancel-monoid = monoid-add +
  assumes add-left-imp-eq:  $a + b = a + c \implies b = c$ 

class right-cancel-monoid = monoid-add +
  assumes add-right-imp-eq:  $b + a = c + a \implies b = c$ 

class monoid-sum-0 = monoid-add +
  assumes zero-sum-left:  $a + b = 0 \implies a = 0$ 
begin

lemma zero-sum-right:  $a + b = 0 \implies b = 0$ 
  by (metis local.add-0-left local.zero-sum-left)

lemma zero-sum:  $a + b = 0 \iff a = 0 \wedge b = 0$ 
  by (metis local.add-0-right zero-sum-right)

end

```

```

context monoid-add
begin

```

An additive monoid gives rise to natural notions of order, which we here define.

```

definition monoid-le (infix  $\leq_m$  50)
where  $a \leq_m b \iff (\exists c. b = a + c)$ 

```

We can also define a subtraction operator that remove a prefix from a monoid, if possible.

```

definition monoid-subtract (infixl  $-_m$  65)
where  $a -_m b = (\text{if } (b \leq_m a) \text{ then } \text{THE } c. a = b + c \text{ else } 0)$ 

```

```

end

```

14.3 Trace Algebras

A pre-trace algebra is based on a left-cancellative monoid with the additional property that plus has no additive inverse. The latter is required to ensure that there are no “negative traces”. A pre-trace algebra has all the trace algebra axioms, but does not export the definitions of $op \leq$ and $op -$.

```

class pre-trace = left-cancel-monoid + monoid-sum-0 +
  assumes
    sum-eq-sum-conv:  $(a + b) = (c + d) \implies \exists e. a = c + e \wedge e + b = d \vee a + e = c \wedge b = e + d$ 
    —  $?a + ?b = ?c + ?d \implies \exists e. ?a = ?c + e \wedge e + ?b = ?d \vee ?a + e = ?c \wedge ?b = e + ?d$  shows
how two equal traces that are each composed of two subtraces, can be expressed in terms of each other.
begin

```

From our axiom set, we can derive a variety of properties of the monoid order

```

lemma monoid-le-least-zero:  $0 \leq_m a$ 
  by (simp add: monoid-le-def)

lemma monoid-le-refl:  $a \leq_m a$ 
  by (simp add: monoid-le-def, metis add.right-neutral)

lemma monoid-le-trans:  $\llbracket a \leq_m b; b \leq_m c \rrbracket \implies a \leq_m c$ 
  by (metis add.assoc monoid-le-def)

```

```

lemma monoid-le-antisym:
  assumes  $a \leq_m b$   $b \leq_m a$ 
  shows  $a = b$ 
proof —
  obtain  $a'$  where  $a': b = a + a'$ 
    using assms(1) monoid-le-def by auto

  obtain  $b'$  where  $b': a = b + b'$ 
    using assms(2) monoid-le-def by auto

  have  $b' = (b' + a' + b')$ 
    by (metis  $a'$  add-assoc  $b'$  local.add-left-imp-eq)

  hence  $a' + b' = 0$ 
    by (metis add-assoc local.add-0-right local.add-left-imp-eq)

  hence  $a' = 0$   $b' = 0$ 
    by (simp add: zero-sum)+

  with  $a'$   $b'$  show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma monoid-le-add:  $a \leq_m a + b$ 
  by (auto simp add: monoid-le-def)

lemma monoid-le-add-left-mono:  $a \leq_m b \implies c + a \leq_m c + b$ 
  using add-assoc by (auto simp add: monoid-le-def)

```

The monoid minus operator is also the inverse of plus in this context, as expected.

```

lemma add-monoid-diff-cancel-left [simp]:  $(a + b) -_m a = b$ 
  apply (simp add: monoid-subtract-def monoid-le-add)
  apply (rule the-equality)
  apply (simp)
  using local.add-left-imp-eq apply blast
done

```

Iterating a trace

```

fun tr-iter :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
tr-iter-0: tr-iter 0 t = 0 |
tr-iter-Suc: tr-iter (Suc n) t = tr-iter n t + t

```

```

lemma tr-iter-empty [simp]: tr-iter m 0 = 0
by (induct m, simp-all)

```

end

We now construct the trace algebra by also exporting the order and minus operators.

```

class trace = pre-trace + ord + minus +
assumes le-is-monoid-le:  $a \leq b \longleftrightarrow (a \leq_m b)$ 
and less-iff:  $a < b \longleftrightarrow a \leq b \wedge \neg (b \leq a)$ 
and minus-def:  $a - b = a -_m b$ 
begin

```

Next we prove all the trace algebra lemmas.

