

Exercises 1

Part 1. Linear Regression

A) The WLS objective function, rewritten in matrix form, is

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^P} \frac{1}{2} (Y - X'\beta)'W(Y - X'\beta) = \frac{1}{2} (Y' - \beta'X')W(Y - X'\beta)$$

To satisfy the 'argmin' part of the expression, take the derivative of $\hat{\beta}$ with respect to β , set equal to zero, and solve as follows.

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} \left[\frac{1}{2} (Y' - \beta'X')W(Y - X'\beta) \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} [Y'WY - 2Y'WX\beta + \beta'XWX'\beta] = 0$$

The derivatives of each term are as follows.

1. $\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} [Y'WY] = 0$ since is a constant wrt β .
2. $\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} [-2Y'WX\beta] = -2Y'WX$, since derivative has form $\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} c\beta = c$ where $c = -2Y'WX$. Then since W diagonal and X and Y vectors, $-2Y'WX = -2X'WY$.

$$\text{a. Derivation: } f(\beta) = c'\beta = c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_k\beta_k \rightarrow \frac{\delta f(\beta)}{\delta\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta(c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_k\beta_k)}{\delta\beta_1} \\ \dots \\ \frac{\delta(c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_k\beta_k)}{\delta\beta_k} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \dots \\ c_k \end{bmatrix} = c$$

3. $\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} [\beta'XWX'\beta] = 2XWX'\beta$, since derivative has quadratic form $\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta} (\beta'V\beta) = (V + V')\beta = 2V\beta$, where $V = XWX'$. The last equality ($=2V\beta$) applies only when V symmetric, which holds here.

Then the derivative, subbing in $\hat{\beta}$ for β , is $\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) [-2X'WY + 2XWX'\hat{\beta}] = 0 \rightarrow XWX'\hat{\beta} = X'WY \rightarrow \hat{\beta} = (XWX')^{-1}X'WY$

Therefore $\hat{\beta} = (XWX')^{-1}X'WY$.

To show that $\hat{\beta} = (XWX')^{-1}X'WY$ is the solution to the linear system: $(X'WX)\hat{\beta} = (X'WX)(XWX')^{-1}X'WY = IX'WY = X'WY$

B)

C)

D)

Testing with N=6, P=3

```
> inv_method(X,W,y)
[1]
[1,] -0.2285076
[2,] 0.4334117
[3,] 0.2829263
> cholesky_method(X,W,y)
[1]
[1,] -0.2285076
[2,] 0.4334117
[3,] 0.2829263
> lu_method(X,W,y)
3 x 1 Matrix of class "dgeMatrix"
[1]
[1,] -0.2285076
[2,] 0.4334117
[3,] 0.2829263
```

> perf_results

\$`N=10,P=5`

Unit: microseconds

	expr	min	lq	mean	median	uq	max	neval	cld
inv_method(X, W, y)		37.611	41.8100	45.06385	44.7085	47.2725	64.006	100	a
lu_method(X, W, y)		84.483	90.8865	96.81388	94.6975	99.6330	180.891	100	b
cholesky_method(X, W, y)		77.703	81.4315	93.98773	85.9800	92.2835	741.216	100	b

\$`N=100,P=50`

Unit: milliseconds

	expr	min	lq	mean	median	uq	max	neval	cld
inv_method(X, W, y)		1.341674	1.353068	1.475150	1.373673	1.494854	2.583980	100	b
lu_method(X, W, y)		1.058799	1.080653	1.224056	1.141064	1.244571	3.091794	100	a
cholesky_method(X, W, y)		1.307661	1.333443	1.510231	1.364680	1.511695	5.079535	100	b

\$`N=500,P=250`

Unit: milliseconds

	expr	min	lq	mean	median	uq	max	neval	cld
inv_method(X, W, y)		140.5526	146.9083	150.7572	149.6457	152.7927	241.2390	100	c
lu_method(X, W, y)		101.7165	106.7253	110.1241	109.2953	112.2400	189.4535	100	a
cholesky_method(X, W, y)		126.9278	133.7628	136.5326	136.3793	138.8827	145.9535	100	b

\$`N=1000,P=500`

Unit: milliseconds

	expr	min	lq	mean	median	uq	max	neval	cld
inv_method(X, W, y)		1184.4662	1213.3566	1240.0551	1227.1508	1255.5876	1336.853	100	c
lu_method(X, W, y)		879.8264	897.5688	932.9878	909.2964	983.9252	1026.348	100	a
cholesky_method(X, W, y)		1075.1613	1100.6688	1134.6080	1113.2897	1185.2184	1240.524	100	b