```

lemma le-iff-add:  $a \leq b \longleftrightarrow (\exists c. b = a + c)$ 
by (simp add: local.le-is-monoid-le local.monoid-le-def)

```

```

lemma least-zero [simp]:  $0 \leq a$ 
by (simp add: local.le-is-monoid-le local.monoid-le-least-zero)

```

```

lemma le-add [simp]:  $a \leq a + b$ 
by (simp add: le-is-monoid-le local.monoid-le-add)

```

```

lemma not-le-minus [simp]:  $\neg (a \leq b) \Longrightarrow b - a = 0$ 
by (simp add: le-is-monoid-le local.minus-def local.monoid-subtract-def)

```

```

lemma add-diff-cancel-left [simp]:  $(a + b) - a = b$ 
by (simp add: minus-def)

```

```

lemma diff-zero [simp]:  $a - 0 = a$ 
by (metis local.add-0-left local.add-diff-cancel-left)

```

```

lemma diff-cancel [simp]:  $a - a = 0$ 
by (metis local.add-0-right local.add-diff-cancel-left)

```

```

lemma add-left-mono:  $a \leq b \Longrightarrow c + a \leq c + b$ 
by (simp add: local.le-is-monoid-le local.monoid-le-add-left-mono)

```

```

lemma add-le-imp-le-left:  $c + a \leq c + b \Longrightarrow a \leq b$ 
by (auto simp add: le-iff-add, metis add-assoc local.add-diff-cancel-left)

```

```

lemma add-diff-cancel-left' [simp]:  $(c + a) - (c + b) = a - b$ 

```

```

proof (cases  $b \leq a$ )

```

```

case True thus ?thesis

```

```

by (metis add-assoc local.add-diff-cancel-left local.le-iff-add)

```

```

next

```

```

case False thus ?thesis

```

```

using local.add-le-imp-le-left not-le-minus by blast

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma minus-zero-eq:  $\llbracket b \leq a; a - b = 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a = b$ 
using local.le-iff-add local.monoid-le-def by auto

```

```

lemma diff-add-cancel-left':  $a \leq b \implies a + (b - a) = b$ 
using local.le-iff-add local.monoid-le-def by auto

lemma add-left-strict-mono:  $\llbracket a + b < a + c \rrbracket \implies b < c$ 
using local.add-le-imp-le-left local.add-left-mono local.less-iff by blast

lemma sum-minus-left:  $c \leq a \implies (a + b) - c = (a - c) + b$ 
by (metis add-assoc diff-add-cancel-left' local.add-monoid-diff-cancel-left local.minus-def)

lemma neq-zero-impl-greater:
 $x \neq 0 \implies 0 < x$ 
using le-is-monoid-le less-iff monoid-le-antisym monoid-le-least-zero by auto

lemma minus-cancel-le:
 $\llbracket x \leq y; y \leq z \rrbracket \implies y - x \leq z - x$ 
using add-assoc le-iff-add by auto

```

The set subtraces of a common trace c is totally ordered.

```

lemma le-common-total:  $\llbracket a \leq c; b \leq c \rrbracket \implies a \leq b \vee b \leq a$ 
by (metis diff-add-cancel-left' le-add local.sum-eq-sum-conv)

lemma le-sum-cases:  $a \leq b + c \implies a \leq b \vee b \leq a$ 
by (simp add: le-common-total)

lemma le-sum-cases':
 $a \leq b + c \implies a \leq b \vee b \leq a \wedge a - b \leq c$ 
by (auto, metis le-sum-cases, metis minus-def le-is-monoid-le add-monoid-diff-cancel-left monoid-le-def sum-eq-sum-conv)

lemma le-sum-iff:  $a \leq b + c \iff a \leq b \vee b \leq a \wedge a - b \leq c$ 
by (metis le-sum-cases' add-monoid-diff-cancel-left le-is-monoid-le minus-def monoid-le-add-left-mono monoid-le-def monoid-le-trans)

lemma sum-minus-right:  $c \geq a \implies a + b - c = b - (c - a)$ 
by (metis diff-add-cancel-left' local.add-diff-cancel-left')

lemma minus-gr-zero-iff [simp]:
 $0 < x - y \iff y < x$ 
by (metis diff-cancel le-is-monoid-le least-zero less-iff minus-zero-eq monoid-le-antisym not-le-minus)

lemma le-zero-iff [simp]:  $x \leq 0 \iff x = 0$ 
using local.le-iff-add local.zero-sum by auto

lemma minus-assoc [simp]:  $x - y - z = x - (y + z)$ 
by (metis local.add-diff-cancel-left' local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.le-add local.le-sum-iff local.not-le-minus local.zero-sum-right)

```

end

Trace algebra give rise to a partial order on traces.

```

instance trace  $\subseteq$  order
apply (intro-classes)
apply (simp-all add: less-iff le-is-monoid-le monoid-le-refl)
using monoid-le-trans apply blast

```

```

apply (simp add: monoid-le-antisym)
done

```

14.4 Models

Lists form a trace algebra.

```

instantiation list :: (type) monoid-add
begin

```

```

  definition zero-list :: 'a list where zero-list = []
  definition plus-list :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where plus-list = op @

```

```

instance
  by (intro-classes, simp-all add: zero-list-def plus-list-def)

```

```

end

```

```

lemma monoid-le-list:
  (xs :: 'a list)  $\leq_m$  ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs  $\leq$  ys
  apply (simp add: monoid-le-def plus-list-def)
  using Prefix-Order.prefixE Prefix-Order.prefixI apply blast
done

```

```

lemma monoid-subtract-list:
  (xs :: 'a list)  $-_m$  ys = xs - ys
  apply (auto simp add: monoid-subtract-def monoid-le-list minus-list-def less-eq-list-def)
  apply (rule the-equality)
  apply (simp-all add: zero-list-def plus-list-def prefix-drop)
done

```

```

instance list :: (type) trace
  apply (intro-classes, simp-all add: zero-list-def plus-list-def monoid-le-def monoid-subtract-list)
  apply (simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
  using Prefix-Order.prefixE Prefix-Order.prefixI apply blast
  apply (simp add: less-list-def)
done

```

```

lemma monoid-le-nat:
  (x :: nat)  $\leq_m$  y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y
  by (simp add: monoid-le-def nat-le-iff-add)

```

```

lemma monoid-subtract-nat:
  (x :: nat)  $-_m$  y = x - y
  by (auto simp add: monoid-subtract-def monoid-le-nat)

```

```

instance nat :: trace
  apply (intro-classes, simp-all add: monoid-subtract-nat)
  apply (metis Nat.diff-add-assoc Nat.diff-add-assoc2 add-diff-cancel-right' add-le-cancel-left add-le-cancel-right
    add-less-mono cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-diff-cancel-left' less-irrefl not-le)
  apply (simp add: nat-le-iff-add monoid-le-def)
  apply linarith+
done

```

Positives form a trace algebra.

```

instance pos :: (linordered-semidom) trace

```

```

proof (intro-classes, simp-all)
  fix a b c d :: 'a pos
  show a + b = 0  $\implies$  a = 0
    by (transfer, simp add: add-nonneg-eq-0-iff)
  show a + b = c + d  $\implies$   $\exists e. a = c + e \wedge e + b = d \vee a + e = c \wedge b = e + d$ 
    apply (cases c  $\leq$  a)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) cancel-semigroup-add-class.add-left-imp-eq le-add-diff-inverse semiring-normalization-
semiring-normalization-rules(21))
    done
  show (a < b) = (a  $\leq$  b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b  $\leq$  a)
    by auto
  show le-def:  $\bigwedge$  a b :: 'a pos. (a  $\leq$  b) = (a  $\leq_m$  b)
    by (auto simp add: monoid-le-def, metis le-add-diff-inverse)
  show a - b = a -m b
    apply (auto simp add: monoid-subtract-def le-def[THEN sym])
    apply (rule sym)
    apply (rule the-equality)
    apply (simp-all)
    apply (transfer, simp)
    done
qed

end

```

15 Meta-theory for UTP Toolkit

```

theory utp-toolkit
imports
  Deriv
  HOL-Library.Adhoc-Overloading
  HOL-Library.Char-ord
  HOL-Library.Countable-Set
  HOL-Library.FSet
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
  HOL-Library.Countable
  HOL-Library.Order-Continuity
  HOL-Library.Prefix-Order
  HOL-Library.Product-Order
  HOL-Library.Sublist
  HOL-Algebra.Complete-Lattice
  HOL-Algebra.Galois-Connection
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
  Optics.Lenses
  Countable-Set-Extra
  FSet-Extra
  Map-Extra
  List-Extra
  List-Lexord-Alt
  Partial-Fun
  Finite-Fun
  Infinity
  Positive
  Total-Recall
  Injection-Universe

```

Trace-Algebra
begin end

References

- [1] A. Cavalcanti and J. Woodcock. A tutorial introduction to CSP in unifying theories of programming. In *Refinement Techniques in Software Engineering*, volume 3167 of *LNCS*, pages 220–268. Springer, 2006.
- [2] A. Feliachi, M.-C. Gaudel, and B. Wolff. Unifying theories in Isabelle/HOL. In *UTP 2010*, volume 6445 of *LNCS*, pages 188–206. Springer, 2010.
- [3] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of time with generalised reactive processes. *Accepted for Information Processing Letters*, Dec 2017. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10213>.
- [4] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Isabelle/UTP: A mechanised theory engineering framework. In *UTP*, LNCS 8963, pages 21–41. Springer, 2014.
- [5] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [6] T. Hoare and J. He. *Unifying Theories of Programming*. Prentice-Hall, 1998.
- [7] J. M. Spivey. *The Z Notation: A Reference Manual*. Prentice Hall, 1998.
- [8] F. Zeyda, S. Foster, and L. Freitas. An axiomatic value model for Isabelle/UTP. In *UTP*, LNCS 10134. Springer, 2016.